



Genetic Contributors of Efficacy and Adverse Metabolic Effects of Chlorthalidone in African Americans from the Genetics of Hypertension Associated Treatments (GenHAT) Study

Nicole D. Armstrong ^{1,*}, Vinodh Srinivasasainagendra ², Lakshmi Manasa S. Chekka ³, Nam H. K. Nguyen ⁴, Noor A. Nahid ⁴, Alana C. Jones ^{1,5}, Rikki M. Tanner ¹, Bertha A. Hidalgo ¹, Nita A. Limdi ⁶, Steven A. Claas ⁷, Yan Gong ⁴, Caitrin W. McDonough ⁴, Rhonda M. Cooper-DeHoff ^{4,8}, Julie A. Johnson ^{4,8}, Hemant K. Tiwari ², Donna K. Arnett ^{7,9} and Marguerite R. Irvin ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA; acjones@uab.edu (A.C.J.); rmdeitz@uab.edu (R.M.T.); bhidalgo@uab.edu (B.A.H.)
- ² Department of Biostatistics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA; vinodh@uab.edu (V.S.); htiwari@uab.edu (H.K.T.)
- Division of Applied Regulatory Sciences, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Silver Spring, MD 20903, USA; lakshmimanasa.chekka@fda.hhs.gov
- ⁴ Department of Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA; namnguyen@ufl.edu (N.H.K.N.); n.nahid@ufl.edu (N.A.N.); gong@cop.ufl.edu (Y.G.); cmcdonough@cop.ufl.edu (C.W.M.); dehoff@cop.ufl.edu (R.M.C.-D.); julie.johnson@ufl.edu (J.A.J.)
- ⁵ Medical Scientist Training Program, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA
- ⁶ Department of Neurology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA; nlimdi@uabmc.edu
- Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, USA; steven.claas@uky.edu (S.A.C.); donna.arnett@uky.edu (D.K.A.)
- ⁸ Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
- ⁹ Deans Office, College of Public Health, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, USA
- * Correspondence: nmda@uab.edu (N.D.A.); irvinr@uab.edu (M.R.I.)

Abstract: Hypertension is a leading risk factor for cardiovascular disease mortality. African Americans (AAs) have the highest prevalence of hypertension in the United States, and to alleviate the burden of hypertension in this population, better control of blood pressure (BP) is needed. Previous studies have shown considerable interpersonal differences in BP response to antihypertensive treatment, suggesting a genetic component. Utilizing data from 4297 AA participants randomized to chlorthalidone from the Genetics of Hypertension Associated Treatments (GenHAT) study, we aimed to identify variants associated with the efficacy of chlorthalidone. An additional aim was to find variants that contributed to changes in fasting glucose (FG) in these individuals. We performed genome-wide association analyses on the change of systolic and diastolic BP (SBP and DBP) over six months and FG levels over 24 months of treatment. We sought replication in the International Consortia of Pharmacogenomics Studies. We identified eight variants statistically associated with BP response and nine variants associated with FG response. One suggestive LINC02211-CDH9 intergenic variant was marginally replicated with the same direction of effect. Given the impact of hypertension in AAs, this study implies that understanding the genetic background for BP control and glucose changes during chlorthalidone treatment may help prevent adverse cardiovascular events in this population.

Keywords: pharmacogenomics; antihypertensives; drug response

Citation: Armstrong, N.D.; Srinivasasainagendra, V.; Chekka, L.M.S.; Nguyen, N.H.K.; Nahid, N.A.; Jones, A.C.; Tanner, R.M.; Hidalgo, B.A.; Limdi, N.A.; Claas, S.A.; et al. Genetic Contributors of Efficacy and Adverse Metabolic Effects of Chlorthalidone in African Americans from the Genetics of Hypertension Associated Treatments (GenHAT) Study. *Genes* 2022, *13*, 1260. https:// doi.org/10.3390/genes13071260

Academic Editor: Rajiv Machado

Received: 7 June 2022 Accepted: 7 July 2022 Published: 15 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



1. Introduction

Hypertension (HTN) is a leading risk factor contributing to cardiovascular diseases (CVD) worldwide, attributing to ~10.7 million deaths annually [1]. Effective blood pressure (BP) control is critical for the reduction of CVD, as demonstrated in the Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial (SPRINT), where aggressively targeting lower systolic BP (SBP) with antihypertensive treatment (<120 mmHg) versus the standard treatment (<140 mmHg) reduced the risk of myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndromes, stroke, heart failure, or CVD death by 27% [2]. BP control is especially important for African Americans (AA), who have an earlier onset and higher prevalence of HTN compared to individuals of European ancestry (EA) [3,4]. A higher prevalence of HTN is paired with poorer BP control in AAs which cannot be attributed to differences in awareness and treatment or dietary factors [5,6].

Previous studies have shown that interindividual and racial differences in BP response to first-line antihypertensive treatments exist [7,8], with ~50% of patients achieving adequate BP response to anyone antihypertensive therapy [9]. Compared to EAs, AA patients have poorer BP lowering response to β -blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), or angiotensin receptor blockers and exhibit a better response to calcium channel blockers (CCB) or thiazide diuretics (TD), when used as monotherapy, thus making CCB or TD the suggested first-line antihypertensive therapy for AAs [7]. While observational studies and randomized trials of BP response have highlighted several metabolic disturbances, hyperglycemia is of great concern [10,11]. In the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering treatment to prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT), chlorthalidone use increased fasting glucose (FG) by 8.5 mg/dL on average versus an average increase of 5.5 mg/dL in the amlodipine (CCB) group or 3.5 mg/dL for the lisinopril group (ACEI) [12]. This is of importance, particularly in AAs, who have a longer duration of antihypertensive use due to earlier onset HTN, as well as a higher risk of type 2 diabetes (T2D).

Interindividual BP response to antihypertensives is highly variable at the population level, alluding to a possible genetic component to the antihypertensive response. Genetic studies of BP response to antihypertensive treatment and BP control on antihypertensive treatment are especially vulnerable to medication adherence, as well as noise due to treatment with multiple drugs. Compared to purely observational research of hypertensionrelated phenotypes, studies set within a clinical trial can minimize many of these limiting factors because participants are randomized to monotherapy first with careful follow-up for BP control and treatment titration as needed using predefined algorithms. Clinical trials also allow evaluation of BP response as a quantitative trait upon new drug exposure (i.e., change in BP from baseline to follow-up), an outcome that is usually not possible to consider using observational data. From a public health standpoint, there is increasing recognition of the need for precision medicine by clinicians, health systems, patients, and policymakers, yet research lags behind this growing demand. Unfortunately, there have been a limited number of pharmacogenetic studies of antihypertensive treatment responses and AAs have been underrepresented in those studies [13]. Candidate gene and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have uncovered suggestive loci, but a large GWAS of treatment response has not been undertaken in AAs [14–22]. Utilizing data from the Genetics of Hypertension Associated Treatments (GenHAT), we aimed to identify genetic variation in the antihypertensive efficacy of chlorthalidone over a six-month period for 4297 AA participants, as well as any genetic risk attributing to changes in FG levels.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population

The GenHAT study is an ancillary pharmacogenomics study to the Antihypertensive and Lipid Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). ALLHAT was a randomized, double-blind, multi-center trial that enrolled 42,418 participants ≥55 years of age with HTN and at least one additional risk factor for CVD [23]. Participants were randomized to treatment with one of four primary antihypertensive drugs: chlorthalidone (thiazide-like diuretic), amlodipine (CCB), lisinopril (ACEI), or doxazosin (α -adrenergic blocker) in a ratio of 1.7:1:1:1, respectively [23]. The original GenHAT study (n = 39,114) evaluated the interaction between candidate hypertensive genetic variants and different antihypertensive treatments to modify the risk of fatal and non-fatal CVD outcomes [24]. The current study focuses on 7593 GenHAT participants with genome-wide microarray data. Participants were excluded if they had a genotype missing rate greater than 10% (n = 241), if there were discrepancies between self-reported and genotypic sex (n = 156), or if they were HapMap controls (n = 198), duplicates (n = 84), or genetic outliers (n = 6) as determined by SMARTPCA [25]. This resulted in a total of 6908 AA GenHAT participants, of which 4297 were randomized to chlorthalidone during ALLHAT. Furthermore, 320 participants were treatment naïve (i.e., they had not been previously treated for their hypertension, T-N) at randomization and were used in sensitivity models.

2.2. ALLHAT Treatment

Randomization drugs were titrated to meet the study treatment goal of SBP less than 140 mmHg and DBP less than 90 mmHg. Chlorthalidone was given once daily at 12.5 mg for the first and second titration and 25 mg for the third, and at the sixth month visit, the average chlorthalidone dose was 16.5 mg [26]. If BP control was not achieved on the maximum dose, a second-step drug (reserpine, clonidine, or atenolol), or a third-step agent (hydralazine) was added at the physician's discretion [26].

2.3. Response Phenotypes

The outcomes for the current study were the change in SBP and DBP from baseline to the six-month follow-up visit (Δ SBP = SBP_{6 month} – SBP_{Baseline}; Δ DBP = DBP_{6 month} – DBP_{Baseline}). Prior to BP measurement, participants were required to sit quietly in an erect, yet comfortable position with their feet flat on the ground for more than five minutes [27]. Blood pressure was measured at each time point and was calculated as the average of two measurements obtained with a 30-s interval between them [28]. Of the 4297 GenHAT participants, 3982 had baseline and six-month BP measures, of which 57% (*n* = 2269) were on chlorthalidone monotherapy at year 1. Of the 320 participants who were treatment-naïve at randomization, 290 had both BP measurements and 66% (*n* = 192) were on chlorthalidone monotherapy at year 1.

Additionally, we measured the change in fasting glucose (Δ FG) over a 24-month period starting at baseline (Δ FG = FG_{24 month} – FG_{Baseline}). Both baseline and follow-up glucose concentrations were obtained after an overnight fast of at least 8 h. Analyses were done at a certified laboratory and detailed previously [12,29]. Of the 4297 GenHAT participants, 1127 had baseline and 24-month fasting glucose measures of which 67% (*n* = 757) were on chlorthalidone monotherapy at year 1 and 54% (*n* = 611) were on chlorthalidone monotherapy at year 2. Due to the small number of treatment naïve participants with two FG measures, secondary analyses on this subset were not performed.

2.4. Genotyping and Imputation

Genome-wide genotyping was performed using Illumina Infinium Multi-Ethnic AMR/AFR BeadChip arrays (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA), comprising over 1.4 million markers. Variants were excluded if they deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$), had a minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.01, or had a missing rate > 0.01. Furthermore, we analyzed the strand concordance, position, and allele assignment, resulting in 967,857 variants used in imputation. Imputation was performed using the NHLBI Transomics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) Release 2 (Freeze 8) reference panel, which leverages data on >50,000 AA samples [30–32]. Variants were excluded with imputation quality (r^2) < 0.3 or a minor allele count <20, resulting in >20.4 million used in association analysis.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous traits and counts and percentages for categorical variables and were calculated using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Linear regression models were implemented in PLINK2 [33] for genome-wide association analyses of Δ SBP, Δ DBP, and Δ FG, independently, and assumed an additive model of inheritance. The Δ SBP and Δ DBP outcomes were *z*-transformed, with mean = 0 and SD = 1. The Δ FG outcome was inverse normal transformed using the RNOmni package in R [34]. Models of association between the BP/FG response to chlorthalidone and the imputed effect allele dosage were adjusted for age, sex, top 10 principal components to account for genetic ancestry, and corresponding baseline measure. Regional plots were created using LocusZoom v0.12 [35,36] and gene annotation was performed using ANNOVAR [37]. Genome-wide significance was set at *p* < 5.00 × 10⁻⁸ after a Bonferroni correction for each response phenotype.

2.6. Replication Populations

Replication was sought for both the main and secondary analyses using data facilitated through the International Consortium for Antihypertensive Pharmacogenomics Studies (ICAPS) between 1 February 2021 and 21 May 2021. We utilized genetic and phenotypic data from the Genetic Epidemiology of Responses to Antihypertensives (GERA) study [38], and the Pharmacogenomic Evaluation of Antihypertensive Responses (PEAR and PEAR-2) studies [39,40] (clinicaltrials.gov identifiers NCT00005520, NCT00246519, and NCT01203852, respectively). In PEAR and GERA, participants were randomized to hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ), while in PEAR-2, participants were randomized to chlorthalidone. All three studies had a washout period of at least 4 weeks to establish hypertensive BPs before starting the drug treatment, making these populations more comparable to our secondary, treatment-naïve population. Furthermore, the response time was shorter for each of these studies in comparison with GenHAT (between 4–9 weeks) [38– 40]. Details of the individual studies and participant demographics included in replication are available in Supplementary Methods and Table S1.

The number of independent signals, as calculated by linkage disequilibrium (LD) r^2 > 0.20 per phenotype, was used to calculate a Bonferroni-corrected α level for replication significance. For genome-wide significant discovery variants, replication significance was tested at α = 0.025 divided by the number of significant independent signals. Suggestive variants were tested at α =0.05 divided by the number of independent suggestive signals, as previously described [41].

3. Results

The demographics for the GenHAT participants in this study are presented in Table 1. The average age of participants was 66 years and 41% of participants had T2D at baseline. The average baseline SBP and DBP were 146.14 mmHg and 84.86 mmHg, respectively. The average baseline FG was slightly elevated at 127.40 mg/dL and the serum potassium levels were 4.22 mmol/L (Table 1). The 290 participants who were treatment-naïve at baseline were similar in age to the larger population, but 28% had T2D and the average FG levels were lower at 118.59 mg/dL. The average SBP and DBP for these individuals were 155.92 mmHg and 90.43 mmHg, respectively (Table S2).

Table 1. Baseline GenHAT chlorthalidone participant demographics ¹.

Ν	4297
Sex, % Female	55.71% (2394)
Age, years	66.14 ± 7.73
BMI, kg/m ²	30.46 ± 6.54
T2D status	40.66% (1747)
Cigarette smoking status	27.44% (1000)

eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	82.66 ± 21.49	
SBP, mmHg	146.14 ± 15.67	
DBP, mmHg	84.86 ± 21.49	
FG, mg/dL	127.40 ± 65.30	
K, mmol/L	4.22 ± 0.54	
		_

¹ Abbreviations: BMI—body mass index; T2D—type 2 diabetes mellitus; eGFR—estimated glomerular filtration rate; SBP—systolic blood pressure; DBP—diastolic blood pressure; FG—fasting glucose; K—serum potassium.

GenHAT participants saw a reduction of nearly 6.7 mmHg for SBP and 3.1 mmHg for DBP over the six months. For FG there was an average increase of 6.7 mg/dL over 24 months (Table 2). For the subset of treatment naïve participants, larger responses were observed (–19.5 mmHg for SBP, –9.4 mmHg for DBP, and +10.3 mg/dL for FG, Table S3).

Table 2. Chlorthalidone blood pressure and glucose response¹.

	Ν	Mean Difference ± SD	
Δ SBP, mmHg	3982	-6.69 ± 19.30	
ΔDBP , mmHg	3982	-3.06 ± 10.82	
ΔFG, mg/dL	1127	6.71 ± 58.65	

¹ Abbreviations: SD-standard deviation; SBP-systolic blood pressure; DBP-diastolic blood pressure; FG-fasting glucose.

Manhattan plots for the primary discovery analysis for BP response (Δ SBP and Δ DBP) are presented in Figure 1. The treatment naïve analysis results (Δ SBP_{T-N} and Δ DBP_{T-N}) are reported in Figure 2, and Δ FG is presented in Figure S1. The genomic inflation factor (λ) from the individual analyses ranged from ($\lambda = 0.985 - 1.012$) and showed no evidence of systematic inflation (Table S4; Figures S1 and S2).



Figure 1. Manhattan plots for the primary discovery analyses for BP response. The red line is representative of genome-wide significance ($p < 5.00 \times 10^{-8}$). Results are shown from (**a**) Δ SBP analysis; (**b**) Δ DBP analysis.

12 14 16 18 21



Figure 2. Manhattan plots for the treatment-naïve (T-N) analyses. The red line is representative of genome-wide significance ($p < 5.00 \times 10^{-8}$). Results are shown from: (**a**) Δ SBP_{T-N} analysis; (**b**) Δ DBP_{T-N} analysis.

In Table 3, we present 14 top variants ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-7}$) associated with chlorthalidone BP response, 4 of which met the statistical significance criteria after Bonferroni correction $(p < 5.00 \times 10^{-8})$. An additional 83 variants (42 for Δ DBP and 41 for Δ SBP) were suggestively associated ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-6}$) with BP response to chlorthalidone over six months (Tables S5 and S6). The most significant association in the current study was between the rare intronic cadherin related family member 2 (CDHR2) variant rs191702725 on chromosome 5 and \triangle SBP ($p = 2.40 \times 10^{-9}$). On average, the GG genotype carriers observed a reduction of 6.79 mmHg over 6 months compared to an increase in BP (or non-response) with the carriers of the A effect allele (AA/GA) (Figure S3A). This rarer variant was not observed in any of the ICAPs data for replication (Table S5). The top variant for the ΔDBP analysis was rs10440665, an intergenic variant between LINC02211 and CDH9 on chromosome 5 (G allele, EAF = 26.7%, $p = 4.48 \times 10^{-8}$; Table 3). At this variant, persons homozygous for the effect allele G observed a 4.19 mmHg reduction in blood pressure compared to a 2.52 mmHg reduction observed in those homozygous for the A allele (p = 0.007) (Table 3, Figure S3B). An additional 12 variants in this intergenic region were associated with a stronger DBP response for the effect allele (Table S6; Figure S4). Additionally, two intergenic variants located downstream of FZD1 were marginally associated with DBP response, rs7802445 ($\beta = -0.84$, $p = 7.67 \times 10^{-7}$) and rs79111780 ($\beta = -0.84$; $p = 7.67 \times 10^{-7}$) and had an EAF ~5%. In consideration of 12 independent signals for DBP response, no variant was replicated ($\alpha = 0.05/12$). We did observe nominal replication for one of the *LINC02211*-*CDH9* intergenic variants in the GERA population, rs13164498 ($p = 4.18 \times 10^{-2}$), with the same direction of effect (Table S6). We also observed marginal replication (p < 0.05) in PEAR-2 for both FZD1 variants (rs7802445 [$\beta = -3.71$, $p = 1.86 \times 10^{-2}$] and rs79111780 [$\beta =$ $-3.67; p = 1.97 \times 10^{-2}$]) (Table S6).

Table 3. Top variants associated with blood pressure response to chlorthalidone use over six months $(p < 1.00 \times 10^{-7})^{-1}$.

rsID	CHR:BP	A1/A2	EAF	β ²	95% CI	<i>р</i> ³	Location	Gene
ΔSBP								
rs191702725	5:176580424	A/G	0.003	1.08	0.73, 1.43	2.40 × 10 ⁻⁹	intronic	CDHR2
rs6009272	22:49475763	G/C	0.444	0.11	0.07, 0.15	2.14×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC01310; MIR3667
rs186198403	5:176649236	A/G	0.003	1.02	0.66, 1.39	3.15×10^{-8}	intronic	TSPAN17
ΔDBP								
rs10440665	5:25918567	G/A	0.267	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	4.48×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs1593983	5:25919837	A/G	0.267	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	5.18×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9

00410110	E 05000401		0.070	0.11	0.15 0.05	F 0F 10-8		UNICODA11 CDU0
rs28413118	5:25929421	A/C	0.270	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	5.37×10^{-6}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs10050387	5:25928779	C/T	0.268	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	5.44×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs4701513	5:25925888	T/A	0.268	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	5.44×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs10440666	5:25918597	G/A	0.267	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	6.47×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs12697671	5:25929723	A/C	0.268	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	6.61×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs72748996	5:25924670	G/A	0.268	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	7.83×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs13164498	5:25931554	T/A	0.275	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	7.98×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs6884731	5:25921084	G/A	0.268	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	8.72×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9
rs10440667	5:25919034	G/A	0.267	-0.11	-0.15, -0.07	9.58×10^{-8}	intergenic	LINC02211; CDH9

¹ Abbreviations: rsID—reference snp cluster id; CHR—chromosome number; BP—base position from hg38 build; A1—effect allele; A2—allele 2; EAF—effect allele frequency; CI—confidence interval; SBP—systolic blood pressure; DBP—diastolic blood pressure. ² Associations are presented as standardized coefficients from additive genetic linear models. ³ Genome-wide significance *p* < 5.00 × 10⁻⁸ (**bold**).

In a subset of 290 participants who prior to ALLHAT randomization were not treated with an antihypertensive, we identified four statistically significant variants associated with SBP response (Table 4; Figure 2). Of these, three variants were located within an intron of the CACNA1C gene, with an additional CACNA1C intronic variant reaching suggestive significance (Figure S5). The top variant was rs114758661 and was significantly associated ($p = 1.12 \times 10^{-8}$) with SBP response. Compared to an increase/non-response in BP with G-allele carriers (GG/GA), non-carriers (AA) had an average reduction of 20.39 mmHg SBP (p < 0.0001) (Figure S3C). We did not observe any replication for the CACNA1C variants in the PEAR or PEAR-2 populations (replication threshold for independent significant signals = 0.025/2 independent signals = 1.25×10^{-2}). Furthermore, we report 19 suggestive intronic variants of LTBP1 in the treatment naïve SBP response analysis (*p*-value ranges from $p = 1.52 \times 10^{-7}$ to $p = 8.30 \times 10^{-7}$). There was marginal replication in PEAR for four of these variants (p < 0.05) with the same direction of effect (Table S7). Additionally, we observed four variants with a marginal association with ΔDBP in the intergenic region between CYSLTR2 and FNDC3A ($p = 7.78 \times 10^{-7}$) for the treatment-naïve participants (Table S8).

Table 4. Top variants associated with systolic blood pressure response to chlorthalidone use over six months in treatment-naïve participants ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-7}$)¹.

rsID	CHR:BP	A1/A2	EAF	β ²	95% CI	<i>р</i> ³	Location	Gene
rs114758661	12:2472136	G/A	0.017	1.26	0.84, 1.68	1.12 × 10 ⁻⁸	intronic	CACNA1C
rs540857940	12:2475156	A/G	0.017	1.26	0.84, 1.68	1.12 × 10 ⁻⁸	intronic	CACNA1C
rs141391468	12:2470148	G/A	0.019	1.17	0.77, 1.57	3.59 × 10 ⁻⁸	intronic	CACNA1C
rs7905470	10:7247513	A/C	0.199	-0.44	-0.59, -0.29	4.72×10^{-8}	intronic	SFMBT2
rs80214621	5:157232090	T/G	0.017	1.40	0.91, 1.89	$6.14\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle -8}$	intronic	ITK

¹ Abbreviations: rsID—reference snp cluster id; CHR—chromosome number; BP—base position from hg38 build; A1—effect allele; A2—allele 2; EAF—effect allele frequency; CI—confidence interval. ² Associations are presented as standardized coefficients from additive genetic linear models. ³ Genome-wide significance $p < 5.00 \times 10^{-8}$ (**bold**).

In our Δ FG analysis, we observed nine statistically significant variants and an additional 49 variants with *p* < 1.00 × 10⁻⁶ (Table S9; Figure S1). The top five associated variants were all located within 16 kb of each other in the GIMAP1-GIMAP5 read-through region on chromosome 7 (Figure S6) and have an allele frequency of 0.9%. Of these GIMAP variants, none were replicated with genome-wide significance (α =0.025/5 independent signals for Δ FG =5.00 × 10⁻³) (Table S9).

Additionally, we aimed to replicate previously published variants associated with BP or FG response to thiazide diuretic mono- or combination-therapy in AA or EA populations (Supplemental Tables S10 and S11). We identified 75 variants, mapping to or near 63 unique genes, in the literature dating back to 2010 associated with BP response to

thiazide diuretics. We found marginal associations (p < 0.05) with three published variants, rs3758785, rs12505746, and rs321320, in the Δ SBP results (*SNN-TXNDC11*, *TET2*, and *CLIC5-RUNX2* regions, respectively); six variants, rs1802409, rs12505746, rs4551053, rs238, rs822127, and rs9590353 in the Δ DBP results (*SNN-TXNDC11*, *TET2*, *EBF1*, *LINC00092*, *PLCXD3-OXTC1*, and *UGGT2* regions, respectively); and three variants, rs1458038, rs12505746, and rs4757718, in the treatment-naïve Δ DBP results (*FGF5*, *TET2*, and *PTPN5*, respectively) (Table S10). We identified 40 variants in the literature dating back to 2010 associated with FG response to thiazide diuretics and one with the nominal association in our FG analysis, rs7077606 near *PCDH15* (Table S11).

4. Discussion

Antihypertensives are one of the most prescribed medications in the United States, and chlorthalidone is a recommended first-line therapy for treating HTN, particularly in AAs [7]. The discovery of genetic variants that associate with the BP response to chlor-thalidone may help improve treatment outcomes. In the current study, we aimed to identify any genetic contributors to the efficacy of chlorthalidone in 4297 AAs from GenHAT. Furthermore, we elucidated genetic variation associated with changes in FG in these participants. Using a genome-wide approach, we identified eight significant variants associated with BP response (3 for Δ SBP, 1 for Δ DBP, and 4 for Δ SBP_{T-N}) and nine significant variants associated with FG response to chlorthalidone.

For DBP response, the most significant region was located upstream of *CDH9*. *CDH9* encodes cadherin 9, a type II classical cadherin from the cadherin superfamily, whose function is to mediate cell-cell adhesion [42]. An intronic variant of *CDH9* was previously implicated in coronary artery calcification (CAC) in AAs [43]. Compared to other antihypertensives (CCB nifedipine), thiazide diuretics are associated with a faster progression of CAC in hypertensive patients [44]. Furthermore, *CDH9* has been described as a reliable cell surface marker for renal fibroblasts, the primary matrix-producing cells in the kidney [45,46], which are involved in BP regulation [47]. These previous reports add biological support to this intergenic locus possibly through a regulatory role (given the location of these variants).

In our treatment-naive secondary analyses, we reported three significant variants in *CACNA1C* for Δ SBP. *CACNA1C* encodes the calcium voltage-gated channel subunit α 1C. Calcium channels mediate the influx of calcium ions into the cell upon membrane polarization [42] and are involved in several physiological processes including vascular and smooth muscle contraction, which is important in BP regulation [48,49]. Genetic variation within *CACNA1C* has been reported to affect CCB efficacy in previous pharmacogenomic studies of HTN [50–52]. Findings in over 1700 Han Chinese individuals from the Genetic Epidemiology Network of Salt Sensitivity (GenSalt), reported *CACNA1C* as a potential genetic contributor to BP variation over time in both single-variant and gene-based analyses [53].

The variants of additional interest due to their biological plausibility included two intergenic variants downstream of *FZD1* (Δ DBP), 18 intronic variants of *LTBP1* (Δ SBP_{T-N}), and four intergenic variants upstream of *CYSLTR2* (Δ DBP_{T-N}). The *FZD1* gene encodes the frizzled class receptor 1, a member of the "frizzled" family of membrane domain proteins that functions as receptors for Wnt signaling proteins [42]. The relationship between Wnt/ β -catenin signaling and hypertension is well documented, evidenced through genome-wide association studies in AA [14] and EA [54] populations, murine in vivo models [55], and through cardiac function [56,57]. Furthermore, *FZD1* has been independently described as an essential mediator of cardiac hypertrophy (Fan et al., 2018). *LTBP1* encodes the latent transforming growth factor β binding protein 1, a key regulator of transforming growth factor β activation [42]. Anomalous expression of *LTBP1* has been previously detected in thoracic aortic aneurysms [58] and may promote the development of arterial diseases [59]. *LTBP1* has been implicated as a primary regulator of the effect of lethal (3) malignant brain tumor-like protein 4 (L3MBTL4 protein) on vascular remodeling

and HTN [60]. The four variants located within an intergenic region of *CYSLTR2* and *FNDC3A* were marginally associated with Δ DBP in treatment-naive participants and were in strong LD (r² > 0.9). *CYSLTR2* encodes the cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 2 (CysLT₂R). *CYSLTR2* is highly expressed in the heart and coronary vessels [61], and in addition to the cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1, mediates the actions of inflammatory mediators (e.g., cysteinyl leukotrienes, leukotriene C₄, leukotriene D₄, and leukotriene E₄) affecting smooth muscle construction and vascular permeability [62,63]. A 2004 study performed in endothelial cell specific human CysLT₂R transgenic mice reported distinct vascular effects of cysteinyl leukotrienes mediated via CysLT₂R [61].

In the Δ FG analysis, we identified five statistically significant variants in the *GIMAP1-GIMAP5* naturally occurring read-through region. The GIMAPs (GTPases of the immunity-associated proteins) are a family of GTPases that are expressed in the immune system [42]. *GIMAP* GTPase family genes, *GIMAP4* and *GIMAP5*, have been previously described as potential modifiers of immune-mediated diseases, such as type 1 diabetes, asthma, and allergic sensitization [64]. The *GIMAP1-GIMAP5* region was previously associated with CAC in AAs with T2D [65]. To our knowledge, no other study has described genetic variation in this read-through region in relation to the change in FG or BP during antihypertensive treatment. This gene region was not replicated; therefore, additional studies are needed to determine if this locus is relevant to FG changes during treatment.

In the lookup analysis of previously published pharmacogenomic studies on thiazide diuretics and BP, we observed marginal associations with variants located within or near *SNN-TXNDC11*, *TET2*, *CLIC5-RUNX2*, *EBF1*, *PLCXD3-OXTC1*, *UGGT2*, *FGF5*, and *PTPN5*. Of these suggestive associations, the most biologically relevant include variants within *FGF5* (Fibroblast Growth Factor 5), *TET2* (Tet Methylcytosine Dioxygenase 2), *CLIC5* (chloride intracellular channel 5), and the *EBF1* (early B-cell factor 1). *FGF5* has previously been implicated as a susceptibly region for primary hypertension [66,67], while *TET2* has been implicated as an aldosterone responsive mediator of α epithelial sodium channel transcription (which has a role in BP regulation) [68]. *CLIC5* functions in the maintenance of renal glomerular architecture of podocytes and endothelial cells, but it is unknown whether it functions in thiazide action [69,70]. *EBF1* is a transcription factor with the hematopoietic function that has been associated with early onset coronary artery disease [71]. In a large meta-analysis of BP traits, rs4551053, an upstream variant of *EBF1*, was significantly associated with SBP ($p = 1.17 \times 10^{-47}$), DBP ($p = 2.98 \times 10^{-40}$), and pulse pressure (2.82 × 10⁻²³) in 700,000 EAs [72].

The current study has several strengths. As an ancillary study to ALLHAT, one of the largest antihypertensive clinical trials to date, GenHAT is a rich data source for pharmacogenomic analysis. Additionally, we utilized the ancestrally diverse Illumina Multi-Ethnic array and TOPMed reference panel for imputation which includes ~25% individuals of African ancestry, allowing for improved coverage of African ancestral genomes and rare variant inclusion not possible with previous arrays and a 1000 G reference panel [32]. This study has some limitations as well. As we have noted, we completed secondary analyses on 290 AA participants who were treatment-naive at ALLHAT randomization. While this number is on par with several other published pharmacogenomic studies evaluating thiazide diuretic efficacy [73-75], the primary analyses were performed on a population where the majority were already taking an antihypertensive agent. Furthermore, BP measurement is prone to error, but BP for this study was measured twice after a participant had been seated quietly for at least five minutes by trained staff using standardized procedures across all centers in the ALLHAT study. Most of the significant variants we identified had EAF between 1–5% (apart from the intergenic LINC02211-CDH9 variants), making it difficult to replicate, especially in cohorts with limited genetic data in AAs. Lastly, a common limitation of GWAS are the small effect sizes for the observed results, thus suggesting further validation.

Given the significant burden of HTN in AAs, strategies to improve BP control are of high priority. Identifying genetic variation associated with BP response and/or adverse

metabolic effects may lead to drug optimization in these individuals. Although we identified eight variants statistically associated with BP response to chlorthalidone and an additional nine variants associated with the change in FG, none of these results were replicated across all three replication cohorts. Regardless, our findings still have biological plausibility, and further investigation into these variants and gene regions are warranted. Ultimately, understanding the genetic risk factors for both BP control and glucose dysregulation during chlorthalidone treatment continues to be an important research avenue to prevent excess CVD risk in AAs.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes13071260/s1, Figure S1: Manhattan and QQ plot for the FG analysis results; Figure S2: QQ plots for the discovery BP response analysis; Figure S3: Marginal mean blood pressure response by genotype; Figure S4: LocusZoom regional plot for rs10440665 in the intergenic region on chromosome 5 between LINC02211 and CDH9; Figure S5: LocusZoom regional plot for rs114758661 in the intronic region of CACNA1C on chromosome 12; Figure S6: LocusZoom regional plot for rs79772702 in the GIMAP1-GIMAP5 readthrough region on chromosome 7. Table S1: Demographics of participants included in ICAPS validation; Table S2: Baseline Demographics of GenHAT Treatment-Naive Participants for ΔBP Analysis; Table S3: Chlorthalidone Blood Pressure and Glucose Response in Treatment-Naive Participants; Table S4: GenHAT discovery analyses genomic control (λ) values; Table S5: Top variants ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-6}$) from the GenHAT Δ SBP response; Table S6: Top variants ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-6}$) from the GenHAT Δ DBP response; Table S7: Top variants ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-6}$) from the GenHAT Δ SBP response in 290 treatment-naive participants; Table S8: Top variants ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-6}$) from the GenHAT DBP response in 290 treatment-naive participants; Table S9: Top variants ($p < 1.00 \times 10^{-6}$) from the GenHAT Δ FG response; Table S10: Previously published (since 2010) variants associated with BP response to thiazide diuretics mono- or combination-therapy; Table S11: Previously published (since 2010) antihypertensive pharmacogenomic studies associated with glycemic traits [76].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, N.D.A., D.K.A. and M.R.I.; Data curation, V.S. and R.M.T.; Formal analysis, N.D.A. and V.S.; Funding acquisition, J.A.J. and M.R.I.; Investigation, N.D.A., A.C.J., R.M.T., B.A.H., N.A.L. and M.R.I.; Supervision, D.K.A. and M.R.I.; Validation, L.M.S.C., N.H.K.N., N.A.N., Y.G., C.W.M., R.M.C.-D. and J.A.J.; Visualization, N.D.A. and S.A.C.; Writing—original draft, N.D.A. and M.R.I.; Writing—review & editing, N.D.A., V.S., L.M.S.C., N.H.K.N., N.A.N., A.C.J., R.M.T., B.A.H., N.A.L., S.A.C., Y.G., C.W.M., R.M.C.-D., J.A.J., H.K.T., D.K.A. and M.R.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) grant R01HL123782 (MRI). N.D.A. was supported by an NIH NHLBI training grant, T32HL007457. A.C.J. was supported by an NIH National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease (NIDDK) F31 Fellowship, F31DK128990. C.W.M. was supported by NIH NHLBI K01HL141690.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of The University of Alabama at Birmingham (IRB-160415008) on 25 April 2018.

Informed Consent Statement: Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The raw GenHAT genotypic and phenotypic data used in this study are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Database for Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP), accession number phs002716.v1.p1. The data for the Pharmacogenomic Evaluation of Antihypertensive Responses (PEAR) study and PEAR-2 have been made publicly available in dbGaP under accession: phs000649.v2.p2.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Forouzanfar, M.H.; Liu, P.; Roth, G.A.; Ng, M.; Biryukov, S.; Marczak, L.; et al. Global Burden of Hypertension and Systolic Blood Pressure of at Least 110 to 115 mm Hg, 1990–2015. *JAMA* 2017, 317, 165–182.
- Sprint Research Group; Lewis, C.E.; Fine, L.J.; Beddhu, S.; Cheung, A.K.; Cushman, W.C.; et al. Final Report of a Trial of Intensive versus Standard Blood-Pressure Control. N. Engl. J. Med. 2021, 384, 1921–1930.
- 3. Virani, S.S.; Alonso, A.; Aparicio, H.J.; Benjamin, E.J.; Bittencourt, M.S.; Callaway, C.W.; et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics–2021 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **2021**, *143*, e254–e743.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) Public Use Data Files, 2015–2018. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ (accessed on 1 April 2020).
- Fryar, C.D.; Ostchega, Y.; Hales, C.M.; Zhang, G.; Kruszon-Moran, D. Hypertension Prevalence and Control Among Adults: United States, 2015–2016. NCHS Data Brief. 2017, 289, 1–8.
- 6. Lackland, D.T. Racial differences in hypertension: Implications for high blood pressure management. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* **2014**, *348*, 135–138.
- James, P.A.; Oparil, S.; Carter, B.L.; Cushman, W.C.; Dennison-Himmelfarb, C.; Handler, J.; et al. 2014 evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: Report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). JAMA 2014, 311, 507–520.
- Johnson, J.A. Ethnic differences in cardiovascular drug response: Potential contribution of pharmacogenetics. *Circulation* 2008, 118, 1383–1393.
- Whelton, P.K.; Carey, R.M.; Aronow, W.S.; Casey, D.E., Jr.; Collins, K.J.; Dennison Himmelfarb, C.; et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2018, 138, e426–e83.
- 10. Roush, G.C.; Buddharaju, V.; Ernst, M.E.; Holford, T.R. Chlorthalidone: Mechanisms of action and effect on cardiovascular events. *Curr. Hypertens. Rep.* **2013**, *15*, 514–521.
- 11. Zhang, X.; Zhao, Q. Association of Thiazide-Type Diuretics With Glycemic Changes in Hypertensive Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials. *J. Clin. Hypertens.* **2016**, *18*, 342–351.
- Barzilay, J.I.; Davis, B.R.; Cutler, J.A.; Pressel, S.L.; Whelton, P.K.; Basile, J.; et al. Fasting glucose levels and incident diabetes mellitus in older nondiabetic adults randomized to receive 3 different classes of antihypertensive treatment: A report from the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2006, *166*, 2191–2201.
- 13. Johnson, J.A. Advancing management of hypertension through pharmacogenomics. Ann. Med. 2012, 44 (Suppl. 1), S17–S22.
- 14. Adeyemo, A.; Gerry, N.; Chen, G.; Herbert, A.; Doumatey, A.; Huang, H.; et al. A genome-wide association study of hypertension and blood pressure in African Americans. *PLoS Genet.* **2009**, *5*, e1000564.
- 15. Basson, J.; Simino, J.; Rao, D.C. Between candidate genes and whole genomes: Time for alternative approaches in blood pressure genetics. *Curr. Hypertens. Rep.* **2012**, *14*, 46–61.
- 16. Doris, P.A. The genetics of blood pressure and hypertension: The role of rare variation. *Cardiovasc. Ther.* 2011, 29, 37–45.
- Kato, N.; Loh, M.; Takeuchi, F.; Verweij, N.; Wang, X.; Zhang, W.; et al. Trans-ancestry genome-wide association study identifies 12 genetic loci influencing blood pressure and implicates a role for DNA methylation. *Nat. Genet.* 2015, 47, 1282–1293.
- 18. Kraja, A.T.; Hunt, S.C.; Rao, D.C.; Davila-Roman, V.G.; Arnett, D.K.; Province, M.A. Genetics of hypertension and cardiovascular disease and their interconnected pathways: Lessons from large studies. *Curr. Hypertens. Rep.* **2011**, *13*, 46–54.
- 19. Rao, D.C.; Province, M.A.; Leppert, M.F.; Oberman, A.; Heiss, G.; Ellison, R.C.; et al. A genome-wide affected sibpair linkage analysis of hypertension: The HyperGEN network. *Am. J. Hypertens.* **2003**, *16*, 148–150.
- 20. Simino, J.; Shi, G.; Kume, R.; Schwander, K.; Province, M.A.; Gu, C.C.; et al. Five blood pressure loci identified by an updated genome-wide linkage scan: Meta-analysis of the Family Blood Pressure Program. *Am. J. Hypertens.* **2011**, *24*, 347–354.
- Sung, Y.J.; Basson, J.; Cheng, N.; Nguyen, K.D.; Nandakumar, P.; Hunt, S.C.; et al. The role of rare variants in systolic blood pressure: Analysis of ExomeChip data in HyperGEN African Americans. *Hum. Hered.* 2015, *79*, 20–27.
- Zhu, X.; Young, J.H.; Fox, E.; Keating, B.J.; Franceschini, N.; Kang, S.; et al. Combined admixture mapping and association analysis identifies a novel blood pressure genetic locus on 5p13: Contributions from the CARe consortium. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2011, 20, 2285–2295.
- ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major cardiovascular events in hypertensive patients randomized to doxazosin vs chlorthalidone: The antihypertensive and lipid-lowering treatment to prevent heart attack trial (ALLHAT). JAMA 2000, 283, 1967–1975.
- Arnett, D.K.; Boerwinkle, E.; Davis, B.R.; Eckfeldt, J.; Ford, C.E.; Black, H. Pharmacogenetic approaches to hypertension therapy: Design and rationale for the Genetics of Hypertension Associated Treatment (GenHAT) study. *Pharmacogenom. J.* 2002, 2, 309–317.
- Price, A.L.; Patterson, N.J.; Plenge, R.M.; Weinblatt, M.E.; Shadick, N.A.; Reich, D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* 2006, *38*, 904–909.
- Cushman, W.C.; Ford, C.E.; Einhorn, P.T.; Wright, J.T., Jr.; Preston, R.A.; Davis, B.R.; et al. Blood pressure control by drug group in the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). *J. Clin. Hypertens.* 2008, 10, 751–760.

- Do, A.N.; Lynch, A.I.; Claas, S.A.; Boerwinkle, E.; Davis, B.R.; Ford, C.E.; et al. The effects of genes implicated in cardiovascular disease on blood pressure response to treatment among treatment-naive hypertensive African Americans in the GenHAT study. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* 2016, 30, 549–554.
- Davis, B.R.; Cutler, J.A.; Furberg, C.D.; Wright, J.T.; Farber, M.A.; Felicetta, J.V.; et al. Relationship of antihypertensive treatment regimens and change in blood pressure to risk for heart failure in hypertensive patients randomly assigned to doxazosin or chlorthalidone: Further analyses from the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering treatment to prevent Heart Attack Trial. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2002, *137*, 313–320.
- 29. Irvin, M.R.; Lynch, A.I.; Kabagambe, E.K.; Tiwari, H.K.; Barzilay, J.I.; Eckfeldt, J.H.; et al. Pharmacogenetic association of hypertension candidate genes with fasting glucose in the GenHAT Study. *J. Hypertens.* **2010**, *28*, 2076–2083.
- 30. Das, S.; Forer, L.; Schonherr, S.; Sidore, C.; Locke, A.E.; Kwong, A.; et al. Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat. Genet.* **2016**, *48*, 1284–1287.
- 31. Fuchsberger, C.; Abecasis, G.R.; Hinds, D.A. minimac2: Faster genotype imputation. Bioinformatics 2015, 31, 782–784.
- 32. Taliun, D.; Harris, D.N.; Kessler, M.D.; Carlson, J.; Szpiech, Z.A.; Torres, R.; et al. Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI TOPMed Program. *Nature* **2021**, *590*, 290–299.
- 33. Chang, C.C.; Chow, C.C.; Tellier, L.C.; Vattikuti, S.; Purcell, S.M.; Lee, J.J. Second-generation PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **2015**, *4*, 7.
- 34. McCaw, Z.R.; Lane, J.M.; Saxena, R.; Redline, S.; Lin, X. Operating characteristics of the rank-based inverse normal transformation for quantitative trait analysis in genome-wide association studies. *Biometrics* **2020**, *76*, 1262–1272.
- 35. Pruim, R.J.; Welch, R.P.; Sanna, S.; Teslovich, T.M.; Chines, P.S.; Gliedt, T.P.; et al. LocusZoom: Regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. *Bioinformatics* **2010**, *26*, 2336–2337.
- 36. Boughton, A.P.; Welch, R.P.; Flickinger, M.; VandeHaar, P.; Taliun, D.; Abecasis, G.R.; et al. LocusZoom.js: Interactive and embeddable visualization of genetic association study results. *Bioinformatics* **2021**, *37*, 3017–3018.
- 37. Wang, K.; Li, M.; Hakonarson, H. ANNOVAR: Functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010, 38, e164.
- Chapman, A.B.; Schwartz, G.L.; Boerwinkle, E.; Turner, S.T. Predictors of antihypertensive response to a standard dose of hydrochlorothiazide for essential hypertension. *Kidney Int.* 2002, *61*, 1047–1055.
- 39. Johnson, J.A.; Boerwinkle, E.; Zineh, I.; Chapman, A.B.; Bailey, K.; Cooper-DeHoff, R.M.; et al. Pharmacogenomics of antihypertensive drugs: Rationale and design of the Pharmacogenomic Evaluation of Antihypertensive Responses (PEAR) study. *Am. Heart. J.* **2009**, *157*, 442–449.
- 40. Hamadeh, I.S.; Langaee, T.Y.; Dwivedi, R.; Garcia, S.; Burkley, B.M.; Skaar, T.C.; et al. Impact of CYP2D6 polymorphisms on clinical efficacy and tolerability of metoprolol tartrate. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2014**, *96*, 175–181.
- McDonough, C.W.; Warren, H.R.; Jack, J.R.; Motsinger-Reif, A.A.; Armstrong, N.D.; Bis, J.C.; et al. Adverse Cardiovascular Outcomes and Antihypertensive Treatment: A Genome-Wide Interaction Meta-Analysis in the International Consortium for Antihypertensive Pharmacogenomics Studies. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2021, 110, 723–732.
- 42. National Library of Medicine, National Center for Biotechnology Information. Gene. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/ (accessed on 27 January 2022).
- 43. Wojczynski, M.K.; Li, M.; Bielak, L.F.; Kerr, K.F.; Reiner, A.P.; Wong, N.D.; et al. Genetics of coronary artery calcification among African Americans, a meta-analysis. *BMC Med. Genet.* **2013**, *14*, 75.
- 44. Motro, M.; Shemesh, J. Calcium channel blocker nifedipine slows down progression of coronary calcification in hypertensive patients compared with diuretics. *Hypertension* **2001**, *37*, 1410–1413.
- 45. Strutz, F.; Zeisberg, M. Renal fibroblasts and myofibroblasts in chronic kidney disease. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2006, 17, 2992–2998.
- 46. Thedieck, C.; Kalbacher, H.; Kuczyk, M.; Muller, G.A.; Muller, C.A.; Klein, G. Cadherin-9 is a novel cell surface marker for the heterogeneous pool of renal fibroblasts. *PLoS ONE* **2007**, *2*, e657.
- 47. Krapf, R.; Hulter, H.N. Arterial hypertension induced by erythropoietin and erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESA). *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2009**, *4*, 470–480.
- Cheng, X.; Liu, J.; Asuncion-Chin, M.; Blaskova, E.; Bannister, J.P.; Dopico, A.M.; et al. A novel Cav1.2 N terminus expressed in smooth muscle cells of resistance size arteries modifies channel regulation by auxiliary subunits. J. Biol. Chem. 2007, 282, 29211–29221.
- 49. Cox, R.H.; Rusch, N.J. New expression profiles of voltage-gated ion channels in arteries exposed to high blood pressure. *Microcirculation* **2002**, *9*, 243–257.
- 50. Bremer, T.; Man, A.; Kask, K.; Diamond, C. CACNA1C polymorphisms are associated with the efficacy of calcium channel blockers in the treatment of hypertension. *Pharmacogenomics* **2006**, *7*, 271–279.
- 51. Kamide, K.; Yang, J.; Matayoshi, T.; Takiuchi, S.; Horio, T.; Yoshii, M.; et al. Genetic polymorphisms of L-type calcium channel α1C and α1D subunit genes are associated with sensitivity to the antihypertensive effects of L-type dihydropyridine calciumchannel blockers. *Circ. J.* 2009, *73*, 732–740.
- 52. Beitelshees, A.L.; Navare, H.; Wang, D.; Gong, Y.; Wessel, J.; Moss, J.I.; et al. CACNA1C gene polymorphisms, cardiovascular disease outcomes, and treatment response. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* **2009**, *2*, 362–370.
- Hu, Z.; Liu, F.; Li, M.; He, J.; Huang, J.; Rao, D.C.; et al. Associations of Variants in the CACNA1A and CACNA1C Genes with Longitudinal Blood Pressure Changes and Hypertension Incidence: The GenSalt Study. Am. J. Hypertens. 2016, 29, 1301–1306.
- Wain, L.V.; Verwoert, G.C.; O'Reilly, P.F.; Shi, G.; Johnson, T.; Johnson, A.D.; et al. Genome-wide association study identifies six new loci influencing pulse pressure and mean arterial pressure. *Nat. Genet.* 2011, 43, 1005–1011.

- 55. Sumida, T.; Naito, A.T.; Nomura, S.; Nakagawa, A.; Higo, T.; Hashimoto, A.; et al. Complement C1q-induced activation of betacatenin signalling causes hypertensive arterial remodelling. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6241.
- 56. Abou Ziki, M.D.; Mani, A. Wnt signaling, a novel pathway regulating blood pressure? State of the art review. *Atherosclerosis* **2017**, *262*, 171–178.
- 57. Van de Schans, V.A.; van den Borne, S.W.; Strzelecka, A.E.; Janssen, B.J.; van der Velden, J.L.; Langen, R.C.; et al. Interruption of Wnt signaling attenuates the onset of pressure overload-induced cardiac hypertrophy. *Hypertension* **2007**, *49*, 473–480.
- 58. Jones, J.A.; Zavadzkas, J.A.; Chang, E.I.; Sheats, N.; Koval, C.; Stroud, R.E.; et al. Cellular phenotype transformation occurs during thoracic aortic aneurysm development. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* **2010**, *140*, 653–659.
- 59. Oklu, R.; Hesketh, R. The latent transforming growth factor beta binding protein (LTBP) family. *Biochem. J.* 2000, 352, 601–610.
- 60. Liu, X.; Hu, C.; Bao, M.; Li, J.; Liu, X.; Tan, X.; et al. Genome Wide Association Study Identifies L3MBTL4 as a Novel Susceptibility Gene for Hypertension. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 30811.
- 61. Hui, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Smalera, I.; Jian, W.; Goldhahn, L.; Fitzgerald, G.A.; et al. Directed vascular expression of human cysteinyl leukotriene 2 receptor modulates endothelial permeability and systemic blood pressure. *Circulation* **2004**, *110*, 3360–3366.
- 62. Murphy, R.C.; Hammarstrom, S.; Samuelsson, B. Leukotriene C: A slow-reacting substance from murine mastocytoma cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1979**, *76*, 4275–4279.
- 63. Samuelsson, B. Leukotrienes: Mediators of immediate hypersensitivity reactions and inflammation. Science 1983, 220, 568–575.
- 64. Heinonen, M.T.; Laine, A.P.; Soderhall, C.; Gruzieva, O.; Rautio, S.; Melen, E.; et al. GIMAP GTPase family genes: Potential modifiers in autoimmune diabetes, asthma, and allergy. *J. Immunol.* **2015**, *194*, 5885–5894.
- 65. Divers, J.; Palmer, N.D.; Langefeld, C.D.; Brown, W.M.; Lu, L.; Hicks, P.J.; et al. Genome-wide association study of coronary artery calcified atherosclerotic plaque in African Americans with type 2 diabetes. *BMC Genet.* **2017**, *18*, 105.
- 66. Liu, C.; Li, H.; Qi, Q.; Lu, L.; Gan, W.; Loos, R.J.; et al. Common variants in or near FGF5, CYP17A1 and MTHFR genes are associated with blood pressure and hypertension in Chinese Hans. *J. Hypertens.* **2011**, *29*, 70–75.
- 67. Ren, Y.; Jiao, X.; Zhang, L. Expression level of fibroblast growth factor 5 (FGF5) in the peripheral blood of primary hypertension and its clinical significance. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* **2018**, *25*, 469–473.
- Chittani, M.; Zaninello, R.; Lanzani, C.; Frau, F.; Ortu, M.F.; Salvi, E.; et al. TET2 and CSMD1 genes affect SBP response to hydrochlorothiazide in never-treated essential hypertensives. J. Hypertens. 2015, 33, 1301–1309.
- Hiltunen, T.P.; Donner, K.M.; Sarin, A.P.; Saarela, J.; Ripatti, S.; Chapman, A.B.; et al. Pharmacogenomics of hypertension: A genome-wide, placebo-controlled cross-over study, using four classes of antihypertensive drugs. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 2015, 4, e001521.
- Wegner, B.; Al-Momany, A.; Kulak, S.C.; Kozlowski, K.; Obeidat, M.; Jahroudi, N.; et al. CLIC5A, a component of the ezrinpodocalyxin complex in glomeruli, is a determinant of podocyte integrity. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 2010, 298, F1492–F1503.
- 71. Ying, Y.; Luo, Y.; Peng, H. EBF1 gene polymorphism and its interaction with smoking and drinking on the risk of coronary artery disease for Chinese patients. *Biosci. Rep.* **2018**, *38*, BSR20180324.
- 72. Evangelou, E.; Warren, H.R.; Mosen-Ansorena, D.; Mifsud, B.; Pazoki, R.; Gao, H.; et al. Genetic analysis of over 1 million people identifies 535 new loci associated with blood pressure traits. *Nat. Genet.* 2018, *50*, 1412–1425.
- Singh, S.; McDonough, C.W.; Gong, Y.; Alghamdi, W.A.; Arwood, M.J.; Bargal, S.A.; et al. Genome Wide Association Study Identifies the HMGCS2 Locus to be Associated With Chlorthalidone Induced Glucose Increase in Hypertensive Patients. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 2018, 7, e007339.
- Singh, S.; McDonough, C.W.; Gong, Y.; Bailey, K.R.; Boerwinkle, E.; Chapman, A.B.; et al. Genome Wide Analysis Approach Suggests Chromosome 2 Locus to be Associated with Thiazide and Thiazide Like-Diuretics Blood Pressure Response. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 17323.
- 75. Singh, S.; Wang, Z.; Shahin, M.H.; Langaee, T.Y.; Gong, Y.; Turner, S.T.; et al. Targeted sequencing identifies a missense variant in the BEST3 gene associated with antihypertensive response to hydrochlorothiazide. *Pharmacogenet. Genom.* **2018**, *28*, 251–255.
- 76. Sá, A.; Webb, A.; Gong, Y.; McDonough, C. W.; Shahin, M. H.; Datta, S.; Langaee, T. Y.; Turner, S. T.; Beitelshees, A. L.; Chapman, A. B., et al. Blood pressure signature genes and blood pressure response to thiazide diuretics: Results from the PEAR and PEAR-2 studies. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2018, *11*, 55.