Impact of Salinity on the Growth and Chemical Composition of Two Underutilized Wild Edible Greens: Taraxacum officinale and Reichardia picroides
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Abstract: Soil salinization is one of the major environmental factors responsible for limited crop production throughout the world. Therefore, there is urgent need to find tolerant/resistant species to exploit in commercial cultivation systems. In this context, the valorization of wild edible greens for human consumption and/or medicinal purposes is gaining more and more interest. The aim of the present work was to study the effect of salinity, e.g., electrical conductivity: 2 mS cm$^{-1}$ (nutrient solution EC), 6 mS cm$^{-1}$ and 10 mS cm$^{-1}$ on plant growth and chemical composition of Reichardia picroides and Taraxacum officinale plants grown in a floating hydroponic system. The results showed that R. picroides is a moderately salt-tolerant species, as the majority of plant growth parameters determined were not negatively affected under the treatment of 6 mS cm$^{-1}$. On the other hand, the growth parameters of T. officinale plants were severely affected under the same conditions. Moreover, high salinity levels (EC at 10 mS cm$^{-1}$) impaired the growth of both species. The content of leaves in chlorophylls (a, b and total), carotenoids+xanthophylls and total soluble solids was not significantly affected by the tested EC levels in both species, whereas the titratable acidity increased under the treatment of 10 mS cm$^{-1}$. Moreover, R. picroides exhibited a more effective adaptation mechanism against saline conditions than T. officinale, as evidenced by the higher accumulation of osmolytes such as proline and the higher shoot K content, probably through a more efficient K/Na selectivity. In conclusion, both species were severely affected by high salinity; however, R. picroides showed promising results regarding its commercial cultivation under moderate salinity levels, especially in regions where resources of high-quality irrigation water are limited.
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1. Introduction

Soil salinization is one of the most important environmental stressors around the globe with significant implications on crop productivity, especially in arid and semi-arid regions such as the broad Mediterranean area [1]. Among the various crops, vegetables are considered susceptible to environmental extremities which become more and more frequent due to the ongoing climate change [2–4]. The cultivation of conventional crops under these new limiting conditions is becoming difficult and less profitable for farmers due to yield losses and the increased production cost [5]. Therefore, urgent measures are
needed to ensure food security, especially when considering the rapidly increasing global population and the growing demands for quality foods [6]. For this purpose, several means have been suggested during the last years including the use of cost-effective practices such as the application of biostimulants, the grafting of vegetables to tolerant rootstocks and the cropping of alternative and tolerant species, among others [7–12].

According to FAO, a significant part of world food production is obtained from only nine crops, which entails increased risk of genetic erosion due to agrobiodiversity degradation [13]. In this context, wild edible greens are a promising solution toward the sustainable increase in agrobiodiversity since they are tolerant to arduous conditions and can easily adapt to climate changes [14,15]. Most of these species are an integral part of local cuisines and are traditionally used for culinary and medicinal purposes [16–18]. Recently, the commercial cultivation of such species has gained interest both by farmers and consumers, and several studies have reported the potential of using wild edible species in sustainable cropping systems for the production of high value-added products due to increased health beneficial effects [19–22]. Considering that these species are usually collected in the wild or confronted as weeds within the fields, there is a lack of information regarding the best practice guides that should be applied to ensure high yields without compromising the quality and food safety of the final products. Therefore, several reports have suggested cultivation practices related to harvesting stage, growing period, the fertilization regimes or cropping under stress conditions and soilless cultivation systems [23–31].

*Taraxacum officinale* and *Reichardia picroides* are two unexploited species of the Asteraceae family with limited information regarding their requirements in agronomic practices. According to González et al. [32], who carried out an ethnobotanical survey in the Iberian peninsula, it was suggested that a cultural importance index based on the frequency and versatility of uses and *T. officinale* scored very low values. However, it is not uncommon for wild edible greens to have local interest, and their importance may vary from region to region. Recently, our team reported the soilless cultivation of both species in nutrient solution with different pH values (e.g., 4.0, 5.5 and 7.0), and the results showed that not only can these species be cultivated under unfavorable conditions, but they also can improve their bioactive properties through the increased phytochemicals content [33]. Moreover, in the earlier study of Petropoulos et al. [28], both *T. officinale* and *R. picroides* recorded a high content in phenolic compounds and tocopherols, which were significantly affected by the growing period.

The response of horticultural crops to abiotic stressors is complex and includes changes in plant physiology and morphology through the induction of secondary metabolites biosynthesis, the expression of stress-related genes and the hormonal regulation of plants [34]. The mechanisms of salt tolerance in plants have received the attention of researchers for many years focusing on the effects of soil water potential and water availability decrease on plant physiology, as well as on ion-specific impacts that limit plant growth under saline conditions, especially those caused by NaCl [35–37]. In particular, salinity may affect nutritional balance in plant tissues, since significant antagonism may be observed in the absorption and transfer of nutrients [36]. Moreover, saline conditions may variably change the pH and redox potential in nutrient solution, depending on the severity of salinity and the plant species, thus resulting in reduction of micronutrients solubility [38]. Nitrogen absorption is negatively affected under saline conditions due to the interaction and the antagonistic effects observed in Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ and/or Na⁺ and NH₄⁺ [36]. However, the various plants may differ in their response to saline conditions and several species may exhibit significant tolerance under elevated salinity without significant yield reductions [39]. In addition, given that soil infertility is often associated with the presence of large amounts of salts, the identification of tolerant genotypes is considered to be a promising approach toward food security through saline agriculture [40,41].

Regarding salinity effects, sodium chloride affects the transport of ions across plasmalemma of root cells through rupturing of the cellular membranes [42], and salt tolerance in crops is based on specific physiological characteristics such as shoot- or leaf-specific
ion accumulation or the production of specific osmolytes [43]. Furthermore, in order to adapt to salt stress, plants have developed various hormonal-based strategies that help to regulate plant growth through mediation of salinity stress signals [44]. Other adaptation mechanisms include the biosynthesis of bioactive compounds (e.g., phenolic compounds) and osmoprotectants such as glutamates and γ-aminobutyric acid [11]. Several studies have confirmed the tolerance of wild edible greens under environmental constraints, and species such as *Reichardia picroides*, *Cichorium spinosum*, *Sonchus oleraceus* and *Urospermum picroides* have been identified as salt tolerant and should be suggested as alternative/complementary crops or for the phytoremediation of saline soils [25,45–47].

Considering the increasing interest in wild edible greens and the lack of information regarding their cultivation practices, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of salinity on plant growth parameters and chemical composition of the two native to the Mediterranean basin unexploited species, namely *T. officinale* and *R. picroides*. For this purpose, plants of both species were cultivated in a floating hydroponic system under greenhouse conditions, and three electrical conductivity (EC) levels in nutrient solution were implemented, e.g., 2.0, 6.0 and 10.0 mS cm\(^{-1}\). The results of this study increase the knowledge regarding agronomic requirements and help the domestication and commercial cultivation of these valuable species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material, Experimental Treatments and Growing Conditions

The experiment was performed in the experimental greenhouse at the University of the Peloponnese (Kalamata, Messinia, Southern Greece, 37°3’22” N, 22°1’43” E). Seeds of dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* (L.) Weber ex F.H. Wigg. - *T. officinale*, hereafter) and common brighteyes (*Reichardia picroides* (L.) Roth - *R. picroides*, hereafter) were collected in the wild (Vicinity of Kalamata, Greece) and stored at 4–7 °C [33]. Seeds of both species were sown on 28/11/2018 at a depth of 0.5–1 cm in germination containers of 19 cm × 13 cm × 5 cm filled with white peat (pH 5.5–6.5, without fertilization-base substrate, Klasmann-Deilmann GmbH, Geeste, Germany). Germination containers were placed in a walk-in growth chamber at 20 °C with 16 h photoperiod and light intensity of 55 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) provided by fluorescent lamps. On 28/01/2019 (61 days after sowing) and when they reached the 3–4 true-leaf stage, young seedlings were transplanted to polystyrene seedling trays (cell dimension 5 × 5 × 5 cm\(^3\)) containing the same media, at a distance of 15 × 15 cm\(^2\) (namely 44.44 plants m\(^{-2}\)) following the method described by Alexopoulos et al. [33]. The trays were transferred in the greenhouse and placed in containers (volume of 0.25 m\(^3\)) filled with 0.2 m\(^3\) of nutrient solution (NS). The composition of NS and its preparation process have been previously described by Alexopoulos et al. [33].

Three different treatments, namely 2.0 mS cm\(^{-1}\) (EC-2; control treatment), 6.0 mS cm\(^{-1}\) (EC-6) and 10.0 mS cm\(^{-1}\) (EC-10), were applied to plants by adding NaCl to the control NS until the desired values of EC were obtained. These levels were selected based on previous studies with wild edible greens [25,46,48]. The EC and pH values of NS were recorded on a daily basis. During the experimental period, the nutrient solution pH of all the three treatments ranged from 5.9 to 6.2, whereas the EC ranged from 2.0 to 2.2 mS cm\(^{-1}\) in treatment EC-2, from 6.0 to 6.2 mS cm\(^{-1}\) in EC-6 and from 10.0 to 10.2 mS cm\(^{-1}\) in EC-10. The temperature in the greenhouse ranged from 8.0 to 31.1 °C, whereas the temperature of nutrient solution in all the containers during the cultivation period ranged from 13 to 17 °C. The experiment was carried out in a completely randomized experimental design. Each container included 44 plants, while 10 plants were harvested for plant growth assessment and chemical analyses. For each species, four replications per treatment were implemented (24 containers in total). Harvest of plants from each species was performed when plants were adequately grown but still young and tender and before anthesis, based on the harvesting stage when collected in the wild. In *T. officinale*, the harvest was carried out on 08/03/2019 (49 days after transplanting-DAT) whereas in *R. picroides* on 22/03/2019 (63-DAT).
2.2. Growth Parameters

Growth parameters were assessed according to the methodology of Alexopoulos et al. [33]. In brief, plant leaf number, rosette diameter, and length and width of the largest leaf of the plant were measured in 10 plants from each replication and for each plant species. Then, yield parameters were recorded, e.g., the number of nonmarketable leaves (not green, dried or injured), total plant fresh weight (FW), the FW of the upper plant part and roots, and the FW of marketable leaf were assessed. The leaves obtained from the 10 plants of each replication were pooled in two batch samples, one of which was used to determine dry matter content (% DMC) and mineral composition, while the other one was stored at −80 °C for chemical analyses [33]. Similarly, roots were only used for the determination of % DMC and minerals content, since this plant part is not edible.

2.3. Leaf and Root Minerals and Nitrate Content

Minerals and nitrates content were determined according to the methodology previously described by Alexopoulos et al. [33], following the protocols of Kalra [49] for dry-ashing of samples; Boltz and Lueck [50] for P determination through the vanado-molybdophosphate yellow color method; the azomethin-H method for B determination [49]; atomic absorption spectrometry (SpectrAA, 240 Atomic Absorption FS; Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) for K, Ca, Mg, Na, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu determination [49]; the indophenol-blue method N determination [51]; the method of Cataldo et al. [52] for the determination of N-NO$_3^-$ in leaves; and the titration with 0.1 N silver nitrate for Cl content determination [33]. The analyses were performed in triplicate, and all the results were expressed in dry weight (DW), except for nitrates content, which was expressed in fresh weight (FW).

2.4. Chemical Composition Analyses in Leaves

Total soluble solids content (TSSC) of leaves was recorded in the juice of leaves with a portable refractometer (model HR32B, Schmidt & Haensch GmbH & Co., Berlin, Germany) after homogenized fresh samples at 20 °C [33]. Titratable acidity was assessed in aqueous extracts of homogenized samples after titration with NaOH, up to pH 8.1 [33]. The results were presented as mg of malic acid per 100 g of FW [33].

The chlorophyll content of leaves was determined using the methods described by Alexopoulos et al. [33]. In particular, one method included the use of SPAD-502 Chlorophyll Meter (Konica-Minolta Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) to record SPAD index of leaves, while the other method recorded chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll content in acetone extracts of homogenized samples of leaves, according to Karapanos et al. [53]. The same extracts were used for carotenoids+xanthophylls quantification (absorbance at 470 nm), following the protocol of Lichtenthaler and Buschmann [54]. The results were expressed as mg per 100 g of FW.

2.4.1. Total Phenolic Compounds Content

Total phenolic compounds (TPC) were determined in methanolic extracts according to the Folin–Ciocalteu protocol [55] after slight modifications [53]. The results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100 g of FW.

2.4.2. Proline Content

Free proline content was measured using the acid-ninhydrin method of Bates et al. [56]. In particular, leaf samples were extracted in 3% aqueous sulfo-salicylic acid, and extracts were combined with acid ninhydrin and glacial acetic acid (1:1:1) and then incubated at 90 °C. The reaction was terminated after 1 h by putting the samples in an ice bath. The chromophore was extracted using 2 mL of toluene, and its absorbance was measured at 520 nm using a spectrophotometer (Lambda 1A, Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Pure proline was used as standard, and the results were expressed as µmole of proline per g FW.
2.5. Statistical Analysis

For each plant species separately, the statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA, and means were separated according to the least significant difference (LSD) test at \( p \leq 0.05 \). For each plant species and each treatment, four replications (\( n = 4 \)) with 10 plants each were used, as described in detail in Sections 2.1–2.3. The correlations between growth parameters and minerals content in \( R. \) picroides and \( T. \) officinale were examined using the Pearson’s correlation test. All statistical analyses were carried out with StatGraphics Centurion-XVI statistical package (StatPoint Technologies Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Plant Growth

\( Reichardia \) picroides and \( Taraxacum \) officinale plants grown under either EC-6 or EC-10 treatment did not show any salt toxicity symptoms, i.e., local wilting or necrotic spots in the leaves. In \( R. \) picroides, the number of leaves per plant was the highest in EC-6 treatment (40.65 leaves per plant), followed by EC-2 and EC-10 treatments (32.28 and 24.75 leaves per plant, respectively) (Table 1). Nonmarketable leaf number per plant, leaf SPAD index values, root FW and root/shoot ratio were not affected by the studied EC treatments. On the other hand, EC-10 treatment caused a significant reduction in rosette diameter, the maximum leaf length and width, total plant FW, upper part plant FW and marketable leaves FW per plant in comparison with the EC-2 and the EC-6 treatments, whereas EC-6 treatment caused a significant reduction in leaf DMC compared to the EC-2 treatment and did not differ from the EC-10 (Table 1). In the case of \( T. \) officinale, the effect of EC treatments on plant growth was more profound. In particular, EC-6 and EC-10 treatments caused a significant reduction in leaf number per plant, rosette diameter, maximum leaf length and width, total plant FW, upper plant part FW, root FW and marketable leaves FW per plant compared to the EC-2 treatment. By contrast, a significant increase was observed in the case root/shoot ratio and leaf DMC for the same treatments (EC-6 and EC-10), while the number of nonmarketable leaves and the SPAD index values were not affected by the tested treatments (Table 2). According to the literature, a similar reduction in the number of leaves per plant due to high salinity was also observed in \( Brassica \) species [57], as was the case for \( T. \) officinale in our study. In addition, the reduction in leaf FW per plant in \( T. \) officinale with increasing salinity is in accordance with the findings of Wang and Nil [58] and El-Hendawy et al. [59], who reported that salinity mainly affects the leaf surface expansion, thus limiting leaf area and negatively influencing the development of the photosynthetically active surface area.

### Table 1. Growth parameters of \( R. \) picroides plants grown under different nutrient solution EC (2, 6 and 10 mS cm\(^{-1} \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC (mS cm(^{-1} ))</th>
<th>Leaf Number Plant(^{-1} )</th>
<th>Rosette Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Nonmarketable Leaf Number Plant(^{-1} )</th>
<th>SPAD Index</th>
<th>Maximum Leaf Length (cm)</th>
<th>Maximum Leaf Width (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>32.28 b *</td>
<td>35.56 b</td>
<td>2.18 a</td>
<td>49.94 a</td>
<td>18.41 b</td>
<td>2.79 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>40.65 c</td>
<td>28.60 b</td>
<td>2.60 a</td>
<td>54.61 a</td>
<td>14.63 b</td>
<td>2.48 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>24.75 a</td>
<td>17.32 a</td>
<td>1.93 a</td>
<td>52.11 a</td>
<td>8.97 a</td>
<td>1.86 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means within the same column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly based on the least significant difference (LSD) at \( p < 0.05 \).
Table 2. Growth parameters of *T. officinale* plants grown under different nutrient solution EC (2, 6 and 10 mS cm$^{-1}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC (mS cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Leaf Number Plant$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Rosette Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Nonmarketable Leaf Number Plant$^{-1}$</th>
<th>SPAD Index</th>
<th>Maximum Leaf Length (cm)</th>
<th>Maximum Leaf Width (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>31.00 b *</td>
<td>37.60 b</td>
<td>1.80 a</td>
<td>42.40 a</td>
<td>19.15 b</td>
<td>6.28 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13.96 a</td>
<td>18.73 a</td>
<td>1.78 a</td>
<td>42.59 a</td>
<td>9.62 a</td>
<td>3.41 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>16.09 a</td>
<td>19.98 a</td>
<td>1.62 a</td>
<td>39.34 a</td>
<td>10.41 a</td>
<td>3.81 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC (mS cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Total Plant FW (kg m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Upper Plant Part FW (kg m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Root FW (kg m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Root/Shoot Ratio</th>
<th>Marketable Leaves FW (kg m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Leaf DMC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.37 b</td>
<td>0.35 b</td>
<td>0.35 a</td>
<td>0.35 a</td>
<td>0.90 b</td>
<td>11.59 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.35 a</td>
<td>0.23 a</td>
<td>0.12 a</td>
<td>0.52 b</td>
<td>0.20 a</td>
<td>15.16 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.44 a</td>
<td>0.29 a</td>
<td>0.15 a</td>
<td>0.53 b</td>
<td>0.21 a</td>
<td>15.14 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means within the same column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly based on the least significant difference (LSD) test at $p < 0.05$.

Regarding the other growth parameters, the response of *R. picroides* and *T. officinale* to the presence of NaCl in the nutrient solution also varied. In particular, EC-6 treatment led to a 79% reduction in the upper plant FW and a 67% reduction in root FW in *T. officinale* plants, whereas in *R. picroides* EC-6 treatment increased both the upper plant part and the root FW (Tables 1 and 2). It has been reported that salt stress may lead to a considerable decrease in the FW of leaves, upper plant part and roots of various plants resulting in stunted growth habit [60–65]. Plant growth restriction occurred because of the accumulation of specific ions that affect plant metabolism and physiology or/and due to the adverse water relations which have an impact on water and nutrients uptake [36,66]. However, there is considerable variation in salinity tolerance among plant species that may belong to the same family or even to the same genus. In the Asteraceae family, in which several important leafy crops and numerous wild edible herbs (including the tested species) belong, there are also included moderately salt-sensitive species (e.g., lettuce-*Lactuca sativa* [67]), moderately to highly resistant ones (e.g., wild chicory-*Cichorium intybus* [68] and spiny chicory-*Cichorium spinosum* [25]) and halophytes (e.g., sea fennel-*Crithmum maritimum* [41,69]). Differences in salt tolerance based on the plant growth restriction have been also reported between genotypes of the same plant species [70]. In addition, the higher root/shoot ratio in salt-treated plants of *T. officinale* may indicate its sensitivity to saline conditions. Pérez-Alfocea et al. [71] suggested a greater proportion of assimilates for the root compared to assimilates for the shoot in salt-treated tomato plants, leading to a greater reduction in the growth of the aboveground plant part compared to the roots. Moreover, stress hormones are involved in the plant defense mechanism and could be involved in mediating salinity stress signals and in controlling the balance between growth and stress responses [44,72,73]. Moreover, the increased DMC (by up to 31%) in combination with the significant decrease in *T. officinale* growth could be attributed to the high concentrations of NaCl in the nutrient solution resulting in hyperosmotic conditions which hinder water and nutrients uptake [66,74]. On the contrary, the values for *R. picroides* growth parameters such as the root/shoot ratio and the upper plant weight indicate moderate tolerance of the species which retains its ability to uptake water and nutrients from the nutrient solution up to EC-6.

Available data on the salinity tolerance of the tested species are scarce in the literature. However, in agreement with our results, high salt content in soil (>0.7%) significantly reduced plant growth in *Taraxacum erythropodium*, whereas at salt content below 0.7% the declining trend weakened [45]. On the other hand, there is evidence that *R. picroides* is resistant to high salinity levels, as its natural habitats include saline sand dunes in the coastal areas of the Mediterranean [75], while other reports indicate the effectiveness of the species to withstand saline irrigation water of 8 dS m$^{-1}$ without significantly compromising plant growth [46].
3.2. Leaf and Root Minerals Concentrations

3.2.1. Total Leaf Nitrogen Content

In *R. picroides*, total leaf N was higher in the EC-10 treatment than in EC-6 and EC-2 treatments, whereas in *T. officinale*, the lowest N content was observed in EC-10 treatment (Table 3). Moreover, leaf N content did not significantly differ between EC-2 and EC-6 treatments in both plant species. Our results for *T. officinale* are in accordance with those of Pessarakli and Tucker [76] who reported that nitrogen concentration in tomato leaves was not significantly affected at relatively low salt concentrations, but at 140 and 200 mM NaCl, it was reduced by approximately 33% compared to plants grown under nonsaline conditions. Similarly, Camalle et al. [77] suggested that high salinity may lead to nitrogen deficiency since Na and Cl exhibit antagonistic effects to nitrate uptake. On the other hand, the fact that the total leaf N in *R. picroides* plants for the EC-10 was the highest could be attributed to the efficient defense mechanism that allowed plants to retain root functionality and nutrients uptake, as well as to the variable effects of salinity on the activities of N metabolizing enzymes which may depend on the species and numerous soil/nutrient solution parameters [78].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaf</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>K/Na</th>
<th>Ca/Na</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reichardia picroides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (mS cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>% leaf DW</td>
<td>mg kg⁻¹ leaf DW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.25 a</td>
<td>0.77 a</td>
<td>7.04 c</td>
<td>0.90 b</td>
<td>0.20 b</td>
<td>0.55 a</td>
<td>0.82 a</td>
<td>60.0 a</td>
<td>42.9 a</td>
<td>37.5 a</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
<td>143.2 b</td>
<td>12.7 b</td>
<td>1.63 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.37 a</td>
<td>1.04 b</td>
<td>4.49 b</td>
<td>0.72 a</td>
<td>0.16 a</td>
<td>3.68 b</td>
<td>1.09 a</td>
<td>57.2 a</td>
<td>46.2 a</td>
<td>65.7 b</td>
<td>3.5 a</td>
<td>138.2 ab</td>
<td>1.23 a</td>
<td>0.19 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.12 b</td>
<td>1.29 c</td>
<td>3.66 a</td>
<td>0.63 a</td>
<td>0.16 a</td>
<td>4.02 c</td>
<td>1.79 b</td>
<td>55.6 a</td>
<td>71.6 b</td>
<td>75.4 c</td>
<td>4.5 a</td>
<td>129.1 a</td>
<td>0.91 a</td>
<td>0.16 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Taraxacum officinale | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EC (mS cm⁻¹) | % leaf DW | mg kg⁻¹ leaf DW | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | 4.77 b | 1.16 a | 5.48 b | 0.92 b | 0.33 c | 0.05 a | 0.53 a | 77.2 ab | 30.7 a | 39.1 a | 6.8 a | 46.8 a | 137.4 b | 23.18 b |
| 6.0 | 4.81 b | 1.37 b | 3.02 a | 0.75 a | 0.26 a | 2.00 b | 0.99 b | 68.5 a | 23.7 a | 45.6 b | 6.1 a | 35.0 a | 1.51 a | 0.38 a |
| 10.0 | 4.17 a | 1.69 c | 2.99 a | 0.83 ab | 0.29 b | 3.84 c | 1.58 c | 90.0 b | 31.9 a | 50.3 b | 5.7 a | 38.8 a | 0.78 a | 0.22 a |

* Means within the same column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly based on the least significant difference (LSD) test at \( p < 0.05 \).

3.2.2. Leaf and Root Phosphorus Content

Leaf and root P content of *R. picroides* and leaf P content of *T. officinale* increased with increasing NaCl concentration in the nutrient solution (Tables 3 and 4), whereas root P content of *T. officinale* was the lowest in treatment EC-6 (Table 4). Based on the recommended dietary allowances (RDA) for P (700 mg per day for adults) [79], the consumption of 100 g FW of *R. picroides* leaves can cover up to 36.5% of RDI when plants are grown under highest salinity (10 mS cm⁻¹), whereas 100 g of fresh *T. officinale* leaves can cover only 15.9% of RDI (plants grown at EC-10 treatment). In contrast to the findings of the present work, salinity decreased the concentration of P in tomato plant tissues [80], whereas other studies indicated that salinity either increased or had no effect on P uptake [81]. Moreover, plant tissue is also important, since according to Villora et al. [82], increased salinity resulted to P accumulation in zucchini leaves, while in fruit P accumulation differed among the different parts (pulp, skin and whole fruit). Finally, P availability is also a key factor, and Tang et al. [83] suggested that salinity affected differently maize plants depending on the available P amount, while P deficiency improved tolerance to salinity through the selective absorption of K and Na.
Table 4. Root nutrient concentrations at harvest date of *R. picroides* and *T. officinale* plants grown under different nutrient solution EC (2, 6 and 10 mS cm⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EC (dS m⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td><strong>% leaf DW</strong></td>
<td><strong>mg kg⁻¹ leaf DW</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reichardia picroides</strong></td>
<td><strong>Taraxacum officinale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.04 a *</td>
<td>5.98 b</td>
<td>0.37 a</td>
<td>0.17 b</td>
<td>0.19 a</td>
<td>221.8 a</td>
<td>60.3 a</td>
<td>84.2 b</td>
<td>15.1 a</td>
<td>14.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.46 b</td>
<td>5.35 a</td>
<td>0.33 a</td>
<td>0.15 ab</td>
<td>1.02 b</td>
<td>385.9 b</td>
<td>46.2 a</td>
<td>98.8 c</td>
<td>16.7 a</td>
<td>15.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.67 c</td>
<td>5.00 a</td>
<td>0.41 a</td>
<td>0.14 a</td>
<td>1.22 b</td>
<td>257.8 a</td>
<td>55.5 a</td>
<td>62.8 a</td>
<td>14.9 a</td>
<td>17.4 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means within the same column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly based on the least significant difference (LSD) test at \( p < 0.05 \).

3.2.3. Leaf and Root Potassium Content

In *R. picroides* plants, leaf K content decreased gradually with increasing salinity (Table 3), whereas K content in roots of the same species as well as K content in both tissues (leaves and roots) of *T. officinale* were significantly decreased at both salinity treatments compared to the control (Tables 3 and 4). Moreover, the reduction of K concentration in the leaves of both species was higher (36–45% in EC-6 and 46–48% in EC-10 treatments, compared to the control) in relation to that in the roots (10–24% in EC-6 and 16–31% in EC-10 treatments, compared to the control). This finding is in accordance with that of Pérez-Alfocea et al. [71], who reported decreased K levels in all tissues of tomato plants grown under salt stress, although the lowest relative reduction in K concentrations was found in the roots. Our results also indicate competition effects between Na⁺ and K⁺ ions which most likely share the same transport system at the root surface [84], an effect of Na⁺ on the K⁺ transport into the xylem, or indirect inhibition of the uptake process, i.e., through the H⁺-ATPase activity [85]. The role of K homeostasis in salt-tolerance mechanisms of salinized plants is highly recognized [86], due to the fact that high NaCl uptake competes with the uptake of other nutrient ions, especially K, resulting in growth and yield reduction of various crops [87–90]. Contrary to our results, Semiz et al. [91] did not find any effect of salinity on K and Mg concentrations in pepper leaves, whereas Assimakopoulou et al. [80] have reported increased leaf K, Ca and Mg concentrations in salt-treated tomato plants. According to Shahid et al. [92], the salinity-tolerance mechanisms are still highly controversial and are influenced by growth conditions, growth medium (soil or soilless culture), stress duration and plant genotype, among others. Regarding the nutritional value of edible leaves, the RDA values for potassium are 3400 and 2600 mg per day for adult males and females, respectively [93]. Considering the suggested values, the consumption of 100 g of fresh from either *R. picroides* or *T. officinale* plants grown at EC-2 treatment were the most nutritious, since they could cover up to approximately 19.0% and 25.0% of RDI for male and female adults, respectively.

3.2.4. Leaf and Root Calcium and Magnesium Content

In both species, leaf Ca and Mg contents were the highest in the EC-2 treatment (Table 3). On the other hand, no significant effects of salinity treatments were observed for root Ca content in both species (Table 4). According to Yu et al. [44], this finding could be due to plant adaptation to salinity stress through a flexible system of hormone regulation and/or through signaling via glycosyl inositol phosphorylceramide (GIPC) sphingolipids in the plasma membrane, which allow the sensing of Na⁺ in the apoplastic space and increasing of Ca²⁺ influx channels in plants. The exploration of potential Na⁺ receptors has undoubtedly provided new opportunities to the understanding of salt-stress perception by plants [94]. Similarly to our study, Bolarin et al. [95] reported slight changes in root Ca
and K of tomato plants grown under saline conditions. The retention of root Ca content under saline conditions at levels similar to control could also induce the retention of K, since the presence of Ca seems to be necessary for K-Na selectivity and for the retention of the required K content in plant cells [62,96]. Saline conditions reduced the nutritional value of edible leaves in the case of T. officinale, whereas increasing salinity increased Ca and Mg content in fresh leaves of R. picroides. According to the literature, the RDI values for Ca 1000 mg per day for male adults and between 1000 and 1200 mg per day for female adults [97]. Therefore, the consumption of 100 g of fresh edible leaves of R. picroides plants grown under high salinity can cover 12% and 10.0% of RDA values for male and female adults. In the case of T. officinale, leaves collected from plants grown under the EC-2 treatment can provide only 8% and 7% of daily requirements of male and female adults, respectively. Regarding the Mg, the allowance intake (AI) refers to 400–420 mg per day for male adults and to 310–320 mg per day for female adults [79]. Considering the results of our study, 100 g of fresh R. picroides leaves (grown at EC-10 treatment) can cover 10.4% and 13.7% of male and female adults, respectively, whereas T. officinale leaves (grown at EC-2 treatment) can cover only 4.3% and 5.8% of AI of male and female adults, respectively.

3.2.5. Leaf and Root Sodium and Leaf Chlorine Content

Na and Cl content increased with increasing salinity in both species, although no significant differences were observed between EC-2 and EC-6 treatments in the case of leaf Cl content of R. picroides, as well as between EC-6 and EC-10 treatments in root Cl content of the same species (Tables 3 and 4). Moreover, R. picroides plants under both salinity levels presented increased leaf Na$^+$ concentration by 6.6 and 7.3 times compared to the control; however, the relevant leaf Na$^+$ increase in T. officinale was much higher (by 41 and 79 times, respectively) (Table 3). Taking into consideration that the leaf Na content in R. picroides was equal to 3.7 g kg$^{-1}$ DW and the growth was unaffected in EC-6 treatment, whereas in T. officinale, the relevant leaf Na content was 2.0 g kg$^{-1}$ DW with severe effects on plant growth, and it could be suggested that T. officinale cannot tolerate Na accumulation in the leaves. According to the literature, the regulation of root-to-leaf Na and Cl transport is important for increased tolerance under saline conditions since it may affect photosynthetic activity [98], while the presence of ion specific transporters in cell membranes is pivotal in plant defense system against salinity [99].

Regarding the nutritional parameters of edible leaves, high intake of Na and Cl is associated with high blood pressure; therefore, AI values of 1500 mg per day and 3100 mg per day for male and female adults have been set for Na and Cl, respectively [93,100]. Based on that, high salinity (EC-10) results to final products that may significantly contribute to the overall daily intake of Na; therefore, excessive consumption should be avoided. In particular, the consumption of 100 g of fresh leaves accounts to 23.1% of Na and 5.0% of Cl of AI values in the case of T. officinale and 38.7% of Na and 7.7% Cl of AI in the case of R. picroides. This indicates that consumption of high amounts of the latter species should be avoided when plants are grown under high salinity.

3.2.6. Leaf K/Na and Ca/Na Ratios

The leaf K/Na and Ca/Na ratios of R. picroides and T. officinale decreased significantly in EC-6 and EC-10 treatments compared to control without significant differences with each other (Table 3). The K/Na ratio, which is widely used as a salinity tolerance predictor in many plant species, was found to be 10 times lower in EC-6 treatment and 14 times lower in EC-10 treatment compared to control in R. picroides, while in the case of T. officinale, the reduction was even higher (by 91 and 176 times in EC-6 EC-10 treatments, respectively). Similarly, a significant reduction was also observed in leaf Ca/Na ratio, namely 8 times lower in EC-6 and 10 times lower in EC-10 treatment compared to the control in the case of R. picroides and 62 times lower in EC-6 and 108 times in EC-10 treatment compared to the control in the case of T. officinale. These differences in K/Na and Ca/Na in the EC-2 treatment between the species are due to the very low content of Na in T. officinale,
which consequently results in considerably higher $K/Na$ and $Ca/Na$ values. These findings indicate the differences in salt tolerance between the studied species, since the high $K^+/Na^+$ ratio in cytosol is associated with high salinity tolerance [101], which was the case for *R. picroides* in our study. Moreover, the present results are in agreement with previous reports [3,70], while Pérez-Alfocea et al. [71] also suggested that high values of $K/Na$ and $Ca/Na$ ratios indicate an equilibrium of nutrients more similar to the nonsalinized plants.

3.2.7. Leaf and Root Micronutrients Content

In the case of *R. picroides*, leaf Fe and Cu as well as root Mn, Cu and B contents were not significantly affected by salinity treatments (Tables 3 and 4). On the other hand, the highest content of leaf Mn, Zn and B was the highest for the highest salinity level (EC-10), while Fe and Zn content in roots was the highest at moderate salinity levels (EC-6) (Table 4). Regarding *T. officinale* plants, Mn, Cu and B content in leaves as well as leaf Fe, Mn and Zn content were not affected by salinity treatments, while increasing trends with increasing salinity were observed in the case of leaf Fe and Zn content (Tables 3 and 4). Finally, Cu content in roots was significantly reduced under saline conditions compared to the control treatment, whereas the highest content of B was observed in the EC-6 treatment (Table 4). According to Bingham et al. [102], no significant effects of salinity on Cu content were observed in wheat plants, while Hasana et al. [103] reported a varied response for different micronutrients content under salinity stress in maize plants. Contrary to our results, a reduced Mn uptake with salinity had been reported in corn [104,105], while Shibli et al. [106] mentioned that leaf Fe, B, Zn, Mn and Cu content decreased with elevated salinity. Regarding the Fe uptake in plants under salinity, inconsistent results are reported as salinity increased or decreased leaf Fe content in red lettuce [107] and tomato plants [107], respectively.

Comparing leaf Zn content between the two plant species under the same salinity level, leaf Zn concentration in *R. picroides* under EC-6 and EC-10 was increased by 75% and 101%, respectively, whereas in *T. officinale* the increase was only 17% and 29% compared to the control (Table 3). According to Rahman et al. [104] salinity increased Zn content in corn shoots, while the increase in zinc content via foliar spraying alleviated salinity stress effects in pak choi plants through the decrease in oxidative damage [108]. The importance of increased Zn concentration on plants’ adaptation to salinity stress could also be related to the role of zinc in auxin biosynthesis, as phytohormones under salinity stress play a crucial role in modulating plant physiological responses [73]. In addition, Zn is required for scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are produced under salinity stress [92,109].

Regarding the intake of the tested micronutrients on a daily basis, different thresholds have been set. In particular, RDI values of 8 mg per day (male and female adults) have been suggested for Fe, 8–11 mg per day for Zn (female and male adults, respectively), and 900 mg per day for Cu (male and female adults) [97]. In the case of Mn, an AI value of 2.3 mg per day (male and female adults) has been suggested, while for B the tolerable upper intake level (UL) has been set to 20 mg per day (male and female adults) [97]. The results of our study show that the consumption of 100 g of fresh leaves of both species does not significantly contribute to the overall daily intake for most of the micronutrients, especially in the case of B, Zn and Cu. However, Fe intake accounts for 13.0% in the case of *R. picroides* (EC-10 treatment) and for 6.9% of RDI in the case of *T. officinale* (EC-2 treatment), while the intake of Mn is even higher (16.0% and 28.7% of AI values for *R. picroides* and *T. officinale* plants grown at EC-10 treatment, respectively).

3.2.8. Proline Content

Regardless of salt treatment, *R. picroides* and *T. officinale* plants accumulated higher amounts of proline compared to control, although no significant differences were observed between the control and the EC-6 treatment (Figure 1). Given that proline is an important osmolyte for the osmotic adjustment under salinity stress conditions [110], the salt tolerance
of *R. picroides* based on the recorded growth results could be attributed to the induction of proline biosynthesis, especially at the highest salinity level (EC-10), where an increase by 127.7% was observed. Moreover, *R. picroides* contained higher amounts of proline compared to *T. officinale* in all the studied treatments, which also indicates the better adaptation of the species under saline conditions compared to *T. officinale*. On the other hand, the increase in proline content in *T. officinale* was 1089.5 times higher than the control treatment, a finding which probably indicates that accumulation of proline indicates a symptom of salt injury instead of salt tolerance of the species [111,112]. Moreover, the increased biosynthetic rate of proline in *T. officinale* highlights the high energy expenditure for the alleviation of salt stress and the deficit of energy for biomass production, as indicated by the limited growth of plants under saline conditions [112,113].

As mentioned before, in both species, proline content in EC-6 treatment did not differ from the control; however, the increase in *T. officinale* was more profound than that in *R. picroides*, a finding which is in agreement with the results of Wu et al. [45], who reported that *Taraxacum erythropodium* plants exhibited a rapid response to the increased salinity by increasing leaf proline content. Similar results were reported by Slabbert and Krüger [115] for *Amaranthus* sp., Xue et al. [113] for *Brassica napus* and Saleh [116] for *Vigna radiata* plants.

3.3. Chemical Composition

The studied EC treatments had no effect on chlorophylls (a, b and total), carotenoids+ xanthophylls of leaves in both species (Table 5). In general, the effect of salinity on the
content and function of photosynthetic pigments in green vegetables is related to the salt tolerance of the species, the severity of the stress, the plant growth stage, the duration and the method of stress application [117]. In agreement to our results, the photosynthetic pigments content in Cichorium spinosum leaves was not affected by 20 and 40 mM NaCl [118], whereas total chlorophyll content of Taraxacum erythrophorum decreased when soil salt content exceeded 0.4% [45]. The fact that the chlorophyll content was not affected even when both plants were grown under high salinity (EC-10) indicates that despite the reduced plant growth, the harvested leaves retained their greenness and thus their visual quality [119,120]. Total soluble solids content (TSSC) of leaves was also not affected by salinity in both species, whereas titratable acidity (TA) increased with increasing salinity, particularly in EC-10 treatment (Table 5). It is well established that mild salt stress (salinity eustress) may favor both TSSC and TA in fruit vegetables, apart from other flavor and taste characteristics [121]. However, in leafy greens, salinity has been proven either beneficial (e.g., in lettuce, in combination with elevated CO₂ [122]) or detrimental (e.g., spiny chicory [25]) in regards to sugar accumulation. On the other hand, the observed elevated titratable acidity could be partly attributed to the enhanced biosynthesis of organic acids in plants grown under EC-10 in order to counteract the excess of cations in relation to anions [123] or to concentration effects due to the increasing DMC with increasing salinity (see Table 1).

Table 5. Chlorophyll (a, b and total), carotenoids+xanthophylls, total phenolics, total soluble solids content (TSSC), titratable acidity (TA) and leaf nitrate content of Reichardia picroides and Taraxacum officinale grown under different nutrient solution EC (2, 6 and 10 mS cm⁻¹).

| Nutrient Solution EC Chlorophyll a Chlorophyll b Total Chlorophyll Carotenoids+ Xanthophylls Total Phenolics TSSC TA Nitrate Content |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| EC (dS m⁻¹)      | mg/100 g leaf FW | mg GAE/100 g leaf FW | ° Brix | g malic acid/100 g leaf FW | mg/kg leaf FW |
| Reichardia picroides |                 |                     |       |                           |            |
| 2                | 41.0 a *         | 18.7 a              | 59.6 a | 7.08 a                     | 113.6 b     | 5.00 a          | 0.16 a          | 5509.8 ab         |
| 6                | 41.6 a           | 19.0 a              | 60.6 a | 7.21 a                     | 100.9 b     | 4.58 a          | 0.17 a          | 6877.6 b          |
| 10               | 41.4 a           | 18.3 a              | 59.7 a | 7.01 a                     | 62.2 a      | 4.73 a          | 0.22 b          | 4586.9 a          |
| Taraxacum officinale |                |                     |       |                           |            |
| 2                | 77.7 a           | 38.2 a              | 115.9 a| 12.3 a                     | 79.6 a      | 5.50 a          | 0.16 a          | 2410.5 b          |
| 6                | 82.4 a           | 39.6 a              | 122.0 a| 13.2 a                     | 91.6 a      | 6.10 a          | 0.20 ab         | 885.7 a           |
| 10               | 87.0 a           | 43.0 a              | 130.0 a| 13.5 a                     | 95.8 a      | 5.85 a          | 0.22 b          | 597.7 a           |

* Means within the same column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly based on the least significant difference (LSD) test at p < 0.05.

Regarding total phenolics content (TPC), contrasting effects of salinity treatments were observed in the studied species. In particular, TPC was not significantly affected in the case of T. officinale, whereas a significant decrease (by 45.2%) was observed for the EC-10 treatment in R. picroides plants. According to the literature, abiotic stress factors such as salinity may induce the biosynthesis of phenolic compounds content in various species, including wild and commercially cultivated leafy vegetables [124–126]. However, this is not always the case, and decreasing trends of TPC content have also been recorded under saline conditions, as for example in romaine lettuce following a long-term mild salt stress (5 mM NaCl) [127], or in green and red baby lettuce under 10 mM NaCl [107]. Moreover, salinity was not beneficial for biosynthesis of phenolic compounds, as shown by Kim et al. [127], in green and red baby lettuce under 10 mM NaCl, or in spiny chicory under 8 dS m⁻¹ [25].

The leaf nitrate content in R. picroides plants was the highest for the EC-6 treatment without being significantly different from the control treatment, while the lowest content was recorded for the EC-10 treatment (Table 5). On the other hand, nitrate content was significantly reduced when plants were subjected to EC-6 or EC-10 treatments. The observed trends for nitrates content follow the pattern of organic acids content, since according to Gent [128], organic acids and nitrates content are inversely related. Moreover, according
to Bonasia et al. [129] and Cantabella et al. [130], the decrease in nitrates content could be related to the competitive effects of Cl\(^-\) on NO\(_3^-\), which may inhibit nitrates accumulation in plant tissues under saline conditions. As nitrates are considered an important antinutrient factor in leafy vegetables [131], the reduction of leaf nitrates content under the EC-10 in *R. picroides* or EC-6 in *T. officinale* is of high importance for the commercial cultivation of these species in saline areas, due to the compensation of yield loss by the production of a safer produce. Moreover, the decrease in nitrates was more profound in the case of *T. officinale* under the EC-6 and EC-10 treatments compared to control (reduced by 63% and 75%, respectively), while in *R. picroides* the decrease for the EC-10 treatment was 17% (Table 5). These findings are in accordance with those reported by Kafkafi et al. [132], who suggested that in tomato and melon plants, salt-tolerant genotypes exhibited higher nitrate influx rates than the more sensitive ones. Moreover, the dramatic decrease in plant growth in *T. officinale* under saline treatments could be related to the severe limitations in water uptake as that species showed significant growth decrease in combination with significant increased DMC. On the contrary, plant growth of *R. picroides* was unaffected and was followed by lower DMC under the EC-6 treatment (Tables 1 and 2). These findings are in accordance with those of Abdelgadir et al. [133], who suggested that the inhibition of nitrates absorption by tomato plants was more strongly related to the reduced water uptake than to Cl\(^-\) antagonism from salt stress. For the same reason, the uptake of nitrates by *R. picroides* was not hindered by salinity up to EC-6 as the water uptake and growth of the species was found to be unaffected despite the high presence of NaCl in nutrient solution.

3.4. Correlations

Most of plant growth parameters of *T. officinale* (i.e., the total plant FW, the upper plant part FW, the root FW, the marketable leaf FW, the leaf number per plant, the rosette diameter, the maximum leaf length and the maximum leaf width) were found to be significantly positively correlated with the leaf nitrate concentration, root P, leaf and root K, leaf Ca, leaf Mg, leaf K/Na and Ca/Na ratios, root Zn and root Cu but significantly negatively correlated with leaf and root Na concentrations (see Supplementary Material Table S1). In particular, the correlation coefficients between the rosette diameter, maximum leaf length and maximum leaf width of *T. officinale* with leaf Na concentration were \(r = -0.81\), \(r = -0.80\) and \(r = -0.78\), respectively, whereas the relevant correlation coefficients with leaf K were \(r = 0.99\), \(r = 0.99\) and \(r = 0.98\). By contrast, the determined growth parameters of *R. picroides* were not significantly correlated with the majority of minerals content. Significant correlations were detected mainly between the rosette diameter (and the maximum leaf length) of the species with the leaf N (\(r = -0.79\)), P (\(r = -0.85\)), K (\(r = 0.70\)), Na (\(r = -0.68\)), Mn (\(r = -0.74\)), Zn (\(r = -0.77\)) and B (\(r = 0.78\)), root K (\(r = 0.64\)) and root Na (\(r = -0.79\)), as well as with proline (\(r = -0.88\)) and malic acid contents (\(r = -0.81\)). Significant correlations between plant growth parameters and ion contents under salinity have been indicated by several researchers [71,110,134], while Bosiacka et al. [47] reported that the strongest correlations were found between soil salinity and the leaf Na, Mn, Ca, Fe, K and Zn content of three *Taraxacum* microspecies.

4. Conclusions

The wild edible greens *R. picroides* and *T. officinale* tested in the present study responded differently to salinity treatments indicating different tolerance mechanisms. In particular, plant growth of *R. picroides* was negatively affected only when grown under nutrient solution with EC values equal to 10 mS cm\(^{-1}\) (EC-10 treatment), whereas *T. officinale* was more sensitive and plant growth rapidly decreased when EC increased at 6 mS cm\(^{-1}\). The leaf and root Na and Cl concentration changes under salinity could partially explain the aforementioned salt-tolerance differentiation between the two species as the more salt-tolerant *R. picroides* accumulated more Cl and Na in the leaves as compared to the sensitive *T. officinale*. Therefore, the higher salt tolerance of *R. picroides* could be due to its ability to develop a better adaptation mechanism of water uptake, to effectively accumulate...
osmolytes such as proline and to keep high shoot K probably through a more efficient K/Na selectivity, in combination with an increased Zn uptake ability under salinity stress. Moreover, the studied species differed in the contribution of secondary metabolites such as phenolic compounds to the overall antioxidant mechanism, since it seems that in *R. picroides*, phenolic compounds have an important role in plant defense against abiotic stressors, whereas in *T. officinale*, no such effect was observed. In conclusion, the response of *R. picroides* to moderate and high salinity (EC-6 and EC-10) is of great importance for its commercial exploitation under saline soils or in regions where irrigation water is of low quality. However, the ability of the species to adapt to saline conditions that are unsuitable for most leafy greens as well as the relevant adaptation mechanisms should be further studied.
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