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Abstract: A method was developed to integrate the truncated power-law distribution of solid volumetric fraction into the widely used Kozeny–Carman (KC)-type equations to assess the potential uncertainty of permeability. The focus was on the heterogeneity of porosity (or solid volumetric fraction) in the KC equation. The truncated power-law distribution simulates a heterogeneous scenario in which the solid volumetric fraction varies over different portions of porous media, which is treated as stationary, so its spatial mean can be replaced by the ensemble mean. The model was first compared with the experimental results of 44 samples from the literature and a recent model of KC equation modification that targets the coefficients in the equation. The effects of the fractal dimension of characteristic length of the solid volumetric fraction on the mean and standard deviation of permeability are calculated and discussed. The comparison demonstrates that the heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction can have similar effects as adjusting the empirical constant in the KC equation. A narrow range smaller than mean ± standard deviation from the model agreed with the experimental data well. Incorporating the truncated power-law distribution into the classical KC model predicts a high mean permeability and uncertainty. Both the mean and standard deviation of the permeability decrease with an increasing fractal dimension.
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1. Introduction

The permeability of porous media is a crucial parameter to understand fluid flow in various materials. A widely used equation to predict the permeability of porous media was developed by Kozeny and later modified by Carman, which is now the Kozeny–Carman (KC) equation [1–3] for estimating the permeability of porous materials. The KC equation or its variants have been used for many different types of porous materials [4–16].

The KC equation is a semi-empirical formula involving potentially large uncertainty [10,17,18]. Due to its significance in science and engineering fields, from industrial manufacturing processes to groundwater flows, many semi-empirical corrections and extensions of the KC equation have been developed [12,19–24] to improve the prediction of the permeability and/or hydraulic conductivity of various porous materials.

Rodriguez et al. [25] investigated the permeability–porosity relationship for glass and fiber mats. The experimental data were fitted by the Kozeny–Carman equation with two parameters of the Kozeny constant and the exponent of the porosity. Khabbazi et al. [26] employed a lattice Boltzmann (LB) modeling approach to compute the permeability of two periodic porous structures of cylinders and spheres and identified functional forms of the Kozeny–Carman constant by regression analysis. They demonstrated that the algebraic function for the Kozeny–Carman constant produced the most accurate estimation of the KC porosity–permeability relationship. Nomura et al. [27] illustrated that the specific surface area could be derived from the semilog–sigmoid function of particle size distribution. The modified KC equation was then extended in terms of uniformity and sorting coefficients for
its use in engineering applications. Safari et al. [28] developed a mathematical equation to modify the porosity–permeability relationship in rocks with ellipsoidal grains and validated it by pore-scale modeling. The results demonstrated that deviations in the sphericity of the grains increased the permeability.

Fractal geometry theory has been used to study physical properties of disordered porous materials in various areas of science and engineering applications [29–37]. Bayles et al. [6] applied fractal mathematics to develop a modified KC equation to predict permeability from particle size distribution alone and brought new insight into the dependence of permeability on porosity and tortuosity. Costa [10] applied the classical KC equation and the assumption of fractal pore space geometry to develop the permeability–porosity equation, which contained the two fitting parameters of a Kozeny coefficient and a fractal exponent. Xu and Yu [38] improved the classical KC equation according to the two-dimensional fractal capillary bundle model. The proposed equation was expressed as a function of porosity, fractal dimensions, and maximum pore size, and was found to be more closely related to the microstructures (porosity, maximum pore size, and fractal dimensions) compared to those obtained from the original conventional models. Yu et al. [39] derived the specific surface areas of fractal porous media in two and three dimensions in relation to the fractal dimensions and fundamental microstructural parameters. Xiao et al. [40] developed a fractal solution for the KC constant and the permeability of fibrous porous media and verified the model with the analytical solution, numerical simulation, and experimental data found in the literature. The developed fractal model explicitly related the permeability and the KC constant to the microstructural parameters of the fibrous porous media.

In summary, the KC equation has been widely used to estimate porous media permeability, and many modifications/extensions have been developed for various porous materials. However, effective practical applications of the equation require accurate measurements of the specific surface area, which involve high uncertainty. Many other previous studies mostly focused on the quantification and modification of empirical constants embedded in the KC model, focusing on the fact that the KC constant is not actually a constant but a varying function of microstructural properties. Numerous previous studies of fractal models introduced and applied the fractal dimensions of various porous media properties [41]. The fractal dimensions commonly used in characterizing porous media include those of pore surface [42], pore volume [43], pore tortuosity [44], void [45], fracture surface [46], crack [47], and particle distribution [48], among others. Since porosity is the predominant factor in estimating porous media permeability in many practical applications according to the KC model and its variants, it is logical to investigate the effects of uncertainty related to porosity. As discussed, previous studies treated various variables as fractals, including pore volume, tortuosity, and particle distribution, among others. Because the porosity is complementary to the solid volumetric fraction, as the summation of them is equal to one, the main objective of this study was to investigate the direct impact of the fractal feature of the solid volumetric fraction on porous media permeability.

In the present study, a new approach was developed to treat the total solid volumetric fraction of porous media as a truncated power-law distribution, which was then combined with the KC equation to examine the potential uncertainty in the permeability prediction. While it is natural to assume that the permeability of porous media is related to the porosity, it is not easy to develop the appropriate relationship, since this would require an extensive knowledge of the spatial arrangement of the pore channels and their size distribution in porous media. Therefore, the focus of this study was on the uncertainty from the portion in the KC model that is related to the porosity (or solid volumetric fraction). This type of heterogeneous spatial distribution of the solid volumetric fraction simulates the scenario in which, in part of the porous media, the solid volumetric fraction is higher or lower than the other locations. The porous media are treated as stationary, so the mean of spatial heterogeneity can be replaced by the ensemble mean. The developed model was first compared with a few sets of experimental data and a recent model of KC equation modification that targets the coefficients in the KC-type equation from the literature. Then,
the effects of fractal dimension and solid volumetric fraction on the mean and standard deviation are then determined and discussed.

2. Theory and Methods

In the KC model, the hydraulic diameter is related to the porosity and specific surface area, and the permeability is expressed as follows:

\[ K = \frac{d^2 e^3}{C(1 - e)^2} = \frac{d^2 (1 - c)^3}{Cc^2} \]  

where \( K \) is the permeability, \( d \) is an effective diameter of solid particles, \( e \) is the porosity, \( c \) is the solid volumetric fraction (= 1 – \( e \)), and \( C \) is the empirically determined dimensionless constant representing both the flow path tortuosity and the shape factor, as well as many other factors. For random packed beds of spherical particles, the value of \( C \) could be approximated as \( C = 180 \). The model was originally developed mainly for isotropic, granular porous media of spherical particles at medium porosity and has been expanded and modified in applications to many other different types of porous materials.

Since the focus of the present study was on the effect of heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction (or porosity), a dimensionless permeability \( k \) can be defined from normalizing \( K \) in Equation (1) by \( d^2 / C \):

\[ k = \frac{CK}{d^2} = \frac{(1 - c)^3}{c^2} \]  

Recently, Ye et al. [12] modified the \( C \) coefficient in the KC equation by also considering particle size distribution parameters. In particular, their model also included the uniformity coefficient \( C_u \) and the coefficient of curvature \( C_c \) to estimate the hydraulic conductivity \( K_s \):

\[ K_s = \frac{\rho g (C_u + C_c) d_{10} \mu}{\mu C_2} \]  

where \( \rho \) is the density of water, \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity of water, \( C_2 \) is an empirical fitting parameter, and \( d_{10} \) is the effective particle size, with 10% of particles being finer by weight. By matching Equation (3) to several experimental datasets compiled in their study, Ye et al. [12] suggested an optimal range for the fitting parameter values of \( C_2 \) between 1500 and 2500.

The truncated power-law distribution is used to directly describe the spatial heterogeneity of the solid volumetric fraction in porous media. The focus was on the dimensionless permeability \( k \) that is only related to the solid volumetric fraction in the general KC equation of permeability. Even with similar particle size distribution statistics, such as \( C_u \) and \( C_c \), the porosity (or solid volumetric fraction) can exhibit strong spatial heterogeneity. The effect of this type of heterogeneity on the uncertainty of permeability estimation was the main objective of this study. After the model was developed, we compared the model prediction with Equation (3) and five experimental datasets found from the literature. Ye et al. [12] concluded that by adjusting the fitting parameter \( C_2 \), Equation (3) could reasonably match the experimental data. In general, there have been many studies to adjust the empirical coefficient in the KC-type models to match experimental results. The goal of this study was to investigate whether strong spatial heterogeneity of solid volumetric fraction represented by a truncated power-law distribution may affect the permeability prediction. In particular, the model was compared with that in Ye et al. [12] in relation to the same sets of experimental data.

With the heterogeneity being taken into account, the solid volumetric fraction \( c \) varies spatially and can be assumed to follow the following truncated power-law distribution [49–51]:

\[ N(\chi \geq c) = (c_u / c)^{D/3} \]  

(4)
where \( c_d \) is the maximum solid volumetric fraction, and \( D \) is the fractal dimension of characteristic length of the solid volumetric fraction. In this study, we approximated the solid volumetric fraction as a truncated power-law distribution in which the minimum solid volumetric fraction is \( c = c_i \) in practical science and engineering problems, which means the range of the solid volumetric fraction in heterogeneous porous media could vary from \( c_i \) to \( c_d \).

To develop analytical solutions of mean dimensionless permeability and its standard deviation, the following parameters related to the truncated power-law distribution parameters of solid volumetric fraction (i.e., \( D \) and \( c_d / c_i \)) are first defined in Equation (5) through (7) below. The sole purpose of first defining these parameters is to simplify the mathematical expressions of mean and standard deviation of dimensionless permeability in the subsequent derivations.

\[
D_1 = \frac{D}{D + 12}, \quad D_2 = \frac{D}{D + 9}, \quad D_3 = \frac{D}{D + 6}, \quad D_4 = \frac{D}{D + 3}, \quad D_5 = \frac{D}{3 - D}, \quad D_6 = \frac{D}{6 - D} \tag{5}
\]

\[
T_1 = 1 - \left( \frac{c_i}{c_d} \right)^{\frac{D+12}{D}}, \quad T_2 = 1 - \left( \frac{c_i}{c_d} \right)^{\frac{D+9}{D}}, \quad T_3 = 1 - \left( \frac{c_i}{c_d} \right)^{\frac{D+6}{D}}, \quad T_4 = 1 - \left( \frac{c_i}{c_d} \right)^{\frac{D+3}{D}} \tag{6}
\]

\[
T_5 = 1 - \left( \frac{c_i}{c_d} \right)^\frac{D}{3}, \quad T_6 = 1 - \left( \frac{c_i}{c_d} \right)^\frac{3-D}{3}, \quad T_7 = 1 - \left( \frac{c_i}{c_d} \right)^{\frac{6-D}{3}} \tag{7}
\]

With the definition of the parameters in Equations (5)–(7), the probability density function of the truncated power-law distribution of the solid volumetric fraction \( c \) can be determined from Equation (4) as:

\[
f(c) = \frac{Dc^{D/3}e^{-(D/3+1)}}{3T_5} \tag{8}
\]

From the truncated power-law distribution in Equation (8) for the solid volumetric fraction, the mean solid volumetric fraction \( c^* \) and the ratio of \( c^* \) over \( c_d \) in the heterogeneous porous media can then be integrated as, respectively:

\[
c^* = \int_{c_i}^{c_d} cf(c)dc = \frac{D_5c_iT_6}{T_5(1 - T_6)} \tag{9}
\]

\[
\frac{c^*}{c_d} = \frac{D_5\left(\frac{c_d}{c_i}\right)^{-D/3} - \left(\frac{c_d}{c_i}\right)^{-1}}{T_5} \tag{10}
\]

The solid volumetric fraction range ratio \( c_d / c_i \) can then be iteratively determined from the constraint specified in Equation (10) with the given values of \( c^*/c_d \) and the fractal dimension \( D \).

After determining the power-law distribution parameters \( c_d / c_i \) and \( c_i \), the variance \( s_c^2 \) and standard deviation \( s_c \) of the solid volumetric fraction can be determined as follows, respectively:

\[
s_c^2 = \left( \frac{c^*}{c_d} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{c^*}{c_d} \right)^2 = \frac{D_5c_i^2T_7}{T_5(1 - T_7)} - \frac{D_5T_6^2}{T_5^2(1 - T_6)^2} \tag{11}
\]

\[
s_c = c_i \left[ \frac{D_5T_7}{T_5(1 - T_7)} - \frac{D_5T_6^2}{T_5^2(1 - T_6)^2} \right]^{1/2} \tag{12}
\]

In Equation (11), the subscript * denotes the mean operator.
When the truncated power-law distribution of $c$ is given, the mean dimensionless permeability $k^*$ and the mean of $k^2$, $(k^2)^*$, can be determined from integrating the truncated power-law distribution of the solid volumetric fraction as follows, respectively:

$$k^* = \int_{c_1}^{c_4} kf(c)dc$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

$$\left(k^2\right)^* = \int_{c_1}^{c_4} k^2 f(c)dc$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

Substituting the expression of the KC equation in Equation (2) and the truncated power-law distribution of the solid volumetric fraction $f(c)$ in Equation (8), integrating and simplifying them, one can obtain the following mean expressions of $k^*$ and $(k^2)^*$, respectively:

$$k^* = 3 + \frac{D_3 T_3}{T_5 c_i^2} - \frac{3D_4 T_4}{T_5 c_i} - \frac{c_i D_5 T_6}{T_5(1 - T_6)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

$$\left(k^2\right)^* = 15 + \frac{D_1 T_1}{T_5 c_i} + \frac{15D_3 T_3}{T_5 c_i^2} + \frac{c_i^2 D_6 T_7}{T_5(1 - T_7)} - \frac{6D_2 T_2}{T_5 c_i} - \frac{20D_4 T_4}{T_5 c_i} - \frac{6c_i D_5 T_6}{T_5(1 - T_6)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

The variance of the dimensionless permeability $k$ can then be determined by the following relationship:

$$s^2 = \left(k^2\right)^* - (k^*)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

After substituting the expressions in Equations (15) and (16) into Equation (17), one has the following variance $s^2$ and standard deviation $s$ of the dimensionless permeability, respectively:

$$s^2 = A - B$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

$$s = (A - B)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

where $A$ and $B$ in the above equations are related to the various truncated power-law distribution parameters as, respectively:

$$A = 6 + \frac{D_1 T_1}{T_5 c_i} + \frac{9D_3 T_3}{T_5 c_i^2} + \frac{c_i^2 D_6 T_7}{T_5(1 - T_7)} + \frac{6D_2 T_2}{T_5 c_i} + \frac{20D_4 T_4}{T_5 c_i} + \frac{2D_3 D_5 T_6}{T_5(1 - T_6)c_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

$$B = \frac{6D_2 T_2}{T_5 c_i} + \frac{2D_4 T_4}{T_5 c_i} + \frac{D_2 T_2}{T_5 c_i^2} + \frac{9D_3 T_3}{T_5 c_i^2} + \frac{6D_2 T_2}{T_5(1 - T_6)c_i} + \frac{c_i^2 D_6 T_7^2}{T_5^2(1 - T_6)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

In the following section, the developed model in the present study is compared with five experimental datasets of saturated hydraulic conductivity $K_s$ found in the literature. In a recent study, Ye et al. [12] compiled several datasets of $K_s$ measurements of sand-gravel mixture, which were listed in their Table 2 [12]. We first compared the present model with these datasets and the modified KC model by Ye et al. [12]. The statistical criteria to quantitatively assess the goodness of fit with the experimental results include the bias ($\text{Bias}$), the root mean square error (RMSE), and the Nash–Sutcliffe model efficiency coefficient (NSE), defined as follows:

$$\text{Bias} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (K_{si} - K_{sei})$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (K_{si} - K_{sei})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

$$\text{NSE} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} (K_{si} - K_{sei})^2}{\sum_{m=1}^{M} (K_{sei} - K_{sei})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

In Equations (22) through (24), $K_{si}$ and $K_{sei}$ are the saturated hydraulic conductivity estimated by the model and the observed saturated hydraulic conductivity from experimental
data point i with the given porosity, respectively; \( \overline{K_s} \) is the mean of the observed saturated hydraulic conductivity; and \( M \) is the total number of data points. The experimental datasets were extracted from Table 2 of Ye et al. [12].

3. Results and Discussion

The comparison with the saturated hydraulic conductivity experimental data that were compiled from five different sources by Ye et al. [12] in the literature is shown in Figure 1. The model results of Ye et al. [12] when the \( C_2 \) values were 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 are also included in Figure 1, which was the same range of \( C_2 \) in Ye et al. [12] in their trial-and-error process to determine the optimal \( C_2 \) to best fit the experimental data. Overall, when \( C_2 \) increased from 1000 to 4000, the modified KC model by Ye et al. [12] evolved from over-prediction to under-prediction, as seen from the scatterplots from Figure 1a–d. The mean saturated hydraulic conductivity by the present model also changed from over-prediction to under-prediction when \( C_2 \) varied from 1000 to 4000.

\[
\text{NSE} = 1 - \frac{\sum (K_{si} - K_{sei})^2}{M} = \frac{1}{M} \sum \left( K_{sei} - \overline{K_s} \right)^2
\]

where \( K_{si} \) is the saturated hydraulic conductivity estimated by the model and the observed saturated hydraulic conductivity from experimental data point i with the given porosity, respectively; \( K_{sei} \) is the mean of the observed saturated hydraulic conductivity; and \( M \) is the total number of data points. The experimental datasets were extracted from Table 2 of Ye et al. [12].

For a three-dimensional fractal system, the fractal dimension \( D \) is typically from 2 to 3, and the potential range of \( c_a \) may vary from \( c^* \) to 1. We used a \( D \) value of 2.5 and \( c_a = 0.8 \) for comparison, as shown in Figure 1. The comparison of statistical measures between the modified KC model by Ye et al. [12] and the present model is shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Comparison of statistical measures between the modified KC model in [12] and the present model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_2$ (cm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Modified KC Model $K_s^* - 0.7s$</th>
<th>Modified KC Model $K_s^* - 0.3s$</th>
<th>Modified KC Model $K_s^* + 0.1s$</th>
<th>Modified KC Model $K_s^* + 0.4s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bias (cm s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0413</td>
<td>−0.0288</td>
<td>−0.00473</td>
<td>−0.0521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE (cm s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.0893</td>
<td>0.0964</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSE</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depending on the $C_2$ value, the mean $K_s$ from the truncated power-law distribution may either over-predict or under-predict the experimental results. When $C_2$ is small, the mean $K_s$ needs to be subtracted by a fraction of the standard deviation to best fit the experimental data. On the other hand, if $C_2$ is large, a fraction of the standard deviation needs to be added to the mean $K_s$ to best capture the variation of experimental data. Therefore, if the potential uncertainty due to the heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction is taken into account by adjusting the mean prediction from the present study by the potential uncertainty, the present model can better match the experimental data regardless of the values of $C_2$. Experimental data have significant scatters, which highlight the uncertainty in estimating $K_s$ of porous media. While adjusting the empirical correction factor in Ye et al. [12] can better describe experimental data to a certain extent, the uncertainty could still be very large. The truncated power-law distribution is intended for potential maximum uncertainty. As a result, the standard deviation can be larger than the mean, signifying its significant uncertainty extent.

While the comparison was particularly made against the fitting parameters of the KC model, using the same sets of experimental data, the conclusion should also hold in general cases that strong spatial heterogeneity of solid volumetric fraction could affect the permeability prediction. The uncertainty due to the spatial heterogeneity can also exert similar effects as adjusting empirical constants in the KC equation on predicting the permeability of porous media.

Figure 2 shows the potential range of concentration $c_a/c_i$ in relation to the porosity at a few values of the fractal dimension $D$ and maximum concentration $c_a$. While there are two parameters in the truncated power-law distribution, the constraint requires $D$ and $c_a/c_i$ to be related to each other for any given porosity (or solid volumetric fraction). The required range of $c_a/c_i$ increases dramatically as the porosity $e$ increases, which is due to the required decrease in $c_i$ as $e$ increases. Note that a large $e$ value translates into a small $c$ value, as they are simply related by $c = 1 - e$. If the mean $c$ is small, then the minimum $c$ should be also small enough to bring the overall mean $c$ down to the constrained small $c$ value. For the effect on the dimensionless permeability $k$, this dramatically increased $c_a/c_i$ also significantly increases its uncertainty, reflected by its standard deviation. A larger fractal dimension $D$ indicates a narrower range of $c_a/c_i$. For a larger $D$ value, the fractal system is more space-filled, which also means the potential range of solid volumetric fraction variability should also be smaller. On the other hand, a larger $c_a$ obviously allows a larger range for potential concentration variability, which requires $c_a/c_i$ to be also larger, as seen in Figure 2.
The difference between the predicted mean dimensionless permeability and the deterministic KC equation is significantly higher than that predicted by the deterministic KC equation. The KC model indicates that the permeability increases non-linearly but very quickly with increasing porosity; therefore, on the high end of porosity (or the low end of solid volumetric fraction), the dimensionless permeability is higher than that predicted by the deterministic KC model.

Figure 2. The ratio of maximum solid volumetric fraction $c_d$ over minimum solid volumetric fraction $c_i (c_d/c_i)$ of the truncated power-law distribution in relation to the porosity and fractal parameters.

The influence of porosity on the dimensionless permeability, with a few selected values of the fractal parameters, is shown in Figure 3. When the heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction is incorporated into the widely used KC model, the mean prediction of dimensionless permeability is higher than that predicted by the deterministic KC model. The difference between the predicted mean dimensionless permeability and the deterministic prediction by the KC model increases quickly as the porosity $e$ increases. With the truncated power-law distribution, the low solid volumetric fraction regions are clustered, which means the high porosity regions are also clusters. The KC model indicates that the permeability increases non-linearly but very quickly with increasing porosity; therefore, on the high end of porosity (or the low end of solid volumetric fraction), the dimensionless permeability is significantly higher than that predicted by the deterministic KC equation. For a higher $c_d$ and lower $D$, the mean dimensionless permeability is also higher, as seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3. The mean dimensionless permeability $k^*$ versus the porosity $e$ for a few selected values of the fractal parameters. The $k$ result from the KC equation is also plotted for comparison.
The corresponding results of $k$ standard deviation are shown in Figure 4 under the otherwise same conditions as those in Figure 3. The variation of the standard deviation exhibited a similar trend as the dimensionless permeability. The standard deviation also increased significantly with the increase in the porosity. This trend was also reflected by the increase in $c_d/c_i$ with an increasing $e$, which meant increasing uncertainty near the high range of porosity. This phenomenon is a general feature of a power-law distribution, in which most of the heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction clusters near its low range. The fractal dimension $D$ also impacts the uncertainty of the dimensionless permeability $k$. For a smaller fractal dimension $D$, the heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction is less space-filled, and the range of potential concentration variation is higher, which translates into a lower permeability uncertainty (standard deviation). On the other hand, a high $c_d$ means a larger range of solid volumetric fraction, and in turn, indicates a higher permeability standard deviation, as seen in Figure 4.
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**Figure 4.** The standard deviation of dimensionless permeability $s$ as a function of the porosity $e$ for a few selected values of the power-law distribution parameters.

The influence of the fractal dimension $D$ on the dimensionless mean permeability is shown in Figure 5. The corresponding results of the deterministic dimensionless permeability from the classical KC equation are also plotted for comparison, although they did not change with the fractal dimension $D$ (i.e., a horizontal line seen in the figure). The results show that the mean dimensionless permeability decreases with an increasing fractal dimension $D$. As discussed earlier, the solid volumetric fraction tends to cluster near the low end of its range for a smaller $D$, which favors a higher porosity in larger regions. Since the permeability increases dramatically with an increase in the porosity, this tendency of clustering near the low end of solid volumetric fraction also enhances the mean dimensionless permeability. In the higher mean porosity case (Figure 5b), the extent of the mean permeability increase with a decreasing fractal dimension $D$ is more significant compared to the case of a lower mean porosity (Figure 5a).
Figure 5. The influence of fractal dimension D on the mean dimensionless permeability $k^*$ for (a) $\varepsilon = 0.4$ and (b) $\varepsilon = 0.8$. The $k$ result from the KC equation, which is a horizontal line, is also plotted for comparison.

The impact of the fractal dimension $D$ on the standard deviation of the dimensionless permeability is plotted in Figure 6. The standard deviation variation exhibited a similar trend as the mean dimensionless permeability. The standard deviation can be on the same order of magnitude as the mean dimensionless permeability itself, highlighting the significant impact of the heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction on the uncertainty of the dimensionless permeability prediction. Overall, the impact of the fractal dimension $D$ was smaller than that of $c_a/c_i$ on the dimensionless permeability and its associated standard deviation.

In this study, the KC equation was used as a basic model in analyzing uncertainty in the predicted permeability due to the uncertainty in the required input solid volumetric fraction (or the porosity). The KC equation establishes a link between porous media properties and flow resistance in pore channels, which quantitatively expresses the permeability in terms of porosity (or solid volumetric fraction). It should be emphasized, however, that while the KC model has been widely used, it is less satisfactory for porous materials that are cemented or have irregular grain/pore shapes and sizes, as well as for clays [19,56]. The KC equation may also have issues in many practical applications due to the difficulty in determining either the specific surface area or the effective diameter [57]. Other more sophisticated models may perform better than the KC model under certain conditions [58]. No simple universal equations, however, can possibly exist to satisfactorily predict the permeability of all porous media encountered in science and engineering applications, due to the complexity and the large number of parameters involved [10]. It should be, therefore, noted that any limitations inherent in the underlying KC model also apply to the model developed in the present study. In addition, the specific focus in this study was only on the heterogeneity of the solid volumetric fraction. Other potential uncertainties, such as those related to the determination of the specific surface area [59–61], which are embedded in the KC model, also affect the predictive uncertainty of porous media permeability. A comprehensive investigation of other types of uncertainty is, however, beyond the scope of this study and warrants further studies in the future.
Figure 5. The influence of fractal dimension $D$ on the mean dimensionless permeability $k^*$ for (a) $e = 0.4$ and (b) $e = 0.8$. The $k^*$ result from the KC equation, which is a horizontal line, is also plotted for comparison.

Figure 6. The influence of the fractal dimension $D$ on the standard deviation of dimensionless permeability $s$ for (a) $e = 0.4$ and (b) $e = 0.8$.

4. Conclusions
A new approach was developed to incorporate the truncated power-law distribution of the solid volumetric fraction of porous media into the widely used KC model to investigate the potential uncertainty in the permeability prediction of porous media. The truncated power-law distribution is used to describe the potential maximum heterogeneity of porous media. The equations of the mean permeability and its associated standard deviation were developed. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. Heterogeneous solid volumetric fraction can have similar effects as adjusting empirical constants embedded in the classical KC equation.
2. Incorporating the truncated power-law distribution into the classical KC model predicts a higher mean permeability than the deterministic KC equation.
3. Both the mean and standard deviation of the dimensionless permeability decrease as the fractal dimension $D$ increases.
4. The increase in both the mean and standard deviation of dimensionless permeability with porosity is more significant when the fractal dimension $D$ is smaller.
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Nomenclature

$A$ parameter in Equation (20) $e$ porosity
$B$ parameter in Equation (21) $g$ gravitational acceleration (m s$^{-2}$)
$C$ empirical constant in Equation (1) $K$ permeability (m$^2$)
$C_2$ empirical parameter in Equation (3) $K_s$ hydraulic conductivity (m s$^{-1}$ or cm s$^{-1}$)
$C_c$ coefficient of curvature $k$ dimensionless permeability
$C_u$ coefficient of uniformity $k'$ mean dimensionless permeability
$c$ solid volumetric fraction ($e = 1 - c$) $s$ standard deviation of $k$
$c_m$ maximum solid volumetric fraction $s_c$ standard deviation of $c$
$c_i$ minimum solid volumetric fraction $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$ parameters in Equation (6)
$c^*$ mean solid volumetric fraction $T_5, T_6, T_7$ parameters in Equation (7)
$D$ fractal dimension
$D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_5, D_6$ parameters in Equation (5)
$d$ effective diameter of solid particles (m) $\mu$ viscosity of water (Pa s m$^{-2}$)
$d_{10}$ effective particle size (m) $\rho$ density of water (kg m$^{-3}$)

greek Symbols

$\theta$ mean dimensionless permeability
$G$ gravitational acceleration (m s$^{-2}$)
$m$ minimum solid volumetric fraction
$i$ maximum solid volumetric fraction
$d_1$ dimensionless permeability
$n$ dimensionless permeability
$k$ hydraulic conductivity (m s$^{-1}$ or cm s$^{-1}$)
$\rho$ density of water (kg m$^{-3}$)
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