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Abstract: The present study investigates a conceptual research framework on financial literacy in various investment planning and decision-making stages. The study comprises a review of 2182 articles published in peer-reviewed journals from 2001 to 2022 (January). The study employed bibliometric techniques such as citation network analysis, co-citation analysis, content analysis, publication trends, and keyword analysis to analyze the literature on financial literacy. The study aims to add to the literature on financial literacy by proposing ten clusters to improve research on financial literacy in order to help investors learn better. Financial literacy has evolved from a fledgling discipline to a significant teaching and research tool. Therefore, it is vital to investigate and identify current research trends in this field. The results are essential to the financial community, given that institutions and society are increasingly emphasizing financial literacy to strengthen individual citizens’ responsibilities in designing their investment strategies.
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1. Introduction

Financial knowledge is one’s understanding of financial matters. Several studies have used “financial knowledge” and “financial literacy” interchangeably. Different authors have conceptualized financial literacy as comprising financial awareness, abilities, and attitudes affecting people’s financial behavior Lusardi and Mitchell (2011). People with financial literacy can better manage their finances, save for emergencies, plan for their children’s education, and plan for their post-retirement years. Its continuing importance and people’s failure to fulfill even minimal criteria have pushed it to policy discussions Sevcík (2015).

Financial literacy, as defined by Mitchell and Lusardi (2011) is “knowledge of basic financial concepts and the ability to perform simple computations.” According to Huston (2010), financial literacy is personal finance knowledge and application. We link other concepts such as financial capability, education, and awareness to financial literacy. Basic financial concepts are futile unless reflected in financial behavior Atkinson and Messy (2012). “Financial literacy” and “financial capability” are synonymous terms Kempson et al. (2006). People can be financially literate if they have the knowledge, understanding, and skills to manage their finances, but they cannot be called financially capable unless their behavior reflects this. Financial literacy is a broad concept and includes research centres on analyzing financial literacy outcomes, assessing levels among various population cohorts, variables impacting financial literacy, and the impact of financial education on improving financial literacy.

The present study analyzes financial literacy research and current trends using bibliometric methods. The study investigates financial literacy’s intellectual status, extracting the most recent research trends from analyzing the field’s most recent publications. Prior to
Using a bibliometric method, Ahmed et al. (2022) reviewed the artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) literature in the finance industry. By inferring the thematic structure of AI and ML research in finance, Goodell et al. (2021) presents an overview of AI and ML research in finance using co-citation and bibliometric-coupling analysis. Patel et al. (2022) used a bibliometric citation meta-analysis to review the literature on financial market integration. Alshater et al. (2021) examines the Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment from a bibliometric perspective. Alshater et al. (2021) employed the bibliometric approach to describe and analyze the evolution of the published literature on zakat and its different co-relations. Most of the reviews are focused on a single topic like financial clusters Khan et al. (2021), Islamic microfinance Hassan et al. (2021), and waqf literature Alshater et al. (2021).

None of them aspires to encompass the whole extent of financial knowledge. Furthermore, we could not locate any work analyzing the conceptual and intellectual combinations underlying this growing research area. Such limitations pushed us to combine quantitative and qualitative methodologies to compile the existing literature and give a roadmap for future research. This is the first comprehensive review-cum-bibliometric analysis of financial literacy. This review encapsulates the most recent advancements in the field, intending to assist practitioners, policymakers, educators, and academics eventually.

The present study analyzes financial literacy research and current trends using bibliometric methods. The study investigates financial literacy’s intellectual status, extracting the most recent research trends from analyzing the field’s most recent publications. Finally, it sums up its key findings and its conclusions and prospects.

In this context, the research questions addressed in this study are as follows:

RQ1: What is the distribution of financial literacy research based on the number of citations and publications per year, and research areas from 2002 to 2022?

RQ2: Which are the influential authors, institutions, countries, top journals, and top publications in the research field of financial literacy?

RQ3: How have co-citation studies advanced, resulting in meaningful clusters with a specific research focus?

RQ4: What are the topmost active areas, recent research trends, and emerging themes in the research field of financial literacy?

This research finds the contributions of researchers, institutions, countries, scientific journals, and studies to financial literacy research. Furthermore, ten identified clusters highlights the importance of financial literacy research and its future scope. Finally, the study highlights the research field’s most active areas, recent research trends, and emerging themes.

The rest of this paper is designed as follows: Section 2 delineates materials and methods of analysis and data search. Section 3 covers results on publication trends, citation network analysis, co-citation analysis, topmost active areas, recent research trends, and emerging themes. Section 4 includes the discussion. Section 5 suggests future research avenues in reference to theory, methods, and contexts. The study is concluded in Section 6.

2. Materials and Methods

In order to analyze the literature on financial literacy and provide insights, the current study used bibliometric techniques such as citation network analysis, co-citation analysis, clustering, content analysis, publication trends, and keyword analysis. Bibliometrics is the most widely used method for tracing a study field’s knowledge of anatomy Wu and Wu (2017). It is also used to analyze research themes Blanco-Mesa et al. (2017). Thus, the bibliometric analysis provides scholars with a powerful tool to study a specific research area, analyze the literature on financial literacy and provide insights. The current study used bibliometric techniques such as citation network analysis, co-citation analysis, clustering, content analysis, publication trends, and keyword analysis. In this paper, data for this study
were retrieved from Clarivate Analytics’ Web of Science core collection, the world’s premier database for published articles and citations. By focusing on the database itself, Li et al. (2018) conducted a pioneer empirical analysis of the Web of Science between 1997 and 2017. They uncovered the characteristics of the academic use of WoS across countries/regions, institutions, and knowledge domains. Moreover, to depict the non-transparent use of WoS, Liu (2019) also finds that many papers have mentioned WoS in their topic field.

The study started in January 2021 and collected data from January 2002 to January 2022. The study began with a search term in the “Topic” field of the Web of Science (WoS) database: “financial literacy” OR “investor financial knowledge” OR “financial education,” yielding 2368 initial results. To ensure the inclusion of relevant articles, articles related to financial literacy or financial education, financial knowledge, and articles dealing with a relatively broader and closely related topic were shortlisted for final analyses. Furthermore, only scientific articles published in peer-reviewed journals were considered, excluding proceedings papers, book chapters, working papers, communications, and conferences, to ensure the inclusion of top-tier publications Liu et al. (2015). To avoid possible consequences, duplicate articles were removed. The search was then refined to include articles written in English, yielding 2182 results. After going through the abstracts, 2182 full-length papers were separated for further analysis.

The VOSviewer software version 1.6.17 (Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands) Van Eck and Waltman (2010) was used to perform citation network analysis and keyword analysis that provides scholars with a powerful tool to study a specific research area, analyzing citations, geographical distribution, and keyword analysis. CiteSpace software version 5.8.R3 Chen (2014) was used to conduct co-citation, clustering, content analysis, and recent research trends.

3. Results

3.1. General Descriptive Statistics

The distribution of financial literacy research is presented in Figures 1 and 2 based on the number of annual citations and publications per year. The number of academic articles published in financial literacy steadily increases, with even more citations than the previous year. It determines the relevance of the study. After a detailed examination, it was found that financial literacy articles were published in 106 countries, including the United States, England, Australia, the People’s R China, Germany, and Malaysia.

Figure 1. The annual citations between the period 2002–2022 retrieved from WOS.
Figure 2. The annual publication of papers between the period 2002–2022 retrieved from WOS.

Figure 3 shows the top research areas with more than eight articles published on financial literacy. Financial literacy is connected well to economics, business, finance, management, and other fields. It implies that the topic is multidisciplinary. Surprisingly, there is a lack of study in different areas, particularly interdisciplinary sociology and social sciences.

Figure 3. Top research areas with more than eight published articles on financial literacy retrieved from WOS.

3.2. Citation Network Analysis

Authors who have made a substantial contribution in the field were analyzed using citation analysis. The top authors in this field are shown in Table 1. According to our dataset, 5227 authors affiliated with 2188 organizations in 106 countries published articles on financial literacy. With 14 publications, Annamaria Lusardi is first on the list, followed by Olivia S. Mitchell with 11 studies. Annamaria Lusardi and Olivia S. Mitchell also receive the highest citations, 1833 and 870. The two authors are experts in financial literacy, education, and social security. They have written several papers on these topics.
Table 1. Top authors, affiliated institutions, and countries publishing on financial literacy prepared using VOSviewer software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>TC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annamaria Lusardi</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivia S. Mitchell</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>George Washington University</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Gerrans</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Tilburg University</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satish Kumar</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>National Bureau of Economic Research</td>
<td>Peoples R China</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelmara Mendes Vieira</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Erasmus University</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobias Meyll</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACG Potrich</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Tsinghua University</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Kouwenberg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>University Western Australia</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Padula</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreas Walter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>University of Oxford</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jing Jian Xiao</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Centre for Economic Policy Research</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Yue Feng Zhu</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>University of California</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsa Fornero</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>University of Groningen</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Thorp</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>University of Rhode Island</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB Van der Cruijsen</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>University of Colorado</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation analysis was then conducted to identify the top 15 contributing institutions for paper contribution. The University of Pennsylvania with 25 articles, George Washington University with 18 publications, and Tilburg University with 17 publications, are the most active universities working on financial literacy. These institutions are in the United States, proving that financial literacy research is concentrated in Western countries, showing a wider gap between research in the United States and research in other parts of the world. Table 1 also shows the top 15 countries with the most articles on this topic, with the United States (586 articles), England (193 articles), and Australia (171 articles) ranking first, second, and third, respectively.

Journals that have contributed to publishing research on this subject were also examined using citation analysis. Many journals have contributed to financial literacy, demonstrating how widespread the topic is in the literature. The 2182 publications analyzed are dispersed across 534 journals. It was discovered that 132 journals out of 534 had published at least five studies in this field. Table 2 lists the 15 most prominent journals publishing on financial literacy. The most prolific platform is the Journal of Pension Economics and Finance, with 45 articles published, followed by the Social Indicators Research.

Citation analysis of documents was further conducted to explore the most influential studies on financial literacy. Out of 2182 articles, 127 studies are based on citations with a threshold of a minimum of 50 citations. The top 15 contributing studies are listed in Table 3. Fernandes et al. (2014) top the list with 487 citations, followed by Van Rooij et al. (2011) with 437 and Lusardi and Mitchell (2011) with 421 citations. Fernandes et al. (2014) analyzed 168 publications covering 201 studies to determine the relationship between financial literacy and financial education. They found that partial effects of financial literacy are drastically reduced when psychological features missed in previous studies are controlled or a financial literacy instrument is used to adjust for omitted variables. Van Rooij et al. (2011) found that many respondents have basic financial knowledge, including understanding interest compounding, inflation, and the time value of money. Lusardi and Mitchell (2011) found that financial literacy is critical to retirement security.
Table 2. Leading journals publishing on financial literacy prepared using VOSviewer software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>TC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Indicators Research</td>
<td>Springer International Publishing</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance</td>
<td>Elsevier</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Banking and Finance</td>
<td>Elsevier</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Risk and Financial Management</td>
<td>MDPI</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific-Basin Finance Journal</td>
<td>Elsevier</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Behavioral Finance</td>
<td>Taylor and Francis Ltd.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting and Finance</td>
<td>Wiley-Blackwell</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Financial Studies</td>
<td>Oxford University Press</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of Finance</td>
<td>Routledge</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Finance</td>
<td>Oxford University Press</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Science</td>
<td>Institute for Operations Research and Management Sciences</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Finance</td>
<td>Wiley-Blackwell</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Bank Economic Review</td>
<td>Oxford University Press</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Top publications based on citation count prepared using VOSviewer software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Article Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Times Cited, (WoS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tullio and Mario (2013)</td>
<td>Investment in Financial Literacy and Savings Decisions</td>
<td>Journal of Banking and Finance</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb-Clark et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Locus of Control and Savings</td>
<td>Journal of Banking and Finance</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Co-Citation Analysis

A co-citation links two items that are both cited by the same document. The frequency with which one paper cites two other articles is known as co-citation Small (1973). Co-citations indicate that the two articles are closely related in their broader scientific field of study Culnan (1987). Citespace software is used to perform co-citation analysis, identifying the most influential publications in an area of research and the intellectual structure of the topic.
3.3.1. Clustering

Clustering enables thematic analysis of the co-citation network Xu et al. (2018). To focus our study on the most important articles in the field, we chose a co-citation threshold of 20 papers. CiteSpace software identified ten clusters during the clustering process, as shown in Figure 4. The quality of a clustering setup is measured by its silhouette Chen et al. (2010). The silhouette value for each point measures how similar that point is to points in its cluster compared to points in other clusters. The silhouette value ranges from $-1$ to $+1$. A high silhouette value indicates that it is well-matched and poorly matched to neighboring clusters. The clustering solution is appropriate if most points have a high silhouette value. If many points have a low or negative silhouette value, the clustering solution may have too many or too few clusters Madureira et al. (2017). The cluster homogeneity is shown in the Silhouette column depicted in Figure 4. If the clusters in comparison have comparable sizes, the higher the silhouette score, the more consistent the cluster members are. A high homogeneity does not mean much if the cluster size is small. For example, clusters #22 and #25 have six members and silhouettes of 1.00, indicating that all six references are likely citation references from the same underlying author.

![Figure 4. A summary table of ten clusters according to silhouette value prepared using CiteSpace software.](image)

In a timeline view, a co-citation map of co-cited references is displayed based on the citing behaviors of the authors who published the sampled articles. On a horizontal timeline, each cluster is arranged. The arrow of time points to the right Chen (2014). In Figure 5, the network’s signature in the top left corner shows the modularity and silhouette scores. The modularity of a network refers to how easily it can be decomposed into multiple components or modules. This metric serves as a reference point for the overall clarity of a network decomposition Chen et al. (2010). The modularity Q and the mean silhouette scores are two necessary measures that tell us about the network’s overall structural properties Chen (2014). The modularity Q of 0.9046 is relatively high, so the network is reasonably divided into loosely coupled clusters. The mean silhouette value of 0.9417 shows that these clusters are highly homogeneous. A cluster’s average year of publication indicates that it is composed of most recent or mostly old papers Chen (2014).

The clusters are numbered in descending order of cluster size, as shown in Figure 5, starting with the biggest cluster #0, the second-largest #1, etc. Credit counseling appears to be the most prominent (cluster #0 has the most member references). Machine learning (Cluster #1) is the second largest. Individual investor (Cluster #2) is the third type. The fourth (Cluster #5) is inclusive education, and the fifth (Cluster #6) is community college student debt. The smaller clusters are behavioral biases, financial judgment, likelihood, herding practice, and investor behavior. Thus, we now have a general idea of what financial literacy research appeared like between 2002 and 2022.
3.3.2. Content Analysis

A detailed content analysis of ten clusters was conducted. CiteSpace’s cluster explorer (Figure 6) enables us to explore further into these clusters. CiteSpace extracted the most representative sentences from the abstracts of the citing articles to each cluster based on a sentence with a high degree of centrality. They can tell us about the most common contexts in which they are cited.

Figure 6. A summary table of cluster explorer extracted from the abstracts of the articles prepared using CiteSpace software.

Larger nodes represent more cited papers, and a thicker link means that connected nodes are cited more often. In addition, 770 nodes, 1719 links, and 10 clusters are represented on the map (Figure 5). Initially, there were 244 clusters, but ten were identified after a qualitative evaluation based on content analysis. Clusters #22 and #25 were not included in the content analysis due to the high Silhouette value of 1. In other words, clusters #22 and #25 may reflect a single paper’s citation behaviors, making them less representative.

Cluster #0: Credit Counseling

Out of the 218 studied articles, 53 papers were categorized in Cluster #0, the largest cluster comprising the most documents. As observed in the cluster, the focus of this research was on credit counseling. Financial literacy is essential since it lowers the barriers to purchasing complex derivatives. In addition, household wealth, gender, residence, and information sources affect participation rates in derivatives markets Hsiao and Tsai (2018). Shen et al. (2016) investigate that more financially literate people have fewer financial problems. Gender, work status, and household income significantly affect the likelihood of a financial dispute. Financial literacy and social training can substantially improve children’s savings attitudes and behaviors Supanantaroek et al. (2017).
Cluster #1: Machine Learning

With 53 papers, Cluster #1 is the second largest of the ten clusters. The cluster’s focal point is machine learning in financial literacy related to investment return, information provision, student outcomes, behavioral aspects, and risky asset behavior. The cluster focuses on various theories and models such as the Bayesian two-part latent variable model, multinomial logistic regression, SmartPLS technique, regression analysis, meta-analysis, serial mediation model, and automated financial advisors (Robo-advisors).

Cluster #2: Individual Investor

Cluster #2 has 41 documents. The focus of this cluster is on the individual investor, family communication pattern, investment decision, and assessment of consumer literacy. It is followed by an analysis of how illiteracy affects economic decisions and suggested corrective actions to bridge the literacy gap. Jiang and Lim (2018) analyzes how those who have a higher level of trust have a lower risk of defaulting on household debt and higher net worth. Clark et al. (2017) found that the most financially knowledgeable investors: (a) held 18% more stock; (b) could expect to earn eight basis points per month more in excess returns; (c) had 40% higher portfolio volatility, and (d) had portfolios with about 38% less idiosyncratic risk.

Cluster #5: Inclusive Education

The focus of Cluster #5 was to provide a framework for financial literacy and acceptance in the educational sector. It consists of 20 publications emphasizing various dimensions of financial literacy, such as inclusive education, knowledge economy development, economic participation for all, and mobile access to financial channels Ali et al. (2020); Asongu and Kuada (2020); Tchamyou (2020). As a result, it can be inferred that research emphasis in this cluster is on more discussed and specialized areas of study.

Cluster #6: Community College Student Debt

Cluster #6 has 19 documents related to college student debt behavior and related issues such as credit accumulation, loan repayment burden, student loan design, and borrowing outcome. Furquim et al. (2017) examine each of the steps that lead to student debt: applying for aid, borrowing, and deciding how much to borrow. At each step of the student borrowing process, they find significant differences by the generational process. According to Kaiser and Menkhoff (2017), financial education has a significant impact on financial behavior and, to a more considerable extent, financial literacy. Chapman and Dearden (2017) show that Stafford loans are associated with challenging financial circumstances for a low minority of loan recipients in the United States. In addition to it, higher financial literacy and knowledge of federal student loans are related to lower loan aversion for education Boatman and Evans (2017).

Cluster #16: Behavioral Biases

The relationship between demographics and individual behavioral biases was the focus of Cluster #16 with nine documents. Baker et al. (2019) evaluated the influence of age, gender, and education on investing decisions. Furthermore, investing decisions are also influenced by emotion, overconfidence, and herd behavior. Lim et al. (2018) discovered significant mediating effects of risk perception and attitude in the sequential positive relationship between financial knowledge and financial behavioral intention to invest. Boutsouki (2019) explores the influence of the environment on consumers’ impulsive behavior during a financial crisis, and the characteristics of specific consumer segments.

Cluster #21: Financial Judgment

Financial literacy, financial judgment, and retirement self-efficacy among older trustees of self-managed superannuation funds were the focus of Cluster #21.
Cluster #28: Investor Behavior

In Cluster #28, Rivière-Giordano et al. (2018) and Gödker and Mertins (2018) highlight the need to provide a robust framework for CSR disclosure and investing behavior. The cluster also explains the determinants of young adults’ subjective and objective risk attitudes in theoretical and real-world financial decisions. Compared to older adults, young adults generally show a similar degree of personal risk aversion Oehler et al. (2018).

3.4. Topmost Active Areas, Recent Research Trends, and Emerging Themes

Citation burst is another indicator of an active area of research. Citation burst refers to detecting a burst event that might last multiple years or a single year. A citation bursting is evidence that the publication is associated with a rise in citations. In other words, the paper has attracted the scientific community’s attention. Furthermore, if a cluster has several nodes with high citation bursts, the cluster represents an active study area or a recent trend Chen (2014). Citation Burst History can generate a list of publications associated with citation bursts. Figure 7 represents which references would have the most citation bursts, and the periods during which the bursts occurred. For example, in the list, Lusardi and Mitchell (2014) has the most bursts among publications on financial literacy published since 2014. It is also interesting to note that Van Rooij et al. (2011) had the second-highest citation burst between 2011 and 2014. The other references in the list are Lusardi and Tufano (2015); Bianchi (2018); Von Gaudecker (2015); Hair et al. (2014); Alam (2009); Fernandes et al. (2014); Klapper et al. (2013); Tullio and Mario (2013); Lusardi et al. (2017); Alam and Hoque (2010); Hayes (2018); Drexler et al. (2014); Grohmann (2018); Bucher-Koenen and Lusardi (2011); Allgood and Walstad (2016); Calcagno and Monticone (2015); Disney and Gathergood (2013); Lusardi et al. (2010), and Duca and Kumar (2014).

![Figure 7. Citation bursts history during the period 2002–2022 prepared using CiteSpace software.](image)

In order to determine the most recent research trends and hottest topics, we have analyzed the contents of the articles about the topics published in 2019 and 2021 (January to December), extracting the main research lines and summing them up in Table 4.
Table 4. Recent research trends and hottest topics in financial literacy during 2019 and 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Feng et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Investigate the impacts of financial literacy on both sides of the household balance sheet, namely, household debt and assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Lin et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Investigate factors that may influence individuals' insurance decision-making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Boutsouki (2019)</td>
<td>Apply cluster analysis to identify homogeneous subgroups among impulse buyers based on their demographic characteristics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Salem (2019)</td>
<td>Investigate the investment behavior of Arab women on risk tolerance, investment confidence, investment literacy levels, and herding behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Rahman et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Design a particular determinants model to investigate the impact of behavioral and demographic variables on indebtedness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Pham (2020)</td>
<td>Highlights the importance of CEO characteristics in enhancing financial market quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Asongu and Kuada (2020)</td>
<td>Provides a context for understanding the importance of building knowledge economies in Africa and summarises the main contributions to the themed issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Kurowski (2021)</td>
<td>Examine if households with greater financial and debt literacy have better budget management skills, reducing the risk of individuals failing to repay their loans in the crisis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The author keywords represent the themes of the research articles Comerio and Strozzi (2019). 8538 keywords were identified in 2182 publications—the top keywords used in financial literacy research from 2002 to 2022. “Financial literacy” is the most frequently used keyword, with 348 occurrences, indicating that this word alone is used as a concept in the literature. The other three most used keywords are “performance” (233 occurrences), “education” (249 occurrences), and “impact” (186 occurrences).

In the co-occurrence analysis, keywords that occurred ten times in the studies were used. A total of 300 keywords met this criterion, from which we selected the top 100 and grouped them into five clusters. By looking at the overlay visualization map of keyword co-occurrence analysis, it is clear that these keyword clusters give a notion of the subject linkages that are common in research projects. From Figure 8, it is evident that the clusters are connected and that the circles are close to each other, which indicates the researchers in related clusters are more likely to be cited in a similar situation. The overlay visualization map of keyword co-occurrence analysis identifies the year’s trending research topics. Financial literacy trends are shifting towards household finance, accounting education, financial capability, financial advice, financial behavior, financial well-being, overconfidence, and financial inclusion Calcagno and Monticone (2015).
4. Discussion

The current study looks at a theoretical research framework for financial literacy in various investment planning and decision-making stages. It contributes to the scientific literature on financial literacy in the finance and education sectors and expands on previous opinions. It suggests and performs bibliometric analysis to find the most important studies (citations, co-citations).

Our first research question was about the distribution of financial literacy research based on the number of citations and publications per year, and research areas from 2002 to 2022. It was found that the number of academic articles published on financial literacy each year steadily increases, with even more citations explaining the relevance of the study. Financial literacy is connected well to different research areas and implies that the topic is multidisciplinary. The second research issue is citation network analysis. According to our result, Annamaria Lusardi is the most influential author, with 14 publications. The topmost institution is the University of Pennsylvania, with 25 articles. The United States, with 586 articles, is the top country contributing to financial literacy. Financial literacy articles are found in both finance and economic journals. Hence, researchers should take interdisciplinary approaches to financial literacy research and development to synthesize information from both areas. Citation analysis of documents was further conducted to explore the most influential studies on financial literacy. Fernandes et al. (2014) and Van Rooij et al. (2011) from Europe top the list with 487 and 437 citations, respectively; and Lusardi and Mitchell (2011) with 421 citations.

The third research question was to find how to have co-citation studies advanced, resulting in meaningful clusters. Ten clusters have been found in thematic analysis, each focusing on a different aspect of financial literacy, from conceptualization to methodologies and application of financial literacy in the financial system. It also shows the relationships between the clusters and argues that better approaches would lead to better financial literacy applications in both financial and educational contexts. The fourth research issue was about the topmost active areas, recent research trends, and emerging themes in the research field of financial literacy. There are various active areas of research, recent research trends, and emerging themes that have been identified as household finance, accounting education, financial capability, financial advice, financial behavior, financial well-being, overconfidence, and financial inclusion. The overlay visualization map of keyword co-occurrence analysis identifies the year’s trending research topics. The authors also contend
that the financial literacy and education industry have gotten a lot of attention from researchers throughout the time. This study reveals an opportunity for institutions and researchers to collaborate to improve the working of financial and educational sectors. Researchers are making significant attempts to learn more about the subject in general. There is a lot of scope for theoretical advancement, contextual coverage, and methodological improvements. Financial literacy is a topic with far-reaching implications for economic health, and its advancement can pave the way for more competitive and stable economies.

5. Limitations and Future Research

The limitations of the study are as follows:

- The study was based on a review of 2182 publications published in the last two decades on financial literacy in the financial sector. The study adopted a combination of keywords, and various keyword combinations may have shown different results.
- To better understand the topic, future studies should include all ten clusters. Because of these findings, this field requires more in-depth research.

6. Conclusions

The study employed bibliometric analysis to review the literature on financial literacy for 20 years (2002–2022). New scientific studies on financial literacy are produced every year, with citations indicating the study’s relevance. Financial literacy is multidisciplinary and is significantly linked to various academic disciplines. The contributions of researchers, institutions, countries, scientific journals, and studies to financial literacy research in the financial and educational sectors are explored in detail. It also provides financial literacy researchers opportunities to study the gaps in knowledge, technical expertise, skill sets, innovation, and implementation. Finally, exploring all ten identified clusters of financial literacy—viz., credit counseling, machine learning, individual investor, inclusive education, community college student debt, behavioral biases, financial judgment, likelihood, herding practice, and investor behavior—is pivotal to providing as many options to investors as possible. These clusters emphasize the significance of financial education and the consistency of current research at higher education institutions that focus on learning and individual efficiency. Finally, the study highlights the topmost active areas, recent research trends, and emerging themes in the research field.

This paper persuades scholars to perform scientific literature reviews, including bibliometric techniques, meta-analysis, and literature reviews. In this study, our approach was to explore the scope of financial literacy and the benefits of financial knowledge and technology in the financial industry. It is also important to note that, as technology advances, the current focus on how investors adapt to emerging innovations will continue. Because financial literacy is so important in society, further research is needed. All government initiatives that included financial literacy training could be given directly to individuals or through educational institutions. The financial literacy program offers a constructive solution to obvious shortcomings in financial decision-making.
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