Assessing the Influence of Land Cover and Climate Change Impacts on Runoff Patterns Using CA-ANN Model and CMIP6 Data
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Abstract: Dhaka city are experiencing rapid land cover changes, and the effects of climate change are highly visible. Investigating their combined influence on runoff patterns is vital for sustainable urban planning and water resources management. The land cover classification was accomplished using a random forest (RF) algorithm. To validate accuracy of image classification, an assessment was conducted by employing kappa coefficient (85 to 96%), confirming a high agreement between classified images and the reference dataset. Future land cover changes were forecasted with cellular automata-artificial neural network (CA-ANN) model. Further, soil conservation service -curve number (SCS-CN) rainfall-runoff model combined with CMIP6 climate data was employed to assess how changes in land cover impact runoff within Dhaka metropolitan development plan (DMDP) area. Over the study period (2020–2100), substantial transformations of land cover were observed, i.e., built-up areas expanded to 1146.47 km² at the end of 2100, while agricultural areas and bare land diminished considerably. Consequently, monsoon runoff increased from 350.14 to 368.24 mm, indicating elevated hydrological responses. These findings emphasized an intricate interplay between urban dynamics and climatic shifts in shaping runoff patterns, underscoring urgency of incorporating these factors into urban planning strategies for sustainable water resources management in a rapidly growing city such as Dhaka.
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1. Introduction

Assessing runoff impacts is crucial in hydrology to understand complex interactions between land cover changes, climatic variations, and resulting alterations in water flow patterns [1,2]. Runoff, the portion of precipitation that flows over land into waterbodies, plays a pivotal role in ecosystem dynamics, water resource management, and landscape stability [3,4]. As human activities and climatic conditions intertwined, it is imperative
to unravel complex relationships between land use alteration, climate change, and their combined effects on runoff [5,6].

The field of runoff assessment has witnessed substantial growth over the past few decades [7–9]. Researchers have extensively explored the impacts of land cover changes, including urbanization, deforestation, and agricultural expansion, on hydrological processes [10,11]. Simultaneously, the effects of climate change on precipitation patterns, temperature, and evapotranspiration rates have been well-documented [12]. However, understanding how these factors synergistically interact to shape runoff dynamics remains a challenge. Divergent hypotheses exist, with some studies suggesting that land cover changes dominate runoff alterations, while others emphasize overriding influence of climate changes [13].

Land cover change and its effect on runoff patterns has substantial implications for Dhaka. Urbanization involves conversion of natural landscapes into built environments, leading to increased impervious surfaces that hinder water infiltration and amplify surface runoff during rainfall events [14]. It often leads to loss of greenspaces and natural habitats, which provide essential services such as temperature regulation, air purification, and biodiversity conservation [15]. Analyzing how shifts in land cover affect these services helps realize the value of preserving greenery within urban landscapes [16]. Importantly, the interaction between land cover and runoff is exacerbated by the specter of climate change. Integrating climate change projections with land cover assessments provides a holistic view of future runoff patterns, enabling adaptive strategies to mitigate worst effects of changing hydrological regimes [17].

Many works have delved into the consequences of land use and land cover (LULC) changes linked to urbanization, particularly their impacts on hydrological dynamics and initiation of runoff [18]. Urban expansion typically involves the proliferation of impermeable surfaces, widely acknowledged as the primary driver behind escalated runoff across urban landscape [19,20]. This phenomenon manifests as heightened peak flow rates, accelerated runoff reaction times, and modifications in hydrological patterns and overall water equilibrium [21]. The soil conservation service-curve number (SCS-CN), alternatively recognized by the US natural resources conservation service curve number (NRCS-CN) method, stands as a preeminent technique for evaluating the impact of LULC changes on hydrological responses [22,23]. It comprehensively encompasses influential factors contributing to runoff generation, encompassing soil attributes, land use variations, and land management practices, all encapsulated within a single CN parameter [24]. The SCS-CN method focuses on delineating the impact of urbanization on runoff behaviors.

This study addresses key questions concerning the projected interactions between LULC changes, climatic shift, and resulting hydrological responses. The first research question aims to understand the efficacy of cellular automata-artificial neural network (CA-ANN) model, operationalized through QGIS MOLUSCE plugin, in projecting future LULC changes within DMDP area. Accurate projection of LULC changes is pivotal as it underpins subsequent analyses of hydrological dynamics [25–27]. The second research question pertains to combined effects of the projected LULC changes, simulated via CA-ANN model, and climate data extracted from Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques Coupled Model version 6.1 (CNRM-CM6-1) model under shared socioeconomic pathway 1-2.6 (SSP1-2.6) scenario. A key aim is to understand how these intertwined factors influence Dhaka’s runoff patterns and hydrological behaviors for the year 2100. To achieve these research questions, this study has several objectives. First, CA-ANN model will be developed and implemented through QGIS MOLUSCE plugin to simulate LULC trends. This approach leverages model’s complexity and spatial capabilities to ensure accurate projections. Second, CMIP6 climate data, specifically from CNRM-CM6-1 model under SSP1-2.6 scenario, will be employed for climate projections, with stringent data preprocessing to ensure robustness. Third, by integrating projected LULC changes with climatic variables, runoff dynamics will be simulated using hydrological modeling techniques, i.e., to reveal how runoff behaviors are associated with the combined impact of LULC transformation.
and climate change. Lastly, the study will assess the implications of altered runoff patterns for water resource management, including water availability and flood risks.

A unique value of this study lies in its detailed examination of specific case of Dhaka city, a rapidly growing urban center in a well-known climate hotspot. By offering quantitative assessments of future runoff projections and their potential hydrological impacts, this study provides actionable insights into potential flood risks, water management strategies, and sustainable urban planning practices. Incorporation of machine learning techniques and climate modeling enhances the robustness of the findings, contributing advancing hydrological modeling methodologies. Given the pressing global challenges posed by urbanization and climate change, researchers in the fields of urban hydrology, climate science, and sustainable urban planning would be benefitted from this work for its innovative methodologies, specific regional focus, and the potential for generalizable insights that can be applied to similar urban contexts elsewhere.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. The Study Area

Dhaka City, an important hub of Bangladesh, is a captivating microcosm of the complex interplay between urbanization, climatic factors, and hydrological dynamics [28,29]. Spanning around 1490 km$^2$, Dhaka’s geographical landscape is characterized by its flat terrain (Figure 1), which contributes to its susceptibility to flooding, particularly during the monsoon season when heavy rainfall is typical [30]. The city’s urbanization journey has been marked by rapid population growth and expansion of built environments, resulting in significant changes in its land use patterns [31]. This urban sprawl entails converting agricultural and natural covers into concrete jungles, leading to the proliferation of impervious surfaces that disrupt the natural hydrological cycle [32]. As a result, understanding the shifts in runoff patterns, groundwater recharge rates, and overall hydrological equilibrium becomes pivotal in the context of Dhaka’s growing risk to climatic challenges [33].

![Figure 1. Location of the study area.](image-url)
With distinct wet and dry seasons, Dhaka’s climatic context amplifies its hydrological complexities. Monsoon brings intense rainfall, overwhelm city’s drainage systems and exacerbating waterlogging and flooding [34,35]. The city’s infrastructure, characterized by formal and informal settlements, is intricately connected to its hydrological response. Moreover, Dhaka’s status as Bangladesh’s political, economic, and cultural hub further underscores urgency of studying its hydrological dynamics [36]. Insights drawn from this study can contribute to tailored solutions for its water management and broader discussions on sustainable urbanization, climate adaptation strategies, and preservation of urban water in similar contexts elsewhere [37].

2.2. Land Use and Land Cover Analysis

In this study, the robustness of random forest (RF) algorithm was harnessed via google earth engine (GEE) (https://code.earthengine.google.com/; accessed on 20 June 2022) to produce LULC maps of different periods (e.g., 2000, 2010, and 2020). The process involved utilizing calibrated top-of-atmosphere (TOA) reflectance data derived from Landsat 7 (2000 and 2010) and Landsat 8 (2020). Subsequently, leveraging JavaScript application programming interface (API) within GEE, images were analyzed [38]. The analysis involved processing of a total of 30 Landsat images, comprising 20 Landsat 7 and 10 Landsat 8 images, each selected to have cloud coverage of <10%. These images were utilized to examine annual changes in six land cover classes (Table 1): waterbody, vegetation, built-up, agricultural land, wetland, and bare land, for the years 2000, 2010, and 2020. Utilizing a meticulously curated dataset of 1450 samples, representing diverse land cover categories, RF algorithm was selected due to its inherent strengths in handling complex relationships within data and its ability to mitigate overfitting through its ensemble learning framework [39]. Diverging from conventional approaches, this study exploited spectral bands and integrated additional data dimensions. Inclusion of soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI), normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), normalized difference built-up index (NDBI), and normalized difference water index (NDWI) enriched classification process by encompassing ecological nuances beyond pure spectral information (Table 2) [40].

Table 1. Major LULC categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LULC Class</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbody</td>
<td>River, permanent open water, lakes, ponds, and canals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>Trees, natural vegetation, mixed forest, gardens, parks and playgrounds, and grassland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built-up</td>
<td>Residential and non-residential buildings, commercial and industrial buildings, and any type of infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural land</td>
<td>Crop, open field, fallow land, and mixed forest land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td>Permanent/seasonal wetlands, exposed soils in the riverine area, wetland, and newly accreted land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare soil</td>
<td>Sand, bare land, and landfill sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Computed spectrometric indices and their formulae [38,41].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>(NIR−Red)/(NIR + Red)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDWI</td>
<td>(Green−NIR)/(Green + NIR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDBI</td>
<td>(SWIR−NIR)/(SWIR + NIR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVI</td>
<td>((NIR−Red)/(NIR + Red + L)) × (1 + L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NIR: near infrared, SWIR: shortwave infrared, L = 0.428.

By partitioning the dataset into 70% training and 30% testing, the ensemble approach of RF ensures a robust and accurate classification outcome, essential for understanding complex land cover dynamics. Furthermore, its capability to incorporate spectral indices reflect growing trend of data fusion in remote sensing, leading to informative classification outcomes.
2.3. Future LULC Simulation and Projection

CA-ANN model, implemented via QGIS MOLUSCE plugin, was pivotal in developing future LULC maps [42,43]. To construct future LULC, data from 2000, 2010, and 2020 were employed (cf. Section 2.2). Moreover, projected LULC data were created for 2030, 2050, 2070, and 2100. This temporal framework allowed examining potential LULC changes over multiple periods. Spatial variables, encompassing digital elevation model (DEM), slope, distance to rivers and distance to roads (Table 3), were incorporated into the model to enhance its accuracy and predictive power [44]. DEM offers topographical variations within the study area, contributing to the understanding of how elevation and slope differences could influence land cover changes [45]. Inclusion of distance to roads and rivers signifies the impact of accessibility, human interventions of natural surfaces and water availability, transportation corridors, and environmental regulations on land use decisions [46]. To evaluate strength of the associations between spatial variables and projected LULC changes, Pearson’s correlation coefficient was employed. A workflow of the study is shown in Figure 2.

Table 3. Factors used in simulating and predicting LULC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model (30 m, DEM: <a href="https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/">https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/</a>; accessed on 5 June 2022)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model (30 m, DEM: <a href="https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/">https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/</a>; accessed on 5 June 2022)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to rivers</td>
<td>LGED river network: <a href="https://data.humdata.org/dataset/bangladesh-water-courses">https://data.humdata.org/dataset/bangladesh-water-courses</a>; accessed on 5 June 2022</td>
<td>Reclassify using the Euclidean distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to roads</td>
<td>LGED road network: <a href="https://data.humdata.org/dataset/bangladesh-water-courses">https://data.humdata.org/dataset/bangladesh-water-courses</a>; accessed on 5 June 2022</td>
<td>Reclassify using the Euclidean distance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Model Validation

Utilizing LULC datasets for 2000 and 2010, along with explanatory variables and transition matrices, we performed projections to predict LULC for the year 2020. To ascertain fidelity of the model and validate prediction accuracy, the MOLUSCE plugin provided a kappa statistic and facilitated a comparison between two maps, e.g., base LULC with projected LULC [47]. The ANN learning process was governed by specific parameters (Table 4) chosen to project LULC for 2020. With useful outcomes, this was extended to predict LULC maps for 2030, 2050, 2070, and 2100.

Table 4. Hyper-parameter configuration space for the ANN algorithm in CA-ANN model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Neighborhood Value</th>
<th>Learning Rate</th>
<th>Hidden Layer</th>
<th>Momentum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3 × 3 pixels</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5. Annual Rate of LULC Conversion

To determine annual rate of alteration (ROA) for individual land use types, disparity between concluding year and the initial year, representing the extent of change between corresponding years, was divided by initial year and duration of time. To evaluate spatiotemporal magnitude and pace of transformations within LULC categories, Equation (1) was employed [48,49].

\[
ROA(\%) = \frac{Y_C - Y_I}{Y_I \times t} \times 100
\]  

(1)
where “\( Y_i \)” and “\( Y_f \)” stand for initial and final year in sq. km, respectively, while “\( t \)” denotes the time interval.

**Figure 2.** Flowchart, showing method of this study.

### 2.6. Rainfall-Runoff Modeling

Developed by the United States Department of Agriculture’s Natural Resources Conservation Service, SCS-CN method offers a useful way to evaluate runoff potential in diverse terrain [50]. Central to SCS-CN method is the curve number (CN), a parameter that encapsulates interplay of land use, soil characteristics, and land cover in influencing runoff [51]. Ranging from 0 to 100, CN value signifies hydrological response in a given area, with lower values indicating enhanced infiltration and reduced runoff potential, while higher values denote elevated runoff potential [52].

The process of calculating runoff involves several critical steps, necessitating an integration of soil type, precipitation data, LULC information, and hydrological parameters. Initially, to quantify runoff, it’s imperative to acquire precise soil type and precipitation data relevant to specific area of interest. The precipitation data is adjusted based on the antecedent moisture condition (AMC) criteria (AMC I, AMC II, and AMC III). Subsequently,
inverse distance weighting (IDW) technique was leveraged, and precipitation maps are generated, each corresponding to distinct AMC conditions \[53\]. Furthermore, hydrologic soil group (HSG), CN, and weighted CN are derived for each LULC class based on the corresponding soil type. Likewise, precipitation data (e.g., 2000, 2010, 2020) linked to AMC and year is processed using the fishnet, where values are extracted through geospatial tools. The following steps were considered to calculate runoff depth \[54\]:

\[
CN = \frac{25,400}{S + 254} \tag{2}
\]

where \(CN\) is Curve Number and \(S\) is potential maximum retention after runoff begins.

(i) Compute \(CN\) and weighted \(CN_W\) value for the study, accounting for the proportion of different land use types.

\[
CN_W = \frac{\sum A_i CN_i}{\sum A_i} \tag{3}
\]

where \(CN_W\) is weighted curve number, \(CN_i\) is curve number for a particular domain, \(A_i\) is the area of the domain.

(ii) Consider initial abstraction, rainfall required to wet the surface before runoff commences, given by:

\[
IA = 0.2 \times P \tag{4}
\]

where \(IA\) is initial abstraction, and \(P\) is the total rainfall depth.

(iii) Estimate the potential maximum retention (\(S\)) using the following equation:

\[
S = \frac{25,400}{CN} - 254 \tag{5}
\]

where \(S\) is the potential maximum retention, and \(CN\) is curve number.

(iv) Calculate effective rainfall (\(R\)) by subtracting initial abstraction from total rainfall depth (\(P\)).

(v) Compute direct runoff (\(Q\)) using SCS-CN equation:

\[
Q = \frac{(R - 0.2S)^2}{R + 0.85S} \tag{6}
\]

2.7. Climate Modeling for Future Runoff Prediction

CNRM-CM6-1 represents a configuration within CNRM-CM6 model family, one of the participating climate models in CMIP6 \[55,56\]. This configuration is aligned with the shared socioeconomic pathway 1-2.6 (SSP1-2.6), a scenario that envisions a future characterized by sustainable development with relatively low greenhouse gas (GHGs) emissions. SSP1-2.6 scenario outlines a pathway that strives to achieve a resilient and environmentally conscious trajectory, aiming to limit global warming and its associated impacts \[57\].

CMIP6 serves as a crucial platform for modeling and simulating various climate scenarios, encompassing changes in GHGs, land use, and other relevant factors \[58,59\]. The CNRM-CM6-1 SSP1-2.6 configuration, in particular, offers insights into how climate may evolve under conditions that prioritize sustainable practices and reduced emissions. Researchers and policymakers utilize these model outputs to project potential future climatic conditions and their implications, aiding in the formulation of strategies to address climate change, adapt to its effects, and inform global climate policies \[60\].

This study utilized simple quantile mapping (SQM) method to rectify biases in climate model outputs, particularly from CMIP6 general circulation models (GCMs) \[61\]. SQM aligns cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of model simulations with observed data. In this approach, SQM function takes both model and observed data, calculates percentiles for each, and creates an interpolation function to correct bias \[62\]. By addressing discrepancies between observed and simulated CDFs, this method improves accuracy of future simulations for specific percentiles, enhancing overall reliability and relevance \[63\].
3. Results

3.1. Land Cover Classification and Accuracy Assessment

The outcomes of RF classifier have yielded useful predictions for LULC classes across three distinct time periods: 2000, 2010, and 2020 (Figures 3 and 4). The results demonstrate dynamic nature of various LULC categories. Waterbody category exhibited fluctuations, with values ranging from 45.94 km$^2$ in 2000 to 85.71 km$^2$ in 2010, before decreasing to 61.86 km$^2$ in 2020. The fluctuation in waterbody extent, with an increase observed from 2000 to 2020 followed by a projected decrease by 2100, can be attributed to a complex interplay of natural and human-induced factors. Natural variability, including climate cycles and long-term trends, may have contributed to initial expansion of waterbodies, driven by favorable conditions like increased rainfall. However, in the ensuing decades, various human activities such as urbanization, land development, and alterations in land use practices could have led to a decline in the spatial extent of waterbodies. Additionally, long-term impacts of climate change, which can affect precipitation patterns and temperature, might play a role in altering availability and size of waterbodies. Vegetation witnessed substantial expansion from 206.69 km$^2$ in 2000 to 411.58 km$^2$ in 2010, followed by a slight reduction to 351.34 km$^2$ in 2020. Built-up areas exhibited consistent growth, escalating from 239.31 km$^2$ in 2000 to 446.49 km$^2$ in 2010, and further to 484.74 km$^2$ in 2020. We acknowledge that urban development indeed occurs in stages, and it is influenced by various factors, including resource availability and environmental conditions. Agricultural land experienced variations, with a peak of 398.29 km$^2$ in 2000, followed by a decline to 207.14 km$^2$ in 2010, and a subsequent increase to 288.61 km$^2$ in 2020. Wetland areas dwindled from 371.01 km$^2$ in 2000 to 115.93 km$^2$ in 2010, and further to 94.03 km$^2$ in 2020. Similarly, bare soil cover witnessed slight fluctuations, with values of 227.23 km$^2$ in 2000, 221.61 km$^2$ in 2010, and 207.88 km$^2$ in 2020.

Accuracy assessment of LULC classification across 2000, 2010, and 2020 demonstrates a consistent improvement in predictive performance. In 2000, an overall accuracy of 93.65% and a kappa value of 0.85 indicate high agreement between predicted and actual categories. In 2010, accuracy increased to 97.04% with a kappa value of 0.94. Notably, 2020 witnessed further advancement, yielding an overall accuracy of 98.55% and a kappa value of 0.96. These upward trends affirm model’s proficiency and underscore reliability of classification methodology.

![RF-based LULC maps of the study area.](image-url)
Figure 4. Area (km²) of LULC categories for 2000, 2010, and 2020.

Accuracy assessment of LULC classification across 2000, 2010, and 2020 demonstrates a consistent improvement in predictive performance. In 2000, an overall accuracy of 93.65% and a kappa value of 0.85 indicate high agreement between predicted and actual categories. In 2010, accuracy increased to 97.04% with a kappa value of 0.94. Notably, 2020 witnessed further advancement, yielding an overall accuracy of 98.55% and a kappa value of 0.96. These upward trends affirm model’s proficiency and underscore reliability of classification methodology.

3.2. Land Cover Future Projection and Model Validation

Figures 5 and 6 show a depiction of future LULC projections, derived from CA-ANN model. It elucidates evolving dynamics across distinct LULC classes: waterbodies exhibit a gradual reduction from 70.14 km² in 2020 to 51.53 km² in 2100; vegetation class indicates a growth of 475.95 km² in 2030 before tapering off; built-up areas consistently expand from 480.24 km² in 2020 to 1146.47 km² in 2100; agricultural land experiences fluctuations, declining to 6.81 km² by 2100; wetlands contract from 95.83 km² in 2020 to 3.31 km² in 2100; and bare soil diminishes from 201.59 km² in 2020 to 27.69 km² in 2100.

Validation of future LULC demonstrates a kappa value of 0.95 for its ANN component and 0.75 for validation. Subsequent years reveal a predictive accuracy of kappa of 0.70 in 2030, 0.68 in 2050, 0.66 in 2070, and 0.65 in 2100. It’s important to note that these kappa values were automatically calculated within the CA-ANN model, representing a quantified measure of the model’s performance. In addition, these values indicate the degree of agreement between model’s predictions and validation. The validation process serves as a crucial step in gauging the model’s reliability and its capacity to provide meaningful insights into potential land use dynamics in the years ahead.
3.2. Land Cover Future Projection and Model Validation

Figures 5 and 6 show a depiction of future LULC projections, derived from CA-ANN model. It elucidates evolving dynamics across distinct LULC classes: waterbodies exhibit a gradual reduction from 70.14 km² in 2020 to 51.53 km² in 2100; vegetation class indicates a growth of 475.95 km² in 2030 before tapering off; built-up areas consistently expand from 480.24 km² in 2020 to 1146.47 km² in 2100; agricultural land experiences fluctuations, declining to 6.81 km² by 2100; wetlands contract from 95.83 km² in 2020 to 3.31 km² in 2100; and bare soil diminishes from 201.59 km² in 2020 to 27.69 km² in 2100.

Figure 6. Areas (km²) of future LULC classes for 2020, 2030, and 2050, 2070, and 2100.

3.3. Annual Rate of Conversion for the Projected LULC

Figure 7 illustrates changes in areas of projected LULC classes over various temporal spans (2020–2030, 2020–2050, 2020–2070, and 2020–2100). It shows waterbodies would have a positive rate in the first interval (7.7193 km²) but gradually decrease in subsequent periods. Vegetation experiences growth (124.785 km²) in the earlier timeframe, followed by a decline in later times. Conversely, built-up areas consistently expand across all periods, with significant rate (ranging from 160.042 km² to 666.233 km²). Agricultural land and wetlands demonstrate decreasing rates over time. Bare soil areas also exhibit decreasing rates, indicating diminishing bare areas. Overall, these projected annual rates of conversion shed light on the change dynamics of LULC categories, underscoring shifts in urbanization, vegetation, and other key land cover types (Figure 7 and Table 5).
shed light on the change dynamics of LULC categories, underscoring shifts in urbanization, vegetation, and other key land cover types (Figure 7 and Table 5).

![LULC changes in different periods](image)

**Table 5.** Annual rate of alteration (%) of projected LULC in various temporal intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterbody</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built-up</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural land</td>
<td>−4.67</td>
<td>−1.56</td>
<td>−1.29</td>
<td>−0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td>−5.61</td>
<td>−1.87</td>
<td>−1.82</td>
<td>−1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare soil</td>
<td>−5.13</td>
<td>−1.71</td>
<td>−1.33</td>
<td>−0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.4. Assessing Rainfall-Runoff**

Figure 8 shows results of runoff projections for different seasons and time periods. This highlights the potential changes in runoff under future scenarios, with baseline calculations relying on historical data and future predictions driven by CNRM-CM6-1 SSP1-2.6 model within CMIP6 GCMs. During the winter season (December to February), it indicates minimal runoff for the year 2020 and 2030, with values of zero, while in 2050, 2070, and 2100, runoff gradually increases, with values of 0.39 mm, 1.2 mm, and 1.9 mm, respectively, for the ‘High’ runoff category. Similarly, for the ‘Low’ runoff category, the values also follow the same pattern. The pre- and post-monsoon seasons runoff show variability, with the ‘High’ and ‘Low’ runoff categories displaying fluctuations across different time periods. Notably, in 2030 and 2070, the ‘High’ runoff values would rise, while in other years, different trends are observed. For the monsoon season, both ‘High’ and ‘Low’ runoff
categories experience changing patterns. The values depict variations over the years, suggesting complex shifts in monsoon-related runoff dynamics.

a. Winter season (December to February)

b. Pre- and post-monsoon seasons (March-May, October-November)

c. Monsoon season (June-September)

Figure 8. Spatial distribution of runoff (mm) during the three seasons in different temporal periods.

These runoff projections illustrate potential future scenarios under climate change using SCS-CN model and CNRM-CM6-1 SSP1-2.6 model of CMIP6 GCMs. This approach offers insights into potential hydrological impacts, aiding in preparedness and adaptation strategies for managing near depleting water resources. The significant variations in runoff simulation results at different stages indeed raise questions about driving factors promoting these changes. While we did not explicitly model precipitation changes in this study, we recognize its crucial role in influencing runoff. The observed differences in runoff between stages may be attributed to several factors, which were implicit in our model but not examined. These factors include variations in land use patterns, urbanization, and potential alterations in soil properties due to land development.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

In the context of a rapidly urbanizing world and shifting climate patterns, understanding the interplay between urban dynamics and hydrological responses holds global and regional importance. Globally, urbanization is altering landscapes, impacting hydrology, and necessitating sustainable planning. Dhaka city has challenges of urban growth, climate vulnerability, and water scarcity [64–66]. This study deciphered these interactions,
offering insights applicable beyond Dhaka. Its findings can guide urban planning, flood management, and climate resilience strategies in similar urban centers across South Asia and beyond, addressing broader concerns of water resource sustainability in the face of urban expansion and changing climate conditions [67].

The results of this study can contribute to the understanding of how urbanization, climate change, and land cover alterations collectively shape runoff patterns in the city [68]. The findings are well-aligned with previous research and hypotheses, showcasing both incremental and interactive effects of these factors on hydrological dynamics. Observed urbanization, in line with previous studies [69–71], has led to substantial changes in land cover, especially built-up area expansion and reduction of agricultural and bare lands. This corresponds to the anticipated outcomes of urban growth and land development, affirming sensitivity of land cover transformations to urban dynamics.

The use of advanced modeling techniques, such as random forest algorithm for land cover classification, not only enhanced our understanding of urban dynamics but also served as a transferable approach for other urban environments grappling with similar challenges [72]. The validation of this classification method using kappa coefficient aligns with literature regarding robustness of machine learning in capturing complex land cover patterns. Moreover, integration of cellular automata-artificial neural network (CA-ANN), coupled with MOLUSCE plugin, contributed to a comprehensive toolkit for future land cover projections [73]. This method has the potential to be extrapolated to diverse urban contexts, enabling accurate predictions and offering insights into the future of urban landscapes [74]. The application of the soil conservation service-curve number (SCS-CN) rainfall-runoff model with CMIP6 climate data amplifies the study’s broader relevance [75]. By assessing the influence of land cover changes on runoff, the method provided a blueprint for evaluating hydrological responses in other urban areas experiencing rapid urbanization and climatic shifts [76]. Integration of climate data from CMIP6 GCMs aligns with emerging practice of using global climate models to explore local impacts [77].

The synergistic impacts of urbanization and climate change on runoff, as highlighted in this study, echoed the findings of previous studies [78], where hydrological response was influenced by both land use changes and climatic variations. The increased monsoon season runoff signifies heightened hydrological sensitivity, mirroring the results of hydrological models applied to other contexts [79].

From a broader perspective, this research underscored the necessity of integrating urban planning strategies for climate resilience and water resource management. The findings emphasized that successful management of urban growth necessitates a holistic approach that factors in both land cover dynamics and evolving climate scenarios. These insights resonate with broader discourse on sustainable urban development [80,81]. The implications of this work extend to potential policy formulations aimed at mitigating adverse hydrological impacts stemming from urbanization and climate change. Further, this study underscored the need for adaptive urban planning strategies that account for hydrological responses, with implications for stormwater management, flood mitigation, and infrastructure development.

Future research could delve deeper into exploring complicated causal relationships between urbanization, land cover changes, and runoff patterns. More comprehensive modeling approaches could also incorporate socio-economic variables to better capture multifaceted nature of urbanization dynamics and their hydrological implications. Additionally, analyzing the potential for green infrastructure and nature-based solutions to mitigate hydrological impacts could offer actionable insights for urban planners and policymakers. In this study, our focus was exclusively on CNRM-CM6-1 SSP1-2.6 model. However, for future investigations, a broader scope could include multiple climate models and scenarios. This approach would facilitate an inter-model and scenario comparison, enabling the derivation of more robust and comprehensive findings. Furthermore, it’s important to acknowledge that, in the current study, validation of runoff predictions was not conducted due to limitations of ground data. However, this limitation could be ad-
dressed in subsequent research, potentially enhancing credibility and reliability of the results. Such future studies could significantly contribute to refinement and expansion of our understanding of complex relationships between land cover, climate change, and hydrological responses in urban environments.

In conclusion, this study effectively bridged the gap between urbanization, climate change, and hydrological responses in Dhaka city. The findings provided a valuable lens through which urban planning strategies can be refined to ensure sustainable water resource management amidst evolving urban dynamics and changing climatic conditions. This research sets the stage for more holistic and proactive approaches to address urban hydrological challenges, offering a blueprint for other cities facing similar transformations and uncertainties.
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