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Error in Figure

In the original publication [1], there was a mistake in Figure 12b as published. The results reported in Figure 12b mistakenly compare the total origins (residences) from each district in the synthetic population with the total destinations (workplaces) from the baseline dataset. This is due to a transposed table in the QGIS software tool (version 3.34.3) used to produce the picture. The picture should have been updated, but due to human error, this correction was lost in the proof-reading phase. The old and incorrect picture reports underperformance of the proposed models, as it compares two different quantities (origins and destinations) between datasets. When the destinations are compared between the synthetic population and the baseline dataset, as in the corrected picture below (Figure 12b), it can be seen that the performance of the algorithm is actually better than reported. The authors state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. This correction was approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.

Figure 12. (b) Workers and their districts of residence as the origin (colorcoded).
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