



Review

# Brain Metastases in Lung Cancers with Emerging Targetable Fusion Drivers

Aaron C. Tan <sup>1,\*</sup>, Malinda Itchins <sup>2,3</sup> and Mustafa Khasraw <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Centre Singapore, Singapore 169610, Singapore

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, NSW 2065, Australia; malinda.itchins@sydney.edu.au

<sup>3</sup> Northern Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, St. Leonards, NSW 2065, Australia

<sup>4</sup> The Preston Robert Tisch Brain Tumor Center, Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA; mustafa.khasraw@duke.edu

\* Correspondence: aaron.tan@singhealth.com.sg; Tel.: +65-6436-8000; Fax.: +65-6225-6283

Received: 13 January 2020; Accepted: 15 February 2020; Published: 19 February 2020

**Abstract:** The management of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has transformed with the discovery of therapeutically tractable oncogenic drivers. In addition to activating driver mutations, gene fusions or rearrangements form a unique sub-class, with anaplastic lymphoma kinase (*ALK*) and c-ros oncogene 1 (*ROS1*) targeted agents approved as the standard of care in the first-line setting for advanced disease. There are a number of emerging fusion drivers, however, including neurotrophin kinase (*NTRK*), rearrangement during transfection (*RET*), and neuregulin 1 (*NRG1*) for which there are evolving high-impact systemic treatment options. Brain metastases are highly prevalent in NSCLC patients, with molecularly selected populations such as epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) mutant and *ALK*-rearranged tumors particularly brain tropic. Accordingly, there exists a substantial body of research pertaining to the understanding of brain metastases in such populations. Little is known, however, on the molecular mechanisms of brain metastases in those with other targetable fusion drivers in NSCLC. This review encompasses key areas including the biological underpinnings of brain metastases in fusion-driven lung cancers, the intracranial efficacy of novel systemic therapies, and future directions required to optimize the control and prevention of brain metastases.

**Keywords:** Brain metastases; fusion drivers; non-small cell lung cancer; targeted therapy

## 1. Introduction

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is a leading cause of cancer death globally [1] and is characterized by a high prevalence of brain metastases (BM) of up to 50% during the course of the disease [2,3]. The presence of BM portends a poor prognosis, both in terms of survival and response to systemic therapies, and has associated morbidity, including from the side effects of treatment [4]. Currently, the treatment paradigm consists of surgery, radiotherapy, systemic therapy, and palliative care support, and in those with advanced disease, the appropriate sequence or combination of local and systemic therapies is crucial. However, with rapidly evolving systemic treatment options including molecular therapies and immunotherapy, patients with BM remain a patient population of special interest. Novel therapies may have variable intracranial efficacy, and typically only patients with stable asymptomatic BM are enrolled in clinical trials or may be excluded altogether, particularly in the setting of leptomeningeal carcinomatosis.

Our understanding of NSCLC has transformed with the discovery of molecular subtypes and therapeutically targetable oncogenic drivers, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*)

activating mutations, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (*ALK*), and c-ros oncogene 1 (*ROS1*) gene fusions/rearrangements [5–7]. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy is now standard of care in the first-line setting for advanced disease in patients that harbor such alterations. Accordingly, there exists a substantial and growing body of research pertaining to the understanding of BM in these populations [8]. However, there are a number of emerging fusion drivers, including neuregulin 1 (*NRG1*), neurotrophin kinase (*NTRK*), and rearrangement during transfection (*RET*), for which there are evolving systemic treatment options. Indeed, driver gene fusions in cancer are more common than previously thought [9]. Much less is known on the molecular mechanisms of BM in lung cancers with emerging targetable fusion drivers. This review will encompass key areas including the biological underpinnings of BM in lung cancers harboring an oncogenic fusion driver, the intracranial efficacy of novel systemic therapies, and future directions to optimize the control and prevention of BM.

## 2. Molecular Understanding of BM in NSCLC with Fusions Drivers

The overall incidence of BM in advanced NSCLC patients at diagnosis has been estimated at 10%–20% [3,10]. This is higher in *EGFR* mutant NSCLC at 23%–32% [11,12], and in *KRAS* mutant NSCLC at 29% [13]. The incidence may also be higher in patients with *ALK* fusions at 20%–39% [14–16], and in patients with *ROS1* fusions at 19%–36% [15,16]. The underlying molecular biology behind this increased incidence of BM in fusion-driven NSCLC remains to be elucidated. Significant progress has been made, however, in our understanding of the mechanisms behind the development of BM in general. A sequential stepwise process may occur, incorporating the extravasation and invasion of cancer cells across the blood–brain barrier (BBB), interaction with the brain microenvironment, vascular co-option, and dormancy, and finally angiogenesis and proliferation [17–19]. An enhanced understanding of this process is crucial to the development of more effective therapies and preventative strategies.

The BBB is of particular importance in tumors treated with small-molecule TKIs. Due to the BBB, the brain has long been considered a ‘sanctuary site’, preventing the ability of drugs to penetrate the central nervous system (CNS) [20,21]. Moreover, present are active exclusion mechanisms such as efflux pump(s) glycoprotein P (P-gp) and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) [22]. Consequently, this may result in both the poor intracranial efficacy for many systemic therapies including many TKIs and the brain as a common site of disease progression after treatment failure of systemic therapy. While the BBB may have increased permeability in the presence of BM, achieving sufficient drug concentrations for anti-tumor efficacy remains a challenge [23]. Other brain tissue microenvironment factors may also be crucial in determining response to therapy [24]. Especially for *EGFR* mutant and *ALK*-rearranged NSCLC, however, many newer generation TKIs have been engineered specifically to have greater CNS penetrability and resultant superior intracranial efficacy compared to first-generation TKIs [25–27]. Translational and preclinical studies have supported the greater intracranial penetration for these newer agents [28,29].

There have been numerous studies investigating potential biomarkers or predictors for the development of BM in NSCLC. One such study by Wang et al. [30] performed panel-based next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 61 paired primary and resected BM tumors. They found alterations of genes related to cell cycle regulation and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway signaling were enriched and tumor mutation burden (TMB) was increased in the resected BM compared to the paired primary lung tumors. Another study that molecularly profiled 3424 unmatched NSCLC tumors found that lung adenocarcinoma BM had the greatest median TMB compared to other extracranial metastatic sites [31]. Together, this potentially reflects the genetic divergence and evolution of BM compared to other metastatic sites. In fusion-driven NSCLC, however, and fusion driven cancers in general, TMB is generally low [32]. This suggests tumor evolution cannot fully explain the propensity for BM in fusion-driven NSCLC. Importantly, however, many oncogenic kinases within driver fusions such as *ALK* and *RET* are known to constitutively activate the PI3K/Akt/mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, including in response to treatment, resulting in tumorigenesis [33–35]. This implicates the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway as a mechanism by which

fusion-driven NSCLC develop BM. *LPCAT1* gene expression may play a key role in promoting BM via the PI3K pathway [36]. Furthermore, prior studies of BM in breast cancer and melanoma have also illustrated the importance of PI3K pathway upregulation [37–39]. Finally, the specific fusion partner may also play a role, potentially due to differing protein conformations. *CD74-ROS1* fusions, for example, have been demonstrated to have higher rates of BM compared to other *ROS1* fusion partners [40].

### 3. RET Fusion-Positive NSCLC

*RET* fusions were first identified in NSCLC in 2012 by four independent groups and occur in approximately 1%–2% of NSCLC patients [41,42]. The incidence of BM in *RET* fusion-positive NSCLC at diagnosis has been estimated at 25% based on a combined multi-institutional registry and bi-institutional dataset cohort of 133 patients [43]. In this retrospective study, the lifetime prevalence of BM was 46%. Multikinase inhibitors, such as cabozantinib and vandetanib, have been demonstrated to have limited systemic efficacy in phase II clinical trials [44–46]. Accordingly, intracranial efficacy has also been seen to be limited. In the phase II trial of cabozantinib for *RET* fusion-positive NSCLC, two of five patients with untreated baseline BM had intracranial disease control, while 10% of patients discontinued cabozantinib due to the development or progression of BM [44]. In the aforementioned retrospective study by Drilon et al. [43], intracranial response was seen in only two of 11 (18%) patients that were treated with a multikinase *RET* TKI, with a median overall progression-free survival (PFS) of 3.9 months. There have also been limited reports of intracranial activity for alectinib [47,48].

Subsequently, however, more selective and potent *RET* TKIs have been developed, notably selpercatinib (LOXO-292) and pralsetinib (BLU-667). Early efficacy data from phase I/II clinical trials have illustrated impressive and durable responses in patients with *RET* fusion-positive NSCLC [49,50]. Similarly, there is evidence of much greater intracranial efficacy for selpercatinib and pralsetinib compared to the previous multikinase TKIs [50,51]. In the LIBRETTO-001 study, durable intracranial responses to selpercatinib were seen in ten of 11 (91%) patients with measurable intracranial disease, with disease control in additional patients with non-measurable BM [49,51]. Significant intracranial activity was also seen with pralsetinib on the ARROW study, in which 39% had baseline BM [50]. Shrinkage with pralsetinib was seen in 7/9 (78%) patients with measurable BM. Furthermore, there have been documented responses to selpercatinib in patients with leptomeningeal disease and in various contexts such as intracranial progression after prior systemic and local therapies [48,52]. There is also preclinical evidence that demonstrates the enhanced intracranial efficacy of these selective TKIs. In an orthotopic mouse tumor model, *CCDC6-RET* fusion-positive patient-derived xenograft (PDX) cell suspensions were injected intracranially, and mice were treated with selpercatinib or ponatinib (a multikinase TKI) [48]. Selpercatinib significantly prolonged survival compared with vehicle- or ponatinib-treated mice.

Interestingly, on a phase I trial of vandetanib in combination with everolimus, intracranial response was seen in a patient with *RET* fusion-positive and *AKT2* gene amplified NSCLC [53]. The addition of an mTOR inhibitor was proposed to improve the intracranial efficacy of vandetanib by increasing BBB penetration via modulating P-gp- and BCRP1-mediated efflux, and inhibition of *AKT2*, a critical component of the PI3K/mTOR pathway. Oncogenic *RET* fusions have been demonstrated to activate the kinase domain, leading to uncontrolled activation of the PI3K pathway [54]—again implicating this pathway as a potential key driver of BM in *RET* fusion-positive NSCLC.

### 4. NTRK Fusion-Positive NSCLC

*NTRK* fusions consist of gene rearrangements involving *NTRK1*, *NTRK2*, and *NTRK3*, which result in constitutive activation of the fusion protein containing the tropomyosin receptor tyrosine kinase (TRK) kinase domain [55]. The incidence of *NTRK* fusions in NSCLC is approximately only 0.1–1% [55–57]. However, they have been identified ubiquitously in rare cancers such as secretory carcinomas of the breast and salivary gland [58] and infantile fibrosarcoma [59], and also at low frequencies across a wide range of common cancers [60]. The exact incidence of BM in *NTRK* fusion-

positive NSCLC is unknown, although, in one retrospective series of 4872 NSCLC patients, 4 of 8 (50%) *NTRK* fusion-positive patients had BM at diagnosis of advanced disease [57]. *NTRK* fusions are also seen in other tumor types with a high frequency of BM such as breast cancers [61]. Importantly, *NTRK* fusions are also found in primary CNS malignancies, at low frequencies in adult gliomas but much higher in up to 40% of pediatric high-grade gliomas (HGG) [62]. This may provide additional insight into the efficacy of targeted therapies for CNS disease.

There are multiple TRK inhibitors entering the clinic, most notably entrectinib and larotrectinib, with both recently receiving tumor-agnostic regulatory approvals [63]. Entrectinib is considered a multi-kinase TRK inhibitor, with additional activity against ROS1 and ALK [64]. Preliminary reports from early phase trials for entrectinib indicated intracranial response in 3/3 (100%) patients, including a NSCLC patient with 15–20 BM [65]. Updated analysis indicated an intracranial objective response rate (ORR) of 55%, with a median intracranial PFS of 14.3 months in all solid tumors [66]. In three patients with *NTRK* fusion-positive pediatric HGG, all had durable responses to entrectinib [67]. In the small numbers of *NTRK* fusion-positive NSCLC, entrectinib has similarly shown durable systemic and intracranial efficacy with responses in 4/6 (67%) patients [68,69]. Larotrectinib, a selective TRK inhibitor, has also been shown to be highly active in NSCLC [70]. There were initial case reports of intracranial efficacy with larotrectinib, including a NSCLC patient with a near intracranial complete response (CR) [71]. More recent analyses have also indicated intracranial response to larotrectinib in a pooled analysis of two clinical trials, with 3/5 (60%) non-primary CNS solid tumor patients achieving PR, stable disease (SD) in 1 (20%) and not evaluable in 1 (20%) [72]. This small cohort included three NSCLC patients, of which one had response. In nine primary CNS tumor patients, disease control was seen in 8/9 (89%) patients, with one (11%) not evaluable. Furthermore, the CNS was not a site of progression on larotrectinib in the initial trials across multiple tumor types in patients without baseline BM [73].

The underlying biology of BM in *NTRK* fusion-positive cancers remains to be elucidated, however, the role of TRK pathway signaling in neuronal development and differentiation may be crucial in understanding the pathogenesis [61]. *NTRK* genes are predominantly transcribed in the nervous system in adult tissues and during embryonic development [74]. Neurotrophins and neurotrophin receptors may be crucial in maintaining neuronal homeostasis [75]. Consequently, TRK proteins and activated signaling pathways may influence diverse neuronal functions, including survival and differentiation of neurons [76]. TRK fusion proteins may signal through the same downstream pathways upon neurotrophin binding as the full-length TRK proteins [77]. In cancer, tissue histology may also play a key role in determining pathway activation involved in tumorigenesis [61]. The *ETV6-NTRK3* fusion, for example, essentially pathognomonic in secretory breast carcinoma and infantile fibrosarcomas, results in activation of the PI3K/Akt signaling cascade and upregulation of MAPK activity and cyclin D1 expression [78]. Contrastingly, in lung cancer cell lines, although activation of PI3K and STAT3 signaling is seen, signaling via the SHC-RAS-MAPK pathway is predominant [56].

Preclinical studies confirm the ability of TRK inhibitors such as entrectinib to penetrate the BBB [64]. Furthermore, specific on-target neurologic toxicities have been seen in clinical trials due to TRK inhibition such as dizziness and cognitive changes [63]. Ultimately, greater characterization of the pathogenesis and incidence of BM in *NTRK* fusion-positive NSCLC and the intracranial efficacy of next-generation TRK inhibitors is needed, which may also elucidate our molecular understanding of BM in other *NTRK* fusion-positive cancers.

## 5. *NRG1* Fusion-Positive NSCLC

*NRG1* fusions were initially identified in 2014 in five patients with invasive mucinous adenocarcinomas (IMA) of the lung [79]. The incidence of *NRG1* fusions is estimated at 0.3% of NSCLC and 0.2% of all solid cancers based on a large series of 21,858 cases [80]. The majority of the detected *NRG1* fusions (61%), however, were found in NSCLC, with gallbladder and pancreatic cancers the next most common. Up to 61% of *NRG1* fusion-positive NSCLC is of IMA histology, although it has also been identified in both adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma [81]. *NRG1*

fusions provide an extracellular anchor for the EGF domain to bind to HER3, with subsequent HER2–HER3 heterodimerization and activation of oncogenic signaling pathways including the PI3K/Akt pathway [82]. The incidence of BM in *NRG1* fusion-positive NSCLC is not well characterized, given the relative rarity of the oncogenic driver and lack of large published series of patients. The lifetime incidence of BM was only 15% in a series of 80 patients with *NRG1* fusions from a global multicenter registry [83].

Therapies targeting *NRG1* fusions in NSCLC are currently being assessed in clinical trials. Preliminary reports of a NSCLC patient with BM treated with MCLA-128, a novel bispecific HER2/3 antibody, indicated intracranial response to therapy [84]. There are also reports of systemic responses to off-label or other novel therapies with HER2/3 inhibitory activity, most notably afatinib [81]. In the limited published cases to date, none of 11 were documented to have BM at the time of commencing *NRG1* fusion-targeted therapy [81]. However, one patient with no response to afatinib developed disease progression in the brain [85]. Another patient that maintained response to afatinib for 12 months also subsequently progressed in the brain [86].

The biological mechanisms in the development of BM in *NRG1* fusion-positive NSCLC have not yet been explored. Interestingly, however, in studies of BM in unselected NSCLC, higher expression of phosphorylated HER3 has been demonstrated in BM compared to matched primary tumors [87]. Conversely, *NRG1* immunohistochemistry (IHC) expression was decreased in BM versus to the primary tumors. Additionally, our understanding of BM in breast cancer may provide interesting clues. In preclinical models of BM in breast cancer, HER3 has been found to be overexpressed in BM compared to primary tumors in *HER2*-amplified and/or *PIK3CA* mutant breast tumors [88]. Neuregulins such as *NRG1* and *NRG2* were then found to induce in vitro resistance to PI3K inhibition via HER3 overexpression and activation. Clinical trials of PI3K/mTOR inhibitors are underway in patients with BM and *PIK3CA* mutations [89].

## 6. Other Rare Fusions in NSCLC

There are a number of other rare fusions that have been detected in NSCLC for which there are targeted therapies in development or approved for other indications. *FGFR* fusions were identified in approximately 0.2% of NSCLC cases from a large series of 26,054 patients and included one patient that had clinical benefit to an investigational *FGFR* inhibitor [90]. Similarly, low frequencies have been identified for *BRAF* fusions (0.2–2.8%) [91,92], *MET* fusions (0.04%) [93], and *EGFR* fusions (0.08%) [94]. Owing to the rarity of these fusion drivers and the limited epidemiological and clinical characterization of these cohorts, our understanding of the incidence of BM and intracranial efficacy of targeted therapies is limited. Undoubtedly, as methods and techniques to detect fusions continue to improve and advance, targetable fusion drivers in NSCLC may be detected with increasing frequency [95].

## 7. Future Directions

The preliminary evidence for many novel targeted therapies indicates improving intracranial efficacy compared to first-generation targeted therapies and other systemic therapies such as chemotherapy (Table 1). This may be, in part, due to the design and selection of small often macrocyclic molecule compounds with strong consideration on their ability to penetrate the BBB, with entrectinib a prominent example [96]. Nevertheless, better understanding of the biological and molecular mechanisms underlying the development and progression of BM will allow for further progress in developing new and more effective therapies for BM. Interestingly, the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway appears as a recurrent theme in many studies of BM, in not only NSCLC but other cancers with a proclivity for BM such as breast cancer [39] and melanoma [97]. Oncogenic activation of this pathway in fusion-driven cancers has also been commonly identified and potentially implicates this as a targetable pathway for systemic therapy against BM. Incorporating systemic therapy into the multi-modality approach for the treatment of BM is also crucial. Lessons from treatment algorithms developed for patients with more common oncogenic drivers in NSCLC, such as *EGFR* mutations and *ALK* fusions, will guide and inform the optimal approach to patients in rarer fusion subsets.

Nevertheless, the use of registries and collaborative studies will be increasingly important to understand and provide insight into the efficacy of different treatments and approaches, for which prospective trials would not be feasible. The safety and efficacy of combination therapies, including local therapies, is also an area that needs to be explored further. Novel or innovative clinical trial designs, such as window-of-opportunity studies, are promising tools with which significant pathobiological knowledge of BM can be gained [17].

**Table 1.** Intracranial Efficacy of Novel Targeted Therapies in Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with Emerging Fusion Drivers.

| Drug                | Intracranial Efficacy                                            | Overall Efficacy     | Trial                              | Reference |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| <i>RET fusions</i>  |                                                                  |                      |                                    |           |
| Selpercatinib       | 10/11 (91%) pts with response                                    | ORR 68% (71/105 pts) | LIBRETTO-001                       | [49]      |
| Pralsetinib         | 7/9 (78%) pts with response                                      | ORR 58% (28/48 pts)  | ARROW                              | [50]      |
| <i>NTRK fusions</i> |                                                                  |                      |                                    |           |
| Entrectinib         | 4/6 (67%) pts with response                                      | ORR 70% (7/10 pts)   | ALKA-372-001, STARTRK-1, STARTRK-2 | [69]      |
| Larotrectinib       | 1/3 (33%) pts with response<br>2/3 (67%) pts with stable disease | ORR 71% (5/7 pts)    | Phase 1, SCOUT, NAVIGATE           | [72]      |
| <i>NRG1 fusions</i> |                                                                  |                      |                                    |           |
| MCLA-128            | Case report of response in 1 pt                                  | -                    | SPP                                | [84]      |

ORR—objective response rate, Pts—patients, SPP—single patient protocol.

## 8. Conclusion

NSCLC patients with BM remain a population of unmet medical needs. The expanding number of targetable fusion drivers and the development of novel therapeutics is increasing the complexity of care for these patients. Ultimately, a greater understanding of the underlying biological and molecular mechanisms of BM will allow for more precision in the multi-modality approach to treatment in these patients.

**Author Contributions:** A.C.T., M.I., and M.K. all contributed to review design, draft article, critical revision of content, and final approval for publication. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** A.C.T. was the recipient of an International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) Fellowship 2018–2020.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Siegel, R.L.; Torre, L.A.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **2018**, *68*, 394–424.
2. Berghoff, A.S.; Schur, S.; Füreder, L.M.; Gatterbauer, B.; Dieckmann, K.; Widhalm, G.; Hainfellner, J.; Zielinski, C.C.; Birner, P.; Bartsch, R.; et al. Descriptive statistical analysis of a real life cohort of 2419 patients with brain metastases of solid cancers. *ESMO Open* **2016**, *1*, e000024.
3. Barnholtz-Sloan, J.S.; Sloan, A.E.; Davis, F.G.; Vignneau, F.D.; Lai, P.; Sawaya, R.E. Incidence proportions of brain metastases in patients diagnosed (1973 to 2001) in the Metropolitan Detroit Cancer Surveillance System. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2004**, *22*, 2865–2872.
4. Peters, S.; Bexelius, C.; Munk, V.; Leighl, N. The impact of brain metastasis on quality of life, resource utilization and survival in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 139–162.

5. Mok, T.S.; Wu, Y.-L.; Thongprasert, S.; Yang, C.-H.; Chu, D.-T.; Saijo, N.; Sunpaweravong, P.; Han, B.; Margono, B.; Ichinose, Y.; et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2009**, *361*, 947–957.
6. Shaw, A.T.; Kim, D.-W.; Nakagawa, K.; Seto, T.; Crinó, L.; Ahn, M.-J.; De Pas, T.; Besse, B.; Solomon, B.J.; Blackhall, F.; et al. Crizotinib versus chemotherapy in advanced ALK-positive lung cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2013**, *368*, 2385–2394.
7. Shaw, A.T.; Ou, S.-H.I.; Bang, Y.-J.; Camidge, D.R.; Solomon, B.J.; Salgia, R.; Riely, G.J.; Varella-Garcia, M.; Shapiro, G.I.; Costa, D.B.; et al. Crizotinib in ROS1-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2014**, *371*, 1963–1971.
8. Remon, J.; Besse, B. Brain Metastases in Oncogene-Addicted Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients: Incidence and Treatment. *Front. Oncol.* **2018**, *8*, 88.
9. Mertens, F.; Johansson, B.; Fioretos, T.; Mitelman, F. The emerging complexity of gene fusions in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2015**, *15*, 371–381.
10. Schouten, L.J.; Rutten, J.; Huveneers, H.A.M.; Twijnstra, A. Incidence of brain metastases in a cohort of patients with carcinoma of the breast, colon, kidney, and lung and melanoma. *Cancer* **2002**, *94*, 2698–2705.
11. Iuchi, T.; Shingyoji, M.; Itakura, M.; Yokoi, S.; Moriya, Y.; Tamura, H.; Yoshida, Y.; Ashinuma, H.; Kawasaki, K.; Hasegawa, Y.; et al. Frequency of brain metastases in non-small-cell lung cancer, and their association with epidermal growth factor receptor mutations. *Int. J. Clin. Oncol.* **2015**, *20*, 674–679.
12. Rangachari, D.; Yamaguchi, N.; VanderLaan, P.A.; Folch, E.; Mahadevan, A.; Floyd, S.R.; Uhlmann, E.J.; Wong, E.T.; Dahlberg, S.E.; Huberman, M.S.; et al. Brain metastases in patients with EGFR-mutated or ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancers. *Lung Cancer* **2015**, *88*, 108–111.
13. Lohinai, Z.; Klikovits, T.; Moldvay, J.; Ostoros, G.; Raso, E.; Timar, J.; Fabian, K.; Kovalszky, I.; Kenessey, I.; Aigner, C.; et al. KRAS-mutation incidence and prognostic value are metastatic site-specific in lung adenocarcinoma: Poor prognosis in patients with KRAS mutation and bone metastasis. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 39721.
14. Toyokawa, G.; Seto, T.; Takenoyama, M.; Ichinose, Y. Insights into brain metastasis in patients with ALK+ lung cancer: Is the brain truly a sanctuary? *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **2015**, *34*, 797–805.
15. Patil, T.; Smith, D.E.; Bunn, P.A.; Aisner, D.L.; Le, A.T.; Hancock, M.; Purcell, W.T.; Bowles, D.W.; Camidge, D.R.; Doebele, R.C. The Incidence of Brain Metastases in Stage IV ROS1-Rearranged Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Rate of Central Nervous System Progression on Crizotinib. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **2018**, *13*, 1717–1726.
16. Gainor, J.F.; Tseng, D.; Yoda, S.; Dagogo-Jack, I.; Friboulet, L.; Lin, J.J.; Hubbeling, H.G.; Dardaei, L.; Farago, A.F.; Schultz, K.R.; et al. Patterns of Metastatic Spread and Mechanisms of Resistance to Crizotinib in ROS1-Positive Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* **2017**, *1*, 1–13.
17. Preusser, M.; Winkler, F.; Valiente, M.; Manegold, C.; Moyal, E.; Widhalm, G.; Tonn, J.-C.; Zielinski, C. Recent advances in the biology and treatment of brain metastases of non-small cell lung cancer: Summary of a multidisciplinary roundtable discussion. *ESMO Open* **2018**, *3*, e000262.
18. Cooper, J.B.; Ronecker, J.S.; Tobias, M.E.; Mohan, A.L.; Hillard, V.; Murali, R.; Gandhi, C.D.; Schmidt, M.H.; Jhanwar-Uniyal, M. Molecular Sequence of Events and Signaling Pathways in Cerebral Metastases. *Anticancer Res.* **2018**, *38*, 1859–1877.
19. Winkler, F. Hostile takeover: How tumours hijack pre-existing vascular environments to thrive. *J. Pathol.* **2017**, *242*, 267–272.
20. Steeg, P.S.; Camphausen, K.A.; Smith, Q.R. Brain metastases as preventive and therapeutic targets. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2011**, *11*, 352–363.
21. Eichler, A.F.; Chung, E.; Kodack, D.P.; Loeffler, J.S.; Fukumura, D.; Jain, R.K. The biology of brain metastases-translation to new therapies. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2011**, *8*, 344–356.
22. Yu, Y.J.; Zhang, Y.; Kenrick, M.; Hoyte, K.; Luk, W.; Lu, Y.; Atwal, J.; Elliott, J.M.; Prabhu, S.; Watts, R.J.; et al. Boosting brain uptake of a therapeutic antibody by reducing its affinity for a transcytosis target. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2011**, *3*, 84ra44.
23. Pitz, M.W.; Desai, A.; Grossman, S.A.; Blakeley, J.O. Tissue concentration of systemically administered antineoplastic agents in human brain tumors. *J. Neurooncol.* **2011**, *104*, 629–638.
24. Osswald, M.; Blaes, J.; Liao, Y.; Solecki, G.; Gömmel, M.; Berghoff, A.S.; Salphati, L.; Wallin, J.J.; Phillips, H.S.; Wick, W.; et al. Impact of Blood-Brain Barrier Integrity on Tumor Growth and Therapy Response in Brain Metastases. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2016**, *22*, 6078–6087.

25. Reungwetwattana, T.; Nakagawa, K.; Cho, B.C.; Cobo, M.; Cho, E.K.; Bertolini, A.; Bohnet, S.; Zhou, C.; Lee, K.H.; Nogami, N.; et al. CNS Response to Osimertinib Versus Standard Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Patients With Untreated EGFR-Mutated Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2018**, doi:2018783118.
26. Nishio, M.; Nakagawa, K.; Mitsudomi, T.; Yamamoto, N.; Tanaka, T.; Kuriki, H.; Zeaiter, A.; Tamura, T. Analysis of central nervous system efficacy in the J-ALEX study of alectinib versus crizotinib in ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* **2018**, *121*, 37–40.
27. Gadgil, S.; Peters, S.; Mok, T.; Shaw, A.T.; Kim, D.W.; Ou, S.I.; Pérol, M.; Wrona, A.; Novello, S.; Rosell, R.; et al. Alectinib versus crizotinib in treatment-naïve anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive (ALK+) non-small-cell lung cancer: CNS efficacy results from the ALEX study. *Ann. Oncol.* **2018**, *29*, 2214–2222.
28. Ballard, P.; Yates, J.W.T.; Yang, Z.; Kim, D.-W.; Yang, J.C.-H.; Cantarini, M.; Pickup, K.; Jordan, A.; Hickey, M.; Grist, M.; et al. Preclinical Comparison of Osimertinib with Other EGFR-TKIs in EGFR-Mutant NSCLC Brain Metastases Models, and Early Evidence of Clinical Brain Metastases Activity. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2016**, *22*, 5130–5140.
29. Kodama, T.; Hasegawa, M.; Takanashi, K.; Sakurai, Y.; Kondoh, O.; Sakamoto, H. Antitumor activity of the selective ALK inhibitor alectinib in models of intracranial metastases. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* **2014**, *74*, 1023–1028.
30. Wang, H.; Ou, Q.; Li, D.; Qin, T.; Bao, H.; Hou, X.; Wang, K.; Wang, F.; Deng, Q.; Liang, J.; et al. Genes associated with increased brain metastasis risk in non-small cell lung cancer: Comprehensive genomic profiling of 61 resected brain metastases versus primary non-small cell lung cancer (Guangdong Association Study of Thoracic Oncology 1036). *Cancer* **2019**, *125*, 3535–3544.
31. Stein, M.K.; Pandey, M.; Xiu, J.; Tae, H.; Swensen, J.; Mittal, S.; Brenner, A.J.; Korn, W.M.; Heimberger, A.B.; Martin, M.G. Tumor Mutational Burden Is Site Specific in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer and Is Highest in Lung Adenocarcinoma Brain Metastases. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* **2019**, *1*, 1–13.
32. Gao, Q.; Liang, W.-W.; Foltz, S.M.; Mutharasu, G.; Jayasinghe, R.G.; Cao, S.; Liao, W.-W.; Reynolds, S.M.; Wyczalkowski, M.A.; Yao, L.; et al. Driver Fusions and Their Implications in the Development and Treatment of Human Cancers. *Cell Rep.* **2018**, *23*, 227–238.e3.
33. Pitt, S.C.; Chen, H. The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/akt signaling pathway in medullary thyroid cancer. *Surgery* **2008**, *144*, 721–724.
34. Yip, P.Y. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-AKT-mammalian target of rapamycin (PI3K-Akt-mTOR) signaling pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. *Transl. Lung Cancer Res.* **2015**, *4*, 165–176.
35. Yang, L.; Li, G.; Zhao, L.; Pan, F.; Qiang, J.; Han, S. Blocking the PI3K pathway enhances the efficacy of ALK-targeted therapy in EML4-ALK-positive nonsmall-cell lung cancer. *Tumour. Biol.* **2014**, *35*, 9759–9767.
36. Wei, C.; Dong, X.; Lu, H.; Tong, F.; Chen, L.; Zhang, R.; Dong, J.; Hu, Y.; Wu, G.; Dong, X. LPCAT1 promotes brain metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma by up-regulating PI3K/AKT/MYC pathway. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* **2019**, *38*, 95.
37. Blazquez, R.; Wlochowitz, D.; Wolff, A.; Seitz, S.; Wachter, A.; Perera-Bel, J.; Bleckmann, A.; Beißbarth, T.; Salinas, G.; Riemenschneider, M.J.; et al. PI3K: A master regulator of brain metastasis-promoting macrophages/microglia. *Glia* **2018**, *66*, 2438–2455.
38. Chen, G.; Chakravarti, N.; Aardalen, K.; Lazar, A.J.; Tetzlaff, M.T.; Wubbenhorst, B.; Kim, S.-B.; Kopetz, S.; Ledoux, A.A.; Gopal, Y.N.V.; et al. Molecular profiling of patient-matched brain and extracranial melanoma metastases implicates the PI3K pathway as a therapeutic target. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2014**, *20*, 5537–5546.
39. Brastianos, P.K.; Carter, S.L.; Santagata, S.; Cahill, D.P.; Taylor-Weiner, A.; Jones, R.T.; Van Allen, E.M.; Lawrence, M.S.; Horowitz, P.M.; Cibulskis, K.; et al. Genomic Characterization of Brain Metastases Reveals Branched Evolution and Potential Therapeutic Targets. *Cancer Discov.* **2015**, *5*, 1164–1177.
40. Li, Z.; Shen, L.; Ding, D.; Huang, J.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Z.; Lu, S. Efficacy of Crizotinib among Different Types of ROS1 Fusion Partners in Patients with ROS1-Rearranged Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **2018**, *13*, 987–995.
41. Wang, R.; Hu, H.; Pan, Y.; Li, Y.; Ye, T.; Li, C.; Luo, X.; Wang, L.; Li, H.; Zhang, Y.; et al. RET fusions define a unique molecular and clinicopathologic subtype of non-small-cell lung cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2012**, *30*, 4352–4359.
42. Takeuchi, K. Discovery Stories of RET Fusions in Lung Cancer: A Mini-Review. *Front. Physiol.* **2019**, *10*, 216.

43. Drilon, A.; Lin, J.J.; Filleron, T.; Ni, A.; Milia, J.; Bergagnini, I.; Hatzoglou, V.; Velcheti, V.; Offin, M.; Li, B.; et al. Frequency of Brain Metastases and Multikinase Inhibitor Outcomes in Patients With RET-Rearranged Lung Cancers. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **2018**, *13*, 1595–1601.
44. Drilon, A.; Rekhtman, N.; Arcila, M.; Wang, L.; Ni, A.; Albano, M.; Van Voorthuysen, M.; Somwar, R.; Smith, R.S.; Montecalvo, J.; et al. Cabozantinib in patients with advanced RET -rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer: An open-label, single-centre, phase 2, single-arm trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **2016**, *17*, 1653–1660.
45. Yoh, K.; Seto, T.; Satouchi, M.; Nishio, M.; Yamamoto, N.; Murakami, H.; Nogami, N.; Matsumoto, S.; Kohno, T.; Tsuta, K.; et al. Vandetanib in patients with previously treated RET-rearranged advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (LURET): An open-label, multicentre phase 2 trial. *Lancet Respir. Med.* **2017**, *5*, 42–50.
46. Lee, S.-H.; Lee, J.-K.; Ahn, M.-J.; Kim, D.-W.; Sun, J.-M.; Keam, B.; Kim, T.M.; Heo, D.S.; Ahn, J.S.; Choi, Y.-L.; et al. Vandetanib in pretreated patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer-harboring RET rearrangement: A phase II clinical trial. *Ann. Oncol.* **2017**, *28*, 292–297.
47. Lin, J.J.; Kennedy, E.; Sequist, L.V.; Brastianos, P.K.; Goodwin, K.E.; Stevens, S.; Wanat, A.C.; Stober, L.L.; Digumarthy, S.R.; Engelman, J.A.; et al. Clinical Activity of Alectinib in Advanced RET-Rearranged Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **2016**, *11*, 2027–2032.
48. Subbiah, V.; Velcheti, V.; Tuch, B.B.; Ebata, K.; Busaidy, N.L.; Cabanillas, M.E.; Wirth, L.J.; Stock, S.; Smith, S.; Lauriault, V.; et al. Selective RET kinase inhibition for patients with RET-altered cancers. *Ann. Oncol.* **2018**, *29*, 1869–1876.
49. Drilon, A.; Oxnard, G.; Wirth, L.; Besse, B.; Gautschi, O.; Tan, S.W.D.; Loong, H.; Bauer, T.; Kim, Y.J.; Horiike, A.; et al. PL02.08 Registrational Results of LIBRETTO-001: A Phase 1/2 Trial of LOXO-292 in Patients with RET Fusion-Positive Lung Cancers. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **2019**, *14*, S6–S7.
50. Gainor, J.F.; Lee, D.H.; Curigliano, G.; Doebele, R.C.; Kim, D.-W.; Baik, C.S.; Tan, D.S.-W.; Lopes, G.; Gadgil, S.M.; Cassier, P.A.; et al. Clinical activity and tolerability of BLU-667, a highly potent and selective RET inhibitor, in patients (pts) with advanced RET-fusion+ non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *JCO* **2019**, *37*, 9008–9008.
51. Oxnard, G.; Subbiah, V.; Park, K.; Bauer, T.; Wirth, L.; Velcheti, V.; Shah, M.; Besse, B.; Boni, V.; Reckamp, K.; et al. OA12.07 Clinical Activity of LOXO-292, a Highly Selective RET Inhibitor, in Patients with RET Fusion+ Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **2018**, *13*, S349–S350.
52. Guo, R.; Schreyer, M.; Chang, J.C.; Rothenberg, S.M.; Henry, D.; Cotzia, P.; Kris, M.G.; Rekhtman, N.; Young, R.J.; Hyman, D.M.; et al. Response to Selective RET Inhibition With LOXO-292 in a Patient With RET Fusion-Positive Lung Cancer With Leptomeningeal Metastases. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* **2019**, *3*, doi:10.1200/PO.19.00021
53. Subbiah, V.; Berry, J.; Roxas, M.; Guha-Thakurta, N.; Subbiah, I.M.; Ali, S.M.; McMahon, C.; Miller, V.; Cascone, T.; Pai, S.; et al. Systemic and CNS activity of the RET inhibitor vandetanib combined with the mTOR inhibitor everolimus in KIF5B-RET re-arranged non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastases. *Lung Cancer* **2015**, *89*, 76–79.
54. Bronte, G.; Ulivi, P.; Verlicchi, A.; Cravero, P.; Delmonte, A.; Crinò, L. Targeting RET-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer: Future prospects. *Lung Cancer* **2019**, *10*, 27–36.
55. Vaishnavi, A.; Le, A.T.; Doebele, R.C. TRKING down an old oncogene in a new era of targeted therapy. *Cancer Discov.* **2015**, *5*, 25–34.
56. Vaishnavi, A.; Capelletti, M.; Le, A.T.; Kako, S.; Butaney, M.; Ercan, D.; Mahale, S.; Davies, K.D.; Aisner, D.L.; Pilling, A.B.; et al. Oncogenic and drug-sensitive NTRK1 rearrangements in lung cancer. *Nat. Med.* **2013**, *19*, 1469–1472.
57. Farago, A.F.; Taylor, M.S.; Doebele, R.C.; Zhu, V.W.; Kummur, S.; Spira, A.I.; Boyle, T.A.; Haura, E.B.; Arcila, M.E.; Benayed, R.; et al. Clinicopathologic Features of Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Harboring an NTRK Gene Fusion. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* **2018**, *2*, 1–12.
58. Vasudev, P.; Onuma, K. Secretory breast carcinoma: Unique, triple-negative carcinoma with a favorable prognosis and characteristic molecular expression. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* **2011**, *135*, 1606–1610.
59. Bourgeois, J.M.; Knezevich, S.R.; Mathers, J.A.; Sorensen, P.H. Molecular detection of the ETV6-NTRK3 gene fusion differentiates congenital fibrosarcoma from other childhood spindle cell tumors. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* **2000**, *24*, 937–946.
60. Solomon, J.P.; Linkov, I.; Rosado, A.; Mullaney, K.; Rosen, E.Y.; Frosina, D.; Jungbluth, A.A.; Zehir, A.; Benayed, R.; Drilon, A.; et al. NTRK fusion detection across multiple assays and 33,997 cases: Diagnostic implications and pitfalls. *Mod. Pathol.* **2020**, *33*, 38–46.

61. Cocco, E.; Scaltriti, M.; Drilon, A. NTRK fusion-positive cancers and TRK inhibitor therapy. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2018**, *15*, 731–747.
62. Amatu, A.; Sartore-Bianchi, A.; Siena, S. NTRK gene fusions as novel targets of cancer therapy across multiple tumour types. *ESMO Open* **2016**, *1*, e000023.
63. Drilon, A. TRK inhibitors in TRK fusion-positive cancers. *Ann. Oncol.* **2019**, *30*, viii23–viii30.
64. Menichincheri, M.; Ardini, E.; Magnaghi, P.; Avanzi, N.; Banfi, P.; Bossi, R.; Buffa, L.; Canevari, G.; Ceriani, L.; Colombo, M.; et al. Discovery of Entrectinib: A New 3-Aminoindazole As a Potent Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK), c-ros Oncogene 1 Kinase (ROS1), and Pan-Tropomyosin Receptor Kinases (Pan-TRKs) inhibitor. *J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *59*, 3392–3408.
65. Rangaraju, S.; Farago, A.; Heym, K.M.; Ahn, M.; Drilon, A.; Potts, S.; Hornby, Z.; Multani, P.; Li, G. P14.19 Preclinical and clinical efficacy of entrectinib in primary and metastatic brain tumors harboring NTRK, ROS1, or ALK gene fusions. *Neuro. Oncol.* **2017**, *19*, iii106.
66. Demetri, G.D.; Paz-Ares, L.; Farago, A.F.; Liu, S.V.; Chawla, S.P.; Tosi, D.; Kim, E.S.; Blakely, C.M.; Krauss, J.C.; Sigal, D.; et al. LBA4Efficacy and safety of entrectinib in patients with NTRK fusion-positive tumours: Pooled analysis of STARTRK-2, STARTRK-1, and ALKA-372-001. *Ann. Oncol.* **2018**, *29*, mdy483-003.
67. Robinson, G.W.; Gajjar, A.J.; Gauvain, K.M.; Basu, E.M.; Macy, M.E.; Maese, L.D.; Sabnis, A.J.; Foster, J.H.; Shusterman, S.; Yoon, J.; et al. Phase 1/1B trial to assess the activity of entrectinib in children and adolescents with recurrent or refractory solid tumors including central nervous system (CNS) tumors. *JCO* **2019**, *37*, 10009–10009.
68. Braud, F.G.M.D.; Siena, S.; Barlesi, F.; Drilon, A.; Simmons, B.P.; Huang, X.; Ye, C.; Doebele, R.C. 1488PEntrectinib in locally advanced/metastatic ROS1 and NTRK fusion-positive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Updated integrated analysis of STARTRK-2, STARTRK-1 and ALKA-372-001. *Ann. Oncol.* **2019**, *30*, doi:10.1093/annonc/mdz420.003.
69. Doebele, R.; Paz-Ares, L.; Farago, A.F.; Liu, S.V.; Chawla, S.P.; Tosi, D.; Blakely, C.M.; Krauss, J.C.; Sigal, D.; Bazhenova, L.; et al. Abstract CT131: Entrectinib in NTRK-fusion positive (NTRK-FP) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Integrated analysis of patients enrolled in three trials (STARTRK-2, STARTRK-1 and ALKA-372-001). *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, CT131.
70. Drilon, A.; Kummar, S.; Moreno, V.; Patel, J.; Lassen, U.; Rosen, L.; Childs, B.H.; Nanda, S.; Cox, M.C.; Ku, N.C.; et al. 111OActivity of larotrectinib in TRK fusion lung cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* **2019**, *30*, mdz063-009.
71. Rosen, E.Y.; Schram, A.M.; Young, R.J.; Schreyer, M.W.; Hechtman, J.F.; Shu, C.A.; Ku, N.C.; Hyman, D.M.; Drilon, A. Larotrectinib Demonstrates CNS Efficacy in TRK Fusion-Positive Solid Tumors. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* **2019**, *3*, 1–5.
72. Drilon, A.E.; DuBois, S.G.; Farago, A.F.; Geoerger, B.; Grilley-Olson, J.E.; Hong, D.S.; Sohal, D.; van Tilburg, C.M.; Ziegler, D.S.; Ku, N.; et al. Activity of larotrectinib in TRK fusion cancer patients with brain metastases or primary central nervous system tumors. *JCO* **2019**, *37*, 2006–2006.
73. Drilon, A.; Laetsch, T.W.; Kummar, S.; DuBois, S.G.; Lassen, U.N.; Demetri, G.D.; Nathenson, M.; Doebele, R.C.; Farago, A.F.; Pappo, A.S.; et al. Efficacy of Larotrectinib in TRK Fusion-Positive Cancers in Adults and Children. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2018**, *378*, 731–739.
74. Barbacid, M.; Lamballe, F.; Pulido, D.; Klein, R. The trk family of tyrosine protein kinase receptors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1991**, *1072*, 115–127.
75. Levi-Montalcini, R. The nerve growth factor: Thirty-five years later. *Biosci. Rep.* **1987**, *7*, 681–699.
76. Huang, E.J.; Reichardt, L.F. Trk receptors: Roles in neuronal signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2003**, *72*, 609–642.
77. Borrello, M.G.; Pelicci, G.; Arighi, E.; De Filippis, L.; Greco, A.; Bongarzone, I.; Rizzetti, M.; Pelicci, P.G.; Pierotti, M.A. The oncogenic versions of the Ret and Trk tyrosine kinases bind Shc and Grb2 adaptor proteins. *Oncogene* **1994**, *9*, 1661–1668.
78. Tognon, C.E.; Martin, M.J.; Moradian, A.; Trigo, G.; Rotblat, B.; Cheng, S.-W.G.; Pollard, M.; Uy, E.; Chow, C.; Carboni, J.M.; et al. A tripartite complex composed of ETV6-NTRK3, IRS1 and IGF1R is required for ETV6-NTRK3-mediated membrane localization and transformation. *Oncogene* **2012**, *31*, 1334–1340.
79. Fernandez-Cuesta, L.; Plenker, D.; Osada, H.; Sun, R.; Menon, R.; Leenders, F.; Ortiz-Cuaran, S.; Peifer, M.; Bos, M.; Daßler, J.; et al. CD74-NRG1 fusions in lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov.* **2014**, *4*, 415–422.
80. Jonna, S.; Feldman, R.A.; Swensen, J.; Gatalica, Z.; Korn, W.M.; Borghaei, H.; Ma, P.C.; Nieva, J.J.; Spira, A.I.; Vanderwalde, A.M.; et al. Detection of NRG1 Gene Fusions in Solid Tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2019**, *25*, 4966–4972.

81. Nagasaka, M.; Ou, S.-H.I. Neuregulin 1 Fusion–Positive NSCLC. *J. Thor. Oncol.* **2019**, *14*, 1354–1359.
82. Fernandez-Cuesta, L.; Thomas, R.K. Molecular Pathways: Targeting NRG1 Fusions in Lung Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2015**, *21*, 1989–1994.
83. Duruisseaux, M.; Liu, S.V.; Han, J.-Y.; Gounant, V.; Shih, J.-Y.; Schram, A.M.; Schrock, A.B.; Ali, S.M.; Magne, F.; Monnet, I.; et al. NRG1 fusion-positive lung cancers: Clinicopathologic profile and treatment outcomes from a global multicenter registry. *JCO* **2019**, *37*, 9081–9081.
84. Schram, A.M.; O'Reilly, E.M.; Somwar, R.; Benayed, R.; Shameem, S.; Chauhan, T.; Torrisi, J.; Ford, J.; Maussang, D.; Wasserman, E.; et al. Abstract PR02: Clinical proof of concept for MCLA-128, a bispecific HER2/3 antibody therapy, in NRG1 fusion-positive cancers. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2019**, *18*, PR02.
85. Drilon, A.; Somwar, R.; Mangatt, B.P.; Edgren, H.; Desmeules, P.; Ruusulehto, A.; Smith, R.S.; Delasos, L.; Vojnic, M.; Plodkowski, A.J.; et al. Response to ERBB3-Directed Targeted Therapy in NRG1-Rearranged Cancers. *Cancer Discov.* **2018**, *8*, 686–695.
86. Jones, M.R.; Lim, H.; Shen, Y.; Pleasance, E.; Ch'ng, C.; Reisle, C.; Leelakumari, S.; Zhao, C.; Yip, S.; Ho, J.; et al. Successful targeting of the NRG1 pathway indicates novel treatment strategy for metastatic cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* **2017**, *28*, 3092–3097.
87. Sun, M.; Behrens, C.; Feng, L.; Ozburn, N.; Tang, X.; Yin, G.; Komaki, R.; Varella-Garcia, M.; Hong, W.K.; Aldape, K.D.; et al. HER family receptor abnormalities in lung cancer brain metastases and corresponding primary tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2009**, *15*, 4829–4837.
88. Kodack, D.P.; Askoxylakis, V.; Ferraro, G.B.; Sheng, Q.; Badeaux, M.; Goel, S.; Qi, X.; Shankaraiah, R.; Cao, Z.A.; Ramjiawan, R.R.; et al. The brain microenvironment mediates resistance in luminal breast cancer to PI3K inhibition through HER3 activation. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2017**, *9*, eaal4682.
89. Ippen, F.M.; Alvarez-Breckenridge, C.A.; Kuter, B.M.; Fink, A.L.; Bihun, I.V.; Lastrapes, M.; Penson, T.; Schmidt, S.P.; Wojtkiewicz, G.R.; Ning, J.; et al. The Dual PI3K/mTOR Pathway Inhibitor GDC-0084 Achieves Antitumor Activity in PIK3CA-Mutant Breast Cancer Brain Metastases. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2019**, *25*, 3374–3383.
90. Qin, A.; Johnson, A.; Ross, J.S.; Miller, V.A.; Ali, S.M.; Schrock, A.B.; Gadgeel, S.M. Detection of Known and Novel FGFR Fusions in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer by Comprehensive Genomic Profiling. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **2019**, *14*, 54–62.
91. Reddy, V.P.; Gay, L.M.; Elvin, J.A.; Vergilio, J.-A.; Suh, J.; Ramkissoon, S.; Daniel, S.; Severson, E.A.; Ali, S.M.; Schrock, A.B.; et al. BRAF fusions in clinically advanced non-small cell lung cancer: An emerging target for anti-BRAF therapies. *JCO* **2017**, *35*, 9072–9072.
92. Sheikine, Y.; Pavlick, D.; Klempner, S.J.; Trabucco, S.E.; Chung, J.H.; Rosenzweig, M.; Wang, K.; Velcheti, V.; Frampton, G.M.; Peled, N.; et al. BRAF in Lung Cancers: Analysis of Patient Cases Reveals Recurrent BRAF Mutations, Fusions, Kinase Duplications, and Concurrent Alterations. *JCO Prec. Oncol.* **2018**, *1*, 1–15.
93. Wang, W.X.; Xu, C.; Chen, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhuang, W.; Chen, X.; Huang, Y.; Lai, J.; et al. MET gene fusions in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in the Chinese population: A multicenter study. *JCO* **2018**, *36*, e13539.
94. Xu, C.; Wang, W.X.; Chen, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhuang, W.; Chen, X.; Huang, Y.; Lai, J.; et al. Prevalence of EGFR gene fusions in a large cohort of Chinese patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *JCO* **2018**, *36*, e13538.
95. Schram, A.M.; Chang, M.T.; Jonsson, P.; Drilon, A. Fusions in solid tumours: Diagnostic strategies, targeted therapy, and acquired resistance. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *14*, 735–748.
96. Ardini, E.; Menichincheri, M.; Banfi, P.; Bosotti, R.; De Ponti, C.; Pulci, R.; Ballinari, D.; Ciomei, M.; Texido, G.; Degrossi, A.; et al. Entrectinib, a Pan-TRK, ROS1, and ALK Inhibitor with Activity in Multiple Molecularly Defined Cancer Indications. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2016**, *15*, 628–639.
97. Abate-Daga, D.; Ramello, M.C.; Smalley, I.; Forsyth, P.A.; Smalley, K.S.M. The biology and therapeutic management of melanoma brain metastases. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *153*, 35–45.

