Enhancing Erucic Acid and Wax Ester Production in *Brassica carinata* through Metabolic Engineering for Industrial Applications
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Abstract: Metabolic engineering enables oilseed crops to be more competitive by having more attractive properties for oleochemical industrial applications. The aim of this study was to increase the erucic acid level and to produce wax ester (WE) in seed oil by genetic transformation to enhance the industrial applications of *B. carinata*. Six transgenic lines for high erucic acid and fifteen transgenic lines for wax esters were obtained. The integration of the target genes for high erucic acid (BnFAE1 and LdPLAAT) and for WEs (ScWS and ScFAR) in the genome of *B. carinata* cv. ‘Derash’ was confirmed by PCR analysis. The qRT-PCR results showed overexpression of *BnFAE1* and *LdPLAAT* and downregulation of *RNAi-BcFAD2* in the seeds of the transgenic lines. The fatty acid profile and WE content and profile in the seed oil of the transgenic lines and wild type grown in biotron were analyzed using gas chromatography and nanoelectrospray coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. A significant increase in erucic acid was observed in some transgenic lines ranging from 19% to 29% in relation to the wild type, with a level of erucic acid reaching up to 52.7%. Likewise, the transgenic lines harboring *ScFAR* and *ScWS* genes produced up to 25% WE content, and the most abundant WE species were 22:1/20:1 and 22:1/22:1. This study demonstrated that metabolic engineering is an effective biotechnological approach for developing *B. carinata* into an industrial crop.
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1. Introduction

A rapidly growing global population and the decline in petroleum sources demand a search for renewable energy sources to reduce our dependence on fossil fuels. Vegetable oils and their derivatives possess a wide range of chemical and physical properties that could be tailored for various industrial applications, including as renewable bioenergy alternatives to fossil fuels [1,2].

*Brassica carinata* (carinata), also called Ethiopian mustard, is an amphidiploid (BBCC, 2n = 34) and evolved through natural hybridization between the diploid species *B. nigra*...
The cultivation of carinata as an oil crop is largely limited to Ethiopia, while it is often grown as a leafy vegetable in other countries in Eastern and Southern Africa [3]. Due to its popularity as an industrial crop platform, carinata has spread to other countries such as Canada, India, Australia, Spain and the United States [4].

Carinata has become one of the potential oil crops for bio-industrial applications due to its naturally high levels of the very long-chain fatty acid, erucic acid (C22:1) (EA) [5]. EA and its derivatives are used in various industrial applications such as lubricants, detergents and film processing agents, as well as in cosmetics and pharmaceuticals [6–8]. In addition, oilseed brassicas with high EA content have a high iodine value and are thus more suitable for biodiesel or biofuel production [9]. EA is a very long-chain monounsaturated fatty acid (cis-13-docosenoic acid) with 22 carbon atoms and a double bond at the cis-13 position of the carbon chain. The biosynthesis of EA takes place in the cytosolic leaflet of the ER through a chain elongation reaction using oleic acid (C18:1) and a specific β-ketoacyl-CoA synthase (KCS) [10] as the initial substrate and catalytic enzyme, respectively [11]. The oil from carinata seeds is generally considered to have a high EA proportion, ranging from 31 to 46% in most natural germplasms and cultivars grown in Ethiopia [12–14]. The current market, however, demands high EA proportions beyond 46%, as demonstrated by high EA rapeseed cultivars grown in Europe and North America, which have 48% to 50% of EA in the seed oil [15,16]. The issue of food versus fuel debate is more prominent for rapeseed than carinata due to its large world production area coverage and as a source of edible oil. Thus, it is a better option to use carinata as feedstock for non-food industrial applications. Nowadays, carinata is considered a low-carbon-intensity feedstock that can be used in the production of drop-in renewable fuel or jet biofuel [17].

Owing to its naturally relatively high level of EA, carinata is also an attractive oilseed crop for producing wax esters (WEs). WEs are a class of neutral lipids with various industrial applications. WEs are widely used to produce surface coatings, printing inks and polishes, as well as for cosmetics and pharmaceutical applications. Although WEs are currently produced on a large scale from fossil reserves using chemical methods, such production cannot meet the increasing demand and is not environmentally friendly [18]. In this context, plants would be an attractive source for sustainable and environmentally friendly production of WEs. However, plant seeds do not normally produce WEs but mainly triacylglycerols (TAGs), but one exception is jojoba (Simmondsia chinensis), a desert plant that contains WEs in a large proportion as compared to TAGs in its seeds [19]. Jojoba, however, is less productive and is limited to growing in only hot and dry areas, and its oil is very expensive and usually used in the cosmetic industry [20].

A WE molecule is composed of a fatty acid esterified to a fatty alcohol. The biosynthesis of WEs is accomplished with the help of two enzymes, fatty acyl-coenzyme A (CoA) reductase (FAR), which converts fatty acyl-CoAs to fatty alcohols, and wax synthase (WS), which esterifies fatty acyl-CoAs to fatty alcohols to form WEs [21,22].

As a novel and modern breeding technology, genetic engineering has provided new possibilities for genetic studies and trait improvement in major crops. In carinata, the technique has been introduced [23–26], but the extent has been very limited compared to other major oilseed crops, such as rapeseed. Initially, the research was mainly focused on studies for developing efficient transformation methods for carinata, while the trait of focus has been modifying the fatty acid profile for developing high EA lines, with some promising results achieved. It has been reported that co-suppression and antisense repression of the FAD2 gene increased the EA level by 12–27% and 5–19%, respectively [27], while downregulation of FAD2 along with overexpression of crambe (Crambe abyssinica) CaFAE resulted in an increase in EA content by 16% in carinata [28]. The high EA results achieved so far, however, are not to the extent needed to satisfy the great demand for high EA products on the market. Additional efforts are needed to modify more key genes controlling the EA content. Earlier studies have indicated that the endogenous lysophosphatidic acid acyl-transferase (LPAAT) in Brassica spp. is unable to incorporate EA in the sn-2 position of the TAG backbone and thus limits the final EA
content [29]. As demonstrated by Nath et al. [30], co-expression of LdLPAAT from *Limnanthes douglasii* and fatty acid elongase (*BnFAE1*) from *B. napus* were found to be effective in integrating EA into the sn-2 position of TAG and thus increasing EA content in rapeseed. Combining the simultaneous expression of the LdLPAAT and BnFAE1 genes along with the downregulation of the FAD2 gene, which competes for C18:1 with the FAE1 gene, would further increase the EA content. This approach has been shown to be effective in crambe for obtaining stable high EA lines in subsequent generations [31,32]. In this study, we used the same transformation construct for increasing the EA content in carinata.

Metabolic engineering of plants for WE production was first demonstrated in Arabidopsis through the introduction of the ScFAR, ScWS and ScFAE1 genes from jojoba [33], followed by major achievements in crambe (*Crambe abyssinica*), and it was also successfully tested in Camelina (*Camelina sativa*), carinata (*B. carinata*) and *Lepidium (Lepidium campestre)* [20,32,34]. So far, only one study has been reported with limited results on WE production in carinata [20]. More investigations are needed to provide strong evidence and support for using this approach to produce WEs in the species for industrial applications with broader perspectives.

In this study, we aimed to further demonstrate the potential of metabolic engineering of oil quality in carinata through *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation for high EA content and WE production.

### 2. Results

#### 2.1. Kanamycin Resistance

The results of the kanamycin resistance test showed that the shoot regeneration frequency started to decrease significantly when the kanamycin level reached 25 mg/L and above, and the regeneration percentage decreased to 4.7% when kanamycin was at 50 mg/L and was close to zero after 4 weeks of culture (Table 1). The calli that grew on the medium with 15 mg/L kanamycin were observed as yellowish, while the calli that grew at high kanamycin concentrations (75 and 100 mg/L) were found to be whitish-yellow. Accordingly, we have chosen 25 mg/L and 35 mg/L of kanamycin concentrations for the subsequent transformations in order to maintain a reasonable regeneration rate while reducing the frequency of escapes.

Table 1. Shoot regeneration from hypocotyls of *B. carinata* grown on the medium containing different concentrations of kanamycin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kanamycin Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Shoot Regeneration % (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.0 ± 0.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>14.0 ± 2.0 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.5 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.6 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Each treatment contained 20 explants and was repeated three times. Means followed by different letters indicate significant differences at *p* = 0.05 (*n* = 3).

#### 2.2. Confirmation of Transgenic Lines

Six transgenic lines were confirmed by PCR analysis, showing the integration of the *BnFAE1* (A) and *LdPLAAT* (B) genes, while no bands were observed in the wild type (Figure 1).
Regarding WEs, 15 regenerated lines were confirmed to be transgenic by PCR analysis. All the transgenic lines showed integration of the ScWS (Figure 2A) and ScFAR genes (Figure 2B).

**Figure 1.** Integration of BnFAE1 (A) and LdLPAAT (B) in the transgenic lines, shown by clear bands, while no bands are visible in the wild type. M: 1 kb DNA ladder from GeneRuler, L1–L6: transgenic lines, L7: wild type, L8: plasmid DNA.

**Figure 2.** PCR results of ScWS (A) and ScFAR (B) of the transgenic lines. M, 1 kb DNA ladder from GeneRuler; L1–L15, transgenic lines; L16, wild type; L17, plasmid DNA.

### 2.3. Expression Level of the Target Genes

Relative transcript levels of EA and WE genes for three transgenic lines along with the wild type were analyzed using qRT-PCR, and the results are presented in Figure 3. Compared to the transcript level in the wild type, higher levels of expression were observed for BnFAE1 and LdPLAAT, while the expression of BcFAD2 was downregulated in the transgenic lines (Figure 3A). A certain expression level in the BnFAE1 gene was also detected in the wild type, and this is likely due to the presence of the endogenous FAE1 genes in carinata. In the case of WE genes, the highest level of ScWS transcript was found in the WE12 line and the transcript level of ScFAR was higher for WE2, followed by WE3 (Figure 3B).
Figure 3. The gene expression levels of BnFAE1, LdPLAAT and BcFAD2 in three erucic acid transgenic lines (EA1, EA2 and EA3) with reference to wild type (WT) (A), and the expression levels of ScWS and ScFAR in three wax ester transgenic lines (WE2, WE3 and WE12) in comparison with WT (B). Results are means of three biological replicates for each line and three technical replicates per biological replicate. Bars followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p = 0.05 \).

2.4. Fatty Acid Profile

The fatty acid profile results are presented in Table 2. A significant increase in C22:1 was observed ranging from 19% to 29% in the first three transgenic lines (EA1–EA3) in comparison with the wild type. The percentage of C18:1 tended to increase in all transgenic lines but with a significant increase only in two lines (EA4 and EA6) against the wild type. Meanwhile, all the transgenic lines produced a significantly lower percentage of C18:3 compared to the wild type.

Table 2. The relative contents of four major fatty acids in the six transgenic lines for high erucic acid (EA) types and wild type (WT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lines</th>
<th>C18:1</th>
<th>C18:2</th>
<th>C18:3</th>
<th>C22:1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA1</td>
<td>15.9 ± 2.2 (^{a})</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7 (^{b})</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.1 (^{b})</td>
<td>52.7 ± 2.1 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA2</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.8 (^{a})</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.3 (^{b})</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.8 (^{b})</td>
<td>50.8 ± 2.5 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA3</td>
<td>18.5 ± 3.2 (^{a})</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.1 (^{a})</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.6 (^{b})</td>
<td>48.5 ± 3.3 (^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA4</td>
<td>21.8 ± 1.8 (^{a})</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.6 (^{a})</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.7 (^{b})</td>
<td>44.5 ± 0.6 (^{bc})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA5</td>
<td>19.5 ± 6.2 (^{a})</td>
<td>7.9 ± 3.3 (^{a})</td>
<td>10.1 ± 3.0 (^{b})</td>
<td>43.4 ± 2.0 (^{bc})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA6</td>
<td>22.8 ± 4.0 (^{a})</td>
<td>5.59 ± 1.4 (^{b})</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.6 (^{b})</td>
<td>43.1 ± 1.0 (^{bc})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.5 (^{a})</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.2 (^{a})</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.0 (^{c})</td>
<td>40.9 ± 0.9 (^{c})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Means and standard deviation of three biological replicates for each line are shown. Means followed by different letters in the same column indicate significant differences at \( p = 0.05 \) (\( n = 3 \)).

2.5. WE Content

The WE contents of pooled seeds for the transgenic lines analyzed by GC are presented in Figure 4. The maximum WE content (25.6% of the neutral lipid fraction) was obtained from the line WE12, followed by WE2 and WE3, which contained 21.4% and 20.3% WE, respectively. Five transgenic lines (WE1, WE2, WE3, WE10 and WE12) that showed the highest WE contents (i.e., WE > 10%) were selected for single seed WE content analysis. As shown in Table 3, the trend of WE contents in these selected transgenic lines from the single seed analysis was similar to the result of pooled seed analysis, in which WE12 showed a significantly higher WE content (24.3%), followed by WE2 (14.8%) and WE3 (13.8%). There were, however, relatively larger differences in WE content between the pooled and individual seed analysis in the transgenic lines WE2 and WE3, while such differences were minimal in the W12 and WE10 transgenic lines. This is probably associated with the number of transgene copies and the position effect of transgene integration among the transgenic lines.
Figure 4. Wax ester contents of pooled seeds of 15 transgenic lines as determined by GC. Standard deviation and means of three biological replicates are shown (n = 3).

Table 3. Wax ester content in single seeds of the six most WE-abundant transgenic lines in comparison with those from the pooled seeds of the same lines in T0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transgenic Line</th>
<th>Single Seed WE (%)</th>
<th>Pooled Seed WE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WE1</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.3 b</td>
<td>12.08 ± 0.85 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE2</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.5 b</td>
<td>21.40 ± 1.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE3</td>
<td>13.8 ± 5.3 b</td>
<td>20.34 ± 0.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE10</td>
<td>10.9 ± 4.0 b</td>
<td>12.94 ± 1.2 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE12</td>
<td>24.3 ± 4.7 a</td>
<td>25.56 ± 0.5 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Means and standard deviation of three biological replicates for each line are shown. Means followed by different letters in the same column indicate significant differences at p = 0.05. For single seed analysis, means and standard deviation of 20 seeds were analyzed per transgenic line and 3 biological replicates were performed for the pooled seed analysis.

2.6. WE Content and Species Determined by nanoESI-MS/MS

The total WE contents of 15 transgenic lines analyzed by nanoESI-MS/MS ranged from 307 to 21,744 nmol/g seed (Figure S1), showing a similar trend with the results of the top four highest amounts of WE that were obtained by GC.

The most dominating WE species in the top four transgenic lines was 22:1/20:1, followed by 22:1/22:1 (Table S1). For instance, the transgenic line WE12 produced WE species with the largest amounts of 22:1/20:1 and 22:1/22:1, accounting for ca. 23% and 9% of the total WE content, respectively (Figure 5).
Figure 5. The 20 most abundant wax ester species in four transgenic lines analyzed by nanoESI-MS/MS. The results were means of four individual seeds of T₀ plants and standard deviation is shown (n = 4).

2.7. Transgenic Lines Grown in Biotron

The T₀ transgenic lines grew well in the biotron with controlled environmental conditions. The results of the seed-related traits of the transgenic lines along with the wild type are presented in Table 4. Since the data were collected from the T₀ generation with
only one plant per transgenic line, the results are considered very preliminary and provide only an indication of the traits presented. Some of the transgenic lines with high EA content tended to have a reduced thousand-seed weight (TSW) compared to the wild type, while the transgenic lines showed either higher or lower numbers of seeds per pod compared to the wild type. In the case of the WE lines, they tended to have higher or similar TSW in comparison to the wild type.

Table 4. Seed-related traits of the transgenic lines in T0 generation grown in biotron.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>TSW (g)</th>
<th>No. of Pods per Plant</th>
<th>No. of Seeds per Pod</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA-1</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.1 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA-2</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.0 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA-3</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.1 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA-4</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.6 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA-5</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA-6</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.9 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.1 ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.9 bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>9.0 ±1.3 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.0 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.0 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.0 cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.9 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.0 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>5.17 ± 1.09 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-10</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.0 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-11</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.1 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-12</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.30 abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-13</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.4 bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-14</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.9 bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE-15</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.0 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: EA, erucic acid; WE, wax ester; WT, wild type; TSW, thousand-seed weight. Means and standard deviation of three biological replicates for each line are shown. Means followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p = 0.05 \) (\( n = 30 \)).

3. Discussion

Carinata is currently becoming the most demanded crop for delivering bio-industrial oil feedstock due to its high EA content [35]. In addition, the food versus fuel debate is not an issue of concern for carinata, unlike its counterpart crop, rapeseed, and it also has the ability to grow in marginal or drought-prone areas where other food crops fail. The increasing demand for the growing of carinata commercially as a bioenergy crop, such as biofuel or biodiesel, has made it an attractive renewable bioenergy source [36]. Although carinata contains a relatively high amount of EA, it is highly desirable to further increase the EA level to improve production efficiency. With each 10% increase in EA content, the cost of its purification from the seed oil will be reduced by half.

Genetic modification for increasing EA levels in oilseed crops has been shown to be a highly efficient approach. Transformation protocols have been developed in several oilseed species [37–39], which facilitate genetic modification of these crops. Extensive efforts have been made to increase EA in the oilseed crop, crambe (C. abyssinica) [31], while such efforts have been low in carinata. Mietkiewska et al. [28] demonstrated the possibility
of increasing C22:1 and C18:1 by 16% and 10%, respectively, through the silencing of FAD2 by RNAi in carinata, while Jadhav et al. [27] showed an increase in EA by 6–15% and 5–19% by co-suppression and antisense repression of FAD2 in carinata, respectively. The increases in EA levels obtained in these studies are limited, likely due to the regulation of a single target gene, namely FAD2.

In other oilseed crops, more key genes involved in EA biosynthesis have been regulated using multiple gene constructs to increase the EA content [31,40]. Due to the inefficiency of the endogenous LPAAT genes in brassicas incorporating EA on the sn-2 position of the glycerol backbone, LPAAT genes from other plant species have been inserted into some brassica species to enhance EA production in seeds. For instance, the LaLPAAT gene from Lunaria annua and LdLPLAAT gene from Limnathes douglasii have been introduced into rapeseed, but the total EA level was not changed significantly [41]. This is likely due to the increase in EA at the sn-2 position being re-compensated by the decrease in EA at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions and the increase in EA by LdPLAAT being redistributed at the three hydroxyl positions of glycerol [41,42]. In another study by Nath et al. [30], when BnFAE1 and LdLPLAAT were simultaneously introduced into rapeseed, EA was increased by 16%. This increment could be more if the apparent completion of C18:1 desaturation by FAD2 is minimized, and this has been proved to be true in crambe, in which LdPLAAT, BnFAE1 and CaFAD2-RNAi assembled in the same vector were introduced simultaneously and expressed [31]. In the present study, we used the same vector as for crambe to produce high EA carinata transgenic lines and obtained the transgenic lines expressing all three genes with an increase in EA of up to 29% and oleic acid of up to 113%. The overexpression of the BnFAE1 and LdLPAAT genes accompanied by the downregulation of the CaFAD2 gene, confirmed by the qRT-PCR results, was apparently associated with increased levels of oleic acid and EA in the transgenic lines EA1, EA2 and EA3. One should bear in mind that the FAD2 sequence on the transformation construct used is from crambe but it shares 83% sequence homology with the carinata FAD2 gene.

Currently, large-scale WE production for industrial applications is conducted mainly by chemical processes or lipases using petroleum or plant residue, which is becoming more and more expensive, complex and not environmentally friendly [43,44]. Although lipase-based synthesis of WEs is more environmentally friendly than the conventional chemical method, it has its own limitations in terms of its long processing time, making it more expensive, in addition to the use of hazardous reagents and the release of chemical waste [45,46]. Thus, metabolic engineering of oilseed crops has become an attractive strategy for renewable, sustainable and environmentally friendly production of WEs for industrial applications. WE production in plants is usually accomplished through the expression of the jojoba wax synthase and acyl-CoA reductase genes along with other important genes involved in oil biosynthesis [4], and this has been demonstrated in some oilseed crops [20,34,47]. In this study, we were able to obtain carinata transgenic lines containing WE content that is up to 25.6% of the total seed oil. The most abundant wax ester species was 22:1/20:1, followed by 22:1/22:1. It has been reported earlier that the most abundant WE species was 22:1/22:1, followed by 22:1/20:1, in transgenic carinata lines [20]. This difference is likely due to the background difference in initial carinata genotypes used for genetic transformation. In the latter case, a high EA transgenic line was used for genetic transformation to generate transgenic lines with WE, while in this study, a released carinata variety was used as the starting material for genetic modification.

The preliminary growth data of the transgenic lines with high EA content and the WE lines grown in biotron did not show a clear trend in the seed trait-related parameters as compared to the wild type. Such an evaluation must be carried out for a number of generations in order to make any meaningful conclusions about the performance of the transgenic lines.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material and In Vitro Growth Conditions

The seeds of carinata cultivar ‘Derash’, kindly provided by the Ethiopian Oilseeds Breeding Program of Holetta Agricultural Research Center, were used in this study. All in vitro cultures from seed germination to rooting were maintained in a growth chamber with a photoperiod of 16 h at 33 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 21/18 °C (day/night).

4.2. Transformation Vectors

Two binary vectors, pWatergate4G and pBinGlyRed, were used for high erucic acid and wax ester transformation experiments of carinata, respectively. The pWatergate4G vector harbors the fatty acid elongase gene (BnFAE1) from B. napus, the lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase gene (LdLPAAT) from Limnathes douglasi [30] and the RNAi-silenced fatty acid desaturase gene (CaFAD2-RNAi) from Crambe abyssinica, as described by Li et al. [31], and all the target genes are under the control of the seed-specific napin promoter. The pBinGlyRed vector harbored two genes, ScFAR and ScWS, derived from jojoba [20]. Both constructs harbored the neomycin phosphotransferase II (NPTII) gene as a selectable marker gene. The most virulent Agrobacterium strain, AGL-1, was used for plant transformation [48].

4.3. Transformation

4.3.1. Seed Surface Sterilization

Seeds were surface sterilized with 12.5% calcium hypochlorite Ca(ClO)₂ with 2–3 drops of Tween-20 for 10 min, followed by rinsing with sterilized water several times. Seeds were germinated in vitro on the full-strength MS [49] medium, supplemented with 20 g/L sucrose at pH 5.7 and 2.5 g/L Gelrite.

4.3.2. Kanamycin Resistance Test

In order to optimize the concentration of the selective agent, a kanamycin resistance test was conducted prior to Agrobacterium transformation. The experiment consisted of five kanamycin concentrations (0, 15, 25, 35, 75 and 100 mg/L). The hypocotyls from the 5-day-old in vitro-grown seedlings were used in the test. The hypocotyls were cut into 3–5 mm length pieces and pre-cultured on a medium containing full-strength MS medium with MES, supplemented with 20 g/L sucrose, 2 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA, supplemented with 2.5 g/L Gelrite at pH 5.7 for 2 days. The hypocotyls were then moved to the same medium but with the addition of different concentrations of kanamycin. Twenty hypocotyls per Petri dish were used in the test. The experiment was repeated three times.

4.3.3. Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation

For transformation, the overnight-grown Agrobacterium suspension was pelleted by centrifugation at 4200 rpm for 10 min. The pellet was suspended in 10 mL of liquid MS20 medium (MS, 20 g/L sucrose, pH 5.7) at a concentration with an optical density of 0.5 at 600 nm (OD600). The pre-cultured explants were incubated in the Agrobacterium suspension for 10 min with gentle shaking. The explants were blotted on sterile filter paper to remove excess Agrobacterium suspension and then transferred to the same medium as the pre-culture. The cultures were maintained in the growth chamber as described above for 2 days in the dark.

After co-culture, the explants were rinsed with liquid MS20 medium, supplemented with cefotaxime (400 mg/L) and placed on the selective regeneration medium (CIMs25), supplemented with 2 mg/L BAP, 0.1 mg/L NAA and 25 mg/L kanamycin. After 30 days or two subcultures, the selection pressure was increased to 35 mg/L kanamycin in the MS20 medium supplemented with 1 mg/L BAP, 1 mg/L GA3 and 7 g/L agar at pH 5.7. The
explants were transferred to a fresh selection medium every two weeks and maintained in the growth chamber, as stated above.

4.4. Confirmation of Transgenic Lines by PCR Analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from the leaves of the putative transgenic lines along with the wild type using the CTAB method, as described by Aldrich and Cullis [50]. PCR analysis was conducted for the target genes using the gene-specific primers listed in Table 5. The PCR program consisted of initial denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 s, primer annealing at 60–65 °C for 30 s, depending on the primer, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel.

Table 5. The sequence of the primers used for PCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primers (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse Primers (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BnFAE1</td>
<td>AATGCCTGTTGTTGAAGGTAAG</td>
<td>TGTGACTCTATTGCTCGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LdPLAA</td>
<td>GTGGTTTTTGAGACCACGCTT</td>
<td>TACACTGAAATAGCCGACCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScFAR</td>
<td>AGCCATTAGGGAGATGCTTT</td>
<td>CTTGAACCATTTGGGCGAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScWS</td>
<td>CTCTTCGCTTTCATCTTGG</td>
<td>AACAAAGAAGACCCGTCAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR) Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from immature pods of carinata collected at 25–35 days after flowering using the RNase Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The primers for the target genes were selected from several sets of primers tested for their efficiency and specificity. UBC21 was used as a reference gene to normalize gene expression, as demonstrated in B. napus [51]. The primers used are presented in Table 6. Each transgenic line, along with the wild type, was sampled with three biological replicates and three technical replicates for running qRT-PCR according to the standard method used in our lab, as described by Ivarson et al. [34].

Table 6. The sequences of the primers used for qRT-PCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primers (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse Primers (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BnFAE1</td>
<td>CTCCTCCCGGAAAGACTTTTG</td>
<td>CATGCTTGAATTCCTACCCACAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BcFAD2</td>
<td>CCGTGAACGTCTCCAGATAT</td>
<td>CGTTGACTACAGAAACCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LdPLAA</td>
<td>AAGTAGACCCCATCTTCCTC</td>
<td>GGCTGGGCGTACCTTGCTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScFAR</td>
<td>CTCTTCGCTTTCACCTCC</td>
<td>CTTGAACCATTTGGGCGAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScWS</td>
<td>CTCTTCGCTTTCATCTTGG</td>
<td>CTCGATGTGTTCCTACCAACCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtUBC21</td>
<td>TGCGACTCAGGGAATCTTCT</td>
<td>TCATCCTTCTTGAACCGTAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6. Growth of Transgenic Lines in Biotron

In vitro rooted T3 transgenic lines along with the wild type were grown in biotron under a controlled environment with a 16 h photoperiod, 250 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity, 21/18 °C temperature (day/night) and 65% humidity. The plants were watered regularly with normal management. Data on yield-related traits such as thousand-seed weight (TSW), number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant were collected. After harvesting, the seeds were kept at 4 °C for further analysis.

4.7. Fatty Acid Profiling by Gas Chromatography (GC)

About 0.5 g of seeds from each transgenic line and wild type were weighed in triplicate and placed in a glass tube with a non-screw cap suited for crushing. Then, 3.75 mL of extraction solution consisting of methanol (MeOH) and chloroform (CHCl₃) in the ratio of 2:1 (v/v) along with 1 mL of 0.15 M acetic acid was added to the tube. Seeds were then homogenized using an IKA® T18 basic (ULTRATURRAX®, IKA, Staufen, Germany).
After homogenization, 1.25 mL of CHCl₃ and 0.9 mL of H₂O were added and mixed by vortexing for 30 s. The samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 min. The lower phase was transferred to a new screw-cap tube and allowed to dry completely under a stream of nitrogen gas on a heated sand bath at 70 °C. The residue was dissolved in 100 μL of heptane (GC grade), methylated by adding 2 mL of methylation solution (2% H₂SO₄ in anhydrous methanol) and incubated at 90 °C for 1 h. The methylated samples containing fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were allowed to cool down to room temperature before 1 mL of H₂O and 0.75 mL of heptane (GC grade) were added to the samples. The samples were vortexed and centrifuged for 2 min at 2000 rpm, and 200 μL of the upper heptane phase containing FAMEs was transferred to a GC vial for analysis. The samples were finally analyzed on Agilent (Model 8860, Agilent, Solna, Sweden) GC equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a WCOT Fused Silica capillary column (50 m × 0.32 mm) coated with CP-Wax 58 column with a split ratio of 10:1 and an oven program of 150 °C for 0.2 min, 4 °C/min to 210 °C, 10 °C/min to 250 °C and then holding at 250 °C for 5 min. The fatty acid profile was identified based on the retention times of peaks corresponding to their respective FAMEs with reference to a certified Me63 fatty acid methyl ester mixture (Larodan Fine Chemicals AB, Malmö, Sweden) as an external standard. Quantification of individual fatty acids was based on the area of their respective peaks (Figure S2).

4.8. Analysis of WE Content and Profile

4.8.1. WE Analysis with GC

WEs were extracted from 10 pooled seed samples of the 15 transgenic lines in triplicate first, followed by single seed analysis of the transgenic lines and wild type, as described by Li et al. [31]. For the pooled samples, the seeds were homogenized with the extraction solution (3.75 mL of MeOH:CHCl₃ (2:1 v/v) and 1 mL of 0.15 M acetic acid (HAc)) using an ultraturrax (IKA® T8 basic, ULTRATURRAX®). After homogenization, 1.25 mL of CHCl₃ and 1.25 mL of H₂O were added and mixed by vortexing before centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 3 min. For the single seed analysis, the samples were homogenized in 1 mL of 0.15 M HAc using a mortar and pestle. After homogenization, 3.75 mL of MeOH:CHCl₃ (2:1 v/v) was added, and the samples were then transferred to a new screw-capped glass tube for centrifugation. The lower CHCl₃ phase was transferred to a new screw-cap tube and dried completely under a nitrogen stream. The residue was then re-suspended in 200 μL of CHCl₃ for thin-layer chromatography (TLC) separation. For each sample, 30 μL was loaded on a silica gel TLC plate (20 × 20 cm, Merk, Darmstadt, Germany) and developed in heptane/diethyl-ether (DEE)/HAc (90:10:1 v/v/v) for 10–15 min to separate the WEs and TAGs, and the process was visualized by exposure to iodine vapor. For GC analysis, the areas of the silica gel containing TAGs and WEs were scraped off separately by spraying the plate with H₂O and collected in a screw-capped tube with the addition of 200 μL of methanol. The samples were dried under a N₂ stream and methylated by adding 2 mL of methylation solution (2% H₂SO₄ in methanol) and incubated at 90 °C for 1 h. After methylation, 0.5 mL of heptane, 2 mL of H₂O and an internal standard of 200 mM methyl-heptadecanoate (17:0-ME, Larodan, Solna, Sweden) were added and the solution was vortexed and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 min. The upper heptane phase containing the methylated products was transferred to GC vials for GC analysis, as described by Zhu et al. [20]. The contents of TAG and WE were then calculated based on their peak areas.

4.8.2. WE Profiling Analyzed by Nanoelectrospray Coupled with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (nanoESI-MS/MS)

WE content and molecular species profile in the seed oil extracts from the transgenic lines and wild type were obtained using the direct infusion nanoESI-MS/MS, as described by Iven et al. [52] with minor modifications. The seed oil of four seeds per transgenic line
was extracted with 5 nmol heptadecanoyl-heptadecanoate (Nu-Chek Prep, Inc., Elysian, MN, USA), and purified WEs were obtained by TLC separation. The WE fractions were then analyzed by nanoESI-MS/MS.

4.9. Statistical Analysis

Data were subjected to ANOVA analysis using MINITAB version 18 (Minitab, LLC, State College, PA, USA) whenever applicable. Treatment mean comparison was made using the Tukey–Kramer method at the $p = 0.05$ level.

5. Conclusions

Our study has demonstrated the possibility of engineering carinata for high EA levels and wax ester production. In the case of EA, the use of a multigene construct that encompasses the two genes $BnFAE1$ and $LdLPLAAT$ along with the $RNAi$-silenced $CaFAD2$ gene was found to be more effective for the enhancement of EA. We were able to obtain transgenic lines with up to 52.7% EA content through overexpression of $BnFAE1$ and $LdLPLAAT$ and downregulation of $CaFAD2$. Considering wax esters, transgenic lines produced WEs within the range of 8% to 25%, which showed the effectiveness of wax ester production from carinata. The above outputs imply that the bio-industrial oil quality of carinata can be enhanced by increasing EA levels using the gene stacking strategy and by introducing genes for producing neutral lipids like WEs.
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