



An Overview of Zika Virus and Zika Virus Induced Neuropathies

Abdul Wahaab ^{1,2,3,4,†}, Bahar E Mustafa ^{5,6,†}, Muddassar Hameed ^{7,8,9}, Hira Batool ¹⁰, Hieu Tran Nguyen Minh ^{1,2,3,4}, Abdul Tawaab ⁶, Anam Shoaib ¹¹, Jianchao Wei ¹² and Jason L. Rasgon ^{1,2,3,4,*}

- ¹ Department of Entomology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA; wahaaabwahaaab@gmail.com (A.W.); hmt5396@psu.edu (H.T.N.M.)
- ² Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ³ The Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ⁴ The Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ⁵ School of Veterinary Science, Faculty of Science, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3030, Australia; mustafab@student.unimelb.edu.au
- ⁶ Sub Campus Toba Tek Singh, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 36050, Pakistan; tawaaab94@gmail.com
- ⁷ Department of Biomedical Sciences and Pathobiology, VA-MD Regional College of Veterinary Medicine, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24060, USA; hameed@wustl.edu
- ⁸ Center for Zoonotic and Arthropod-Borne Pathogens, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24060, USA
- ⁹ Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA
- ¹⁰ Chughtai Lab, Head Office, 7-Jail Road, Main Gulberg, Lahore 54000, Pakistan; hirabatoolgmc@gmail.com
- ¹¹ School of Behavioral and Brain Sciences, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75080, USA; anamshoaib45@gmail.com
- ¹² Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shanghai 200241, China; jianchaowei@shvri.ac.cn
- Correspondence: jlr54@psu.edu
- [†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Flaviviruses pose a major public health concern across the globe. Among them, Zika virus (ZIKV) is an emerging and reemerging arthropod-borne flavivirus that has become a major international public health problem following multiple large outbreaks over the past two decades. The majority of infections caused by ZIKV exhibit mild symptoms. However, the virus has been found to be associated with a variety of congenital neural abnormalities, including microcephaly in children and Guillain-Barre syndrome in adults. The exact prediction of the potential of ZIKV transmission is still enigmatic and underlines the significance of routine detection of the virus in suspected areas. ZIKV transmission from mother to fetus (including fetal abnormalities), viral presence in immune-privileged areas, and sexual transmission demonstrate the challenges in understanding the factors governing viral persistence and pathogenesis. This review illustrates the transmission patterns, epidemiology, control strategies (through vaccines, antivirals, and vectors), oncolytic aspects, molecular insights into neuro-immunopathogenesis, and other neuropathies caused by ZIKV. Additionally, we summarize in vivo and in vitro models that could provide an important platform to study ZIKV pathogenesis and the underlying governing cellular and molecular mechanisms.

Keywords: neurotropic flaviviruses; zika virus; neuropathies; transmission; neuropathogenesis; prevention; vaccines; treatment; antivirals; mosquito/vector control; oncolytic; animal and cell models



Academic Editor: Peggy Marconi

Received: 9 November 2024 Revised: 19 December 2024 Accepted: 21 December 2024 Published: 24 December 2024

Citation: Wahaab, A.; Mustafa, B.E.; Hameed, M.; Batool, H.; Tran Nguyen Minh, H.; Tawaab, A.; Shoaib, A.; Wei, J.; Rasgon, J.L. An Overview of Zika Virus and Zika Virus Induced Neuropathies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2025, 26, 47. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms26010047

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Flaviviruses (family *Flaviviridae*) are small (approximately 50 nm in diameter), enveloped, icosahedral viruses that possess a positive sense single-stranded RNA genome of between 9–12 kb [1–4]. The viral genome consists of a single long ORF (open reading frame) encoding around ten proteins, which are formed by co- and post-translational processing and proteolytically processed into three structural (Cap, prM, and E) and seven non-structural (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5) proteins by a complex combination of host and viral proteases [5–8]. Currently, there are about 70 members in this genus, the majority of which are transmitted by arthropods [9–16]. The incidence of flaviviral infections has increased dramatically in the last seven decades [17,18]. These infections are associated with significant morbidity and mortality in humans. Factors responsible for the rapid increase in flaviviral infections are changes in the sizes and distributions of the vector arthropods that transmit the pathogens, further aggravated by climate change and a large number of potential vertebrate hosts [19–22]. Details of various neurotropic flaviviruses and their associated neuropathies are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Comprehensive overview of Neurotropic Flaviviruses.

Type of Flavivirus	Geographical Distribution	Vectors	Vertebrates Hosts	Incubation Period	Neurological Complications	Diagnosis	References
West Nile Virus (WNV)	Africa, Asia, Middle East, United States (1999) and France (2002)	Culex pipiens and Culex quinquefascia- tus (America, Asia, Africa) Culex australicus, and Culex globcoxitus (Australia).	Wild birds, birds from corvidae family (crows, magpies, jays) and horses	4 to 14 days	Ataxia, ex- trapyramidal syndrome, confusion, cranial neuropathies, encephalitis, meningitis, somnolence, flaccid paralysis (asymmetric), and coma.	ELISA (IgM; serum and spinal fluid), RT- PCR/PCR (whole blood, plasma, serum, CSF, urine, and mosquitoes), and MRI (periventricu- lar inflamma- tion)	[23–28]
Japanese Encephalitis Virus (JEV)	Asia, Western Pacific Island	Aedes albopictus, Culex pipiens, Culex tritae- niorhyncus, Culex quinque- fasciatus, and others.	Pigs and water birds	5 to 15 days	Seizures, neurological abnormalities (focal), meningitis, and encephalitis.	MRI, ELISA, VNT/PRNT, HI, CFT, and RT-PCR.	[16,29,30]
Zika Virus (ZIKV)	South and Central America, Asia, Africa, and Caribbean	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus, Aedes vexans, Aedes vittatus, and Culex quinquefascia- tus.	Monkeys (Rhesus macaque)	7 to 14 days	Associated with the onset of GBS and microcephaly hypoplasia of the spinal cord and brain stem.	RT-PCR, PRNT, and ELISA (IgM).	[31–34]

Type of Flavivirus	Geographical Distribution	Vectors	Vertebrates Hosts	Incubation Period	Neurological Complications	Diagnosis	References
Saint Louis Encephali- tisVirus (SLEV)	USA, Canada, Central America and Caribbean Islands.	Culex tarsalis, Culex pipiens, and Culex quinquefascia- tus	Wild birds	5 to 15 days	Confusion, dizziness, disorientation, tremors, stiff neck, encephalitis, meningitis, and meningoen- cephalitis.	RT-PCR and ELISA (IgG and IgM) and IFA	[35–39]
WNV-Kunjin virus	Northern Australia	Culex annulirostris	Water birds	5 to 15 days	Confusion, drowsiness, seizures, and encephalitis.	RT-PCR	[40,41]
Murray Valley Encephalitis Virus (MVE)	Australia (Northern) and New Guinea	Culex annulirostris Aedes normanensis	Large water birds (e.g., egrets)	5 to 15 days	Flaccid paralysis, coma, confusion, drowsiness, seizures, cranial nerve palsy, tremors, encephalitis, and menin- goencephalitis.	RT-PCR	[42-44]
Tick-borne Encephalitis Virus (TBEV)	Europe and Asia	Ixodes ricinus, Ixodes persulcatus, and Ixodes ovatus.	Birds and small mammals	7 to 14 days	Encephalitis (sub-acute to severe), meningitis, and myelitis.	RT-PCR and ELISA (IgM)	[45-47]
Powassan Virus (POWV)	North America and Eastern Russia	Ixodes cookie, Ixodes marxi, Ixodes scapularis, Dermacentor anders oni, Dermacentor silvarum, and Haema- physalis longicornis.	Wild animals	7 to 14 days	Ataxia, seizures, mental status alternation, cranial nerve palsies, meningitis encephalitis with meningismus, and cerebral edema.	RT-PCR, ELISA (IgM), and Immunohis- tochemistry.	[48–52]

Abbreviations: ELISA (Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay), IgM (Immunoglobulin M), IgG (Immunoglobulin G), RT (Reverse Transcription), PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction), CSF (Cerebrospinal Fluid), MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging), VNT (Virus Neutralization Test), PRNT (Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test), CFT (Complement Fixation Test), HI (Hemagglutination Inhibition Test), and IFA (Immunofluorescence Assay).

ZIKV is a significant pathogen that poses an imminent global threat. It was first isolated from rhesus monkeys in Uganda in 1947 [53] (The genome organization of the Zika Virus is sketched in Figure 1). Later, in the 1950s, its detection continued in several other parts of Africa (Egypt, Nigeria) [54]. However, the first clinical infection in humans was not detected until 1964, when it was found that the ZIKV infection in humans is associated with mild fever and maculopapular rash [55]. From 1960 to 2000, ZIKV was detected in several countries in Asia (Malaysia, Indonesia and Pakistan) [54]. The first major ZIKV outbreak occurred in 2007 (in Yap Island), and then in March 2015, it caused a large outbreak in the Americas (particularly Brazil), and the first time was associated with severe disease



and congenital illnesses such as infant microcephaly [56–58]. In November 2015, Brazil declared a national public health emergency, and in February 2016, the WHO (World Health Organization) declared it a Public Health Emergency of International Concern [59].

Figure 1. Genome Organization of Zika Virus: The ZIKV genome is composed of 10,794 nucleotides in a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA that encodes a polyprotein of 3423 amino acids and 10 proteins essential for the viral life cycle. ZIKV RNA has two untranslated regions (UTRs) and a single open reading frame (ORF) comprising three structural (Cap, prM, and E) and seven non-structural (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5) proteins [60–62].

The ZIKV employs multiple mechanisms to replicate (the ZIKV replication cycle is illustrated in Figure 2) and causes a variety of neurological diseases. It has been proposed that after viral entry through the crossing of the BBB (blood–brain barrier), ZIKV tends to infect the microglia, astrocytes, and endothelial cells [63–65]. Damage to the CNS can occur when ZIKV infects the CNS cells, and damage occurs either due to viral replication or because of a host-mediated immune (inflammatory) response [66–68]. Moreover, ZIKV infection is also associated with various neurological syndromes, e.g., Guillain–Barré syndrome (GBS), Congenital Zika syndrome (CZS), and others [69–71]. Multiple mechanisms are known to cause damage to the CNS, which are also discussed in detail later in this review article. Collectively, in this review, we have summarized the observations on biology, transmission, control strategies (vaccines, antivirals, and vectors), oncolytic aspects, animal and cellular models, neuropathies, and neuropathogenesis associated with ZIKV.



Figure 2. Replication cycle of Zika Virus: The ZIKV replication in the cell is mediated through various steps. (1). The binding of the ZIKV to the host cell is mediated by the interaction of ZIKV E protein and host cell receptors (TIM, TAM, Axl, etc.). (2, 3) Following viral binding to the receptor, the internalization of viral particles inside the cells is mediated through clathrin-coated vesicles, which leads to the formation of endosomes. (4) Inside the endosome, viral E protein rearranges as trimmers that cause the release of ZIKV nucleocapsid in the host cells (5, 6). Viral RNA then produces ZIKV polyprotein, which then enters the ER for the biosynthesis of viral structural and non-structural proteins (NSPs) (7). The immature ZIKV particles then bud off from the ER and migrate towards the Golgi complex. In the Golgi complex, further necessary modifications (glycosylation and others) happen that result in viral maturation. ZIKV then migrates from the Golgi complex to the cell membrane where it leaves the cells through the process of budding (8, 9, 10) [72–75]. The figure was created at https://BioRender.com.

2. Transmission of Zika Virus

The principal mode of transmission of ZIKV is through mosquitoes; however, studies have found that various other routes may play an important role in the transmission of the disease. These include venereal transmission, vertical transmission, transmission to infants through mother milk, and blood transfusion This makes it very difficult to devise an appropriate strategy for the control of disease in countries where the disease is endemic. The transmission cycle of ZIKV is illustrated in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Transmission cycle of Zika Virus: This figure illustrates the transmission of ZIKV. There are two types of ZIKV transmission: Vertical and horizontal transmission. Vertical transmission has been observed both in mosquitoes as well as in humans. In humans, vertical transmission results in the infection of the fetus and results in fetal loss, microcephaly, and a variety of CNS disorders. Horizontal transmission can occur in many different ways. It can happen in the form of sylvatic cycles where ZIKV transmission occurs between non-human primates (NHPs) and mosquitoes, whereas in urban transmission, transmission occurs by contact of infected mosquitoes with humans. Human-to-human horizontal transmission of ZIKV occurs through body fluids, contaminated instruments and blood transfusion, etc. [76–79]. The figure was created at https://BioRender.com.

2.1. Vector Borne Transmission

Vector-borne transmission of ZIKV occurs through mosquitoes [13,80]. In the sylvatic transmission cycle, ZIKV circulates between non-human primates and mosquitoes, while in the urban transmission cycle, ZIKV circulates between humans and mosquitoes. The presence of ZIKV has been reported from many species of mosquitoes in Africa and Asia (*Aedes aegypti (Ae. aegypti), Ae. africanus, Ae. albopictus, Ae. apicoargenteus, Ae. furcifer, Ae. luteocephalus, Ae. opok, and Ae. vittatus*) which may transmit the virus to humans [53,81–90]. However, in Yap and French Polynesia, the transmission of ZIKV was suggested to be through *Ae. hensilli* and *Ae. Polynesiensis,* respectively) [91–93]. The extrinsic incubation period is reported to be 10 days [84]. The vector competence (the ability of the vector to transmit the virus biologically) has not been determined for ZIKV transmission in most of these species [94] and it may be the area of future research. In the urban cycle, the transmission of ZIKV is mainly through the *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus.* ZIKV has been detected/isolated from wild *Ae. aegypti*, and they have been demonstrated to be

competent vectors in the laboratory where they can transmit the virus to mice and rhesus monkeys [82,86,88]. Recent studies have demonstrated ZIKV transmission by *Ae. albopictus* as well [84,95]. Both of these mosquitoes remain active during the daytime, and they are prevalent in tropical and subtropical regions [96,97]. However, the geographical range of *Ae. albopictus* is further extended to the temperate regions [98–100]. In the USA, both of these mosquitoes have been found in southern and south-eastern states and their maximum numbers have been found from June to October [101].

2.2. Sexual Transmission

Many cases of transmission of ZIKV have been observed following sexual contact. Several studies have demonstrated ZIKV transmission from males to females. In most cases, the male had a travel history to ZIKV-endemic countries, and when he returned, his partner became infected [102–104]. ZIKV's presence has been detected in human semen [105]. It has been found that ZIKV can persist in semen for over 6 months following infection, suggesting the possibility for prolonged sexual transmission [106–108]. Later studies demonstrated that sexual transmission from male to male is also possible [109]. ZIKV RNA has also been detected in urine and saliva [110,111]. Experiments conducted on lab animals have demonstrated that ZIKV is able to replicate in vaginal and rectal mucosa, thus serving as a reservoir of infection [112].

2.3. Vertical Transmission

ZIKV has been reported to be transferred from mother to fetus during the gestation period. Normally the placenta acts as an effective barrier to curtail transmission of infections from mother to fetus. However, ZIKV is able to bypass these barriers by unknown mechanisms [113]. Studies conducted in a mouse model demonstrated that ZIKV damages the placenta and results in fetal death [114]. In Brazil, during the ZIKV outbreak, a large increase in the number of neonates with microcephaly was reported from mothers who were infected with ZIKV [115]. ZIKV antigen and RNA have been detected in the placenta, amniotic fluid, and fetal brain tissue [115–117]. Therefore, it can be postulated that ZIKV is transmitted from mother to fetus through a damaged placenta (induced by ZIKV) and then ZIKV damages the nervous system of the fetus.

2.4. Venereal Transmission in Mosquitoes

The maintenance of arboviruses in nature is significantly facilitated when venereal transmission occurs alongside other modes of transmission. Venereal transmission in mosquitoes has been reported for multiple arboviruses, including ZIKV [118,119]. ZIKV is found to be transmitted in the sexual fluids of mating mosquitoes. Intrathoracically ZIKV-infected *Ae. aegypti* males can transmit the virus to uninfected females during mating, and *Ae. aegypti* females who are orally infected with ZIKV have the potential to transmit the virus to uninfected males by copulation under laboratory conditions [120]. Furthermore, *Aedes* mosquitoes acquire and transmit Zika virus by breeding in contaminated aquatic environments [121]. The continuous venereal transmission in mosquitoes could pose a significant public health concern by boosting infection rates and virus spread.

2.5. Transmission Through Breast Milk

Detection of ZIKV RNA has been observed in the breast milk of ZIKV-infected mothers [122]. This makes it possible for the transmission of ZIKV from the infected mother to the neonates. Studies have demonstrated the presence of ZIKV RNA in babies whose mother's serum and milk were positive for ZIKV RNA [123]. Transmission through breast milk has also been observed for the flaviviruses WNV and DENV [124,125].

2.6. Transmission Through Ocular Fluid/Tears

Researchers have found the existence of ZIKV in tears thirty days following ZIKV infection. Their study suggests the possible transmission of ZIKV through tears [126,127]. This is possible as previously a case study revealed that transmission through tears occurred from mother to her child [128].

3. Zika Virus Associated Neurological Diseases

ZIKV infection has been reported to be associated with a number of neurological diseases (illustrated in Figure 4) which are described in detail below.



Figure 4. Neurological diseases associated with Zika virus infection. The figure was created at https://BioRender.com.

3.1. Microcephaly

Congenital microcephaly has been previously associated with viral infections, e.g., rubella and cytomegalovirus. However, it was unknown for ZIKV until the Brazilian outbreak [129]. ZIKV RNA was also detected in the amniotic fluid of these fetuses [130]. In 2015, Brazilian authorities reported that the incidence of microcephaly was twenty times higher compared to previous years. This led to further investigations, and in two years (2015–2016), more than 8000 cases of microcephaly were reported [131]. Later on, an analysis of an outbreak in French Polynesia also demonstrated a correlation between ZIKV and microcephaly [132,133]. Further investigations showed that aborted fetuses (during 1st and 2nd trimesters) contained ZIKV RNA in the amniotic fluid, meninges, CSF, and umbilical cord of the microcephalic newborn babies [57,134]. Several studies have been performed to identify the molecular mechanism of ZIKV-associated microcephaly. Cells associated with the BBB, such as pericytes, astrocytes, and endothelial cells, are susceptible to infection

with ZIKV and their infection with ZIKV leads to upregulation of ICAM-1 and a variety of cytokines (IL-6, CCL5, and CXCL-10) that causes enhanced binding and subsequently crossing of the BBB by the immune cells. The access of immune cells to the CNS is associated with neuro-inflammation which contributed to the neuro-pathogenesis of ZIKV [135]. Neuronal progenitor cells (NPCs) exhibit high susceptibility to ZIKV and undergo apoptosis following infection [136]. During pregnancy, the immune response directed against ZIKV leads to the inflammation of the fetal-maternal interface, causing inflammation and disruption of barrier functions. This allows the recruitment of various immune cells to the fetal tissue, releasing TNF- α (tumor necrosis factor) and IFN- γ (interferon) that lead to neuroinflammation, resulting in the damage and destruction of NPCs required for the neural development of the fetus [137]. The CNS is susceptible to ZIKV infection during all stages of pregnancy, but earlier infection results in severe damage. CNS infection with ZIKV may result in impaired multiplication and migration of neurons, myelination, synaptogenesis, and even apoptosis that may contribute towards ZIKV-associated microcephaly [138]. In cells infected with ZIKV, mitofusion 2 (MFN-2) expression is reduced. Normal expression of MFN-2 is required for the fusion of mitochondria. Therefore, in ZIKV-infected cells, mitochondrial fragmentation occurs. This leads to the activation of apoptosis through a p53-mediated pathway in NPC cells [139,140]. Recently, Gladwyn-Ng et al. [141] have demonstrated the mechanism of apoptosis in neuronal cells infected by ZIKV in mouse embryos and neuronal stem cells (human), showing that ZIKV infections trigger unfolded proteins in cells that lead to activation of the ER stress response Subsequently, these cells migrate to the cortex and undergo apoptosis.

3.2. Ophthalmological Manifestations

ZIKV infection during pregnancy has been associated with congenital abnormalities in the fetal eye. Neural crest cells (NCCs) are required for normal development of the corneal and uveal stroma, the corneal epithelium, and the trabecular meshwork. They also play an important role in the closure of the optic fissure [142,143]. NCCs express AXL and thus exhibit susceptibility to ZIKV. Infection of NCCs with ZIKV results in viral replication resulting in loss of NCCs [144]. ZIKV infection can also disrupt the normal differentiation of the NCCs leading to defective development of the anterior eye segment leading to micropthalmia [142,145–147]. The loss of NCCs during ZIKV infection has also been found to disrupt closure of the optic fissure leading to iris coloboma and abnormal development of the lens zonules. Infection of NCCs with ZIKV can also lead to reduced thickening of the corneal stroma causing corneal ectasia [142]. It can also contribute towards impaired development of trabecular meshwork causing glaucoma [144,148–150]. Neuronal depletion during ZIKV infection can also contribute to thinning of the retinal ganglion layer [151,152]. Apoptosis of NCCs during ZIKV infection can also lead to defects in axonal development [145].

Ocular manifestations have been reported in approximately 50% of infants who have ZIKV-induced microcephaly. ZIKV infection has been reported to involve the eyes and this represents the viral ability to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB), the blood–retinal barrier (BRB), and the blood–aqueous barrier (BAB). The first ZIKV-related eye abnormality was reported in 2016. More than sixty percent of people with ZIKV infections are reported to have conjunctivitis (the most common eye abnormality associated with ZIKV). Other abnormalities are associated with the anterior segment, the posterior segment, and the neurooph-thalmic. The abnormalities associated with the anterior segment include microphthalmia, anterior uveitis (with or without raised intraocular pressure), and non-purulent conjunctivitis [153–155]. The posterior segment anomalies associated with ZIKV involve the retina and choroid and include maculopathy and multifocal choroiditis [153,156–159]. Neuro-

ophthalmic conditions are related to the paresis of the abducens and oculomotor muscles and can cause ocular flutter, ophthalmoplegia, and papilledema [156,160–163]. ZIKV infection also causes optic nerve involvement, and this includes hypoplastic disk, enhanced cup-to-disk ratio, and nerve pallor. Viral entry into eyes is facilitated by both hematogenous and retrograde transport of ZIKV through the optic nerve and optic tract [164]. Although ocular tissue is immune privileged, it is more permissive to ZIKV infection because of the higher viral load [151]. Certain cells in the retina are relatively more permissive to ZIKV infection including RPE cells, pericytes, and endothelial cells [165]. Choriocapillaris (highly fenestrated) are highly susceptible to ZIKV infection and it can facilitate the ZIKV entry into the RPE cells, which are also permissive to ZIKV infection. RPE infection results in inflammation and this subsequently leads to breaking the BRB [108,166]. Normally, the immune response helps the host in eliminating the infection, however, in this case, immune-mediated injury to the eye tissue leads to pathogenesis of ZIKV-associated eye abnormality [167]. Infection of BRB with ZIKV leads to the generation of IL-1 β , IL-6, IFN- α , IFN-β, TNF-α, CCL5 and CXCL-10. This leads to subsequent events that culminate in the atrophy of the retina and RPE mottling [168,169].

Another mechanism of viral entry in ocular tissue is through ZIKV-infected monocytes, which possess the ability to cross the retinal microvasculature endothelium and subsequently cause retinal infection. Later on, ZIKV-infected monocytes become activated and release cytokines and chemokines that result in immune-mediated injury/damage to ocular tissue [170,171]. The cornea can also act as reservoir of ZIKV infection as it expresses RIG-1, MDA-5, and TLR 3 which facilitate the synthesis of IFN-I and III to mediate antiviral response [136,172]. Infection of the cornea with ZIKV leads to the synthesis of the IL-1 β , TNF- α , CCL5, and CXCL-10 which recruits other cells of the immune system to the cornea and this promotes inflammation and damage to corneal tissue leading to keratitis [173]. Through the hematogenous route, ZIKV infects the iris and ciliary body. From here, the virus can infect BAB cells, which damages this barrier and results in viral entry into the aqueous humor [133]. From the aqueous humor, ZIKV gains entry to the trabeculae meshwork and results in the production of cytokines and chemokines including IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-1, IFN- α , IFN- β , CCL5, and CXCL-10. This leads to the recruitment of the other immune cells such as Th1 and CD8+ cells that secrete TNF- α and IFN- γ , damaging the trabeculae meshwork and resulting in blockage of aqueous humor outflow, leading to enhanced intraocular pressure and causing glaucoma. Persistent glaucoma can damage the optic nerve and retinal ganglion cells leading to optic neuropathy [174].

3.3. Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS)

GBS is a condition where there is immune-mediated polyradiculoneuropathy. The condition is characterized by the loss of reflexes and flaccid paralysis. The disease occurs in 1/100,000 persons. It has been observed that GBS typically occurs after certain infections such as *Campylobacter jejuni*, Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), Cytomegalovirus, and HIV. Sporadic GBS cases have also occurred following the flaviviral infections (WNV, DENV and JEV) [175]. In 2014, the first report was published about the occurrence of GBS following ZIKV infection in French Polynesia. It was reported that in ZIKV patients, 1/4000 people suffer from GBS (20 times increased incidence) [176]. In the recent ZIKV outbreak in the Americas, approximately 1500 cases were reported between 2014–2015. At the majority of locations, GBS incidence following ZIKV was reported to be 2–10 times higher than background [177]. In Colombia, more than 100 thousand cases of ZIKV were reported with 42 GBS cases/100,000 ZIKV cases. These findings indicate the possibility of the association of ZIKV with GBS [178]. Until now, no clear mechanism is known about the molecular pathogenesis of ZIKV-associated GBS. However, it is thought that the mechanism of molec-

ular mimicry may be an important contributing factor in the pathogenesis of disease (i.e., antibodies formed against ZIKV cross-react with myelin sheath or other neural tissues). However, others have proposed that immune system dysregulation occurs (that is not directly against ZIKV) and that contributes towards its pathogenesis [176].

3.4. Cerebrovascular Involvement

Certain viral diseases are associated with cerebral vascular abnormalities. Recently, ZIKV cases have also been reported to involve cerebral vascular abnormalities. A 10-monthold child infected with ZIKV was admitted to hospital with ischemic stroke and had serum positive for ZIKV [179–181]. The exact mechanism remains to be determined; however, it is thought that higher susceptibility of endothelial cells to be infected by ZIKV is a major factor and is responsible for cerebral vascular abnormalities [182].

3.5. Encephalitis

ZIKV infection is considered a potential cause of encephalitis. An 81-year-old patient with a travel history to a ZIKV-affected area had reduced consciousness, limb paresis (upper right), and hemiplegia (left side). The patient was ZIKV positive by RT-PCR and viral culture and isolation. The patient was treated and survived. However, fetal cases of ZIKV-associated encephalitis have also been reported. In Brazil, a 37-year-old (y.o.) female was admitted to the hospital with a complaint of rash and joint pain. Later on, she suffered from weakness in her lower limbs, confusion, and difficulty with speech. RT-PCR was positive for ZIKV, while the serum analysis was negative. The patient later died due to brain swelling, leading to increased intracranial pressure [154]. In the Dominican Republic, a female was admitted to the hospital with complaints of neck stiffness and confusion. Her condition deteriorated, and seizures began. CSF examination revealed an increased number of WBCs (white blood cells), the majority of which were lymphocytes (80%). RT-PCR of urine was positive for ZIKV RNA (but negative in the CSF and serum). However, IgM antibodies against ZIKV were detected from CSF and serum, confirming ZIKV exposure. MRI examination revealed cortical edema, a common finding in meningoencephalitis [163]. In another study on ZIKV, subcortical hemorrhage and bilateral lesions were observed in the temporal and frontal regions [162].

3.6. Myelitis and Encephalomyelitis

ZIKV infection has been associated with myelitis (inflammation of the spinal cord) and encephalomyelitis (inflammation of the brain and spinal cord), which can result in reduced consciousness, urinary retention, and paresis of lower limbs [183]. The first case of myelitis associated with ZIKV was reported in Guadeloupe in a girl (15 y.o.) who was admitted to the hospital with a complaint of headache and conjunctivitis. Later, her condition continued to deteriorate, and she suffered from left-sided weakness and urinary retention. MRI examination revealed a normal brain, whereas abnormalities were revealed in the spinal cord cervical area and thoracic region. CSF, urine, and serum were found to be positive for ZIKV by RT-PCR [184]. In another study, a 26 y.o. Brazilian male was admitted to the hospital with a major complaint of fever, malaise, and neck stiffness, CSF and urine examination revealed that the patient had a ZIKV infection. CSF analysis showed hyper-protein levels and 100 WBCs (white blood cells) per mL (95% mononuclear cells) [183]. Other studies have also indicated an association between ZIKV and myelitis/encephalomyelitis [183–185].

4. Zika Virus Infection and Extracellular Vesicles

Viruses can employ extracellular vesicles (EVs) that can facilitate infection, pathogenesis, and transmission. EVs are particles surrounded by membranes that can be released into the extracellular space by living or dying cells. Various viral components may be packed inside the EVs [186]. One of the final fates of EVs is their release into blood circulation, through which they can reach distant cells and tissues [187]. It is important to note that EVs share most of the biochemical and biophysical properties of viruses. Both EVs and viruses (naked and enveloped) use the Endosomal Sorting Complex Required For Transport (ESCRT) complex [188,189]. Moreover, Rab GTPases (particularly Rab11, Rab35, and Rab27A/B) are also shared during the viral replication cycle as well as EV biogenesis [190]. Many studies have indicated that EVs play a facilitating role during viral infections. These effects can be due to EVs carrying viral receptors, carrying viral particles themselves, or carrying their genome, proteins, or miRNA. Ultimately, it can lead to the priming of the recipient cells and/or inhibiting IFN pathways, apoptosis of immune cells, and the transmission of viral genome and/or proteins to distant organs and tissues [188,191–193]. In the case of ZIKV, NS1 protein, viral E protein (inside and outside) and viral RNA can be packed inside the EVs [194]. Interestingly, mosquito cells (C6/36 cells) infected with ZIKV are found to release EVs (containing viral RNA and protein E), which was found to infect both naïve mosquito and mammalian cells (monocytes and endothelial cells) [195].

Given ZIKV's neurotropic nature, it is important to emphasize that ZIKV-derived EVs are found to disrupt the structure of human brain microvasculature endothelial cell junctions (hcMEC/D3), facilitating ZIKV entry into cells of the human CNS [196]. ZIKV can also spread to the CNS through autophagy (a process associated with viral infections and may be regulated by EVs [197–199]. In the case of ZIKV, the autophagic vesicles may play an important role in crossing the placental barrier. Normally, the placenta is protected from various pathogens (aided by the autophagy process); however, ZIKV can hijack this process [200,201]. A study using a mouse model has shown that chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine inhibits viral replication (autophagy-dependent) and also effectively prevents mother–fetal transmission of the virus [202,203].

5. Models for Studying Zika Virus Infection and Pathogenesis

Developing appropriate cellular and animal models for studying ZIKV is becoming increasingly important to gain appropriate understanding of viral pathogenesis and host-related factors that aid or inhibit viral replication and to devise appropriate disease prevention and treatment strategies.

5.1. Cell Lines

The cell lines are widely used to grow and study ZIKV because they provide a controlled environment for viral replication. These cell lines allow scientists to watch viral behavior, examine molecular interactions between ZIKV and host cells, and test antiviral agents. The use of cell lines is essential to virology as they provide a reliable, reproducible system for studying viral pathogenicity and mechanisms in a laboratory setting. The cells susceptible to Zika Virus infection are illustrated in Figure 5 and detailed comprehensively in Table 2.

Sr No.	Cell Line Susc	eptible to ZIKV	Source	Mechanistic Evidence of Infection Susceptibility	References
		SF268	Human	Viral titers, synthesis of viral proteins, and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[208]
1	Neuronal cell lines	CRL-2267, CCL-127, CRL-2271 (male origin) CRL-2266, and CRL-2149 (female origin)	Human	Viral titers and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[209]
		SH-SY5Y	Human	Viral titers and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[210-212]
		U87-MG			[213]
		SK-N-SH			[214]
2	Fibroblasts (dermal, lungs)		Human	Viral titers, synthesis of viral proteins, replication of positive and negative strands of viral genome, and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[215,216]
3	Vero	o cells	Non-human	Viral titers and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[212,216–219]
4	Human hepatoo cells (cellular carcinoma HuH-7)	Human	Viral titers and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[208,212,216,217]
5	Human cervica cells	l adenocarcinoma (HeLa)	Human	Viral titers and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[216,217,220]
6	A54	9 cells	Human	Viral titers and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[211,212,218,219, 221]
		Oth	er cell lines		
7	Primary human cells (HAECs) for amni	amnion epithelial rm the lining of the otic sac	Human		[217]
8	Placental (JEG-3), muscle (RD), retinal (ARPE19), pulmonary (Hep-2 and HFL), colonic (Caco-2),		Human and non-human	Viral titers, synthesis of viral proteins, and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[208]

 Table 2. Cellular models for studying ZIKV infection and pathogenesis.

Sr No.	Table 2. Cont. Cell Line Susceptible to ZIKV	Source	Mechanistic Evidence of Infection Susceptibility	References
9	Sertoli, Hs1.Tes, SEM-1 and TCam-2 cells,	Human	Viral titers, synthesis of viral proteins, and cytopathic effects (CPE)	[214]
10	Human renal carcinoma cells (Caki), Human astroglia (SVG p12, American Type Culture Collection CRL-8621 (caco-2) and Human embryonic kidney cells (293T)	Human	Viral titers, synthesis of viral proteins, and replication of positive and negative strands of viral genome	[216]



Figure 5. Cells susceptible to Zika Virus infection: ZIKV gains access to body through bite of an arthropod vector. Following bite, viremia occurs and in a pregnant mother, ZIKV infects the Hofbauer cells in placenta and in this way, it is transmitted to the fetus. In fetus, ZIKV is capable of infecting a myriad of cells, including neural progenitor cells, oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and microglial cells. This may result in the causation of several congenital neural diseases as discussed in the paper. Furthermore, ZIKV has also been found to establish active infection in male reproductive tract and thus, is transmitted from male to female [204–207]. The Figure was created at https://BioRender.com.

5.2. Organoids

Although cell culture has been used to determine ZIKV replication and pathogenesis, this system is not without its downsides. Cells are unable to mimic in vivo conditions as they lack the cellular structure, composition, and physiology of an organ in which a virus replicates [222]. To overcome this drawback, people are now developing organ analogues in vitro where stem cells are used to generate 3D tissue structures resembling organs. These 3D models are known as organoids and are being used to model and better understand the molecular events that occur following viral invasion and replication in that organ [223]. Studies have provided confirmatory evidence of microcephaly following

ZIKV infection by using organoids [224,225]. Qian et al. (2016) used a mini-bioreactor to generate brain organoids and demonstrated that ZIKV infection in brain organoids that mimic the first-trimester fetal brain resulted in ZIKV infection of NPCs, IPC, astrocytes, and neurons, while infection of brain organoids mimicking the second-trimester fetal brain exhibited ZIKV infection of the outer radial glial cells [224]. These findings are correlated with reduced cellular proliferation and enhanced apoptosis providing evidence of ZIKV-associated microcephaly [136]. Yoon et al. showed that the ZIKV NS2A protein is responsible for the alteration and reduced multiplication of the radial glial cells resulting in altered neuronal positioning in the brain [226]. Another study reported that ZIKV infection of NPCs during early pregnancy was associated with the upregulation of TLR-3 receptors, leading to apoptosis of NPCs, resulting in microcephaly [227]. Recently, brain organoid models have also been used to determine the various drug targets against ZIKV [228–231]. Organoids resembling the placenta have also been developed using first-trimester human chorionic placental villi where ZIKV established infection and proliferated in Hofbauer cells, villus cytotrophoblasts (CTB), and produced the NS3 and E protein [232,233]. To summarize, organoids offer a promising approach to studying the ZIKV viral pathogenesis and also to screening compounds' antiviral activity in a system more relevant than in vitro cell culture.

5.3. Animal Models

Animal models have been developed to study ZIKV replication and pathogenesis in vivo.

5.3.1. Non-Human Primates (NHPs)

Non-Human Primates (NHPs) exhibit significant similarities with humans (pregnancy physiology, long gestation periods, and hemomonochorial placenta) and offer a promising model to study ZIKV infection, neuropathogenesis, and drug discovery. They also exhibit vertical transmission from mother to fetus similar to humans [234]. Rhesus macaques, olive baboons, marmosets, and pigtail macaques have been employed to study ZIKV pathogenesis during gestation. Olive baboons have been able to demonstrate clinical infection when infected during the gestation period. When infected during 4th month of pregnancy, they developed conjunctivitis and moderate rash, with viremia peak at 7 dpi (days post-infection). Pro-inflammatory cytokines and immunoglobulins were also detected [235,236]. In other NHPs (i.e., rhesus macaques, marmosets, and pigtail macaques) no clinical infection occurs following ZIKV inoculation. However, in all NHPs, antibodies are formed following ZIKV infection, and placental and fetal ZIKV infection is observed. In rhesus macaques, fetal loss occurs following ZIKV infection, while marmosets and olive baboons exhibit viral RNA in amniotic fluid and placenta. Severe placental infection is observed during pregnancy in marmosets and rhesus macaques [237–239].

5.3.2. Mice

Many viral replication and pathogenesis studies involve the use of mice as animal models because they have large litter sizes and a short gestational period. However, variability in their placental structure and heterogeneity in gestation can be problematic [240]. Another complication occurs due to differences in the cell biology of mice as compared to humans. On viral entry, the viral NS5 protein is able to degrade the cellular STAT2 protein, thereby making IFN signaling ineffective, resulting in viral replication and the development of clinical disease. Degradation of STAT-2 is not observed in mouse cells infected with a virus, leading to unimpaired IFN signaling resulting in low viral titer and failure to develop clinical infection [241]. Therefore, biological modifications are needed to

use mice for studying ZIKV replication and pathogenesis events. This can be achieved by using either immunocompromised mice or using alternative routes of inoculation.

Immunocompromised Mice

Immunocompromised mice are modified for interferon (IFN) insufficiency. This may be conducted by either creating knockout (KO) mice, e.g., interferon alpha receptor knockout (Ifnar-/-), or by using antibodies to block the IFN receptors (MARI-5A3) [242,243]. It has been found that pregnant Ifnar-/- mice exhibit high susceptibility to ZIKV infection with subcutaneous inoculation and are able to produce fetal and placental abnormalities [114]. The infection is established in homozygous as well as heterozygous mice, suggesting that partial deficiency in IFN signaling is sufficient to produce fetal neuropathology. As ZIKV infection can occur through venereal transmission; therefore, models have been developed for this purpose. Intravaginal inoculation of ZIKV from 5–8 days of conception results in high levels of viral RNAs in the placenta and fetal tissues in Ifnar-/- mice [244]. ZIKV infection (intravaginal) of IFN regulator factor (IRF) KO e.g., Irf3-/- Irf7-/- mice exhibit higher vaginal and fetal titers of the virus. This implies that inhibition of IFN signaling is a key factor in establishing different mice models.

Immunocompetent Mice

As discussed previously, ZIKV infection often fails in immunocompetent mice. However, some researchers have used alternative strategies to produce ZIKV infection by using higher viral titer or other routes of inoculation. A Brazilian ZIKV strain has been used to produce successful fetal infection in SJL mice. In this study, high viral inoculation $(10^{10}$ to 10^{12} pfu/mL) was used to produce uterine and fetal infection that ultimately resulted in fetal microcephaly [245]. However, these mice showed higher levels of T cells in the blood and later on developed autoimmunity and sarcoma [246]. The intraamniotic route has also been used for ZIKV inoculation in embryos and resulted in damage to the retina and blood-brain barrier and produced neuronal death and defects in motor neurons in the fetal brain. Intrauterine infection also resulted in ZIKV infection of placental endothelial and trophoblast cells and in the fetus, the virus localizes in the microglial, endothelial, and NPCs of the fetal brain [67,247,248]. Another model has also been developed to study the postnatal CZS progression by inoculating C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally, and it was seen that the fetus had reduced body weight and microcephaly. Later on, these mice exhibited motor and memory deterioration in adulthood [249]. These studies pave a path towards viral replication and neuropathogenesis studies in immunocompetent mice models that may be deployed for further understanding of ZIKV neuropathogenesis and drug discovery.

5.3.3. Farm Animals

Although less common as animal models, pregnant sheep, and pigs may be used as a model for ZIKV replication and pathogenesis. They are widely available and exhibit susceptibility to the virus [250,251]. They can also be used as a model for human pregnancy and fetal growth [252]. ZIKV infection during the first trimester in sheep resulted in placental pathology, reduced fetal growth, and fetal loss [253]. Maternal ZIKV infection during the 4th to 5th week resulted in the detection of ZIKV RNA in the PBMCs of the mother; however, clinical infection failed to occur [253]. Similarly, in pigs, intrauterine infection during pregnancy resulted in placental abnormalities as well as microcephaly in piglets [254]. It has also been seen that following intrauterine ZIKV infection, the African ZIKV lineage was found to produce a more pronounced viral infection as compared to the Asian strain [255]. These findings clearly establish the role of sheep as a possible animal model to study fetal neuropathogenesis due to ZIKV.

5.3.4. Rats

As rats become immunocompromised during the final stage of gestation, similar to humans, they may also be utilized as a model to investigate ZIKV neuropathogenesis [256]. Upon subcutaneous (S/C) inoculation of ZIKV to pregnant rat females, reduced cortical and hippocampus volumes were observed in rats, although no pathological findings and viral detection were observed in the maternal counterpart [114,257].

5.3.5. Hamsters

Infection of immunocompetent females during pregnancy was unable to produce productive viral infection; ZIKV RNA was not found in fetal or placental tissue and fetus size remained unaffected [114]. However, ZIKV infection (Malaysia Strain) in immunocompromised hamsters (8 days post-mating) resulted in ZIKV infection of placental tissue and also caused vertical transmission of the ZIKV from mother to offspring [258].

5.3.6. Chicken

Chicken embryos have been demonstrated to support ZIKV viral growth in the chicken brain and other tissues [259]. Intraamniotic inoculation of ZIKV resulted in stunted embryo growth and microcephaly [260]. Furthermore, comparison of the Asian and African ZIKV strains showed that the African strain caused more embryonic pathologies [261].

6. Treatment and Prevention Against Zika Virus and Zika Virus Associated Neuropathies

6.1. Prevention from Zika Virus Through Vaccines

One of the major methods for viral disease prevention and control is vaccination. Immediately after the outbreak of ZIKV, research began to find ideal vaccine candidates to prevent future ZIKV outbreaks [262]. Several vaccine candidates have emerged; however, very few have been used in clinical trials. The various ZIKV vaccine candidates are summarized in Table 3.

		Purified In	nactivated ZIKV Vaccines (PIZIK	(V)		
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
ZIKV strain PRVABC59 (Asian genotype associated with American outbreak)	Alum- adjuvanted Inactivated	Virus inactivation with formalin followed by use of adjuvant (aluminum hydroxide).	CD-1 and AG-129 mice were vaccinated with alum adjuvanted vaccine (2 doses of vaccines having 0.1 ug of Ag). Following vaccination, AG-129 showed protection and were found to neutralize ZIKV from both African and Asian lineages.	Neutralizing antibodies may play an important role in protection when challenged with virus.	Intramuscular (IM)	[263]
ZIKV strain PRVABC59	ZIKV purified inactivated vaccine (ZPIV), adjuvanted with aluminum hydroxide	Virus inactivation with formalin followed by use of adjuvant (aluminum hydroxide).	Sanofi Pasteur Institute upgraded the vaccine and their studies in mice (BALB/c) and found that vaccine protects against homologous challenge. Furthermore, studies in cynomolgus macaques have shown that vaccines provide good humoral and CMI and also completely protect it from ZIKV challenge	neutralizing antibodies, specific T-, and memory B-cells	Intramuscular (IM)	[264,265]

Table 3. Vaccines developed and tested against Zika Virus (ZIKV).

		Purified	Inactivated ZIKV Vaccines (P	IZIKV)		
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
ZIKV PRVABC59	Inactivated Vaccine	Aluminum hydroxide adjuvanted purified inactivated Zika vaccine	Indian rhesus macaques were vaccinated twice with ZIKV vaccine and were challenged at 6 weeks and 1 year following vaccination. It was observed that following challenge, vaccine provided protection in a dose dependent manner. Highest protection was achieved at 10 µg.	Vaccine induced neutralizing antibodies in a dose-dependent manner	Intramuscular (IM)	[266]
ZIKV PIZV in adengue virus (DENV)	Inactivated Vaccine	Purified inactivated Zika vaccine.	Two doses of vaccine protected the rhesus macaques 1 year following vaccination	Vaccine induced neutralizing antibodies	Intramuscular (IM)	[267]
ZIKV PRVABC59	Inactivated Vaccine	5 µg ZPIV and 500 µg aluminium hydroxide adjuvant (Alhydrogel).	Phase I clinical trials was conducted in humans (18–50 years of age). Computer generated randomization was used within groups and were vaccinated with 5 ug of inactivated ZIKV vaccine. Vaccine was found to be safe however, one dose was proven to be insufficient to provide complete protection and hence twice vaccination was recommended	Vaccine induced neutralizing antibodies	Intramuscular (IM)	[268]
		Liv	ve Attenuated Vaccines (LAVs	5)		
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
ZIKV Cambodian strain (FSS13025) and Puerto Rico strain (PRVABC59)	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Nucleotide deletion from 3' end.	The vaccine was used in IFN deficient mice (AG129). A total of 10 nts were deleted from 3' end of ZIKV and inoculation was made in 3 weeks old mice through SC route. that ZIKV proved safe, immunogenic, and induced higher antibody titers. The vaccine also prevented the viremia following challenge (Cambodian strain FSS13025 and Puerto Rico strain PRVABC59). The attenuated virus also proved to be the non-virulent for 1 day old mice @1 × 10 ⁴ . The LAV was also unable to be transmitted to mosquitoes.	Induction of humoral immunity and cell-mediated immunity.	Subcutaneous (SC)	[269]

		Liv	ve Attenuated Vaccines (LAVs	s)		
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
ZIKV Cambodian strain (FSS13025) and Puerto Rico strain (PRVABC59)	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Nucleotide deletion from 3' end.	LAV was prepared by deletion of 20 nts from the 3'UTR of ZIKV. On challenge (with Cambodian strain FSS13025 and Puerto Rico strain PRVABC59 at 6 days post vaccination), this vaccine prevented the ZIKV infection in maternal, placental, and fetal tissues in A129 mice. In males, testes damage was prevented. Furthermore, vaccination in rhesus macaques demonstrated the effective development of humoral immune response and also prevented the infection on challenge.	Induction of humoral immunity and cell-mediated immunity.	Subcutaneous (SC)	[270]
ZIKV Puerto Rico strain (PRVABC59)	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Containing NS1 gene without glycosylation, through changes in 10 nucleotides.	Vaccine was inoculated in IFN deficient mice (A129) @10 ⁵ PFU through SC route. Following vaccination animals were challenged with 10 ⁶ PFU of ZIKV PRVABC59 (heterologous strain) at 6 days of conception. At 13 days post conception, animals were sacrificed and they demonstrated minimal levels of viral RNA in maternal, placenta, and fetus, thus inhibiting the maternal-fetal transmission of the ZIKV.	Induction of humoral immunity and cell mediated Immunity CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses.	Subcutaneous (SC)	[271]
VacDZ	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Chimeric dengue/Zika virus	VacDZ was administered @10 ⁶ PFU per mouse (AG129 mice) for initial and booster dose (4 weeks apart). After 4 weeks of the booster dose (8 weeks after initial vaccine administration), vaccinated mice were sacrificed to determine the immune responses. Similarly, vaccinated mice were challenged with the lethal dose of ZIKV (10 ⁵ PFU per mouse), 4 weeks after vaccination.	Strong humoral and Th1 immune response in AG129 mice (Th1 response was stronger than Th2); also protected mice against the lethal ZIKV challenge.	Intraperitoneal (IP)	[272]

Live Attenuated Vaccines (LAVs)							
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference	
DNA-launched LAV	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Plasmid- Launched LAV	Six-week-old mice (A129) inoculated with 20 μL of DNA solution. At various time points, inoculated mice were bled via retro-orbital sinus (to determine viremia and immune response). On day 29, after vaccination, the viral challenge was given (ZIKV strain PRVABC59 @10 ⁶ PFU). After 48 h, mice were bled again to determine viremia and then at various time points, mice were sacrificed and necropsied (along with preservation and examination of various organs).	Robust humoral and cell-mediated immune response; protected mice from the virus challenge; also protected testes (in vaccinated males) following challenge.	IM or a combination of IM and electroporation (EP)	[273]	
ZIKV LAV (having a nine-residue capsid protein deletion (C7)	Live- attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Nine amino-acid deletions in the viral capsid protein.	Three-week-old A129 mice were vaccinated with a single dose (10 ⁵ FFU) of the vaccine; 28 days following vaccination, blood was collected (to measure immune response) and then challenged with ZIKV PRVABC59 strain (10 ⁶ PFU).	Robust humoral immune response; protected mice from the virus challenge; also prevented ZIKV transmission to fetus (from mother).	Subcutaneous (SC)/Intracranial	[274]	
ZIKV NS4B protein mutant (s)	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Site-directed mutagenesis (in viral NS4B) was used to create mutants. C100S was observed to be more attenuated than the other 2 mutants (NS4B-C100A and NS4B-P36A). C100S also maintained good immunogenicity.	WT B6 and AB6 mice (5–6-week-old) were inoculated with WT ZIKV FSS13025ic and its mutants (50 to 1×10^4 FFU). Various assays (neutralization, passive immunization, and T-cell depletions), assays were used to determine the vaccine efficacy.	Induction of humoral immunity and cell-mediated immunity CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses.	Intraperitonial (IP)	[275]	
ZIKV carrying mutations in E (N154A) and NS1 (N130A and N207A)	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Mutations were created by employing site-directed mutagenesis.	Three to four week old mice (A129) were vaccinated (1000 PFU of recombinant MR766 [rMR] or the mutant [m2MR and m5MR] viruses diluted in 100 µL of PBS). A total of 28 days following vaccination, mice were challenged with the 10,000 PFU of rMR. Blood was collected for determining immune response.	Robust humoral and cell-mediated immune response; protected mice from the virus challenge.	Subcutaneous (SC)	[276]	

21 of 49

		Liv	ve Attenuated Vaccines (LAVs	5)		
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
Codon pair- deoptimized ZIKVs	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Three codon pair-deoptimized ZIKVs (Min E, Min NS1, and Min E+NS1). The amino acid sequence of these viruses are 100% preserved.	AG6 mice lacking the type I and type II IFN (IFN- $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$) receptors were inoculated with the vaccine strains (@10 ⁴ , 10 ³ , 10 ² , 10 ¹ , or 100 PFU). At 3-and 6-days post vaccination (DPV), viremia was accessed. At various time points, various body tissues were collected for examination and viral load estimation. A total of 28 DPV mice were challenged with ZIKVwt(@10 ⁴ IFU). A total of 2 days post challenge, mice were bled for viremia determination and 14 days post challenge, all mice were bled (for determining humoral immune response) and sacrificed.	Min E+NS1, caused induction of sterilizing immunity and induction of strong humoral immune response; single vaccine dose was found effective (in response to challenge and preventing ZIKV transmission to fetus from mother).	Intraperitonial (IP)	[277]
Attenuated ZIKV (rGZ02a)	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Live attenuated ZIKV vaccine	6-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were s.c. immunized twice with 1×10^4 (low), 1×10^5 (medium), or 1×10^6 (high) FFU of rGZ02a at a 3-week interval. Humoral immune response was measured 2- and 8.5-weeks post vaccination.	Medium and high doses induced a long lasting (at least 8.5 weeks) and robust humoral immune response; neonates born from vaccinated dams remain free of brain damage and neurological disorders; rGZ02a vaccine also exhibited good anamnestic immune response when primed by Ad2-prME; ZIKV vaccine having prM and E proteins.	Subcutaneous (SC)/Intraperitonial (IP)	[278]
Live-attenuated ZIKV strain (named Z7)	Live attenuated vaccine (LAV)	Modified 5' UTR (by inserting 50 amino acids) of a pre-epidemic ZIKV Cambodian strain, FSS13025	Four-week-old Ifnar1-/- mice (in C57BL/6J background) were subcutaneously injected with 1×10^5 FFU of Z1 or Z7 (G10) in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) on D0. Serum samples were collected on day 24. On D42 p.i., the mice were challenged with 1×10^5 PFU of ZIKV (strain PRVABC59), and blood was collected from D1 to D3 post-challenge (to measure the viremia). On D3 p.c., the mice were euthanized, and the liver and spleen were collected to measure the viral load.	Robust humoral and cellular immune responses that prevented viremia after the challenge (with a high dose of an American epidemic ZIKV strain PRVABC59 infection)	Subcutaneous (SC)	[279]

			DNA Vaccines			
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
ZIKV Puerto Rico Strain (PRVABC59)	DNA Vaccine	DNA vaccine containing prM and E protein.	Vaccination was done in Ifnar1-/- mice (2 doses, 2 weeks apart). Following the challenge (Puerto Rico Strain PRVABC59), the mice did not demonstrate the testicular damage and sperm deterioration, proving it a safe vaccine candidate.	Humoral and T Cell immunity	Intramuscular (IM)	[280]
ZIKV Puerto Rico Strain PRVABC59, West African IbH 30656 and East African MR766 (challenge strains)	DNA Vaccine	Amphiphilic block copolymer (ABC) encoding the sequence of prM and E.	Vaccination was carried out in C57BL/6c mice @ Day. 0, 24, 42, and 199. With the challenge of various ZIKV isolates (Puerto Rico Strain PRVABC59, West African IbH 30656, and East African MR766),	Humoral and T Cell immunity in a dose-dependent manner (low protection was observed at 5 ug but high protection from diseases was observed at 10 and 50 ug).	Intramuscular (IM)	[281]
ZIKV SMGC-1 strain (Challenge)	DNA Vaccine	DNA vaccine encoding pVAX1-ZME of ZIKV.	Three doses of vaccine (50 ug of pVAX1-ZME) were inoculated (3 weeks apart) in immunocompetent BALB/c mice, and it was shown that mice exhibited potent humoral and CMI and also prevented the transfer of infection from mother to offspring.	Induction of both Th1 and Th2 responses and cytokines (IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, and IFN-γ).	In vivo electroporation	[282]
			ZIKV Subunit Vaccines			
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
ZIKV Puerto Rico Strain PRVABC59	Subunit Vaccine	Subunit ZIKV vaccine containing E Protein.	Vaccine was inoculated in 3 different mice models; BALB/c, C57BL/6, and Swiss Webster mice (3 doses at 3 week intervals). The vaccine caused the induction of higher levels of the antibodies that protected the mice from developing clinical infection in challenge with Puerto Rico Strain PRVABC59. CoVaccine HT-based vaccine induced higher antibody responses as compared to the alum adjuvanted vaccines.	Robust Humoral Immune response.	Intramuscular (IM)	[283]
ZIKV Puerto Rico Strain PRVABC59	Subunit Vaccine	Subunit ZIKV vaccine containing E Protein.	Vaccine was inoculated in non-human primate (<i>Cynomolgus macaques</i>) with 3 doses at 3 week intervals and demonstrated the strong humoral response following vaccination and also prevented the maternal infection transfer to the fetus.	Robust Humoral Immune response.	Intramuscular (IM)	[284]

ZIKV Cambodian strain FSS13025

Viral Vector

			711/3/ 0 1 1 1 2 1			
Zika Virus Strain	Vaccine Type	Development Strategy	ZIKV Subunit Vaccines Study Conducted	Mechanism	Route	Reference
ZIKV Puerto Rico Strain PRVABC59	Subunit Vaccine	Subunit ZIKV vaccine containing ED-III fragments.	ED III domain was produced in <i>E. coli</i> . A total of 4 doses of vaccines were inoculated in C57BL/6 mice and resulted in higher levels of IL-4, IL-6, and IFN-7. Vaccine also elicited good humoral immune response in mice.	Robust Humoral Immune response.	Intra-Peritoneal (IP)	[285]
ZIKV 2015/Honduras (R103451) and 2015/Colombia (FLR) Challenge	Subunit Vaccine	Subunit ZIKV vaccine containing ED-III different fragments.	To compare the immunogenicity of 3 different ED-III, residues 296-406, 298-409, and 301-404 of the ZIKV envelope (E) protein domain III (EDIII) fused with a C-terminal Fc of human IgG and inoculated in BALB/c mice and A129 mice (Five doses at days 0, 21, 42, 210, and 300). The vaccine having ED-III residues 298-409 elicited most strong humoral immune response. The immunity was transferred from mother to offspring and also protected the pups from ZIKV infection on challenge.	Robust Humoral Immune response.	Intramuscular (IM)	[286]
		Vira	l Vector Based ZIKV Vaccine	25		
ZIKV Puerto Rico strain PRVABC59	Viral Vector	ZIKV prM-E gene expression in Ad4 and Ad5 (to form Ad4-prM-E and Ad5-prM-E, respectively).	Vaccination was done in immunodeficient mice and C57BL/6 mice (2 doses at 3 week intervals). Ad4-prM-E induced only CMI whereas Ad5-prM-E induced both humoral and CMI. On challenge with virulent ZIKV in C57BL/c, all mice survived indicating the protective role of both Ad4-prM-E and Ad5-prM-E in inducing protective immunity levels.	Induced both humoral and CMI.	Intramuscular (IM)	[287]
Brazilian ZIKVand Puerto Rico strain PRVABC59	Viral Vector	RhAd52-prMEnv.	ZIKV vaccine inoculation was done in rhesus macaques and elicited good humoral immunity in rhesus macaques.	Humoral immunity	Intramuscular (IM)	[288]

Single dose of vaccine in A129 and BALB/c mice induced protective humoral and CMI that protected the mice partially on ZIKV challenge.

Humoral and CMI Intramuscular (IM)

[289]

(rVSV)-based ZIKV prM-E-NS1 vaccine.

		Vir	al Vector Based ZIKV Vaccines	5		
ZIKV Puerto Rico strain PRVABC59	Viral Vector	VSV-Capsid and VSV-ZikaE260– 425.	Single dose of both vaccines was done in BALB/c mice and both vaccines induced good humoral immune response however, however, vaccine containing capsid region induced stronger T cell immunity as compared to the vaccine containing E260-425	Humoral and CMI	Intramuscular (IM)	[290]

"IM" indicates Intra-Muscular, "IP" indicates Intraperitoneal, "EP" indicates Electroporation, and "SC" indicates Subcutaneous routes of vaccine administration/inoculation.

6.1.1. Purified Inactivated Zika Virus Vaccines (PIZIKV)

Several inactivated vaccines have been developed and tested by animal models. The majority of these vaccines have been able to demonstrate higher antibody titer, reduced viremia, successful passive transfer of antibodies, and protection in mice (interferon deficient) after homologous or heterologous vaccination [263]. In India, one vaccine has entered phase I clinical trials (CTRI/2017/05/008539) [291]. Using mice and non-human primates (NHPs), an aluminum hydroxide-based inactivated ZIKV vaccine has demonstrated promising antibody titers and protection against homologous antigen challenge [265]. Another PIZIKV provided complete protection in a dose-dependent manner (0.016 to 10 ug) and was found to be effective one year following vaccination in macaques [266]. A double-blind randomized controlled trial (Phase I) was performed to evaluate the safety and immunogenicity of PIZIKV, and it was found that the vaccine was safe for 52 h. However, protection was not found to be effective as only a single dose was given and for better immunogenicity, a double dose may be needed [268].

6.1.2. Live Attenuated Vaccines:

Live-attenuated vaccines (LAVs) are known for eliciting swift and long-lasting protective immunity and represent a crucial strategy for managing flavivirus diseases [292]. These are made by modifying the virus in such a way that it may replicate within the organism and stimulate the immune system without causing disease. Since 1938, they have been used as the gold standard as they exhibit good safety and effective protection from viral infection [293]. LAVs are known to stimulate the immune system for long-lasting periods (without the need for an adjuvant or any booster). Currently, three approaches are being used for generating ZIKV LAVs, which are (i) generating chimeric strains (using the backbone of an attenuated flavivirus), (ii) codon deoptimization, and (iii) mutagenesis. One of the most important advantages of using live vaccines is their ability to elicit robust humoral and cell-mediated immune responses (with a single dose of immunization); as the virus continues to multiply within the host cells, allowing continuous loading of MHC (I and II) with viral peptides. In addition, they are cost-effective (both during manufacturing as well as transportation). The past experiences of successful development of LAV against Japanese Encephalitis Virus (JEV) and Yellow Fever Virus (YFV) have clearly shown their potential to be used as safe viral vaccines [279,293]. However, manufacturing of live vaccines usually requires eggs or cell culture and also maintaining a cold chain during transportation. In countries having warm climates, maintaining cold chain only can account for up to 80% of the total vaccine cost [294]. Another major concern associated with the use of live vaccination is inadequate attenuation (once they are at the stage of the human clinical trials [295]. A variety of LAVs have been tested against the ZIKV [292], and the selective studies are summarized in Table 3.

6.1.3. Nucleic Acid Vaccines

DNA and RNA-based vaccines are being developed for different infectious diseases. They offer the advantage of prolonging immune response following vaccination and induce both humoral and cell-mediated immunity (CMI) [296]. However, one major disadvantage is that DNA vaccines often fail to elicit adequate immune response or offer protection from challenge [297]. Despite these advantages, DNA vaccines may have the risk of eliciting autoimmunity (by eliciting anti-DNA antibody production) and also causing insertional mutations [298]. Another point to keep in mind is that in the case of DNA vaccines, DNA must enter inside the nucleus of the cell, and then after transcription, mRNA must travel outside the nucleus (into the cytoplasm), where it is translated into the proteins. In contrast, for RNA vaccines, RNA can directly be translated into proteins in the cytoplasm, which are then secreted from the cells (into the extracellular matrix) where they can be presented to the antigen-presenting cells (APCs). mRNA vaccines have become more popular after the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. However, it is also important to note that storage of mRNA vaccine requires maintaining a cold chain, which may not be feasible in developing countries [299]. The summary of various DNA vaccines available against ZIKV is summarized in Table 3.

6.1.4. Subunit Vaccines

Due to their rapid production and stability, a variety of ZIKV vaccines have been developed. The majority of these vaccines have used the Envelope protein (E protein) and its different segments. These vaccines have been tested in various animal models, which are summarized in Table 3.

6.1.5. Viral Vector-Based Vaccines

Viral vector-based vaccines are prepared by using a vector virus for the insertion of the genetic components of ZIKV, and they have been found to induce good humoral immunity. Lentovirus, adenovirus, and retroviruses are known to be good viral vectors. Various ZIKV vaccines have been developed using various viral vector systems, which have been summarized in Table 3.

6.2. Zika Virus Prevention Through Vector Control

Mosquitoes play a central role in the transmission of ZIKV. Therefore, reducing ZIKV transmission through vector control methods involves diverse and innovative strategies and tools designed to manage disease-spreading mosquito population. One of the most widely used strategies is the use of chemical insecticides (due to their cost-effectiveness and easy availability). However, due to the emergence of insecticide resistance and their deleterious effects on the environment, the focus is now diverted towards various other control methods [300,301]. Due to their eco-friendly nature, herbal-based control methods have now gained attention. Promising insecticide activities have been shown by extracts derived from the Illicium verum, Zanthoxylum limonella, Cymbopogon citratus, Cymbopogon winterianus, Eucalyptus citriodora, Eucalyptus camaldulensis, etc. [302–308]. Furthermore, nanoparticles derived from Ambrosia arborescens have also shown good larvicidal activity. However, their toxicity profile should be determined against other environment-friendly insects, animals, and humans [307]. Similarly, biological control methods are also being employed due to their minimal impact on the environment [309]. This includes the use of entomopathogenic bacteria and fungi. Various species of entomopathogenic fungi (Metarhizium anisopliae, Beauveria bassiana, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus fumigatus, and Aspergillus terreus) and bacteria (Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (Bti), Wolbachia) have been tested against ZIKV-transmitting mosquitoes [310–315]. Particularly, the introduction of Wolbachia in mosquitoes has demonstrated the ability to disrupt the reproductive potential of disease-transmitting vectors. Similarly, the use of various natural predators, i.e., Toxorhynchites mosquitoes, fishes, tadpoles, and copepods, have also been used against the mosquitoes and have shown varying degrees of efficacy in controlling the mosquito population [316]. Moreover, genetic engineering principles have been applied to release genetically modified mosquitoes into the environment [317]. Furthermore, the use of the sterile insect technique is also an innovative approach to control the mosquito population [318]. When used in combination, these strategies offer an integrated approach to vector control, addressing both short and long-term goals of reducing ZIKV and its induced neuropathies. A summary of vector control strategies developed so far is provided in Table 4.

	Chemical Control							
	Compound/Organism	Vectors	Vectors Mechanism		Reference			
	Pyrethroids	Aedes aegypti	Disruption of voltage-gated sodium channels in neuronal membranes, thereby disrupting the electrical signaling in the insect nervous system.	Emergence of resistance	[319]			
Sr No. 1	Organophosphorus	Aedes aegypti	Inhibition of acetylcholine esterase in insects.	Emergence of resistance	[320]			
	Insect growth regulators	Aedes albopictus	Inhibiting specific biochemical pathways essential for insect growth and development.	Emergence of resistance	[321]			
	Carbamates (propoxur, bendiocarb)	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus	Inhibition of acetylcholine esterase in insects.	Emergence of resistance	[322,323]			
			Herbal Control					
	Compound/Organism	Compound/Organism Vectors Mechan		Remarks	Reference			
	Illicium verum (EO)	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictusMorphological aberrations at death in larvae and pupae.			[302]			
	Zanthoxylum limonella (EO)	Aedes aegypti, Aedes Morphological aberrations at albopictus death in larvae and pupae.			[302]			
	Cymbopogon citratus, Cymbopogon winterianus	Aedes aegypti	Good larvicidal activity.		[303]			
	Eucalyptus citriodora, Eucalyptus camaldulensis	<i>Aedes aegypti</i> Good larvicidal activity.			[303]			
Sr No. 2	Achillea biebersteinii, Juniperus procera	Aedes aegypti	Aedes aegypti Adult stage was more sensitive than larvae.		[304]			
	Annona glabra (nanoparticles)	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus	<i>Aedes albopictus</i> found to be more susceptible		[305]			
	Pavetta tomentosa, Tarenna asiatica.	Aedes aegypti Larvicidal and adulticidal activity.			[306]			
	Ambrosia arborescens (nanoparticles)	Aedes aegypti	Good larvicidal activity.		[307]			
	Lippia alba, L. origanoides, Eucalyptus citriodora, Cymbopogon flexuosus, Citrus sinensis, Cananga odorata, Swinglea glutinosa, Tagetes lucida	Aedes aegypti	Pupcidal and adulticidal activity.		[308]			

Table 4. Strategies to control mosquitoes/vectors involved in ZIKV transmission.

Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Bacillus hurringicuis sendentis (Bit) Acles acgypti, Acles alegypti, Acles alegypti Good larvicidal activity. Batteria falling terms balling [310,324-52] Wolleschia Acles acgypti induction of phenotoxidase -minure priming -minure priming I.es chance of esistance -minure priming [311,329-53] Sr No. 4 Control through Entomopathogenic Fungi Cost of through Entomopathogenic Fungi [312,332,33] Sr No. 4 Control through Entomopathogenic Fungi Cost of through Entomopathogenic Fungi [312,332,33] Sr No. 4 Remersite bassima Acles acgypti, Acles acgypti, and Aspergillus function, and Aspergillus function, and Aspergillus function, and Trained activity. Fungal hyphac penetration the mosquito death. - [314,315,33] Sr No. 5 Toorohypechin; and trained ativity. Acles acgypti, Acles acgypti, Acles acgypti, Acles acgypti, Acles acgypti, Acles acgypti, Acles acgypti, and Trained ativity. Larvace variaciously cart the larvac of Acles acgypti acles acgupti acles acquite activity. State the same environment and is thereafore used effectively for the acquital splexical carva and bashow accert State see acgupti acles acqupti acles acquite accert and bacles acqgypti acles acquite accert and bashow accert			Control through Entomopathogenic Bacteria						
Bacilius huringicasis tendennia (ht) Acids argypti Acids allopicitis Good larvicidal activity. Bacigriad-errived mosquitoes. <		Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Ref							
FN0. 3 -Reducing cellular resources for viral replication	Sr No. 3	Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (Bti)	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus	Good larvicidal activity.	Bacteria-derived toxin kills mosquitoes.	[310,324–328			
Control through Entomopathogenic Fungi Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Metarinizium anisopliue Adets alcoppetitis Fungal hyphae penetration Cost effective and less classing development. [312,332,233] Str No. 4 Beaureria bassiana Acles acgepti, Acles adoppetitis Fungal toxins (beaurericin 1) kill - [313,334–33] Appresilius flamuse, and Appersilius foreuse, and Appersilius, and Tx. modersuma Acles acgepti foreuse, and Acles acgepti foreuse, and Appersilius, and Tx. modersuma Larvae voraciously eat the larvae of Acles acgepti and		Wolbachia	Aedes aegypti	-Reducing cellular resources for viral replication -immune-priming -induction of phenoloxidase -miRNA-dependent immune pathway.	Less chances of resistance development.	[311,329–331			
Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Reference (Cost effective and estatances of estatances of environment and is the estatances of environment and is the estatances of environment and is the estatances of environment and is the estatance of Advis segurit and T.x. motetes and T.x. motetes estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of environment and estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of estatances of environment and estatances of estatances of			Contr	ol through Entomopathogenic Fungi	İ				
Sr No. 4 Metarhizium anisopliae Aedes aegypti, Aedes albepictus Fungal hyphae penetration leads to mosquito death. Core effective and less chances of development. 1312,332,33 Sr No. 4 Beauveria bassiana Aedes aegypti, Aedes albepictus Fungal toxins (beauvericin E) kills - [313,334–33 Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus fumigatus, and Aspergillus fumigatus, and Aspergillus fumigatus, and Aspergillus fumigatus, and Aspergillus furingents, Aspergillus torreas Aeles aegypti, Aeles aegypti, Aeles aegypti, and beinensis, and X. motezuma - - [314,315,33 Sr No. 5 Toxorhymchiles splendens, T. and X. motezuma Aeles aegypti, Aeles albepictus - - [316,338-34 Sr No. 6 Toxorhymchiles splendens, T. and X. motezuma Aeles aegypti Aeles albepictus Larvae voraciously eart the and value ad abopticitus Share the same environment and and X. motezuma [316,338-34 Sr No. 6 Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference and pupa. Sr No. 6 Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference should alarvae and pupa. Sr No. 7 Depo Rumentella splendial splendial splendial splendial Aeles aegypti Engulf mosquito larvae and pupa. [341] Sr No. 7 Depo Rumentella splendial splendial Aeles aegypti Various tadpoles various general effectively for the biologi		Compound/Organism	Vectors	Mechanism	Remarks	Reference			
Beaureria bassiana Addes aloppiti, Addes aloppitius Fungal toxins (beauvericin f) kills - [313,334-33] Apprefiltus funitottas, Asprefiltus funitottas, and Aspergiltus funitottas, and Aspergiltus ferreus Aeles aegypti, and Aspergiltus ferreus - - [314,315,33] Sr No. 5 Toxoringnichiles sphenders, Tx amboundorus, and Tx. moctecuma Nectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Sr No. 5 Toxoringnichiles sphenders, Tx amboundorus, and Tx. moctecuma Aedes aegypti, Aedes aloppittis Larvae voraciously eat the larvae of Aedes aegypti and Aedes aloppictus Share the same environment and is therefore used indiciological control of Aedes spp. Sr No. 6 Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Onodorund/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Sr No. 6 Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Melanoulatus Aedes aegypti Insestilicata, Procilia reticulata, Particulata, P	Sr No. 4	Metarhizium anisopliae	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus	Fungal hyphae penetration leads to mosquito death.	Cost effective and less chances of resistance development.	[312,332,333			
Aspergillus flarus, And Aspergillus lerrus Aedes aegypti, Control through Toxorhynchites Mosquitoes - - [314,315,33 Sr No. 5 Toxorhynchites splendens, Tx. ambainensis, and Tx. mocteziuma Aedes aegypti, Aedes aegypti, and Tx. mocteziuma Invase of Aedes aegypti, Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti, Invase of Aedes aegypti, Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti, Invase of Aedes aegypti, Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti, Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti, Invase of Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti, Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti, Invase of Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes aegypti Invase of Aedes Aeges aegypti Invase of Aeges Aeges aegypti Invase of Aeges Aeges aegypti Invase of Aeges Aeges aegupti Invase Aeges Aeges aegupti Invase Aeges Aeges Aeges Ae		Beauveria bassiana	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus	Fungal toxins (beauvericin A or F, beauvericin E) kill the mosquito.		[313,334–336			
Control through Toxorhynchites MosquitoesRemarksReferenceSr No. 5Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceSr No. 5Toxorhynchites splenders, Tx. and Tx. mocrezumaAeles aegypti, Aeles albopictusLarvae voraciously eat the larvae of Aedes aegypti and Aeles albopictus.Share the same environment and is therefore used effectively for loogical control of Aedes sep, mosquitoes.[316,338-34Sr No. 5Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferencePoecilia reticulata, Rasbora dancionuius, OriocionmisAedes aegyptiEngulf mosquito larvae and Puntius binaculatus.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[341]Sr No. 6Compound/OrganismAedes aegyptiEngulf mosquito larvae and pupa.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[341]Sr No. 6Compound/OrganismAedes seguptiEngulf mosquito larvae and Rubra caveri[342]Gambusia affinitisAedes segupti[343]Gambusia affinitisAedes segupti[344]Melandaenia splendidia splendidiaAedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.Sr No. 7EugerinusAedes aegyptiShare the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological controlSr No. 7EugerinusAedes aegypti </td <td></td> <td>Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus fumigatus, and Aspergillus terreus</td> <td>Aedes aegypti,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[314,315,337</td>		Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus fumigatus, and Aspergillus terreus	Aedes aegypti,			[314,315,337			
Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceSr No. 5Tocorhunchites splendens, Tr. and Tx. moctezuma and Tx. moctezuma and Tx. moctezumaAedes acgupti, Aedes albopictusLarvae voraciously eat th larvae of Aedes acgupti and Aedes albopictus.Share the same therefore used effectively for biological control of Aedes acgupti and Aedes albopictus.Share the same therefore used effectively for biological control of Aedes process and Puntius (Aedes albopictus).Share the same environment and and Aedes acguptiShare the same environment and and puntius.RemarksReference (Aedes acguptiSr No. 6Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReference (Aedes acguptiPocelia reticulata, Bandochatus, Ae albopictusAedes acguptiShare the same environment and and pupa.[341](Chinese cat fishAedes acguptiEngulf mosquito larvae and pupa.[342](Chinese cat fishAedes acgupti[343](Chinese cat fishAedes acgupti[344](Chinese cat fishAedes acgupti[344](Chinese cat fishAedes acgupti[345](Chinese cat fishAedes acgupti[346](Chinese cat fishAedes acgupti[347](Chinese cat fishAedes acgupti[348](Chi			Contro	l through <i>Toxorhynchites</i> Mosquitoe	25				
Sr No. 5 Toxorhynchiles splendens, Tx. amboinensis, and Tx. moclezuma Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus Larvae voraciously eat the larvae of Aedes acgypti and Aedes albopichis. Share the same environment and is therefore used effectively for the iological control of Aedes spp. [316,338-34 Sr No. 6 Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Poecilia reticulata, Rasbora danicantius, Aplochetius dayi, Oriochromis Aedes aegypti mossantibus, Q. Oriochromis Aedes aegypti and pupua Engulf mosquito larvae and pupa. Share the same environment and are therefore used ibinaculatus [341] Sr No. 6 Chinese cat fish Melanotennia splendida splendida Aedes aegypti Engulf mosquito larvae and pupa. Share the same environment and are therefore used ibiological control of mosquitoes. [343] Sr No. 7 Compound/Organism Aedes aegypti Engulf mosquito larvae and pupa. Share the same environment and are therefore used [343] Sr No. 7 Compound/Organism Aedes aegypti Yarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes. [346] Melanotaenia Aedes aegypti Effective engulfment of mosquito larvae. Share the same environment and are therefore used [347] Sr No. 7 Euphilocitarachus (genera used) Aedes aegypti		Compound/Organism	Vectors	Mechanism	Remarks	Reference			
Control through FishesCompound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferencePoecilia reticulata, Rasbora daniconius, Aplocheilus dayi, OriochromisAedes aegypti[341]Sr No. 6"BinaculatusShare the same environment and and burstis binaculatus[342]Chinese cat fishAedes aegyptiEngulf mosquito larvae and pupa.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[342]Basbora caveriGambusia affinisAedes segupti[343]Melanotania splendida splendidaAedes aegyptiEngulf mosquito larvae and pupa.[343]Sr No. 7Engulf mosquito larvae and Rasbora caveri[344]Melanotania splendida splendidaAedes aegypti[345]Sr No. 7Engulf mosquito larvae and Ramanella, Eliphivitis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Aedes aegyptiShare the same environment and are therefore used environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismShare the sa	Sr No. 5	Toxorhynchites splendens, Tx. amboinensis, and Tx. moctezuma	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus	Larvae voraciously eat the larvae of <i>Aedes aegypti</i> and <i>Aedes albopictus.</i>	Share the same environment and is therefore used effectively for biological control of <i>Aedes</i> spp. mosquitoes.	[316,338–340]			
Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferencePoecilia reticulata, Rasbora daniconius, Aplocheilus dauji, OriochromisAedes aegypti[341]Sr No. 6Engulf mosquito larvae bimaculatusShare the same environment and and pupa.[341]Chinese cat fishAedes aegyptiShare the same environment and and kasbora caveri[342]Gambusia affinisAedes aegypti[343]Gambusia affinisAedes aegypti[343]Melanotania splendida splendidaAedes aegypti[343]Sr No. 7Engulf mosquito larvae and Rasbora caveri[343]Bufo, Ramanella, Elyphycits, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Aedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[346]Sr No. 7Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReference (344]Sr No. 8Engulf che mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReference (347]Sr No. 8Effective engulfment of mesoquito larvae.[346] effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Mesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and dare therefo				Control through Fishes					
Precilia reticulata, Rasbora daniconitis, Aplichellus dayi, Oricolromis mossambicus, O. niloticus, and Puntius binaculatusAedes aegypti[341]Sr No. 6illoticus, and Puntius binaculatus Poecilia reticulata, Puntius binaculatus, and Rabora caveriEngulf mosquito larvae and pupa.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[342]Gambusia affinis splendida splendida (genera used)Aedes aegypti[343]Sr No. 7Compound/Organism (genera used)Vectors various genera) effectively for the various genera) effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[346]Sr No. 7Engulf mosquito larvae, Bufo, Ramanella, Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Vectors Aedes aegyptiMechanism various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.Sr No. 8Compound/Organism (genera used)Vectors Aedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.Sr No. 8Compound/Organism Aedes aegyptiVectors MechanismRemarks MechanismMechanism (genera used)Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Mesocyclops aspericornis, Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Mesocyclops ther		Compound/Organism	Vectors	Mechanism	Remarks	Reference			
Chinese cat fishAedes aegyptiEngulf mosquito larvae and pupa.are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[342]Poecilia reticulata, Puntius bimaculatus, and Rasbora caveriAe. albopictus[343][344]Gambusia affinisAedes sp.[344]Melanotaenia splendida splendida glendidaAedes aegypti[345]Sr No. 7Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksBufo, Ramanella, Euphlycits, and Hoplobatrachus tigerinusAedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarks[346]Mesocyclops apperiornis,Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[347]Mesocyclops apperiornis,Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[347]Mesocyclops thermocyclops albidusAe. albopictusEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[347]Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[349][349][349][349]Sr No. 8Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegypti[349]	Sr No. 6	Poecilia reticulata, Rasbora daniconius, Aplocheilus dayi, Oriochromis mossambicus, O. niloticus, and Puntius bimaculatus	Aedes aegypti		Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.	[341]			
Poecilia reticulata, Puntius binaculatus, and Rasbora caveriAe. albopictusInterpretation and Rasbora caveriInterpretation and Rasbora caveriGambusia affinisAedes sp.[343]Gambusia affinisAedes aegypti[344]Melanotaenia splendida splendidaAedes aegypti[345]Sr No. 7Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceBufo, Ramanella, Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Aedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarks[347]Sr No. 8Effective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[347]Mesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquito.[349]Sr No. 8Mesocyclops Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquito.[349]Sr No. 8Mesocyclops Mesocyclops thermocyclops albidus thermocyclops albidus thermocyclops albidus thermocyclopsideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[349]Sigli 30,351]Mesocyclops (gislo 30,351] </td <td></td> <td>Chinese cat fish</td> <td>Aedes aegypti</td> <td> Engulf mosquito larvae </td> <td>[342]</td>		Chinese cat fish	Aedes aegypti	 Engulf mosquito larvae 		[342]			
Gambusia affinisAedes sp.[344]Melanotaenia splendida splendidaAedes aegypti[345]Melanotaenia splendida splendidaAedes aegyptiControl through Tadpoles[345]Sr No. 7Compound/Organism Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)VectorsMechanism various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[346]Sr No. 7Compound/Organism (genera used)VectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceHoplobatrachus tigerinusAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Compound/Organism Mesocyclops aspericornis,VectorsMechanismRemarksReference (349]Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[348]		Poecilia reticulata, Puntius bimaculatus, and Rasbora caveri	Ae. albopictus			[343]			
Melanotaenia splendida splendidaAedes aegypti[345]Melanotaenia splendidaAedes aegypti[345]Sr No. 7Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceBufo, Ramanella, Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Aedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitos.[346]Sr No. 7Effective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Mesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Sr No. 8Mesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[349]Macrocyclops albidusAe. albopictusEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[349]Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[349]Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[349]		Gambusia affinis	Aedes sp.			[344]			
Sr No. 7Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceBufo, Ramanella, Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Aedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[346]Sr No. 8Effective engulfment of mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegypti[347]Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquito larvae.[348]		Melanotaenia splendida splendida	Aedes aegypti	_		[345]			
Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceBufo, Ramanella, Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Aedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitos.[346]Hoplobatrachus tigerinusAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitos.[347]Sr No. 8Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReference environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquito larvae.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitos.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegypti[349]Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegypti[350,351]				Control through Tadpoles					
Sr No. 7Bufo, Ramanella, Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)Aedes aegyptiVarious tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[346]Hoplobatrachus tigerinusAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Control through CopepodsSr No. 8Mesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopsideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.[348][349][350,351][350,351]		Compound/Organism	Vectors	Mechanism	Remarks	Reference			
Hoplobatrachus tigerinusAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.biological control of mosquitoes.[347]Control through CopepodsSr No. 8Mesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiMesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiMesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiMesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.	Sr No. 7	Bufo, Ramanella, Euphlyctis, and Hoplobatrachus (genera used)	Aedes aegypti	Various tadpoles (belonging to various genera) effectively engulfed the mosquito eggs.	Share the same environment and are therefore used effectively for the	[346]			
Control through Copepods Compound/Organism Vectors Mechanism Remarks Reference Mesocyclops aspericornis, Aedes aegypti Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes. [348]		Hoplobatrachus tigerinus	Aedes aegypti	Effective engulfment of mosquito larvae.	biological control of mosquitoes.	[347]			
Compound/OrganismVectorsMechanismRemarksReferenceMesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiShare the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegypti[349]				Control through Copepods					
Sr No. 8Mesocyclops aspericornis,Aedes aegyptiShare the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[348]Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptiEffective engulfment of mosquito larvae.Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes.[348]		Compound/Organism	Vectors	Mechanism	Remarks	Reference			
Macrocyclops albidus Ae. albopictus Enective engumment of mosquito larvae. Interfore is used effectively for the biological control of mosquitoes. [349]	Sr No. 8	Mesocyclops aspericornis,	Aedes aegypti	- Effective engulfment of	Share the same environment and therefore is used effectively for the	[348]			
Mesocyclops thermocyclopoideAedes aegyptibiological control of mosquitoes.[350,351]		Macrocyclops albidus	Ae. albopictus	mosquito larvae.		[349]			
		Mesocyclops thermocyclopoide	Aedes aegypti	-	biological control of mosquitoes.	[350,351]			

	Control through Genetic Tailoring/Modification						
	Compound/Organism	Vectors	Vectors Mechanism		Reference		
Sr No. 9	Ae. aegypti OX513A	Aedes aegypti	Compete natural mosquitoes	Cost and labor-intensive.	[317,318,352–355]		
	Ae. aegypti OX5034	Aedes aegypti	release of males leads to >95% decline in larval and pupal populations.	Cost and labor-intensive.	[356]		
	Control through Sterile Insect Techniques (SIT)						
	Compound/Organism	Vectors	Mechanism	Remarks	Reference		
Sr No.10	Release of sterile males in the mosquito habitat.	Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus	Disruption of reproductive cycle; sterile males inseminate wild females, and no progeny is produced.	The technique is specific and ecological friendly.	[357–363]		

6.3. Treatment for Zika Virus

6.3.1. Antivirals Against Zika Virus

Since the outbreak of ZIKV, many compounds have been proposed to possess antiviral activity against ZIKV. However, in the majority of cases, treatment is symptomatic, and no specific antiviral has been approved for ZIKV treatment. A myriad of approaches are being adopted for searching for anti-ZIKV drugs. These strategies include the screening of different compounds for their anti-ZIKV activity or through repurposing drugs that are already being used for the treatment of other viral infections. In addition, the anti-ZIKV activity of several herbal/plant extracts is also being evaluated. Broadly, anti-ZIKV compounds have been divided into 2 major categories: (a) direct-acting antivirals, which inhibit the viral replication cycle; (b) antivirals targeting host cells [75]; both of these are summarized in Table 5. The former drugs act on the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp); NS5. Polymerase inhibitors and nucleoside analogs are included in this category. They act by targeting the catalytic domain of NS5. The other category of drugs (hosttargeting antivirals) targets the host cell processes (that play an important role in viral replication). Viruses need host nucleosides for their genome replication. The host-acting antivirals can act on various steps of viral replication (host cell binding, entry, replication complex formation, viral maturation, and release from the host cell). An advantage of targeting host processes is that they are less susceptible to developing drug resistance [75].

Table 5. Synthetic antivirals tested against Zika Virus.

		Direct Acting Antivirals		
Sr No.	Compound Name	Viral Target Inhibition	Results	Reference
1	Sofosbuvir	Pan-methyltransferase	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[364] [365] [366]
2	NITD008	Pyrimidine synthesis	Demonstrated in vitro and in vivo antiviral activity	[367] [368]
3	Suramin	NS3	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[369]
4	Temoporfin and novobiocin	NS2B-NS3 protease	Demonstrated in vitro and in vivo antiviral activity	[370] [371]
5	Myricetin, luteolin, isorhamnetin, apigenin, curcumin, niclosamide, and nitazoxanide.	NS2B-NS3 protease	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[372] [370] [371]
6	7-deaza-2-CMA, Sofosbuvir, and BCX4430	RNA dependent RNA polymerase	Demonstrated in vitro and in vivo antiviral activity	[373] [374] [375]

		Antivirals inhibiting the host targets				
	Compound name	Host Target Inhibition	Results	Reference		
1	Ribavirin	Purine synthesis	Demonstrated in vitro and in vivo antiviral activity	[376]		
2	Azathioprine	Purine synthesis	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[217]		
3	6-azauridine, 5-fluorouracil	Pirimidine synthesis	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[377]		
4	Chloroquine	pH-dependent steps of viral replication	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[378]		
5	Saliphenylhalamide (SaliPhe)	Viral entry	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[379]		
6	Memantine, MK-801, agmatine, and ifenprodil	Neuronal cell death	Demonstrated in vitro and in vivo antiviral activity	[380]		

6.3.2. Medicinal Plants as Zika Virus Antivirals

Modern scientific research has found numerous synthetic antiviral medications that are effective against a variety of viral infectious disorders throughout the past few decades. Unfortunately, a wide range of negative consequences associated with these synthetic medications have been documented. They may occasionally lose their potency against newly arising virus resistance strains [381]. Furthermore, people in developing nations cannot afford expensive synthetic medications for the treatment of viral infections. Medicinal plants may offer economical and efficient antiviral medications. To date, no plant-derived antiviral has been approved for clinical use against ZIKV. Various research has been conducted to identify medicinal plants possessing anti-ZIKV activity, and they have been summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Medicinal/natural plants possessing anti-ZIKV activities.

Sr No.	Compound Name	Source	Mode of Action	Results	Reference
1	Labyrinthopeptin	Actinomadura namibiensis	Viral envelope disruption	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[382]
2	Epigallocatechin	Green Tea	Inhibits viral entry, inhibition of NS3, and NS2B3 protease	Demonstrated in silico antiviral activity	[383] [384] [385,386] [387] [388]
3	Gossypol	Plants of the <i>Gossypium</i> genus			[389] [390]
4	Resveratrol	Various plant species	Inhibits viral replication	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[391] [392]
5	Berberine	Berberis vulgaris		Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[393]
6	Cephalotaxine	Cephalotaxus drupacea	Inhibits viral replication	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[394]
7	Nanchangmycin	Streptomyces nanchangensis	Inhibits clathrin-mediated endocytosis	Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[395]
8	Quercetin	Various plant species		Demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity	[396]
9	Sophoraflavenone G	Sophora flavescens	RNA polymerase interference	in vitro antiviral activity	[397]
10	Pinocembrin	Honey and tea	Inhibits the synthesis of viral E and RNA	in vitro antiviral activity	[398]

Sr No.	Compound Name	Source	Mode of Action	Results	Reference
11	Sinefungin	Streptomyces griseoleus	Inhibits viral RNA cap methylation	Demonstrated in silico and in vitro antiviral activity	[399]
12	Cavinafungin	Colispora cavincola	Inhibits host signal peptidase (in ER), thus inhibiting viral synthesis	in vitro antiviral activity	[400]
13	Emetine	Carapichea ipecacuanha	Inhibition of viral NS5 RdRp activity	in vitro antiviral activity	[401]
14	Lycorine	Amaryllidaceae species	Inhibition of viral NS5 RdRp activity	Demonstrated in vitro and in vivo antiviral activity	[402]
15	Naringenin	Citrus fruits	Inhibition of viral replication and assembly	in vitro antiviral activity	[403]
16	Delphinidin	Various fruits	Inhibition of viral attachment and entry in host cells	in vitro antiviral activity	[388]
17	Baicalein	Scutellaria baicalensis & Scutellaria lateriflora		Demonstrated in silico antiviral activity	[404]
18	Baicalin	Scutellaria baicalensis & Scutellaria lateriflora		Demonstrated in silico antiviral activity	[404]
19	Ginkgolic acid	Ginkgo biloba	Inhibits the viral fusion	In vitro antiviral activity	[405]

7. Zika Virus and Its Potential Oncolytic Activities

ZIKV exhibits tropism for a variety of cell types including normal neural stem/progenitor cells (NSCs/NSPs), microglial cells, astrocytes, and oligodendrocyte precursor cells [406–408]. Following the entry into cells, the virus disrupts growth and development, causing cell death. Studies in various animals have also supported the association between ZIKV infection and neurodegeneration. Inoculation of ZIKV in rhesus monkeys during early pregnancy has been shown to result in the aberrations of the microglial cells and also cortical plate thinning (3 weeks post-inoculation) [409,410]. Therefore, ZIKV is a promising virus that may be used as an oncolytic virus against glioblastoma as it infects the tumor cells. Particularly, in vitro studies have shown that the ZIKV NS5 protein inhibits the migration, proliferation, and invasion of glioblastoma. Studies in mice have shown that it increases the survival of C57/B6 mice with intracranial glioblastoma [411]. Another study in C57/B6 mice has shown that ZIKV increases the survival of mice with glioblastoma (with the suppression of tumor volume as well as the absence of clinical signs) [412]. Further molecular studies have revealed that ZIKV protease causes the cleavage of human cellular gasdermin D (GSDMD), which causes the activation of pyroptosis (caspase-independent) [413]. ZIKV infection in immunocompetent dogs (suffering from glioblastoma) has also been shown to decrease the tumor mass volume and ameliorate neurological signs. Further investigations revealed an increase in the number of immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment [414]. This increase in the numbers of immune cells (CD4+ and CD8+ T cells) has also been observed in C57BL6/J mouse models (suffering from glioblastoma) infected with ZIKV. This infiltration of T cells into the tumor microenvironment provides enhanced protection to mice. ZIKV infection is associated with enhanced activation of the type I interferon signaling pathway in glioblastoma cells and increases the glioblastoma sensitivity to the PD-L1 blockade, which is an important immune checkpoint [415–417].

8. Future Perspectives in Zika Virus Control

Since its emergence in 1947, ZIKV has only caused widespread epidemics in the last two decades. The outbreaks of ZIKV also suggest the dynamics of transmission variability of ZIKV. The outbreak of ZIKV in the Americas caused a rapid increase in studies of ZIKV pathogenesis and its control measures and treatment strategies. It is of

prime importance to continue working on establishing virological and immunological diagnostics kits for ZIKV with enhanced specificity and sensitivity. Another area of focus is ZIKV pathogenesis. Finally, prevention and treatment strategies remain pivotal to curtail ZIKV-related morbidity and mortality. Currently, many vaccines are being tested on animal models, but very few have entered into clinical trials, there is a dire need to improve the quality of good vaccine candidates. Plus, the development of genetically modified mosquitoes could be a groundbreaking tool for controlling Zika virus transmission.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: A.W., J.W. and J.L.R.; visualization, data collection, and validation: A.W., B.E.M., H.T.N.M., M.H., H.B., A.T. and A.S.; Original draft preparation: A.W., B.E.M., H.B., A.T., A.S. and H.T.N.M.; review, editing, and final draft preparation: A.W., B.E.M. and J.L.R.; supervision: J.L.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The study is supported by NIH grants R01AI150251 and R01AI128201, USDA Hatch funds (Project #4769), and funds from the Dorothy Foehr Huck and J. Lloyd Huck endowment to J.L.R.

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge and extend our thanks to Zhiyong Ma, from Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shanghai, China, for his assistance with modifying the layout and providing valuable suggestions regarding the manuscript. We are also thankful to Abdul Hanan and Rabia Khanam for their excellent moral support and fruitful discussions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Lindenbach, B.D.; Murray, C.L.; Thiel, H.J.; Rice, C.M. *Flaviviridae*. *Fields Virology*; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2013; Volume 1, pp. 712–746.
- 2. Fauquet, C.M.; Mayo, M.A.; Maniloff, J.; Desselberger, U.; Ball, L.A. Virus Taxonomy: VIIIth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2005.
- 3. Ryu, W.S. Molecular Virology of Human Pathogenic Viruses; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2016; pp. 1–423.
- 4. Lindenbach, B.D.; Rice, C.M. *Flaviviridae: The Viruses and Their Replication*; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2001.
- 5. Brand, C.; Bisaillon, M.; Geiss, B.J. Organization of the *Flavivirus* RNA replicase complex. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA* 2017, *8*, e1437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wahaab, A.; Liu, K.; Hameed, M.; Anwar, M.N.; Kang, L.; Li, C.; Ma, X.; Wajid, A.; Yang, Y.; Khan, U.H.; et al. Identification of Cleavage Sites Proteolytically Processed by NS2B-NS3 Protease in Polyprotein of Japanese Encephalitis Virus. *Pathogens* 2021, 10, 102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wahaab, A.; Mustafa, B.E.; Hameed, M.; Stevenson, N.J.; Anwar, M.N.; Liu, K.; Wei, J.; Qiu, Y.; Ma, Z. Potential Role of *Flavivirus* NS2B-NS3 Proteases in Viral Pathogenesis and Anti-flavivirus Drug Discovery Employing Animal Cells and Models: A Review. *Viruses* 2021, 14, 44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wahaab, A.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, K.; Rasgon, J.L.; Kang, L.; Hameed, M.; Li, C.; Anwar, M.N.; Zhang, Y.; Shoaib, A.; et al. NS2B-D55E and NS2B-E65D Variations Are Responsible for Differences in NS2B-NS3 Protease Activities Between Japanese Encephalitis Virus Genotype I and III in Fluorogenic Peptide Model. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2024, 25, 12680. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maximova, O.A.; Pletnev, A.G. Flaviviruses and the Central Nervous System: Revisiting Neuropathological Concepts. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* 2018, *5*, 255–272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Manzano-Alvarez, J.; Terradas, G.; Holmes, C.J.; Benoit, J.B.; Rasgon, J.L. Dehydration stress and Mayaro virus vector competence in Aedes aegypti. *J. Virol.* **2023**, *97*, e0069523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Terradas, G.; Manzano-Alvarez, J.; Vanalli, C.; Werling, K.; Cattadori, I.M.; Rasgon, J.L. Temperature affects viral kinetics and vectorial capacity of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes co-infected with Mayaro and Dengue viruses. *Parasites Vectors* 2024, 17, 73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brustolin, M.; Pujhari, S.; Terradas, G.; Werling, K.; Asad, S.; Metz, H.C.; Henderson, C.A.; Kim, D.; Rasgon, J.L. In Vitro and In Vivo Coinfection and Superinfection Dynamics of Mayaro and Zika Viruses in Mosquito and Vertebrate Backgrounds. *J. Virol.* 2023, 97, e0177822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Dodson, B.L.; Pujhari, S.; Rasgon, J.L. Vector competence of selected North American Anopheles and Culex mosquitoes for Zika virus. *PeerJ* 2018, *6*, e4324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Hameed, M.; Wahaab, A.; Shan, T.; Wang, X.; Khan, S.; Di, D.; Xiqian, L.; Zhang, J.J.; Anwar, M.N.; Nawaz, M.; et al. A Metagenomic Analysis of Mosquito Virome Collected From Different Animal Farms at Yunnan-Myanmar Border of China. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, *11*, 591478. [CrossRef]
- Hameed, M.; Liu, K.; Anwar, M.N.; Wahaab, A.; Li, C.; Di, D.; Wang, X.; Khan, S.; Xu, J.; Li, B.; et al. A viral metagenomic analysis reveals rich viral abundance and diversity in mosquitoes from pig farms. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 2020, 67, 328–343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hameed, M.; Khan, S.; Xu, J.; Zhang, J.; Wang, X.; Di, D.; Chen, Z.; Naveed Anwar, M.; Wahaab, A.; Ma, X.; et al. Detection of Japanese encephalitis virus in mosquitoes from Xinjiang during next-generation sequencing arboviral surveillance. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 2021, 68, 467–476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Bhatt, S.; Gething, P.W.; Brady, O.J.; Messina, J.P.; Farlow, A.W.; Moyes, C.L.; Drake, J.M.; Brownstein, J.S.; Hoen, A.G.; Sankoh, O.; et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature* **2013**, *496*, 504–507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhong, D.; Wahaab, A.; Zheng, J.; Zhang, J.; Ma, Z.; Wei, J. Development of Colloidal Gold-Based Immunochromatographic Strips for Rapid Detection and Surveillance of Japanese Encephalitis Virus in Dogs across Shanghai, China. *Viruses* 2024, *16*, 258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gubler, D.J. Dengue/dengue haemorrhagic fever: History and current status. *Novartis Found. Symp.* 2006, 277, 3–16, discussion 16–22, 71–73, 251–253. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Pierson, T.C.; Diamond, M.S. The emergence of Zika virus and its new clinical syndromes. *Nature* **2018**, *560*, 573–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Roehrig, J.T. West nile virus in the United States—A historical perspective. Viruses 2013, 5, 3088–3108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Faria, N.R.; Kraemer, M.U.G. Genomic and epidemiological monitoring of yellow fever virus transmission potential. *Science* **2018**, 361, 894–899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Petersen, L.R.; Brault, A.C.; Nasci, R.S. West Nile virus: Review of the literature. Jama 2013, 310, 308–315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Gray, T.J.; Webb, C.E. A review of the epidemiological and clinical aspects of West Nile virus. *Int. J. Gen. Med.* **2014**, *7*, 193–203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Shi, P.-Y.; Kauffman, E.B.; Ren, P.; Felton, A.; Tai, J.H.; Dupuis, A.P.; Jones, S.A.; Ngo, K.A.; Nicholas, D.C.; Maffei, J.; et al. High-Throughput Detection of West Nile Virus RNA. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2001**, *39*, 1264–1271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lustig, Y.; Mannasse, B.; Koren, R.; Katz-Likvornik, S.; Hindiyeh, M.; Mandelboim, M.; Dovrat, S.; Sofer, D.; Mendelson, E. Superiority of West Nile Virus RNA Detection in Whole Blood for Diagnosis of Acute Infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2016, 54, 2294–2297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kasule, S.; Fernholz, E.; Grant, L.; Kole, A.; Grys, T.E.; Kaleta, E.; Theel, E.S.; Pritt, B.; Graf, E.H. Whole-Blood PCR Preferred for Timely Diagnosis of Neuroinvasive West Nile Virus Infections: Lessons From the 2021 Arizona Outbreak. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2024, 11, ofae188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Briese, T.; Glass, W.G.; lan Lipkin, W. Detection of West Nile virus sequences in cerebrospinal fluid. *Lancet* 2000, 355, 1614–1615. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Le Flohic, G.; Porphyre, V.; Barbazan, P.; Gonzalez, J.P. Review of climate, landscape, and viral genetics as drivers of the Japanese encephalitis virus ecology. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2013, 7, e2208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Hsieh, J.T.; St. John, A.L. Japanese encephalitis virus and its mechanisms of neuroinvasion. *PLoS Pathog.* **2020**, *16*, e1008260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Atif, M.; Azeem, M.; Sarwar, M.R.; Bashir, A. Zika virus disease: A current review of the literature. *Infection* **2016**, *44*, 695–705. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Vorou, R. Zika virus, vectors, reservoirs, amplifying hosts, and their potential to spread worldwide: What we know and what we should investigate urgently. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *48*, 85–90. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Baud, D.; Gubler, D.J.; Schaub, B.; Lanteri, M.C.; Musso, D. An update on Zika virus infection. *Lancet* 2017, 390, 2099–2109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Agumadu, V.C.; Ramphul, K. Zika Virus: A Review of Literature. Cureus 2018, 10, e3025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Diaz, A.; Coffey, L.L.; Burkett-Cadena, N.; Day, J.F. Reemergence of St. Louis Encephalitis Virus in the Americas. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2018**, 24, 2150–2157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Danforth, M.E.; Snyder, R.E.; Feiszli, T.; Bullick, T.; Messenger, S.; Hanson, C.; Padgett, K.; Coffey, L.L.; Barker, C.M.; Reisen, W.K.; et al. Epidemiologic and environmental characterization of the Re-emergence of St. Louis Encephalitis Virus in California, 2015–2020. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2022, 16, e0010664. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Santos, C.L.; Sallum, M.A.; Franco, H.M.; Oshiro, F.M.; Rocco, I.M. Genetic characterization of St. Louis encephalitis virus isolated from human in São Paulo, Brazil. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* **2006**, *101*, 57–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Nash, D.; Mostashari, F.; Fine, A.; Miller, J.; O'Leary, D.; Murray, K.; Huang, A.; Rosenberg, A.; Greenberg, A.; Sherman, M.; et al. The Outbreak of West Nile Virus Infection in the New York City Area in 1999. N. Engl. J. Med. 2001, 344, 1807–1814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Rosa, R.; Costa, E.A.; Marques, R.E.; Oliveira, T.S.; Furtini, R.; Bomfim, M.R.Q.; Teixeira, M.M.; Paixão, T.A.; Santos, R.L. Isolation of Saint Louis Encephalitis Virus from a Horse with Neurological Disease in Brazil. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2013, 7, e2537. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hall, R.A.; Broom, A.K.; Smith, D.W.; Mackenzie, J.S. The Ecology and Epidemiology of Kunjin Virus. In *Japanese Encephalitis and West Nile Viruses*; Mackenzie, J.S., Barrett, A.D.T., Deubel, V., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2002; pp. 253–269.
 [CrossRef]
- Prow, N.A. The changing epidemiology of Kunjin virus in Australia. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2013, 10, 6255–6272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carver, S.; Bestall, A.; Jardine, A.; Ostfeld, R.S. Influence of hosts on the ecology of arboviral transmission: Potential mechanisms influencing dengue, Murray Valley encephalitis, and Ross River virus in Australia. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2009, 9, 51–64. [CrossRef]
- Mackenzie, J.S.; Lindsay, M.D.A.; Smith, D.W.; Imrie, A. The ecology and epidemiology of Ross River and Murray Valley encephalitis viruses in Western Australia: Examples of One Health in Action. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2017, 111, 248–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Floridis, J.; McGuinness, S.L.; Kurucz, N.; Burrow, J.N.; Baird, R.; Francis, J.R. Murray Valley Encephalitis Virus: An Ongoing Cause of Encephalitis in Australia's North. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *3*, 49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Mansfield, K.L.; Johnson, N.; Phipps, L.P.; Stephenson, J.R.; Fooks, A.R.; Solomon, T. Tick-borne encephalitis virus—A review of an emerging zoonosis. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2009, *90*, 1781–1794. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Lindquist, L.; Vapalahti, O. Tick-borne encephalitis. Lancet 2008, 371, 1861–1871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Bogovic, P.; Strle, F. Tick-borne encephalitis: A review of epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and management. *World J. Clin. Cases* **2015**, *3*, 430–441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Hassett, E.M.; Thangamani, S. Ecology of Powassan Virus in the United States. Microorganisms 2021, 9, 2317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Fatmi, S.S.; Zehra, R.; Carpenter, D.O. Powassan Virus-A New Reemerging Tick-Borne Disease. *Front. Public Health* **2017**, *5*, 342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Kemenesi, G.; Bányai, K. Tick-Borne Flaviviruses, with a Focus on Powassan Virus. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2019**, *32*, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Yang, X.; Gao, G.F.; Liu, W.J. Powassan virus: A tick borne flavivirus infecting humans. Biosaf. Health 2022, 4, 30–37. [CrossRef]
- 52. Khan, M.; Beckham, J.D.; Piquet, A.L.; Tyler, K.L.; Pastula, D.M. An Overview of Powassan Virus Disease. *Neurohospitalist* 2019, *9*, 181–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Dick, G.W.; Kitchen, S.F.; Haddow, A.J. Zika virus. I. Isolations and serological specificity. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1952**, *46*, 509–520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Kindhauser, M.K.; Allen, T.; Frank, V.; Santhana, R.S.; Dye, C. Zika: The origin and spread of a mosquito-borne virus. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **2016**, *94*, 675–686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Hayes, E.B. Zika virus outside Africa. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2009, 15, 1347-1350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Metsky, H.C.; Matranga, C.B.; Wohl, S.; Schaffner, S.F.; Freije, C.A.; Winnicki, S.M.; West, K.; Qu, J.; Baniecki, M.L.; Gladden-Young, A.; et al. Zika virus evolution and spread in the Americas. *Nature* **2017**, *546*, 411–415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Mlakar, J.; Korva, M.; Tul, N.; Popović, M.; Poljšak-Prijatelj, M.; Mraz, J.; Kolenc, M.; Resman Rus, K.; Vesnaver Vipotnik, T.; Fabjan Vodušek, V.; et al. Zika Virus Associated with Microcephaly. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2016**, *374*, 951–958. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Musso, D.; Bossin, H.; Mallet, H.P.; Besnard, M.; Broult, J.; Baudouin, L.; Levi, J.E.; Sabino, E.C.; Ghawche, F.; Lanteri, M.C.; et al. Zika virus in French Polynesia 2013-14: Anatomy of a completed outbreak. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2018, *18*, e172–e182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Lowe, R.; Barcellos, C.; Brasil, P.; Cruz, O.G.; Honório, N.A.; Kuper, H.; Carvalho, M.S. The Zika Virus Epidemic in Brazil: From Discovery to Future Implications. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2018**, *15*, 96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Bernardo-Menezes, L.C.; Agrelli, A.; Oliveira, A.; Moura, R.R.; Crovella, S.; Brandão, L.A.C. An overview of Zika virus genotypes and their infectivity. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop.* **2022**, *55*, e02632022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Hou, W.; Cruz-cosme, R.; Armstrong, N.; Obwolo, L.A.; Wen, F.; Hu, W.; Luo, M.-H.; Tang, Q. Molecular cloning and characterization of the genes encoding the proteins of Zika virus. *Gene* **2017**, *628*, 117–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Aziz, A.; Suleman, M.; Shah, A.; Ullah, A.; Rashid, F.; Khan, S.; Iqbal, A.; Luo, S.; Xie, L.; Xie, Z. Comparative mutational analysis of the Zika virus genome from different geographical locations and its effect on the efficacy of Zika virus-specific neutralizing antibodies. *Front. Microbiol.* **2023**, *14*, 1098323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chaturvedi, U.C.; Dhawan, R.; Khanna, M.; Mathur, A. Breakdown of the blood-brain barrier during dengue virus infection of mice. *J. Gen. Virol.* 1991, 72 *Pt* 4, 859–866. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Mustafá, Y.M.; Meuren, L.M.; Coelho, S.V.A.; de Arruda, L.B. Pathways Exploited by Flaviviruses to Counteract the Blood-Brain Barrier and Invade the Central Nervous System. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 65. Pujhari, S.; Brustolin, M.; Macias, V.M.; Nissly, R.H.; Nomura, M.; Kuchipudi, S.V.; Rasgon, J.L. Heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) mediates Zika virus entry, replication, and egress from host cells. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2019**, *8*, 8–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Basu, A.; Chaturvedi, U.C. Vascular endothelium: The battlefield of dengue viruses. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 2008, 53, 287–299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Shao, Q.; Herrlinger, S.; Yang, S.L.; Lai, F.; Moore, J.M.; Brindley, M.A.; Chen, J.F. Zika virus infection disrupts neurovascular development and results in postnatal microcephaly with brain damage. *Development* **2016**, *143*, 4127–4136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Mladinich, M.C.; Schwedes, J.; Mackow, E.R. Zika Virus Persistently Infects and Is Basolaterally Released from Primary Human Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells. *mBio* 2017, *8*, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carteaux, G.; Maquart, M.; Bedet, A.; Contou, D.; Brugières, P.; Fourati, S.; Cleret de Langavant, L.; de Broucker, T.; Brun-Buisson, C.; Leparc-Goffart, I.; et al. Zika Virus Associated with Meningoencephalitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 374, 1595–1596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Brasil, P.; Sequeira, P.C.; Freitas, A.D.; Zogbi, H.E.; Calvet, G.A.; de Souza, R.V.; Siqueira, A.M.; de Mendonca, M.C.; Nogueira, R.M.; de Filippis, A.M.; et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome associated with Zika virus infection. *Lancet* 2016, 387, 1482. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Furtado, J.M.; Espósito, D.L.; Klein, T.M.; Teixeira-Pinto, T.; da Fonseca, B.A. Uveitis Associated with Zika Virus Infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2016**, *375*, 394–396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Turpin, J.; El Safadi, D.; Lebeau, G.; Krejbich, M.; Chatelain, C.; Desprès, P.; Viranaïcken, W.; Krejbich-Trotot, P. Apoptosis during ZIKA Virus Infection: Too Soon or Too Late? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 1287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Chan, Y.T.; Cheok, Y.Y.; Cheong, H.C.; Tang, T.F.; Sulaiman, S.; Hassan, J.; Looi, C.Y.; Tan, K.K.; AbuBakar, S.; Wong, W.F. Immune Recognition versus Immune Evasion Systems in Zika Virus Infection. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 642. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Mohd Ropidi, M.I.; Khazali, A.S.; Nor Rashid, N.; Yusof, R. Endoplasmic reticulum: A focal point of Zika virus infection. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2020, 27, 27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Baz, M.; Boivin, G. Antiviral Agents in Development for Zika Virus Infections. Pharmaceuticals 2019, 12, 101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pielnaa, P.; Al-Saadawe, M.; Saro, A.; Dama, M.F.; Zhou, M.; Huang, Y.; Huang, J.; Xia, Z. Zika virus-spread, epidemiology, genome, transmission cycle, clinical manifestation, associated challenges, vaccine and antiviral drug development. *Virology* 2020, 543, 34–42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Gutiérrez-Bugallo, G.; Piedra, L.A.; Rodriguez, M.; Bisset, J.A.; Lourenço-de-Oliveira, R.; Weaver, S.C.; Vasilakis, N.; Vega-Rúa, A. Vector-borne transmission and evolution of Zika virus. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, *3*, 561–569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 78. Kurscheidt, F.A.; Mesquita, C.S.S.; Damke, G.; Damke, E.; Carvalho, A.; Suehiro, T.T.; Teixeira, J.J.V.; da Silva, V.R.S.; Souza, R.P.; Consolaro, M.E.L. Persistence and clinical relevance of Zika virus in the male genital tract. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* 2019, 16, 211–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Russo, F.B.; Jungmann, P.; Beltrão-Braga, P.C.B. Zika infection and the development of neurological defects. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2017, 19, e12744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weaver, S.C.; Costa, F.; Garcia-Blanco, M.A.; Ko, A.I.; Ribeiro, G.S.; Saade, G.; Shi, P.Y.; Vasilakis, N. Zika virus: History, emergence, biology, and prospects for control. *Antivir. Res.* 2016, 130, 69–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Berthet, N.; Nakouné, E.; Kamgang, B.; Selekon, B.; Descorps-Declère, S.; Gessain, A.; Manuguerra, J.C.; Kazanji, M. Molecular characterization of three Zika flaviviruses obtained from sylvatic mosquitoes in the Central African Republic. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2014, 14, 862–865. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Diallo, D.; Sall, A.A.; Diagne, C.T.; Faye, O.; Faye, O.; Ba, Y.; Hanley, K.A.; Buenemann, M.; Weaver, S.C.; Diallo, M. Zika virus emergence in mosquitoes in southeastern Senegal, 2011. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e109442. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Fagbami, A.H. Zika virus infections in Nigeria: Virological and seroepidemiological investigations in Oyo State. *J. Hyg.* **1979**, *83*, 213–219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Grard, G.; Caron, M.; Mombo, I.M.; Nkoghe, D.; Mboui Ondo, S.; Jiolle, D.; Fontenille, D.; Paupy, C.; Leroy, E.M. Zika virus in Gabon (Central Africa)—2007: A new threat from *Aedes albopictus*? *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2014**, *8*, e2681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Haddow, A.J.; Williams, M.C.; Woodall, J.P.; Simpson, D.I.; Goma, L.K. Twelve isolations of Zika virus from Aedes (Stegomyia) africanus (Theobald) taken in and above a Uganda forest. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **1964**, *31*, 57–69. [PubMed]
- Marchette, N.J.; Garcia, R.; Rudnick, A. Isolation of Zika virus from Aedes aegypti mosquitoes in Malaysia. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1969, *18*, 411–415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Weinbren, M.P.; Williams, M.C. Zika virus: Further isolations in the Zika area, and some studies on the strains isolated. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1958**, *52*, 263–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Akoua-Koffi, C.; Diarrassouba, S.; Bénié, V.B.; Ngbichi, J.M.; Bozoua, T.; Bosson, A.; Akran, V.; Carnevale, P.; Ehouman, A. Investigation surrounding a fatal case of yellow fever in Côte d'Ivoire in 1999. *Bull. Soc. Pathol. Exot.* **2001**, *94*, 227–230. [PubMed]
- 89. McCrae, A.W.; Kirya, B.G. Yellow fever and Zika virus epizootics and enzootics in Uganda. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1982**, *76*, 552–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 90. Pujhari, S.; Rasgon, J.L. Zika virus: A newly emergent vector-borne public health threat in the Americas. *PeerJ Prepr.* **2016**, *4*, e1781v1.
- 91. Ledermann, J.P.; Guillaumot, L.; Yug, L.; Saweyog, S.C.; Tided, M.; Machieng, P.; Pretrick, M.; Marfel, M.; Griggs, A.; Bel, M.; et al. Aedes hensilli as a potential vector of Chikungunya and Zika viruses. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2014**, *8*, e3188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Hancock, W.T.; Marfel, M.; Bel, M. Zika virus, French Polynesia, South Pacific, 2013. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 20, 1960. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Musso, D.; Nilles, E.J.; Cao-Lormeau, V.M. Rapid spread of emerging Zika virus in the Pacific area. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Off. Publ. Eur. Soc. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 20, O595–O596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Diagne, C.T.; Diallo, D.; Faye, O.; Ba, Y.; Faye, O.; Gaye, A.; Dia, I.; Faye, O.; Weaver, S.C.; Sall, A.A.; et al. Potential of selected Senegalese Aedes spp. mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) to transmit Zika virus. BMC Infect. Dis. 2015, 15, 492. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Wong, P.S.; Li, M.Z.; Chong, C.S.; Ng, L.C.; Tan, C.H. Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Skuse): A potential vector of Zika virus in Singapore. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2013**, *7*, e2348. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Ponlawat, A.; Harrington, L.C. Blood feeding patterns of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in Thailand. *J. Med. Entomol.* 2005, 42, 844–849. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Scott, T.W.; Takken, W. Feeding strategies of anthropophilic mosquitoes result in increased risk of pathogen transmission. *Trends Parasitol.* **2012**, *28*, 114–121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 98. Kraemer, M.U.; Sinka, M.E.; Duda, K.A.; Mylne, A.Q.; Shearer, F.M.; Barker, C.M. The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus. *elife* **2015**, *4*, e08347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Thomas, S.M.; Obermayr, U.; Fischer, D.; Kreyling, J.; Beierkuhnlein, C. Low-temperature threshold for egg survival of a post-diapause and non-diapause European aedine strain, *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasites Vectors* 2012, *5*, 100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Paupy, C.; Delatte, H.; Bagny, L.; Corbel, V.; Fontenille, D. Aedes albopictus, an arbovirus vector: From the darkness to the light. *Microbes Infect.* 2009, 11, 1177–1185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Monaghan, A.J.; Morin, C.W.; Steinhoff, D.F.; Wilhelmi, O.; Hayden, M.; Quattrochi, D.A.; Reiskind, M.; Lloyd, A.L.; Smith, K.; Schmidt, C.A.; et al. On the Seasonal Occurrence and Abundance of the Zika Virus Vector Mosquito *Aedes Aegypti* in the Contiguous United States. *PLoS Curr.* 2016, 8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Foy, B.D.; Kobylinski, K.C.; Chilson Foy, J.L.; Blitvich, B.J.; Travassos da Rosa, A.; Haddow, A.D.; Lanciotti, R.S.; Tesh, R.B. Probable non-vector-borne transmission of Zika virus, Colorado, USA. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2011**, *17*, 880–882. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Sosa, L.E.; Njie, G.J.; Lobato, M.N.; Bamrah Morris, S.; Buchta, W.; Casey, M.L.; Goswami, N.D.; Gruden, M.; Hurst, B.J.; Khan, A.R.; et al. Tuberculosis Screening, Testing, and Treatment of U.S. Health Care Personnel: Recommendations from the National Tuberculosis Controllers Association and CDC, 2019. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 2019, 68, 439–443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Manrique-Hernández, E.F.; Fernández-Niño, J.A.; Idrovo, A.J. Global performance of epidemiologic surveillance of Zika virus: Rapid assessment of an ongoing epidemic. *Public Health* **2017**, *143*, 14–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Musso, D.; Roche, C.; Robin, E.; Nhan, T.; Teissier, A.; Cao-Lormeau, V.M. Potential sexual transmission of Zika virus. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2015, 21, 359–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Mansuy, J.M.; Dutertre, M.; Mengelle, C.; Fourcade, C.; Marchou, B.; Delobel, P.; Izopet, J.; Martin-Blondel, G. Zika virus: High infectious viral load in semen, a new sexually transmitted pathogen? *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *16*, 405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Nicastri, E.; Castilletti, C.; Liuzzi, G.; Iannetta, M.; Capobianchi, M.R.; Ippolito, G. Persistent detection of Zika virus RNA in semen for six months after symptom onset in a traveller returning from Haiti to Italy, February 2016. Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Les Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull. 2016, 21, 30314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Atkinson, B.; Hearn, P.; Afrough, B.; Lumley, S.; Carter, D.; Aarons, E.J.; Simpson, A.J.; Brooks, T.J.; Hewson, R. Detection of Zika Virus in Semen. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 22, 940. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Davidson, A.; Slavinski, S.; Komoto, K.; Rakeman, J.; Weiss, D. Suspected Female-to-Male Sexual Transmission of Zika Virus—New York City, 2016. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 2016, 65, 716–717. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 110. Barzon, L.; Pacenti, M.; Berto, A.; Sinigaglia, A.; Franchin, E.; Lavezzo, E.; Brugnaro, P.; Palù, G. Isolation of infectious Zika virus from saliva and prolonged viral RNA shedding in a traveller returning from the Dominican Republic to Italy, January 2016. *Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Les Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull.* **2016**, *21*, 30159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gourinat, A.C.; O'Connor, O.; Calvez, E.; Goarant, C.; Dupont-Rouzeyrol, M. Detection of Zika virus in urine. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2015, 21, 84–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Counotte, M.J.; Kim, C.R.; Wang, J. Sexual transmission of Zika virus and other flaviviruses: A living systematic review. *PLoS Med.* 2018, *15*, e1002611. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Bayer, A.; Lennemann, N.J.; Ouyang, Y.; Bramley, J.C.; Morosky, S.; Marques, E.T., Jr.; Cherry, S.; Sadovsky, Y.; Coyne, C.B. Type III Interferons Produced by Human Placental Trophoblasts Confer Protection against Zika Virus Infection. *Cell Host Microbe* 2016, 19, 705–712. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 114. Miner, J.J.; Cao, B.; Govero, J.; Smith, A.M.; Fernandez, E.; Cabrera, O.H.; Garber, C.; Noll, M.; Klein, R.S.; Noguchi, K.K.; et al. Zika Virus Infection during Pregnancy in Mice Causes Placental Damage and Fetal Demise. *Cell* 2016, 165, 1081–1091. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lazear, H.M.; Diamond, M.S. Zika Virus: New Clinical Syndromes and Its Emergence in the Western Hemisphere. J. Virol. 2016, 90, 4864–4875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 116. Calvet, G.; Aguiar, R.S.; Melo, A.S.O.; Sampaio, S.A.; de Filippis, I.; Fabri, A.; Araujo, E.S.M.; de Sequeira, P.C.; de Mendonça, M.C.L.; de Oliveira, L.; et al. Detection and sequencing of Zika virus from amniotic fluid of fetuses with microcephaly in Brazil: A case study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2016, 16, 653–660. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Meaney-Delman, D.; Hills, S.L.; Williams, C.; Galang, R.R.; Iyengar, P.; Hennenfent, A.K.; Rabe, I.B.; Panella, A.; Oduyebo, T.; Honein, M.A.; et al. Zika Virus Infection Among U.S. Pregnant Travelers—August 2015-February 2016. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 2016, 65, 211–214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Izquierdo-Suzán, M.; Zárate, S.; Torres-Flores, J.; Correa-Morales, F.; González-Acosta, C.; Sevilla-Reyes, E.E.; Lira, R.; Alcaraz-Estrada, S.L.; Yocupicio-Monroy, M. Natural Vertical Transmission of Zika Virus in Larval Aedes aegypti Populations, Morelos, Mexico. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 25, 1477–1484. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Mavale, M.; Parashar, D.; Sudeep, A.; Gokhale, M.; Ghodke, Y.; Geevarghese, G.; Arankalle, V.; Mishra, A.C. Venereal transmission of chikungunya virus by *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2010, *83*, 1242–1244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pereira-Silva, J.W.; Nascimento, V.A.D.; Belchior, H.C.M.; Almeida, J.F.; Pessoa, F.A.C.; Naveca, F.G.; Ríos-Velásquez, C.M. First evidence of Zika virus venereal transmission in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 2018, 113, 56–61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Du, S.; Liu, Y.; Liu, J.; Zhao, J.; Champagne, C.; Tong, L.; Zhang, R.; Zhang, F.; Qin, C.-F.; Ma, P.; et al. Aedes mosquitoes acquire and transmit Zika virus by breeding in contaminated aquatic environments. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 1324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dupont-Rouzeyrol, M.; Biron, A.; O'Connor, O.; Huguon, E.; Descloux, E. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. *Lancet* 2016, 387, 1051. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Besnard, M.; Lastere, S.; Teissier, A.; Cao-Lormeau, V.; Musso, D. Evidence of perinatal transmission of Zika virus, French Polynesia, December 2013 and February 2014. Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Les Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull. 2014, 19, 20751. [CrossRef]
- 124. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Possible West Nile virus transmission to an infant through breast-feeding— Michigan, 2002. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **2002**, *51*, 877–878.
- 125. Zea-Vera, A.; Cordova, E.G.; Rodriguez, S.; Gonzales, I.; Pretell, E.J.; Castillo, Y.; Castro-Suarez, S.; Gabriël, S.; Tsang, V.C.; Dorny, P.; et al. Parasite antigen in serum predicts the presence of viable brain parasites in patients with apparently calcified cysticercosis only. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* **2013**, *57*, e154–e159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Tan, J.J.L.; Balne, P.K.; Leo, Y.-S.; Tong, L.; Ng, L.F.P.; Agrawal, R. Persistence of Zika virus in conjunctival fluid of convalescence patients. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 11194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Miner, J.J.; Sene, A.; Richner, J.M.; Smith, A.M.; Santeford, A.; Ban, N.; Weger-Lucarelli, J.; Manzella, F.; Rückert, C.; Govero, J.; et al. Zika Virus Infection in Mice Causes Panuveitis with Shedding of Virus in Tears. *Cell Rep.* 2016, *16*, 3208–3218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Swaminathan, S.; Schlaberg, R.; Lewis, J.; Hanson, K.E.; Couturier, M.R. Fatal Zika Virus Infection with Secondary Nonsexual Transmission. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2016**, *375*, 1907–1909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Antoniou, E.; Orovou, E.; Sarella, A.; Iliadou, M.; Rigas, N.; Palaska, E.; Iatrakis, G.; Dagla, M. Zika Virus and the Risk of Developing Microcephaly in Infants: A Systematic Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 3806. [CrossRef]
- Papageorghiou, A.T.; Thilaganathan, B.; Bilardo, C.M.; Ngu, A.; Malinger, G.; Herrera, M.; Salomon, L.J.; Riley, L.E.; Copel, J.A. ISUOG Interim Guidance on ultrasound for Zika virus infection in pregnancy: Information for healthcare professionals. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. Off. J. Int. Soc. Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* 2016, 47, 530–532. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 131. Magalhães-Barbosa, M.C.; Prata-Barbosa, A.; Robaina, J.R.; Raymundo, C.E.; Lima-Setta, F.; Cunha, A.J. Trends of the microcephaly and Zika virus outbreak in Brazil, January–July 2016. *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *14*, 458–463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 132. Cauchemez, S.; Besnard, M.; Bompard, P.; Dub, T.; Guillemette-Artur, P.; Eyrolle-Guignot, D.; Salje, H.; Van Kerkhove, M.D.; Abadie, V.; Garel, C.; et al. Association between Zika virus and microcephaly in French Polynesia, 2013–2015: A retrospective study. *Lancet* **2016**, *387*, 2125–2132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Jouannic, J.M.; Friszer, S.; Leparc-Goffart, I.; Garel, C.; Eyrolle-Guignot, D. Zika virus infection in French Polynesia. *Lancet* 2016, 387, 1051–1052. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 134. Zacharias, N.; Whitty, J.; Noblin, S.; Tsakiri, S.; Garcia, J.; Covinsky, M.; Bhattacharjee, M.; Saulino, D.; Tatevian, N.; Blackwell, S. First Neonatal Demise with Travel-Associated Zika Virus Infection in the United States of America. *AJP Rep.* 2017, 7, e68–e73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 135. Komarasamy, T.V.; Adnan, N.A.A.; James, W.; Balasubramaniam, V. Zika Virus Neuropathogenesis: The Different Brain Cells, Host Factors and Mechanisms Involved. *Front. Immunol.* **2022**, *13*, 773191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Tang, H.; Hammack, C.; Ogden, S.C.; Wen, Z.; Qian, X.; Li, Y.; Yao, B.; Shin, J.; Zhang, F.; Lee, E.M.; et al. Zika Virus Infects Human Cortical Neural Progenitors and Attenuates Their Growth. *Cell Stem Cell* **2016**, *18*, 587–590. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 137. Schwartz, D.A. Viral infection, proliferation, and hyperplasia of Hofbauer cells and absence of inflammation characterize the placental pathology of fetuses with congenital Zika virus infection. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2017, 295, 1361–1368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Alves, L.V.; Paredes, C.E.; Silva, G.C.; Mello, J.G.; Alves, J.G. Neurodevelopment of 24 children born in Brazil with congenital Zika syndrome in 2015: A case series study. *BMJ Open* **2018**, *8*, e021304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 139. King, E.L.; Irigoyen, N. Zika Virus and Neuropathogenesis: The Unanswered Question of Which Strain Is More Prone to Causing Microcephaly and Other Neurological Defects. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **2021**, *15*, 695106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 140. Yang, S.; Gorshkov, K.; Lee, E.M.; Xu, M.; Cheng, Y.S.; Sun, N.; Soheilian, F.; de Val, N.; Ming, G.; Song, H.; et al. Zika Virus-Induced Neuronal Apoptosis via Increased Mitochondrial Fragmentation. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 598203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gladwyn-Ng, I.; Cordón-Barris, L.; Alfano, C.; Creppe, C.; Couderc, T.; Morelli, G.; Thelen, N.; America, M.; Bessières, B.; Encha-Razavi, F.; et al. Stress-induced unfolded protein response contributes to Zika virus-associated microcephaly. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2018, 21, 63–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Williams, A.L.; Bohnsack, B.L. Neural crest derivatives in ocular development: Discerning the eye of the storm. *Birth Defects Res.* Part C Embryo Today Rev. 2015, 105, 87–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Akula, M.; Park, J.W.; West-Mays, J.A. Relationship between neural crest cell specification and rare ocular diseases. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2019**, *97*, 7–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Bayless, N.L.; Greenberg, R.S.; Swigut, T.; Wysocka, J.; Blish, C.A. Zika Virus Infection Induces Cranial Neural Crest Cells to Produce Cytokines at Levels Detrimental for Neurogenesis. *Cell Host Microbe* **2016**, *20*, 423–428. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Nelson, B.R.; Roby, J.A.; Dobyns, W.B.; Rajagopal, L.; Gale, M., Jr.; Adams Waldorf, K.M. Immune Evasion Strategies Used by Zika Virus to Infect the Fetal Eye and Brain. *Viral Immunol.* **2020**, *33*, 22–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Alkatan, H.M.; Bedaiwi, K.M.; Al-Faky, Y.H.; Maktabi, A.M.Y. Demographics and histopathological characteristics of enucleated microphthalmic globes. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, *12*, 5283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Verma, A.S.; Fitzpatrick, D.R. Anophthalmia and microphthalmia. Orphanet J. Rare Dis. 2007, 2, 47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. de Paula Freitas, B.; Ko, A.I.; Khouri, R.; Mayoral, M.; Henriques, D.F.; Maia, M.; Belfort, R., Jr. Glaucoma and Congenital Zika Syndrome. *Ophthalmology* **2017**, *124*, 407–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. Tamm, E.R. Development of the iridocorneal angle and congenital glaucoma. *Der Ophthalmol. Z. Der Dtsch. Ophthalmol. Ges.* 2011, 108, 610–617. [CrossRef]
- 150. Tawara, A.; Inomata, H. Developmental immaturity of the trabecular meshwork in juvenile glaucoma. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* **1984**, *98*, 82–97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 151. Zhao, Z.; Yang, M.; Azar, S.R.; Soong, L.; Weaver, S.C.; Sun, J.; Chen, Y.; Rossi, S.L.; Cai, J. Viral Retinopathy in Experimental Models of Zika Infection. *Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 2017, *58*, 4355–4365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ventura, C.V.; Ventura, L.O. Ophthalmologic Manifestations Associated With Zika Virus Infection. *Pediatrics* 2018, 141, S161–s166.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 153. Watrin, L.; Ghawché, F.; Larre, P.; Neau, J.P.; Mathis, S.; Fournier, E. Guillain-Barré Syndrome (42 Cases) Occurring During a Zika Virus Outbreak in French Polynesia. *Medicine* **2016**, *95*, e3257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. da Silva, I.R.F.; Frontera, J.A.; Bispo de Filippis, A.M.; Nascimento, O. Neurologic Complications Associated With the Zika Virus in Brazilian Adults. *JAMA Neurol.* **2017**, *74*, 1190–1198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Fabrizius, R.G.; Anderson, K.; Hendel-Paterson, B.; Kaiser, R.M.; Maalim, S.; Walker, P.F. Guillain-Barré Syndrome Associated with Zika Virus Infection in a Traveler Returning from Guyana. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2016**, *95*, 1161–1165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Macnamara, F.N. Zika virus: A report on three cases of human infection during an epidemic of jaundice in Nigeria. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1954**, *48*, 139–145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. Miller, E.; Becker, Z.; Shalev, D.; Lee, C.T.; Cioroiu, C.; Thakur, K. Probable Zika virus-associated Guillain-Barré syndrome: Challenges with clinico-laboratory diagnosis. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **2017**, *375*, 367–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 158. Sejvar, J.J.; Kohl, K.S.; Gidudu, J.; Amato, A.; Bakshi, N.; Baxter, R.; Burwen, D.R.; Cornblath, D.R.; Cleerbout, J.; Edwards, K.M.; et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome and Fisher syndrome: Case definitions and guidelines for collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data. *Vaccine* 2011, 29, 599–612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 159. Brito Ferreira, M.L.; Antunes de Brito, C.A.; Moreira, Á.J.P.; de Morais Machado, M.; Henriques-Souza, A.; Cordeiro, M.T.; de Azevedo Marques, E.T.; Pena, L.J. Guillain-Barré Syndrome, Acute Disseminated Encephalomyelitis and Encephalitis Associated with Zika Virus Infection in Brazil: Detection of Viral RNA and Isolation of Virus during Late Infection. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2017, *97*, 1405–1409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 160. Uncini, A.; Shahrizaila, N.; Kuwabara, S. Zika virus infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome: A review focused on clinical and electrophysiological subtypes. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* **2017**, *88*, 266–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leis, A.A.; Stokic, D.S. Zika Virus and Guillain-Barre Syndrome: Is There Sufficient Evidence for Causality? *Front. Neurol.* 2016, 7, 170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 162. Sebastián, U.U.; Ricardo, A.V.A.; Alvarez, B.C.; Cubides, A.; Luna, A.F.; Arroyo-Parejo, M.; Acuña, C.E.; Quintero, A.V.; Villareal, O.C.; Pinillos, O.S.; et al. Zika virus-induced neurological critical illness in Latin America: Severe Guillain-Barre Syndrome and encephalitis. J. Crit. Care 2017, 42, 275–281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Pradhan, F.; Burns, J.D.; Agameya, A.; Patel, A.; Alfaqih, M.; Small, J.E.; Ooi, W. Case Report: Zika Virus Meningoencephalitis and Myelitis and Associated Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2017, 97, 340–343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Simonin, Y.; Erkilic, N.; Damodar, K.; Clé, M.; Desmetz, C.; Bolloré, K.; Taleb, M.; Torriano, S.; Barthelemy, J.; Dubois, G.; et al. Zika virus induces strong inflammatory responses and impairs homeostasis and function of the human retinal pigment epithelium. *EBioMedicine* 2019, 39, 315–331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Roach, T.; Alcendor, D.J. Zika virus infection of cellular components of the blood-retinal barriers: Implications for viral associated congenital ocular disease. *J. Neuroinflam.* 2017, *14*, 43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Young, A.T.; Moore, R.B.; Murray, A.G.; Mullen, J.C.; Lakey, J.R. Assessment of different transfection parameters in efficiency optimization. *Cell Transplant.* 2004, 13, 179–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 167. Vielle, N.J.; Zumkehr, B.; García-Nicolás, O.; Blank, F.; Stojanov, M.; Musso, D.; Baud, D.; Summerfield, A.; Alves, M.P. Silent infection of human dendritic cells by African and Asian strains of Zika virus. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 5440. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 168. Singh, P.K.; Guest, J.M.; Kanwar, M.; Boss, J.; Gao, N.; Juzych, M.S.; Abrams, G.W.; Yu, F.S.; Kumar, A. Zika virus infects cells lining the blood-retinal barrier and causes chorioretinal atrophy in mouse eyes. JCI Insight 2017, 2, e92340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Singh, P.K.; Khatri, I.; Jha, A.; Pretto, C.D.; Spindler, K.R.; Arumugaswami, V.; Giri, S.; Kumar, A.; Bhasin, M.K. Determination of system level alterations in host transcriptome due to Zika virus (ZIKV) Infection in retinal pigment epithelium. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 11209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 170. McDonald, E.M.; Anderson, J.; Wilusz, J.; Ebel, G.D.; Brault, A.C. Zika Virus Replication in Myeloid Cells during Acute Infection Is Vital to Viral Dissemination and Pathogenesis in a Mouse Model. *J. Virol.* **2020**, *94*, e00838-20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 171. Wen, C.; Yu, Y.; Gao, C.; Qi, X.; Cardona, C.J.; Xing, Z. Concomitant pyroptotic and apoptotic cell death triggered in macrophages infected by Zika virus. *PLoS ONE* **2022**, *17*, e0257408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 172. Ryan, F.J.; Carr, J.M.; Furtado, J.M.; Ma, Y.; Ashander, L.M.; Simões, M.; Oliver, G.F.; Granado, G.B.; Dawson, A.C.; Michael, M.Z.; et al. Zika Virus Infection of Human Iris Pigment Epithelial Cells. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 644153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 173. Singh, P.K.; Singh, S.; Farr, D.; Kumar, A. Interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15) restricts Zika virus replication in primary human corneal epithelial cells. *Ocul. Surf.* **2019**, *17*, 551–559. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 174. Singh, P.K.; Kasetti, R.B.; Zode, G.S.; Goyal, A.; Juzych, M.S.; Kumar, A. Zika Virus Infects Trabecular Meshwork and Causes Trabeculitis and Glaucomatous Pathology in Mouse Eyes. *mSphere* **2019**, *4*, e00173-19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 175. Christo, P.P. Encephalitis by dengue virus and other arboviruses. Arq. Neuro-Psiquiatr. 2015, 73, 641–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Cao-Lormeau, V.M.; Blake, A.; Mons, S.; Lastère, S.; Roche, C.; Vanhomwegen, J.; Dub, T.; Baudouin, L.; Teissier, A.; Larre, P.; et al. Guillain-Barré Syndrome outbreak associated with Zika virus infection in French Polynesia: A case-control study. *Lancet* 2016, 387, 1531–1539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 177. Dos Santos, T.; Rodriguez, A.; Almiron, M.; Sanhueza, A.; Ramon, P.; de Oliveira, W.K.; Coelho, G.E.; Badaró, R.; Cortez, J.; Ospina, M.; et al. Zika Virus and the Guillain-Barré Syndrome—Case Series from Seven Countries. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 375, 1598–1601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 178. Méndez, N.; Oviedo-Pastrana, M.; Mattar, S.; Caicedo-Castro, I.; Arrieta, G. Zika virus disease, microcephaly and Guillain-Barré syndrome in Colombia: Epidemiological situation during 21 months of the Zika virus outbreak, 2015–2017. *Arch. Public Health Arch. Belg. Sante Publique* **2017**, *75*, 65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Landais, A.; Césaire, A.; Fernandez, M.; Breurec, S.; Herrmann, C.; Delion, F.; Desprez, P. ZIKA vasculitis: A new cause of stroke in children? *J. Neurol. Sci.* 2017, *383*, 211–213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 180. Schaub, B.; Gueneret, M.; Jolivet, E.; Decatrelle, V.; Yazza, S.; Gueye, H.; Monthieux, A.; Juve, M.L.; Gautier, M.; Najioullah, F.; et al. Ultrasound imaging for identification of cerebral damage in congenital Zika virus syndrome: A case series. *Lancet Child Adolesc. Health* 2017, 1, 45–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 181. Mulkey, S.B.; Vezina, G.; Bulas, D.I.; Khademian, Z.; Blask, A.; Kousa, Y.; Cristante, C.; Pesacreta, L.; du Plessis, A.J.; DeBiasi, R.L. Neuroimaging Findings in Normocephalic Newborns With Intrauterine Zika Virus Exposure. *Pediatr. Neurol.* 2018, 78, 75–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Alimonti, J.B.; Ribecco-Lutkiewicz, M.; Sodja, C.; Jezierski, A.; Stanimirovic, D.B.; Liu, Q.; Haqqani, A.S.; Conlan, W.; Bani-Yaghoub, M. Zika virus crosses an in vitro human blood brain barrier model. *Fluids Barriers CNS* **2018**, *15*, 15. [CrossRef]

- 183. Galliez, R.M.; Spitz, M.; Rafful, P.P.; Cagy, M.; Escosteguy, C.; Germano, C.S.; Sasse, E.; Gonçalves, A.L.; Silveira, P.P.; Pezzuto, P.; et al. Zika Virus Causing Encephalomyelitis Associated With Immunoactivation. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2016, *3*, ofw203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mécharles, S.; Herrmann, C.; Poullain, P.; Tran, T.H.; Deschamps, N.; Mathon, G.; Landais, A.; Breurec, S.; Lannuzel, A. Acute myelitis due to Zika virus infection. *Lancet* 2016, *387*, 1481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 185. Zukor, K.; Wang, H.; Siddharthan, V.; Julander, J.G.; Morrey, J.D. Zika virus-induced acute myelitis and motor deficits in adult interferon αβ/γ receptor knockout mice. *J. Neurovirol.* 2018, 24, 273–290. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Latanova, A.; Karpov, V.; Starodubova, E. Extracellular Vesicles in Flaviviridae Pathogenesis: Their Roles in Viral Transmission, Immune Evasion, and Inflammation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2024**, *25*, 2144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 187. van Niel, G.; Carter, D.R.; Clayton, A.; Lambert, D.W.; Raposo, G.; Vader, P. Challenges and directions in studying cell–cell communication by extracellular vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2022**, *23*, 369–382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 188. Martin, C.; Ligat, G.; Malnou, C.E. The Yin and the Yang of extracellular vesicles during viral infections. *Biomed. J.* **2024**, 47, 100659. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 189. Votteler, J.; Sundquist, W.I. Virus budding and the ESCRT pathway. Cell Host Microbe 2013, 14, 232–241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 190. Inoue, J.; Ninomiya, M.; Umetsu, T.; Nakamura, T.; Kogure, T.; Kakazu, E.; Iwata, T.; Takai, S.; Sano, A.; Fukuda, M. Small interfering RNA screening for the small GTPase Rab proteins identifies Rab5B as a major regulator of hepatitis B virus production. *J. Virol.* 2019, 93, e00621-19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 191. Moulin, C.; Crupi, M.J.; Ilkow, C.S.; Bell, J.C.; Boulton, S. Extracellular vesicles and viruses: Two intertwined entities. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 1036. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 192. Martins, S.d.T.; Alves, L.R. Extracellular vesicles in viral infections: Two sides of the same coin? *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2020, 10, 593170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 193. Helle, F.; Handala, L.; Bentz, M.; Duverlie, G.; Brochot, E. Intercellular transmission of naked viruses through extracellular vesicles: Focus on polyomaviruses. *Viruses* 2020, *12*, 1086. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 194. York, S.B.; Sun, L.; Cone, A.S.; Duke, L.C.; Cheerathodi, M.R.; Meckes, D.G., Jr. Zika virus hijacks extracellular vesicle tetraspanin pathways for cell-to-cell transmission. *Msphere* **2021**, *6*, e0019221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Martínez-Rojas, P.P.; Quiroz-García, E.; Monroy-Martínez, V.; Agredano-Moreno, L.T.; Jiménez-García, L.F.; Ruiz-Ordaz, B.H. Participation of extracellular vesicles from zika-virus-infected mosquito cells in the modification of naïve cells' behavior by mediating cell-to-cell transmission of viral elements. *Cells* 2020, *9*, 123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Fikatas, A.; Dehairs, J.; Noppen, S.; Doijen, J.; Vanderhoydonc, F.; Meyen, E.; Swinnen, J.V.; Pannecouque, C.; Schols, D. Deciphering the role of extracellular vesicles derived from ZIKV-infected HcMEC/D3 cells on the blood-brain barrier system. *Viruses* 2021, 13, 2363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 197. Chen, T.; Tu, S.; Ding, L.; Jin, M.; Chen, H.; Zhou, H. The role of autophagy in viral infections. J. Biomed. Sci. 2023, 30, 5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 198. Xing, H.; Tan, J.; Miao, Y.; Lv, Y.; Zhang, Q. Crosstalk between exosomes and autophagy: A review of molecular mechanisms and therapies. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 2021, *25*, 2297–2308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 199. Ponpuak, M.; Mandell, M.A.; Kimura, T.; Chauhan, S.; Cleyrat, C.; Deretic, V. Secretory autophagy. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 2015, 35, 106–116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 200. Delorme-Axford, E.; Bayer, A.; Sadovsky, Y.; Coyne, C.B. Autophagy as a mechanism of antiviral defense at the maternal-fetal interface. *Autophagy* **2013**, *9*, 2173–2174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 201. Jackson, W.T. Autophagy as a Broad Antiviral at the Placental Interface; Taylor & Francis: Abingdon, UK, 2013; Volume 9, pp. 1905–1907.
- 202. Zhang, S.; Yi, C.; Li, C.; Zhang, F.; Peng, J.; Wang, Q.; Liu, X.; Ye, X.; Li, P.; Wu, M. Chloroquine inhibits endosomal viral RNA release and autophagy-dependent viral replication and effectively prevents maternal to fetal transmission of Zika virus. *Antivir. Res.* 2019, 169, 104547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cao, B.; Parnell, L.A.; Diamond, M.S.; Mysorekar, I.U. Inhibition of autophagy limits vertical transmission of Zika virus in pregnant mice. J. Exp. Med. 2017, 214, 2303–2313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 204. Gorshkov, K.; Shiryaev, S.A.; Fertel, S.; Lin, Y.W.; Huang, C.T.; Pinto, A.; Farhy, C.; Strongin, A.Y.; Zheng, W.; Terskikh, A.V. Zika Virus: Origins, Pathological Action, and Treatment Strategies. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 3252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 205. Miner, J.J.; Diamond, M.S. Zika Virus Pathogenesis and Tissue Tropism. Cell Host Microbe 2017, 21, 134–142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, S.; Shin, H.Y. Understanding the Tissue Specificity of ZIKV Infection in Various Animal Models for Vaccine Development. Vaccines 2022, 10, 1517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gasco, S.; Muñoz-Fernández, M. A Review on the Current Knowledge on ZIKV Infection and the Interest of Organoids and Nanotechnology on Development of Effective Therapies against Zika Infection. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 22, 35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 208. Chan, J.F.-W.; Yip, C.C.-Y.; Tsang, J.O.-L.; Tee, K.-M.; Cai, J.-P.; Chik, K.K.-H.; Zhu, Z.; Chan, C.C.-S.; Choi, G.K.-Y.; Sridhar, S. Differential cell line susceptibility to the emerging Zika virus: Implications for disease pathogenesis, non-vector-borne human transmission and animal reservoirs. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2016, 5, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hughes, B.W.; Addanki, K.C.; Sriskanda, A.N.; McLean, E.; Bagasra, O. Infectivity of immature neurons to Zika virus: A link to congenital Zika syndrome. *EBioMedicine* 2016, 10, 65–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 210. Luplertlop, N.; Suwanmanee, S.; Muangkaew, W.; Ampawong, S.; Kitisin, T.; Poovorawan, Y. The impact of Zika virus infection on human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cell line. *J. Vector Borne Dis.* **2017**, *54*, 207–214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 211. Bos, S.; Viranaicken, W.; Turpin, J.; El-Kalamouni, C.; Roche, M.; Krejbich-Trotot, P.; Desprès, P.; Gadea, G. The structural proteins of epidemic and historical strains of Zika virus differ in their ability to initiate viral infection in human host cells. *Virology* 2018, 516, 265–273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 212. Gaudry, A.; Bos, S.; Viranaicken, W.; Roche, M.; Krejbich-Trotot, P.; Gadea, G.; Desprès, P.; El-Kalamouni, C. The flavonoid isoquercitrin precludes initiation of Zika virus infection in human cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1093. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 213. Tricarico, P.M.; Caracciolo, I.; Crovella, S.; D'Agaro, P. Zika virus induces inflammasome activation in the glial cell line U87-MG. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2017**, 492, 597–602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 214. Mlera, L.; Bloom, M.E. Differential zika virus infection of testicular cell lines. Viruses 2019, 11, 42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 215. Hamel, R.; Dejarnac, O.; Wichit, S.; Ekchariyawat, P.; Neyret, A.; Luplertlop, N.; Perera-Lecoin, M.; Surasombatpattana, P.; Talignani, L.; Thomas, F. Biology of Zika virus infection in human skin cells. *J. Virol.* **2015**, *89*, 8880–8896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 216. Ramos da Silva, S.; Cheng, F.; Huang, I.C.; Jung, J.U.; Gao, S.J. Efficiencies and kinetics of infection in different cell types/lines by African and Asian strains of Zika virus. *J. Med. Virol.* **2019**, *91*, 179–189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 217. Barrows, N.J.; Campos, R.K.; Powell, S.T.; Prasanth, K.R.; Schott-Lerner, G.; Soto-Acosta, R.; Galarza-Muñoz, G.; McGrath, E.L.; Urrabaz-Garza, R.; Gao, J. A screen of FDA-approved drugs for inhibitors of Zika virus infection. *Cell Host Microbe* **2016**, *20*, 259–270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 218. Himmelsbach, K.; Hildt, E. Identification of various cell culture models for the study of Zika virus. *World J. Virol.* **2018**, *7*, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 219. Mumtaz, N.; Jimmerson, L.C.; Bushman, L.R.; Kiser, J.J.; Aron, G.; Reusken, C.B.; Koopmans, M.P.; van Kampen, J.J. Cell-line dependent antiviral activity of sofosbuvir against Zika virus. *Antivir. Res.* 2017, 146, 161–163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 220. Franco, E.J.; Hanrahan, K.C.; Brown, A.N. Favipiravir Inhibits Zika Virus (ZIKV) Replication in HeLa Cells by Altering Viral Infectivity. *Microorganisms* **2023**, *11*, 1097. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 221. Gobillot, T.A.; Humes, D.; Sharma, A.; Kikawa, C.; Overbaugh, J. The robust restriction of Zika virus by type-I interferon in A549 cells varies by viral lineage and is not determined by IFITM3. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 503. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 222. Pena, L.; Vincent, A.L.; Loving, C.L.; Henningson, J.N.; Lager, K.M.; Li, W.; Perez, D.R. Strain-dependent effects of PB1-F2 of triple-reassortant H3N2 influenza viruses in swine. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2012**, *93*, 2204–2214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 223. Lancaster, M.A.; Knoblich, J.A. Organogenesis in a dish: Modeling development and disease using organoid technologies. *Science* **2014**, 345, 1247125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 224. Qian, X.; Nguyen, H.N.; Song, M.M.; Hadiono, C.; Ogden, S.C.; Hammack, C.; Yao, B.; Hamersky, G.R.; Jacob, F.; Zhong, C.; et al. Brain-Region-Specific Organoids Using Mini-bioreactors for Modeling ZIKV Exposure. *Cell* 2016, 165, 1238–1254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 225. Wells, M.F.; Salick, M.R.; Wiskow, O.; Ho, D.J.; Worringer, K.A.; Ihry, R.J.; Kommineni, S.; Bilican, B.; Klim, J.R.; Hill, E.J.; et al. Genetic Ablation of AXL Does Not Protect Human Neural Progenitor Cells and Cerebral Organoids from Zika Virus Infection. *Cell Stem Cell* 2016, 19, 703–708. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 226. Yoon, K.J.; Song, G.; Qian, X.; Pan, J.; Xu, D.; Rho, H.S.; Kim, N.S.; Habela, C.; Zheng, L.; Jacob, F.; et al. Zika-Virus-Encoded NS2A Disrupts Mammalian Cortical Neurogenesis by Degrading Adherens Junction Proteins. *Cell Stem Cell* 2017, 21, 349–358.e346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 227. Dang, J.; Tiwari, S.K.; Lichinchi, G.; Qin, Y.; Patil, V.S.; Eroshkin, A.M.; Rana, T.M. Zika Virus Depletes Neural Progenitors in Human Cerebral Organoids through Activation of the Innate Immune Receptor TLR3. *Cell Stem Cell* 2016, 19, 258–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marrazzo, P.; Cricca, M.; Nastasi, C. Are the Organoid Models an Invaluable Contribution to ZIKA Virus Research? *Pathogens* 2021, 10, 1233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qian, X.; Nguyen, H.N.; Jacob, F.; Song, H.; Ming, G.L. Using brain organoids to understand Zika virus-induced microcephaly. Development 2017, 144, 952–957. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hopkins, H.K.; Traverse, E.M.; Barr, K.L. Methodologies for Generating Brain Organoids to Model Viral Pathogenesis in the CNS. *Pathogens* 2021, 10, 1510. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 231. Su, X.; Yue, P.; Kong, J.; Xu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Cao, W.; Fan, Y.; Liu, M.; Chen, J.; Liu, A.; et al. Human Brain Organoids as an In Vitro Model System of Viral Infectious Diseases. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 792316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Tabata, T.; Petitt, M.; Puerta-Guardo, H.; Michlmayr, D.; Wang, C.; Fang-Hoover, J.; Harris, E.; Pereira, L. Zika Virus Targets Different Primary Human Placental Cells, Suggesting Two Routes for Vertical Transmission. *Cell Host Microbe* 2016, 20, 155–166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 233. Jurado, K.A.; Simoni, M.K.; Tang, Z.; Uraki, R.; Hwang, J.; Householder, S.; Wu, M.; Lindenbach, B.D.; Abrahams, V.M.; Guller, S.; et al. Zika virus productively infects primary human placenta-specific macrophages. JCI Insight 2016, 1, e88461. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nguyen, S.M.; Antony, K.M. Highly efficient maternal-fetal Zika virus transmission in pregnant rhesus macaques. *PLoS Pathog.* 2017, 13, e1006378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 235. Gurung, S.; Reuter, N.; Preno, A.; Dubaut, J.; Nadeau, H.; Hyatt, K.; Singleton, K.; Martin, A.; Parks, W.T.; Papin, J.F.; et al. Zika virus infection at mid-gestation results in fetal cerebral cortical injury and fetal death in the olive baboon. *PLoS Pathog.* 2019, 15, e1007507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gurung, S.; Nadeau, H.; Maxted, M.; Peregrine, J.; Reuter, D.; Norris, A.; Edwards, R.; Hyatt, K.; Singleton, K.; Papin, J.F. Maternal Zika Virus (ZIKV) Infection following Vaginal Inoculation with ZIKV-Infected Semen in Timed-Pregnant Olive Baboons. *J. Virol.* 2020, 94, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 237. Adams Waldorf, K.M.; Stencel-Baerenwald, J.E.; Kapur, R.P.; Studholme, C.; Boldenow, E.; Vornhagen, J.; Baldessari, A.; Dighe, M.K.; Thiel, J.; Merillat, S.; et al. Fetal brain lesions after subcutaneous inoculation of Zika virus in a pregnant nonhuman primate. *Nat. Med.* 2016, 22, 1256–1259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 238. Coffey, L.L.; Keesler, R.I.; Pesavento, P.A.; Woolard, K.; Singapuri, A.; Watanabe, J.; Cruzen, C.; Christe, K.L.; Usachenko, J.; Yee, J.; et al. Intraamniotic Zika virus inoculation of pregnant rhesus macaques produces fetal neurologic disease. *Nat Commun.* 2018, 20, 2414. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 239. Seferovic, M.; Sánchez-San Martín, C.; Tardif, S.D.; Rutherford, J.; Castro, E.C.C.; Li, T.; Hodara, V.L.; Parodi, L.M.; Giavedoni, L.; Layne-Colon, D.; et al. Experimental Zika Virus Infection in the Pregnant Common Marmoset Induces Spontaneous Fetal Loss and Neurodevelopmental Abnormalities. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 6851. [CrossRef]
- 240. Grigsby, P.L. Animal Models to Study Placental Development and Function throughout Normal and Dysfunctional Human Pregnancy. *Semin. Reprod. Med.* **2016**, *34*, 11–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 241. Grant, A.; Ponia, S.S.; Tripathi, S.; Balasubramaniam, V.; Miorin, L.; Sourisseau, M.; Schwarz, M.C.; Sánchez-Seco, M.P.; Evans, M.J.; Best, S.M.; et al. Zika Virus Targets Human STAT2 to Inhibit Type I Interferon Signaling. *Cell Host Microbe* 2016, 19, 882–890. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 242. Karthik, L.; Kumar, G.; Keswani, T.; Bhattacharyya, A.; Chandar, S.S.; Bhaskara Rao, K.V. Protease inhibitors from marine actinobacteria as a potential source for antimalarial compound. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e90972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 243. Yuan, L.; Huang, X.Y. A single mutation in the prM protein of Zika virus contributes to fetal microcephaly. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 933–936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 244. Yockey, L.J.; Varela, L.; Rakib, T.; Khoury-Hanold, W.; Fink, S.L.; Stutz, B.; Szigeti-Buck, K.; Van den Pol, A.; Lindenbach, B.D.; Horvath, T.L.; et al. Vaginal Exposure to Zika Virus during Pregnancy Leads to Fetal Brain Infection. *Cell* 2016, 166, 1247–1256.e1244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 245. Cugola, F.R.; Fernandes, I.R.; Russo, F.B.; Freitas, B.C.; Dias, J.L.; Guimarães, K.P.; Benazzato, C.; Almeida, N.; Pignatari, G.C.; Romero, S.; et al. The Brazilian Zika virus strain causes birth defects in experimental models. *Nature* 2016, 534, 267–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 246. Andersen, M.K.; Härkönen, T.; Forsblom, C.; Groop, P.H.; Knip, M.; Tuomi, T. Zinc transporter type 8 autoantibodies (ZnT8A): Prevalence and phenotypic associations in latent autoimmune diabetes patients and patients with adult onset type 1 diabetes. *Autoimmunity* 2013, 46, 251–258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 247. Huai, W.; Zhao, R.; Song, H.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, L.; Gao, C.; Han, L.; Zhao, W. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor negatively regulates NLRP3 inflammasome activity by inhibiting NLRP3 transcription. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 248. Almutawa, W.; Smith, C.; Sabouny, R.; Smit, R.B.; Zhao, T.; Wong, R.; Lee-Glover, L.; Desrochers-Goyette, J.; Ilamathi, H.S.; Suchowersky, O.; et al. The R941L mutation in MYH14 disrupts mitochondrial fission and associates with peripheral neuropathy. *EBioMedicine* 2019, 45, 379–392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Paul, A.M.; Acharya, D.; Neupane, B.; Thompson, E.A.; Gonzalez-Fernandez, G.; Copeland, K.M.; Garrett, M.; Liu, H.; Lopez, M.E.; de Cruz, M.; et al. Congenital Zika Virus Infection in Immunocompetent Mice Causes Postnatal Growth Impediment and Neurobehavioral Deficits. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, *9*, 2028. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ragan, I.K.; Blizzard, E.L.; Gordy, P.; Bowen, R.A. Investigating the Potential Role of North American Animals as Hosts for Zika Virus. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2017, 17, 161–164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 251. Barr, K.L.; Anderson, B.D.; Prakoso, D.; Long, M.T. Working with Zika and Usutu Viruses In Vitro. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2016, 10, e0004931. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 252. Barry, J.S.; Anthony, R.V. The pregnant sheep as a model for human pregnancy. *Theriogenology* **2008**, *69*, 55–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Schwarz, E.R.; Pozor, M.A.; Pu, R.; Barr, K.L. Experimental Infection of Pregnant Female Sheep with Zika Virus During Early Gestation. *Viruses* 2019, 11, 795. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 254. Wichgers Schreur, P.J.; van Keulen, L.; Anjema, D.; Kant, J.; Kortekaas, J. Microencephaly in fetal piglets following in utero inoculation of Zika virus. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2018, 7, 42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 255. Udenze, D.; Trus, I.; Berube, N.; Gerdts, V.; Karniychuk, U. The African strain of Zika virus causes more severe in utero infection than Asian strain in a porcine fetal transmission model. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2019**, *8*, 1098–1107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 256. Sherer, M.L.; Posillico, C.K.; Schwarz, J.M. An examination of changes in maternal neuroimmune function during pregnancy and the postpartum period. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 2017, *66*, 201–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 257. Sherer, M.L.; Khanal, P.; Talham, G.; Brannick, E.M. Zika virus infection of pregnant rats and associated neurological consequences in the offspring. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0218539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Siddharthan, V.; Van Wettere, A.J.; Li, R.; Miao, J.; Wang, Z.; Morrey, J.D.; Julander, J.G. Zika virus infection of adult and fetal STAT2 knock-out hamsters. *Virology* 2017, 507, 89–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 259. Banfield, B.W.; Yap, G.S.; Knapp, A.C.; Enquist, L.W. A chicken embryo eye model for the analysis of alphaherpesvirus neuronal spread and virulence. *J. Virol.* **1998**, *72*, 4580–4588. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goodfellow, F.T.; Tesla, B.; Simchick, G.; Zhao, Q.; Hodge, T.; Brindley, M.A.; Stice, S.L. Zika Virus Induced Mortality and Microcephaly in Chicken Embryos. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2016, 25, 1691–1697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 261. Willard, K.A.; Demakovsky, L.; Tesla, B.; Goodfellow, F.T.; Stice, S.L.; Murdock, C.C.; Brindley, M.A. Zika Virus Exhibits Lineage-Specific Phenotypes in Cell Culture, in Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes, and in an Embryo Model. *Viruses* 2017, 9, 383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cagigi, A.; Tinnirello, R.; Iannolo, G.; Douradinha, B. Orthoflavivirus zikaense (Zika) vaccines: What are we waiting for? *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2024, 64, 107367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 263. Baldwin, W.R.; Livengood, J.A.; Giebler, H.A.; Stovall, J.L.; Boroughs, K.L.; Sonnberg, S.; Bohning, K.J.; Dietrich, E.A.; Ong, Y.T.; Danh, H.K.; et al. Purified Inactivated Zika Vaccine Candidates Afford Protection against Lethal Challenge in Mice. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 16509. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 264. Lecouturier, V.; Bernard, M.C.; Berry, C.; Carayol, S.; Richier, E.; Boudet, F.; Heinrichs, J. Immunogenicity and protection conferred by an optimized purified inactivated Zika vaccine in mice. *Vaccine* **2019**, *37*, 2679–2686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 265. Lecouturier, V.; Pavot, V.; Berry, C.; Donadieu, A.; de Montfort, A.; Boudet, F.; Rokbi, B.; Jackson, N.; Heinrichs, J. An optimized purified inactivated Zika vaccine provides sustained immunogenicity and protection in cynomolgus macaques. *NPJ Vaccines* 2020, 5, 19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 266. Young, G.; Bohning, K.J.; Zahralban-Steele, M.; Hather, G.; Tadepalli, S.; Mickey, K.; Godin, C.S.; Sanisetty, S.; Sonnberg, S.; Patel, H.K.; et al. Complete Protection in Macaques Conferred by Purified Inactivated Zika Vaccine: Defining a Correlate of Protection. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 3488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 267. Abbink, P.; Larocca, R.A.; Visitsunthorn, K. Durability and correlates of vaccine protection against Zika virus in rhesus monkeys. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2017**, *9*, eaao4163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 268. Stephenson, K.E.; Tan, C.S.; Walsh, S.R.; Hale, A.; Ansel, J.L.; Kanjilal, D.G.; Jaegle, K.; Peter, L.; Borducchi, E.N.; Nkolola, J.P.; et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a Zika purified inactivated virus vaccine given via standard, accelerated, or shortened schedules: A single-centre, double-blind, sequential-group, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 1 trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2020, 20, 1061–1070. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 269. Shan, C.; Muruato, A.E.; Nunes, B.T.D.; Luo, H.; Xie, X.; Medeiros, D.B.A.; Wakamiya, M.; Tesh, R.B.; Barrett, A.D.; Wang, T.; et al. A live-attenuated Zika virus vaccine candidate induces sterilizing immunity in mouse models. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 763–767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shan, C.; Muruato, A.E.; Jagger, B.W.; Richner, J.; Nunes, B.T.D.; Medeiros, D.B.A.; Xie, X.; Nunes, J.G.C.; Morabito, K.M.; Kong, W.P.; et al. A single-dose live-attenuated vaccine prevents Zika virus pregnancy transmission and testis damage. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 676. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 271. Richner, J.M.; Jagger, B.W.; Shan, C.; Fontes, C.R.; Dowd, K.A.; Cao, B.; Himansu, S.; Caine, E.A.; Nunes, B.T.D.; Medeiros, D.B.A.; et al. Vaccine Mediated Protection Against Zika Virus-Induced Congenital Disease. *Cell* 2017, 170, 273–283.e212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 272. Chin, W.-X.; Lee, R.C.H.; Kaur, P.; Lew, T.S.; Yogarajah, T.; Kong, H.Y.; Teo, Z.-Y.; Salim, C.K.; Zhang, R.-R.; Li, X.-F. A single-dose live attenuated chimeric vaccine candidate against Zika virus. *Npj Vaccines* **2021**, *6*, 20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 273. Zou, J.; Xie, X.; Luo, H.; Shan, C.; Muruato, A.E.; Weaver, S.C.; Wang, T.; Shi, P.-Y. A single-dose plasmid-launched live-attenuated Zika vaccine induces protective immunity. *EBioMedicine* **2018**, *36*, 92–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 274. Xie, X.; Kum, D.B.; Xia, H.; Luo, H.; Shan, C.; Zou, J.; Muruato, A.E.; Medeiros, D.B.; Nunes, B.T.; Dallmeier, K. A single-dose live-attenuated Zika virus vaccine with controlled infection rounds that protects against vertical transmission. *Cell Host Microbe* 2018, 24, 487–499.e485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 275. Li, G.; Adam, A.; Luo, H.; Shan, C.; Cao, Z.; Fontes-Garfias, C.R.; Sarathy, V.V.; Teleki, C.; Winkelmann, E.R.; Liang, Y. An attenuated Zika virus NS4B protein mutant is a potent inducer of antiviral immune responses. *Npj Vaccines* **2019**, *4*, 48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 276. Annamalai, A.S.; Pattnaik, A.; Sahoo, B.R.; Guinn, Z.P.; Bullard, B.L.; Weaver, E.A.; Steffen, D.; Natarajan, S.K.; Petro, T.M.; Pattnaik, A.K. An attenuated Zika virus encoding non-glycosylated envelope (E) and non-structural protein 1 (NS1) confers complete protection against lethal challenge in a mouse model. *Vaccines* 2019, 7, 112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 277. Li, P.; Ke, X.; Wang, T.; Tan, Z.; Luo, D.; Miao, Y.; Sun, J.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Hu, Q. Zika virus attenuation by codon pair deoptimization induces sterilizing immunity in mouse models. *J. Virol.* **2018**, *92*, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 278. Ye, X.; Liu, X.; Shu, T.; Deng, W.; Liao, M.; Zheng, Y.; Zheng, X.; Zhang, X.; Li, T.; Fan, W. A live-attenuated zika virus vaccine with high production capacity confers effective protection in neonatal mice. *J. Virol.* **2021**, *95*, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 279. Nazneen, F.; Thompson, E.A.; Blackwell, C.; Bai, J.S.; Huang, F.; Bai, F. An effective live-attenuated Zika vaccine candidate with a modified 5' untranslated region. *Npj Vaccines* **2023**, *8*, 50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 280. Griffin, B.D.; Muthumani, K.; Warner, B.M.; Majer, A.; Hagan, M.; Audet, J. DNA vaccination protects mice against Zika virus-induced damage to the testes. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 281. Hraber, P.; Bradfute, S.; Clarke, E.; Ye, C.; Pitard, B. Amphiphilic block copolymer delivery of a DNA vaccine against Zika virus. *Vaccine* **2018**, *36*, 6911–6917. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 282. Wang, R.; Liao, X.; Fan, D.; Wang, L.; Song, J.; Feng, K.; Li, M.; Wang, P.; Chen, H.; An, J. Maternal immunization with a DNA vaccine candidate elicits specific passive protection against post-natal Zika virus infection in immunocompetent BALB/c mice. *Vaccine* 2018, *36*, 3522–3532. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 283. To, A.; Medina, L.O.; Mfuh, K.O.; Lieberman, M.M.; Wong, T.A.S.; Namekar, M.; Nakano, E.; Lai, C.Y.; Kumar, M.; Nerurkar, V.R.; et al. Recombinant Zika Virus Subunits Are Immunogenic and Efficacious in Mice. *mSphere* 2018, 3, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 284. Medina, L.O.; To, A.; Lieberman, M.M.; Wong, T.A.S.; Namekar, M.; Nakano, E.; Andersen, H.; Yalley-Ogunro, J.; Greenhouse, J.; Higgs, S.; et al. A Recombinant Subunit Based Zika Virus Vaccine Is Efficacious in Non-human Primates. *Front. Immunol.* 2018, 9, 2464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 285. Yang, M.; Dent, M.; Lai, H.; Sun, H.; Chen, Q. Immunization of Zika virus envelope protein domain III induces specific and neutralizing immune responses against Zika virus. *Vaccine* **2017**, *35*, 4287–4294. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 286. Tai, W.; He, L.; Wang, Y.; Sun, S.; Zhao, G.; Luo, C.; Li, P.; Zhao, H.; Fremont, D.H.; Li, F.; et al. Critical neutralizing fragment of Zika virus EDIII elicits cross-neutralization and protection against divergent Zika viruses. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2018, 7, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bullard, B.L.; Corder, B.N.; Gorman, M.J.; Diamond, M.S. Efficacy of a T Cell-Biased Adenovirus Vector as a Zika Virus Vaccine. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 18017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 288. Abbink, P.; Larocca, R.A.; De La Barrera, R.A.; Bricault, C.A.; Moseley, E.T.; Boyd, M.; Kirilova, M.; Li, Z.; Ng'ang'a, D.; Nanayakkara, O.; et al. Protective efficacy of multiple vaccine platforms against Zika virus challenge in rhesus monkeys. *Science* 2016, 353, 1129–1132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, A.; Yu, J.; Lu, M.; Ma, Y.; Attia, Z.; Shan, C. A Zika virus vaccine expressing premembrane-envelope-NS1 polyprotein. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 3067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shi, X.; Hu, J.; Guo, J.; Wu, C.; Xiong, S. A Vesicular Stomatitis Virus-Based Vaccine Carrying Zika Virus Capsid Protein Protects Mice from Viral Infection. *Virol. Sin.* 2019, 34, 106–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 291. Sumathy, K.; Kulkarni, B.; Gondu, R.K.; Ponnuru, S.K.; Bonguram, N.; Eligeti, R.; Gadiyaram, S.; Praturi, U.; Chougule, B.; Karunakaran, L.; et al. Protective efficacy of Zika vaccine in AG129 mouse model. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 46375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 292. Adam, A.; Lee, C.; Wang, T. Rational Development of Live-Attenuated Zika Virus Vaccines. Pathogens 2023, 12, 194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ishikawa, T.; Yamanaka, A.; Konishi, E. A review of successful flavivirus vaccines and the problems with those flaviviruses for which vaccines are not yet available. *Vaccine* 2014, 32, 1326–1337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 294. Levine, M.M. "IDEAL" vaccines for resource poor settings. Vaccine 2011, 29, D116–D125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 295. Kanesa-Thasan, N.; Sun, W.; Kim-Ahn, G.; Van Albert, S.; Putnak, J.; King, A.; Raengsakulsrach, B.; Christ-Schmidt, H.; Gilson, K.; Zahradnik, J. Safety and immunogenicity of attenuated dengue virus vaccines (Aventis Pasteur) in human volunteers. *Vaccine* 2001, 19, 3179–3188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 296. Kutzler, M.A.; Weiner, D.B. DNA vaccines: Ready for prime time? Nat. Rev. Genet. 2008, 9, 776–788. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 297. Cagigi, A.; Douradinha, B. Have mRNA vaccines sentenced DNA vaccines to death? *Expert Rev. Vaccines* 2023, 22, 1154–1167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 298. Lee, J.; Kumar, S.A.; Jhan, Y.Y.; Bishop, C.J. Engineering DNA vaccines against infectious diseases. *Acta Biomater.* **2018**, *80*, 31–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 299. Cagigi, A.; Loré, K. Immune responses induced by mRNA vaccination in mice, monkeys and humans. *Vaccines* 2021, 9, 61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 300. Killeen, G.F.; Tatarsky, A.; Diabate, A.; Chaccour, C.J.; Marshall, J.M.; Okumu, F.O.; Brunner, S.; Newby, G.; Williams, Y.A.; Malone, D. Developing an expanded vector control toolbox for malaria elimination. *BMJ Glob. Health* 2017, 2, e000211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jones, R.T.; Ant, T.H.; Cameron, M.M.; Logan, J.G. Novel control strategies for mosquito-borne diseases. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 2021, 376, 20190802. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 302. Soonwera, M.; Moungthipmalai, T.; Aungtikun, J.; Sittichok, S. Combinations of plant essential oils and their major compositions inducing mortality and morphological abnormality of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*. *Heliyon* 2022, *8*, e09346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Manh, H.D.; Hue, D.T.; Hieu, N.T.T.; Tuyen, D.T.T.; Tuyet, O.T. The Mosquito larvicidal activity of essential oils from Cymbopogon and Eucalyptus Species in Vietnam. *Insects* 2020, 11, 128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 304. Almadiy, A.A. Chemical composition, insecticidal and biochemical effects of two plant oils and their major fractions against Aedes aegypti, the common vector of dengue fever. *Heliyon* 2020, 6, e04915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 305. Amarasinghe, L.; Wickramarachchi, P.; Aberathna, A.; Sithara, W.; De Silva, C. Comparative study on larvicidal activity of green synthesized silver nanoparticles and *Annona glabra* (Annonaceae) aqueous extract to control *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Heliyon* 2020, 6, e04322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 306. Pratheeba, T.; Taranath, V.; Gopal, D.S.; Natarajan, D. Antidengue potential of leaf extracts of *Pavetta tomentosa* and *Tarenna asiatica* (Rubiaceae) against dengue virus and its vector *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Heliyon* **2019**, *5*, e02732. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 307. Morejon, B.; Pilaquinga, F.; Domenech, F.; Ganchala, D.; Debut, A.; Neira, M. Larvicidal activity of silver nanoparticles synthesized using extracts of *Ambrosia arborescens* (Asteraceae) to control *Aedes aegypti* L.(Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Nanotechnol.* 2018, 2018, 6917938. [CrossRef]
- 308. Castillo, R.M.; Stashenko, E.; Duque, J.E. Insecticidal and repellent activity of several plant-derived essential oils against *Aedes aegypti. J. Am. Mosq. Control. Assoc.* 2017, 33, 25–35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 309. Singh, R.K.; Dhama, K.; Khandia, R.; Munjal, A.; Karthik, K.; Tiwari, R.; Chakraborty, S.; Malik, Y.S.; Bueno-Marí, R. Prevention and control strategies to counter Zika virus, a special focus on intervention approaches against vector mosquitoes—Current updates. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 310. Tissera, H.; Samaraweera, P.; Jayamanne, B.; Janaki, M.; U Chulasiri, M.; Rodrigo, C.; Fernando, S. Use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* israelensis in integrated vector control of *Aedes* sp. in Sri Lanka: A prospective controlled effectiveness study. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 2018, 23, 229–235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rancès, E.; Ye, Y.H.; Woolfit, M.; McGraw, E.A.; O'Neill, S.L. The relative importance of innate immune priming in Wolbachiamediated dengue interference. *PLoS Pathog.* 2012, *8*, e1002548. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 312. Kamalakannan, S.; Murugan, K. Laboratory and field evaluation of *Metarhizium anisopliae* for the control of Dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Insecta: Diptera: Culicidae). *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.* **2011**, *93*, 1195–1201. [CrossRef]
- 313. Daniel, J.F.; Silva, A.A.; Nakagawa, D.H.; Medeiros, L.S.d.; Carvalho, M.G.; Tavares, L.J.; Abreu, L.M.; Rodrigues-Filho, E. Larvicidal activity of *Beauveria bassiana* extracts against *Aedes aegypti* and identification of Beauvericins. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 28, 1003–1013. [CrossRef]
- 314. Ragavendran, C.; Natarajan, D. Insecticidal potency of *Aspergillus terreus* against larvae and pupae of three mosquito species *Anopheles stephensi, Culex quinquefasciatus,* and *Aedes aegypti. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2015**, 22, 17224–17237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 315. Balumahendhiran, K.; Vivekanandhan, P.; Shivakumar, M.S. Mosquito control potential of secondary metabolites isolated from *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *21*, 101334. [CrossRef]
- 316. Toohey, M.; Goettel, M.; Takagi, M.; Ram, R.; Prakash, G.; Pillai, J. Field studies on the introduction of the mosquito predator *Toxorhynchites amboinensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) into Fiji. *J. Med. Entomol.* **1985**, 22, 102–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 317. Bargielowski, I.; Nimmo, D.; Alphey, L.; Koella, J.C. Comparison of life history characteristics of the genetically modified OX513A line and a wild type strain of *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e20699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 318. Lacroix, R.; McKemey, A.R.; Raduan, N.; Kwee Wee, L.; Hong Ming, W.; Guat Ney, T.; Rahidah AA, S.; Salman, S.; Subramaniam, S.; Nordin, O. Open field release of genetically engineered sterile male *Aedes aegypti* in Malaysia. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e42771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stoddard, P.K. Managing *Aedes aegypti* populations in the first Zika transmission zones in the continental United States. *Acta Trop.* 2018, 187, 108–118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 320. Chediak, M.; Pimenta, F.G., Jr.; Coelho, G.E.; Braga, I.A.; Lima, J.B.P.; Cavalcante, K.R.L.; Sousa, L.C.d.; Melo-Santos, M.A.V.d.; Macoris, M.d.L.d.G.; Araújo, A.P.d. Spatial and temporal country-wide survey of temephos resistance in Brazilian populations of *Aedes aegypti. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 2016, 111, 311–321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 321. Liu, H.; Cupp, E.W.; Guo, A.; Liu, N. Insecticide resistance in Alabama and Florida mosquito strains of *Aedes albopictus*. J. Med. Entomol. 2004, 41, 946–952. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 322. Jirakanjanakit, N.; Rongnoparut, P.; Saengtharatip, S.; Chareonviriyaphap, T.; Duchon, S.; Bellec, C.; Yoksan, S. Insecticide susceptible/resistance status in Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti and Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand during 2003–2005. J. Econ. Entomol. 2014, 100, 545–550.
- 323. Yiguan, W.; Xin, L.; Chengling, L.; Su, T.; Jianchao, J.; Yuhong, G.; Dongsheng, R.; Zhicong, Y.; Qiyong, L.; Fengxia, M. A survey of insecticide resistance in *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) during a 2014 dengue fever outbreak in Guangzhou, China. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 2017, 110, 239–244. [PubMed]
- 324. Santos, F.; Lopes, J.; Vilas-Bôas, G.; Zequi, J.A.C. Characterization of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates with potential for control of *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus, 1762) (Diptera: Culicidae). *Acta Trop.* **2012**, *122*, 64–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 325. Araújo, A.P.; Araujo Diniz, D.F.; Helvecio, E.; De Barros, R.A.; De Oliveira, C.M.F.; Ayres, C.F.J.; de Melo-Santos, M.A.V.; Regis, L.N.; Silva-Filha, M.H.N.L. The susceptibility of *Aedes aegypti* populations displaying temephos resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis*: A basis for management. *Parasites Vectors* 2013, 6, 297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 326. Pruszynski, C.A.; Hribar, L.J.; Mickle, R.; Leal, A.L. A large scale biorational approach using *Bacillus thuringiensis* israeliensis (strain AM65-52) for managing *Aedes aegypti* populations to prevent dengue, chikungunya and Zika transmission. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0170079. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 327. Ahmad Zaki, Z.; Che Dom, N.; Ahmed Alhothily, I. Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* treatment on Aedes population using Different applications at high-rise buildings. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *5*, 67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 328. Davis, J.; Bibbs, C.S.; Müller, G.C.; Xue, R.-D. Evaluation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* israelensis as toxic sugar bait against adult *Aedes aegypti*, *Aedes albopictus*, and Culex quinquefasciatus mosquitoes. *J. Vector Ecol.* **2021**, *46*, 30–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 329. Hussain, M.; Frentiu, F.D.; Moreira, L.A.; O'Neill, S.L.; Asgari, S. Wolbachia uses host microRNAs to manipulate host gene expression and facilitate colonization of the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 9250–9255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 330. Rodriguez-Andres, J.; Rani, S.; Varjak, M.; Chase-Topping, M.E.; Beck, M.H.; Ferguson, M.C.; Schnettler, E.; Fragkoudis, R.; Barry, G.; Merits, A. Phenoloxidase activity acts as a mosquito innate immune response against infection with Semliki Forest virus. *PLoS Pathog.* 2012, *8*, e1002977. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 331. Gill, A.C.; Darby, A.C.; Makepeace, B.L. Iron necessity: The secret of Wolbachia's success? *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2014, *8*, e3224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 332. Lee, S.J.; Kim, S.; Yu, J.S.; Kim, J.C.; Nai, Y.-S.; Kim, J.S. Biological control of Asian tiger mosquito, *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) using Metarhizium anisopliae JEF-003 millet grain. *J. Asia-Pac. Entomol.* **2015**, *18*, 217–221. [CrossRef]
- 333. Choi, C.J.; Lee, J.Y.; Woo, R.M.; Shin, T.Y.; Gwak, W.S.; Woo, S.D. An effective entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae for the simultaneous control of *Aedes albopictus* and *Culex pipiens* mosquito adults. *J. Asia-Pac. Entomol.* 2020, 23, 585–590. [CrossRef]
- 334. Darbro, J.M.; Johnson, P.H.; Thomas, M.B.; Ritchie, S.A.; Kay, B.H.; Ryan, P.A. Effects of *Beauveria bassiana* on survival, blood-feeding success, and fecundity of *Aedes aegypti* in laboratory and semi-field conditions. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2012, *86*, 656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 335. Bitencourt, R.; Farias, F.; Freitas, M.; Balduino, C.; Mesquita, E.; Corval, A.; Gôlo, P.; Pontes, E.; Bittencourt, V.; Angelo, I. In vitro control of *Aedes aegypti* larvae using *Beauveria bassiana*. *Int. J. Bioeng. Life Sci.* **2018**, *12*, 400–404.
- 336. Deng, S.; Huang, Q.; Wei, H.; Zhou, L.; Yao, L.; Li, D.; Wu, S.; Chen, J.; Peng, H. *Beauveria bassiana* infection reduces the vectorial capacity of *Aedes albopictus* for the Zika virus. *J. Pest Sci.* **2019**, *92*, 781–789. [CrossRef]
- 337. Ragavendran, C.; Srinivasan, R.; Kim, M.; Natarajan, D. Aspergillus terreus (Trichocomaceae): A natural, eco-friendly mycoinsecticide for control of malaria, filariasis, dengue vectors and its toxicity assessment against an aquatic model organism Artemia nauplii. Front. Pharmacol. 2018, 9, 1355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tikasingh, E.S. Effects of *Toxorhynchites moctezuma* larval predation on *Aedes aegypti* populations: Experimental evaluation. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* 1992, 6, 266–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 339. Millado, J.B.H.; Sumalde, A.C. Voracity and prey preference of Philippine population of *Toxorhynchites splendens* Wiedemann (Diptera: Culicidae) among *Aedes* spp. (Diptera: Culicidae) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). *Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health* 2018, 49, 240.
- Sukupayo, P.R.; Poudel, R.C.; Ghimire, T.R. Nature's Solution to Aedes Vectors: Toxorhynchites as a Biocontrol Agent. J. Trop. Med. 2024, 2024, 3529261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 341. Ranathunge, T.; Kusumawathie, P.; Abeyewickreme, W.; Udayanga, L.; Fernando, T.; Hapugoda, M. Biocontrol potential of six locally available fish species as predators of *Aedes aegypti* in Sri Lanka. *Biol. Control* **2021**, *160*, 104638. [CrossRef]
- 342. Neng, W.; Shusen, W.; Guangxin, H.; Rongman, X.; Guangkun, T.; Chen, Q. Control of *Aedes aegypti* larvae in household water containers by Chinese cat fish. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **1987**, *65*, 503.
- 343. Wijesinghe, W.; Wickramasinghe, M.; Kusumawathie, P.; Jayasooriya, G.; De Silva, B. Studies on the efficacy of Toxorhynchites larvae and three larvivorous fish species for the control of Aedes larval populations in water-storage tanks in the Matale district of Sri Lanka. *Dengue Bull.* 2009, 33, 140–147.

- 344. Sarwar, M. Control of dengue carrier Aedes mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae by larvivorous fishes and putting it into practice within water bodies. *Int. J. Prev. Med. Res.* 2015, *1*, 232–237.
- 345. Russell, B.; Wang, J.; Williams, Y.; Hearnden, M.; Kay, B. Laboratory evaluation of two native fishes from tropical North Queensland as biological control agents of subterranean *Aedes aegypti. J. Am. Mosq. Control. Assoc. Mosq. News* **2001**, *17*, 124–126.
- 346. Bowatte, G.; Perera, P.; Senevirathne, G.; Meegaskumbura, S.; Meegaskumbura, M. Tadpoles as dengue mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*) egg predators. *Biol. Control* **2013**, *67*, 469–474. [CrossRef]
- 347. Murugan, K.; Priyanka, V.; Dinesh, D.; Madhiyazhagan, P.; Panneerselvam, C.; Subramaniam, J.; Suresh, U.; Chandramohan, B.; Roni, M.; Nicoletti, M. Predation by Asian bullfrog tadpoles, *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*, against the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti*, in an aquatic environment treated with mosquitocidal nanoparticles. *Parasitol. Res.* 2015, 114, 3601–3610. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 348. Kosiyachinda, P.; Bhumiratana, A.; Kittayapong, P. Enhancement of the efficacy of a combination of *Mesocyclops aspericornis* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* by community-based products in controlling *Aedes aegypti*, larvae in Thailand. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2003, 69, 206–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Veronesi, R.; Carrieri, M.; Maccagnani, B.; Maini, S.; Bellini, R. *Macrocyclops albidus* (Copepoda: Cyclopidae) for the biocontrol of *Aedes albopictus* and Culex pipiens in Italy. *J. Am. Mosq. Control. Assoc.* 2015, 31, 32–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 350. Schaper, S.; Hernández-Chavarría, F. Scanning electron microscopy of damage caused by Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides (Copepoda: Cyclopoidea) on larvae of the Dengue fever vector Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae). Rev. Biol. Trop. 2006, 54, 843–846. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 351. Mahesh Kumar, P.; Murugan, K.; Kovendan, K.; Panneerselvam, C.; Prasanna Kumar, K.; Amerasan, D.; Subramaniam, J.; Kalimuthu, K.; Nataraj, T. Mosquitocidal activity of *Solanum xanthocarpum* fruit extract and copepod *Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides* for the control of dengue vector *Aedes aegypti. Parasitol. Res.* 2012, 111, 609–618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 352. Carvalho, D.O.; McKemey, A.R.; Garziera, L.; Lacroix, R.; Donnelly, C.A.; Alphey, L.; Malavasi, A.; Capurro, M.L. Suppression of a field population of *Aedes aegypti* in Brazil by sustained release of transgenic male mosquitoes. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2015, 9, e0003864. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 353. de Andrade, P.P.; Aragão, F.J.L.; Colli, W.; Dellagostin, O.A.; Finardi-Filho, F.; Hirata, M.H.; de Castro Lira-Neto, A.; de Melo, M.A.; Nepomuceno, A.L.; da Nóbrega, F.G. Use of transgenic *Aedes aegypti* in Brazil: Risk perception and assessment. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 2016, 94, 766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 354. Glandorf, D.C.M. Technical Evaluation of a Potential Release of OX513A Aedes Aegypti Mosquitoes on the Island of Saba; Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu RIVM: Bilthoven, The Netherlands, 2017. [CrossRef]
- 355. Patil, P.B.; Dasgupta, S.K.; Gorman, K.; Pickl-Herk, A.; Puinean, M.; McKemey, A.; Char, B.; Zehr, U.B.; Barwale, S.R. Elimination of a closed population of the yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti, through releases of self-limiting male mosquitoes. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2022, 16, e0010315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 356. Spinner, S.A.; Barnes, Z.H.; Puinean, A.M.; Gray, P.; Dafa'alla, T.; Phillips, C.E.; Nascimento de Souza, C.; Frazon, T.F.; Ercit, K.; Collado, A. New self-sexing *Aedes aegypti* strain eliminates barriers to scalable and sustainable vector control for governments and communities in dengue-prone environments. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2022, 10, 975786. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 357. Ferreira, C.P.; Yang, H.M.; Esteva, L. Assessing the suitability of sterile insect technique applied to *Aedes aegypti*. J. Biol. Syst. 2008, 16, 565–577. [CrossRef]
- 358. Oliva, C.F.; Jacquet, M.; Gilles, J.; Lemperiere, G.; Maquart, P.-O.; Quilici, S.; Schooneman, F.; Vreysen, M.J.; Boyer, S. The sterile insect technique for controlling populations of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) on Reunion Island: Mating vigour of sterilized males. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e49414. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 359. Zheng, X.; Zhang, D.; Li, Y.; Yang, C.; Wu, Y.; Liang, X.; Liang, Y.; Pan, X.; Hu, L.; Sun, Q. Incompatible and sterile insect techniques combined eliminate mosquitoes. *Nature* 2019, 572, 56–61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 360. Gato, R.; Menéndez, Z.; Prieto, E.; Argilés, R.; Rodríguez, M.; Baldoquín, W.; Hernández, Y.; Pérez, D.; Anaya, J.; Fuentes, I. Sterile insect technique: Successful suppression of an *Aedes aegypti* field population in Cuba. *Insects* 2021, 12, 469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 361. Becker, N.; Langentepe-Kong, S.M.; Tokatlian Rodriguez, A.; Oo, T.T.; Reichle, D.; Lühken, R.; Schmidt-Chanasit, J.; Lüthy, P.; Puggioli, A.; Bellini, R. Integrated control of *Aedes albopictus* in Southwest Germany supported by the Sterile Insect Technique. *Parasites Vectors* 2022, 15, 9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 362. Martín-Park, A.; Che-Mendoza, A.; Contreras-Perera, Y.; Pérez-Carrillo, S.; Puerta-Guardo, H.; Villegas-Chim, J.; Guillermo-May, G.; Medina-Barreiro, A.; Delfín-González, H.; Méndez-Vales, R. Pilot trial using mass field-releases of sterile males produced with the incompatible and sterile insect techniques as part of integrated *Aedes aegypti* control in Mexico. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2022, 16, e0010324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 363. Chen, C.; Aldridge, R.L.; Gibson, S.; Kline, J.; Aryaprema, V.; Qualls, W.; Xue, R.d.; Boardman, L.; Linthicum, K.J.; Hahn, D.A. Developing the radiation-based sterile insect technique (SIT) for controlling *Aedes aegypti*: Identification of a sterilizing dose. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2023, 79, 1175–1183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reznik, S.E.; Ashby, C.R., Jr. Sofosbuvir: An antiviral drug with potential efficacy against Zika infection. Int. J. Infect. Dis. IJID Off. Publ. Int. Soc. Infect. Dis. 2017, 55, 29–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Bullard-Feibelman, K.M.; Govero, J.; Zhu, Z.; Salazar, V.; Veselinovic, M.; Diamond, M.S.; Geiss, B.J. The FDA-approved drug sofosbuvir inhibits Zika virus infection. *Antivir. Res.* 2017, 137, 134–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 366. Sacramento, C.Q.; de Melo, G.R.; de Freitas, C.S.; Rocha, N.; Hoelz, L.V.; Miranda, M.; Fintelman-Rodrigues, N.; Marttorelli, A.; Ferreira, A.C.; Barbosa-Lima, G.; et al. The clinically approved antiviral drug sofosbuvir inhibits Zika virus replication. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 40920. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Deng, Y.Q.; Zhang, N.N.; Li, C.F.; Tian, M.; Hao, J.N.; Xie, X.P.; Shi, P.Y.; Qin, C.F. Adenosine Analog NITD008 Is a Potent Inhibitor of Zika Virus. Open Forum Infect. Dis. 2016, 3, ofw175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 368. Munjal, A.; Khandia, R.; Dhama, K.; Sachan, S.; Karthik, K.; Tiwari, R.; Malik, Y.S.; Kumar, D.; Singh, R.K.; Iqbal, H.M.N.; et al. Advances in Developing Therapies to Combat Zika Virus: Current Knowledge and Future Perspectives. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 1469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 369. Albulescu, I.C.; Kovacikova, K.; Tas, A.; Snijder, E.J.; van Hemert, M.J. Suramin inhibits Zika virus replication by interfering with virus attachment and release of infectious particles. *Antivir. Res.* **2017**, *143*, 230–236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 370. Li, Z.; Brecher, M.; Deng, Y.Q.; Zhang, J.; Sakamuru, S.; Liu, B.; Huang, R.; Koetzner, C.A.; Allen, C.A.; Jones, S.A.; et al. Existing drugs as broad-spectrum and potent inhibitors for Zika virus by targeting NS2B-NS3 interaction. *Cell Res.* 2017, 27, 1046–1064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 371. Yuan, S.; Chan, J.F.; den-Haan, H.; Chik, K.K.; Zhang, A.J.; Chan, C.C.; Poon, V.K.; Yip, C.C.; Mak, W.W.; Zhu, Z.; et al. Structurebased discovery of clinically approved drugs as Zika virus NS2B-NS3 protease inhibitors that potently inhibit Zika virus infection in vitro and in vivo. *Antivir. Res.* 2017, 145, 33–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 372. Roy, A.; Lim, L.; Srivastava, S.; Lu, Y.; Song, J. Solution conformations of Zika NS2B-NS3pro and its inhibition by natural products from edible plants. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0180632. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 373. Eyer, L.; Nencka, R.; Huvarová, I.; Palus, M.; Joao Alves, M.; Gould, E.A.; De Clercq, E.; Růžek, D. Nucleoside Inhibitors of Zika Virus. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 214, 707–711. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 374. Hercík, K.; Kozak, J.; Šála, M.; Dejmek, M.; Hřebabecký, H.; Zborníková, E.; Smola, M.; Ruzek, D.; Nencka, R.; Boura, E. Adenosine triphosphate analogs can efficiently inhibit the Zika virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *Antivir. Res.* 2017, 137, 131–133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 375. Zmurko, J.; Marques, R.E.; Schols, D.; Verbeken, E.; Kaptein, S.J.; Neyts, J. The Viral Polymerase Inhibitor 7-Deaza-2'-C-Methyladenosine Is a Potent Inhibitor of In Vitro Zika Virus Replication and Delays Disease Progression in a Robust Mouse Infection Model. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2016, 10, e0004695. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 376. Kamiyama, N.; Soma, R.; Hidano, S.; Watanabe, K.; Umekita, H.; Fukuda, C.; Noguchi, K.; Gendo, Y.; Ozaki, T.; Sonoda, A.; et al. Ribavirin inhibits Zika virus (ZIKV) replication in vitro and suppresses viremia in ZIKV-infected STAT1-deficient mice. *Antivir. Res.* 2017, 146, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 377. Pascoalino, B.S.; Courtemanche, G.; Cordeiro, M.T.; Gil, L.H.; Freitas-Junior, L. Zika antiviral chemotherapy: Identification of drugs and promising starting points for drug discovery from an FDA-approved library. *F1000Research* 2016, *5*, 2523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 378. Delvecchio, R.; Higa, L.M.; Pezzuto, P.; Valadão, A.L.; Garcez, P.P.; Monteiro, F.L.; Loiola, E.C.; Dias, A.A.; Silva, F.J.; Aliota, M.T.; et al. Chloroquine, an Endocytosis Blocking Agent, Inhibits Zika Virus Infection in Different Cell Models. *Viruses* 2016, *8*, 322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 379. Kuivanen, S.; Bespalov, M.M.; Nandania, J.; Ianevski, A.; Velagapudi, V.; De Brabander, J.K.; Kainov, D.E.; Vapalahti, O. Obatoclax, saliphenylhalamide and gemcitabine inhibit Zika virus infection in vitro and differentially affect cellular signaling, transcription and metabolism. *Antivir. Res.* 2017, 139, 117–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 380. Costa, V.V.; Del Sarto, J.L.; Rocha, R.F.; Silva, F.R.; Doria, J.G.; Olmo, I.G.; Marques, R.E.; Queiroz-Junior, C.M.; Foureaux, G.; Araújo, J.M.S.; et al. N-Methyl-d-Aspartate (NMDA) Receptor Blockade Prevents Neuronal Death Induced by Zika Virus Infection. *mBio* 2017, 8, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bachar, S.C.; Mazumder, K.; Bachar, R.; Aktar, A.; Al Mahtab, M. A Review of Medicinal Plants with Antiviral Activity Available in Bangladesh and Mechanistic Insight Into Their Bioactive Metabolites on SARS-CoV-2, HIV and HBV. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2021, 12, 732891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 382. Oeyen, M.; Meyen, E.; Noppen, S.; Claes, S.; Doijen, J.; Vermeire, K.; Süssmuth, R.D.; Schols, D. Labyrinthopeptin A1 inhibits dengue and Zika virus infection by interfering with the viral phospholipid membrane. *Virology* 2021, 562, 74–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 383. Carneiro, B.M.; Batista, M.N.; Braga, A.C.S.; Nogueira, M.L.; Rahal, P. The green tea molecule EGCG inhibits Zika virus entry. Virology 2016, 496, 215–218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kumar, D.; Sharma, N.; Aarthy, M.; Singh, S.K.; Giri, R. Mechanistic Insights into Zika Virus NS3 Helicase Inhibition by Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate. ACS Omega 2020, 5, 11217–11226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 385. Mackenzie, J. Wrapping things up about virus RNA replication. Traffic 2005, 6, 967–977. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 386. Lim, H.J.; Nguyen, T.T.; Kim, N.M.; Park, J.S.; Jang, T.S.; Kim, D. Inhibitory effect of flavonoids against NS2B-NS3 protease of ZIKA virus and their structure activity relationship. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2017, 39, 415–421. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 387. Sharma, N.; Murali, A.; Singh, S.K.; Giri, R. Epigallocatechin gallate, an active green tea compound inhibits the Zika virus entry into host cells via binding the envelope protein. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2017, 104, 1046–1054. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 388. Vázquez-Calvo, Á.; Jiménez de Oya, N.; Martín-Acebes, M.A.; Garcia-Moruno, E.; Saiz, J.C. Antiviral Properties of the Natural Polyphenols Delphinidin and Epigallocatechin Gallate against the *Flaviviruses* West Nile Virus, Zika Virus, and Dengue Virus. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, 8, 1314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 389. Gao, Y.; Tai, W.; Wang, N.; Li, X.; Jiang, S.; Debnath, A.K.; Du, L.; Chen, S. Identification of Novel Natural Products as Effective and Broad-Spectrum Anti-Zika Virus Inhibitors. *Viruses* **2019**, *11*, 1019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 390. Gao, Y.; Tai, W.; Wang, X.; Jiang, S.; Debnath, A.K.; Du, L. A gossypol derivative effectively protects against Zika and dengue virus infection without toxicity. *Bmc Biol.* **2022**, *20*, 143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 391. Mohd, A.; Zainal, N.; Tan, K.K. Resveratrol affects Zika virus replication in vitro. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 14336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 392. Russo, C.A.; Torti, M.F.; Marquez, A.B.; Sepúlveda, C.S.; Alaimo, A.; García, C.C. Antiviral bioactivity of resveratrol against Zika virus infection in human retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2021**, *48*, 5379–5392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 393. Batista, M.N.; Braga, A.C.S.; Campos, G.R.F.; Souza, M.M.; Matos, R.P.A.; Lopes, T.Z.; Candido, N.M.; Lima, M.L.D.; Machado, F.C.; Andrade, S.T.Q.; et al. Natural Products Isolated from Oriental Medicinal Herbs Inactivate Zika Virus. *Viruses* 2019, 11, 49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lai, Z.Z.; Ho, Y.J.; Lu, J.W. Cephalotaxine inhibits Zika infection by impeding viral replication and stability. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2020, 522, 1052–1058. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 395. Rausch, K.; Hackett, B.A.; Weinbren, N.L.; Reeder, S.M.; Sadovsky, Y.; Hunter, C.A.; Schultz, D.C.; Coyne, C.B.; Cherry, S. Screening Bioactives Reveals Nanchangmycin as a Broad Spectrum Antiviral Active against Zika Virus. *Cell Rep.* 2017, 18, 804–815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 396. Wong, G.; He, S.; Siragam, V.; Bi, Y.; Mbikay, M.; Chretien, M.; Qiu, X. Antiviral activity of quercetin-3-β-O-D-glucoside against Zika virus infection. *Virol. Sin.* 2017, 32, 545–547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 397. Sze, A.; Olagnier, D.; Hadj, S.B.; Han, X.; Tian, X.H.; Xu, H.T.; Yang, L.; Shi, Q.; Wang, P.; Wainberg, M.A.; et al. Sophoraflavenone G Restricts Dengue and Zika Virus Infection via RNA Polymerase Interference. *Viruses* **2017**, *9*, 287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 398. Lee, J.L.; Loe, M.W.C.; Lee, R.C.H.; Chu, J.J.H. Antiviral activity of pinocembrin against Zika virus replication. *Antivir. Res.* 2019, 167, 13–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 399. Tao, Z.; Cao, R.; Yan, Y.; Huang, G.; Lv, K.; Li, W.; Geng, Y.; Zhao, L.; Wang, A.; He, Q.; et al. Design, synthesis and in vitro anti-Zika virus evaluation of novel Sinefungin derivatives. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, 157, 994–1004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 400. Estoppey, D.; Lee, C.M.; Janoschke, M.; Lee, B.H.; Wan, K.F.; Dong, H.; Mathys, P.; Filipuzzi, I.; Schuhmann, T.; Riedl, R.; et al. The Natural Product Cavinafungin Selectively Interferes with Zika and Dengue Virus Replication by Inhibition of the Host Signal Peptidase. *Cell Rep.* 2017, *19*, 451–460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 401. Yang, S.; Xu, M.; Lee, E.M.; Gorshkov, K. Emetine inhibits Zika and Ebola virus infections through two molecular mechanisms: Inhibiting viral replication and decreasing viral entry. *Cell Discov.* **2018**, *4*, 31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 402. Chen, H.; Lao, Z.; Xu, J.; Li, Z.; Long, H.; Li, D.; Lin, L.; Liu, X.; Yu, L.; Liu, W.; et al. Antiviral activity of lycorine against Zika virus in vivo and in vitro. *Virology* **2020**, *546*, 88–97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 403. Cataneo, A.H.D.; Kuczera, D.; Koishi, A.C. The citrus flavonoid naringenin impairs the in vitro infection of human cells by Zika virus. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 16348. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 404. Oo, A.; Teoh, B.T.; Sam, S.S.; Bakar, S.A.; Zandi, K. Baicalein and baicalin as Zika virus inhibitors. Arch. Virol. 2019, 164, 585–593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 405. Campos, D.; Navarro, S.; Llamas-González, Y.Y.; Sugasti, M.; González-Santamaría, J. Broad Antiviral Activity of Ginkgolic Acid against Chikungunya, Mayaro, Una, and Zika Viruses. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 406. Garcez, P.P.; Loiola, E.C.; Madeiro da Costa, R.; Higa, L.M.; Trindade, P.; Delvecchio, R.; Nascimento, J.M.; Brindeiro, R.; Tanuri, A.; Rehen, S.K. Zika virus impairs growth in human neurospheres and brain organoids. *Science* 2016, 352, 816–818. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 407. Retallack, H.; Di Lullo, E.; Arias, C.; Knopp, K.A.; Laurie, M.T.; Sandoval-Espinosa, C.; Mancia Leon, W.R.; Krencik, R.; Ullian, E.M.; Spatazza, J. Zika virus cell tropism in the developing human brain and inhibition by azithromycin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, 14408–14413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 408. Lee, I.; Bos, S.; Li, G.; Wang, S.; Gadea, G.; Desprès, P.; Zhao, R.Y. Probing molecular insights into Zika virus–host interactions. *Viruses* **2018**, *10*, 233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 409. Tarantal, A.F.; Hartigan-O'Connor, D.J.; Penna, E.; Kreutz, A.; Martinez, M.L.; Noctor, S.C. Fetal rhesus monkey first trimester Zika virus infection impacts cortical development in the second and third trimesters. *Cereb. Cortex* 2021, 31, 2309–2321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 410. Francipane, M.G.; Douradinha, B.; Chinnici, C.M.; Russelli, G.; Conaldi, P.G.; Iannolo, G. Zika virus: A new therapeutic candidate for glioblastoma treatment. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 10996. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 411. Li, H.; Hu, Y.; Huang, J.; Feng, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Zhong, K.; Chen, Y.; Wang, Z.; Huang, C.; Yang, H. Zika virus NS5 protein inhibits cell growth and invasion of glioma. *Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2019**, *516*, 515–520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 412. Zhu, Z.; Gorman, M.J.; McKenzie, L.D.; Chai, J.N.; Hubert, C.G.; Prager, B.C.; Fernandez, E.; Richner, J.M.; Zhang, R.; Shan, C. Zika virus has oncolytic activity against glioblastoma stem cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **2017**, *214*, 2843–2857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 413. Kao, Y.-T.; Wang, H.-I.; Shie, C.-T.; Lin, C.-F.; Lai, M.M.; Yu, C.-Y. Zika virus cleaves GSDMD to disseminate prognosticable and controllable oncolysis in a human glioblastoma cell model. *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics* **2023**, *28*, 104–117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 414. Kaid, C.; dos Santos Madi, R.A.; Astray, R.; Goulart, E.; Caires-Junior, L.C.; Mitsugi, T.G.; Moreno, A.C.R.; Castro-Amarante, M.F.; Pereira, L.R.; Porchia, B.F.M.M. Safety, tumor reduction, and clinical impact of Zika virus injection in dogs with advanced-stage brain tumors. *Mol. Ther.* 2020, 28, 1276–1286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 415. Nair, S.; Mazzoccoli, L.; Jash, A.; Govero, J.; Bais, S.S.; Hu, T.; Fontes-Garfias, C.R.; Shan, C.; Okada, H.; Shresta, S. Zika virus oncolytic activity requires CD8+ T cells and is boosted by immune checkpoint blockade. *JCI Insight* 2021, 6, e144619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 416. Chen, L.; Zhou, C.; Chen, Q.; Shang, J.; Liu, Z.; Guo, Y.; Li, C.; Wang, H.; Ye, Q.; Li, X. Oncolytic Zika virus promotes intratumoral T cell infiltration and improves immunotherapy efficacy in glioblastoma. *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics* 2022, 24, 522–534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 417. Nazarenko, A.S.; Vorovitch, M.F.; Biryukova, Y.K.; Pestov, N.B.; Orlova, E.A.; Barlev, N.A.; Kolyasnikova, N.M.; Ishmukhametov, A.A. *Flaviviruses* in AntiTumor Therapy. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 1973. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.