Abstract: Overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in breast cancer is an important target of monoclonal antibody (mAb) therapy such as trastuzumab. Due to the development of trastuzumab–deruxtecan, an antibody-drug conjugate, the targetable HER2-positive breast cancer patients have been expanded. To evaluate the developing modalities using anti-HER2 mAbs, reliable preclinical mouse models are required. Therefore, sensitive mAbs against mouse HER2 (mHER2) should be established. This study developed anti-mHER2 mAbs using the Cell-Based Immunization and Screening (CBIS) method. The established anti-mHER2 mAbs, H\textsubscript{2}Mab-300 (rat IgG\textsubscript{2b}, kappa) and H\textsubscript{2}Mab-304 (rat IgG\textsubscript{1}, kappa), reacted with mHER2-overexpressed Chinese hamster ovary-K1 (CHO/mHER2) and endogenously mHER2-expressed cell line, NMuMG (a mouse mammary gland epithelial cell) via flow cytometry. Furthermore, these mAbs never recognized mHER2-knockout NMuMG cells. The kinetic analysis using flow cytometry indicated that the dissociation constant (K\textsubscript{D}) values of H\textsubscript{2}Mab-300 and H\textsubscript{2}Mab-304 for CHO/mHER2 were 1.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{M} and 1.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{M}, respectively. The K\textsubscript{D} values of H\textsubscript{2}Mab-300 and H\textsubscript{2}Mab-304 for NMuMG were 4.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{M} and 9.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{M}, respectively. These results indicated that H\textsubscript{2}Mab-300 and H\textsubscript{2}Mab-304 could apply to the detection of mHER2 using flow cytometry and may be useful to obtain the proof of concept in preclinical studies.
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1. Introduction

HER2 (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2) also called ERBB2, is a member of the ERBB family tyrosine kinase receptors for the epidermal growth factor (EGF) family. Unlike other HER families, HER2 does not possess ligands and cannot form ligand-induced homodimers. To activate the downstream signaling of HER2, the heterodimer formation with other HER members in the presence of their specific ligands or ligand-independent homodimer formation under the overexpressed condition are required [1]. After the homodimer and heterodimer formation, HER2 activates the downstream signaling pathways such as the mitogen-activated protein kinases, the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt, and the phospholipase C-\gamma/protein kinase C pathways [2].

HER2 is overexpressed in ~20% of breast cancers and is associated with poor prognosis including a higher recurrence rate and shorter overall survival [3]. Trastuzumab, an anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody (mAb), exhibited a growth inhibitory effect in vitro and a potent antitumor effect in vivo [4]. The combination of trastuzumab with chemotherapy improves the response rates, progression-free survival, and overall survival in HER2-overexpressing breast cancer patients with metastasis [5]. Trastuzumab has been a standard therapy for HER2-overexpressing breast cancers for more than 20 years [6]. In the clinic,
the HER2-overexpressing tumors are diagnosed through strong and complete immunohis-
tochemistry (IHC) membranous staining of more than 10% of cells (IHC 3+) and/or in situ
hybridization (ISH)-amplified.

Trastuzumab–deruxtecan (T-DXd) is a trastuzumab-based antibody-drug conjugate
(ADC). The cytotoxicity is achieved through the released topoisomerase I inhibitor, DXd
following the endocytosis and enzymatic cleavage of T-DXd [7]. T-DXd first showed
beneficial results in metastatic breast cancer patients who had received multiple anti-HER2-
targeting treatments [8]. Currently, various clinical trials have evaluated the efficacy
of T-DXd. Based on the studies, T-DXd has been approved in not only HER2-overexpressing
breast cancer [9,10] but also HER2-low (IHC 1+ or IHC 2+/ISH-non-amplified) advanced

Since about half of all breast cancers are classifiable as HER2-low [12], a larger number
of patients will reap the benefits of T-DXd treatment. The future clinical diagnostics
of HER2-low breast cancer is thought to be important to maximize the patient’s benefit from
treatment. Furthermore, novel modalities against HER2 will be developed and evaluated
in preclinical and clinical studies [13]. Therefore, preclinical mouse models are important
for the evaluation of novel modalities and the prediction of adverse effects.

Although the development of therapeutic modalities for tumors have been revolution-ary, the number of newly approved cancer therapies is limited. Most failure is due to the
lack of efficacy [14], suggesting that the current preclinical methods are not sufficient to
predict successful outcomes. Preclinical mouse models are essential for the development of
cancer therapy. The first models were established through the transplantation of murine
tumors into immunocompetent host mice [15]. These models were successful preclinical
ones for the evaluation of a chemotherapeutic agent before clinical trials [16]. Furthermore,
tumors derived from genetically modified mice can be maintained in fully immunocom-
potent syngeneic hosts [14]. These syngeneic models were used in preclinical studies to
evaluate not only molecular target therapies but also immunotherapies including immune
checkpoint inhibitors [14]. However, a preclinical model using anti-mouse HER2 (mHER2)
mAb has not been reported.

We have developed the Cell-Based Immunization and Screening (CBIS) method which
includes antigen-overexpressing cell immunization and high-throughput screening of
hybridoma supernatants using flow cytometry. Using the CBIS method, we have developed
mAbs against HER families, including human EGFR [17], HER2 [18], HER3 [19], and mouse
EGFR [20]. In this study, we developed novel anti-mHER2 mAbs using the CBIS method
and evaluated its application to flow cytometry.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of Cell Lines

LN229, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO)-K1, NMuMG (a mouse mammary gland epithelial cell), and P3X63Ag8U.1 (PSU1) were obtained from the American Type Culture
Collection (Manassas, VA, USA).

The cDNA encoding mHER2 (Accession No.: NM_001003817) with C-terminal myc-DDK in a pCMV6 vector (cat# MR227307) was purchased from OriGene Technologies,
Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA). The mHER2 plasmid was transfected into CHO-K1 and LN229
cells, using a Neon transfection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).
Stable transfectants were established through cell sorting via a cell sorter, SH800 (Sony
Corp., Tokyo, Japan) using an anti-mouse ErbB2/Her2 mAb (clone 666521, R&D systems,
Minneapolis, MN, USA), after which cultivation in a medium containing 0.5 mg/mL
of G418 (Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan) was conducted. The mHER2 knockout
NMuMG (BINDS-51) was generated by transfecting 10 µg of CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids
for mHER2 (Guide RNA: AGAGGTTCGGCATCGTGAG, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.)
into NMuMG cells using the Neon transfection system and established through cell sorting
as described above.
CHO-K1, mHER2-overexpressed CHO-K1 (CHO/mHER2), and P3U1 cells were cultured in a Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), 100 units/mL of penicillin, 100 µg/mL of streptomycin, and 0.25 µg/mL of amphotericin B (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). LN229 and mHER2-overexpressed LN229 (LN229/mHER2), NMuMG, and BINDS-51 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), supplemented with 10% FBS, 10 µg/mL of insulin (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA), 100 U/mL of penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 0.25 µg/mL amphotericin B.

All cells were grown in a humidified incubator at 37 °C, in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air.

2.2. Production of Hybridomas

A five-week-old Sprague–Dawley rat was purchased from CLEA Japan (Tokyo, Japan). The animal was housed under specific pathogen-free conditions. All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Tohoku University (Permit number: 2022MdA-001).

To develop mAbs against mHER2, we intraperitoneally immunized one rat with LN229/mHER2 (1 × 10⁹ cells) plus Imject Alum (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). After three additional injections every week (1 × 10⁹ cells/rat), a final booster injection (1 × 10⁹ cells/rat) was performed two days before harvesting spleen cells. The hybridomas were produced, as described previously [21]. The hybridoma supernatants were screened using flow cytometry with CHO/mHER2, CHO-K1, and NMuMG.

2.3. Purification of mAbs

The cultured supernatants of H₂Mab-300 and H₂Mab-304-producing hybridomas were collected and filtered using Steritop (0.22 µm, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The supernatants were applied to 1 mL of Ab-Capcher (ProteNova, Kagawa, Japan). After washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the antibodies were eluted with an IgG elution buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Finally, the eluates were concentrated, and the elution buffer was replaced with PBS using Amicon Ultra (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany).

2.4. Flow Cytometric Analysis

CHO-K1, CHO/mHER2, and NMuMG cells were harvested after a brief exposure to 0.25% trypsin and 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). The cells were subsequently washed with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS and treated with 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, and 1 µg/mL of primary mAbs for 30 min at 4 °C. The cells were treated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG (1:2000). The fluorescence data were collected using the SA3800 Cell Analyzer (Sony Corp.).

2.5. Determination of Dissociation Constant (K_D) through Flow Cytometry

CHO/mHER2 and NMuMG cells were suspended in 100 µL serially diluted H₂Mab-300, H₂Mab-304, and clone 666521 for 30 min at 4 °C. The cells were treated with 50 µL of Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG (1:200). The GeoMean of each histogram including primary mAb + secondary Ab (Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG) and only secondary Ab (for background) was determined. We further withdrew the background from each data and determined the apparent K_D by the fitting binding isotherms to built-in one-site binding models of GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Development of Anti-mHER2 mAbs using the CBIS Method

To develop anti-mHER2 mAbs, one rat was immunized with LN229/mHER2 cells. (Figure 1A). The spleen was then excised from the rat, and splenocytes were fused with myeloma P3U1 cells (Figure 1B). The developed hybridomas were subsequently seeded into
ten 96-well plates and cultivated for six days. The positive wells were screened through the selection of mHER2-expressing cell-reactive and CHO-K1-non-reactive supernatants using flow cytometry (Figure 1C). After the limiting dilution and several additional screenings, anti-mHER2 mAbs, such as H2Mab-300 (rat IgG2b, kappa) and H2Mab-304 (rat IgG1, kappa), were finally established (Figure 1D).

**Figure 1.** A schematic illustration of anti-mHER2 mAbs production. (A) mHER2 possesses four domains (I to IV) and a tyrosine kinase domain. LN229/mHER2 cells were immunized into a Sprague–Dawley rat, using an intraperitoneal injection. (B) The spleen cells were then fused with P3U1 cells. (C) Subsequently, the culture supernatants were screened through flow cytometry to select anti-mHER2 mAb-producing hybridomas. (D) After limiting dilution and some additional screenings, anti-mHER2 mAbs were finally established.

### 3.2. Flow Cytometric Analyses

We conducted flow cytometry using three anti-mHER2 mAbs, H2Mab-300, H2Mab-304, and a commercially available clone (666521) against CHO/mHER2. As shown in Figure 2A, both H2Mab-300 and H2Mab-304 recognized CHO/mHER2 cells dose-dependently at 10,
1, 0.1, and 0.01 µg/mL. In contrast, 666521 exhibited low reactivity against CHO/mHER2 compared to H2Mab-300 and H2Mab-304. Parental CHO-K1 cells were not recognized even at 10 µg/mL of all mAbs (Figure 2B).

Figure 2. Flow cytometry of mHER2-overexpressing cells using anti-mHER2 mAbs. CHO/mHER2 (A) and CHO-K1 (B) cells were treated with 0.01–10 µg/mL of H2Mab-300, H2Mab-304, 666521, or blocking buffer (negative control, black line), followed by treatment with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG. The red line represents each mAb-treated cell.

We next investigated the reactivity of H2Mab-300, H2Mab-304, and 666521 against an endogenously mHER2-expressed cell line, NMuMG (a mouse mammary gland epithelial cell). The three mAbs reacted with NMuMG at more than 0.1 µg/mL (Figure 3A). H2Mab-300 and 666521 could not react with NMuMG at 0.01 µg/mL. In contrast, H2Mab-304...
could react with NMuMG at 0.01 μg/mL. Furthermore, we could not detect the reactivity against mHER2 knockout NMuMG (BINDS-51, Figure 3B) in the three mAbs. These results indicated that H2Mab-300 and H2Mab-304 specifically recognize mHER2, and are also useful for detecting endogenous mHER2 via flow cytometry.
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**Figure 3.** Flow cytometry of endogenously mHER2-expressing cells using anti-mHER2 mAbs. NMuMG (A) and mHER2 knockout NMuMG (BINDS-51) (B) cells were treated with 0.01–10 μg/mL of H2Mab-300, H2Mab-304, 666521, or blocking buffer (negative control, black line), followed by treatment with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG. The red line represents each mAb-treated cell.

3.3. **Kinetic Analyses against mHER2-Expressing Cells Using Flow Cytometry**

To determine the $K_D$ of H2Mab-300 and H2Mab-304 with mHER2-expressing cells, we conducted kinetic analysis via flow cytometry using CHO/mHER2 and NMuMG cells. The geometric mean of the fluorescence intensity was plotted versus the concentration of H2Mab-300 and H2Mab-304. The $K_D$ values of H2Mab-300, H2Mab-304, and 666521...
for CHO/mHER2 were determined as $1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ M, $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ M, and $2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ M, respectively (Figure 4A–C). Furthermore, the $K_D$ values of H$_2$Mab-300, H$_2$Mab-304, and 666521 for NMuMG were determined as $4.9 \times 10^{-10}$ M, $9.0 \times 10^{-10}$ M and $5.0 \times 10^{-9}$ M, respectively (Figure 4D–F). These results indicated that H$_2$Mab-300 and H$_2$Mab-304 possess a high affinity to CHO/mHER2 and NMuMG cells compared to 666521, which was established using recombinant mHER2 as an antigen.

Figure 4. The determination of the binding affinity of H$_2$Mab-300 and H$_2$Mab-304. CHO/mHER2 (A–C) and NMuMG (D–F) cells were suspended in 100 µL serially diluted H$_2$Mab-300, H$_2$Mab-304, or 666521 at the indicated concentrations. The cells were treated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG. The fluorescence data were collected and the dissociation constant ($K_D$) was calculated by GraphPad PRISM 8.

4. Discussion

The HER2 extracellular domain is composed of four domains (I–IV) (Figure 1A). The domain II is essential for the formation of heterodimers with other HER members including EGFR, HER3, and HER4 in the presence of their ligands, such as EGF [22] and neuregulin 1 (NRG1, a HER3 ligand) [23]. The clinically approved anti-HER2 mAbs, trastuzumab and
pertuzumab, recognize domains IV and II, respectively [24,25]. Pertuzumab was shown to inhibit the NRG1-induced heterodimerization with HER3 and intracellular signaling [24]. In this study, we developed novel anti-mHER2 mAbs (H$_2$Mab-300 and 304) using the CBIS method and showed the application via flow cytometry (Figures 2–4). We should identify these epitopes and determine the property of mAbs including the effect on the homodimer and heterodimer formation of mHER2. We established the RIEDL insertion for epitope mapping (REMAP) method [26–29] to identify the conformational epitope because most of the mAbs developed using the CBIS method recognized the conformational epitopes. We determined the conformational epitopes of anti-human EGFR mAbs (EMab-51 and EMab-134) [27,29] using the REMAP method.

In a preclinical study, the antitumor activity of anti-HER2 mAbs have been evaluated on the HER2-positive tumor-bearing immunodeficient mice [30] or HER2-overexpressed murine breast cancer-transplanted syngeneic models [31]. Furthermore, mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV)-driven overexpression of HER2 was generated to investigate the roles of HER2 in mammary adenocarcinoma development and therapeutic effects of anti-HER2 mAb such as 4D5 (murine version of trastuzumab) [32]. The incidence of mammary tumors and tumor growth were significantly reduced in 4D5-treated mice [33].

Currently, targetable HER2-positive tumors are expanded from IHC3+ to HER2-low in breast cancer, suggesting that other tumor types could apply to HER2-targeting therapy. Pancreatic cancer is a difficult-to-treat disease with critical unmet needs. HER2 expression is also observed in pancreatic cancers and is associated with poor prognosis [34]. Recently, new drugs that target KRAS$^{G12D}$, a common mutation in PDAC, have been developed [35]. One of the drugs, MRTX1133, exhibited specific efficacy in tumor cells harboring KRAS$^{G12D}$ mutations [36,37]. However, MRTX1133 promoted the expression and activation of ERBB receptors including HER2, which is expected as a mechanism of resistance to MRTX1133 [38]. Similar ErbB receptors upregulation was also observed in Kras$^{+/LSL-G12D}$; Trp53$^{+/LSL-R172H}$; Pdx1-Cre (KPC) mice, a murine model of pancreatic cancer [39], treated with MEK and AKT inhibitors [40]. Therefore, specific kinase inhibitors or mAbs against ErbB receptors could potentiate the efficacy of the treatment. H$_2$Mab-300 and H$_2$Mab-304 may be applied to obtain the proof of concept in the above mouse model system. For the preclinical study, the conversion from H$_2$Mab-300 and H$_2$Mab-304 (rat IgG) to mouse IgG is essential to evaluate the efficacy in mice.

A major adverse effect of anti-HER2 therapeutic mAbs is cardiotoxicity, which is potentiated by co-treatment with chemotherapeutic agents. The cardiotoxic surveillance through routine cardiac monitoring is essential in clinic [41]. Furthermore, ErbB2-deficient mice were embryonic lethal due to cardiac dysfunctions [42]. Mice lacking ErbB2 in ventricular displayed the characteristics of dilated cardiomyopathy [43]. These results indicated that HER2 is essential in normal heart development and homeostasis. However, the detailed mechanism of cardiotoxicity has not been investigated. Therefore, H$_2$Mab-300 and H$_2$Mab-304 could be used to analyze the cardiotoxicity in various combinations with chemotherapeutic agents in mice. Furthermore, clinical trials combining immunotherapies with HER2-targeted therapies have been conducted [13]. H$_2$Mab-300 and H$_2$Mab-304 are also important to predict the side effect of the treatment.

5. Conclusions

H$_2$Mab-300 and 304 could be used in preclinical studies to obtain the proof of concept of antitumor effects and predict the side effects of HER2-targeting mAb therapy.

We have established other mAb clones against mHER2 and updated them in our original “Antibody Bank” [http://www.med-tohoku-antibody.com/topics/001_paper_antibody_PDIS.htm#HER2 (accessed on 8 August 2023)].
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