Abstract: A database of 479 glass formulations used to immobilize radioactive wastes for facilities in a safe and resilient infrastructure was analyzed, searching for underlying statistical patterns and associated glass performance features. The analyzed data cover many oxides, including SiO$_2$, B$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O, Fe$_2$O$_3$, and some fluorides. Borosilicates were the most common glasses (60.1%), while silicates were only 11.9%. In addition to these two families, five radioactive waste vitrification matrices were identified: Boroaluminosilicates, iron phosphates, aluminosilicates, sodium iron phosphates, and boroaluminates, totaling seven glass families. Almost all compositions (97.7%) contained sodium oxide, followed by silica (91.4%), iron (82.7%), boron (73.7%), phosphorus (54.9%), and cesium oxides (26.1%). Multivariate exploratory methods were applied to analyze and classify glass compositions using hierarchical and non-hierarchical (K-means) clusters and principal component analysis. Four main clusters were observed, the largest comprising 417 formulations containing mainly silicates, borosilicates, aluminosilicates, and boroaluminosilicates; two principal components, representing 73.75% of all compositions, emerge from these four clusters derived from a covariance analysis. The principal components and four clusters may be associated with the following glass features in terms of glass compositions: liquidus temperature, glass transition temperature, density, resistivity, microhardness, and viscosity. Some general underlying properties emerged from our classification and are discussed.
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1. Introduction

Nuclear power plants currently provide about 10% of the global electricity production from 440 nuclear reactors in operation, with only one in long-term shutdown and 54 under construction in 2022, according to the Power Reactor Information System developed and maintained by the IAEA (pris.iaea.org, accessed on 11 September 2022). These plants do not release greenhouse emissions and are the second-largest low-carbon power source, but they produce large amounts of radioactive waste materials. Therefore, a global waste growth is expected, with serious implications on ecological balance, which would also threaten the global sustainable development and human well-being. A search for safe compositions for better infrastructure facilities is mandatory. After reprocessing the spent fuel and recovering reusable U and Pu isotopes, the residual high-level radioactive wastes (HLW) must be appropriately immobilized and stored [1,2]. Long-lived radioactive isotopes...
of concern are beta-particle and gamma-ray emitting fission products, such as isotopes of Se, Zr, Tc, Pd, I, and Cs, alpha-particle emitting actinides, such as Np, Am, and Cm, as well as some Cf. Moreover, the latter isotopes emit neutrons via spontaneous fission, while neutrons may also arise when alpha particles from the actinides react with the surrounding oxides.

Vitrification is among the most efficient and effective procedures to immobilize high-level radioactive waste. Glass matrices are utilized thanks to their relatively low cost and high radiation durability. Moreover, glasses present appealing chemical properties: They are stable and compatible with about 80 of the 118 natural and synthetic elements [3]. Indeed, since the first nuclear reactors went into operation and started producing spent fuel and radioactive waste, vitrification has been the most common treatment and conditioning process to generate manageable radioactive wasteforms [4]. As there are differences in nuclear waste compositions, waste materials must be melted with different glass-forming additives, such as sodium, lithium, calcium oxides, and alumina. The final vitreous product incorporates the waste contaminants.

To our knowledge, one of the glasses initially proposed for immobilization of HLW was on a sodium-aluminosilicate base, as reported by Watson et al. [5]. Borosilicate and phosphate glasses are formulations of choice for radioactive waste immobilization [6]. However, there are hundreds of possible formulations found in the literature and potential new reagent combinations. As emphasized by the authors of [6], the nuclear waste vitrification is attractive due to technological and compositional flexibility, large number of elements which can be safely immobilized in the glass, high corrosion and radiation durability, and reduced volume of the resulting wasteform. This study aims to shed light on issues related to nuclear waste-immobilization glass compositions, showing a possibility to map them in few glass systems.

To accomplish this, statistical analyses provide data that support decision-making in various fields. They may be applied in selecting the most appropriate glass formulation for the specific goal of nuclear waste immobilization. These analyses can also improve the design of new formulations to predict the chemical and physical stability of new glass matrices, and search for future (and possibly) new sustainable and resilient compositions.

Multivariate analyses were used in this study to assess, explain, and predict the degree of correlation between glass-formulation variables and their relevance. Multivariate exploratory methods evaluate three or more variables simultaneously, providing an efficient method to replace countless univariate or bivariate analyses. The analysis highlights groups of most closely related variables, searching for interference factors and creating statistical and probability models.

The study is part of a large ongoing project to identify key factors contributing to the formulation and selection of safe and durable glass compositions for radioactive waste immobilization of infrastructure facilities, in an effort to reduce environmental, economic, and social impacts for safety.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Selection of Data on Glass Composition

The analyzed data were collected from the SciGlass™ Russian-American database (version 7.9). SciGlass is one of the largest glass databases (the other is the Japanese Interglad®, currently in version 8.1, Japan Glass Industry Center 2F, 3-21-16 Hyakunincho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-0073), and it contains data for approximately 375,000 glass systems, including oxides, chalcogenides, and halides and covering thermal, electrical, elastic, optical, acoustical as well as other properties [7]. The examined glass compositions were extracted from the database using the search string “radioactive waste”, which identified 479 different formulations. Most data used for the analysis are in fact designed for testing purposes (e.g., durability and leaching) rather than real use. The data used in our multivariate analyses are reported in Supplementary Table S1 and the corresponding references [8–94].
The chemical compositions for the $t = 479$ glasses (or labels) used in this work are reported in Supplementary Table S1 and references therein and relate to many glass compositions produced in France, the United Kingdom, Russia, India, Belgium, and others, of high-level wastes. These data form a matrix $X$, in which columns are the fractions of the $n = 51$ chemical compounds listed in Table 1. The glasses are all identified in Supplementary Table S1 and grouped in seven radioactive-waste glass families: Borosilicates (SiB: 288 compositions), silicates (Si: 57 compositions), boroaluminosilicates (SiAlB or SiBAI: 54 compositions), iron phosphates (PFe and PFeSi: 32 compositions), aluminosilicates (SiAl: 20 compositions), sodium iron phosphates (PNaFe, PFeNa, PNaAlFe, PNaFeAlSi: 26 compositions), and boroaluminates (BAI: 2 compositions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Glass Component</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Glass Component</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Glass Component</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Glass Component</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Glass Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X_1</td>
<td>SiO_2</td>
<td>X_11</td>
<td>CoO</td>
<td>X_21</td>
<td>K_2O</td>
<td>X_31</td>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>X_41</td>
<td>ThO_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_2</td>
<td>B_2O_3</td>
<td>X_12</td>
<td>Cr_2O</td>
<td>X_22</td>
<td>La_2O_3</td>
<td>X_32</td>
<td>Pr_2O_3</td>
<td>X_42</td>
<td>TeO_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_3</td>
<td>Al_2O_3</td>
<td>X_13</td>
<td>Ca_2O</td>
<td>X_23</td>
<td>Li_2O</td>
<td>X_33</td>
<td>PuO_3</td>
<td>X_43</td>
<td>TiO_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_4</td>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>X_14</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>X_24</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>X_34</td>
<td>RhO_2</td>
<td>X_44</td>
<td>UO_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_5</td>
<td>Bi_2O_3</td>
<td>X_15</td>
<td>Eu_2O_3</td>
<td>X_25</td>
<td>MnO_2</td>
<td>X_35</td>
<td>RuO_2</td>
<td>X_45</td>
<td>U_2O_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_6</td>
<td>CaF</td>
<td>X_16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>X_26</td>
<td>MoO_3</td>
<td>X_36</td>
<td>SO_3</td>
<td>X_46</td>
<td>WO_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_7</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>X_17</td>
<td>Fe_2O_3</td>
<td>X_27</td>
<td>Na_2O</td>
<td>X_37</td>
<td>S_m_2O_3</td>
<td>X_47</td>
<td>Y_2O_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_8</td>
<td>CdO</td>
<td>X_18</td>
<td>Gd_2O_3</td>
<td>X_28</td>
<td>Nd_2O_3</td>
<td>X_38</td>
<td>SnO</td>
<td>X_48</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_9</td>
<td>CoO_2</td>
<td>X_19</td>
<td>GeO_2</td>
<td>X_29</td>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>X_39</td>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>X_49</td>
<td>ZrO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_10</td>
<td>ClO</td>
<td>X_20</td>
<td>HiO_2</td>
<td>X_30</td>
<td>P_2O_3</td>
<td>X_40</td>
<td>Tb_2O_3</td>
<td>X_50</td>
<td>RaO_2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sum of other substances; ** Hydrated glasses.

### 2.2. Hierarchical and Non-Hierarchical Clustering Methods

Clustering is a multivariate computational technique that groups a set of data points into a fixed number of clusters, in order that points within a cluster are similar and points from different clusters are dissimilar [95–97]. Hierarchical and non-hierarchical clustering are exploratory methods in a multivariate analysis that identify groups with similar characteristics [98]. The formation of these groups can help in identifying common factors not perceived without the grouping.

First, we used hierarchical arrangements to define the sorting and attribution of observations (or labels), thus allowing us to recognize, assess, and select the number of clusters employing the largest Euclidean distance leap [99]. Then, we considered this number of clusters for the non-hierarchical procedure.

Next, we applied K-means clustering, one of the most popular methods of non-hierarchical cluster analysis. It is an unsupervised clustering method that reduces observer subjectivity by partitioning data into K-clusters for compressing or summarizing original values. The mathematical algorithm is that each group is nucleated by a centroid, the central point of the cluster [100,101]. Therefore, the K-means concept is a generalization of the ordinary sample mean [97], where the centroid can be viewed as a special case of a mean, or average, of a cluster. The partitioning criterion is a distance measure, for example, the Euclidean distance. Conceptually, K-means is quite simple: In each iteration, every datum is associated with the cluster nearest to the cluster’s center [102]. Then, this center changes as new data are associated with the cluster until convergence occurs.

In this work, we utilized dendrograms: Diagrams that display clusters formed by grouping observations at each step and their similarity levels. We computed a dendrogram based on Euclidean distances and the average linkage method [99]. Analyzing the dendrogram makes it possible to select the number of clusters formed based on distance weighting [99].
2.3. Principal Component Analysis

The principal component analysis (PCA) is one of the simplest multivariate techniques offering the best results when the original variables are highly correlated [98,103,104]. It is a method widely used for simplifying the data. PCA identifies the degree of relationships and impacts of the variables, separating data that contain all the required information. It is a process of condensation of the sample under study with minimal loss of information. PCA analyzes a set of data described by many variables and extracts the most important information through new orthogonal principal components. The original data are reported in a new coordinate system with fewer variables, whose importance relates to the variation in the data [103,104]. According to Hair et al. [98], distance measurements considering hierarchical and non-hierarchical clustering focus on magnitude values and portray similar cases that are closer, even including their different patterns across the variables. In contrast, covariance from PCA focuses on the patterns across the variables and does not consider the magnitude of the differences between waste glass compositions. Covariance PCA analyses focus on patterns rather than the more traditional distance measure and require a different interpretation of the results.

The PCA procedure can be expressed in terms of matrix algebra [105]. First, the eigenvalue equation of matrix \( X \) is solved, namely, an equation in \( \lambda \) with \( n \) roots (\( n = 51 \), the matrix dimension). The eigenvalues correspond to the amount of original variance for the respective eigenvectors, following an order of relevance related to every principal component: \( \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \ldots > \lambda_n \) and with \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_n = n \).

The number of principal components were selected using the “scree plot” [98], a line plot of the eigenvalues of principal components in an analysis. This plot allows the display of high dimensional data, the search for essential attributes/variables, and a dimensionality reduction [105]. The first component (\( PC_1 \)) is the path across the data plot that defines the highest variability. The second and subsequent component (\( PC_2 \)) must be orthogonal to the previous \( PC_1 \) and describe the maximum remaining variabilities [106].

2.4. Execution of Numerical Multivariate Computations

Multivariate analyses were performed on the raw data for the 479 different glass compositions to determine which one of the 51 variables mentioned above (i.e., the relative concentrations of different glass formers) is more relevant. The computations were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25 and OriginLab 2017.

As mentioned earlier, a hierarchical approach was first used to explore similarities between observations based on the behavior of certain variables. This approach allowed us to sort and allocate the observations, providing options for selecting the number of clusters and analyzing them [99].

A subsequent non-hierarchical approach named K-means [97] was performed. This algorithm groups data points into predefined clusters based on the relative distance of the points from their centroids. For every round of K-means, the distance between every data point and every centroid was calculated via Euclidean distances. A key issue with the K-means algorithm is selecting the initial conditions (the centroids). We followed the general approach of randomly choosing the initial centroids within the range of the data until convergence occurred [97]. To verify the overall adequacy of our PCA, Bartlett’s “sphericity test” was then performed [99,107], which consists of comparing a correlation matrix (Supplementary Table S2) with an identity matrix of the same dimension: The PCA method is deemed adequate if the two matrices are significantly different.

3. Results and Discussion

Our data highlight the existence of seven radioactive-waste glass families, including 60.1% borosilicates, followed by 11.9% silicates, 11.3% aluminoborosilicates, 6.7% iron phosphates, 5.4% sodium iron phosphates, 4.2% silicoaluminates, and 0.4% boroaluminates. The composition of these glasses includes not only glass formers, but also glass modifiers and intermediates. Most glasses are not fully homogeneous and thus require additives.
to contrast the presence of significant amounts of bubbles, foreign inclusions, such as refractory oxides, and other immiscible components [1]. Small quantities of SO\textsubscript{3}, a well-known refining substance, were detected in almost all analyzed substances.

Figure 1 shows the frequency distribution of the main oxides encountered in the glasses, namely SiO\textsubscript{2}, B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Na\textsubscript{2}O, and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} for the 479 glasses. Silica is prevalent over a wide composition range with a maximum of 70 wt%, followed by boron oxide with up to 40 wt%. Cases with nil concentrations of both silica and boron oxide were also encountered, mainly among phosphate glasses.
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**Figure 1.** Content distribution of the main oxides in the glasses, namely SiO\textsubscript{2}, B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Na\textsubscript{2}O, and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in 479 compositions.

Figure 2 shows the percentage of oxide glass formers and modifiers considering any percentage content (i.e., from dopant level up to the maximum concentration). Sodium, silicon, iron, and boron oxides prevail in most radioactive-waste glasses analyzed in this work.
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**Figure 2.** Percentage of occurrence of the main oxide glass formers and modifiers considering any percentage content.
In a first analysis, two variables, $X_i$ and $X_j$, each one corresponding to $n$ variables and $t$ labels, and their respective averages, were examined in terms of the Pearson correlation coefficient \cite{108} $\text{corr}(X_i, X_j)$. The results reported in Supplementary Table S2, regardless of the magnitude of each $X_i$ variable, show that silica and phosphorus glass formers are highly correlated, with a correlation coefficient $\text{corr}(X_1, X_{30}) = -0.857$. The highest correlation was observed between CaF and CdO, with $\text{corr}(X_{16}, X_8) = 0.868$. The same procedure analyzing Cs$_2$O and TeO$_2$ resulted in $\text{corr}(X_{13}, X_{42}) = 0.819$; also, Nd$_2$O$_3$ and WO$_3$ substances resulted in $\text{corr}(X_{28}, X_{46}) = 0.816$, followed by F and WO$_3$, with $\text{corr}(X_{16}, X_{46}) = 0.804$, and then by HfO$_2$ and PuO$_2$, that resulted in $\text{corr}(X_{20}, X_{33}) = 0.803$, showing that they are also highly correlated. These results agree with Bartlett’s test of sphericity due to the fact that if the correlation matrix data are close to an identity matrix, there would be no correlations between the variables.

### 3.1. Hierarchical Clustering

Four clusters were established from the dendrogram, which were obtained by taking the largest Euclidean distance leap \cite{99}. From these data, it was possible to verify that glasses G217 and G333 were the most similar (due to the smallest distance) among the 479 glass compositions and the 51 variables, leading to the first clustering stage. A schematic dendrogram is presented in Figure 3. The first cluster comprises 419 glasses (G1 to G299, G305 to G340, G361 to G386, G390 and G391, G402, G410 to G443, G446 to G458, G472 to G479), mainly silicates, borosilicates, aluminosilicates, and boroaluminosilicates. The second cluster comprises 46 iron phosphate glasses (G300 to G304, G341 to G360, G387 to G389, G403 to G409, G459 to G469) with considerable amounts of aluminum, silicon, and sodium oxides. The third cluster comprises 12 iron phosphate glasses (G392 to G401, G444 and G445), and the fourth cluster has only 2 boroaluminate glasses (G470 and G471). All clusters agree with the 7 radioactive-waste glass families identified.
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**Figure 3.** Schematic dendrogram of the first six glasses of all 479 glass substances analyzed considering Euclidean distance and the linkage method. For example, from these data, it was possible to verify that glasses G217 and G333 were the most similar due to the smallest Euclidean distance considering 479 glass systems and 51 variables, thus promoting the first clustering stage. The difference between these compositions relates to small amounts of PuO$_2$ and ThO$_2$, of less than 0.03 wt%, as presented in Supplementary Table S1.
As presented in a schematic plot (Figure 3), glasses G217 and G333 were the most similar, followed by G363 forming a small cluster. In the same way, glasses G257 and G263 were the most similar, followed by G385 in terms of composition.

3.2. Non-Hierarchical Data Analysis

Four clusters were also obtained using K-means, providing almost the same results obtained from our hierarchical clustering. Two minor differences were observed between hierarchical and non-hierarchical clusters: G361 and G446 changed from clusters 1 to 4. These minor differences are mainly due to computational procedures. For example, one relevant difference is that the distance matrix is calculated once in the hierarchical method, while in the non-hierarchical method, it is modified many times until convergence. The first cluster comprises 417 glasses (G1 to G299, G305 to G340, G362 to G386, G390 and G391, G402, G410 to G443, G447 to G458, G472 to G479), mainly silicates, borosilicates, aluminosilicates, and boroaluminosilicates. The second cluster comprises 46 iron phosphate glasses (G300 to G304, G341 to G360, G387 to G389, G403 to G409, G459 to G469) with considerable amounts of aluminum, silicon, and sodium oxides. The third cluster comprises 12 iron phosphate glasses (G392 to G401, G444 and G445), and the fourth cluster has only 4 boron aluminates (G361, G446, G470 and G471).

3.3. Principal Component Analysis

Bartlett’s sphericity test yielded a significantly higher result than the relevant threshold value, indicating that our PCA analysis on radioactive-waste glass compositions was adequate [98], as presented by high correlations between the variables shown in Supplementary Table S2. Indeed, several examples of glass compositions analyzed by PCA can be found in the literature [106], considering a plethora of data on binary and ternary silicate and borate glass systems or only silica glass types [109].

By examining the raw data, the number of main components identified from the data chart was two, which was obtained by plotting the eigenvalue against the number of variables in their order of extraction. The shape of the resulting curve is used to evaluate the cut-off point by applying the tangent method (defining the intercept between the two lines), as suggested by Hair et al. [98]. Therefore, from the scree plot (not shown in this work), only two eigenvalues were found, respectively, representing 64.98% and 8.77% of the variance.

Figure 4 shows the mapping distribution of principal component 1 ($PC_1$), related mainly to silicates and borosilicates, and principal component 2 ($PC_2$) related mainly to lead iron phosphate glasses, as described below. More precisely, almost all data could be characterized by the first two axes (and in agreement with the results presented in Tables 2 and 3). Silicates, borosilicates, aluminosilicates, and boroaluminosilicates are located at the center of this two-dimensional graph, and two borates are located above the graph. Iron phosphate glasses with considerable amounts of aluminum, silicon, and sodium oxides are located in the third quadrant, and iron phosphates are located in the second quadrant. Moreover, it is possible to distinguish the four boroaluminate glasses. These results agree with the previous cluster analysis, showing four clusters.
Figure 4. Biplot of principal component 1 (related mainly to SiO2, P2O5, Fe2O3, B2O3, and PbO contents) versus principal component 2 (related mainly to PbO, B2O3, Na2O, SiO2, and P2O5) considering all waste glass compositions and the covariance matrix. Borosilicate glasses are predominant and located at the center. It is possible to map all glasses in four clusters related to hierarchical and non-hierarchical results. All other variables were discarded from this biplot for a better view of the main oxides.

Table 2. Total and cumulative percentages and respective eigenvalues of each axis considering the covariance mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC Axis</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Total Percent (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent (%)</th>
<th>PC Axis</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Total Percent (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>508.65108</td>
<td>64.98</td>
<td>64.98</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.34794</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>99.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>68.65232</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>73.75</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.28107</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>99.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55.65593</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>80.86</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.23713</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>99.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.67085</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>86.31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.1585</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>99.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24.12581</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>89.39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.09625</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.35036</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>91.99</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.07913</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>99.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.92004</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>93.77</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.06779</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>99.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.0399</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>95.31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.05762</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>99.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.54077</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>96.40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.04461</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>99.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.17766</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>96.93</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.04065</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>99.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.41634</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>97.37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.01975</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>99.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.62962</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>97.71</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.01468</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>99.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.33879</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>98.01</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.01165</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.16081</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>98.28</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.79214</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>98.51</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.00633</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.62874</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>98.72</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.00536</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.45306</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>98.90</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.00265</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.38426</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>99.08</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.00242</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.14968</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>99.23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.00194</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.12113</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>99.37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.00142</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.96774</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>99.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9.34 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.8684</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.79 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.66566</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>99.69</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6.43 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.50162</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>99.76</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.25 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.42778</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>99.81</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.50 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8.00 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 shows the total and cumulative percentages and respective eigenvalues of each axis considered, whereas Table 3 presents the eigenvector values of these respective parameters for the corresponding two PC axes.

The first component $PC_1$, using the covariance matrix, can be expressed in terms of raw $X_i$ variables from these tables as follows [110]:

$$PC_1 = +0.70438X_1 + 0.10248X_2 + 0.0466X_3 + 0.001X_4 - 0.01909X_5 - 0.01763X_6 + 0.02779X_7 + 1.73 \times 10^{-4}X_8 + 0.0039 - 4.14 \times 10^{-5}X_{10} + 2.31 \times 10^{-4}X_{11} - 0.00351X_{12} + 0.00117X_{13} + 2.23 \times 10^{-4}X_{14} + 1.65 \times 10^{-5}X_{15} + 2.21 \times 10^{-4}X_{16} - 0.21738X_{17} + 0.0069X_{18} + 0.0012X_{19} - 1.07 \times 10^{-4}X_{20} + 0.01541X_{21} - 0.00159X_{22} + 0.02854X_{23} + 0.00998X_{24} + 0.006X_{25} + 2.46 \times 10^{-4}X_{26} + 0.05085X_{27} + 6.63 \times 10^{-4}X_{28} + 0.00445X_{29} - 0.64988X_{30} - 0.12033X_{31} - 1.98 \times 10^{-4}X_{32} - 1.23 \times 10^{-4}X_{33} - 6.57 \times 10^{-8}X_{34} - 3.66 \times 10^{-5}X_{35} + 5.32 \times 10^{-4}X_{36} - 1.52 \times 10^{-4}X_{37} + 1.93 \times 10^{-4}X_{38} + 1.12 \times 10^{-4}X_{39} - 7.36 \times 10^{-5}X_{40} + 0.00302X_{41} + 1.55 \times 10^{-4}X_{42} + 0.00697X_{43} - 0.031X_{44} - 0.00441X_{45} + 1.42 \times 10^{-4}X_{46} + 7.81 \times 10^{-5}X_{47} + 0.00659X_{48} + 0.01827X_{49} + 0.0191X_{50} + 1.95 \times 10^{-4}X_{51}

(1)

The coefficients (absolute values) of the first principal components in Equation (1), as presented in Table 3, are related in decreasing order to SiO$_2$ ($X_1$), P$_2$O$_5$ ($X_{30}$), Fe$_2$O$_3$ ($X_{17}$), B$_2$O$_3$ ($X_2$), and PbO ($X_{31}$) with their respective eigenvectors. More precisely, $PC_1$ will be greater if $X_1$ and $X_2$ are high and if $X_{17}$, $X_{31}$, and $X_{30}$ are low (other contributions are equal or below 5% in terms of coefficients). Therefore, the difference in these coefficients shows that 64.98% of the variation in the data are mainly related positively to borosilicates and negatively to iron phosphates and lead. As a result, the first principal component is influenced by approximately five substances for representing the variation in compositions of the 479 waste radioactive glasses.

Table 3. Eigenvector values of first and second main components considering the covariance and correlation matrices, respectively.
The second principal component (considering the covariance matrix) can be interpreted similarly as follows:

\[
P C_2 = -0.40027X_1 + 0.48875X_2 - 0.02062X_3 + 0.00743X_4 - 0.01048X_5 + 0.00699X_6 + 0.05747X_7 + 0.00372X_8 - 0.0024X_9 - 6.86 \times 10^{-4}X_{10} + 8.89 \times 10^{-4}X_{11} - 0.00788X_{12} + 0.00769X_{13} + 1.94 \times 10^{-4}X_{14} + 5.99 \times 10^{-4}X_{15} - 0.00361X_{16} - 0.07948X_{17} + 0.01948X_{18} + 0.00683X_{19} + 0.00242X_{20} + 0.06735X_{21} + 0.01509X_{22} + 0.05249X_{23} + 0.02401X_{24} - 0.01138X_{25} + 0.01802X_{26} - 0.34555X_{27} - 0.04281X_{28} - 0.00317X_{29} + 0.441X_{30} + 0.50572X_{31} + 0.00321X_{32} + 0.00692X_{33} + 8.36 \times 10^{-5}X_{34} - 4.06 \times 10^{-5}X_{35} - 0.0058X_{36} + 0.0092X_{37} + 5.44 \times 10^{-4}X_{38} + 0.00444X_{39} + 3.15 \times 10^{-4}X_{40} + 0.03535X_{41} + 0.001281X_{42} + 0.01526X_{43} - 0.03633X_{44} - 0.00248X_{45} - 7.56 \times 10^{-4}X_{46} + 3.44 \times 10^{-4}X_{47} - 0.02766X_{48} + 0.01158X_{49} + 0.07827X_{50} + 1.49 \times 10^{-4}X_{51}
\]

The coefficients of the second principal component in Equation (2), as presented in Table 3, relate to PbO \((X_{31})\), B₂O₃ \((X_2)\), Na₂O \((X_{27})\), SiO₂ \((X_{11})\), and P₂O₅ \((X_{30})\) with their respective eigenvectors. Specifically, \(PC_2\) will be greater if \(X_2\) and \(X_{31}\) are high and if \(X_{27}\), \(X_1\), and \(X_{30}\) are low (other contributions are below 8% in terms of coefficients). Therefore, the difference in these coefficients shows that 8.77% (see Table 2) of the variation in the data is mainly related positively to lead borates and negatively to sodium silicophosphates. With Equations (1) and (2), it is possible to plot Figure 4 considering the \(X_i\) data.

3.4. Analysis of the Borosilicate Cluster

From a practical point of view, clustering analysis, such as K-means or PCA, can help in better understanding the general properties of the glasses. We emphasize that hierarchical and non-hierarchical clustering relates to similarities between different glasses, while in PCA the main criterion relates to covariance/correlation between the amounts of glass formers.

For this analysis, we produced a series of ternary diagrams and bubble plots comparing radioactive-waste immobilization glasses with sets of standard sodium borosilicates (termed “NBS”) compositions, which are all drawn from the Sciglass™ database. Some of these NBS glasses have been developed and amply deployed to immobilize high-level radioactive wastes in the India and UK [111,112].

Figures 5–11a are SiO₂–B₂O₃–Na₂O ternary diagrams, and Figures 5–11b are the respective bubble plots of the selected glass properties reported as a function of sodium and boron oxide contents. The blue line in Figures 5–11a encompasses approximately the sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glass compositions analyzed in this work. Na₂O and B₂O₃ were chosen as the main variables to observe since they changed most of the glass properties analyzed. In addition, both Na₂O and B₂O₃ increase waste solubility and reduce viscosity, and in general SiO₂ increases durability [112,113].

Figure 5a shows the liquidus temperature \(T_{liq}\), in which each material is completely liquid with many NBS compositions, including quite a few HWL. A highly fluid (low viscosity) is preferred to minimize mixing problems during the melt, thus elevated temperatures are usually necessary for glass making. However, higher temperatures are associated with greater volatility of fission products, mainly Cs and Ru. Therefore, glass that melts at lower temperatures has advantages in this regard. From this figure, it is possible to observe that most waste-immobilization glasses melt around or above 1273 K. Figure 5b shows that by increasing sodium oxide, the liquidus temperature decreases. Moreover, boron oxide has an important role: For Na₂O contents up to 30 wt%, \(T_{liq}\) typically increases when B₂O₃ decreases.
The design and operation of electric glass melts when sodium oxide increases up to near 30%, and boron oxide has also an important role. Most NBS-based waste-immobilization glasses present a liquidus temperature that varies strongly with sodium and boron oxides. For a fixed sodium oxide content up to 25 wt%, the liquidus temperature decreases when sodium oxide increases up to near 30%, and boron oxide has also an important role.

The glass transition temperature is one of the decisive factors in choosing the most stable and durable composition. The glassy state is achieved when the internal energy of the glassy material becomes insufficient to permit the mobility of its molecules. Therefore, the transition temperature is considered for the glass-ceramic state in a solid state in a solid.

Figure 5. (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass™ database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the liquidus behavior from a plethora of sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glasses. (b) The liquidus temperature \( T_{\text{liq}} \) dependence on sodium oxide content. In this graph, it is possible to observe that the liquidus temperature decreases when sodium oxide increases up to near 30%, and boron oxide has also an important role.

Figure 6. (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass™ database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the glass transition \( T_g \) behavior from a plethora of sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glasses. (b) The \( T_g \) dependence on sodium oxide content. Most NBS-based waste-immobilization glasses present a \( T_g \) around 800 K with 20 wt% of Na₂O, and \( T_g \) varies strongly with sodium and boron oxides. For a fixed sodium oxide content up to 25 wt%, \( T_g \) increases when boron oxide decreases.
Figure 6. (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass™ database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the density behavior from a plethora of sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glasses. (b) The density dependence on sodium oxide content at 20 °C. Density shows a high increase, from 1.8 to 2.5, changing the sodium oxide content up to 30 wt%, and maintaining this fixed value for higher sodium concentrations.

Figure 7. (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass™ database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the logarithm of resistivity behavior at 150 °C. The resistivity decreases with decreasing B$_2$O$_3$ contents. [113] (b) The resistivity increases when boron oxide decreases. [113] Regarding the sodium oxide content, and for a fixed sodium oxide content up to 25 wt%, the resistivity increases with increasing Na$_2$O content significantly. [113]

Figure 8. (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass™ database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the logarithm of resistivity behavior at 150 °C from a plethora of sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glasses. (b) The resistivity dependence on sodium oxide content at 150 °C. Resistivity decreases with the increasing sodium oxide content, and for a fixed sodium oxide content, resistivity in general also decreases with the decreasing boron oxide content.
**Figure 9.** (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass™ database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the microhardness behavior from a plethora of sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glasses. (b) The microhardness dependence on sodium oxide content at $10^2$ Pa·s. Microhardness depends strongly on the amount of sodium and boron contents.

**Figure 10.** (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass™ database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the $T_2$ behavior from a plethora of sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glasses. (b) The temperature dependence of viscosity fixed at $10^2$ Pa·s on sodium oxide content. The temperature in which viscosity reaches $10^2$ Pa·s ($T_2$) shows a similar trend observed for the liquidus temperature when the addition of sodium oxide diminishes $T_2$. It was also observed that the decrease in boron oxide follows an increase in $T_2$ for a fixed sodium oxide content.
Figure 11. (a) Ternary diagram of selected sodium borosilicate glasses from Sciglass\textsuperscript{TM} database (version 7.9). The blue region corresponds to approximately the logarithmic behavior of viscosity from a plethora of sodium borosilicate waste-immobilization glasses. (b) The dependence of the logarithm of viscosity at 1273 K on sodium oxide content. The logarithm of viscosity at a fixed temperature of 1273 K decreases with the sodium oxide content. In general, the viscosity increases with a decrease in boron oxide content for a fixed sodium oxide content.

Figure 6a shows the glass transition temperature $T_g$ of 602 NBS compositions, which are not all related to HWL. Most NBS-based waste-immobilization glasses have $T_g$ higher than 800 K, reaching up to 1000 K. The corresponding Figure 6b shows that $T_g$ is around 800 K with 20 wt% of Na$_2$O, and $T_g$ varies strongly with sodium and boron oxides. For sodium oxide contents up to 25 wt%, $T_g$ increases when B$_2$O$_3$ decreases.

The glass transition temperature ($T_g$) is one of the fundamental kinetic properties of glassy materials. It must be assessed carefully to select the most suitable material for the immobilization of radioactive waste. The glassy state is achieved when the internal energy of a non-crystalline material becomes insufficient to permit the mobility of its molecules within the observation time. This process can be reversed by increasing the temperature or observation time, allowing the glass to restore its broken ergodicity. During the immobilization of radioactive waste, the molten residual glass is poured into containers usually made of stainless steel or carbon steel. As the temperature decreases, the energy available to the mixture and consequently the molecular mobility is reduced. Performing this process quickly enough makes it possible to avoid crystallization, freezing the liquid state in a solid-like non-crystalline substance. Additives can be used both to lower the melting temperature and to change $T_g$, improving some performance properties of the glass. However, the immobilization of radioactive materials also introduces safety issues that must be considered since radioactive decay can cause a considerable increase in the temperature of the glass and may even cause its crystallization. Even if the glass composition does not change, the crystallization, or phase separation, could lead to significant property changes. Therefore, $T_g$ is one of the decisive factors in choosing the most stable and durable composition.

Figure 7a shows the density at room temperature (20 °C) of 719 NBS glasses, presenting a strong dependence on sodium and boron oxide contents. NBS-based waste composition glasses present a wide range of densities, from 2.2 to 3.0 g/cm$^3$. Figure 7b shows an increase in density when changing the Na$_2$O content up to 30 wt% (from 1.8 to 2.5 g/cm$^3$), which then becomes somewhat constant for higher sodium oxide concentrations.

Figure 8a shows the ionic resistivity $\rho$ of 115 NBS glasses at 150 °C. The resistivity shows a strong dependence on sodium content, as expected due to its ionic conduction, but boron contents also influence it. Figure 8b shows how resistivity decreases with increasing...
Na$_2$O contents, and for a fixed sodium oxide content, resistivity in general also decreases with decreasing B$_2$O$_3$ contents [113]. The design and operation of electric glass melting furnaces depend on the electrical resistivity of glass melts since the electric current is mostly transported through mobile ions. Therefore, the electrical resistivity of glass melts is a factor to be controlled to allow easy processing.

Figure 9a shows the microhardness $m$ of 258 NBS glasses. The value depends strongly on the amount of sodium and boron contents. Figure 9b shows that, in general, $m$ increases with the decreasing B$_2$O$_3$ content for a fixed sodium content. Na$_2$O content significantly impacts glass behavior under a sharp indenter, as Barlet et al. [114] documented. They produced NBS glasses with sodium content between 12.2 and 35.4 mol% and measured their mechanical properties. A material’s hardness refers to its stiffness or resistance to bending, scratching, abrasion, or cutting. The hardness of glass can be both beneficial and harmful.

Hardness is the property that allows glasses to resist surface plastic deformation, usually by penetration. However, the internal stresses that increase the hardness and strength can make its surface fragile and lead to cracks. Therefore, the analysis of the microhardness of glasses for radioactive waste immobilization is essential. It can determine the degree of cracking during cooling, movement, or after an accident. In general, low-sodium borosilicates maintain highly connected networks, and, conversely, NBS glasses with high sodium content partake in a more depolymerized network favoring deformation by shear flow. In summary, the addition of Na$_2$O induces non-bridging oxygens in the borosilicate network, thus changing the boron coordination.

Figure 10a presents the temperature $T_2$ at which viscosity $\eta$ is $10^2$ Pa·s of 57 NBS glasses. As shown in Figure 10b, $T_2$ has a similar trend to the liquidus temperature: Increasing the amount of sodium oxide diminishes $T_2$. It is also observed that, for a fixed Na$_2$O content, a decrease in B$_2$O$_3$ corresponds to an increase in $T_2$.

Figure 11a shows the logarithm of viscosity $\eta$ for 58 NBS glasses at a temperature of 1273 K. As shown in Figure 11b, the logarithm of viscosity at 1273 K decreases with the Na$_2$O content and, in general, for a given sodium oxide content, the logarithm of viscosity increases with a decrease in B$_2$O$_3$ [112,113].

In summary, increasing the sodium oxide content in borosilicates diminishes $T_{liq}$, $T_g$ (only for amounts higher than 20 wt%), $\rho$ at 150 °C and $\eta$ at 1273 K, and it increases density at 20 °C (when limited to 30 wt% of Na$_2$O).

4. Conclusions

Using multivariate exploratory methods, we examined a set of 479 radioactive-waste glass formulations covering a wide range of 51 oxides and some fluorides. Almost all compositions presented some amount of sodium oxide (around 97.7%), followed by silica (91.4%), iron (82.7%), boron (73.7%), phosphorus (54.9%), and cesium oxides (26.1%). Seven main radioactive-waste glass families were identified, comprising silicates, borosilicates, boroaluminosilicates, iron phosphates, aluminosilicates, sodium iron phosphates, and boroaluminates.

Hierarchical and non-hierarchical algorithms allowed us to group radioactive-waste glasses into four different clusters. Using the K-means analysis, the largest group included 417 glasses, mainly silicates, borosilicates, aluminosilicates, and boroaluminosilicates. It was also possible to map radioactive-waste glasses according to their compositions. The two principal components, representing 73.75% of all compositions, were related to the four clusters via a covariance matrix.

These results support the use of multivariate analysis to evaluate the waste of radioactive glass compositions. Silica, boron, and phosphorus oxides emerge as key glass formers in radioactive-waste glass compositions, accounting for clustering and covariance analysis. This observation agrees with analyses from the literature documenting the prevalence of sodium borosilicate compositions for radioactive-waste vitrification.
The main advantage of the present multivariate analysis was introducing intuitive graphical criteria to classify waste radioactive glasses. For this task, we used only composition data to organize a plethora of glass compositions. Indeed, multivariate exploratory methods proved to be complementary tools to help in elaborating future tailored, sustainable, and resilient glass compositions, searching for a design innovation tentative. From these classifications, it was possible to highlight a series of glass properties for sodium borosilicate, a widely used waste immobilization matrix. For example, increasing the sodium oxide content in borosilicates diminishes liquidus and glass transition temperatures (only for amounts higher than 20 wt%), as well as resistivity and viscosity, and it increases density (when limited to 30 wt% of Na$_2$O). A paramount parameter of nuclear wasteforms is the normalized leaching rate which characterizes the corrosion durability of materials in contact with water and should be considered in ongoing projects.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/infrastructures7090120/s1. Supplementary Table S1: Composition of 479 waste radioactive glasses, considering 51 substances, most of them oxides; Supplementary Table S2: Correlation matrix of 51 substances analyzed from 479 waste radioactive glasses.
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