Synthesis, Crystal Structure, and Luminescent Sensing Properties of a Supramolecular 3D Zinc(II) Metal–Organic Framework with Terephthalate and Bis(imidazol-1-yl)methane Linkers
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Abstract: Supramolecular 3D Zn(II) coordination polymer ([Zn(bim)(bdc)]·0.8DMF·0.4EtOH·0.1H₂O)ₙ (Zn-MOF), constructed from Zn²⁺ ions, bis(imidazol-1-yl)methane (bim) and terephthalate (bdc²⁻) anions, was synthesized and structurally characterized. Zn-MOF crystallizes in the tetragonal crystal system, space group P4₃/n. Each Zn(II) ion coordinates two neutral bim molecules in a bridging bidentate coordination mode via nitrogen atoms at position 3 of the imidazole rings and two bdc²⁻ anions, with monodentate coordination of the carboxylate group for one of them and bidentate coordination for another. Zn(II) cations are in a distorted square pyramidal ZnN₂O₃ coordination environment. Metal cations are alternately linked by the bim and bdc²⁻ ligands, forming a two-dimensional layered structure along the crystallographic plane ab. As a result of layer interpenetration, a supramolecular 3D network is formed. Zn-MOF demonstrated blue (aquamarine) emission with a maximum at 430 nm upon excitation at 325 nm. The luminescence lifetime of 6 ns is characteristic for ligand-centered fluorescence. The luminescent sensing properties of Zn-MOF in ethanol suspension toward inorganic cations and anions were evaluated and an emission quenching response was observed for Fe³⁺ and chromate/dichromate ions. Photoinduced electron transfer from Zn-MOF to Fe³⁺ was elucidated as a possible quenching mechanism on the basis of DFT calculations.
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1. Introduction

The development of society in the direction of raising living standards requires increased attention to environmental monitoring and minimizing the negative impact of environmental threats on human health. Over the past few decades, the release of hazardous chemicals into the environment from industrial and other anthropogenic processes has caused severe global environmental problems. Chemicals such as heavy metal ions, toxic inorganic anions, volatile organic compounds, etc. [1–3] are non-degradable and pose a persistent threat to human health. These pollutants can enter and accumulate in living organisms, including humans, causing a range of health problems such as cancer [4], neurological disorders [5], and reproductive issues [6]. Therefore, monitoring environmental pollutants is paramount to reducing contamination risks. However, detecting trace pollutants remains a significant challenge in the field of environmental protection. Despite the many analytical methods currently used for identifying environmental pollutants, fluorescence-based detection has distinct advantages, including high sensitivity, selectivity, and ease of manipulation and visualization. As such, it represents an ideal alternative for detecting pollutants.
Fe$^{3+}$ ions have become increasingly important in biological and environmental systems due to their crucial role in the cellular metabolism, synthesis of DNA and RNA, and oxygen uptake processes [7]. A moderate concentration of Fe$^{3+}$ ions is necessary to maintain the normal metabolism in living systems, while an excess or deficiency of Fe$^{3+}$ leads to the development of various pathological conditions such as hepatic cirrhosis, endotoxemia, and hereditary hemochromatosis. Since Fe$^{3+}$ excess may result from excessive absorption from the environment, the development of methods for the selective detection of Fe$^{3+}$ ions is an urgent and important undertaking. As of today, several techniques have been used to detect and quantify Fe$^{3+}$ in solution: spectrophotometry, voltammetry, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), and atomic absorption spectrometry. Among these methods, fluorescent detection offers a simplistic approach with high sensitivity, accuracy, and economy for online monitoring and detection of Fe$^{3+}$ ions, making it a favorable choice. However, the widespread use of fluorescent sensors is currently limited by their cross-sensitivity to other metal ions such as Cu$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$, Al$^{3+}$, and Pb$^{2+}$ [8–15].

Chromium is extensively used in various industrial applications such as alloy production, pigments, paints and dyes, chrome plating, and corrosion control. However, excessive intake of Cr(VI) anions, such as Cr$_2$O$_7^{2−}$ and CrO$_4^{2−}$, can be severely cytotoxic and carcinogenic, causing significant disruptions to the DNA, protein, and enzyme systems of the human body. Therefore, the efficient sensing of Cr$_2$O$_7^{2−}$ and CrO$_4^{2−}$ anions is of utmost importance. However, compared to metal ions and nitroaromatic explosives and other pollutants, sensors for the detecting of Cr$_2$O$_7^{2−}$ and CrO$_4^{2−}$ anions remain relatively limited.

To overcome this limitation, there has been significant progress in the development of luminescent metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) for detecting various ions over the past few years [16–20]. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), also known as porous coordination polymers, are a novel type of crystalline porous material composed of inorganic metal ions or clusters and suitable ligands. The MOFs’ permanent porosity can enhance the sensitivity of the analytes by potentially preconcentrating them. Additionally, the porosity provides an ideal environment to accommodate substrates and induce differential recognition through intermolecular interactions between the framework and the analytes, making them highly promising as chemical sensors. However, despite the significant progress made in the application of luminescent MOFs for the sensing of guest species, only a few luminescent MOF-based sensors are capable of quantitative detection. Furthermore, the MOF-based sensors’ sensitivity, stability, and especially their recyclability need improvement. The properties of luminescent MOFs can be precisely engineered by selecting ligands and metals with desired characteristics. The widespread mixed-ligand approach was used in this work, since the combination of carboxylate ligands with aromatic $\pi$-system on the one hand and N,N-donor bitopic linkers on the other can afford novel luminescent MOFs. Among the transition metal ions with d$^{10}$ electron configuration, Zn$^{2+}$ is commonly used for the synthesis of MOFs due to its excellent coordination ability and low cost, as well as its lower toxicity compared to Cd$^{2+}$ ions, which are also commonly used for luminescent MOF synthesis. 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylic (terephthalic) acid (H$_2$bdca) represents a rigid linker forming strong metal–oxygen coordination bonds, while neutral N,N-donor ligand, bis(imidazole-1-yl)-methane (bim) is an efficient bitopic bridging linker joining the MOF building units into 2D or 3D nets [21–24].

So far, Zn-based MOFs constructed from bis(imidazolyl)methane and dicarboxylate ligands are rather limited. Interestingly, Yang and Bu recently successfully assembled bim ligand and various carboxylic acids such as 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid and 4,4′-oxybis(benzoic acid with Zn(II) to form 1D zigzag chain and 2D coordination polymers. Thermal stability and photoluminescent properties were studied and briefly discussed [25]. Chen and coworkers reported a Zn(II) coordination polymer prepared from bim ligand and iminodiacetate, which demonstrate high thermal stability and strong blue emission [26]. Synthesis and characterization of 1D coordination polymer prepared from bim ligand and 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid was reported by Qin and coworkers [27]. The authors note...
that the polymer shows remarkable photoluminescent properties. Li reported synthesis and structural characterization of Zn(II) coordination polymer based on bim ligand and 5-hydroxyisophthalate acid. The coordination polymer exhibits 1D tube-like structure, with $D_{2h}$-symmetric cyclic (H$_2$O)$_4$ tetramer encapsulated in the 1D tubes [28]. Xu and coworkers investigated the coordinating behavior of bim ligand towards Zn(II) ions in the presence of various carboxylic acids such as 2,2$'$-bipyridine-3,3$'$-dicarboxylic acid, 2-chloronicotinic acid, adipic acid, malonic acid, and citric acid. In total, five new coordination compounds were reported. The coordination polymers exhibited different 3D topologies due to different coordination modes of carboxylates and bim ligand functionality [29]. Very recently, Ghoshal et al. reported two Zn-complexes built by employing dim and fumarate ligands. They demonstrated single crystal to single crystal transformation for one of the complexes by selective elimination of lattice methanol. Textural properties of the frameworks were studied as well, and it was found that the polycatenated framework surprisingly is more efficient at hydrogen adsorption [30].

According to abovementioned considerations, Zn$^{2+}$ was assembled with the π-conjugated organic ligand H$_2$bdc and bim to obtain a supramolecular 3D coordination polymer, $\left[\text{Zn(bim)(bdc)}\right] \cdot 0.8\text{DMF} \cdot 0.4\text{EtOH} \cdot 0.1\text{H}_2\text{O}_n$ (Zn-MOF, Scheme 1), which was structurally characterized. The luminescent characteristics of Zn-MOF demonstrate its potential use as a turn-off luminescent probe for detecting Fe$^{3+}$ and Cr$_2$O$_7^{2-}$ ions.

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of Zn-MOF.](image)

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and Characterization of Zn-MOF

The interaction between zinc(II) nitrate Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, terephthalic acid, and bis(imidazol-1-yl)methane in DMF-EtOH-H$_2$O (2:1:1) mixture at 100 °C for 24 h lead to the formation of single crystals of $\left[\text{Zn(bim)(bdc)}\right] \cdot 0.8\text{DMF} \cdot 0.4\text{EtOH} \cdot 0.1\text{H}_2\text{O}_n$ (Zn-MOF, Scheme 1). Its crystal structure was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) and the phase purity of the bulk product was confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (Figure 1).

According to the thermogravimetric analysis, the removal of solvate DMF, EtOH, and H$_2$O molecules starts at 260 °C and ends at 310 °C (Figure S1, the observed weight loss 17.0%, calculated for 0.8DMF + 0.4EtOH + 0.1H$_2$O 17.2%). The composition of the solvate molecules (43 electrons, 145 Å$^3$ per formula unit) is in accordance with the number of electrons removed from the cavities in the structure (36 electrons, 136 Å$^3$ per formula unit) by PLATON SQUEEZE routine [31]. The results of the elemental (CHN) analysis confirm the chemical composition of Zn-MOF and correspond to the SCXRD data and thermogravimetric data. The loss of solvate molecules at such high temperature may be explained by the positioning of the solvate molecules in closed cavities according to the SCXRD analysis (see Section 2.2). The desolvated Zn-MOF is stable up to about 340 °C and a quick decomposition takes place above this temperature (Figure S1). The IR spectrum of Zn-MOF (Figure S2) contains a medium intensity and broad absorption band in the 3560–3327 cm$^{-1}$ region which can be attributed to the presence of water and ethanol
solvate. The strong and broad bands at 1676 and 1600 as well as at 1392 and 1352 cm$^{-1}$ are ascribed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the bridging and chelating carboxylate groups of the BDC$^{2-}$ ligand, respectively. Separations between the asymmetric and symmetric stretching frequencies $\Delta \nu$ are 284 and 248 cm$^{-1}$, which is in agreement with bidentate and monodentate coordination modes for the carboxylate groups [32].

![Figure 1. PXRD patterns of as-synthesized Zn-MOF and after soaking in ethanol.](image)

2.2. Crystal Structure of Zn-MOF

According to the single crystal XRD analysis, Zn-MOF crystallizes in the tetragonal crystal system, space group $P4_2/n$. The asymmetric unit of Zn-MOF includes one Zn$^{2+}$ ion with one coordinated bim ligand and one terephthalate dianion (Figure 2a). The coordination environment of each Zn$^{2+}$ ion is distorted square pyramidal, the structural parameter $\tau_5$ is 0.36 (should be 0 for ideal square pyramidal and 1 for trigonal bipyramidal) [33]. The apical positions are occupied by N(1) atoms of the imidazole rings in one of the bridging bim ligands, with the distance Zn–N(1) = 2.025 Å which is typical for Zn(II) coordination compounds of bim ligand [34,35]. The four basal coordination sites are occupied by N(2) atoms of the imidazole rings in the second bridging bim ligand (Zn–N = 2.041 Å) and three oxygen atoms of the terephthalate anions with a monodentate coordination of the carboxylate group for one of them (distance Zn-O(3) = 1.943 Å) and bidentate coordination for another (distances Zn-O(1) and Zn-O(2) = 1.987 and 2.547 Å, respectively). The adjacent Zn$^{2+}$ ions are interconnected by the terephthalate bdc$^{2-}$ anions to produce an infinite zinc–carboxylate zig-zag chain along the crystallographic axis $b$ (Figure 2b). The two-dimensional folded layer structure is composed of Zn$^{2+}$ ions from the adjacent chains connected by bim ligands along the axis $a$ (Figure 2c). It should be noted that one of the bim positions is disordered, as it happens to be located at one of the inversion centers. As follows, there are two positions related by the inversion operation with an occupancy of 0.5 (Figure S3).
connected by bim ligands along the axis \( a \) (Figure 2c). It should be noted that one of the bim positions is disordered, as it happens to be located at one of the inversion centers. As follows, there are two positions related by the inversion operation with an occupancy of 0.5 (Figure S3).

Figure 2. Crystal structure of \( \text{Zn-MOF} \): (a) Asymmetric unit of \( \text{Zn-MOF} \); (b) Zinc–carboxylate chain propagating along the crystallographic axis \( b \); (c) Structure of 2D layer; (d) Topological representation of the layer polycatenation.

The topological representation of \( \text{Zn-MOF} \) structure was generated with the aid of ToposPro software [36]. In a topological sense, the layer of \( \text{Zn-MOF} \) may be represented as 4-connected uninodal sql net with point symbol \( 4^{4.6} \); however, because of 2D + 2D polycatenation (angled bim fragments of the separate layers cross each other), a 3D supramolecular framework is formed. As can be seen from the net representation of \( \text{Zn-MOF} \) layer packing, they are woven together by the bim units. Each layer is rotated 90 degrees relative to the previous one (Figure 2d). Polycatenation of the layers results in the formation of closed voids in the structure of \( \text{Zn-MOF} \) with the free volume of 29% of the unit cell (Figure S4).

Hirshfeld surface analysis of intermolecular interactions in \( \text{Zn-MOF} \) crystal structure (Figure 3) and calculation of 2D fingerprint plots (Figure S4) were carried out using the CrystalExplorer program [37]. Hirshfeld surface analysis is a rapidly emerging technique that enables a comprehensive understanding of the intermolecular interactions within a crystal structure using a fingerprint plot. This technique offers an easy identification of characteristic interactions throughout the structure or as a surface around the molecule. For \( \text{Zn-MOF} \), the major contribution to intermolecular interactions (57.7%) is provided by C···C interactions, while C···O and C···N interactions provide partial contributions of 16.8% and 5.9%, respectively (Figure S5).
2.3. Luminescent Properties

The luminescent properties of Zn-MOF and the ligands bim and H₂bdc were studied in the solid state at room temperature. H₂bdc ligand exhibited emission with the maximum near 385 nm upon excitation at 290 nm (Figure S6). It is interesting to note that the bim ligand exhibited excitation-dependent emission. When the bim ligand is excited with the two excitation peaks (300 nm and 320 nm), the corresponding emission spectra demonstrate maxima at 327 nm and 383 nm with a large difference of 56 nm (Figure S7a). When the excitation is varied from 280 nm to 350 nm, the emission peak of bim undergoes a red shift as large as 84 nm (Figure S6b). Previously, similar photophysical behavior was observed for 1,3-bis(2-methylimidazol-1-yl)propane [38,39]. The emission spectrum of Zn-MOF was independent of the excitation wavelength and exhibited a bathochromic shift of the emission band with the maximum near 430 nm (Figure 4a). The CIE1971 color coordinates determined from the emission spectrum of Zn-MOF (0.1728, 0.2921) correspond to aquamarine color (Figure 4b).

The luminescence decay kinetics of Zn-MOF at room temperature can be described by the biexponential dependence I = A₁ exp(-t/τ₁) + A₂ exp(-t/τ₂) + A₀ (Figure S8). The calculated luminescence lifetimes τ₁ and τ₂ are 1.7 and 6.2 ns (relative amplitudes are

Figure 3. Hirshfeld surfaces for Zn-MOF mapped with: (a) d_e; (b) Curvedness; (c) Shape index.

Figure 4. Luminescent properties of Zn-MOF: (a) Excitation (black line, λ_em = 430 nm) and emission (blue line, λ_ex = 325 nm) spectra; (b) CIE-1931 chromaticity diagram, the star indicates the emission color.
1% and 99%, respectively). The observed luminescence lifetimes are characteristic for ligand-centered fluorescence.

2.4. Luminescent Sensing of Inorganic Anions and Cations

Zn-MOF was tested as a luminescent probe of detecting various ions. The stability of Zn-MOF powder in ethanol was confirmed by PXRD analysis (Figure 1). In addition, to confirm the stability of the Zn-MOF suspension in ethanol, luminescence spectra were recorded at intervals of 1–2 min for 15 min. It was noted that the intensity of the emission did not change significantly in the specified time interval, which is enough to carry out the analytical determination (Figure S9). A stable suspension of Zn-MOF in ethanol was prepared and its emission response to inorganic anions and metal cations was evaluated. Aqueous solutions of different inorganic anions (sodium salts, I\(^-\), Br\(^-\), Cl\(^-\), SCN\(^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), NO\(_3^-\), CrO\(_4^{2-}\), Cr\(_2O_7^{2-}\), 1·10\(^{-2}\) M) and metal cations (nitrates, Cd\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^+\), Pb\(^{2+}\), La\(^{3+}\), Ga\(^{3+}\), Al\(^{3+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), 1·10\(^{-2}\) M) were added to the ethanol suspension of Zn-MOF. The luminescence spectrum of the resulting suspension was obtained under the same conditions. As shown in Figure 5 and Figure S10, only the samples containing Fe\(^{3+}\) and Cr\(_2O_7^{2-}\) displayed a significant quenching effect on the emission intensity of Zn-MOF, which indicates that it may serve as a potential luminescent turn-off sensor for Fe\(^{3+}\) and Cr\(_2O_7^{2-}\) ions.

![Figure 5](image_url) Integral emission intensities (from 400 nm to 640 nm) of Zn-MOF ethanol suspensions upon excitation at 330 nm in the presence of 1·10\(^{-4}\) M of: (a) Inorganic anions; (b) Metal cations.

Possible reasons for Zn-MOF luminescence quenching were evaluated using DFT calculations. For this purpose, the energies of frontier molecular orbitals of Zn-MOF were calculated. To make calculations feasible, a model of MOF structure was used, resembling one zinc cation, two coordinated bim ligands, and two coordinated bdc\(^{2-}\) ligands. The excessive negative charge was compensated for by two lithium cations coordinated to the carboxylate groups that are not bound to zinc (Figure S11a). Previously, we used this approach to model the metal centers in MOFs [40,41]. The quenching agent was modeled as a hexaquoiron(III) cation, [Fe(H\(_2\)O)\(_6\)]\(^{3+}\) (Figure S11b).

The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of Zn-MOF is localized on the imidazole ring of bim ligand (Figure 6a), while the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is localized solely on bdc\(^{2-}\) ligand (Figure 6b); thus, the excitation process may be described as a ligand-to-ligand charge transfer.
The calculated energy level of Zn-MOF LUMO (−0.0486 eV) is higher than the LUMO level of [Fe(H₂O)₆]³⁺ (−0.487 eV); therefore, a photoinduced electron transfer (PET) [42] is possible from the excited state of Zn-MOF to Fe³⁺ species (Figure 6), resulting in efficient emission quenching.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Synthesis of Zn-MOF

Bis(imidazole-1-yl)methane was synthesized analogously to the procedure reported in [43]. All other materials were obtained from commercial sources and were used as received.

{(Zn(bim)(bdc)·0.8DMF·0.4EtOH·0.1H₂O)ₓ (Zn-MOF). Bis(imidazole-1-yl)methane (bim) (3.0 mg, 0.02 mmol), terephthalic acid (6.6 mg, 0.04 mmol), and Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (7.6 mg, 0.02 mmol) were dissolved in DMF/EtOH/H₂O (2:1:1, vol.) mixture (1 mL), placed in a 4 mL screw-cap vial, and heated to 100 °C for 24 h. Colorless block-shaped crystals suitable for SCXRD analysis were separated by filtration with the yield of 7.4 mg (81%). Found, %: C 47.8, H 4.4, N 14.7. C₁₅H₁₂N₁₂O₁₂Zn·0.8C₈H₇NO·0.4C₂H₅OH·0.1H₂O. Calculated, %: C 47.90, H 4.46, N 14.73. FT-IR: 3439(w), 3124(m), 1674(s), 1600(s), 1504(m), 1392(s), 1352(s), 1226(m), 1143(w), 1089(s), 1018(w), 947(m), 893(w), 842(w), 819(m), 748(s), 709(m), 655(m), 582(m), 513(w).

3.2. Spectral Methods and Elemental Analysis

The IR spectrum for Zn-MOF was recorded in the range of 4000–450 cm⁻¹ on Scimitar FTS 2000 Spectrometer (Digilab LLC, Randolph, MA, USA) using KBr pellets. Elemental analysis was carried out using Vario MicroCube CHN(S) analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany). Thermogravimetric data were obtained by using a NETZSCH TG 209 F1 Iris Thermo Microbalance (Erich NETZSCH GmbH & Co. Holding KG, Selb, Germany) in an argon atmosphere from 30 to 610 °C at a 10 °C/min heating rate. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis was performed on a Bruker D8 ADVANCE diffractometer, equipped with the linear detector LYNXEYE XE-T (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA), Cu-Kα radiation, λ = 1.5418 Å. Luminescence spectra were measured by using a HORIBA Fluorolog 3 spectrofluorimeter (HORIBA Jobin Yvon SAS, Edison, NJ, USA), using a 450 W ozone-free xenon lamp as an excitation source and a PC177CE-010 module with R2658 photomultiplier for the detection of the emitted photons.

3.3. X-ray Crystal Structure Determination

The diffraction data of Zn-MOF were measured at 290 (2) K on an automated Agilent Xcalibur four-circle diffractometer equipped with an area AtlasS2 detector. Graphite-
monochromated MoK\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}\)) was used. Absorption corrections were applied with the use of the SADAB program [44]. The crystal structures were solved and refined by means of the SHELXT [45] and SHELXL [46] programs. Atomic thermal displacement parameters for non-hydrogen atoms except some solvate molecules were refined anisotropically. The positions of hydrogen atoms were calculated corresponding to their geometrical conditions and refined using the riding model. The crystallographic data and details of the structure refinement are summarized in Table 1. Selected geometric parameters of Zn-MOF are summarized in Table 2. CCDC 2,264,504 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif (accessed on 21 June 2023).

Table 1. Crystallographic data of Zn-MOF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>(\text{C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{12}\text{N}<em>{4}\text{O}</em>{4}\text{Zn})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>377.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>290 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(\text{P}4_2/n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a), Å</td>
<td>18.3186 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b), Å</td>
<td>18.3186 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c), Å</td>
<td>11.6298 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume, Å(^3)</td>
<td>3902.62 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{\text{calc}}, \text{g/cm}^3)</td>
<td>1.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu, \text{mm}^{-1})</td>
<td>1.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F(000))</td>
<td>1530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size, mm(^3)</td>
<td>0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(\theta) range for data collection, (\degree)</td>
<td>4.724 to 57.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-18 \leq h \leq 22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-18 \leq k \leq 21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-15 \leq l \leq 13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>13026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>4505 ((R_{\text{int}} = 0.021, R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0239))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restraints/Parameters</td>
<td>0/267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on (F^2)</td>
<td>1.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indexes ((I \geq 2\sigma(I)))</td>
<td>(R_1 = 0.0487), (wR_2 = 0.0965)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indexes (all data)</td>
<td>(R_1 = 0.0358), (wR_2 = 0.0898)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak/hole, e-Å(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.375/−0.320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters of Zn-MOF (Å, °).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Zn1—N202})</td>
<td>1.941 (15)</td>
<td>(\text{Zn1—N2})</td>
<td>2.0414 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Zn1—O3})</td>
<td>1.9435 (15)</td>
<td>(\text{Zn1—C5})</td>
<td>2.581 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Zn1—O1})</td>
<td>1.9870 (16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{N202—Zn1—O3})</td>
<td>103.9 (4)</td>
<td>(\text{N2—Zn1—C5})</td>
<td>130.57 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{N202—Zn1—O1})</td>
<td>110.2 (4)</td>
<td>(\text{C5—O1—Zn1})</td>
<td>103.41 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{O3—Zn1—O1})</td>
<td>133.47 (7)</td>
<td>(\text{C1—O3—Zn1})</td>
<td>118.69 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{N202—Zn1—N2})</td>
<td>103.0 (3)</td>
<td>(\text{C10—N2—Zn1})</td>
<td>132.10 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{O3—Zn1—N2})</td>
<td>98.70 (7)</td>
<td>(\text{C12—N2—Zn1})</td>
<td>122.28 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{O1—Zn1—N2})</td>
<td>103.20 (7)</td>
<td>(\text{O2—C5—Zn1})</td>
<td>74.56 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{N202—Zn1—C5})</td>
<td>104.3 (3)</td>
<td>(\text{O1—C5—Zn1})</td>
<td>48.48 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{O3—Zn1—C5})</td>
<td>113.43 (8)</td>
<td>(\text{C2—C5—Zn1})</td>
<td>165.7 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. Luminescence Sensing Experiment

To prepare a stable suspension, 23 mg of finely powdered Zn-MOF was dispersed into 46 mL of ethanol by sonicating for 30 min. The resulting suspension was left to settle for 1 h, after which a stable suspension (supernatant) was collected and used for the luminescence sensing experiments. For sensing experiments, 2 mL of Zn-MOF blank suspension were placed into a quartz cuvette (optical path 1 cm) and the luminescence spectra were recorded after addition of the analyte solution (20 µL of 1·10^{-2} M solution).

3.5. Computational Chemistry Details

The calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 package [47]. The geometries of Zn-MOF model, [Li₂Zn(bim)₂(bdc)₂] and [Fe(H₂O)₆]^{3+} were optimized using DFT B3LYP [48–51] 6–311 + G(2d,p) [52–55] model chemistry. An empirical dispersion correction was applied using the D3 version of Grimme’s empirical dispersion with Becke–Johnson damping [56]. Lack of imaginary vibration modes for the optimized structures indicated their correspondence to minima on the potential energy surfaces. For Zn-MOF, all calculations were carried out for a singlet state using the restricted Kohn–Sham approximation, while for [Fe(H₂O)₆]^{3+}, a high-spin sextet state (S = 5/2) was considered under unrestricted Kohn–Sham approximation. This state was shown to be the most stable among hexaaquairon(III) species [57].

4. Conclusions

In summary, a new coordination polymer, [{Zn(bim)(bdc)}·0.8DMF·0.4EtOH·0.1H₂O]ₙ (Zn-MOF) was prepared by the reaction of zinc nitrate with bis(imidazol-1-yl)methane and terephthalic acid. The structure of Zn-MOF consists of polycatenated layers, which form a supramolecular 3D metal–organic framework with closed voids. After removing the solvent molecules included in the voids, Zn-MOF demonstrates high thermal stability up to 340 °C, as well as luminescent properties. Inorganic cation and anion detection experiments demonstrated that Zn-MOF exhibits a selective luminescence quenching response to Fe^{3+} ions and chromate/dichromate anions. Photoinduced electron transfer from Zn-MOF to Fe^{3+} was elucidated as a possible quenching mechanism on the basis of DFT calculations.
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