



Review

A Multimodal Approach to Facial Rejuvenation—Integrating HA Fillers, Collagen Stimulators, Botulinum Toxin and Energy-Based Devices for Optimal Patient Outcomes

Jakov Jurcevic ¹ and Romana Ceovic ^{2,*}

¹ Polyclinic Dudukovic Kisic, University Hospital Centre Zagreb (KBC Zagreb), 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

² Department of Dermatovenereology, University of Zagreb School of Medicine, University Hospital Centre Zagreb (KBC Zagreb), 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

* Correspondence: romana.ceovic@mef.hr

Abstract

Facial aging is not a singular phenomenon but a cascade of anatomical and biological transformations unfolding across the skeleton, fat, ligaments, muscles, dermis, and epidermis. Its clinical expression—volume loss, sagging, wrinkling, and surface irregularities—cannot be adequately explained by simplistic metaphors of “filling” or “lifting.” This article is a narrative review synthesizing current anatomical, physiological, and clinical evidence relevant to multimodal facial rejuvenation. Traditional monotherapies, while sometimes effective in isolation, are increasingly inadequate for contemporary patients who demand outcomes that are natural, harmonious, and durable. Modern esthetic practice has therefore shifted toward multimodal approaches that address aging across multiple planes. Hyaluronic acid (HA) fillers provide volumetric scaffolding and hydration; collagen stimulators such as poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) and calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA) induce neocollagenesis and long-term dermal remodeling; botulinum toxin restores balance to muscular vectors and improves expression dynamics; while energy-based devices (EBDs), including fractional lasers, radiofrequency microneedling, and high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU), enhance skin texture, tone, and elasticity. When applied in a sequenced and evidence-based manner, these modalities act synergistically to deliver results unattainable by any single intervention. In addition to established modalities, the field has recently witnessed aggressive promotion of “regenerative” therapies—growth factors, exosomes, platelet-rich plasma (PRP), and platelet-rich fibrin (PRF). While biologically plausible, their efficacy and safety remain uncertain due to the absence of robust, randomized clinical trials and the heterogeneity of current data. This raises a critical question: is aesthetic medicine advancing through science, or being driven by novelty and marketing? This review synthesizes current anatomical and physiological knowledge of aging, evaluates the mechanisms, clinical applications, and safety considerations of major treatment modalities, and proposes practical sequencing strategies. It also emphasizes the ethical imperative that aesthetic medicine, while innovative and fast-evolving, must remain anchored in scientific evidence and patient safety—because aesthetic medicine is, fundamentally, still medicine.

Keywords: facial aging; multimodal rejuvenation; hyaluronic acid fillers; collagen stimulators; botulinum toxin; energy-based devices



Academic Editor: Sjaak Pouwels

Received: 23 October 2025

Revised: 25 November 2025

Accepted: 4 January 2026

Published: 2 February 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and

conditions of the [Creative Commons](#)

[Attribution \(CC BY\)](#) license.

1. Introduction

Facial aging is a multifactorial, pan-facial process that simultaneously affects multiple anatomical planes. Structural remodeling of the craniofacial skeleton, redistribution and atrophy of superficial and deep fat compartments, attenuation of ligamentous support, imbalance of mimetic muscle vectors, and dermal–epidermal degeneration all contribute to the aged phenotype. These processes are interdependent: skeletal regression alters soft-tissue vectors, ligamentous laxity facilitates fat descent, and dermal degradation amplifies the visibility of underlying changes.

Historically, esthetic interventions targeted these manifestations in isolation. Hyaluronic acid fillers were applied to efface folds, botulinum toxin was used to suppress dynamic rhytides, and lasers were employed to resurface photodamaged skin. While effective in selected indications, such monotherapies often produced results that were partial, short-lived, or esthetically disharmonious. The prevailing “deficit correction” model, focused on single features, frequently neglected the integrated nature of aging.

Contemporary practice increasingly recognizes that optimal outcomes require multimodal and anatomically stratified approaches. Evidence demonstrates that when fillers, neuromodulators, stimulators, and energy-based devices are combined in a deliberate and sequenced manner, they act synergistically to restore balance, proportion, and tissue quality in ways no single modality can achieve [1–4]. This integrative model emphasizes harmony across layers rather than isolated correction, and its success relies on a thorough understanding of both anatomy and aging biology.

At the same time, the rapid proliferation of novel interventions presents new challenges. The commercial promotion of biologics—growth factors, exosomes, and platelet concentrates—often precedes adequate scientific validation. While innovation is desirable, clinical adoption without robust evidence risks undermining both patient safety and the credibility of the specialty. The central question for esthetic medicine in the coming decade is therefore not only what we can do, but what we should do, and on what evidentiary basis.

This review aims to provide a critical, evidence-based framework for multimodal rejuvenation. We summarize the anatomical foundations of aging, review the mechanisms, clinical roles, and safety of major modalities, propose sequencing strategies, and highlight ethical considerations. The goal is to position multimodal rejuvenation not merely as a collection of tools, but as a structured, patient-centered paradigm that integrates innovation with scientific rigor.

Methodology of the Narrative Review

This manuscript was conducted as a narrative review, aiming to synthesize current anatomical, physiological, and modality-specific evidence relevant to multimodal facial rejuvenation. A comprehensive literature search was performed using PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science for articles published between January 2000 and October 2024. Search terms included combinations of: facial aging, multimodal rejuvenation, hyaluronic acid fillers, collagen stimulators, botulinum toxin, energy-based devices, skin quality, regenerative esthetics, exosomes, growth factors, PRP, PDGF, and sequencing strategies.

Inclusion criteria comprised: (1) human clinical studies, (2) anatomical analyses related to aging, (3) consensus statements or expert guidelines, and (4) reviews addressing the mechanisms or safety of esthetic modalities. Exclusion criteria were: (1) animal-only studies without clear translational relevance, (2) case reports lacking generalizability, and (3) non-peer-reviewed sponsored white papers.

Thematic clustering was performed through iterative analysis, grouping studies by anatomical layer, modality class, biological mechanism, and clinical indication. This

allowed construction of a multilayered and clinically oriented framework reflecting the multimodal rejuvenation paradigm. Given the broad scope and heterogeneity in study designs, the narrative review format was selected to integrate multidisciplinary evidence and clinical expertise into a coherent synthesis.

2. Anatomical and Physiological Basis of Aging

Facial aging is a multilayered phenomenon resulting from concurrent alterations in the skeleton, fat compartments, ligamentous structures, musculature, and the dermal–epidermal complex. These changes are interdependent and progressive, with cumulative effects that manifest clinically as hollowing, sagging, rhytides, and deterioration in skin quality. Understanding these processes is essential for developing rational, multimodal rejuvenation strategies. The thematic structure of this review follows the major anatomical layers involved in facial aging and the primary categories of esthetic interventions. This organization reflects the way clinicians evaluate aging in practice—moving from foundational skeletal changes to superficial dermal and epidermal alterations—and provides a logical framework for understanding how multimodal rejuvenation is applied in a layered, anatomically informed manner.

2.1. Skeletal Remodeling

The facial skeleton provides the architectural support for overlying soft tissues. Age-related bone remodeling includes orbital aperture enlargement, maxillary retrusion, and mandibular resorption, particularly at the prejowl sulcus and mandibular angle [1,2]. These changes reduce skeletal projection and alter the vectors of soft tissue draping. Clinically, they present as infraorbital hollowing, midface flattening, and loss of jawline definition. Importantly, skeletal regression is not uniform but region-specific, necessitating individualized structural restoration.

2.2. Fat Compartments

Facial fat is anatomically divided into discrete superficial and deep compartments separated by fibrous septa [3]. Deep fat pads (e.g., deep medial cheek fat) primarily undergo atrophy, producing volume loss and contour concavity. Superficial pads are more susceptible to gravitational descent when retaining ligaments weaken, contributing to folds and jowls. Certain compartments, such as submental and jowl fat, may demonstrate hypertrophy. The combined effect is regional disharmony rather than generalized atrophy, requiring targeted, compartment-specific correction.

2.3. Ligamentous Attenuation

Retaining ligaments, including the zygomatic, mandibular, and orbital ligaments, maintain facial architecture by tethering soft tissues to bone. Histological studies show age-related attenuation and elongation of these ligaments [4]. The clinical consequences include deepening of the nasolabial fold, malar descent, and blunting of the mandibular contour. From a therapeutic perspective, ligament anatomy delineates both vectors of support and high-risk zones for injectable treatments.

2.4. Muscular Imbalance

Mimetic muscles remain active with age, but their function becomes imbalanced. Elevators often weaken relative to depressors, leading to dominance of muscles such as the depressor anguli oris, platysma, and mentalis. This results in downturned oral commissures, vertical neck banding, and chin dimpling [5]. Hyperactivity of the orbicularis oculi further accentuates periorbital aging. Neuromodulation with botulinum toxin plays a central role in restoring vector balance, complementing structural and dermal interventions.

2.5. Dermal and Epidermal Changes

Intrinsic aging reduces fibroblast activity, collagen I and III production, and elastin quality, while extrinsic aging—primarily ultraviolet radiation—accelerates these processes [6]. The dermis becomes thinner, glycosaminoglycans decline, and the epidermis demonstrates reduced turnover and barrier integrity. Solar elastosis, irregular pigmentation, and surface roughness become clinically apparent. These changes necessitate therapies that improve skin quality, such as energy-based devices, skin boosters, and topically delivered cosmeceuticals (Table 1).

Table 1. Multilayered Aging Changes and Clinical Manifestations.

Anatomical Layer	Pathophysiological Change	Clinical Manifestation
Skeleton	Orbital enlargement, maxillary retrusion, mandibular resorption	Tear trough deformity, prejowl sulci, loss of projection
Fat compartments	Deep atrophy, superficial descent, regional hypertrophy	Midface flattening, folds, jowls
Ligaments	Attenuation, elongation	Sagging, blunted contours
Muscles	Depressor dominance, vector imbalance	Downturned corners, platysmal bands, chin dimpling
Dermis	Collagen/elastin loss, fibroblast senescence	Wrinkles, laxity, fine lines
Epidermis	Thinning, pigmentation, reduced turnover	Dyschromia, rough texture, dullness

3. Hyaluronic Acid Fillers

3.1. Mechanisms of Action

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a glycosaminoglycan naturally present in the extracellular matrix, critical for hydration, viscoelasticity, and structural support. Crosslinked HA fillers restore lost volume via a space-occupying effect, but studies also support secondary biological actions. Mechanical stretching of fibroblasts and restoration of extracellular hydration promote fibroblast activity, upregulate collagen synthesis, and improve dermal elasticity [7–9]. This dual mechanism—volumetric support and dermal bioactivation—explains the widespread use of HA fillers as a cornerstone in multimodal rejuvenation.

3.2. Rheological Properties

The rheology of HA fillers determines their clinical behavior:

- Elastic modulus (G'): governs lifting capacity and resistance to deformation.
- Viscosity: influences flow and injectability.
- Cohesivity: affects integration and spread within tissue.

High G' fillers such as VYC-25L have been engineered for high projection and deep structural support. Clinical trials confirm VYC-25L as particularly effective for jawline definition and chin augmentation, with durable esthetic outcomes and high patient satisfaction [10,11].

3.3. Clinical Applications

High- G' fillers, including VYC-25L, are optimally suited for deep structural augmentation such as chin projection and jawline contouring, where robust support and long-lasting definition are required. Mid-range G' fillers serve well for malar enhancement, nasolabial fold correction, and midface contouring, where a balance of lift, spreadability, and integration is essential. Softer, lower-viscosity fillers are used in delicate areas such as the tear trough, where minimizing edema, the Tyndall effect, and tissue displacement is critical.

For intradermal applications, low- G' skin-quality HA formulations improve hydration, texture, and fine lines. These distinctions emphasize the need for anatomically tailored filler selection (Table 2).

3.4. Safety Considerations

HA fillers possess a strong safety profile, but adverse effects may include edema, bruising, nodules, and delayed inflammatory reactions. The most significant complication—vascular occlusion—necessitates an advanced understanding of vascular anatomy, meticulous injection technique, and preparedness to administer high-dose hyaluronidase. Other rare events such as biofilm-related nodules, granulomas, or delayed hypersensitivity require individualized management. When practiced with anatomical precision and an evidence-based approach, HA fillers remain among the safest and most versatile injectable modalities.

Risk reduction requires:

- Mastery of vascular anatomy.
- Aspiration and slow, low-pressure injection.
- Use of cannulas in high-risk areas.
- Readiness to administer high-dose hyaluronidase immediately [12].

Other rare events include biofilm-related nodules and granulomas, managed with hyaluronidase, antibiotics, and/or corticosteroids depending on etiology. In summary, hyaluronic acid fillers provide foundational structural support and hydration, and when selected according to rheology and anatomical needs, they serve as essential building blocks within a multimodal rejuvenation framework.

Table 2. HA Filler Selection by Rheology and Clinical Indication.

Rheology	Example Product	Injection Plane	Primary Indication
High G'	VYC-25L [13]	Periosteal/deep subcutaneous	Chin projection, jawline definition, mandibular contour
Medium G'	VYC-17,5L [14]	Deep dermis/subcutaneous	Nasolabial folds, midface contour
Low G'	VYC-15L [15] VYC-12L [16]	Superficial dermis/intradermal	Fine lines, lips, tear trough, skin hydration

4. Collagen Stimulators

4.1. Mechanism of Action

Collagen stimulators represent a distinct category of injectables designed to induce long-term dermal remodeling rather than immediate volumization. Agents such as poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA), calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA), and polycaprolactone (PCL) act via a controlled foreign-body response. After injection, microparticles initiate an inflammatory cascade that recruits macrophages and fibroblasts, leading to deposition of collagen types I and III [17]. This neocollagenesis improves elasticity, dermal thickness, and skin quality over months, with effects persisting beyond the resorption of the carrier gel.

4.2. Clinical Applications

PLLA is frequently employed for global volume restoration in the midface and temples, where gradual, natural volumization is advantageous. CaHA offers both immediate correction through its gel carrier and longer-term stimulation; when hyperdiluted, it functions primarily as a biostimulator enhancing dermal quality without adding significant

volume. PCL, characterized by its extended longevity, is useful for patients seeking durable improvement of laxity and dermal thinning, particularly in the lower face, neck, and décolleté. These products complement HA fillers by addressing deeper tissue quality and long-term remodeling rather than shape alone.

4.3. Evidence Base

Histologic studies confirm collagen and elastin deposition after PLLA and CaHA injection, with measurable improvements in dermal architecture. Randomized controlled trials report significant and sustained improvements in wrinkle severity and skin elasticity scores, with patient satisfaction rates exceeding 85% at 12–24 months [18,19].

4.4. Safety Profile

Adverse effects are generally mild and transient but depend heavily on dilution, depth, and injection technique. Improper placement of PLLA or CaHA can lead to nodules or papules, while granulomas—although rare—may necessitate corticosteroids or excision. Adequate reconstitution, avoidance of superficial injection, and post-treatment massage protocols substantially reduce complication rates. When applied correctly, these biostimulators provide safe, durable, and biologically meaningful rejuvenation. Nodules and papules: typically associated with superficial placement or insufficient dilution; mitigated by proper reconstitution and massage. Strict adherence to injection protocols and patient selection are critical to optimizing outcomes and minimizing complications (Table 3).

Table 3. Comparison of Collagen Stimulators.

Product	Composition	Duration	Mechanism	Key Indications	Notes
PLLA	Poly-L-lactic acid microparticles	2–3 years	Fibroblast activation, collagen deposition	Global volumization, temples, midface	Requires series of treatments; delayed onset
CaHA	Calcium hydroxylapatite microspheres in gel	12–18 months (longer with hyperdilution)	Immediate volumization + collagen stimulation	Jawline, folds, skin tightening (hyperdiluted)	Dual action: filler + biostimulator
PCL	Polycaprolactone microspheres	3–4 years	Sustained fibroblast activation	Midface, temples, lower face, hands	Longest duration; less published data

5. Botulinum Toxin

5.1. Mechanism of Action and Pharmacology

Botulinum neurotoxins are the most potent biologic agents known, yet paradoxically among the safest when appropriately dosed. Type A is the dominant serotype used in esthetics. After binding to presynaptic cholinergic terminals, BoNT-A is internalized via endocytosis, where the light chain cleaves SNAP-25, a key protein of the SNARE complex. This prevents vesicle fusion and acetylcholine release, resulting in reversible neuromuscular blockade [20].

The pharmacodynamics are characterized by:

- Onset: 2–5 days, reflecting axonal transport and enzymatic activity.
- Peak: ~14 days, aligning with maximal enzymatic effect.
- Duration: typically 3–4 months for standard BoNT-A formulations, governed by synaptic remodeling and axonal sprouting.

Differences among preparations are subtle yet clinically significant. AbobotulinumtoxinA (Dysport) units are not equivalent to onabotulinumtoxinA (Botox). Incobotulinum-

toxinA (Xeomin), free of complexing proteins, may have reduced immunogenicity. PrabotulinumtoxinA (Jeuveau) matches onabotulinumtoxinA in efficacy but introduces competition in pricing. Such distinctions necessitate an appreciation of biological units, rather than assuming dose equivalence across products.

Immunogenicity is a theoretical but important concern. Early, high-protein formulations carried measurable risk of neutralizing antibody formation. Modern cosmetic dosing regimens, using small quantities at long intervals, render clinically significant resistance rare. Still, rising use across neurology, pain, and esthetics may reawaken this issue. Vigilance and long-term registry data are warranted [21].

5.2. Beyond Neuromodulation: Biological Effects

While muscle relaxation remains the principal clinical mechanism of botulinum toxin, an expanding body of evidence demonstrates that its biological effects extend beyond neuromodulation to include meaningful activity within the dermal and adnexal structures. Experimental and clinical studies show that BoNT-A can modulate dermal remodeling by reducing matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) activity, stabilizing collagen architecture, and enhancing fibroblast function, thereby contributing to improvements in skin texture and elasticity [21]. In addition, sebocytes have been shown to express cholinergic receptors, and their inhibition leads to a reduction in sebum production—an effect particularly advantageous in patients with seborrheic or acne-prone skin. BoNT-A also influences neurogenic inflammation through the downregulation of neuropeptides such as substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), resulting in diminished vasodilation and attenuation of inflammatory cascades.

Taken together, these mechanisms underscore the evolving understanding of BoNT-A not merely as a wrinkle-relaxing agent but increasingly as a biomodulator of cutaneous health.

5.3. Clinical Applications in Esthetic Dermatology

The versatility of BoNT-A lies in its multi-regional applicability.

- Upper face: where it remains the gold standard for glabellar lines, with level 1 evidence from multiple RCTs. Forehead lines require careful balance, given the dual role of frontalis in both expression and brow support. The periorbital region benefits from crow's feet correction and lateral brow lift.
- Midface: subtle corrections such as “jelly roll” relaxation or gummy smile attenuation exemplify the finesse required in micro-dosing.
- Lower face and neck: treating DAO, mentalis, and platysma allows refinement of expression and contour, often in synergy with fillers. The “Nefertiti lift” demonstrates how neuromodulation alone can sharpen jawline definition.
- Jawline and masseter: especially in East Asian practice, masseter reduction is a cultural and functional mainstay. MRI evidence confirms long-term muscle volume reduction [22].
- Skin and intradermal applications: the microbotox technique, pioneered in Asia, exemplifies the evolution of BoNT-A into a skin-quality agent, reducing pores, seborrhea, and erythema [21].

5.4. Beyond Esthetics: Therapeutic Dermatology

BoNT-A also exemplifies the increasingly blurred boundary between cosmetic and therapeutic dermatology. Its role in treating hyperhidrosis is well established, with FDA approval for axillary use and strong evidence demonstrating efficacy in palmar, plantar, and craniofacial forms of the condition. In rosacea and episodic facial flushing, small

controlled studies have documented reductions in erythema and subjective symptoms such as burning or stinging, reflecting the neurovascular modulatory effects of the toxin.

A similar pattern is observed in seborrhea and acne, where preliminary clinical work indicates that BoNT-A can reduce sebum production and decrease the number of inflammatory lesions, likely through inhibition of cholinergic signaling within sebocytes. Its intralesional use for scarring—particularly hypertrophic scars—further broadens its therapeutic value, as the toxin reduces mechanical tension along wound edges and modulates inflammatory pathways, ultimately improving the quality of scar formation.

Moreover, its extensive use in neurological conditions, including migraine, spasticity, and neuropathic pain, indirectly reinforces its long-term safety profile in the context of repeated treatments. Collectively, these diverse applications reveal that BoNT-A extends far beyond the domain of cosmetic wrinkle reduction and firmly situates itself within an integrated, medically grounded dermatologic practice.

5.5. Techniques and Evolving Philosophies

Modern BoNT-A practice is increasingly defined not by the pursuit of simple wrinkle effacement, but by the broader objective of emotional harmonization. Within this framework, De Maio's MD Codes place emphasis on addressing negative emotional attributes—such as anger, sadness, and tiredness—through strategic vector modulation, allowing patients to perceive not only smoother skin but also a more positive and balanced facial affect [23].

Several contemporary innovations further illustrate the evolution of toxin use toward a more sophisticated and preventive philosophy. High-dilution intradermal techniques such as microbotox or mesobotox enable diffuse refinement of skin texture and quality. The concept of prejuvenation introduces BoNT-A early in life as a prophylactic measure to reduce the formation of static lines before they become etched. Additionally, the deliberate sequencing of neuromodulation prior to filler treatments can enhance lifting outcomes by temporarily weakening opposing depressor muscles, thereby improving vector support for subsequent structural interventions.

Together, these developments highlight how BoNT-A has progressed from a purely remedial treatment into an integrative, anatomically informed tool requiring both technical expertise and esthetic artistry.

5.6. Safety Profile

The safety of BoNT-A is unparalleled among injectables. Side effects are typically self-limited and technique-related: bruising, edema, or mild asymmetry. More significant complications, such as ptosis or dysphagia, are rare and dose-dependent [16]. Importantly, the reversibility of effect provides a therapeutic safety net, distinguishing BoNT-A from permanent interventions.

Immunogenicity, while rare in esthetics, remains a theoretical risk as cumulative global exposure grows [21]. Responsible dosing, proper intervals, and awareness of cross-specialty use are part of ethical stewardship.

5.7. Next-Generation Neurotoxins

The neurotoxin pipeline illustrates how industry is responding to unmet clinical demands:

- Daxxify (daxibotulinumtoxinA-lanm): long-acting (~24 weeks), peptide-stabilized, FDA-approved 2022 [24].
- Alluzience (liquid aboBoNT-A): ready-to-use, minimizing dilution errors and variability [25].

- BoNT/E (EB-001): rapid onset (≤ 24 h), ultra-short duration (2–3 weeks), suited to temporary “event” corrections [26].
- PrabotulinumtoxinA (Jeuveau): market disruptor, efficacy equivalent to Botox, cost-competitive [27].
- Topical RT001: despite early promise, results remain inconsistent and not ready for mainstream practice [26].
- TrenibotulinumtoxinE (BoNT/E), a next-generation, ultra-short-acting neurotoxin with rapid onset (< 24 h) and duration of effect of approximately 2–3 weeks, designed for temporary aesthetic corrections and procedural flexibility [28]

These innovations reflect diverging priorities: longer duration for convenience, shorter duration for flexibility, and simplified handling for consistency.

5.8. Clinical and Ethical Considerations

The accelerating expansion of BoNT-A options underscores an ethical imperative: innovation must be anchored in science, not marketing. Long-acting formulations demand caution given prolonged adverse effects. Short-acting toxins must be clearly communicated to patients to avoid disappointment.

Socioeconomic factors also play a role. Competitive pricing (e.g., Jeuveau) may expand access, but raises questions of sustainability and global equity. Ready-to-use formulations simplify workflow, but cost and evidence must justify widespread adoption.

Most importantly, the proliferation of options should not fragment standards. Head-to-head comparative trials, standardized dosing units, and long-term safety registries are urgently needed. Esthetic medicine must hold itself to the same evidentiary rigor as any other medical discipline.

6. Energy-Based Devices (EBDs)

6.1. Mechanisms of Action and Biological Rationale

Energy-based devices (EBDs) encompass a spectrum of technologies—including lasers, radiofrequency (RF), ultrasound, intense pulsed light (IPL), and fractional microneedling RF—that act through the principle of controlled tissue injury followed by wound healing and remodeling. By generating thermal or mechanical microdamage, they activate fibroblasts, stimulate type I and III collagen production, and reorganize the extracellular matrix (ECM).

- Lasers: Ablative lasers (CO_2 , Er: YAG) create zones of vaporization and coagulation, while non-ablative lasers induce dermal heating without epidermal ablation. Both initiate fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis.
- Radiofrequency: Produces volumetric dermal heating via electrical current, leading to immediate collagen contraction and long-term remodeling.
- Microneedling RF: Combines fractional epidermal puncture with targeted dermal RF heating, reducing the risk of post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation (PIH) compared with lasers.
- Ultrasound (HIFU, MFU-V): Delivers focused acoustic energy to the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) and deep dermis, inducing lifting and tightening without surface disruption.
- IPL: Targets melanin and hemoglobin to treat pigmented and vascular lesions, with secondary collagen remodeling effects.

All modalities converge on a common biological endpoint: fibroblast activation and neocollagenesis, which improve the biomechanical properties of aged skin [29,30].

6.2. Clinical Applications

Energy-based devices play a critical role in addressing age-related changes that cannot be corrected with injectables alone. Concerns such as tissue laxity and loss of structural support respond particularly well to ultrasound and radiofrequency technologies, which create measurable improvements in jawline contour, brow position, and neck firmness by delivering heat to deeper supportive layers. Wrinkles and surface irregularities, on the other hand, are best managed with fractional laser platforms. Ablative lasers remain the gold standard for deep rhytides, whereas non-ablative fractional lasers offer more modest improvements with significantly shorter downtime.

Pigmentary changes and photodamage represent another important domain in which EBDs excel. IPL and picosecond lasers can selectively target lentigines, telangiectasia, and general dyschromia, gradually restoring a more even and youthful complexion. For acne and scarring, both fractional lasers and radiofrequency microneedling have demonstrated substantial efficacy, improving texture, reducing atrophic scars, and enhancing overall skin quality.

Importantly, the benefits of EBDs extend beyond the face. Regions such as the neck, décolleté, dorsal hands, and abdomen are increasingly treated with these modalities, as injectables alone rarely provide sufficient improvement in these areas. In this way, energy-based devices complement injectable treatments and form an essential component of a comprehensive, multimodal rejuvenation strategy.

6.3. Evidence and Long-Term Outcomes

The literature provides robust but heterogeneous evidence:

- RF microneedling: Demonstrates significant improvement in acne scars and laxity, with favorable safety profiles across skin phototypes [31].
- Fractional CO₂ lasers: Prospective studies show 30–50% wrinkle reduction with sustained benefit up to two years [32].
- HIFU/MFU: Split-face trials confirm measurable lifting of brow and submental tissues at 3–6 months post-treatment [33].
- IPL: Improves pigmentation, telangiectasia, and overall skin homogeneity, with long-term improvements in photoaged skin [34].

Meta-analyses suggest that while EBDs do not replicate the volumizing power of fillers, they are indispensable for skin quality optimization, an equally important dimension of natural rejuvenation [35].

6.4. Safety and Limitations

Safety considerations vary meaningfully across different categories of energy-based devices. Laser platforms carry the risk of erythema, edema, post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation and, in rare cases, scarring, with these adverse events being more prevalent in individuals with Fitzpatrick IV–VI phototypes. Radiofrequency and ultrasound systems are generally regarded as safe, most often producing only transient erythema, edema, or mild procedural discomfort. Microneedling RF may result in pinpoint bleeding, surface crusting, and temporary erythema, yet tends to present a lower risk of post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation compared with laser devices. Intense pulsed light, when used with appropriate patient selection, also maintains a favorable safety profile, though it offers limited utility for treating tissue laxity.

Beyond device-specific risks, several overarching limitations influence the clinical use of energy-based modalities. Many treatments require multiple sessions to achieve meaningful improvement, and outcomes remain highly dependent on operator skill and protocol optimization. Downtime can be significant, particularly after ablative laser proce-

dures, which may affect patient acceptance. Additionally, the financial burden associated with these technologies can be considerable, posing an important practical constraint for some patients.

6.5. Integration with Injectables

EBDs achieve maximal results when integrated with injectables, creating synergistic multimodal rejuvenation:

- Botulinum toxin + EBDs: reducing dynamic rhytides before resurfacing prevents repetitive folding and enhances outcomes.
- HA fillers + EBDs: fillers restore volume while EBDs improve texture and tone, creating harmony across structural and surface layers.
- Collagen stimulators + EBDs: hyperdiluted CaHA or PLLA combined with RF microneedling synergistically enhance neocollagenesis [35].

This integration reflects the shift toward layered rejuvenation strategies, where each modality addresses distinct yet complementary aspects of aging (Table 4).

Table 4. Energy-Based Devices in Facial Rejuvenation.

Technology	Target Tissue	Primary Effect	Key Indications	Limitations
Ablative lasers (CO ₂ , Er: YAG)	Epidermis and dermis	Resurfacing, wrinkle reduction	Deep rhytides, scars	Downtime, PIH risk
Non-ablative lasers	Dermis	Collagen stimulation	Fine wrinkles, mild laxity, pigment	Modest effect
Fractional microneedle RF	Dermis (depth-specific)	Neocollagenesis, scar remodeling	Acne scars, skin laxity	Mild erythema, crusting
Ultrasound (HIFU, MFU)	SMAS and deep dermis	Lifting, tightening	Brow ptosis, jawline, neck laxity	Discomfort, operator dependent
IPL	Pigment and vessels	Dyspigmentation, vascular lesions	Photodamage, redness	Limited laxity improvement
Radiofrequency	Dermis	Collagen remodeling, tightening	Fine lines, texture	Requires maintenance

6.6. Critical Perspective

The growth of EBDs exemplifies both scientific progress and marketing-driven enthusiasm. Many platforms are promoted as “revolutionary,” yet offer only incremental improvements over predecessors. Head-to-head randomized trials are scarce, making it difficult to determine superiority between devices.

This reality raises essential questions: Are new devices truly breakthroughs, or are they rebranding of existing energy principles with marginal modifications? In clinical practice, outcomes are often dictated more by patient selection, protocol optimization, and operator expertise than by the novelty of the device itself.

For the specialty to advance responsibly, clinicians must temper marketing promises with scientific rigor, transparently communicating benefits, risks, and limitations. EBDs are invaluable tools—but like any medical intervention, they must be anchored in evidence, ethics, and patient-centered care, not hype.

7. Sequencing and Treatment Paradigms

7.1. Rationale for Sequencing

Facial rejuvenation is most effective when conceived not as a single intervention but as a carefully choreographed sequence of therapies. Just as aging unfolds in layers—affecting

bone, ligaments, fat, muscle, dermis, and epidermis-treatment must proceed in a staged and layered fashion [36].

The order in which therapies are applied is not arbitrary; it determines whether outcomes appear harmonious or discordant. The underlying principle is to move from foundation to surface, from static to dynamic, from structure to refinement. Only through this progression can results appear both natural and durable.

7.2. A Holistic Philosophy of Sequencing

Sequencing is more than technical order; it is a philosophy of care. Patients increasingly seek outcomes that align not only with beauty ideals but also with identity, expression, and well-being. To meet these expectations, physicians must move beyond the “toolbox” mentality and adopt a curated pathway approach.

In this framework:

- Neuromodulators are not simply wrinkle erasers but tools to restore positive emotional expressions—reducing anger, sadness, or tiredness from the face.
- Fillers and collagen stimulators are not about “filling lines,” but about rebalancing vectors, restoring structural scaffolding, and supporting soft tissues in harmony with skeletal dynamics.
- Energy-based devices are not mere gadgets, but instruments of skin health and quality, targeting texture, pigmentation, and elasticity-qualities that patients may not articulate but intuitively perceive.
- Skincare serves not as an adjunct but as the daily reinforcement of in-clinic interventions, ensuring biological continuity.

This layered orchestration transforms the patient journey from a patchwork of isolated treatments into an integrated continuum of rejuvenation, embodying medicine rather than commodification.

7.3. Evidence-Based Paradigms

The clinical literature supports multimodal sequencing as superior to monotherapy:

- BoNT-A + Fillers: Pre-relaxation of dynamic rhytides improves filler integration and may extend longevity [37].
- Fillers + EBDs: Controlled trials show that hyperdiluted CaHA combined with fractional RF microneedling enhances dermal remodeling more than either modality alone [38].
- Triple sequencing (toxin → filler → resurfacing): Described as the “trifecta” of rejuvenation, this layered approach addresses muscle vectors, structural volume, and skin surface in a biologically logical sequence [39].
- Holistic frameworks (MD Codes, BeautiPHication™): Emphasize emotional harmony, not just esthetic correction, reinforcing that sequencing is as much about psychological outcome as physical change [23,40].

7.4. Timing and Biological Logic

Practical sequencing follows both biological rationale and patient experience:

- Step 1—Neuromodulation: BoNT-A administered first (Day 0) allows muscle relaxation to stabilize before subsequent interventions.
- Step 2—Structural restoration: HA fillers and collagen stimulators added after 1–2 weeks when muscular balance is optimized.
- Step 3—Surface optimization: EBDs and resurfacing performed 2–4 weeks later, ensuring fillers have integrated and minimizing risk of degradation.

- **Step 4—Maintenance:** Long-term skincare and periodic reinforcement treatments sustain results.

This sequence respects tissue recovery cycles and maximizes synergy while reducing risk.

7.5. Patient-Centric Pathways

While multimodal rejuvenation is highly individualized, certain treatment pathways recur consistently across clinical practice. These patterns provide structure for decision-making while allowing customization based on anatomical findings, patient expectations, and downtime tolerance.

Younger Patients (Prejuvenation Model)

In patients aged 25–35 with minimal structural change, treatment prioritizes prevention and subtle refinement. Botulinum toxin is typically administered first to modulate dynamic lines and balance mimetic vectors. Light-intensity energy-based modalities (e.g., IPL, non-ablative fractional laser, RF microneedling) follow to improve pigmentation, early textural irregularity, and dermal vitality. Soft, low-G' hyaluronic acid fillers or skin boosters are employed last to enhance hydration and elasticity without altering facial identity.

Midlife Patients (35–50)—Early Multilayer Aging

This group commonly demonstrates midface volume loss, early descent of superficial fat, periorbital hollowing, and emerging photoaging. Sequencing often begins with structural HA fillers to restore foundational support in deep fat compartments and bony landmarks. Botulinum toxin is applied next to harmonize depressor–elevator balance. Energy-based devices follow, particularly fractional lasers or RF microneedling, to restore dermal quality. When indicated, hyperdiluted CaHA or PLLA may complement EBDs to stimulate collagen.

Advanced Aging (50+)—Global Rejuvenation Approach

In advanced aging, treatment is typically staged to maximize safety and efficacy. Structural restoration using deep HA fillers is performed first, often in several sessions. Botulinum toxin is used to rebalance lower-face depressors and soften periorbital lines. Collagen stimulators are then introduced to address generalized dermal insufficiency and skin laxity. Ablative fractional lasers, MFU-V, or RF are incorporated last to refine texture, wrinkles, and dyschromia. Staging allows controlled tissue recovery, minimizes edema, and reduces risks associated with overlapping modalities.

These pathways emphasize that sequencing is not merely procedural order but a biological strategy: restoring structure before refining dynamic balance, and optimizing dermal quality only once deeper anatomy is stabilized (Table 5).

Table 5. Suggested Sequencing for Multimodal Facial Rejuvenation.

Step	Modality	Purpose	Timing
1	Botulinum toxin	Muscle vector balance, rhytide softening	Baseline (Day 0)
2	HA fillers/Collagen stimulators	Volume restoration, scaffold, biostimulation	1–2 weeks later
3	Energy-Based Devices	Skin quality, tightening, resurfacing	2–4 weeks after fillers
4	Skincare (retinoids, SPF)	Maintenance, longevity of results	Ongoing

7.6. Critical Perspective

Sequencing is not just a protocol—it is the essence of contemporary esthetic medicine philosophy. Too often, our field has been reduced to “menu-based” services, where treatments are delivered in isolation. This commodification erodes the medical foundation of esthetics.

A physician-led, multimodal paradigm restores the discipline to its rightful place: medicine that integrates science, anatomy, and artistry. The future of esthetic practice belongs not to those who adopt the newest device or filler first, but to those who synthesize modalities into coherent, evidence-based pathways tailored to each patient.

As Mauricio de Maio has emphasized, beauty is not measured in milliliters of filler but in emotional resonance. As Arthur Swift has argued, symmetry and proportion must be complemented by skin quality and expression. To this, we must add: sequencing is the choreography that transforms disparate tools into a symphony.

It is this philosophy—anchored in evidence, ethics, and holistic vision—that will define the next generation of esthetic medicine.

8. Complications and Safety in Multimodal Approaches

8.1. Safety as the Foundation of Esthetic Medicine

The evolution of esthetic medicine has been defined not only by innovation but also by safety milestones. The introduction of botulinum toxin and hyaluronic acid fillers transformed the specialty, but the widespread reports of vascular occlusion, nodules, and other complications also served as turning points that forced the field to codify prevention and management strategies [12,41]. Energy-based devices, initially marketed as “risk-free alternatives,” likewise revealed their limitations in the form of burns, scarring, and post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation.

For this reason, safety must not be seen as a defensive afterthought, but as the central philosophy of multimodal practice. In fact, the credibility of esthetic medicine in the eyes of patients, regulators, and other medical specialties depends on whether we uphold safety to the same standard as therapeutic medicine.

8.2. Complications of Core Modalities

Hyaluronic Acid Fillers

- Mild events: bruising, swelling, tenderness.
- Intermediate: Tyndall effect, nodularity, asymmetry, overcorrection.
- Severe: vascular compromise and, most feared, vision loss through ophthalmic artery embolization.

The very reversibility of HA fillers via hyaluronidase is what sets them apart as the safest filler class; yet, the small but devastating risk of blindness underscores why anatomy, aspiration, and cannula techniques are paramount [12].

Collagen Stimulators (CaHA, PLLA)

- These products carry unique risks because their efficacy depends on an inflammatory cascade. While usually controlled, this process can manifest as papules, nodules, or granulomas—sometimes delayed for months after injection. Correct dilution, deep-plane injection, and meticulous aftercare (e.g., massage in PLLA) remain essential safeguards.

Botulinum Toxin

- Considered one of the safest interventions, with decades of data from neurology and dermatology.

- Mild: headache, injection site pain.
- Moderate: brow ptosis, diplopia, asymmetric smile.
- Severe but extremely rare: dysphagia or systemic spread at very high doses.

The reassurance lies in the temporary nature of effects, but physicians must anticipate psychosocial impact—patients notice even subtle asymmetries when they involve their face.

Energy-Based Devices

- Often marketed as non-invasive and therefore “risk-free,” yet they carry their own set of complications.
- Mild: erythema, swelling, transient hyperpigmentation.
- Serious: epidermal burns, scarring, long-lasting PIH—especially in darker phototypes or when operators lack training.
- Devices that penetrate deeper (e.g., HIFU, RF microneedling) may also cause fat atrophy if parameters are misapplied.

8.3. Risks Unique to Multimodal Sequencing

Complication prevention becomes particularly important in multimodal protocols, where interactions between treatments may amplify risks if timing or technique are suboptimal. Prevention therefore relies on anatomical precision, modality-specific technique, and adherence to sequencing principles.

For fillers, the highest-risk complications—especially vascular occlusion—require mastery of angiosomal anatomy, avoidance of high-risk zones with sharp needles, preference for cannulas when indicated, slow injection technique, and immediate access to high-dose hyaluronidase. Risk increases when energy-based devices are performed too soon after filler placement; therefore, applying EBDs before fillers or allowing a minimum of 2–4 weeks between treatments reduces inflammation-related complications.

Collagen stimulators demand strict adherence to dilution protocols and deep placement to minimize nodules and granulomas. When combined with RF microneedling or ultrasound, proper sequencing and spacing reduce risks of product displacement or uneven collagen induction.

Energy-based devices may induce post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation, particularly in higher Fitzpatrick types; prevention includes conservative energy settings, preconditioning strategies, and avoidance of sun exposure. Ablative lasers require meticulous post-procedure care to minimize erythema, infection, and scarring.

Botulinum toxin complications are typically mild and transient, but vector mismanagement may cause brow or eyelid ptosis. Accurate anatomical mapping and conservative dosing in high-risk patients (e.g., preexisting eyelid laxity) reduce incidence.

When complications arise, early recognition is crucial. Vascular compromise requires immediate cessation of injection, vigorous massage, warm compresses, hyaluronidase, aspirin, and consideration of nitroglycerin or hyperbaric oxygen therapy. PIH following EBDs is managed with topical retinoids, antioxidants, and short-term hydroquinone. Toxin-related asymmetries can be corrected with precise micro-injections once full effect is established.

Multimodal rejuvenation is safest when planned as a staged, layered process rather than a single-session intervention. In this model, complication prevention is not merely reactive but an integral part of treatment design.

8.4. The Anatomical Imperative

No discussion of safety is complete without anatomy. The angiosomes of the face dictate both esthetic artistry and complication risk.

- The glabella and nasal dorsum remain the epicenters of blindness risk due to direct anastomoses with the ophthalmic artery.
- The nasolabial fold is not a benign landmark but a high-risk corridor traversed by the facial artery.
- The temple and forehead harbor superficial and deep temporal arteries that demand cannula-first approaches.

Adjuncts such as ultrasound-guided injection, cannula preference in high-risk zones, and use of small boluses under low pressure are no longer “advanced tricks”—they are becoming standards of care [42].

8.5. Prevention and Management Systems

Safety is not guaranteed by technical skill alone. It is sustained by systems of prevention and response:

- Prevention: thorough patient selection, conservative dosing, and staged interventions rather than “mega-sessions.”
- Recognition: real-time monitoring for blanching, pain, or discoloration during filler injections.
- Immediate response: standardized vascular compromise kits in every clinic, with hyaluronidase, aspirin, warm compresses, and on-call referral systems for ophthalmology.
- Long-term management: algorithms for nodules (steroids, hyaluronidase, 5-FU), scar care, and psychological support for patients who experience visible adverse events.

In esthetic medicine, preparedness is the marker of professionalism. Patients rarely judge physicians for experiencing a complication—but they will always remember how the physician responded.

8.6. Education, Training, and Culture of Safety

Complication prevention in esthetic medicine is not merely a matter of individual technical ability; it reflects a broader cultural and institutional commitment to safety. High-quality training forms the foundation of this commitment. Cadaver dissection, vascular mapping, hands-on ultrasound guidance, and structured complication-simulation exercises should be core components of specialist education, ensuring that clinicians understand not only how to perform procedures, but how to respond when challenges arise.

Equally important are system-level safeguards. Standardized checklists, filler safety algorithms, and device-specific treatment protocols help reduce variability in clinical practice and prevent cognitive overload, particularly in busy settings. These structured systems create a more predictable environment in which patient safety is consistently prioritized.

Transparency also plays a crucial role. Openly publishing and reporting adverse events—rather than concealing them—strengthens the collective knowledge of the field and helps prevent repeated errors. In a specialty that evolves rapidly, this shared learning is essential.

Ultimately, the greatest risk to patients rarely lies in a specific filler, toxin, or device. Instead, it arises when a practitioner underestimates the complexity of facial anatomy or overestimates their own skill. A culture grounded in education, systems, and transparency is therefore the most effective safeguard in modern esthetic practice.

8.7. Authoritative Perspective

The expansion of multimodal rejuvenation offers extraordinary potential but carries an implicit ethical contract: the broader the toolset, the heavier the responsibility. We must

resist the commercialization of safety as a marketing slogan (“safe, fast, painless”) and reclaim it as the core currency of medical credibility.

Esthetic medicine will not be judged by its ability to chase novelty, but by its capacity to integrate innovation with responsibility. Complications remind us that behind every syringe and every device is a patient who entrusted us with their face, identity, and confidence.

Our role as physicians is not only to restore beauty, but also to protect trust. That trust is not earned in the perfect outcome, but in the careful prevention, rapid recognition, and competent management of complications. Multimodality is powerful, but it is safety that transforms it from commercial promise into legitimate medicine.

9. Regenerative Esthetics and Emerging Frontiers

9.1. The Promise of Regeneration

The allure of regenerative esthetics lies in its claim to move beyond temporary correction and toward true biological renewal. Unlike fillers or toxins, which modulate form and function, regenerative therapies propose to reprogram tissue biology: stimulating fibroblasts, reviving stem cell niches, and reversing cellular senescence.

Growth factors, exosomes, platelet concentrates, and stem-cell derived products are all promoted as the vanguard of this paradigm. For patients and practitioners alike, the narrative is compelling: why inject something synthetic when the body itself can be the source of rejuvenation?

This framing has catalyzed a surge of commercial interest, with “stem cell facials,” “exosome boosters,” and “PRP rejuvenation” entering both clinics and marketing campaigns at astonishing speed. Yet the speed of adoption far outpaces the strength of the evidence.

9.2. Current Modalities

- Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP): Autologous concentrate of platelets that release VEGF, PDGF, and TGF- β upon activation. Demonstrated modest benefits in alopecia and wound healing, but rejuvenation data remain based on small, uncontrolled studies [43].
- Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF): A fibrin scaffold with platelets, leukocytes, and growth factors, releasing cytokines more slowly than PRP. Promising for skin quality, though evidence is limited to early-phase studies [44].
- Growth Factors (EGF, FGF, VEGF, PDGF): Marketed as stimulators of dermal regeneration, but issues of stability, penetration, and reproducibility remain. Of these, PDGF is the most biologically potent—a central regulator of fibroblast proliferation and angiogenesis [45]. Its role in pathological fibrosis and oncogenesis warrants extreme caution, and importantly, there is not a single randomized controlled trial supporting PDGF’s use in esthetic medicine.
- Exosomes: Extracellular vesicles carrying proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids. Preclinical models suggest regenerative potential [46], but clinical translation is undermined by variability in sourcing, preparation, and regulatory oversight. Their complex molecular cargo makes long-term safety unpredictable.
- Stem cell therapies: Adipose-derived stem cell (ADSC) products have been investigated for tissue repair, but remain experimental and tightly regulated. Oncological safety is not fully established [47].

9.3. Scientific Gaps and Safety Concerns

Despite their growing appeal, regenerative interventions remain scientifically fragile. The current evidence base is limited by a striking lack of randomized, adequately powered clinical trials; most published studies are open-label, uncontrolled, or involve very small

sample sizes, making it difficult to draw reliable conclusions about efficacy or safety. Furthermore, many of the proposed biological mechanisms are still speculative. Promising in vitro findings—such as enhanced fibroblast activity or improved extracellular matrix signaling—do not necessarily translate into meaningful in vivo rejuvenation, where tissue dynamics are far more complex.

This uncertainty is amplified by potential oncological considerations. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), for instance, plays a central role in proliferative signaling pathways, while exosomes carry heterogeneous cargo, including microRNAs whose downstream biological effects remain incompletely understood. Although no clinical evidence currently links esthetic use of these agents to malignancy, the absence of long-term safety data necessitates caution.

Regulatory ambiguity further complicates the landscape. Many commercially available products circumvent drug-level scrutiny by being marketed as cosmetics or supplements, despite containing biologically active components. This results in inconsistent quality, variable formulations, and a lack of standardized oversight, making it challenging for clinicians to evaluate risks and benefits responsibly.

Growth factors and exosomes have plausible biological pathways that could stimulate unwanted cell growth. Regulators remain cautious. Importantly, there is no direct evidence linking cosmetic use to malignancy, but the risk profile is entirely unknown because we lack proper trials on healthy skin. This is not about fearmongering; it is about responsible medicine.

9.4. Industry Hype vs. Medical Responsibility

The commercial narrative is seductive: “natural,” “biologic,” “regenerative.” These terms resonate deeply with patients. Yet without evidence, their use blurs the line between medicine and marketing.

Trade shows and social media amplify unproven claims, creating an environment where patients risk becoming test subjects masquerading as consumers. The key question is not whether regenerative esthetics has potential, but whether its current adoption reflects science or hype.

Is it regeneration or rebranding? At present, evidence ranges from mixed to weak to absent. Until stronger data emerge, equating plausibility with proof is misleading.

9.5. Integrating Evidence and Ethics

Regenerative therapies should not be dismissed, but integrated responsibly:

- Rigorous investigation: Standardized trials with reproducible endpoints.
- Standardization: Harmonizing PRP preparation, exosome isolation, and growth factor formulations.
- Transparency: Presenting these therapies to patients as experimental adjuncts, not as substitutes for fillers, toxins, or devices with proven efficacy.
- Long-term registries: Monitoring safety signals over years, not months.

9.6. Authoritative Perspective

This debate is not about personalities or popularity, it is about patient safety and scientific integrity. Esthetic medicine cannot afford to compromise its legitimacy by prematurely adopting biologics with unknown risks.

PDGF exemplifies the dilemma: it is among the most potent growth factors in human biology, intimately tied to proliferation pathways. Its application in esthetics without RCT evidence borders on reckless. Exosomes, with their unpredictable cargo, embody the same paradox: rich in potential, but currently a black box. To date, no clinical evidence has

linked topical or injectable aesthetic use of PDGF or exosome-based products to malignancy; however, long-term safety data are lacking, and theoretical risks based on biological mechanisms warrant caution.

Innovation is desirable. But hype without evidence is a betrayal of trust. Patients deserve medicine, not marketing. Until regenerative therapies stand on the firm ground of science, they must be practiced with humility, disclosed as experimental, and never oversold.

Ultimately, the future of esthetics will not be defined by how fast we chase novelty, but by how firmly we safeguard ethics.

10. Future Directions and Conclusions

10.1. *The Maturation of Esthetic Medicine*

Esthetic medicine is undergoing a profound evolution. What began as an industry of isolated interventions—wrinkle treatments, fillers for nasolabial folds, and single-session “lifts”—is maturing into a discipline of integrated, multimodal care. This transition parallels other medical specialties: just as oncology integrates surgery, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy, or cardiology combines pharmacology with intervention, so too must esthetics embrace a layered, systematic philosophy.

The multimodal paradigm—anchored in hyaluronic acid fillers, collagen stimulators, botulinum toxins, and energy-based devices—has already redefined standards of practice. These tools, intelligently sequenced, provide results that are not only visible but also natural, harmonious, and sustainable. The future lies in refining this integration through evidence-based sequencing, optimized dosing, and personalization to each patient’s anatomical and psychological profile.

10.2. *Scientific Priorities*

For multimodal rejuvenation to mature fully into a scientific discipline, several priorities must be addressed:

- Comparative trials: Head-to-head studies of injectables, toxins, and devices are urgently needed to guide sequencing, combinations, and maintenance strategies.
- Longitudinal registries: Multicenter, long-term safety data will strengthen credibility and help identify rare but serious complications.
- Biological endpoints: Beyond wrinkle reduction, outcomes should include validated measures of skin health, patient-reported quality of life, and psychosocial well-being.
- Education: Training programs must evolve from product-based workshops to anatomical, holistic curricula that teach integration rather than isolation.

10.3. *The Ethical Imperative*

The greatest threat to the legitimacy of esthetic medicine is not complication, but commodification. When treatments are marketed as beauty services rather than medical interventions, we risk undermining the very credibility we have worked to establish. Safety, transparency, and evidence must remain the non-negotiable pillars of practice.

Emerging frontiers such as regenerative esthetics illustrate both opportunity and risk. Growth factors, exosomes, and biologics may one day revolutionize our field—but until robust data exist, their use must be tempered with humility and candor. Patients are not experimental models. Trust is our most valuable currency, and it is earned by placing safety before novelty.

10.4. The Role of the Next Generation

The next decade belongs to physicians who can synthesize modalities into personalized, patient-centered journeys. Rather than chasing trends or devices, the leaders of tomorrow will:

- Harmonize structural, dynamic, and surface-level interventions.
- Adopt sequencing protocols rooted in biology rather than marketing.
- Uphold transparency when integrating emerging, experimental therapies.
- Redefine beauty not as a series of isolated corrections, but as a restoration of identity, expression, and confidence.

In this context, esthetic medicine is not merely about esthetics, it is about medicine in the fullest sense: evidence-based, ethical, and transformative.

10.5. Conclusions

Facial aging is a cascade of structural and biological transformations; no single modality can address it fully. A multimodal, evidence-driven approach, integrating fillers, collagen stimulators, toxins, and energy-based devices, offers the most effective path to natural, harmonious, and durable outcomes.

Yet innovation must remain anchored in science. The rapid rise of regenerative therapies serves as a reminder that hype can outpace evidence. It is our responsibility as physicians to bridge this gap, to protect patients from premature adoption, and to advance the field with rigor and humility.

The future of esthetic medicine will be defined not by how quickly we adopt new tools, but by how thoughtfully we integrate them. Multimodality is the present; responsibility is the future. Together, they can elevate esthetics from a fragmented industry to a respected medical discipline—one that patients, regulators, and society at large can trust.

11. Discussion

Facial rejuvenation has evolved from a collection of isolated techniques into a structured, biologically grounded discipline. The present review highlights that aging is not a phenomenon confined to a single layer or tissue type but a synchronized decline across skeletal, ligamentous, adipose, muscular, dermal, and epidermal structures. This layered deterioration necessitates therapeutic strategies that operate with equal anatomical complexity. The multimodal model—integrating hyaluronic acid fillers, collagen stimulators, neuromodulators, and energy-based devices—emerges as the most coherent response to this multidimensional biological process.

11.1. Summary and Interpretative Synthesis

Across reviewed literature, evidence consistently supports three overarching insights.

First, monotherapies underperform when the signs of aging span multiple planes. Fillers alone cannot restore dermal elasticity; toxins alone cannot counteract skeletal regression; and energy-based devices alone cannot correct vector imbalance or volume deficits.

Second, synergistic effects occur when modalities are sequenced logically, respecting both biological healing timelines and the hierarchical structure of the face. Neuromodulation optimizes muscular vectors for subsequent volumization; structural fillers provide scaffolding that enhances the tightening effects of ultrasound or RF; and resurfacing procedures maximize the visibility of volumetric correction by refining the skin envelope.

Third, outcomes appear most natural and durable when interventions target expression, structure, and surface concurrently—a paradigm that aligns with both patient expectations and biological plausibility.

11.2. Comparison with Existing Literature

Previous reviews have addressed individual modalities—such as HA fillers, botulinum toxin, or energy-based devices—but few have framed these interventions within a unified anatomical and philosophical model. The current review adds value by situating each modality within a layer-based, multimodal rejuvenation framework, combining classical anatomical research (Mendelson, Pessa, Rohrich, Wong, Swift, de Maio) with contemporary clinical data.

Moreover, while many publications focus on device-centric or product-centric results, the integrated approach presented here emphasizes biological logic rather than brand-driven innovations, distinguishing it from commercially influenced reviews.

11.3. Reflections on Ethical and Scientific Responsibilities

A recurring theme in this work is the tension between innovation and evidence. The rapid promotion of regenerative therapies—growth factors, exosomes, PRP/PRF, and biologics—exemplifies how esthetic medicine can easily drift toward marketing-driven enthusiasm. While their theoretical regenerative potential is compelling, the literature reveals profound gaps: a lack of randomized controlled trials, heterogeneity of preparations, absence of long-term safety data, and unclear oncological implications.

This review therefore argues that scientific rigor must precede widespread clinical adoption. Patients deserve therapies grounded in data, not novelty. The legitimacy of esthetic medicine—as a branch of applied clinical science—depends on its commitment to transparency, caution, and ethical stewardship.

11.4. Strengths and Added Value of This Review

The primary contribution of this review is the construction of a multilayered, evidence-informed treatment paradigm that synthesizes anatomy, pharmacology, device physics, and emotional esthetics. It places equal emphasis on structural correction, neuromuscular dynamics, dermal remodeling, and ethical responsibility. A further strength is the incorporation of contemporary philosophical frameworks (MD Codes, BeautiPHication, affect-driven rejuvenation) that broaden aesthetic outcomes beyond the purely morphological to include emotional and psychosocial dimensions.

11.5. Limitations

This review is narrative in nature and therefore inherits the limitations of narrative synthesis. It does not include a formal meta-analysis, nor does it attempt quantitative comparisons between modalities. The heterogeneity of available studies—ranging from RCTs to expert-driven protocols—limits the degree to which firm, universal guidelines can be drawn.

Additionally, although the review integrates a wide breadth of literature, the rapid evolution of injectables and devices means new data will continue to emerge, possibly altering optimal sequencing strategies or expanding available modalities.

Finally, while the multimodal model is biologically coherent, real-world implementation requires adapting to patient-specific variables such as budget, downtime tolerance, comorbidities, and cultural expectations.

11.6. Future Directions

Future research should prioritize:

- Well-designed comparative trials evaluating specific sequencing pathways;
- Standardized reporting of complications in multimodal contexts;
- Long-term registries for biostimulatory and regenerative therapies;

- Improved anatomical imaging to refine injection safety;
- Translational research clarifying the biological effects of emerging biologics.

Only through rigorous investigation can the field continue progressing responsibly and credibly.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.C. and J.J.; Methodology, R.C. and J.J.; Investigation and Resources (literature search and evidence synthesis), J.J. and R.C.; Writing—original draft preparation, J.J.; Writing—review and editing, R.C. and J.J.; Visualization (figures and tables), J.J.; Supervision and Project administration, R.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. This article is a narrative review and does not involve new studies with human participants or animals conducted by the authors.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. Neither author has any financial or personal relationships that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of this publication.

References

1. Mendelson, B.; Wong, C.H. Changes in the facial skeleton with aging: Implications and clinical applications in facial rejuvenation. *Aesthetic Plast. Surg.* **2012**, *36*, 753–760. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[PubMed Central](#)]
2. Gierloff, M.; Stöhring, C.; Buder, T.; Gassling, V.; Açil, Y.; Wiltfang, J. Aging changes of the midfacial fat compartments: A computed tomographic study. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **2012**, *129*, 263–273. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Rohrich, R.J.; Pessa, J.E. The retaining system of the face: Histologic evaluation of the septal boundaries of the subcutaneous fat compartments. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **2008**, *121*, 1804–1809. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Shaw, R.B., Jr.; Katzel, E.B.; Koltz, P.F.; Yaremchuk, M.J.; Girotto, J.A.; Kahn, D.M.; Langstein, H.N. Aging of the facial skeleton: Aesthetic implications and rejuvenation strategies. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **2011**, *127*, 374–383. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Gilchrist, B.A. Skin aging and photoaging: An overview. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2013**, *72*, S1–S4. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Varani, J.; Warner, R.L.; Gharaee-Kermani, M.; Phan, S.H.; Kang, S.; Chung, J.H.; Wang, Z.Q.; Datta, S.C.; Fisher, G.J.; Voorhees, J.J. Vitamin A antagonizes decreased cell growth and elevated collagen-degrading matrix metalloproteinases in naturally aged human skin. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2000**, *114*, 480–486.
7. Laurent, T.C.; Fraser, J.R. Hyaluronan. *FASEB J.* **1992**, *6*, 2397–2404. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Ghatge, A.S.; Ghatge, S.B. The effectiveness of injectable hyaluronic acid in the improvement of facial skin quality: A systematic review. *Clin. Cosmet. Investig. Dermatol.* **2023**, *16*, 891–899. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Fallacara, A.; Baldini, E.; Manfredini, S.; Vertuani, S. Hyaluronic acid in the third millennium. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 701. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Trinh, L.N.; Gupta, A.; Facial Plastic Surgery Research Group. Hyaluronic Acid Fillers for Midface Augmentation: A Systematic Review. *Facial Plast. Surg.* **2021**, *37*, 576–584. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Swift, A.; Remington, K. BeautiPHication™: A global approach to facial beauty. *Clin. Plast. Surg.* **2011**, *38*, 347–377. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Signorini, M.; Liew, S.; Sundaram, H.; De Boulle, K.L.; Goodman, G.J.; Monheit, G.; Wu, Y.; de Almeida, A.R.T.; Swift, A.; Braz, A.V. Global Aesthetics Consensus: Avoidance and Management of Complications from Hyaluronic Acid Fillers—Evidence- and Opinion-Based Review and Consensus Recommendations. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **2016**, *137*, 961e–971e. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie). *Juvéderm® VOLUX™ with Lidocaine. Directions for Use (DFU) 73651JT10*; Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie): Dublin, Ireland, 2019.
14. Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie). *Juvéderm® VOLIFT® with Lidocaine. DFU 73652JT10*; Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie): Dublin, Ireland, 2019.
15. Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie). *Juvéderm® VOLBELLA® with Lidocaine. DFU 73363JS10*; Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie): Dublin, Ireland, 2020.
16. Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie). *SKINVIVE™ by Juvéderm® (Hyaluronic Acid Injectable Gel for Intradermal Injection). DFU 20089473*; Allergan Aesthetics (AbbVie): Dublin, Ireland, 2024.
17. Berlin, A.L.; Hussain, M.; Goldberg, D.J. Calcium hydroxylapatite filler for facial rejuvenation: A histologic and immunohistochemical analysis. *Dermatol. Surg.* **2008**, *34*, S64–S67. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

18. De Melo, F.; Carrijo, A.; Hong, K.; Trumbic, B.; Vercesi, F.; Waldorf, H.A.; Zenker, S. Minimally Invasive Aesthetic Treatment of the Face and Neck Using Combinations of a PCL-Based Collagen Stimulator, PLLA/PLGA Suspension Sutures, and Cross-Linked Hyaluronic Acid. *Clin. Cosmet. Investig. Dermatol.* **2020**, *13*, 333–344. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Meçani, R.; Amiri, M.; Kadouch, J.; Sajic, D.; Lin, F.; Cheung, J.; Barrera, D.; Haroon, O.; Sil-Zavaleta, S.; Chao, Y.; et al. Combined and Hybrid Treatments of Hyaluronic Acid (HA) and Calcium Hydroxylapatite (CaHA): A Systematic Review of Mechanisms of Action, Aesthetic Effectiveness, Satisfaction, and Safety Profile. *Aesthetic Plast. Surg.* **2025**, *49*, 5292–5313. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. De Maio, M. The minimal approach: An innovation in facial aesthetic procedures. *Aesthetic Plast. Surg.* **2004**, *28*, 295–300. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Grando, S.; Zachary, C. The non-neuronal and nonmuscular effects of botulinum toxin: An opportunity for a deadly molecule to treat disease in the skin and beyond. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2018**, *178*, 1011–1019. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Sundaram, H.; Huang, P.; Hsu, N.; Huh, C.; Wu, W.; Wu, Y.; Cassuto, D.; Kersch, M.; Seo, K. Aesthetic Applications of Botulinum Toxin A in Asians: An International, Multidisciplinary, Pan-Asian Consensus. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg. Glob. Open* **2016**, *4*, e872. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. De Maio, M. MD Codes™: A Methodological Approach to Facial Aesthetic Treatment with Injectable Hyaluronic Acid Fillers. *Aesth. Plast. Surg.* **2021**, *45*, 690–709. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Salame, N.; Eber, A.E.; Dover, J. DaxibotulinumtoxinA-lanm (Daxxify™): A Comprehensive Overview. *Ski. Ther. Lett.* **2023**, *28*, 1–3. [[PubMed](#)]
25. Kassir, M.; Babaei, M.; Hasanzadeh, S.; Tavirani, R.; Razzaghi, Z.; Robati, R. Botulinum toxin applications in the lower face and neck: A comprehensive review. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2023**, *23*, 1205–1216. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Phan, K.; Younessi, S.; Dubin, D.; Lin, M.; Khorasani, H. Emerging off-label esthetic uses of botulinum toxin in dermatology. *Dermatol. Ther.* **2021**, *35*, e15205. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Haney, B. Pharmacology of Neurotoxins: Onabotulinum Toxin (Botox®), Abobotulinum Toxin (Dysport®), Incobotulinum Toxin (Xeomin®), and Prabotulinumtoxin-xvfs (Jeuveau™). In *Aesthetic Procedures: Nurse Practitioner's Guide to Cosmetic Dermatology*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2019. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Nicholson, G.; Canty, D.; Southern, A.; Whelan, K.; Brideau-Andersen, A.; Broide, R. Preclinical Evaluation of Botulinum Toxin Type E (TrenibotulinumtoxinE) Using the Mouse Digit Abduction Score (DAS) Assay. *Toxins* **2025**, *17*, 230. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Jia, X.; Feng, Y. Energy-Based Skin Rejuvenation: A Review of Mechanisms and Thermal Effects. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2024**, *24*, e16657. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Majidian, M.; Kolli, H.; Moy, R. Management of skin thinning and aging: Review of therapies for neocollagenesis; hormones and energy devices. *Int. J. Dermatol.* **2021**, *60*, 1481–1487. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Xu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, H.; Tang, H.; Zeng, W.; Wen, X. Microneedle Radiofrequency Induces Extracellular Matrix Remodeling Through Fibroblast Activation: A Histological Study in a Porcine Model. *Lasers Surg. Med.* **2025**, *57*, 528–543. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Kohl, E.; Meierhöfer, J.; Koller, M.; Zeman, F.; Klein, A.; Hohenleutner, U.; Landthaler, M.; Hohenleutner, S. Fractional carbon dioxide laser resurfacing of rhytides and photoageing: A prospective study using profilometric analysis. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2014**, *170*, 858–865. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Vachiramon, V.; Pavicic, T.; Casabona, G.; Green, J.; Levine, J.; Park, J.; Spada, J.; Muniz, M.; Akers, J.; Jackson, M.; et al. Microfocused Ultrasound in Regenerative Aesthetics: A Narrative Review on Mechanisms of Action and Clinical Outcomes. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2024**, *24*, e16658. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Gold, M.; Biesman, B.; Cohen, J.; Goldberg, D.; Guénin, S.; Schlesinger, T.; Taher, Z. Real-World Experience Using a Multi-Modality System using Intense Pulsed Light, Radiofrequency Microneedling, High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound, or Thermal Radiofrequency, 808, HIFU for Skin Rejuvenation Treatment. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2024**, *23*, 1–11. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Hrițcu, O.; Costan, V.; Toader, Ș.; Branisteanu, D.; Toader, M. From Lasers to Longevity: Exploring Energy-Based Devices as Senotherapeutic Tools in Dermatology. *Cosmetics* **2025**, *12*, 201. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. De Sanctis Pecora, C.; Corduff, N.; Carroll, J.; Muniz, M.; Sattler, S. Multimodal Treatment Combinations and Layering to Restructure the Aging Face: Recommendations from an Expert Panel. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg. Glob. Open* **2025**, *13*, e6873. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Sundaram, H.; Signorini, M.; Liew, S.; De Almeida, A.; Wu, Y.; Braz, A.; Fagien, S.; Goodman, G.; Monheit, G.; Raspaldo, H. Global Aesthetics Consensus: Botulinum Toxin Type A—Evidence-Based Review, Emerging Concepts, and Consensus Recommendations for Aesthetic Use, Including Updates on Complications. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **2015**, *137*, 518–529. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Costa, A.; Barcellos, F.; Mariano, M.; Santos, E.; Queiroz, L.; Santos, E.; De Melo, V.; Santos, S.; Farias, L.; De Paula, A.; et al. Transepidermal Delivery of Calcium Hydroxyapatite (CaHA) Microneedling: A Novel Approach for Inducing Collagen Types III and IV. *Biomedicines* **2025**, *13*, 2463. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Chao, Y. A Single-visit Approach Using Fillers and Incobotulinumtoxin A: Full Face Enhancement in Asian Patients. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg. Glob. Open* **2018**, *6*, e1909. [[CrossRef](#)]

40. Swift, A.; Remington, B. The Mathematics of Facial Beauty. In *Injectable Fillers*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2019. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
41. Di Santis, É.; Hirata, S.; Di Santis, G.; Yarak, S. Adverse effects of the aesthetic use of botulinum toxin and dermal fillers on the face: A narrative review. *An. Bras. Dermatol.* **2024**, *100*, 87–103. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
42. Vasconcelos-Berg, R.; Desyatnikova, S.; Bonavia, P.; Chammas, M.; Navarini, A.; Sigrist, R. Best Practices for the Use of High-Frequency Ultrasound to Guide Aesthetic Filler Injections—Part 2: Middle Third of the Face, Nose, and Tear Troughs. *Diagnostics* **2024**, *14*, 2544. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Wu, W.; Chen, L.; Chen, K. Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP): Molecular Mechanisms, Actions and Clinical Applications in Human Body. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2025**, *26*, 10804. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
44. Majewska, L.; Kijowski, J.; Dorosz, K. Developing a Reproducible Procedure for Optimal Utilization of Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) and Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) in Aesthetic Treatments: Efficacy Evaluation Using Ultrasound Imaging—A Single-Center Prospective Open-Label Randomized Study. *Dermatol. Ther.* **2024**, *2024*, 8649287. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
45. Husein El Hadmed, H.; Castillo, R.F. Cosmeceuticals: Peptides, proteins, and growth factors. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2016**, *15*, 514–519. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
46. Trovato, F.; Ceccarelli, S.; Michelini, S.; Vespasiani, G.; Guida, S.; Galadari, H.; Nisticò, S.; Colonna, L.; Pellacani, G. Advancements in Regenerative Medicine for Aesthetic Dermatology: A Comprehensive Review and Future Trends. *Cosmetics* **2024**, *11*, 49. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
47. Gentile, P.; Alves, R.; Cole, J.; Andjelkov, K.; Van Helmelryck, T.; Fernandez, J.; Trivisonno, A.; Guillaume, L.; Verpaele, A.; Tonnard, P.; et al. AIRMESS—Academy of International Regenerative Medicine & Surgery Societies: Recommendations in the use of platelet-rich plasma (PRP), autologous stem cell-based therapy (ASC-BT) in androgenetic alopecia and wound healing. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* **2021**, *21*, 1443–1449. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.