Novel PolyPropylene–Sargassum Particles Composites: Evaluation of Thermal and Thermomechanical Properties
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Abstract: Sargassum is a type of marine algae that has caused environmental problems in Mexico because it arrives in high quantities along the Mexican coast, especially in the Mexican Caribbean. This situation has become an environmental and economic problem, impacting tourism and other activities. As a result, it is reasonable to try to find an application for these algae. Recently, some applications in civil construction, cosmetics, and the food industry, among others, have been reported. The present work evaluates the thermal and structural properties of new polypropylene (PP)–Sargassum-based composites. Also, the effect of adding calcium stearate (CS) to increase the interaction between PP and Sargassum particles was investigated. PP–Sargassum particle composites were prepared by a melt mixing process, and the properties of these composites were evaluated using thermal techniques such as dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The DMA results showed that composites with low concentrations of Sargassum particles perform better than those with higher concentrations. When CS was added to composites, there was a significant improvement in storage modulus compared with composites without CS. This was attributed to the good adhesion of the particles to the matrix because the mobility of macromolecules increased in the presence of CS. The thermal stability of PP–Sargassum particle composites decreases when the amount of particles increases, and the addition of CS does not positively affect the thermal behavior of composites. The findings open the possibility of using Sargassum particles in new applications of these algae as a polymer additive to generate sustainable materials.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the use of lignocellulosic materials has generated growing interest not only in the search to take advantage of materials that do not have an application for industrial applications but also as an option to improve the physicochemical properties of different types of matrices, with polymers being the most studied materials. One of the reasons to search for applications for lignocellulosic materials from natural origin is to produce sustainable materials that use these kinds of renewable materials. Some areas that have captured interest are applications of lignocellulosic materials in composites such as in the automotive industry, pavements, the construction industry, furniture, and packing. Also, several research groups have studied the mechanical properties of polymer composites filled with agricultural residues [1–8]. The fabrication of advanced composites with organic materials can generate excellent strength and thermal and moisture resistance. Although natural fibers cannot provide as much strength as synthetic fibers, they can achieve an acceptable strength level.

Natural fibers mainly consist of cellulose, hemicelluloses, pectin, lignin, and some other polysaccharides. In addition to their biodegradability and renewability, natural fibers and...
powders are cheaper, lighter, and more resistant per unit mass than typical inorganic fillers. There are examples of lignocellulosic fillers or reinforcers from multiple origins, such as sugarcane bagasse, jute, sisal, hemp, cotton, rice husks, cocoa pod husks, hazelnut shells, and wood. These materials from biomass can be introduced into various thermoplastic polymers, such as polyvinyl chloride (PVC), poly(lactic acid), polyethylene, and PP, and thermosetting resins, such as polysulfones and epoxy resins and rubbers. Reference [2] reports improvements in physicochemical properties and, in some cases, biodegradation characteristics.

The genus *Sargassum* has received increasing attention since 1985 due to the accumulation of growth on the Caribbean coasts that arrives from the north equatorial Atlantic and coast of Africa. This kind of algae has four subgenera: *Sargassum*, *Arthrophycus*, *Bartrophycus*, and *Phyllotrichia*. The subgroup *Sargassum* is the most diverse subgroup, and it is divided into three sections: *Zygocarpicae*, *Malacocarpicae*, and *Acanthocarpicae*. In Mexico, there are two species of *Sargassum*, *S. fluitans* and *S. natans*, which are the most abundant in the Mexican Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico. Governments have taken the initiative to minimize the damage caused by these algae due to the serious environmental damage and the considerable impact on the tourism industry. Due to the above, several research groups have sought options for using this type of algae. The extraction of nanocellulose and civil construction are the most explored areas, and even domestic areas, such as fertilizers and the food industry, have also been reported [9,10]. Despite the use of *Sargassum* by some research groups, there has not been any recorded use of *Sargassum* in Tamaulipas, Mexico. *Sargassum* is mainly composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and considerable amounts of alginate, components that have a high degree of crystallinity [11,12]. Recently, the use of *Sargassum* as an additive in a styrene–butadiene–styrene (SBS) copolymer matrix was reported by our research group. We found an improvement in the mechanical properties, which can be an opportunity to use this kind of algae as a bio-additive for composites in the shoe industry [13].

The mechanical properties of a composite depend on the filler/matrix interface. This interface can be improved with the addition of coupling agents or by using surface treatments [14–18]. Calcium stearate (CS) is a chemical product that is mainly used as a slip agent in PVC. Also, it is a lubricating agent, an acid scavenger or neutralizer, and a release agent in other kinds of polymers, including polyolefins. CS has already been used as an additive in previous studies of polyolefins, and references [19,20] reported an improvement in the wear resistance and the tensile and thermal properties of materials, but it has not been used to enhance stiffness or rigidity. According to FTIR results, other researchers report that CS acts as a pro-oxidant and generates chemical changes in PP resin when exposed to environmental conditions [21]. Other work reports using CS as a soap, and positive results were obtained. Also, an interesting dissociation of an ionic cluster, modifying the crystallinity behavior, was reported when PP was heated [22]. Most reports used CS as a lubricant or surfactant to improve the compatibility of CaCO₃ and PP. However, there are no reports of using this additive with lignocellulosic materials.

The main problem with using natural fibers or particles is their nature. Thermoplastic polymers are hydrophobic, and natural materials are hydrophilic. However, there are several ways to improve their interaction. One of the most used is the chemical treatment of the fibers or the polymeric matrix. For lignocellulosic materials, a treatment with basic solutions is a good option [23]. In the case of polymers, a graft reaction on polyolefins and maleic anhydride modification, or a combination of both options, have been reported. Using a combination of CS and grafted PP with maleic anhydride improved the mechanical properties of the composites [24]. In another work, the effect of CS-coated CaCO₃ nanoparticles on the crystallization process was reported, and it was found that a nucleating effect generated by a monolayer causes an increase in crystallinity [25]. The use of other ester additives was reported to have a positive effect on the crystallinity of PP [26]. The use of silane compounds as graft additives was also reported to improve the interaction between the natural materials and the polymer matrix because there is a better interfacial interaction
between materials. This positively affected tensile strength, tensile modulus, and impact properties, among others [27].

In the present investigation, we report using Sargassum particles in a PP matrix and evaluate the thermal properties of PP–Sargassum composites. Also, CS was added with the aim of improving the interaction between Sargassum particles and PP. The PP–Sargassum composites were prepared by a melt-mixing process, and the composite properties were evaluated by means of DMA, FTIR, TGA, DSC, and XRD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Sargassum (S. nattans kind) was collected from Miramar Beach in the city of Madero, Tamaulipas. The algae were repeatedly washed with water to remove salts and other impurities. Subsequently, the Sargassum was dried for a day. Once dry, it was ground by milling with an IKA A11 Analytical (Wilmington, NC, USA) and sieved with a #80 mesh screen (JPLAB, Guangzhou, China) to obtain a homogeneous particle size of 177 microns. Calcium stearate (CS) was obtained from Quimica Suastes, S.A. de C.V. (Mexico City, Mexico), Polypropylene Profax® was donated by Indelpro S.A. de C.V. (Altamira, Mexico). The polymer density was 0.9 g/cm³ with a melt flow index of 25 g/10 min (according to ASTM D1238).

2.2. Composite Preparations

The PP–Sargassum particle composites were prepared using a Brabender plastograph (Diusburg, Germany) internal mixer with roller blades. The PP, Sargassum particles, and CS were mixed in a tray, and the composites were mixed for 15 min at 80 rpm and 180 °C. Table 1 reports the Sargassum particle contents in composites from 2 to 10 phr (parts per hundred of resin) and the codes used for sample identification. The CS content was kept fixed at 1% wt. Then, the blend was molded by a compression process in a hydraulic press (DAKE, Grand Haven, MI, USA) at 215 °C with a ramp of 0, 5, and 10 tons, with 5 min for each step. Finally, the samples were allowed to cool down to room temperature for another 5 min; then, samples were taken for each characterization.

Table 1. Composition and nomenclature of PP–Sargassum particle and PP–Sargassum particle–CS composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sargassum Particle Concentration</th>
<th>Unmodified</th>
<th>Modified with CS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 PHR *</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 PHR *</td>
<td>PP-2S</td>
<td>PP-2S-CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 PHR *</td>
<td>PP-4S</td>
<td>PP-4S-CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PHR *</td>
<td>PP-6S</td>
<td>PP-6S-CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 PHR *</td>
<td>PP-8S</td>
<td>PP-8S-CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 PHR *</td>
<td>PP-10S</td>
<td>PP-10S-CS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PHR: parts per hundred of resin.

2.3. Composite Characterization Methods

FTIR analysis was conducted using an infrared spectrometer, Perkin Elmer (Waltham, MA, USA) Spectrum One model, equipped with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory with a ZnSe plate. The analyses were recorded with 12 scans in the wavelength range from 4000 to 600 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

A DMA test was performed using DMA equipment, TA Instruments (New Castle, DE, USA) Q800 model, using a dual cantilever clamp. The temperature range evaluated was from −40 °C to 150 °C, and an ACS3 accessory was used for cooling; the heating rate was 5 °C/min with a frequency of 1 Hz.

TGA was carried out using a TA Instruments (New Castle, DE, USA) model Q600. The sample weight was about 10 ± 2 mg, platinum crucibles were used, and the temperature range was from 30 to 600 °C, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min under a nitrogen atmosphere with a flow rate of 100 mL/min.
DSC characterization was conducted using a Perkin Elmer (Waltham, MA, USA) model DSC 8000. The sample size was about 10 ± 2 mg, and sealed aluminum pans were used. The samples were first heated from −20 to 250 °C with a heating rate of 20 °C/min and then kept at 250 °C for 5 min. After that, a cooling step was carried out at a rate of 20 °C/min until −20 °C was reached. Then, the sample was kept for another 5 min at this temperature. Finally, a heating process was carried out from −20 to 250 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The data collected (∆H_m and Tm) from this cycle were used to calculate the degree of crystallinity (Xc) using Formula (1):

\[
X_c = \left( \frac{\Delta H_m}{\Delta H^\circ} \right) \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

where ∆H_m is the melting enthalpy per mass unit of PP, calculated from the area under the melting peak for PP and the composites. ∆H^\circ is the melting enthalpy per mass unit of the 100% crystalline PP; it has a value of 207 J/g [3].

XRD characterization was conducted using a Bruker diffractometer (Billeric, MA, USA), D8 Advance model. The scan range was between 5 and 40 degrees on a 2θ scale with CuKα radiation (λ = 0.15405 nm). The equipment was operated at 40 kV and 40 mA at a rate of 0.02 s⁻¹. The crystallinity degree was calculated from XRD data by considering the total crystalline and amorphous areas of the diffractogram according to Equation (2):

\[
X_c = \left[ \frac{A_c}{A_c + A_a} \right] \times 100\% \tag{2}
\]

where Ac represents the area of crystalline peaks and Aa represents the area of the amorphous phase in PP and composites.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization by FTIR

Figure 1a shows the FTIR spectra of PP, PP-2S, and PP-10S composites. The aim was to evaluate the effect of low and high Sargassum particle content. The IR spectra for all the prepared composites are included in Supplementary Information (Figures S3 and S4). In the PP IR spectra, it is possible to identify the characteristic signals attributed to the backbone of the polymer: C-H stretching at 3000–2800 cm⁻¹, -CH₂ bending at 1450 cm⁻¹, and -CH₃ bending and symmetric deformations at 1370 and 1160 cm⁻¹[28–30]. Also, for PP-2S and PP-10S composite spectra, in addition to the signals attributed to PP, it is possible to identify the presence of signals attributed to OH and C=O groups at 1700 and 1630 cm⁻¹ and at 1260 cm⁻¹ from carboxylic groups present in hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin present in Sargassum signals. This corroborates the presence of Sargassum particles in the PP matrix [29–32].

On the other hand, the FTIR spectra of PP, PP-2S-CS, and PP-10S-CS composites are depicted in Figure 1b, which shows the same signals as PP–Sargassum without CS, but the peak at 1750 cm⁻¹, attributed to C=O group of hemicellulose, alginate, lignin, and cellulose present in Sargassum [29–32], shows higher intensity, which can be associated with a greater presence of those compounds. Another signal that became more evident is the peak around 1600 cm⁻¹, which can be associated with a carboxylate signal from alginate, which is present in Sargassum [29,33]. In addition, the peak attributed to the OH groups (3300 cm⁻¹) becomes more evident in composites with CS. This can be related to the presence of Sargassum particles in the PP matrix, corroborating the benefit of adding CS because there is a better interaction between the Sargassum particles and the PP matrix. This is mainly due to the calcium ions interacting with components of Sargassum, mainly alginate, forming interactions that allow Sargassum to have an attraction with PP chains and a possible increase in the mobility of polymer chains; there is not a chemical reaction between them. Other reports found that a peak at 1740 cm⁻¹ is associated with ester groups of lubricant agents that can react with coupling agents used to improve the interaction between the PP matrix and lignocellulosic materials [35], indicating that these interactions diminish the mechanical behavior of composites.
CS because there is a better interaction between the sargassum particles and the PP matrix. This is mainly due to the calcium ions interacting with components of sargassum, mainly alginate, forming interactions that allow sargassum to have an attraction with PP chains [34] and a possible increase in the mobility of polymer chains; there is not a chemical reaction between them. Other reports found that a peak at 1740 cm$^{-1}$ is associated with ester groups of lubricant agents that can react with coupling agents used to improve the interaction between the PP matrix and lignocellulosic materials [35], indicating that these interactions diminish the mechanical behavior of composites.

Figure 1. (a) FTIR spectra of PP-S composites. (b) FTIR spectra of PP-S-CS composites.

3.2. Dynamic Mechanical Properties of Composites

Figures 2 and 3 show the DMA thermograms of the storage modulus (E$'$) and tan $\delta$, respectively, for the neat PP and the PP-S and PP-S-CS composites. Figure 2a shows DMA thermograms of the storage modulus for all PP-S composites without CS. It can be observed that the addition of Sargassum particles has a positive effect on the storage modulus because the value of the storage modulus increases, and it reaches its highest value for the composite with a Sargassum particle content of 4 phr. Then, the storage modulus decreases as the Sargassum content increases. An increase in the value of the storage modulus is associated with good adhesion between Sargassum particles and the PP matrix, reflected in the higher stiffness compared to neat PP. This indicates the reinforcing effect of Sargassum particles [36–38], which is due to the chain mobility decreasing when Sargassum particles are present, as these provide a higher capacity to support stress without deformation [39–41].
reinforcing effect of sargassum particles \[36–38\], which is due to the chain mobility decreasing when sargassum particles are present, as these provide a higher capacity to support stress without deformation \[39–41\].

On the other hand, Figure 2b not only shows that the storage modulus for PP-S-CS composites increased compared to that for neat PP and PP-S composites without CS but also shows that the storage modulus is much higher compared to that for those composites, reaching a higher storage modulus value for composites with lower Sargassum content, and this value decreases when Sargassum increases. This increase in storage modulus is indicative of a material that has a better capacity to support stress without deformation. So, as discussed previously, the presence of Sargassum particles decreases the polymer chains’ mobility. In another way, the increased value of the storage modulus and subsequent decrease thereof are attributed to the fact that there is a saturation of Sargassum particles in the PP matrix. This can be discussed and corroborated with a discussion of tan \(\delta\) signal. The significant increase in storage modulus can be due to the CS reducing friction due to its lubricant effect, increasing the reinforcing effect imparted by Sargassum particles \[18\]. So, according to these results, we need to consider that there is a percolation limit to the amount of particles that can be added to obtain a positive reinforcing effect because, with
high Sargassum particle content, there is an agglomeration of particles that is identified in the vitreous region, causing the storage modulus and the composite stiffness to decrease.

Figure 3. DMA thermograms: (a) tan (δ) of PP-S composites; (b) tan δ of PP-S-CS composites.

Figure 3a,b show the tan δ curves for PP-S and PP-S-CS composites, and two main transitions can be observed. The first transition is around 10 °C at the maximum of the tan δ peak, which is associated with glass transition temperature in polymers. It can be observed that the value of the maximum temperature peak does not show significant changes. The Tg values are similar for PP and PP–Sargassum composites, so the addition of particles does not have a significant effect on this property. On the other hand, it can be observed that all PP–Sargassum composites without CS have a reduction in their tan δ peak signal in comparison to the neat PP. This behavior is indicative of the restrained mobility of the polymer chain and indicates that the stiffness of the materials increased. Hence, the interfacial adhesion of the particles to the polymeric matrix was enhanced [20]. The second transition at around 80 °C is associated with the crystalline phase of the polymer. It reflects the laminar and rotational slip mechanism of ordered chains, which in this case decreases the quotient of tan δ for PP–Sargassum composites, indicating that there is high molecular
3.3. Thermal Behavior of Composites (TGA and DSC)

The TGA technique is widely used to evaluate the thermal stability of polymers and composites. Figure 4 shows the TGA thermograms for Sargassum particles. It shows that initially, weight loss is associated with moisture loss from the particles between 50 and 150 °C. After that, the DTG curve allows us to observe two main decomposition steps of Sargassum particles. The first is at around 260 °C and is attributed to hemicellulose, alginate, lignin, and pectin compounds [36,42]. The second one is at about 320 °C, and it is associated with cellulose decomposition. These results differ slightly from those of a previous work that reported that the thermal decomposition of Sargassum starts at a lower temperature. The difference is mainly associated with the kind of Sargassum. This previous work reported on the red kind, while our work studied the brown kind. According to Figure 5, the residue is around 30% wt, which is similar to the values reported before [43].

![Figure 4. TGA and DTGA thermograms of Sargassum particles.](image)

Figure 5 shows the degradation of the composites as a function of the temperature. It is possible to identify that PP–Sargassum composites start their decomposition at lower temperatures compared with pristine PP. This behavior is associated with the presence of organic material, as discussed previously. It shows decomposition between 250 and 350 °C. So, the presence of Sargassum particles decreased the thermal stability of the composites. This behavior was also noted by Nayak et al. [20] and Wechsler-Pizarro et al. [44] with the addition of lignocellulosic material into PP composites. Additionally, all composites have a wt% residue larger than the neat PP because the interaction between the particles and the matrix has an insulating effect of the residual char that protects the remaining composite from further thermal degradation, as reported previously [18,45]. On the other side, when CS is added to PP–Sargassum particle composites, the behavior does not show a significant
change. The onset in TG curves starts at a lower temperature, indicating that the material is losing weight before the neat PP, which is related to the decrease in thermal stability.

Figure 5. TGA thermograms: (a) weight loss thermogram of PP-S composites; (b) weight loss thermogram of PP-S-CS composites.

Using the derivative curve of TGA, it is possible to identify the main decomposition step at around 450 °C for PP and PP-Sargassum particle composites without and with CS. The Supplementary Information includes the DTGA curves for PP-S and PP-S-CS composites. Table 2 reports the values of the average decomposition temperature and decomposition rate (d(w%/°C)). It can be seen that the addition of CS caused the average decomposition temperature to increase slightly; the average decomposition temperatures of the composites without CS remain unaffected. In terms of the degradation rate, for composites in which only Sargassum particles were added, only PP-2S showed a significant reduction, meaning that the composite lost weight slowly compared with pristine PP, and this also can be associated with the composite reinforcement. For the composites in which CS was added, only those with high CS concentrations (PP-8S-CS and PP-10S-CS) showed a significant reduction in their decomposition rate.
Typical DSC thermogram for pristine PP. 

Figure 6. Typical DSC thermogram for pristine PP. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>T_d (°C)</th>
<th>d(w%/°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>2.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-2S</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>2.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-4S</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>2.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-6S</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>2.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-8S</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>2.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-10S</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>2.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-2S-CS</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>2.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-4S-CS</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>3.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-6S-CS</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>3.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-8S-CS</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>2.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-10S-CS</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>2.545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Decomposition temperature and derivative value of decomposition for PP-S and PP-S-CS composites.

Figure 6 shows the typical DSC thermogram for pristine PP where all the thermal transitions can be observed in the first heating cycle; the melting, which was not used to report the ΔH_m, the quenching cycle; the crystallization, where the ΔH_c was calculated; and the second heating cycle from which the ΔH_m for pristine PP and all PP-S and PP-S-CS composites was taken. The melting temperature (T_m), crystallization temperature (T_c), and crystallinity degree (X_c) of the composites are reported in Table 3. T_m and T_c are considered to be the peaks of the melting and crystallization temperatures, respectively; X_c was calculated using Formula (1).

The values of T_m and T_c for PP are similar to those previously reported in the literature, 165 °C and 112 °C, respectively [3]. The T_m value was slightly reduced for PP-S composites with variation around 3–4 °C, which can be considered insignificant, while T_c was slightly reduced for PP-S composites. The addition of CS did not have an important effect on T_m and T_c values. In general, it can be observed that the crystallization process is not significantly affected by the presence of Sargassum particles. However, the crystallinity degree decreases when Sargassum particles are added, and there is no change in this tendency with the addition of CS. In fact, the lowest X_c value was found for the composites with CS added. This behavior can be attributed to the presence of Sargassum particles interrupting the order and crystallization of the PP matrix [20]. A different behavior was reported by Rojas-Lema et al. [46]. They found that this was due to the addition of additives such as compatibilizers increasing the interfacial adhesion of lignocellulosic particles and the polymeric matrix increasing the crystallinity.
Table 3. $T_m$, $T_c$, $\Delta H_m$, $\Delta H_c$, and $X_c$ values for PP-S and PP-S-CS composites obtained from DSC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_m$ (J/g)</th>
<th>$T_c$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_c$ (J/g)</th>
<th>$X_c$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>61.101</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>78.24</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-2S</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>63.797</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>83.276</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-4S</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>59.073</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>76.879</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-6S</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>58.371</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>79.961</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-8S</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>67.527</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>83.204</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-10S</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>56.328</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>74.459</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-2S-CS</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>51.310</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>73.749</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-4S-CS</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>62.517</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>81.427</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-6S-CS</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>58.013</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>74.045</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-8S-CS</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>54.190</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>69.247</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-10S-CS</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>44.010</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>58.066</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results show a different behavior from what was previously reported by our research group [37,41]. In those studies, we found $T_c$ values of around 124 °C and $T_m$ values of approximately 174 °C. The main difference concerns the melt flow of the PP matrix. Additionally, the reported $X_c$ value is higher than the values found in those works (by around 40%). This is indicative that the crystallinity and the crystallization process of PP composites depend on the type of lignocellulosic source because the content of cellulose, lignin, and hemicellulose can vary. In the case of Sargassum, some other polysaccharides are present in its chemical composition, such as pectin, xylan, xanthan, alginic acids, and chitin [31]. Furthermore, the PP melt flow value also plays an important role in these properties.

3.4. Characterization of Composites by XRD

The X-ray diffraction patterns of the composites are depicted in Figure 7, and the crystallinity degree results are summarized in Table 4. The crystallinity degree was calculated with Formula (2) (Section 2.3).

In Figure 7a, it is possible to identify the characteristic peaks for PP at 20 14°, 17°, 18°, and 26°. These are related to the monoclinic structure of the α form of PP with the planes (110), (040), (130), and (060) [47]. Additionally, peaks at 21.5° and 22.5°, due to the planes $\alpha$ (111) + $\beta$ (311) and $\alpha$ (311) + $\beta$ (041), are also characteristic of PP [48]. For the PP-S composite, it is possible to identify a displacement to lower angles. This indicates that Sargassum particles penetrate the PP structure and increase the basal space in the polymer chains. The addition of CS (Figure 7b) does not have a considerable effect on the characteristic peaks observed for PP–Sargassum composites.

Table 4 shows that only low-concentration composites have a higher crystallinity than neat PP. The same can be observed for composites with CS. The peaks of the composites are located at the same angles as the peaks of PP (14°, 17°, 18°, and 22°). As in the DSC tests, increasing the Sargassum particle concentration caused the composites to have lower crystallinity values. According to the results, only lower Sargassum particle contents cause the crystallinity to increase, and higher contents, up to 4 phr, have a negative effect on the crystallinity percentage. There are reports that the addition of CS generates vitreous agglomerates with a lamella-ordered structure, which means that the crystallinity process is affected by the presence of a CS-generated $\beta$-phase, which is not the case in our work [22].

The observed crystallinity content of PP is lower than that in other reports [49]. The main difference is in the lower melt flow index value for the PP used. The lower value of this property is associated with higher molecular weight and poor mobility of polymer chains. In contrast, when the melt flow value is higher, the PP matrix has better molecular mobility, reflecting a decrease in crystallinity.
Figure 7. (a) X-ray patterns for PP and PP-S composites. (b) X-ray patterns for PP-S-CS composites.

Table 4. Calculation of crystallinity grade for PP-S and PP-S-CS composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Ac</th>
<th>Ac + Aa</th>
<th>Xc, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>5015.65</td>
<td>19,198.02</td>
<td>26.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-2S</td>
<td>8087.94</td>
<td>27,034.82</td>
<td>29.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-4S</td>
<td>5215.40</td>
<td>18,659.35</td>
<td>27.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-6S</td>
<td>4743.28</td>
<td>20,630.02</td>
<td>22.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-8S</td>
<td>4778.30</td>
<td>19,920.89</td>
<td>23.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-10S</td>
<td>3863.36</td>
<td>18,647.51</td>
<td>20.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-2S-CS</td>
<td>7443.70</td>
<td>26,201.84</td>
<td>28.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-4S-CS</td>
<td>11,462.16</td>
<td>36,177.35</td>
<td>31.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-6S-CS</td>
<td>2597.79</td>
<td>17,093.53</td>
<td>15.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-8S-CS</td>
<td>4778.30</td>
<td>19,920.89</td>
<td>23.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-10S-CS</td>
<td>8520.59</td>
<td>34,214.37</td>
<td>24.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

The present work opens a new possibility for using *Sargassum* particles as a natural additive in a polymer matrix. It is an interesting and economically and environmentally attractive option because these particles in low content can enhance the properties of the polymer matrix and reduce the production cost of adding commercial fillers. Furthermore,
this method can help solve a significant problem on the Caribbean coast caused by *Sargassum*. The results clearly show that using CS as an additive enhanced the adhesion of the *Sargassum* particles to the PP matrix, as shown by the FTIR results. The DMA results show that the addition of *Sargassum* particles at low content has a positive effect on storage modulus, improving rigidity. Still, when CS is added, there is a significant increase, more than twice compared with that of PP–*Sargassum* composites without CS. This is attributed to the mobility of the polymer chains that decreases when *Sargassum* particles are added. The PP–*Sargassum* composites without and with CS show lower thermal stability, beginning their decomposition at around 250 °C, which is lower than pristine PP. The DSC and XRD results agree and show that, for lower concentrations, a higher crystallinity than that of neat PP can be achieved. *Sargassum*-reinforced composites are recommended for industrial applications as long as the concentrations of *Sargassum* particles are kept low, i.e., 2 phr. To achieve even better properties, the addition of CS is recommended. In this case, the best formulation found in this study was at 4 phr with 1%wt of CS.

Other studies revealed that the mechanical properties depend on the filler/matrix interface. For this reason, further studies on the chemical treatment of the surface of the *Sargassum* particles may show a way to improve the interaction between the polymer matrix and particles.
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