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Spartina alterniflora Invasion Enhances Dissimilatory Nitrate Reduction to Ammonium (DNRA) Rates in the Yangtze River Estuary, China
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Abstract: Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) can save N by converting nitrate into ammonium and avoiding nitrate leaching and runoff in saltmarshes. However, little is known about the effects of invasive plants on DNRA in the upper and deeper soil layers in salt marshes. Here, we investigated DNRA rates in the soils of six different depth layers (0–5, 5–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–50, and 50–100 cm) from the invasive Spartina alterniflora marshland, two native plants Scirpus mariqueter and Phragmites australis marshlands, and bare mudflat on Chongming Island, located in the Yangtze River Estuary, China. Our results show that S. alterniflora significantly increased DNRA rates in both the upper 50 cm soil and deeper 50–100 cm soil layers. With respect to the entire soil profile, the NO$_3^-$ reduction content calculated from DNRA in S. alterniflora marshland was 502.84 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, increased by 47.10%, 49.42%, and 38.57% compared to bare mudflat, S. mariquete, and P. australis, respectively. Moreover, NO$_3^-$ reduction content from the 50–100 cm soil layers was almost identical to that in the upper 50 cm of the soil. In the month of May, DNRA is primarily regulated by SO$_4^{2-}$ and pH in the upper and deeper soil layers, respectively, whereas, in the month of October, soil pH accounted for the most variables of DNRA in both the upper and deeper soil layers. Altogether, these results from a new perspective confirm that S. alterniflora invasion increases soil N pool and may further push its invasion in salt marshes, and the importance of deeper soil in nitrogen cycling cannot be ignored.
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1. Introduction

Nitrogen (N) is the main limiting factor for plant growth and net primary productivity in wetlands [1]. However, almost 50% of the N used for production is lost to the environment [2,3]. The nitrification process can convert ammonium (NH$_4^+$) into nitrate (NO$_3^-$) via soil microbes, which may accelerate the NO$_3^-$ leaching and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O, a very important long-lived greenhouse gas) emissions [4–6]. Compared to NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$ has a positive charge, which is less likely to be lost through gaseous emissions or leaching and is more readily absorbed by plants due to it having a lower energy requirement [7]. Therefore, the rapid conversion of NO$_3^-$ to NH$_4^+$ may play a key role in the transformation of N conversion in ecosystems [8]. Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) increases the pool of NH$_4^+$ and decreases the size of the NO$_3^-$ pool, which can provide more NH$_4^+$ for assimilation and absorption by primary producers [9–12]. The DNRA is often ignored in the study of soil N pool in salt marshes, but it has been shown to play an
important role in grassland, agriculture, and forest, where DNRA accounted for 0~54%, 3.9~25.4%, and 41.6~75% of NO$_3^-$ reduction, respectively [13–15]. Additionally, research on DNRA in salt marshes under different habitat types has not been well studied.

Salt marshes, which are located in the transitional zones between land and sea, can provide unique ecosystem services such as flood mitigation, carbon storage, and wildlife production [16]; however, salt marshes are fragile ecosystems vulnerable to the invasive species *Spartina alterniflora* (*S. alterniflora*), which is a species native to the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of North America [17]. *S. alterniflora* was intentionally introduced to China in the 1970s for accentuating sediment accumulation and forming land, planted in several locations in the 1980s, extensively spread in the 1990s, and now has widely spread throughout the country’s coastline due to its high ecological adaptability [18–20]. Numerous studies have reported that *S. alterniflora* invasion significantly impacts soil key N cycle by increasing the total N stocks in the tissues and the soil [21], enhancing the ecosystem N pools [22] and altering microbial community structure and soil physicochemical properties [23].

There exists little data about the effects of the invasive species on the DNRA in salt marshes. Few papers were found involving the effects of *S. alterniflora* invasion on DNRA, which are helpful to understand the importance of exotic plant invasion on nitrogen cycling in salt marshes [24–27]; however, these studies are limited to upper soil layers between 0 and 50 cm, and no studies have been reported on DNRA in deeper soil layers. Studies in other ecosystems have shown that the role of the N cycle in deeper soil layers cannot be ignored [28–30]. Salt marshes are one of the most important blue carbon ecosystems [31], and investigations of carbon stocks in these ecosystems generally consider a fixed soil depth, usually a 0–100 cm layer [32]. Moreover, N leaching also affects deeper layers through tidal and subsurface runoff and the root biomass of *S. alterniflora* is higher than that of native species in the 0–100 cm soil profile [21,33]. Thus, studies focusing on *S. alterniflora* invasion on DNRA at the soil profile to a depth of 100 cm are generally considered appropriate for obtaining an overall understanding of the DNRA process in the salt marsh ecosystem.

Substrate, carbon source, oxygen content, and pH are recognized as the key important factors affecting DNRA rates [34]. Previous studies have shown that there are some differences in the terms of the physical and chemical properties between *S. alterniflora* and native plants. Notably, the root biomass of *S. alterniflora* in the 0–100 cm soil layer is higher than that of native plants, which benefits *S. alterniflora* to improve root respiration and transport more oxygen to the soil. In addition, the roots of *S. alterniflora* can also contribute to the secretion of organic acids that lower soil pH and alter soil carbon and nitrogen pools [22]. Compared with indigenous plants, *S. alterniflora* also has a stronger siltation-promoting ability, which helps the burial of the surface soil to deeper soil layers. Therefore, we assumed that DNRA rates in *S. alterniflora* soils were significantly higher than those in other habitat types both at the upper soil layers (0–50 cm) and deeper soil layer (50–100 cm). To test our hypothesis, we conducted a field study to investigate whether differences in DNRA in the 0–100 cm soil profile exist between *S. alterniflora* and native species in Chongming Island, located in the Yangtze River Estuary, China.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Study Site and Experimental Design

The current study was carried out on Chongming Island located in Yangtze Estuary (31°69′ N, 121°65′ E). The island, with a total area of 1267 km$^2$, is China’s largest estuarine Island [35]. This region has a typical subtropical monsoon climate with annual average precipitation and temperature of approximately 1200 mm and 16 °C, respectively [36]. This area is experiencing regular semidiurnal tides with two different diurnal tidal periods. *S. alterniflora* invasion on the island are serious, and its rapid growth has outcompeted the local species *Phragmites australis* and *Scirpus mariqueter* since its introduction in this area in 2001 [37].

From the water–land margin to salt marsh, the habitat types are bare mudflat, *S. mariqueter* marshland, *S. alterniflora* marshland, and *P. australis* marshland, located at 0, 50, 400, and
This area is experiencing regular semidiurnal tides with two different diurnal tidal periods after soil carbonates were removed by acidifying with 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl [43].

### 2.4. Measurement of the DNRA Rates

To measure the DNRA rates, soil slurries were made with 25 g of fresh soil and 175 mL of tidal water, and then filled with helium (He) gas for approximately 30 min to achieve anaerobic conditions. After pre-incubation for 48 h in 12-mL screw-capped Exetainer vials (Labco) under in situ temperature (23.5 °C for May, 25 °C for October) in dark conditions to conduct the isotope-tracing method. The concentrations of \(\text{NH}_4^+\), \(\text{NO}_3^-\), and \(\text{NO}_2^-\) were measured on a continuous flow injection analyzer (SAN plus, Skalar, the Netherlands) after extraction with 2 M KCI solution [40–42]. The total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN) were analyzed using CN Elementary Analyser after soil carbonates were removed by acidifying with 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl [43].

### 2.2. Sample Collection

Soil cores (0–5, 5–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–50, and 50–100 cm in depth) were collected on 5 May 2018 and 25 October 2018 with an Eijkelkamp gouge auger during the diurnal ebb-tide hours, and a total of 96 samples were obtained. To determine the bulk density and soil moisture, vertical soil profiles were collected using standard 100-cm\(^3\) containers at the same depths as soil core samples were obtained. These soil sections were then oven-dried at 105 °C for 24 h to measure bulk density and soil moisture. The soil temperature and redox potential (Eh) of each soil layer were recorded immediately. Three 0.5 × 0.5 m quadrats were randomly set at 0.5 m away from the sampling point to measure above-ground biomass. After collection, the soil samples were sealed in sterile plastic bags and then transported to the laboratory and divided into two parts: the first part was stored at 4 °C to measure the DNRA rates, and the second part was air-dried and sieved through a 2 mm filter to analyze soil properties.

### 2.3. Analysis of Soil Characteristics

Soil salinity and pH were measured with a modular multi-channel benchtop pH meter after soil was mixed with deionized water free CO\(_2\) at a ratio of 1:2.5 [38,39]. The concentrations of \(\text{NH}_4^+\), \(\text{NO}_3^-\), and \(\text{NO}_2^-\) were measured on a continuous flow injection analyzer (SAN plus, Skalar, the Netherlands) after extraction with 2 M KCl solution [40–42]. The total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN) were analyzed using CN Elementary Analyser after soil carbonates were removed by acidifying with 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl [43].
deplete the residual nitrate, nitrite and oxygen, samples were then spiked with 100 µL of $^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$ (12.5 mM, $^{15}\text{N}$ at 99%). Immediately after, these samples were injected with ZnCl$_2$ (200 µL, 50% w/v) to inhibit microbial activity in time-series incubations at 0, 2, 4, and 8 h, respectively. The Hypobromite method was used to convert $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ from the DNRA process to $^{28}\text{N}_2$ and $^{30}\text{N}_2$, and then these produced gases were determined by membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) [45]. Furthermore, $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ with concentrations of 0, 5, 10, and 20 µmol L$^{-1}$ were also oxidized by using the hypobromite method, respectively. Thus, a standard curve was established to calculate the concentrations of $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ from the incubation slurries. The DNRA rates were calculated by the following equation:

$$R_{\text{DNRA}} = S \times V \times W^{-1}$$

where $R_{\text{DNRA}}$ corresponds to DNRA rates (nmol $^{15}\text{N}$ g$^{-1}$h$^{-1}$), $S$ is the slope of $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ concentrations versus incubation time (nmol $^{15}\text{N}$ L$^{-1}$h$^{-1}$), $V$ denotes the vial volume (L), and $W$ is the soil weight (g).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The data in this study were analyzed by using SPSS Statistics version 19.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Rstudio software version 3.5.1 (Rstudio, Inc., Boston, MA, USA). Mean and standard error values of each parameter were calculated (Mean ± SD, n = 4). Analysis of two-way ANOVA was carried out to observe the significance of soil depth and habitat type on DNRA rates and NO$_3^-$ retention content, and analysis of one-way ANOVA using LSD’s test was employed to evaluate the differences of NO$_3^-$ retention content among habitat types. Post hoc analyses of significant results were conducted using Tukey’s multiple comparison tests, and the significance level was set at $p < 0.05$. To determine the most concise model, equations with the lowest AIC were used to select the most suitable model through stepwise multiple regression. Stepwise multiple regression analysis was carried out using R software with soil physical and chemical properties as variables and DNRA as the dependent variable.

We consolidated data into annual NO$_3^-$ reduction content (NR) to obtain a better overview of different habitat types on DNRA. NO$_3^-$ retention content (NR) from a given habitat type with s soil layers was calculated using the following equation:

$$NR = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{s} [(p_{jn} \times d_{jn} \times h_n)] + \sum_{n=1}^{s} [(p_{jn} \times d_{jn} \times h_n)] \times t \times c \right\}$$

where $NR$ (g N m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$) is NO$_3^-$ retention content; $n$ and $s$ represent the soil layer and number of soil layers, respectively; $p_{jn}$ and $d_{jn}$ are the DNRA rate (nmol N g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$) of soil layer $n$ in the month of May, respectively; $p_{jm}$ and $d_{jm}$ are the DNRA rate (nmol N g$^{-1}$h$^{-1}$) and bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$) of soil layer $n$ in the month of October, respectively; $h_n$ denotes the thickness of soil layer $n$ (cm); $t$ denotes time (24 × 365 h); and $c = 14 \times 10^{-5}$ represents a unit conversion factor.

3. Results

3.1. Potential Rates of DNRA

In the month of May, DNRA rates were not affected by soil depth or habitat type (Figure 2). No significant differences were found in the DNRA rates between habitat types in either upper soil layers or in the deeper soil layers. The DNRA rates in $S$. alterniflora marshland were not significantly different from those in the other habitat types in the month of May. In the month of October, the DNRA rates in the $S$. alterniflora marshland were significantly higher than those in other habitat types in all soil layers. DNRA in the deeper soil layers (50–100 cm) in the month of October were markedly higher than those in the 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm the upper soil layers. The two-month-averaged DNRA in the $S$. alterniflora stands was significantly higher than that of other habitat types (Figure 3).
deeper soil layers (50–100 cm) in the month of October were markedly higher than those in the 0–50 cm layer. In the 0–50 cm layer, NO$_3^-$ retention content per unit area, the results showed that NO$_3^-$ retention content in the whole 0–100 cm soil profile increased in the following order: S. mariqueter (254.32 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) < bare mudflat (281.15 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) < P. australis (308.89 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) < S. alterniflora (502.84 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) (Figure 4b).

3.2. NO$_3^-$ Reduction Content

Where the volume of soil for each habitat type was used to compute NO$_3^-$ reduction content per unit area, the results showed that NO$_3^-$ retention content in the 0–50 cm layer was almost equivalent to that in the deeper layers (50–100 cm). In the 0–50 cm layer, NO$_3^-$ retention content in S. alterniflora soil was increased by 50.51%, 46.81%, and 40.45% compared with that of bare mudflat, S. mariqueter, and P. australis, respectively (Figure 4a). In the deeper soil layer, NO$_3^-$ retention content in S. alterniflora was 47.38%, 52.43%, and 41.42% higher than that in the soils of bare mudflat, S. mariqueter, and P. australis, respectively. NO$_3^-$ retention content in the whole 0–100 cm soil profile increased in the following order: S. mariqueter (254.32 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) < bare mudflat (281.15 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) < P. australis (308.89 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) < S. alterniflora (502.84 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) (Figure 4b).

Figure 2. Potential rates of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA). Bars represent standard errors of the quadruplicate samples. SM: S. mariqueter; SA: S. alterniflora; PA: P. australis; BF: bare mudflat. Bars with different uppercase letters and lowercase letters denote significantly different in habitat types and soil depths, respectively (p < 0.05).

Figure 3. Two-month-averaged dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) rates. Error bars in the column represent standard errors. SM: S. mariqueter; SA: S. alterniflora; PA: P. australis; BF: bare mudflat. Bars with different uppercase letters and lowercase letters denote significantly different in habitat types and soil depths, respectively (p < 0.05).
3.3. Influences of Soil Variables on Potential Rates of DNRA

Multiple linear regression analyses were used to determine which linear combination of independent variables was best conductive to predict DNRA rates (Tables 1 and S1). In the month of May, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_2^-$, and temperature accounted for the most variables of DNRA in the soil to the depth of 50 cm, and DNRA rates in deeper soil from 50–100 cm were the largest, as explained by pH, bulk density, and NO$_2^-$. In the month of October, pH and salinity were best explained by the changes in DNRA rates in the upper soil layers, while in the deeper soil layer, DNRA rates were best accounted for by pH and bulk density.

Table 1. Multiple linear regression equations for DNRA versus environmental factors measured at 0–50 cm and 50–100 cm depths across the region in two months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Soil Depth</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0–50 cm</td>
<td>DNRA = −0.37 SO$_4^{2-}$ − 0.32NO$<em>2^-$ − 0.26 Temperature + 0.03 Fe$</em>{3+}$ + 7.66</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50–100 cm</td>
<td>DNRA = −3.17 pH − 1.86 Bulk density − 0.18 NO$_2^-$ + 0.09 NH$_4^+$ + 29.34</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>0–50 cm</td>
<td>DNRA = –4.47 pH + 0.41 Salinity + 0.27 NO$_3^-$ − 0.07 NH$_4^+$ + 0.01 Eh − 34.95</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50–100 cm</td>
<td>DNRA = −9.52 pH − 3.10 Bulk density − 0.86 Temperature − 0.01 Eh + 0.95 NO$_3^-$ + 9.864</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated the effect of exotic S. alterniflora invasion on DNRA rates in both the upper and deeper soil layers in the 0–100 cm soil profile. Our results showed that S. alterniflora significantly increased DNRA rates compared to other habitat types (Figures 2 and 3), which is consistent with findings in previous studies [24,45]. The higher NO$_3^-$ reduction content in S. alterniflora may acerbate the export of more NH$_4^+$ into the soil, which in turn causes S. alterniflora to absorb more N to accelerate plant growth and promote further invasion of S. alterniflora [22]. In addition, our results also found that the ability of NO$_3^-$ to convert to NH$_4^+$ under the influence of different habitat types is almost equal to that of upper sediments (Figure 4), indicating that we cannot ignore the importance of deeper soils in the comprehensive evaluation of the conversion of inorganic N pools from DNRA in salt marshes.

In the month of May, in the upper soil layers, SO$_4^{2-}$ was an important factor influencing the DNRA rates, which is consistent with the observation that reduced sulfides...
(S\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}, SO\textsubscript{3}\textsubscript{2}−) can provide an electron donor for DNRA [46,47]. SO\textsubscript{4}\textsubscript{2}− is one of the most abundant ions in seawater, and its morphology and distribution in inter-root sediments can be affected by plant types [48]. Previous studies have demonstrated that SO\textsubscript{4}\textsubscript{2}− concentration was significantly enhanced after S. alterniflora [49,50]. However, during the early growth stages in the month of May, the sulfide in S. alterniflora tissues in the previous year is decomposed slowly; thus, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsubscript{2}− concentration in the soil of S. alterniflora marshland was not higher than that of other habitat types during this phase (Figure S1i), which may explain why DNRA rates had no difference between S. alterniflora and other habitat types in the early growth phase in the upper soil layers [51]. In deeper soil layers, we found that pH and bulk density explained the largest proportion of the variance in DNRA (Tables 1 and S1). DNRA bacteria are susceptible to changes in pH and oxygen contents [52]. It is widely accepted that the pH for DNRA lies between 5 and 9, and a high pH value enhances the DNRA process [34]. In the early growth phase, roots of S. alterniflora and two other native plants used more energy to grow and may not secrete small molecules of organic acids to change soil pH, which may contribute to unchanged DNRA in the 50–100 cm layer between the different habitat types [22].

In the month of October, soil pH explained the largest proportion of the variance of DNRA in both the upper and deeper soil layers (Tables 1 and S1). During the late growth phase, the biomass of S. alterniflora was significantly higher than S. maritimates and P. australis (Figure S2), and well-developed root tissue was able to secrete more organic acids to alter soil pH, which was more acidic after S. alterniflora invasion compared to S. maritimates and P. australis [37], which helped to increase DNRA rates in S. alterniflora marshland in both the surface and deep soil layers. Moreover, salinity was positively correlated with DNRA in the top 0–50 cm (Table 1), which is consistent with the observation that microbes are susceptible to changes in salinity mediating DNRA [53]. The higher salinity in the upper soil layers of S. alterniflora marshland recorded in the present study (Figure S1b), may thus have contributed to enhancing DNRA [54]. S. alterniflora invasion alters soil physical properties (e.g., reducing the soil bulk density) that can affect DNRA activity by regulating oxygen and influencing NO\textsubscript{3}− leakage content [55], which may have contributed to the DNRA rate in the deeper soil layers.

5. Conclusions

This study reported the effects of invasive S. alterniflora on DNRA rates in salt marshes in the 0–100 cm soil layer. Our results indicated that S. alterniflora invasion can significantly increase the DNRA rates, and NO\textsubscript{3}− reduction content in the entire 0–100 cm soil profile. This suggests that increasing soil N pool content, except through litter decomposition and promotion of soil mineralization [25,51], S. alterniflora can also increase DNRA rates to increase inorganic soil N content, which indirectly further promotes S. alterniflora invasion. In addition, the NO\textsubscript{3}− reduction content via DNRA in the 50–100 cm soil layer was almost identical to that of upper soil layers, which indicates that assessing DNRA capacity in salt marshes and deeper soils should be considered in the future.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jmse10050655/s1, Figure S1: Influence of habitat type, soil depth and month on soil properties (mean ± SE): (a) Water; (b) Salinity; (c) pH; (d) Eh; (e) Bulk density; (f) Temperature; (g) TC; (h) TN; (i) SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}; (j) NH\textsubscript{4}+; (k) NO\textsubscript{3}−; (l) NO\textsubscript{2}−. M = May, O = October. BF bare mudflat; SM S. maritimates; SA S. alterniflora; PA P. australis; Figure S2: Aboveground biomass among different habitat types. Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) among different habitat types. SM, S. maritimates; SA, S. alterniflora; PA, P. australis. Table S1: The interpretation rate for each environmental variable obtained from the results of redundancy analysis (RDA) at 0–50 and 50–100 cm soil depths in the two months.
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