

Article



# Morphological and Molecular Evidence Reveal Eight New Species of *Gymnopus* from Northeast China

Jiajun Hu<sup>1,2</sup>, Guiping Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Yonglan Tuo<sup>2</sup>, Gu Rao<sup>2</sup>, Zhenhao Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Zhengxiang Qi<sup>2</sup>, Lei Yue<sup>2</sup>, Yajie Liu<sup>2</sup>, Tong Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Yu Li<sup>1,\*</sup> and Bo Zhang<sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Life Science, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China; hujjfungi@163.com
- <sup>2</sup> Engineering Research Centre of Edible and Medicinal Fungi, Ministry of Education, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China; guipingz6@163.com (G.Z.); tuoyonglan66@163.com (Y.T.); raogufungi@126.com (G.R.); zzhzz34@163.com (Z.Z.); qzx7007@163.com (Z.Q.); yuelei02@126.com (L.Y.); lyj117@163.com (Y.L.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Pesticide and Pesticide Machinery, Jilin Province Agricultural Technology Extension Station, Changchun 130000, China; zt\_fungi@163.com
- \* Correspondence: yuli966@126.com (Y.L.); zhangbofungi@126.com (B.Z.)

**Abstract:** *Gymnopus* is a widely distributed genus consisting of about 300 species thus far, including *Gymnopus fusipes* as a generic type. A total of nine species from China belong to the sect. *Levipedes*, including eight new species—*Gymnopus longisterigmaticus*, *Gymnopus longus*, *Gymnopus macrosporus*, *Gymnopus striatus*, *Gymnopus changbaiensis*, *Gymnopus tomentosus*, *Gymnopus tiliicola*, and *Gymnopus globulosus*—which were delimited and proposed based on morphological and molecular evidence; and one new record from Jilin Province, China—*Gymnopus erythropus*. Detailed descriptions and illustrations are presented, as well as comparisons to similar species. Overall, our results broaden the morphological characterization of the genus. The pileipellis of sect. *Levipedes* typically takes on the "*Dryophila* structure", while, in our findings, pileipellis terminal hyphae inflated to spherical to prolate were observed, in addition to extremely long basidia sterigma. The phylogenies inferred from the ITS and nLSU dataset supported the *Gymnopus*, which was defined by Oliveira et al. as a monophyletic genus, and the novel species as separate lineages within. A key to all species described in this study is also provided.

**Keywords:** *Gymnopus* sect. *Levipedes; Gymnopus erythropus* complex; new species; Northeast China; phylogenetic analysis

# 1. Introduction

The genus *Gymnopus* (Pers.) Roussel belongs to the family Omphalotaceae, according to Antonín and Noordeloos [1]. There is a long taxonomic research history on this genus, beginning with its proposal by Persoon in 1801 as a tribe of *Agaricus* L. [2]. Later, Fries [3] established *Agaricus* trib. *Collybia* Fr., transferring the species of *Agaricus* trib. *Gymnopus* Pers. into it accordingly. This perspective was widely accepted by other mycologists, until Staude [4] established the genus *Collybia* (Fr.) Staude. Singer [5–7] divided the genus *Collybia* into nine sections—sect. *Striipedes* (Fr.) Quél., sect. *Dictyoplocae* (Mont.) Sing., sect. *Iocephalae* Sing. ex Halling, sect. *Levipedes* (Fr.) Quél., sect. *Vestipedes* (Fr.) Quél., sect. *Collybia* Sing.—in his book, *The Agaricales in Modern Taxonomy*. It is the embryonic form of the modern taxonomy of the genus *Collybia* (*Gymnopus*). Based on their research [8–10], Halling, Antonín and Noordeloos pointed out that the genus *Collybia* had a problematic and controversial taxonomy and, thus, lacked a clear definition; then Antonín et al. [11] shifted the section and species into *Gymnopus*, in the conception of Antonín and Noordeloos [1,12],

Citation: Hu, J.; Zhao, G.; Tuo, Y.; Rao, G.; Zhang, Z.; Qi, Z.; Yue, L.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, T.; Li, Y.; et al. Morphological and Molecular Evidence Reveal Eight New Species of *Gymnopus* from Northeast China. *J. Fungi* 2022, *8*, 349. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/jof8040349

Academic Editors: Lei Cai and Cheng Gao

Received: 21 February 2022 Accepted: 25 March 2022 Published: 28 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). are mainly characterized by basidiomata, usually collybioid, marasmioid, and gymnopoid, stipes only rarely arising from the sclerotia, which is a white spore print with smooth basidiospores that are commonly ellipsoid to oblong, typically the presence of clamp connections, a cutis-type pileipellis, ixocutis or similar to a trichoderm, terminal elements mostly coralloid to diverticulate, and usually encrusted pigments.

With the advent and development of molecular technologies, the phylogenetic analysis of marasmioid and collybioid fungi based on sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA is just beginning to help clarify generic and infrageneric circumscriptions. Moncalvo et al. [13] pointed out that the genus *Gymnopus* was multiphyletic. Mata et al. [14], who found similar conclusions, stated that *Gymnopus* is more closely related to *Marasmiellus* Murrill. The type specimen of Marasmiellus, Marasmiellus juniperinus Murrill, was confirmed within Gymnopus sect. Levipedes (Fr.) Antonín, Halling and Noordel. [12]. More recently, Oliveira et al. [15] redefined the genus Gymnopus more strictly standard based on their combined ITS + nLSU phylogenetic analysis. In its conception, the key features of the genus Gymnopus are collybioid (rarely tricholomatoid or marasmioid) basidiomata, free, emarginate, or adnate lamellae that are usually crowded, an institutious stipe or not, usually with a strigose base; a white spore print, basidiospores ellipsoid to short-oblong, inamyloid; cheilocystidia usually present, or a variety of cheilocystidia, a cutis or ixocutis pileipellis with radially arranged cylindrical hyphae or interwoven more akin to a trichoderm or ixotrichoderm, made up of irregular coralloid terminal elements ("Dryophila structures") – often incrusted, diverticulate hyphal elements, mixed with broom cells and coralloid hyphae; and clamp connections present in all tissues. As a result, Gymnopus sect. Vestipedes (Fr.) Antonín, Halling and Noordel. is segregated and placed within Marasmiellus s. str, and Gymnopus sect. Perforanita (Singer) R.H. Petersen is considered a new independent genus Paragymnopus J.S. Oliveira. In addition, some Gymnopus species were transferred to two new genera [16].

Most species of *Gymnopus* sect. *Levipedes* (Quél.) Halling have smooth, polished, or pubescent stipe; pileipellis mostly as an entangled trichoderm (never radially oriented), composed of inflated, often lobed elements or coralloid ("Dryophila structures"); non-dextrinoid trama and elements, with some species turning green in alkali [1,17]. Gymnopus erythropus (Pers.) Antonín, Halling and Noordel. is one of the most confusing species in this section. This species was named by Persoon as Agaricus erythropus Pers. [2], and then transferred to Gymnopus [11]. However, Persoon had a broad conception of this species. Singer [18] selected a neotype from the herbarium of Persoon, labeled Agaricus erythropus, which was confirmed to be a Mycena (Pers.) Roussel species later on. There remained more specimens labeled A garicus erythropus in the herbarium of Persoon, until Jansen [19] studied the material and found that one specimen fit well with the current concept of *Collybia* erythropus (Pers.) P. Kumm. (≡ Gymnopus erythropus); considering this, Singer's choice was rejected. Prior to the current study, only two red stipe species had been reported in this section Gymnopus erythropus, and Gymnopus fagiphilus (Velen.) Antonín, Halling, and Noordel. These two species are morphologically very similar, with the clear distinguishing factor being the tomentose stipe. Specifically, *Gymnopus erythropus* have a smooth stipe, while those with a dense tomentose at the base of the stipe are *Gymnopus fagiphilus*.

Approximately 300 species have been validly published in the genus *Gymnopus* [20], with most species having been reported from Europe and America. However, research on *Gymnopus* in China is lacking. Teng [21] was the first to report a *Gymnopus* species in China; based on the genus *Collybia*, four taxa were reported. Later, Tai [22] reported eight taxa. Deng [23], a preliminary study on the resources of the genus *Gymnopus* in Southern China, reported 19 taxa. Recently, three new species and 11 new records were recorded from China [23–29]. Until now, 24 species of *Gymnopus* s. str. have been recognized from China.

This paper aims to describe and illustrate nine species of *Gymnopus* sect. *Levipedes* – eight species new to science, and one new record from Jilin Province, China—based on morphology and molecular studies.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Sampling and Morphological Studies

The studied specimens were photographed in situ. The size of the basidiomata was measured when fresh. After examination and description of the fresh macroscopic characteristics, the specimens were dried in an electric drier at 40-45 °C.

Descriptions of the macroscopic characteristics were based on field notes and photographs, with the colors corresponding to the Flora of British fungi: Color identification chart [30]. The dried specimens were rehydrated in 94% ethanol for microscopic examination, and then mounted in 3% potassium hydroxide (KOH), 1% Congo red (0.1 g Congo red dissolved in 10 mL distilled water), and Melzer's reagent (1.5 g potassium iodide, 0.5 g crystalline iodine and 22 g chloral hydrate dissolved in 20 mL distilled water) [31]; they were then examined with a Zeiss Axio lab. A1 microscope at magnifications up to 1000×. All measurements were taken from the sections mounted in the 1% Congo red. For each specimen, a minimum of 40 basidiospores, 20 basidia, 20 cheilocystidia, and 20 widths of pileipellis were measured from two different basidiocarps. When reporting the variation in the size of the basidiospores, basidia, cheilocystidia, and width of the pileipellis, 5% of the measurements were excluded from each end of the range, and are given in parentheses. The basidiospores measurements are given as length × width (L × W). Q denotes the variation in the ratio of L to W among the studied specimens, and Qm denotes the average Q value of all the basidiospores ± standard deviation. "I" refers to the number of lamellulae between every two complete lamellae, and "L" refers to the number of complete lamellae. The specimens examined are deposited in the Herbarium of Mycology of Jilin Agricultural University (HMJAU).

#### 2.2. DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing

The total DNA was extracted from dried specimens by using the NuClean Plant Genomic DNA Kit (Kangwei Century Biotechnology Company Limited, Beijing, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequences of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, and nuclear large ribosomal subunits (nLSU) were used for phylogenetic analysis. The ITS sequence was amplified by using the primer pair ITS1-F (CTT GGT CAT TTA GAG GAA GTA A) and ITS4-B (CAG GAG ACT TGT ACA CGG TCC AG) [32], and the nLSU sequence was amplified by using the primer pair LROR (GTA CCC GCT GAA CTT AAG C) and LR7 (TAC TAC CAC CAA GAT CT) [33,34]. PCR reactions (25 µL) contained 8 µL 2 × EasyTaq® PCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), 1 µL 10 µM primer L, 1 µL 10 µM primer R, 3  $\mu$ L DNA solution, and 12  $\mu$ L dd H<sub>2</sub>O. The reaction programs were as follows: for the ITS, initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 54 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min [35]; for the nLSU, initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 47 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 90 s, and a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min [36]. The PCR products were visualized via UV light after electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide and purified by using the Genview High-Efficiency Agarose Gels DNA Purification Kit (Gen-View Scientific Inc., Galveston, TX, USA). The purified PCR products were then sent to Sangon Biotech Limited Company (Shanghai, China) for sequencing, using the Sanger method. The new sequences were deposited in GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank (accessed on 17 November 2021); see Table 1).

## 2.3. Data Analysis

Based on the results of BLAST and morphological similarities, the sequences obtained and related to these samples were collected and are listed in Table 1. We used a dataset of ITS and nLSU resign comprising sequences from this study, with 49 representative sequences showing the highest similarity to *Gymnopus* spp. This dataset included all *Gymnopus* s. str. section (sect. *Androcacei* (Kühner) Antonín and Noordel., sect. *Levipedes* (Quél.) Halling, sect. *Impudicae* (Antonín and Noordel.) Antonín and Noordel., and sect. *Gymnopus* (Pers.) Roussel) to further explore the relationships of the newly sequenced Chinese specimens within the genus *Gymnopus*. Moreover, the species within this genus and those in allied genera, including *Lentinula* Earle, *Rhodocollybia* Singer, *Mycetinis* Earle, *Marasmiellus* Murrill, *Collybiopsis* (J. Schröt.) Earle, and *Paragymnopus* J.S. Oliveira were included. The sequences of *Marasmius* sect. *Globulares* Kühner, *Marasmius stenophyllus* Mont., *Marasmius aurantioferrugineus* Hongo, *Marasmius brunneospermus* Har. Takah., *Marasmius maximus* Hongo, and *Marasmius nivicola* Har. Takah., were selected as the outgroup taxa [15].

For the dataset, each gene region was aligned by using ClustalX [37], MACSE V2.03 [38], or MAFFT 7.490 [39], and then manually adjusted in BioEdit 7.0.5.3 [40]. The datasets first were aligned, and then the ITS and nLSU sequences were combined with Phylosuit V1.2.2 [41]. The best-fit evolutionary model was estimated by using Modelfinder [42]. Following the models, Bayesian inference (BI) algorithms were used to perform the phylogenetic analysis. Specifically, BI was calculated with MrBayes 3.2.6 with a general time-reversible DNA substitution model and a gamma distribution rate variation across the sites [43]. Four Markov chains were run for two runs from random starting trees for two million generations until the split deviation frequency value was <0.01; the trees were sampled every 100 generations. The first 25% of the sampled trees were discarded as burn-in, while all remaining trees were used to construct a 50% majority consensus tree and for calculating the Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPPS). RaxmlGUI 2.0.5 [44] was used for maximum likelihood (ML) analysis, along with 1000 bootstraps (BS) replicates, using the GTR-GAMMA algorithm to perform a tree inference and search for the optimal topology [45]. Then the FigTree v1.3.1 was used to visualize the resulting trees.

Table 1. Voucher/specimen numbers, country, and GenBank accession numbers of the specimen
included in this study. Sequences produced in this study are in bold.

Calendi Ca Nama	Course large	Voucher/Specimen Num-	GenBank A	<b>D</b> - (	
Scientific Name	Country	bers	ITS	LSU	Kererences
Collybiopsis dichroa	USA	TENN56726	AY256702		[46]
Co. filamentipes	USA	TENN-F-065861	MN897832	MN897832	[47]
Co. furtiva	USA	SFSU: DED4425	DQ450031	AF042650	[47]
Co. hasanskyensis	Russia	TENN-F-060730	MN897829		[47]
Co. juniperina	USA	TENN59540	AY256708		[14]
Co. melanopus	Indonesia	SFSU: A.W. Wilson 54	NR_137539	NG_060624	[48]
Co. melanopus	China	LF1758	KU529307		[23]
Co. mesoamericana	Costa Rica	TENN 058613	NR_119583	KY019632	[49,50]
Co. minor	USA	TENN-F-059993	MN413334	MW396880	[47]
Co. parvula	USA	TENN-F-059993	MN413334		Unpublished
Co. stenophylla	USA	TENN59449	DQ450033		[46]
Gymnopus alkalivirens	USA	TENN51249	DQ450000		[46]
G. alliifoetidissimus	China	GDGM76695	MT023344	MT017526	[25]
G. alpinus	Latvia	CB16251	JX536168		[51]
G. androsaceus	Russia	TENN-F-59594	KY026663	KY026663	[50]
G. androsaceus	France	CBS239.53	MH857174	MH868713	[52]
G. aquosus	Czech Republic	BRNM665362	JX536172		[51]
G. aurantiipes	-	AWW118	AY263432	AY639410	[48]
G. bicolor		AWW116	AY263423	AY639411	[48]
G. biformis	USA	TENN58541	DQ450054		[48]
G. brunneigracilis		AWW01	AY263434	AY639412	[48]
G. changbaiensis	China	HMJAU60300	OM030272	OM033387	this study
G. changbaiensis	China	HMJAU60301	OM030273	OM033388	this study
G. changbaiensis	China	HMJAU60302	OM030274	OM033389	this study
G. collybioides	Costa Rica	TENN58020	AF505772		[46]
G. confluens	Sweden	TENN50524	DQ450044		[46]
G. confluens	USA	TENN55695	DQ450050		[46]
G. cylindricus	Costa Rica	TENN-058097	NR_119464		[49]

G. densilamellatus	Republic of Korea	BRNM714984	KP336686	KP336695	[36]
G. dryophilus	Czech Republic	BRNM695586	JX536143		[51]
G. dryophilus	Germany	BRNM737691	JX536139		[51]
G. dryophilus	China	HMAS290095	MK966542		Unpublished
G. dryophilus	Japan	Duke31	DQ480099		[46]
G. dryophioides	Republic of Korea	BRNM781447	MH589967	MH589985	[53]
G. dysodes	USA	TENN59141	AF505778		[46]
G. erythropus	Czech Republic	BRNM714784	JX536136		[51]
G. erythropus	USA	JFA12910	DQ449998		[46]
G. erythropus	Austria	TENN59329	AF505786		[46]
G. erythropus	China	HMJAU60313	OM030281	OM033395	this study
G. erythropus	China	HMJAU60315	OM030280	OM033396	this study
G. fagiphilus	Czech Republic	BRNM707079	JX536129		[51]
G. fusipes	Austria	TENN59300	AF505777		[46]
G. fusipes	France	TENN59217	AY256710	AY256710	[14]
G. globulosus	China	HMJAU60307	OM030269	OM033406	this study
G. globulosus	China	HMJAU60308	OM030270	OM033407	this study
G. globulosus	China	HMJAU60308	OM030271	OM033408	this study
G. hybridus	Italy	BRNM695773	JX536177		[51]
G. inexpectatus	Italy		EU622905	EU622906	[54]
G. inusitatus	Spain	SCM B-4058	JN247553	JN247557	[51]
G. junquilleus	USA	TENN55224	NR 119582		[49]
G. lanipes	Spain	BRNM670686	JX536137		[51]
G. longisterigmaticus	China	HMJAU60288	OM030282	OM033403	this study
G. longisterigmaticus	China	HMJAU60289	OM030283	OM033404	this study
G. longisterigmaticus	China	HMJAU60290	OM030284	OM033405	this study
G. longus	China	HMJAU60291	OM030285	OM033400	this study
G. longus	China	HMJAU60292	OM030286	OM033401	this study
G. longus	China	HMJAU60293	OM030287	OM033402	this study
G. macrosporus	China	HMJAU60294	OM030266	OM033397	this study
G. macrosporus	China	HMJAU60295	OM030267	OM033398	this study
G. macrosporus	China	HMJAU60296	OM030268	OM033399	this study
G. ocior	Czech Republic	BRNM699795	JX536166		[51]
G. pallipes	China	GDGM81513	MW582856		[24]
G. ramulicola	China	GDGM44256	KU321529		[27]
G. similis	Republic of Korea	BRNM766739	KP336692	KP336699	[36]
G. similis	China	GDGM78308	MT023352	MT017530	[25]
G. striatus	China	HMJAU60297	OM030263	OM033384	this study
G. striatus	China	HMJAU60298	OM030264	OM033385	this study
G. striatus	China	HMJAU60299	OM030265	OM033386	this study
G. tiliicola	China	HMJAU60305	OM030275	OM033393	this study
G. tiliicola	China	HMJAU60306	OM030276	OM033394	this study
G. tiliicola	China	HMJAU60307	OM030277	OM033392	this study
G. tomentosus	China	HMJAU60303	OM030278	OM033390	this study
G. tomentosus	China	HMJAU60304	OM030279	OM033391	this study
Letinula aciculospora	Costa Rica	TENN37996	AY016443		[55]
L. boryana	Brazil	TENN58368	AY016440		[55]
L. edodes	China	STCL125	AF031183		[56]
Marasmius aurantioferrugi	-				
neus	Republic of Korea	BRNM714752	FJ904962	MK278334	[57]
M. brunneospermus	Republic of Korea	KPM-NC0005011	FI904969	FI904951	[57]
M. maximus	Republic of Korea	BRNM714570	FI904976	FJ904958	[57]
M. nivicola	Republic of Korea	KPM-NC0006038	FJ904973	FJ904955	[57]
Marasmiellus ramealis	Sweden	TENN50324	DQ450030		[46]
Mycetinis. alliaceus	Russia	TENN-F-55630	KY696784	KY696752	[58]
My. curraniae	New Zealand	PDD95301	KY696778		[58]
My. opacus	USA	TENN-F-59451	KY696755		[58]
J 1					

My. scorodonius	Switzerland	TENN-F-59451	KY696725		[58]
Paragymnopus foliiphilus	USA	TENN-F-68183	KY026705	KY026705	[50]
P. perforans	Sweden	TENN-F-50319	KY026625	KY026625	[50]
P. pinophilus	USA	TENN-F-69207	KY026725	KY026725	[50]
Rhodocollyba butyracea	Sweden	TENN53580	AY313293		[46]
R. butyracea	China	HFJAU0269	MN258680		Unpublished
R. maculata	Dominican Republic	TFB11720	KT205402		[59]
R. maculata	USA	TENN59459	AY313296		[46]

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Phylogenetic Analyses

In the dataset, 143 sequences derived from two gene loci (ITS and nLSU) from 92 samples were used to build phylogenetic trees; 50 of these were newly generated, with 25 ITS sequences and 25 nLSU sequences. The phylogenetic construction performed via ML and BI analysis for the two combined datasets showed a similar topology. The combined ITS and nLSU dataset represented 63 taxa and 2600 characters after being trimmed. The Bayesian analysis was run for two million generations and resulted in an average standard deviation of split frequencies of 0.004989. The same dataset and alignment were analyzed by using the ML method. In the phylogenetic tree, six clades corresponding to *Gymnopus*, *Rhodocollybia*, *Lnetinula*, *Marasmiellus*, *Marasmius*, *Mycetines*, *Collybiopsis*, and *Paragymnopus* were revealed (Figures 1 and 2). Twenty-one sampled specimens formed eight new species and were clustered in a clade comprising the species of *Gymnopus* sect. *Levipedes* (Figure 2). At the same time, two sampled specimens—clustered with *Gymnopus* with strong support—were confirmed as new records from Jilin Province, China.

The phylogeny inferred from the dataset of ITS and nLSU region recovered *Gymnopus* s. str. as a monophyletic genus divided into four clades, sect. *Androcacei* clade, sect. *Levipedes* clade, sect. *Impudicae* clade, and sect. *Gymnopus* clade, formed a sister clade to *Rhodocollybia*, *Paragymnopus*, and *Lentinula* (Figure 1). The sect. *Levipedes* clade was mainly divided into three clades, the red stipe species formed an independent clade, and the *Gymnopus dryophilus* complex species formed an independent clade. These two clades mentioned above are near the species *Gymnopus alkalivirens* (Singer) Halling that turns green in KOH, representing *Gymnopus* sect. *Levipedes* subsect. *Alkalivirentes* Antonín and Noorde.



**Figure 1.** Bayesian 50% majority-rule consensus tree from the ITS and nLSU analyses. Support values at the nodes consist of BPPS≥0.90 and BS≥70; unsupported nodes under BPPS 0.5 are collapsed.



The major clade is simplified, representing genus-level groups, as depicted in the figure. The outgroup consists of members of *Marasmius*.



**Figure 2.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree generated from the ITS and nLSU dataset. Bootstraps values (BS)  $\geq$  70% from ML analysis and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPPS)  $\geq$  0.90 are shown on the branches. Newly sequenced collections are indicated in bold, and the type specimens are denoted by (T).

#### 3.2. Taxonomy

*Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* J.J. Hu, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov. Figures 3a and 4 MycoBank: MB 842333 Etymology: The epithet *"longisterigmaticus"* refers to the extremely long sterigmata

of the basidia.

Diagnosis: This species is distinguished from closed species by pileus brown at the center, light brown to yellow towards the margin, margin light yellow to yellowish white, stipe reddish brown, covered with white to light reddish brown density hairs at base, basidia four-spored, sterigmata extremely long, pileipellis wider than *Gymnopus longus* and *Gymnopus macrosporus*, branched, pigment yellowish brown incrusting in pileipellis, and larger basidiospores.

Type: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 4 September 2018, Jia-Jun Hu and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60288, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030282, nLSU = OM033403).

Basidiomata small-to-medium-sized, scattered to gregarious. Pileus convex to applanate, 1.5–3.2 cm diameter, smooth, hygrophanus, brown at the center, light brown to yellow towards the margin, margin light yellow to yellowish white, entire. Context thin, fleshy, light reddish brown, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical, 3.2–5.0 cm long and 0.2–0.3 cm wide, reddish brown, smooth, covered with white to light reddish brown density hairs at base, fistulose, fibrous. Lamellae subfree to adnate, white to light yellow, I = 1–3, L = 15–18, crowded.

Basidiospores elliptic, (6.2) 6.7–9.0 × (3.0) 3.1–4.3 (5.0)  $\mu$ m, Q = (1.40) 1.67–2.25 (2.26), Qm = 1.93 ± 0.20, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate, (18) 19–27 (28) × (5) 6–10  $\mu$ m, four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline; sterigmata extremely long, up to 40  $\mu$ m. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top, or branched, (16) 18–27 × (4) 5–8 (9)  $\mu$ m, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis a cutis, made up of irregularly branched hyphae, inflated, 10–27 (35)  $\mu$ m wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth or pigment yellowish brown incrusting in pileipellis, thin-walled, clamps present.

Ecology: Grows on the deciduous layer or rotten branches in coniferous and broadleaved mixed forest.

Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Other specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 13 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60289 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030283, nLSU = OM033404); Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 13 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60290 (Gen-Bank accession no.: ITS = OM030284, nLSU = OM033405).

Note: Morphologically, *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* is similar to *Gymnopus erythropus* and *Gymnopus fagiphilus* with its reddish brown stipe. However, *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* differs from *Gymnopus erythropus* with its light reddish brown density hairs on the stipe, extremely long sterigmata of basidiomata (up to 40  $\mu$ m), different shape of cheilocystidia – cheilocystidia of *Gymnopus erythropus* is clavate to subclavate or somewhat flexuous, coralloid at apex sometimes [1], while clavate of *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus*, and quite larger basidiospores [(6.2) 6.7–9.0 × (3.0) 3.1–4.3 (5.0)  $\mu$ m].

*Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* and *Gymnopus fagiphilus* are both covered with hairs on the stipe, but the lamellae of *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* is white to light yellow, while that of *Gymnopus fagiphilus* is pinkish brown to pinkish yellow; on the other hand, *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* has extremely long sterigmata of the basidia and lack of chaulocystidia. Moreover, the different shape and size of cheilocystidia can differentiate *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* from *Gymnopus fagiphilus*. The cheilocystidia of *Gymnopus fagiphilus* is usually irregularly clavate, often with lobed apex or with short-to-long rostrum, sometimes very slender and lageniform and quite larger [15–40 (60) × 4.0–8.0 (10) µm] [1], while cheilocystidia of *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* is clavate, branched or obtuse.



**Figure 3.** Fresh basidiomata of *Gymnopus* species: (a) *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* (Holotype, HMJAU 60288), (b) *Gymnopus longus* (Holotype, HMJAU 60291), (c) *Gymnopus macrosporus* (Holotype, HMJAU 60294), (d) *Gymnopus tiliicola* (Holotype, HMJAU 60304), (e) *Gymnopus globulosus* (Holotype, HMJAU 60308), (f) *Gymnopus changbaiensis* (HMJAU 60300), (g) *Gymnopus striatus* (Holotype, HMJAU 60297), (h) *Gymnopus erythropus* (HMJAU 60315), and (i) *Gymnopus tomentosus* (Holotype, HMJAU 60303). Scale bars = 1 cm.



**Figure 4.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* (HMJAU 60288, holotype): (a) basidiomata, (b) pileipellis, (c) basidiospores, (d) basidia, and (e) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (a), 25 μm (b), and 5 μm (c–e).

*Gymnopus longus* J.J. Hu, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov. Figures 3b and 5 MycoBank: MB 842334 Etymology: The epithet *"longus"* refers to the extremely long sterigmata of the basidia.

Diagnosis: *Gymnopus longus* can be easily differentiated from closely-related species *Gymnopus fagiphilus* by its pileus reddish brown, stipe reddish brown, with brown farinose on the upper part and white to light reddish brown tomentose at the base, basidia 2- or 4-spored, sterigmata extremely long, and smaller basidiospores.

Type: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 4 September 2018, Jia-Jun Hu, Bo Zhang, and Gui-Ping Zhao, HMJAU 60291, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030285, nLSU = OM033400).

Basidiomata small-to-medium-sized, scattered to gregarious. Pileus 1.7–3.7 cm in diameter, convex to applanate or revolute, smooth, hygrophanus, reddish brown at the center, towards margin light reddish brown to brown; margin white to light yellow or light brown, entire. Context thin, fleshy, light reddish brown, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical to clavate, 3.7–4.3 cm long and 0.3–0.6 cm wide, reddish brown, with brown farinose on the upper part, and white to light reddish brown tomentose at the base, hollow, filiform. Lamellae adnate, white to light yellow, I = 5-7, L = 19-24, crowded. Spores print unknown.

Basidiospores (5.6) 6.0–8.0 × (3.0) 3.1–4.1 (4.9)  $\mu$ m, Q = (1.27) 1.47–2.19 (2.58), Qm = 1.8 ± 0.24, oblong, smooth, hyaline, thin-walled, inamyloid. Basidia (19) 20–28 (29) × 6–9  $\mu$ m, two- or four-spored, hyaline, thin-walled, clavate; sterigmata extremely long, up to 33  $\mu$ m long. Cheilocystidia (21) 22–29 (30) × 5–7  $\mu$ m, mass, clavate, with obtuse on the top, hyaline, thin-walled, smooth. Pileipellis a translation between a cutis and a trichoderm, made up of irregularly interwoven, repent or ascending, inflated hyphae with inflated and irregularly branched terminal elements, hyaline to light brown, (6) 7–13 (15)  $\mu$ m wide, smooth or pigment yellowish brown incrusting in pileipellis.

Ecology: Grows on the deciduous layer or rotten branches in coniferous and broadleaved mixed forest.

Other specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 4 September 2018, Jia-Jun Hu, Bo Zhang, and Gui-Ping Zhao, HMJAU 60292 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030286, nLSU = OM033401); Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 31 August 2020, Jia-Jun Hu, Bo Zhang, and Gui-Ping Zhao, HMJAU 60293 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030287, nLSU = OM033402).

Note: *Gymnopus longus* is closed to *Gymnopus erythropus, Gymnopus fagiphilus*, and *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* in morphological, because of the red pileus and stipe. However, *Gymnopus longus* differs from *Gymnopus erythropus* by being covered with brown farinose on the upper part, white to light reddish brown tomentose at the base, slight thin basidiospores, smaller Qm [1], and extremely long sterigmata (up to 33 µm long).

A deeper color pileus, covered with brown farinose on the stipe, smaller basidiospores, clavate with obtuse cheilocystidia, and pileipellis a translation between a cutis and a trichoderm differs *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* from *Gymnopus longus*. *Gymnopus longus* differs from *Gymnopus fagiphilus* by a farinose stipe, deep color pileus and stipe, white lamellae, smaller basidiospores, lack of caulocystidia, and uncoralloid pileipellis [1].



**Figure 5.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus longus* (HMJAU 60291, holotype): (**a**) basidiomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25  $\mu$ m (**b**), and 5  $\mu$ m (**c**–**e**).

*Gymnopus macrosporus* J.J. Hu, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov. Figures 3c and 6

MycoBank: MB 842335

Etymology: the epithet "macrosporus" refers to the big basidiospores of this species.

Diagnosis: This species is distinguished from closed species by a convex to applanate pileus that is deep reddish brown at the center and reddish brown to yellowish brown toward the margin, with the margin beige to light yellow, striped; a deep reddish brown to reddish brown stipe with smooth, light yellow to light reddish brown tomentose at the base, coralloid pileipellis, bigger basidiospores, and extremely long basidia sterigmata.

Type: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 4 September 2018, Jia-Jun Hu and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60294, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030266, nLSU = OM033397).

Basidiomata small-to-medium-sized, gregarious. Pileus convex to applanate, 1.2–4.6 cm diameter, smooth, hygrophanus, deep reddish brown at the center, reddish brown to yellowish brown towards margin; margin beige to light yellow, striped, entire, wavy. Context thin, fleshy, light reddish brown to light yellowish brown, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical, 7.8–9.5 cm long and 0.2–0.5 cm wide, deep reddish brown to reddish brown, smooth, fistulose, fibrous, and light yellow to light reddish brown tomentose at the base. Lamellae adnexed to adnate or near free, light yellow, I = 1–3, L = 13–17, crowded.

Basidiospores elliptic, (6.0) 6.8–7.9 (8.3) × (3.0) 3.1–4.2 (4.3)  $\mu$ m, Q = (1.63) 1.67–2.32 (2.37), Qm = 1.88 ± 0.18, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate, 20–29 × 6–9  $\mu$ m, two- or four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline; sterigmata extremely long, up to 32  $\mu$ m. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top, 20–28 (30) × 5 (6)–9  $\mu$ m, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis a cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hyphae, inflated, 10–27 (35)  $\mu$ m wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth, thin-walled, clamps present.

Ecology: Grows on the deciduous layer or rotten branches in coniferous and broadleaved mixed forest.

Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Other specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 4 September 2018, Jia-Jun Hu and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60295 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030267, nLSU = OM033398); Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 13 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60296 (Gen-Bank accession no.: ITS = OM030268, nLSU = OM033399).

Note: *Gymnopus macrosporus* is morphologically similar to *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* and *Gymnopus longus* because of its reddish brown, tomentose stipe, and long sterigmata of basidia. *Gymnopus macrosporus* differs from *Gymnopus longus* due to its pileus in a darker color—pileus deep reddish brown at center, reddish brown to yellowish brown towards margin; margin beige to light yellow, striped characteristics, and smooth texture on the upper part of the stipe, coralloid and without pigment incrusting pileipellis. These two *Gymnopus* species have a similar basidiospore size; however, the Qm of *Gymnopus macrosporus* is larger than *Gymnopus longus*. *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus* differs in smooth, pale color, and unstriped pileus; pileipellis a bit wider and pigment yellowish brown incrusting in pileipellis, and it has bigger basidiospores [(6.2) 6.7–9.0 × (3.0) 3.1–4.3 (5.0) µm].



**Figure 6.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus macrosporus* (HMJAU 60294, holotype): (**a**) basidiomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25  $\mu$ m (**b**), and 5  $\mu$ m (**c**–**e**).

Gymnopus striatus J.J Hu, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov.

Figures 3g and 7

MycoBank: MB 842336

Etymology: the epithet "striatus" refers to the striped stipe of this species.

Diagnosis: This species is distinguished from closed species by a cinnamon pileus, with a lighter color toward the margin and a white to light yellow margin, striped; yellow to light brown lamellae, a deep reddish brown stipe, longitudinal striped stipe up to 1/3 covered with yellow to light brown hairs (from the base upward), short sterigmata of basidia, and smaller basidiospores.

Type: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 9 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-Ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60297, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030263, nLSU = OM033384).

Basidiomata small-to-medium-sized, gregarious. Pileus convex to applanate, depressed when old, 2.3–4.1 cm diameter, smooth, hygrophanus, cinnamon at the center, brown to light brown towards margin; margin white to light yellow, striped, entire, wavy. Context thin, fleshy, light yellowish brown, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical to clavate, 5.5–7.0 cm long and 0.3–0.8 cm wide, deep reddish brown to reddish brown, smooth in the upper part, longitudinal striped, covered with yellow to light brown hairs up to 1/3 (from the base upwards), fistulose, fibrous. Lamellae adnate, yellow to light brown, I = 3–9, L = 17–23, crowded.

Basidiospores elliptic, 6.0–8.0 (9.0) × 3.0–4.0  $\mu$ m, Q = (1.50) 1.58–2.50 (2.60), Qm = 2.01 ± 0.25, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate, 20 (21)–34 (37) × 5–10  $\mu$ m, two- or four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top, (17) 20–30 × 4–8 (10)  $\mu$ m, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis a cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hyphae, inflated, 10–30 (35)  $\mu$ m wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth, thin-walled, clamps present.

Ecology: Grows on the deciduous layer or rotten branches in coniferous and broadleaved mixed forest.

Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Other specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 18 September 2020, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-Ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60298 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030264, nLSU = OM033385); Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 18 September 2020, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-Ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60299 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030265, nLSU = OM033386).

Note: *Gymnopus striatus* is easily confused with *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus, Gymnopus longus*, and *Gymnopus macrosporus* due to their highly similar morphology. However, *Gymnopus striatus* differs from those three species by its deeper color lamellae, longitudinal stripes on the stipe and stripes on the margin of pileus, bigger Qm, and short basidia sterigmata. *Gymnopus striatus* can be easily differentiated from *Gymnopus fagiphilus* by its deeper color pileus, uniform colored and longitudinally striped stipe, lack of caulocystidia, uncoralloid cheilocystidia, without pigment incrusting in pileipellis, and smaller basidiospores.



**Figure 7.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus striatus* (HMJAU 60297, holotype): (**a**) basidiomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25 μm (**b**), and 5 μm (**c**–**e**).

otype.

*Gymnopus changbaiensis* J.J. Hu, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov. Figures 3f and 8 MycoBank: MB 842337 Etymology: the epithet "*changbaiensis*" refers to Mt. Changbai, the location of the hol-

Diagnosis: This species is distinguished from closed species by a reddish brown pileus and depressed when mature at the center, light pink to white outwards and margin, striped; fresh to pink lamellae, and a reddish brown stipe up to 1/3 covered with light yellow to brown hairs (from the base upwards), short sterigmata of basidia, lack of caulocystidia, uncoralloid cheilocystidia and smaller basidiospores.

Type: China. Jilin Province: Baishan City, Changbai Korean Autonomous County, Wangtian'e Scenic Spot, 41.56° N, 127.95° E, 17 September 2020, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60300, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030272, nLSU = OM033387).

Basidiomata small-to-medium-sized, gregarious. Pileus hemispherical, deep reddish brown when young, convex or slightly depressed sometimes when mature, 2.1–3.4 cm diameter, smooth, hygrophanus, reddish brown at the center, light pink towards margin; margin white to light pink, striped, entire. Context thin, fleshy, light yellowish brown, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical, 4.2–5.3 cm long and 0.2–0.3 cm wide, deep reddish brown to reddish brown, smooth in the upper part, covered with light yellow to brown hairs up to 1/3 (from the base upwards), fistulose, fibrous. Lamellae adnate, fresh to pink, I = 1-5, L = 19-24, crowded.

Basidiospores elliptic, (5.8) 6.0–8.1 (9.0) × 3.0–4.1 (4.2) µm, Q = (1.41) 1.53–2.40 (2.50), Qm = 1.98 ± 0.24, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate, (19) 20–29 (32) × 5–8 µm, two- or four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top, (23) 24–34 (39) × (5) 6–7 (9) µm, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis a cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hyphae, inflated, 8–23 (25) µm wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth, thin-walled, clamps present.

Ecology: Grows on the deciduous layer or rotten branches in coniferous and broadleaved mixed forest.

Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Other specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Baishan City, Changbai Korean Autonomous County, Wangtian'e Scenic Spot, 41.56° N, 127.95° E, 9 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60301 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030273, nLSU = OM033388); Baishan City, Changbai Korean Autonomous County, Wangtian'e Scenic Spot, 41.56° N, 127.95° E, 9 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60302 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030274, nLSU = OM033389).

Note: *Gymnopus changbaiensis* is significantly related to *Gymnopus fagiphilus* and *Gymnopus striatus* based on its reddish brown and tomentose stipe, and short basidia sterigmata. *Gymnopus changbaiensis* can be distinguished from *Gymnopus fagiphilus* by its lighter and depressed pileus, denser and fresh to pink lamellae, and, in terms of microscopic characteristics, smaller basidiospores, uncoralloid cheilocystidia, and lack of caulocystidia. *Gymnopus changbaiensis* differs from *Gymnopus striatus* by its pale color, striped, and depressed pileus, fresh-to-pink lamellae, non-striped stipe, a bit longer cheilocystidia, coralloid pileipellis.



**Figure 8.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus changbaiensis* (HMJAU 60300, holotype): (**a**) basidiomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25  $\mu$ m (**b**), and 5  $\mu$ m (**c**–**e**).

*Gymnopus tomentosus* J.J. Hu, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov. Figures 3i and 9 MycoBank: MB 842338

Etymology: the epithet "tomentosus" refers to the tomentose margin of pileus.

Diagnosis: This species is distinguished from closed species by a near white pileus with a tomentose margin, yellowish green lamellae, and a reddish brown stipe up to 1/4 covered with reddish brown hairs (from the base upwards), smaller basidiospores, clavate cheilocystidia, and inflated to bulbous pileipellis.

Type: China: Jilin Province, Jiaohe City, Lafa Mountain National Forest Park Red Leaf Valley Scenic Spot, 43.71° N, 127.08° E, 7 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60303, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030278, nLSU = OM033390).

Basidiomata small-to-medium-sized, scattered. Pileus convex, 1.6–3.0 cm diameter, smooth, tan at the center, light brown towards margin; margin white, tomentose, entire. Context thin, fleshy, white to light yellow, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical, 3.3–4.3 cm long and 0.2–0.5 cm wide, blackish green at apex, reddish brown below, covered with reddish brown hairs up to 1/4 (from the base upwards), fistulose, fibrous. Lamellae adnexed, yellowish green, I = 3–7, L = 19–25, crowded.

Basidiospores elliptic, (6.0) 6.2–8.2 (9.0) × 3.0–4.1 (4.2)  $\mu$ m, Q = (1.50) 1.59–2.33 (2.40), Qm = 1.92 ± 0.23, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate, 20–30 (31) × 5–8  $\mu$ m, two- or four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top sometimes, (20) 22–30 (32) × 5–7  $\mu$ m, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis a cutis, made up of irregular branched to weakly coralloid or bulbous hyphae, inflated, 10–18 (21)  $\mu$ m wide, light brown, smooth, thin-walled, clamps present.

Ecology: Grows on the deciduous layer in broad-leaved forest.

Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Note: The reddish brown and tomentose stipe makes *Gymnopus tomentosus* similar to *Gymnopus fagiphilus, Gymnopus longisterigmaticus, Gymnopus longus, Gymnopus macrosporus, Gymnopus striatus,* and *Gymnopus changbaiensis.* However, its white-to-pale-yellow pileus with a tomentose margin and inflated bulbous terminal hyphae of the pileipellis differentiates *Gymnopus tomentosus* from *Gymnopus longisterigmaticus, Gymnopus longus,* 

*Gymnopus macrosporus, Gymnopus striatus,* and *Gymnopus changbaiensis. Gymnopus tomentosus* can be distinguish from *Gymnopus fagiphilus* by its near-white pileus with a tomentose margin, coralloid-to-bulbous pileipellis, smaller basidiospores, and lack of caulocystidia [1].



**Figure 9.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus tomentosus* (HMJAU 60303, holotype): (**a**) basidiomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) Basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25  $\mu$ m (**b**), and 5  $\mu$ m (**c**–**e**).

Gymnopus tiliicola J.J. Hu, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov.

Figures 3d and 10

MycoBank: MB 842339

Etymology: the epithet "tiliicola" refers to this species grows at the base of Tilia sp.

Diagnosis: This species is distinguished from closed species by a deep rose-red pileus that is pale color outward, light pink to fresh lamellae, with a deep reddish brown and smooth stipe that is longitudinally striped, grows at the base of *Tilia* sp., uncoralloid cheil-ocystidia, two- or four-spored basidia, and a bit bigger basidiospores.

Type: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 13 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-Ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60304, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030275, nLSU = OM033392).

Basidiomata medium-to-large-sized, gregarious. Pileus convex, 3.0–6.7 cm diameter, smooth, deep rose-red at the center, yellowish pink towards margin; margin white to light yellow, striped, entire, wavy. Context thin, fleshy, white to pink, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical, 2.2–4.5 cm long and 0.3–0.7 cm wide, deep reddish brown, smooth, fistulose, fibrous. Lamellae adnexed to adnate, light pink to fresh, I = 1–3, L = 19–24, crowded.

Basidiospores elliptic, (6.0) 6.9–8.0 (8.2) × (3.0) 3.1–4.0 (4.2)  $\mu$ m, Q = (1.70) 1.75–2.26 (2.33), Qm = 1.93 ± 0.17, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate, 20–30 × 6–8  $\mu$ m, two- or four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top, (20) 21–27 (28) × 5–7  $\mu$ m, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis a cutis, made up of irregular branched to weakly coralloid hyphae, inflated, (5) 6–15 (17)  $\mu$ m wide, light brown, smooth, thin-walled, clamps present.

Ecology: Grows at the base of *Tilia* sp. Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Other specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 31 August 2020, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-Ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60305 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030277, nLSU = OM033393); Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Erdaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 27 August 2021, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-Ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60304 (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030276, nLSU = OM033394).

Note: Morphologically, the rose-red to dark red pileus and stipe make *Gymnopus tiliicola* closed to *Gymnopus erythropus*. *Gymnopus tiliicola* differs from *Gymnopus erythropus* in a lighter color and striped pileus, light pink to fresh and denser lamellae. Besides, *Gymnopus tiliicola* grows at the base of *Tilia* sp., while *Gymnopus erythropus* grows on the deciduous layer or rotten branches. In regard to microfeatures, *Gymnopus tiliicola* differs from *Gymnopus erythropus* by a weakly coralloid pileipellis, uncoralloid cheilocystidia, bigger basidiospores, and two- or four-spored basidia.



**Figure 10.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus tiliicola* (HMJAU 60304, holotype): (**a**) basidiomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25  $\mu$ m (**b**), and 5  $\mu$ m (**c**–**e**).

Gymnopus globulosus J.J. Hu, Y.L. Tuo, B. Zhang and Y. Li sp. nov.

Figures 3e and 11

MycoBank: MB 842340

Etymology: the epithet "globulosus" refers to pileipellis terminal hyphae inflated to spherical to prolate.

Diagnosis: This species is distinguished from closed species by a convex to applanate pileus that is deep reddish brown at the center, lighter-colored outwards, and light yellow margin, striped; white to light yellowish green lamellae, with a reddish brown and smooth stipe, pileipellis two layers and the terminal hyphae inflated to spherical to prolate, and a bit bigger basidiospores.

Type: China. Jilin Province: Tonghua City, Ji'an County, Wunvfeng National Forest Park, 41.28° N, 126.14° E, 28 August 2019, Yong-Lan Tuo and Jia-Jun Hu, HMJAU 60307, holotype (GenBank accession no.: ITS = OM030269, nLSU = OM033406).

Basidiomata medium-sized, gregarious. Pileus convex to applanate, 4.5–5.5 cm diameter, smooth, deep reddish brown at the center, yellowish brown towards margin; margin white to light yellow, striped, entire, wavy. Context thin, fleshy, brown, odorless. Stipe center, clavate, 4.8–6.0 cm long and 0.6–0.8 cm wide, deep reddish brown, paler at apex, smooth, fistulose, fibrous. Lamellae adnexed to adnate, white to light yellowish green, I = 1-3, L = 9-15, crowded. Basidiospores elliptic, (6.8) 7.0–8.8 (9.0) × (3.1) 3.3–4.2 (4.8)  $\mu$ m, Q = (1.63) 1.75–2.20 (2.26), Qm = 1.93 ± 0.16, smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate, (23) 25–32 (33) × 6–9 (11)  $\mu$ m, two- or four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top, (22) 24–38(39) × 5–9 (10)  $\mu$ m, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis layered, the upper layer inflated to spherical to prolate hyphae, 15–33 (47)  $\mu$ m wide, brown, smooth, thin-walled; down layer made up of branched and inflated hyphae, pigment light brown to brown incrusting in pileipellis, thin-to-thick-walled.

Ecology: Grows on rotten wood.

Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Other specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Tonghua City, Ji'an County, Wunvfeng National Forest Park, 41.28° N, 126.14° E, 3 September 2021, Yong-Lan Tuo and Jia-Jun Hu, HMJAU 60308 (GenBank Accession no.: ITS = OM030270, nLSU = OM033407).

Note: In terms of morphology, *Gymnopus globulosus* resembles *Gymnopus erythropus* and *Gymnopus tiliicola* in its red to dark red pileus and stipe. However, *Gymnopus globulosus* is distinguishable from *Gymnopus erythropus* due to its deeper-colored pileus, light yellowish green lamellae, which is light yellow of *Gymnopus erythropus*. In terms of microfeature, the pileipellis of *Gymnopus erythropus* is between a cutis and a trichoderm, while the pileipellis of *Gymnopus globulosus* is layered, with the upper layer inflated to spherical to prolate hyphae and the down layer made up of branched and inflated hyphae, and bigger basidiospores. *Gymnopus globulosus* differs from *Gymnopus tiliicola* with its deeper-colored pileus, light yellowish green lamellae, grows on rotten wood, pileipellis two layers and the terminal hyphae inflated to spherical to prolate, and bigger basidiospores.



**Figure 11.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus globulosus* (HMJAU 60307, holotype): (**a**) basidiomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25  $\mu$ m (**b**), and 5  $\mu$ m (**c**–**e**).

New record from Jilin Province, China

Gymnopus erythropus (Pers.) Antonín, Halling and Noordel.

Figures 3h and 12

Basidiomata small-to-medium-sized, scattered to gregarious. Pileus convex to applanate, 1.1–3.2 cm diameter, smooth, hygrophanus, reddish brown to brown at the center, light reddish brown to yellowish brown towards margin; margin beige to light yellow, entire, wavy sometimes. Context thin, fleshy, light brown, odorless. Stipe center, cylindrical, 4.1–10.0 cm long and 0.2–0.5 cm wide, deep reddish brown to light reddish brown, paler at apex, smooth, covered with scattered light yellow to brown hairs hairy at base, fistulose, fibrous. Lamellae adnate, fresh-pink, I = 3–5, L = 14–27, crowded.

Basidiospores elliptic,  $(5.0) 6.0-8.2 (10.0) \times (2.1) 3.0-5.0 (6.0) \mu m$ , Q = (1.20) 1.48-2.33 (3.00), Qm =  $1.87 \pm 0.27$ , smooth, hyaline, inamyloid, thin-walled. Basidia clavate,  $(17) 21-33 (38) \times (4) 5-9 (10) \mu m$ , two- or four-spored, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Cheilocystidia abundant, clavate, with obtuse on the top,  $(15) 21-33 (39) \times (3) 4-8 (9) \mu m$ , thin-walled, smooth, hyaline. Pileipellis a cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hyphae, inflated,  $(6) 8-20 (20) \mu m$  wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth, thin-walled, clamps present.

Ecology: Grows on the deciduous layer or rotten branches in coniferous and broadleaved mixed forest.

Distribution: China (Jilin Province)

Specimen examined: China. Jilin Province: Baishan City, Changbai Korean Autonomous County, Wangtian'e Scenic Spot, 41.56° N, 127.95° E, 8 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60309; Baishan City, Changbai Korean Autonomous County, Wangtian'e Scenic Spot, 41.56° N, 127.95° E, 8 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60315 (GenBank Acc. no.: ITS = OM030280, nLSU = OM033395); Baishan City, Fusong County, Lushuihe Town, 42.53° N, 127.80° E, 8 September 2019, Jia-Jun Hu, Gui-ping Zhao, and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60313 (GenBank Acc. no.: ITS = OM030281, nLSU = OM033396); Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Antu County, Edaobaihe Town, 42.39° N, 128.11° E, 4 September 2018, Jia-Jun Hu and Bo Zhang, HMJAU 60310; HMJAU 60311; HMJAU 60312; Liaoning Province: Jinzhou City, Yi County, Mt. Yiwulv, 24 September 2013, Di Wang, HMJAU 28892; Jinzhou City, Yi County, Mt. Yiwulv, 25 September 2013, Di Wang, HMJAU 28839.



**Figure 12.** Morphological characteristics of *Gymnopus erythropus* (HMJAU 60315). (**a**) Basidomata, (**b**) pileipellis, (**c**) basidiospores, (**d**) basidia, and (**e**) cheilocystidia. Scale bars: 1 cm (**a**), 25  $\mu$ m (**b**), and 5  $\mu$ m (**c**–**e**).

Scientific Name	Pileus	Lamellae	Stipe
	1.5–3.2 cm diameter, smooth, brown at center, light brown to	Lamellae subfree to adnate, white to	$3.2-5.0 \times 0.2-0.3$ cm, reddish brown, smooth, covered
G. longisterigmaticu	s yellow towards the margin, margin light yellow to yellowish	light yellow, I = 1–3, L = 15–18,	with white to light reddish brown density hairs at
	white, entire	crowded	base
G. longus	1.7–3.7 cm diameter, smooth, reddish brown at center, to- wards margin light reddish brown to brown; margin white to light yellow or light brown	Adnate, white to light yellow, I = 5–7, L = 19–24, crowded	3.7–4.3 cm × 0.3–0.6 cm, reddish brown, with brown farinose on the upper part, and white to light reddish brown tomentose at the base
G. macrosporus	1.2–4.6 cm diameter, smooth, deep reddish brown at center, reddish brown to yellowish brown towards margin; margin beige to light yellow, striped, wavy	Adnexed to adnate or near free, light yellow, I = 1–3, L = 13–17, crowded	7.8–9.5 × 0.2–0.5 cm, deep reddish brown to reddish brown, smooth, and light yellow to light reddish brown tomentose at the base
G. striatus	Depressed when old, 2.3–4.1 cm diameter, smooth, cinna- mon at center, brown to light brown towards margin; mar- gin white to light yellow, striped, wavy	Adnate, yellow to light brown, I = 3– 9, L = 17–23, crowded	5.5–7.0 cm × 0.3–0.8 cm, deep reddish brown to red- dish brown, longitudinal striped, covered with yellow to light brown hairs up to 1/3 (from the base up- wards), fistulose, fibrous
G. changbaiensis	2.1–3.4 cm diameter, smooth, hygrophanus, reddish brown at the center, light pink towards margin; margin white to light pink, striped	Adnate, fresh to pink, I = 1–5, L = 19– 24, crowded	4.2–5.3 cm × 0.2–0.3 cm, deep reddish brown to red- dish brown, covered with light yellow to brown hairs up to 1/3 (from the base upwards)
G. tomentosus	1.6–3.0 cm diameter, smooth, tan at the center, light brown towards margin; margin white, tomentose	Adnexed, yellowish green, I = 3–7, L = 19–25, crowded	3.3–4.3 cm × 0.2–0.5 cm, blackish green at apex, red- dish brown below, covered with reddish brown hairs up to $1/4$ (from the base upwards)
G. tiliicola	3.0–6.7 cm diameter, smooth, deep rose-red at the center, yellowish pink towards margin; margin white to light yel- low, striped	Adnexed to adnate, light pink to fresh, I = 1–3, L = 19–24, crowded	2.2–4.5 cm × 0.3–0.7 cm, deep reddish brown, smooth
G. globulosus	4.5–5.5 cm diameter, smooth, deep reddish brown at the cen- ter, yellowish brown towards margin; margin white to light yellow, striped	Adnexed to adnate, white to light yel- lowish green, I = 1–3, L = 9–15, crowded	4.8–6.0 cm × 0.6–0.8 cm, deep reddish brown, paler at apex, smooth
G. erythropus	1.1–3.2 cm diameter, smooth, hygrophanus, reddish brown to brown at the center, light reddish brown to yellowish brown towards margin; margin beige to light yellow	Adnate, fresh-pink, I = 3–5, L = 14–27, crowded	4.1–10.0 cm × 0.2–0.5 cm, deep reddish brown to light reddish brown, paler at apex, smooth, covered with scattered light yellow to brown hairs hairy at base

Table 2. Macrocharacteristics comparison between our new species, Gymnopus erythropus and Gymnopus fagiphilus.

G. fagiphilus	(7) 15–25 (35) mm broad, when mois striate at margin, yellow-brown or r margin	st slightly trar reddish browr	nslucently n, paler at	Moderately distant, L = (15), free or narrowly a adnate, pinkish brow ream, darker with age	$\begin{array}{c} 18-21, I = 3-7 \\ adnate, rarely \\ n or pinkish \\ or tinged gray \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 20-40 \ (70) \\ sometime \\ base, cove \\ 2 \end{array}$	) × 1–3 mm, orange-browr es paler at apex, dark red-t ered with fine, white or yel /3 of length (from base up	to red-brown, prown towards llow hairs up to wards)
	Note: The description of <i>Gymne</i>	<i>opus fagiphilus</i> is	based on Ai	ntonín and Noordeloos [1	].	,	
Scientific Name	Pileipellis	0	Om	Basidiospores	Basidia	Cheilocystidia	Caulocystidia
G. longisterigmaticus	Cutis, irregularly branched hyphae, inflated, 10–27 (35) µm wide, hya- line to light yellow, smooth or pig- ment yellowish brown incrusting in pileipellis	(1.40) 1.67– 2.25 (2.26)	~ 1.93 ± 0.20	(6.2) 6.7–9.0 × (3.0) 3.1–4.3 (5.0) μm	Clavate, (18) 19–27 (28) × (5) 6–10 μm, four-spored; sterigmata extremely long, up to 40 μm	Clavate, with obtuse on the top, or branched, (16) 18–27 × (4) 5–8 (9) µm	None
G. longus	A translation between a cutis and a trichoderm, made up of irregularly interwoven, repent or ascending inflated hyphae with inflated and irregularly branched terminal elements, hyaline to light brown, (6) 7–13 (15) $\mu$ m wide, smooth or pigment yellowish brown incrusting in pileipellis	(1.27) 1.47– 2.19 (2.58)	1.80 ± 0.24	(5.6) 6.0–8.0 × (3.0)3.1–4.1 (4.9) μm	(19) 20–28 (29) × 6–9 μm, two- or four-spored, cla- vate; sterigmata ex- tremely long, up to 33 μm long	Clavate, (21) 22–29 (30) × 5–7 μm, with obtuse on the top	None
G. macrosporus	Cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hy- phae, inflated, 10–27 (35) µm wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth	(1.63) 1.67– 2.32 (2.37)	$1.88 \pm 0.18$	(6.0) 6.8–7.9 (8.3) × (3.0) 3.1–4.2 (4.3) μm	Clavate, 20–29 × 6–9 μm, two- or four-spored, thin-walled; sterigmata extremely long, up to 32 um	Clavate, with obtuse on the top, 20–28 (30) × 5 (6)–9 µm	None
G. striatus	Cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hy- phae, inflated, 10–30 (35) µm wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth	(1.50) 1.58– 2.50 (2.60)	2.01 ± 0.25	6.0–8.0 (9.0) × 3.0–4.0 μm	Clavate, 20 (21)–34 (37) × 5–10 μm, two- or four- spored	Clavate, with obtuse on the top, (17) 20–30 × 4–8 (10) µm	None

G. changbaiensis	Cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hy- phae, inflated, 8–23 (25) µm wide, hyaline to light yellow	(1.41) 1.53– 2.40 (2.50)	$1.98 \pm 0.24$	(5.8) 6.0–8.1 (9.0) × 3.0–4.1(4.2) μm	Clavate, (19) 20–29 (32) × 5–8 µm, two- or four- spored	Clavate, with obtuse on the top, (23) 24–34 (39) × (5) 6–7 (9) µm	None
G. tomentosus	Cutis, made up of irregular branched to weakly coralloid or bulbous hyphae, inflated, 10–18 (21) µm wide, light brown	(1.50) 1.59– 2.33 (2.40)	$1.92 \pm 0.23$	(6.0) 6.2–8.2 (9.0) × 3.0–4.1 (4.2) μm	Clavate, 20–30 (31) × 5–8 µm, two- or four-spored	Clavate, with obtuse on the top sometimes, (20) 22–30 (32) × 5–7 µm	None
G. tiliicola	Cutis, made up of irregular branched to weakly coralloid hy- phae, inflated, (5)6–15(17) μm wide, light brown, smooth	(1.70) 1.75– 2.26 (2.33)	$1.93 \pm 0.17$	(6.0) 6.9–8.0 (8.2) × (3.0) 3.1–4.0 (4.2) μm	Clavate, 20–30 × 6–8 μm, two- or four-spored	Clavate, with obtuse on the top, (20) 21–27 (28) × 5–7 μm	None
G. globulosus	Layered, the upper layer inflated to spherical to prolate hyphae, 15–33 (47) µm wide, brown, smooth, thin- walled; down layer made up of branched and inflated hyphae, pig- ment light brown to brown incrust- ing in pileipellis, thin-to-thick- walled	(1.63) 1.75– 2.20 (2.26)	1.93 ± 0.16	(6.8) 7.0–8.8(9.0) × (3.1) 3.3–4.2 (4.8) μm	Clavate, (23) 25–32 (33) × 6–9 (11) μm, two- or four-spored	Clavate, with obtuse on the top, (22) 24–38 (39) × 5–9 (10) μm	None
G. erythropus	Cutis, made up of irregular branched or weakly coralloid hy- phae, inflated, (6) 8–20 (20) µm wide, hyaline to light yellow, smooth	(1.20) 1.48– 2.33 (3.00)	$1.87 \pm 0.27$	(5.0) 6.0–8.2 (10.0) × (2.1) 3.0–5.0 (6.0) μm	Clavate, (17) 21–33 (38) × (4) 5–9 (10) µm, two- or four-spored	Clavate, with obtuse on the top, (15) 21–33 (39) × (3) 4–8 (9) µm, thin- walled, smooth, hyaline	None
G. fagiphilus	Cutis with transitions to a tricho- derm, made up of irregularly shaped, 4.0–15 (25) µm–wide coral- loid elements ("Dryophila-struc- ture"); pigment brown-yellow, in- crusting in pileipellis	1.7–2.3	2.1	(6.0) 7.0–9.0 × (3.0) 3.5–4.5 μm	21–31 × 6.0–8.5 μm, 4- spored	$\begin{array}{l} 1540\ (60)\times4.08.0\ (10)\\ \mu\text{m, irregularly clavate,}\\ \text{often with lobed apex or}\\ \text{with short to long, up to}\\ 10\ \mu\text{m long rostrum,}\\ \text{sometimes very slender}\\ \text{lageniform} \end{array}$	20–80 (120) × 4.0– 12 μm, subcylin- drical or sub- lageniform, nu- merous

Note: The description of Gymnopus fagiphilus is based on Antonín and Noordeloos [1].

Key to the species reported in this study
1 Stipe covered with dense hairs at the base2
1 Stipe smooth, or covered with sparse hairs at the baseGymnopus erythropus
2 Basidia sterigmata extremely long
2 Basidia sterigmata short5
3 Stipe smooth in upper part4
3 Stipe covered with brown farinose on the upper part Gymnopus longues
4 Pileus pale color, stipe color unevenGymnopus longisterigmaticus
4 Pileus dark color, stipe color uniform
5 Growing on the deciduous layer or rotten branches
5 Grows at the base of Tilia spGymnopus tiliicola
6 Pileus pale color, near whiteGymnopus tomentosus
6 Pileus deep color7
7 Stipe covered with longitudinally stripesGymnopus striatus
7 Stipe without longitudinally stripes
8 Pileipellis a cuits, typically "Dryophila type"Gymnopus changbaiensis
8 Pileipellis layered, hyphae inflated to spherical to prolate Gymnopus globulosus

#### 4. Discussion

## 4.1. New Sights on Morphological Characteristics

The genus *Gymnopus* is geographically widely distributed; however, in China, its species diversity is poorly known. Moreover, in China, only three species were originally described with molecular evidence. One of these is *Gymnopus ramulicola* T.H. Li and S.F. Deng [27] from Hainan Province, China; the second one is *Gymnopus allifoetidissimus* T.H. Li and J.P. Li [25] from Guangdong Province, China; and the third is *Gymnopus pallipes* J.P. Li and Chun Y. Deng [25] from Guangdong and Guizhou Province, China. In our study, eight new species of *Gymnopus* from China are described as new species. They are well-supported by molecular phylogenetic and morphological evidence. Our newly recognized and delimited species are distributed in the broad-leaved and mixed forests, and occur in early autumn in Northeast China. The species we described here are hardly seen in the wild mushroom market; thus, their edibility is not yet known.

The description of these new species also broadens the morphological characterization of the genus *Gymnopus*. In the previous study, the pileipellis of the species in this genus was a cuits to trichoderm. Moreover, the pileipellis in the species of sect. *Levipedes* was an entangled, not radially oriented trichoderm of inflated, often lobed or coralloid elements of the "*Dryophila* type" [1,17]. In this study, the pileipellis of *Gymnopus globulosus* was divided into two layers, with the upper layer comprising hyphae inflated to spherical to prolate, differing from that of all known species in the genus, while the second layer was typical of the "*Dryophila* type". To our knowledge, the sterigmata of the basidia are usually not too long; however, the species *Gymnopus longistrigmaticus*, *Gymnopus longus*, and *Gymnopus macrosporus* had extremely long sterigmata, up to 40 µm. Thus, the structure of extremely long basidia sterigmata is traceable in our species. In addition, all the species described from this study are detailed compared in macro- and micro-features (Tables 2 and 3).

### 4.2. Phylogenetic Relationships of Gymnopus s.l. with Related Genera

Phylogenetic analyses of the species of *Gymnopus* s.l. and the related genera presented in this study confirmed that the genus *Gymnopus* defined by Antonín and Noordeloos, as well as Halling, is not monophyletic in a strongly supported clade. Similar results were observed with our phylogenetic analysis. Our results, thus, support the finding of Oliveira et al., promoting sect. *Perforanita* to the genus level, *Paragymnopus*, and share a close affinity with *Lentinula*. Moreover, sect. *Vestipedes* was clearly separated from *Gym*- *nopus* s. str [12,15], and were closed to *Marasmiellus*, *Collybiopsis*, and *Rhodocollybia*. However, in their study, the species of *Gymnopus* sect. *Vestipedes* was involved with *Marasmiellus*; therefore, Oliveira et al. [15] proposed to transfer *Gymnopus* sect. *Vestipedes* to *Marasmiellus* and redefined the genus *Gymnopus* more strictly.

However, in our phylogenetic analyses, a different result was obtained. In our results, sect. *Vestipedes* did not group into one clade with *Marasmiellus* to form an independent clade, forming a sister clade to genus *Collybiopsis*. Furthermore, the taxonomic status of *Collybiopsis minor* R.H. Petersen still needs to be clarified; in our study, *C. minor* was separated far away from *Collybiopsis*, while being clustered with sect. *Vestipedes* within a single clade.

Some species of sect. *Vestipedes* and genus *Marasmiellus* have been proposed for transfer to other genera in recent years. *Gymnopus cylindricus* J.L. Mata and *Gymnopus brunneigracilis* (Corner) A.W. Wilson, Desjardin and E. Horak were suggested to be switched into *Marasiellus*. The type species of *Marasmiellus*, *Marasmiellus juniperinus*, and some other species within the genus, were advised to be relocated to *Collybiopsis* [47]. Thus, the boundaries between *Gymnopus*, *Marasmiellus*, and *Collybiopsis* would be more blurred, especially between *Marasmiellus* and *Collybiopsis*, as well as if these species were transferred to *Collybiopsis*; then it would be multiphyletic, with *Rhodocollybia*, *Paragymnopus*, and *Lentinula* would becoming synonyms of *Gymnopus*.

#### 4.3. Nova Suggestions of Phylogenetic Relationships within Gymnopus s. str.

In our phylogenetic results, the genus *Gymnopus*, which was defined by Oliveira et al. [15], was mainly divided into four clades. Sect. *Levipedes*, sect. *Gymnopus*, and sect. *An-drosacei* are somewhat more closely related, whereas they are distant from the sect. *Impudicae*. Before 2010, both sect. *Impudicae* and sect. *Levipedes* were subsections below the same section. However, from our results, sect. *Impudicae* and sect. *Levipedes* are more distantly related, probably due to similar environments, causing a similar appearance. In addition, the genus *Mycetines* and sect. *Impudicae*, with a strong odor, are not closely related to each other, and this is consistent with the result that they have a different pileipellis structure of pileus.

Thus, sect. Levipedes being split into two sections was supported by the phylogenetic analysis. Sect. Levipedes subsect. Levipedes was also divided into two subclades: one is Gymnopus dryophilus complex, a subclade (defined here as/dryophila) that includes all the Gymnopus dryophilus complex species reported around the world (characterized by a Gymnopus *dryophilus*–like appearance and arises in early spring or later in the autumn). From the result, the East Asia sequences of Gymnopus dryophilus were not clustered with the European sequences, while they were clustered with the new species-Gymnopus dryophiloides – that Antonín, Ryoo and Ka reported from Korea in 2020. Antonín et al. [51] do not accept Gymnopus lanipes (Malençon and Bertault) Vila and Llimona as a separate species and consider it to be a variant of *Gymnopus dryophilus*. From our phylogenetic result, it is clear that Gymnopus lanipes clusters with Gymnopus inexpectatus, which Consiglio, Vizzini, Antonín and Contu described from Europe, which, if Gymnopus lanipes is not considered an independent species, then Gymnopus dryophioides and Gymnopus inexpectatus should equally be treated as *Gymnopus dryophilus*. Moreover, *Gymnopus erythropus* complex, a subclade (defined here as/erythropus), includes Gymnopus erythropus, Gymnopus fagiphilus, and our new species (characterized by a red to reddish brown color, a smooth or scattered-to-dense tomentose stipe, and occurring in early autumn). The above results imply the need for a deeper and more extensive study on sect. Levipedes.

Based on the current study's findings, we increased the species diversity of the genus *Gymnopus* from China. However, probably due to the lacking of species sampling or the inadequate genetic variation in the DNA loci in our study, the deep phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Gymnopus* and between the related genera—*Lentinula*, *Rhodocollybia*, *Mycetinis*, *Collybiopsis*, etc.—remain unresolved. Thus, in future work, more species of

this genus and similar genera will be discovered, which will provide new evidence and, thus, lead to a deeper understanding of the relationships within and among these genera.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.L. (Yu Li), B.Z. and J.H.; experimental design and methodology, Y.L. (Yajie Liu), B.Z., J.H. and Y.T.; performance of practical work, G.R., Y.L. (Yajie Liu), Y.T., J.H., L.Y., Y.L. (Yu Li) and G.Z.; statistical analyses, J.H., Y.T., Z.Z., T.Z. and Z.Q.; validation, J.H. and B.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, J.H.; writing—review and editing, B.Z.; supervision, Y.L. (Yajie Liu) and B.Z.; project administration, B.Z.; funding acquisition, B.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31970020), National Key R&D of Ministry of Science and Technology (2018YFE0107800, 2019YFD1001905-33), Jilin Province Science and Technology Development Plan Project (20190201256JC, 20190201026JC), Scientific and Technological Tackling Plan for the Key Fields of Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps (No. 2021AB004), and "111" program (No. D17014).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors state no conflict of interest

# References

- 1. Antonín, V.; Noordeloos, M.E. A Monograph of Marasmioid and Collybioid Fungi in Europ; IHW Verl: Eching, Germany, 2010.
- 2. Persoon, C.H. Synopsis Methodica Fungorum 1; Apud Henricum Dieterich: Göttingen, Germany, 1801.
- 3. Fries, E.M. Systema Mycologicum: Sistens Fungorum Ordines, Genera Et Species, Huc Usque Cognitas; Ex officina Berlingiana: Lund, Berling, Germany, 1821; Volume 1.
- 4. Staude, F. Die Schwämme Mitteldeutschlands, Insbesondere Des Herzogtums Coburg; Dietz: Coburg, Germany, 1857; Volume 1.
- 5. Singer, R. *The Agaricales in Modern Taxonomy*, 2nd ed; J. Cramer: Vaduz, Germany, 1962.
- 6. Singer, R. The Agaricales in Modern Taxonomy, 3rd ed; J. Cramer: Vaduz, Germany, 1975.
- 7. Singer, R. The Agaricales in Modern Taxonomy, 4th ed; Koeltz Botanical Books: Koenigstein, Germany, 1986.
- Halling, R.E. The Genus Collybia (Agaricales) in the Northeastern United States and Adjacent Canada. Mycol. Mem. 1983, 8, 1– 148.
- 9. Antonín, V.; Noordeloos, M.E. A Monograph of *Marasmius*, *Collybia* and Related Genera in Europe. Part 1: *Marasmius*, *Setulipes*, and *Marasmiellus*. *Libr*. Bot. **1993**, *8*, 1–229.
- 10. Antonín, V.; Noordeloos, M.E. A Monograph of *Marasmius, Collybia* and Related Genera in Europe. Part 2: *Collybia, Gymnopus, Rhodocollybia, Crinipellis, Chaetocalathus,* and Additions to *Marasmiellus. Libr. Bot.* **1997**, *17*, 1–256.
- 11. Antonín, V.; Halling, R.; Noordeloos, M. Generic Concepts within the Groups of *Marasmius* and *Collybia* Sensu Lato. *Mycotaxon* **1997**, *63*, 359–368.
- 12. Wilson, A.W.; Desjardin, D.E. Phylogenetic Relationships in the Gymnopoid and Marasmioid Fungi (*Basidiomycetes*, Euagarics Clade). *Mycologia* **2005**, *97*, 667–679. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756297005996.
- Moncalvo, J.M.; Vilgalys, R.; Redhead, S.A. etc. One Hundred and Seventeen Clades of Euagarics. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 2002, 23, 357–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1055-7903(02)00027-1.
- 14. Mata, J.L.; Hughes, K.W.; Petersen, R.H. Phylogenetic Placement of *Marasmiellus juniperinus*. *Mycoscience* 2004, 45, 214–221. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-004-0170-3.
- Oliveira, J.J.; Vargas-Isla, R.; Cabral, T.S.; Rodrigues, D.P.; Ishikawa, N.K. Progress on the Phylogeny of the Omphalotaceae: Gymnopus S. Str., Marasmiellus S. Str., Paragymnopus gen. nov. and Pusillomyces gen. nov. Mycol. Prog. 2019, 18, 713–739. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-019-01483-5.
- 16. Petersen, R.H.; Hughes, K.W. Two New Genera of Gymnopoid/Marasmioid Euagarics. *Mycotaxon* 2020, 135, 1–95. https://doi.org/10.5248/135.1.
- Halling, R.E. Notes on *Collybia V. Gymnopus* Section *Levipedes* in Tropical South America, with Comments on *Collybia. Brittonia* 1996, 48, 487–494. https://doi.org/10.2307/2807862.
- 18. Singer, R. Type Studies in Basidiomycetes. X. Pers. -Mol. Phylogeny Evol. Fungi 1961, 2, 1-62.
- 19. Jansen, A. *Het Geslacht Collybia (De Fungi Van Nederland);* Stichting Uitgeverij Koninklijke Nederlandse Natuurhistorische Vereniging: Zeist, Nederlands, 1991.
- 20. Kirk, P.; Cannon, P.; Minter, D.; Stalpers, J. Dictionary of the Fungi, 10th ed.; CABI International: Wallingford, UK, 2008.
- 21. Teng, S.Q. Fungi of China; Science Press, Academic Sinica: Beijing, China, 1963.
- 22. Tai, F.L. Sylloge Fungorum Sinicorum; Science Press, Academic Sinica: Beijing, China, 1979.
- 23. Deng, S.F. Taxonomy of *Gymnopus* and Preliminary Study of *Marasmiaceae* Resource in South China. Master's Thesis, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China, 2016.

- 24. Li, J.-P.; Song, B.; Feng, Z.; Wang, J.; Deng, C.-Y.; Yang, Y.-H. A New Species of *Gymnopus* Sect. *Androsacei* (*Omphalotaceae*, *Agaricales*) from China. *Phytotaxa* **2021**, *521*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.521.1.1.
- Li, J.-P.; Li, Y.; Li, T.-H.; Antonín, V.; Hosen, I.; Song, B.; Xie, M.-L.; Feng, Z. A Preliminary Report of Gymnopus Sect. Impudicae (Omphalotaceae) from China. Phytotaxa 2021, 497, 263–276. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.497.3.5.
- Mešić, A.; Tkalčec, Z.; Deng, C.-Y.; Li, T.-H.; Pleše, B.; Četković, H. *Gymnopus Fuscotramus (Agaricales)*, a New Species from Southern China. *Mycotaxon* 2011, 117, 321–330. https://doi.org/10.5248/117.321.
- Deng, S.-F.; Li, T.-H.; Jiang, Z.-D.; Song, B. *Gymnopus Ramulicola* sp. nov., a Pinkish Species from Southern China. *Mycotaxon* 2016, 131, 663–670. https://doi.org/10.5248/131.663.
- Wang, X.S.; Bao, J.S.; Bao, H.; Feng, J. Macrofungal Diversity in Hanwula National Nature Reserve, Inner Mongolia. *Mycosystema* 2020, 39, 695–706. https://doi.org/10.13346/j.mycosystema.190409.
- 29. Sun, Y.L.; Luo, Y.; Bau, T. Notes on *Basidiomycetes* of Jilin Province (X). J. Fungal Res. 2021, 19, 139–147. https://doi.org/10.13341/j.jfr.2021.1432.
- 30. Royal Botanic Garden, E. Flora of British Fungi: Colour Identification Chart; HM Stationery Office: Edinburgh, UK, 1969.
- César, E.; Bandala, V.M.; Montoya, L.; Ramos-Fernández, A. A New *Gymnopus* Species with Rhizomorphs and Its Record as Nesting Material by Birds (*Tyrannideae*) in the Subtropical Cloud Forest from Eastern Mexico. *MycoKeys* 2018, 42, 21–34. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.42.28894.
- 32. Gardes, M.; Bruns, T.D. Its Primers with Enhanced Specificity for *Basidiomycetes*-Application to the Identification of Mycorrhizae and Rusts. *Mol. Ecol.* **1993**, *2*, 113–118. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x.
- Cubeta, M.A.; Echandi, E.; Abernethy, T.; Vilgalys, R. Characterization of Anastomosis Groups of Binucleate Rhizoctonia Species Using Restriction Analysis of an Amplified Ribosomal Rna Gene. *Phytopathology* 1991, *81*, 1395–1400.
- Vilgalys, R.; Hester, M. Rapid Genetic Identification and Mapping of Enzymatically Amplified Ribosomal DNA from Several Cryptococcus Species. J. Bacteriol. 1990, 172, 4238–4246. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990.
- Coimbra, V.R.M.; Pinheiro, F.G.B.; Wartchow, F.; Gibertoni, T.B. Studies on *Gymnopus* Sect. *Impudicae* (*Omphalotaceae, Agaricales*) from Northern Brazil: Two New Species and Notes on *G. Montagnei. Mycol. Prog.* 2015, 14, 110. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-015-1131-2.
- Ryoo, R.; Antonín, V.; Ka, K.-H.; Tomšovský, M. Marasmioid and Gymnopoid Fungi of the Republic of Korea. 8. *Gymnopus* Section *Impudicae*. *Phytotaxa* 2016, 286, 75–88. https://doi.org/10.116-46/phytotaxa.286.2.2.
- 37. Thonpson, J. The Clustal X Windows Interface: Flexible Strategies for Multiple Sequence Alignment Aided by Quality Analysis Tools. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **1997**, *24*, 4876–4882.
- Ranwez, V.; Douzery, E.J.P.; Cambon, C.; Chantret, N.; Delsuc, F. Macse V2: Toolkit for the Alignment of Coding Sequences Accounting for Frameshifts and Stop Codons. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2018, *35*, 2582–2584. https://doi.org/doi:10.1093/molbev/msy159.
- 39. Katoh, K.; Standley, D.M. Mafft Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2013**, *30*, 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Hall, T. Bioedit: A User-Friendly Biological Sequence Alignment Editor and Analysis Program for Windows 95/98/Nt. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 1999, 41, 95–98.
- Zhang, D.; Gao, F.; Jakovlić, I.; Zhou, H.; Zhang, J.; Li, W.X.; Wang, G.T. Phylosuite: An Integrated and Scalable Desktop Platform for Streamlined Molecular Sequence Data Management and Evolutionary Phylogenetics Studies. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 2020, 20, 348–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096.
- 42. Kalyaanamoorthy, S.; Minh, B.Q.; Wong, T.K.F.; Von Haeseler, A.; Jermiin, L.S. Modelfinder: Fast Model Selection for Accurate Phylogenetic Estimates. *Nat. Methods* **2017**, *14*, 587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285.
- Ronquist, F.; Huelsenbeck, J.P. Mrbayes 3: Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference under Mixed Models. *Bioinformatics* 2003, 19, 1572– 1574. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180.
- Edler, D.; Klein, J.; Antonelli, A.; Silvestro, D. Raxmlgui 2.0: A Graphical Interface and Toolkit for Phylogenetic Analyses Using Raxml. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 2021, 12, 373–377. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13512.
- Vizzini, A.; Antonín, V.; Sesli, E.; Contu, M. Gymnopus Trabzonensis sp. nov. Omphalotaceae and Tricholoma Virgatum var. Fulvoumbonatum var. nov. Tricholomataceae, Two New White-Spored Agarics from Turkey. Phytotax 2015, 226, 119–130. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.226.2.2.
- 46. Mata, J.L.; Hughes, K.W.; Petersen, R.H. An Investigation of/*Omphalotaceae* (Fungi: Euagarics) with Emphasis on the Genus *Gymnopus. SYDOWIA-HORN-* **2006**, *58*, 191–289.
- 47. Petersen, R.H.; Hughes, K.W. Collybiopsis and Its Type Species, Co. Ramealis. Mycotaxon 2021, 136, 263–349. https://doi.org/10.5248/136.263.
- Wilson, A.; Desjardin, D.; Horak, E. Agaricales of Indonesia: 5. The Genus *Gymnopus* from Java and Bali. *Sydowia* 2004, 56, 137–210.
- Schoch, C.L.; Robbertse, B.; Robert, V.; Vu, D.; Cardinali, G.; Irinyi, L.; Meyer, W.; Nilsson, R.H.; Hughes, K.; Miller, A.N.; et al. Finding Needles in Haystacks: Linking Scientific Names, Reference Specimens and Molecular Data for Fungi. *Database* 2014, 2014, bau061.
- Petersen, R.H.; Hughes, K.W. Micromphale Sect. Perforantia (Agaricales, Basidiomycetes); Expansion and Phylogenetic Placement. MycoKeys 2016, 18, 1–122. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.18.10007.
- Antonín, V.; Sedlák, P.; Tomšovský, M. Taxonomy and Phylogeny of European Gymnopus Subsection Levipedes (Basidiomycota, Omphalotaceae). Persoonia 2013, 31, 179–187. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158513X674043.

- 52. Vu, D.; Groenewald, M.; De Vries, M.; Gehrmann, T.; Stielow, B.; Eberhardt, U.; Al-Hatmi, A.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Cardinali, G.; Houbraken, J.; et al. Large-Scale Generation and Analysis of Filamentous Fungal DNA Barcodes Boosts Coverage for Kingdom Fungi and Reveals Thresholds for Fungal Species and Higher Taxon Delimitation. *Stud. Mycol.* 2019, *92*, 135–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2018.05.001.
- Ryoo, R.; Antonín, V.; Ka, K.H. Marasmioid and Gymnopoid Fungi of the Republic of Korea. 8. *Gymnopus* Section *Levipedes*. *Mycobiology* 2020, 48, 252–262. https://doi.org/10.1080/12298093.2020.1769541.
- 54. Vizzini, A.; Consiglio, G.; Antonin, V.; Contu, M. A New Species within the *Gymnopus Dryophilus* Complex (*Agaricomycetes, Basidiomycota*) from Italy. *Mycotaxon* 2008, 105, 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708094104.
- 55. Mata, J.L.; Petersen, R.H.; Hughes, K.W. The Genus *Lentinula* in the Americas. *Mycologia* **2001**, *93*, 1102–1112. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2001.12063244.
- Hibbett, D.S.; Hansen, K.; Donoghue, M.J. Phylogeny and Biogeography of *Lentinula* Inferred from an Expanded Rdna Dataset. *Mycol. Res.* 1998, 102, 1041–1049. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756297005996.
- 57. Antonín, V.; Ryoo, R.; Shin, H.-D. Marasmioid and Gymnopoid Fungi of the Republic of Korea. 2. *Marasmius* Sect. *Globulares*. *Persoonia* **2010**, *24*, 49–59. https://doi.org/10.3767/003158510X496107.
- 58. Petersen, R.H.; Hughes, K.W. An Investigation on *Mycetinis* (Euagarics, *Basidiomycota*). *MycoKeys* **2017**, 24, 1–139. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.24.12846.
- 59. Mata, J.L.; Ovrebo, C.L.; Baroni, T.J. etc. New Species of Neotropical *Rhodocollybia*. *Mycotaxon* 2016, 131, 235–245. https://doi.org/10.5248/131.235.