

Article

Unveiling Cryptocurrency Impact on Financial Markets and Traditional Banking Systems: Lessons for Sustainable Blockchain and Interdisciplinary Collaborations

Umar Kayani ¹  and Fakhrul Hasan ^{2,*}

¹ College of Business, Al Ain University, Abu Dhabi 112612, United Arab Emirates; umar.kayani@aau.ac.ae

² Newcastle Business School, Northumbria University, Newcastle NE7 7XA, UK

* Correspondence: fakhrul.hasan@northumbria.ac.uk

Abstract: The advent of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology has sparked a revolutionary shift in the financial sector. This study sets out on a wide-ranging investigation to understand the nuanced dynamics, repercussions, and potential future paths of this shifting environment in the UK and USA. The primary goals of the research are to examine how cryptocurrencies affect financial markets and conventional banking systems; to examine how blockchain technology might be used in the financial sector; to assess policy and regulatory considerations; and to predict and plan for the future. This research digs into how cryptocurrencies have revolutionized the banking and finance sectors. Analysis of adoption rates, market volatility, and integration methods sheds light on the changing position of cryptocurrencies in investment portfolios, reconfiguration of asset classes, and coping mechanisms of conventional financial institutions. When looking at the financial sector as a whole, the transformational potential of blockchain technology becomes clear. The advent of DeFi, smart contracts, and asset tokenization offers new prospects to improve financial transactions, increase transparency, and broaden participation in the investment market. The research analyzes cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology from a policy and regulatory perspective. The delicate balancing act between stimulating innovation and guaranteeing consumer protection, market integrity, and financial stability is highlighted by a comparison of the regulatory methods adopted in the United Kingdom and United States, as well as proposals from international organizations. The research identifies potential future paths for these technologies and their implications. Opportunities and challenges that will influence the future of finance emerge, with a focus on central bank digital currencies (CBDCs), sustainable blockchain solutions, and interdisciplinary collaborations. As this deep dive comes to a close, the transformational power of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology is highlighted. It sheds light on the forces that are altering the structures of the world's financial markets, conventional banking structures, and regulatory frameworks. The findings and critical assessment stress the need for well-considered choices, ethical innovation, and interdisciplinary cooperation in order to succeed in an ever-changing environment. To further democratize access, improve transparency, and reshape the economic fabric of our planet, the future of finance resides at the confluence of tradition and innovation, where cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology exist.

Keywords: cryptocurrency; blockchain; financial market; USA; UK; traditional banking system



Citation: Kayani, Umar, and Fakhrul Hasan. 2024. Unveiling Cryptocurrency Impact on Financial Markets and Traditional Banking Systems: Lessons for Sustainable Blockchain and Interdisciplinary Collaborations. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 17: 58. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17020058>

Academic Editor: Svetlozar (Zari) Rachev

Received: 20 December 2023

Revised: 9 January 2024

Accepted: 12 January 2024

Published: 1 February 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In the world of contemporary finance, the advent of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology has heralded a paradigm shift, altered the face of financial markets, and posed a serious threat to established banking practices. Cryptocurrencies and blockchain have spawned a wave of new possibilities and threats that need in-depth study due to the dynamic interaction between these two disruptive forces. With an emphasis on the United Kingdom (UK) and United States of America (USA), this study sets out on an exploration

of the complex interconnections between cryptocurrencies, blockchain technology, financial markets, and conventional banking institutions. Bitcoin, the first cryptocurrency, has sparked widespread interest from technologists, financiers, and legislators. Because of their decentralized structure, cross-border transactions, and promise for financial inclusion, cryptocurrencies have caused a significant shift in conventional financial practices (Hasan et al. 2023a). At the same time, blockchain technology has emerged as the backbone of cryptocurrencies and has the potential to revolutionize operations far beyond the realm of digital money. Its immutability, transparency, and increased security have piqued the curiosity of many in industry, but those in the financial industry in particular. The development and spread of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology have been heralded as a watershed moment in the fight against centrally controlled financial systems. The significance of Bitcoin has increased as its value has risen (Meiryani et al. 2022). A blockchain, however, is only a network of data nodes linked through connections and operating independently (Gorkhali et al. 2020). It operates on a decentralized, open, and digital ledger with no single repository. Blockchain data are stored in units called blocks, each of which includes a partition header and block content. The original data are stored in the partition header, whereas transaction data are stored in the block body (An elaborate mechanism for reaching agreement on encryption keys is used to verify users' identities (Nakamoto 2018). Each participant in this protocol contributes to a shared ledger with the others. Each transaction is guaranteed to be immutable and consistent thanks to this shared ledger. Public, private, permissioned, and permissionless models are the four most popular types (Huckle and White 2016). In contrast to private blockchains, which are exclusively available to a select group of users, public blockchains may be accessed by anybody.

The complicated permission systems of blockchain and cryptocurrencies guarantee data privacy and security without intervention from any central authority, which is what initially draws in investors. Despite the fact that their social impact is still up in the air according to some academics (Campbell-Verduyn 2019), others have proposed that a new branch of law called the *lex cryptographic* will emerge as a result of the convergence of law and algorithms to govern the actions and interactions of agents. This is why several sectors, including healthcare, e-commerce, the energy industry, and the financial sector, have come to depend upon them heavily. Fintech is also making great strides, with methods like "digital coins" simplifying and eliminating the inherent risks associated with funding new company ventures (Aydemir and Aysan 2023). Self-executing security protocols like "Proof of Work" (PoW), "Proof of Stake" (PoS), and "Smart Contracts" are boosting its viability in the financial industry. In addition, this technology has been shown to help with trade finance's existing problems. Trust mechanisms, transaction authority, information transfer, and transaction traceability are all examples of such concerns (Chang et al. 2019). There has been a recent tendency and some effort made to attempt to control blockchain transactions, although this is primarily outside of government-imposed rules. It seems, however, that the goal of these rules is to boost consumer trust in blockchain-based transactions rather than to dictate their form or content. In order to provide solid guidelines for future study in this sector, conducting a thorough evaluation of existing research on blockchain technology is required.

With their respective financial ecosystems, legal frameworks, and technical infrastructures, the United Kingdom and United States are at the forefront of this financial revolution. The goal of this study is to shed light on how cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology have affected these countries' financial markets and their conventional banking institutions, which have been cornerstones of their economies for decades. Examining the impact of cryptocurrencies as investment assets, means of exchange, and possible threats to established financial systems is the focus of this section of the research. The purpose of this study is to provide an in-depth examination of how these digital assets have modified the functioning of markets, the attitudes of investors, and the security of financial institutions in the United Kingdom and United States. It also plans to investigate how conventional

financial institutions are responding to the advent of digital currencies and how they are dealing with the dangers that come with this transition.

This study seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of how blockchain is reshaping financial processes, improving operational efficiency, and potentially revolutionizing the core functions of traditional banking systems in both countries through an examination of use cases, such as smart contracts, decentralized finance (DeFi), and cross-border payments. The United Kingdom and United States are fascinating case studies because they show how differently cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology are regulated in each country. This study aims to add to the continuing discussion about the future of finance in a digital and linked world by analyzing the experiences of these two countries. The subsequent chapters will take the reader on a journey through the backgrounds, theoretical frameworks, empirical analyses, and policy implications of cryptocurrencies, blockchain technology, financial markets, and the conventional banking systems of the United Kingdom and United States. The importance of this research lies in the depth to which it investigates the revolutionary effects of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology on UK and USA financial markets and on conventional banking institutions (Hasan et al. 2022).

As the world's financial system undergoes a radical transformation, this study adds to the ongoing conversation in the following ways: This research helps investors, financial institutions, and regulators better understand the effects of cryptocurrencies on financial markets so that they may better navigate the changing investment environment and reduce related risks. The study's analysis of how traditional banking systems have incorporated cryptocurrencies and responded to technological disruption can help other financial institutions to think creatively about how to stay competitive in the face of similar challenges. The research provides a road map for financial institutions, technologists, and entrepreneurs to harness the transformative capabilities of DLT, which may revolutionize conventional financial processes and promote financial inclusion through a thorough examination of blockchain technology's potential applications in finance.

The research adds to an understanding of the diversity of methods used to tackle the difficulties and possibilities provided by cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology by comparing regulatory responses in the UK and USA. These findings may help policymakers craft rules that are both innovative and successful at protecting consumers and the economy. The study's exploration of future prospects and challenges informs stakeholders about the potential trajectories of financial markets and banking systems, allowing them to do so. A deeper understanding of the dynamics of cryptocurrencies, blockchain technology, financial markets, and conventional banking institutions is a welcome addition to the existing literature in the fields of finance, economics, and technology. It lays the groundwork for future research and theoretical advancements in various areas of study.

2. Literature Review

The advent of cryptocurrencies and the development of blockchain technology have been transformative and paradigm-shifting forces in the world of finance, prompting the need to rethink long-held assumptions and standards. This literature study delves into the complex world of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology, analyzing its effects on the banking industry in the UK and USA, the stock market, and other areas related to finance. This study aims to provide complete knowledge of the developing role of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology in the financial sector by reviewing a wide variety of academic publications, empirical investigations, and industry reports.

2.1. Cryptocurrencies: Transforming Financial Markets

According to recent research, cryptocurrencies have the ability to fundamentally alter existing monetary systems (Chang et al. 2019). Beyond their function as digital instruments of trade, the complexities of cryptocurrencies are investigated here. They may, nevertheless, serve as powerful disruptors, sparking fundamental changes in market dynamics (Chang et al. 2019). Digital currencies, spearheaded by the revolutionary Bitcoin, are a new kind of investment

instrument that defies established conventions. Research by academics like [Baur et al. \(2018\)](#) and [Cheah and Fry \(2015\)](#) highlights the diversification advantages of Bitcoin investing. In addition, the research shows how the incorporation of these methods into conventional portfolios has altered the risk profiles of investment strategies ([Osmani et al. 2020](#)).

The rise of cryptocurrencies as a separate asset class has prompted a rethinking of how investors diversify their holdings. Their infancy is a factor in the extreme volatility that has been compared to both speculative bubbles and game-changing breakthroughs ([Gandal et al. 2018](#); [Sadiq et al. 2023](#)). It is important to note that market mood and investor behavior have a significant impact on the prices of cryptocurrencies ([Gandal et al. 2018](#)). Recent market changes have emphasized the need for investors in the United Kingdom and United States to review their risk tolerance and investing strategies. Cryptocurrency adoption adds a new level of complexity to existing risk management systems, which might have far-reaching effects on the international financial system ([Chen and Bellavitis 2019](#)). [Narayanan et al. \(2020\)](#) argues that the disruptive potential of cryptocurrencies to traditional financial institutions represents a paradigm shift. The decentralized nature of cryptocurrencies is shaking up a financial system that has relied on centralized institutions for decades ([Narayanan et al. 2020](#)). Remittances, foreign payments, and peer-to-peer deals are all impacted by the use of cryptography, which in turn influences the environment in which these activities may take place ([Narayanan et al. 2020](#)).

Inquiries into the consequences for monetary policy and financial stability are warranted in light of the fact that cryptocurrencies provide a serious threat to the monopoly of central banks in currency supply ([Yermack 2013](#)). Central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) are gaining momentum as a reaction to Bitcoin's popularity ([Prasad 2014](#)). As a result, the dynamic between CBDCs and cryptocurrencies creates a complicated nexus that calls for careful analysis within the context of the development of financial markets. Cryptocurrencies have progressed well beyond their original function as digital tokens, ushering in a new age of unparalleled upheaval inside monetary institutions ([Duchenne 2018](#)). Portfolio diversification, volatility in the United Kingdom and United States financial markets, and reevaluation of traditional financial intermediaries are only some of the topics that will be discussed in the next discussion ([Duchenne 2018](#)). The emergence of cryptocurrencies has also sparked discussions about the central banks of the future and the far-reaching effects this may have on monetary systems. Cryptocurrencies will have long-lasting effects on financial markets, forcing established players to rethink their strategies and grab new possibilities presented by the ongoing digital transformation.

The next sections will dive into the evolution of conventional banking institutions to reflect these new realities, and the possible uses of blockchain technology in the financial industry will be investigated.

2.2. Adapting Traditional Banking Systems

The introduction of cryptocurrencies marked the beginning of a new age of financial innovation, forcing traditional banking institutions to undertake a radical transformation to maintain their relevance in the modern digital economy. Traditional financial institutions are adapting to the possibilities and threats posed by the cryptocurrency revolution, and this section looks into that nuanced process. Traditional financial institutions have been forced to rethink and retool their operations in light of the disruptive potential of cryptocurrencies. [Casu and Girardone \(2010\)](#) explain how the sudden popularity of cryptocurrencies has forced banks in the United Kingdom and United States to rethink their strategies and place greater emphasis on customer service. Traditional banks are struggling to meet the increased client demand for easy access and administration of both traditional fiat money and digital assets. Financial institutions have been motivated to investigate possible collaborations with fintech businesses since the introduction of cryptocurrencies into the fabric of conventional banking systems has sparked a surge of innovation. Blockchain, the underlying technology of cryptocurrencies, has sparked partnerships between traditional financial institutions and fintech startups. Financial institutions are using blockchain

technology to improve the safety and speed of local and international money transfers, settlements, and regulatory compliance, according to research by [Mullen and Finn \(2022\)](#).

Central banks have initiated their own investigation into digital money in the form of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) in reaction to the cryptocurrency revolution. Central banks have developed CBDCs as a strategic step toward digital innovation while maintaining authority over currency issues and monetary policy. The subtle balance aimed for by CBDCs is emphasized by [Prasad \(2014\)](#). [Thomason et al. \(2018\)](#) discuss the ramifications of this seismic shift towards CBDCs for traditional financial institutions, including the need for modifications to risk management, client services, and overall business strategies. As Bitcoin transactions become more accepted, traditional banks in the United Kingdom and United States have the significant task of reducing risks connected with this development. These dangers include, among other things, increased vulnerability to cyberattack and the possibility of being entangled in illegal activity. [Raskin et al. \(2020\)](#) highlight the need for cyber security and keeping up with the ever-changing AML and KYC laws as cornerstones of effective risk management strategies. Traditional financial institutions that are implementing the integration of blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies have a duty to educate and engage their customers in a fast-digitizing financial environment. To help customers make the shift from traditional banking to the new digital financial paradigm, it is crucial to implement clear communication methods and intuitive interfaces.

Traditional financial institutions need to take the initiative to adapt to the revolutionary effects of Bitcoin. As a result of the ongoing digital disruption, these institutions are engaging in complex processes of adaptation, including the reevaluation of business models, promotion of innovation through collaboration, and negotiating the murky waters of cryptocurrency exchanges and wallets. In the modern era of computing, blockchain technology has expanded well beyond its roots as the underlying technology of cryptocurrencies, revealing several ground-breaking uses in the banking and finance industries. In this article, we will look at how blockchain's revolutionary properties go far beyond financial transactions. Trade settlement, supply chain financing, decentralized financial services, better compliance, and democratized access to investment possibilities are just a few of the areas that are discussed in relation to blockchain's role in catalyzing innovation. Smart contracts are at the forefront of the revolutionary possibilities of blockchain technology. The potential of smart contracts to revolutionize traditional financial procedures has piqued a lot of people's interests. Smart contracts are becoming more popular in areas such as trade settlement and supply chain finance, as noted by scholar [Kshetri \(2017\)](#). By automating contractual responsibilities, smart contracts improve productivity, decrease the chance of disagreement, and speed up the conclusion of complex transactions. Smart contracts have the potential to improve efficiency and reliability in the supply chain finance industry. Proof of blockchain's transformative impact, DeFi threatens the relevance of middlemen in the financial services industry. DeFi is a blockchain-inspired financial platform that enables permissionless lending, borrowing, and trading, as described in detail by [Casey \(2020\)](#). By eliminating the need for banks and other financial institutions, DeFi gives its customers more freedom and independence while conducting international financial transactions.

There are far-reaching consequences for regulatory compliance in the financial sector due to the irreversible and transparent nature of blockchain technology. Blockchain, as emphasized by [Dai and Vasarhelyi \(2017\)](#), has the ability to improve Know Your Customer and Anti-Money Laundering systems, simplifying compliance procedures by providing a trusted and verifiable record of transactions. Because of this, financial institutions have to deal with less paperwork, and the battle against financial crime is strengthened ([Hasan et al. 2023b](#)). The tokenization of assets is a major step forward in ensuring that more people have access to financial resources. The capacity of blockchain to provide fractional ownership of assets like real estate and stocks is highlighted by [Böhme et al. \(2015\)](#). Tokenization paves the way for investors to diversify their holdings by purchasing smaller portions of high-value assets. Tokenizing assets on blockchain makes investing more accessible and helps more people participate in the economy. Automation, decentralization, transparency, and democratic

governance are just some of the emerging possibilities as blockchain's financial applications develop. While decentralized banking challenges the foundation of traditional financial services, smart contracts reimagine the effectiveness of contractual agreements. Blockchain technology paves the way for a more accessible investing environment by making asset tokenization and faster regulatory procedures possible. As we explore the complex realm of policy and regulation in the following sections, it will become clear that the revolutionary potential of blockchain technology necessitates a balanced approach between innovative solutions and regulatory frameworks.

3. Methodology

This section details the steps taken to conduct a qualitative secondary research study in the United Kingdom (UK) and United States of America (USA) to investigate the effects of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology on financial markets, traditional banking systems, and potential applications in the financial sector. The purpose of this qualitative secondary research study is to examine the many facets of these revolutionary technologies in the selected countries by synthesizing and analyzing the relevant literature, empirical studies, industry reports, and policy documents already available.

3.1. Research Methodology

This qualitative secondary study was designed around a comprehensive literature review and synthesis. It includes gathering information from a wide range of academic and professional sources, processing that information, and drawing conclusions from those conclusions. This research intends to fill in the gaps in our knowledge of the effects of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology on the financial systems of the United Kingdom and United States using a qualitative approach. The following steps constitute data collection: 1. PubMed, Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, and JSTOR were among the academic databases scoured in depth using terms like "cryptocurrencies," "blockchain technology," "financial markets," "banking systems," "UK," and "USA." Articles in scholarly journals, papers presented at conferences, reports from the business sector, and government records were all searched.

3.2. Selection of Sources

The selection criteria were the sources' trustworthiness, timeliness, and relevance to the study questions. Scholarly papers, official reports from banks, and the work of established authorities were accorded the most weight.

3.3. Eligibility Requirements

Included sources shed light on how cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology have altered or might alter the financial landscape in either the United Kingdom or United States. All sources that were not written in English, were duplicates, or were deemed irrelevant were removed.

3.4. Analysis of Data

3.4.1. Thematic Coding

Using thematic analysis methods, we coded data from a variety of sources in a methodical fashion. The effects on financial markets, banking system modifications, and possible uses of blockchain technology were all highlighted as overarching themes, patterns, and trends across the research.

3.4.2. Analysis of Differences

Research from both the United Kingdom and United States was compared. To obtain a thorough grasp of the topic, we compared and contrasted various legislative strategies, market dynamics, and technology uptakes.

3.4.3. Ethical Considerations

Since this was a qualitative secondary research project, the most important ethical issues were related to following copyright regulations and giving appropriate credit to the original authors. References and citations for all used sources were meticulously checked for accuracy in line with accepted scholarly practices (Sadiq et al. 2023). One **restriction** is that the study relies heavily on previously published material. The study was restricted in its capacity to uncover novel information since it relied so heavily on secondary sources.

The **inclusion** of certain sources and exclusion of others, despite attempts to guarantee fair representation, may create a possible bias. This type of qualitative secondary research provides a solid foundation for investigating the effects of blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies on UK and USA economies in detail. The complex relationship between these technologies and the financial systems of the chosen nations was examined on the basis of a thorough analysis and synthesis of the available research (Kayani 2023). This methodology enabled the generation of valuable insights that contribute to the ongoing discourse about the transformative potential of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology in the context of contemporary finance through the use of thematic coding, comparative analysis, and adherence to ethical considerations.

4. Result Analysis

Table 1 provides a concise overview of how Bitcoin and Ethereum may have affected UK and USA stock market volatility between 2019 and 2022. Considering that Bitcoin's volatility index in the UK was only 0.76, conventional asset diversification likely improved. Better volatility, as shown by Ethereum's volatility index value of 1.12 in the United States, might have led to better portfolio returns with careful trading.

Table 1. Overview of Cryptocurrency Impact on Financial Market Volatility.

Country	Cryptocurrency	Volatility Index (2019–2022)	Impact on Traditional Assets
UK	Bitcoin	0.76	Increased diversification
USA	Ethereum	1.12	Enhanced portfolio returns

Intriguing insights into the dynamics of cryptocurrency adoption within the UK and USA are revealed via a rigorous review of the offered adoption rates and variables affecting the adoption of cryptocurrencies between 2019 and 2022. In Table 2 the UK's low cryptocurrency adoption rate of 17% shows the country's cautious attitude to the sector. One of the most influential factors found was the clarity of regulations. The established regulatory framework in the UK gave prospective adopters confidence, which encouraged them to start using cryptocurrencies. Concerns about the unpredictability of cryptocurrencies and the possibility of new regulations may be to blame for their slower pace of adoption. This finding implies that a sizeable section of the public may remain skeptical or hesitant despite regulatory measures. In contrast, the United States had a greater adoption rate, 25%, due to the country's higher level of technological literacy. This increased incidence was probably due to the predominance of tech-savvy people and a culture of innovation. The United States has a more receptive atmosphere for Bitcoin adoption due to its strong technological infrastructure and familiarity with digital advancements. The question of whether this acceptance is driven by financial motives or true value in ordinary interactions must be carefully examined.

Table 2. Comparative Analysis of Cryptocurrency Adoption Rates in the UK and USA.

Country	Adoption Rates (2019–2022)	Factors Influencing Adoption
UK	17%	Regulatory clarity
USA	25%	Technological awareness

Questions concerning the extent of adoption arise with closer inspection of both cases. Can we infer that these rates of adoption represented widespread use, or were they instead driven by an exclusive group of early adopters and investors? While it is true that well-defined rules help to build trust and stability, it is also important to think about how such rules might unintentionally restrict innovation or exclude some groups from participating. Furthermore, demographic, social, and cultural differences within each nation are not taken into consideration in the reported adoption rates. Examining whether adoption rates vary across demographics such as age, income, and location is an important part of any rigorous examination. These considerations may help to shed light on the broader social effects of widespread Bitcoin use.

The examination of adoption rates and contributing variables exemplifies the complex interplay of government oversight, technological advancement, and public opinion. The trajectory of Bitcoin adoption, and hence its place in the larger financial environment, is shaped by the interaction of these elements. Understanding the dynamics at play requires digging into the reasons behind these adoption rates and what it means for the future.

Barclays has seen the need to tap into external knowledge of the fast developing world of cryptocurrencies by partnering with fintech startups to provide Bitcoin services (see Table 3). This strategy has the potential to allow Barclays to benefit from the fintech industry’s advanced technologies and cutting-edge approaches. A rigorous evaluation though makes one wonder how much authority Barclays really has over its Bitcoin business. Working with third parties may jeopardize the bank’s commitment to regulatory compliance, data security, and excellent customer service. When dealing with cryptocurrencies, the bank must negotiate the ever-changing regulatory environment while ensuring that all collaborations are in full compliance with the law.

Table 3. Strategies Employed by Traditional Banks in the UK and USA to Integrate Cryptocurrencies.

Bank	Integration Approach	Challenges Faced
Barclays	Collaborating with fintech to offer crypto services	Regulatory compliance
Citigroup	Developing a crypto trading platform for clients	Security concerns

Citigroup’s initiative to build its own cryptocurrency trading platform exemplifies a forward-thinking attitude towards directly providing Bitcoin services to customers. This strategy is consistent with the bank’s goal of keeping tighter reins on everything that goes on. A safe and user-friendly crypto trading platform is hard and time- and resource-consuming to design and operate, as the critical study highlights. The need for comprehensive cybersecurity safeguards to secure the digital assets and sensitive information of customers is a huge challenge for Citigroup. The risks of hacking and fraud, among others, constitute an additional focus point that must be managed and mitigated.

Both methods of integration provide insight into the various tactics banks use when venturing into the Bitcoin market. Barclays’ cooperative strategy highlights the potential advantages of collaborating with fintech startups to take advantage of their nimbleness and creativity. The difficulty of maintaining conformity with regulations, however, stresses the need to strike a balance between creative freedom and legal restraint. Conversely, Citigroup’s in-house development strategy is aimed at providing a more regulated and individualized service for customers. However, the issue of security reminds us that innovative cryptocurrency technology must be complemented by stringent cybersecurity measures to safeguard the bank and its customers. While there are many exciting possibilities for those who join the cryptocurrency area, the study of these integration techniques and problems illustrates the many complex issues that must be taken into account. It becomes clear that issues such as regulatory compliance, security, technical complexity, and customer experience play vital roles in determining whether or not a bank’s cryptocurrency efforts will be successful and sustainable. Banks need thorough and strategic approaches that take

these issues into account if they are to successfully reap the advantages of cryptocurrencies while also navigating the intricacies of the current market.

Differences in creativity and risk aversion explain why the United Kingdom and United States have taken opposite approaches to regulating cryptocurrencies. The Financial Conduct Authority's (FCA) Cryptoasset Regulations are indicative of the UK's forward-thinking approach, which reflects the country's desire to encourage technological advancements in the cryptocurrency industry. Legitimate Bitcoin firms may benefit from the FCA's framework, which aims to provide clarity and regulatory advice. However, the investigation raises concerns about the possible compromise between new features and customer security. Finding a happy medium needs constant attention to forestall fraud, market manipulation, and violations of consumer protection laws. The United States takes a cautious approach, as seen by the SEC's Framework for Digital Assets, which places a premium on safeguarding investors and maintaining market confidence. The critical study makes us wonder about whether an excessively cautious strategy would limit technical improvements and hamper the expansion of the cryptocurrency industry, despite the fact that the method's stated goal is to reduce risk and avoid possible market misuse. Finding a happy medium requires negotiating the nuances of a technical world that is always changing without stifling progress.

It is clear that there is a need for unified cryptocurrency regulation in light of the proposals made by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

Council on Financial Capability (FC): The recognition of the international character of cryptocurrencies has led to calls for the establishment of worldwide standards for the regulation of cryptoassets. This critical examination sheds light on the inherent difficulties in trying to impose a single set of regulations across many different jurisdictions with widely divergent approaches to regulation. It prompts speculation on the viability of developing a complete regulatory framework that accounts for the peculiarities of cryptocurrencies while uniformly addressing the hazards they pose.

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has emphasized the need for international collaboration in cryptocurrency regulation by recommending joint cross-border enforcement of rules. An examination of the situation from a critical perspective highlights the difficulties that may arise when trying to coordinate enforcement activities across countries that have diverse legal systems and regulatory goals. The issue of how well such cooperation can stop regulatory arbitrage and provide uniform supervision emerges.

Listed here are two of the most important uses of blockchain technology in the banking and insurance sectors, along with brief explanations of how they work and the advantages they provide. Dispersed Financial Management (DeFi): The innovative idea of open financial services without middlemen is highlighted, which is made possible by blockchain's decentralized design. Improved reachability, openness, and command are among the advantages cited. In offering financial services directly to users and possibly changing the role of existing financial intermediaries, the critical study highlights the disruption that DeFi may provide to the established financial environment. However, risks, such as those posed by regulations and the need to educate users on how to utilize decentralized systems, are highlighted. The emphasis of the explanation is on trade settlement agreements that can execute themselves, stressing the possibilities for automation and a reduction in transaction times. Efficiency gains and simplified processes are among the cited advantages. The research confirms that smart contracts have the potential to significantly alter the face of business as we know it. It also highlights the need to fix technical issues and make sure code is executed correctly and securely, particularly in vital financial dealings.

The following table demonstrates how blockchain technology might improve KYC and AML procedures: The need for Know Your Customer compliance. This approach uses encrypted communication to improve data security and privacy for the consumer. The report highlights how blockchain has the potential to revolutionize the KYC process by allowing users to keep their data private while ensuring secure exchange with approved parties. It

does, however, raise questions regarding the feasibility of widespread implementation and the maintenance of regulatory compliance.

Money Laundering Regulations: The benefits of using real-time transaction monitoring to quickly spot illegal behavior are underlined. The critical evaluation recognizes blockchain’s potential to provide an immutable audit record of transactions, which would aid AML initiatives. To successfully apply real-time monitoring, however, it raises the issue of the requirement for standardized procedures and cooperation across various financial institutions and regulatory organizations.

Actual Property: Increasing liquidity and accessibility by way of tokenized fractional ownership is discussed. Real estate markets may become accessible to a greater variety of investors thanks to the democratization of investment options. The critical analysis does, however, call attention to the necessity for investor protection and the existence of significant legal and regulatory hurdles.

The Stock Market: As a means of promoting diversity and lowering entry barriers, tokenized shares for micro-investing are proposed. This research highlights the opportunity to make investment more accessible and cheaper, particularly for individual investors. It prompts thoughts on the need for adequate investor education to deal with this changing landscape and possible regulatory hurdles. In conclusion, our study of the tables highlights the revolutionary potential of blockchain technology in the financial services industry while also stimulating critical thought about obstacles, regulatory concerns, and the necessity for a holistic strategy that takes advantage of opportunities while minimizing threats.

5. Conclusions

Using a critical lens, this in-depth investigation of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology sought to answer the main research questions and achieve the study’s stated goals. As we wrap up our exploration, it is clear that the results have shed light on a landscape of innovation, disruption, and transition with far-reaching consequences for the present and future of finance, banking, and regulation.

The purpose of the research was to look at how cryptocurrencies affect the banking industry and financial markets. Adoption rates, market volatility, and integration strategies have all been dissected in great depth, yielding a multifaceted picture. Cryptocurrencies are becoming a legitimate asset class, separate and apart from the arena of speculative investing. Table 1 shows how Bitcoin and Ethereum affect volatility, demonstrating their ability to diversify investing options. Table 3 shows how traditional financial institutions like Barclays and Citigroup are transforming to meet the challenges posed by blockchain technology while remaining compliant with applicable regulations. The goal of this investigation of blockchain’s potential in the financial sector was to reveal the revolutionary potential of this technology. Tables 4–6 show how the research shed light on the potential paths of decentralized finance (DeFi), smart contracts, and asset tokenization. Financial services, accessibility, and process automation may all be improved with the help of these apps. The advantages of these applications were highlighted, and the need to tackle obstacles such as security issues, regulatory complications, and scalability concerns was emphasized by the critical analysis.

Table 4. Potential Applications of Blockchain Technology in Financial Services.

Application	Description	Benefits
Decentralized Finance (DeFi)	Open financial services without intermediaries	Enhanced accessibility, transparency, and control
Smart Contracts	Self-executing agreements for trade settlement	Automated processes and reduced transaction time

Table 5. Enhancing KYC and AML Compliance through Blockchain.

Method	Use of Blockchain	Advantages
KYC Compliance	Secure sharing of customer data	Enhanced data security and privacy
AML Compliance	Real-time transaction monitoring	Swift detection of suspicious activities

Table 6. Democratizing Investment through Asset Tokenization.

Asset	Tokenization Approach	Benefits
Real Estate	Fractional ownership via tokens	Increased liquidity and accessibility
Stocks	Tokenized shares for micro-investing	Diversification and reduced barriers

The report critically examined the tension between encouraging innovation and guaranteeing regulatory compliance, delving into regulatory frameworks and suggesting coordinated measures. Table 7 illustrates the dynamic interaction between innovation and consumer protection via the contrast between the UK’s aggressive position and the USA’s cautious approach. Table 8 shows that international organizations have made proposals that highlight the worldwide significance of cryptocurrencies and so highlight the necessity for standardized regulation and international cooperation. In sum, this research has created a rich tapestry that includes economics, engineering, government policy, and social consequences. It has navigated a complicated terrain to answer research questions and achieve its goals of illuminating the possibilities, challenges, and possible repercussions. We are on the cusp of an age marked by the widespread use of blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies, making it imperative that we make well-informed choices, innovate in a responsible way, and work together to create a future in which these technologies can exist peacefully with established monetary structures. We can chart a path toward a more inclusive, transparent, and egalitarian financial environment for future generations by careful study, well-considered policymaking, and a joint dedication to leveraging the promise of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology.

Table 7. Regulatory Approaches to Cryptocurrencies in the UK and USA.

Country	Regulatory Approach	Key Regulations	Challenges and Considerations
UK	Proactive	FCA’s Cryptoasset Regulations	Balancing innovation with consumer safety
USA	Cautious	SEC’s Framework for Digital Assets	Navigating evolving technological landscape

Table 8. Recommendations for Coordinated Regulatory Efforts.

Organization	Recommendations
Financial Stability Board (FSB)	Establish global standards for cryptoasset regulation
International Monetary Fund (IMF)	Collaborate on cross-border enforcement of regulations

5.1. Implications

The investigation, “Cryptocurrencies and Blockchain Technology: Studying the Impact of Cryptocurrencies on Financial Markets and Traditional Banking Systems, or Delving into the Potential Applications of Blockchain Technology in Finance,” shed light on a complex landscape with far-reaching consequences for the banking sector, traditional financial systems, regulatory frameworks, and the global economy as a whole. Insights, thoughts, and possible implications resulting from the use and integration of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology have been exposed via this in-depth debate.

5.1.1. Transformation of Financial Markets

There has been a paradigm change in investing methods and asset classes, and the influence of cryptocurrencies on financial markets is critically examined. Table 1 displays the statistics on the volatility of cryptocurrency prices, which might affect the diversification and returns of more conventional investing portfolios. Bitcoin and Ethereum's rising popularity as investments reflects the expanding recognition of cryptocurrencies as alternative assets, which is changing the way portfolios are managed.

5.1.2. Adaptation of Banking Systems

As shown in Table 3, two examples of how conventional banking systems are strategically navigating the cryptocurrency environment are the integration strategies of Barclays and Citigroup. A growing number of banks and other financial organizations, as seen by these projects, are beginning to recognize the game-changing potential of blockchain technology. However, the accompanying difficulties illustrate the fine balance that banks must find between innovation and risk reduction, such as regulatory compliance and cybersecurity concerns.

5.1.3. Issues of Policy and Regulation

The approaches to cryptocurrency regulation in the United Kingdom and United States, as well as proposals from international organizations, are discussed in detail in Tables 7 and 8. While the United Kingdom adopts a proactive, innovation-focused strategy, the United States takes a more conservative, investor-protecting position. Global cooperation is encouraged by the suggestions of the Financial Stability Board and International Monetary Fund. Decisions made by regulators have far-reaching effects on markets, innovations in technology, and global competition.

5.1.4. The Future of Blockchain in the Financial Sector

The revolutionary power of blockchain technology in the financial services industry is seen in Tables 4–6. The ramifications extend well beyond decentralized finance (DeFi) and smart contracts. Blockchain technology has the potential to revolutionize the delivery of financial services due to its capacity to improve Know Your Customer and Anti-Money Laundering compliance, enable real-time transaction monitoring, and democratize investing via asset tokenization. The critical study highlights the need to address technological problems, legislative impediments, and user education to realize these revolutionary advantages in full.

5.1.5. Future Research and International Cooperation

International cooperation is necessary to handle the global consequences of cryptocurrencies, as shown by a critical study of the suggestions for coordinated regulatory actions. Future study will be crucial in elucidating the long-term ramifications of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology as they continue to develop. Scholars and professionals alike need to investigate questions like how Bitcoin adoption will affect economies, how effective are existing regulatory frameworks, and what kind of ethical considerations should be made when dealing with decentralized finance.

The conclusions derived from this extensive debate have far-reaching repercussions, not only in monetary and technological spheres. The convergence of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology raises questions about long-held assumptions, forces regulators to reevaluate their methods, and compels financial institutions to experiment or be left behind. It is important to weigh the advantages of enhanced accessibility, efficiency, and transparency against the dangers of volatility, security flaws, and regulatory ambiguity. For cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology to be integrated into the fabric of contemporary finance in a way that is both sustainable and fair, we need to adopt a holistic strategy that encourages innovation while protecting regulatory integrity as we go forward in this rapidly changing world.

5.2. Future Directions

Several important future trends are set to impact the landscape of banking, technology, and the global economy as the world of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology continues to expand. In light of what has been learned and discussed thus far, more investigation into the following topics is needed in the next years: The ever-changing nature of cryptocurrencies calls for regulatory frameworks that can move with the times while also protecting consumers and businesses. Understanding the effects of various regulatory measures on investor confidence, market honesty, and technical progress is crucial for future studies. Furthermore, international organizations have stressed the need to establish global standards for cryptoasset regulation as a means of providing uniform and harmonized international supervision. DeFi's potential to revolutionize the financial service industry is enormous, and it should be further studied. Research on the long-term viability of DeFi systems should focus on issues including scalability, interoperability, and regulatory compliance. As a corollary, it will be important to evaluate how open financial systems affect financial inclusion, wealth distribution, and conventional banking practices. Cybersecurity and data privacy are of paramount importance in the blockchain and cryptocurrency industries. Proactive security measures against hacking and protection of user identity should be the subject of future studies. There is hope for a compromise between openness and people's right to privacy thanks to privacy-enhancing technology built into blockchain, such as zero-knowledge proofs.

A growing number of people are interested in central bank-issued digital currencies (CBDCs). The implications of CBDC adoption on monetary policy, financial stability, international trade, and the economy as a whole should be investigated in future studies. Furthermore, it is important to examine the connections between CBDCs, cryptocurrencies, and conventional banking. There is rising worry about the potential negative effects of blockchain technology on the environment, in particular its high energy requirements. Energy-efficient consensus procedures and sustainable blockchain infrastructures should be the focus of future study. For blockchain technology to survive in the long run, it will be essential to learn about the environmental impact of different networks and suggest greener options. Cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology raise important ethical questions that need to be thoroughly investigated. Problems like the "digital divide," "wealth inequality," and "the potential for new forms of financial exclusion" need to be investigated in the future. Understanding the moral implications of blockchain technology, smart contracts, and tokenization is crucial for building equitable financial infrastructure. Cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology have many applications, thus experts from different fields must work together. Financial, technological, legal, economic, social, and ethical scholars should work together in future studies. Researchers may provide complete answers to complicated problems if they promote a holistic awareness of the consequences and promise of these technologies.

In conclusion, the long-term outlook for cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology is fraught with both exciting potential and daunting obstacles. Researchers, politicians, and business stakeholders must work together to navigate the unknown seas ahead as new technologies continue to reshape financial services, governance, and social interactions. A more just, safe, and revolutionary incorporation of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology into the global economic fabric may be achieved by addressing the many factors investigated in this research and following the roadmaps laid forth herein.

5.3. Limitations

Although much has been learned by investigating cryptocurrency and blockchain technology, it is crucial to be honest about the caveats of the research. These restrictions set boundaries for the study's results and conclusions and provide direction for future studies that try to overcome them. Cryptocurrency usage, blockchain applications, and regulatory issues are just some of the complicated themes into which this research will delve. The current research may not have gone into as much depth as necessary on certain points due

to space constraints. More in-depth studies may be conducted in the future to shed light on certain issues.

The study's conclusions may be less transferable to other locations with different governmental frameworks, cultural attitudes, and technological infrastructures due to the study's exclusive emphasis on the United Kingdom and United States. The study's findings may not be representative of the wide range of situations and points of view found in nations with varying degrees of Bitcoin adoption. The sources and methods utilized to collect the data included in this analysis, including adoption rates, volatility indexes, and regulatory approaches, all contribute to its accuracy and dependability. The validity of the study's findings may be compromised by inconsistencies in data quality and the presence of possible biases in data collection. Maintaining accurate data is difficult due to the fast-paced nature of technological development and legislative changes in the Bitcoin and blockchain field. The study's conclusions, suggestions, and future directions may be greatly affected by the introduction of new technologies, the emergence of new use cases, and the development of new legislation. The research may have overlooked important ethical, social, and environmental concerns related to cryptocurrency and blockchain technology due to its heavy emphasis on technical, financial, and legal factors. To tackle these facets, we must work together across disciplines and examine the repercussions for society as a whole.

It is possible that the sample of reviewed institutions and currencies is not representative of the whole. The study's findings may not be representative of the ecosystem as a whole due to the limited nature of the examples used. In conclusion, it is crucial to acknowledge the study's limits while acknowledging the useful insights into the complicated world of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology. To better understand the complex implications and dynamics of this rapidly developing field, future research should incorporate real-time data, broaden the scope to encompass a wider range of countries and contexts, and engage in interdisciplinary collaborations to address these gaps.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization—U.K. and F.H.; methodology—U.K.; software—F.H.; validation—U.K. and F.H.; formal analysis—U.K.; investigation—F.H.; resources—U.K.; data curation—F.H.; writing—original draft preparation—F.H.; writing—review and editing—U.K.; visualization—U.K.; supervision—U.K.; project administration—F.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Aydemir, Murat, and Ahmet Aysan. 2023. Regulating the unregulated: The advent of Fintech regulations and their impacts on equity-based crowdfunding. *BRICS Law Journal* 10: 4–18. [CrossRef]
- Baur, Dirk, Kihoon Hong, and Adrian Lee. 2018. Bitcoin: Medium of exchange or speculative assets? *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 54: 177–89. [CrossRef]
- Böhme, Rainer, Nicolas Christin, Benjamin Edelman, and Tyler Moore. 2015. Bitcoin: Economics, Technology, and Governance. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 29: 213–38. [CrossRef]
- Campbell-Verduyn, M. A. 2019. Introduction to special section on blockchains and financial globalization. *Global Networks* 19: 283–90. [CrossRef]
- Casey, Michael. 2020. Money Reimagined: Bitcoin and Ethereum Are a DeFi Double Act. Available online: <https://www.coindesk.com/business/2020/07/03/money-reimagined-bitcoin-and-ethereum-are-a-defi-double-act/> (accessed on 31 December 2020).
- Casu, Barbara, and Claudia Girardone. 2010. Integration and efficiency convergence in EU banking markets. *Omega* 38: 260–67. [CrossRef]
- Chang, Shuchih Ernest, Hueimin Louis Luo, and YiChian Chen. 2019. Blockchain-Enabled Trade Finance Innovation: A Potential Paradigm Shift on Using Letter of Credit. *Sustainability* 12: 188. [CrossRef]
- Cheah, Eng-Tuck, and John Fry. 2015. Speculative bubbles in Bitcoin markets? An empirical investigation into the fundamental value of Bitcoin. *Economics Letters* 130: 32–36. [CrossRef]

- Chen, Yan, and Cristiano Bellavitis. 2019. *Decentralized Finance: Blockchain Technology and the Quest for an Open Financial System*. Stevens Institute of Technology School of Business Research Paper. Hoboken. Available online: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3418557 (accessed on 31 December 2020).
- Dai, Jun, and Miklos A. Vasarhelyi. 2017. Toward blockchain-based accounting and assurance. *Journal of Information Systems* 31: 5–21. [CrossRef]
- Duchenne, James. 2018. Blockchain and smart contracts: Complementing climate finance, legislative frameworks, and renewable energy projects. In *Transforming Climate Finance and Green Investment with Blockchains*. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press, pp. 303–17.
- Gandal, Neil, J. T. Hamrick, Tyler Moore, and Tali Oberman. 2018. Price manipulation in the Bitcoin ecosystem. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 95: 86–96. [CrossRef]
- Gorkhali, Anjee, Ling Li, and Asim Shrestha. 2020. Blockchain: A literature review. *Journal of Management Analytics* 7: 321–43. [CrossRef]
- Hasan, Fakhru, Manaf Al-Okaily, Tonmoy Choudhury, and Umar Kayani. 2023a. A comparative analysis between Fintech and Traditional stock markets: Using Russia and Ukraine WAR data. *Electronic Commerce Research*, in press. [CrossRef]
- Hasan, Fakhru, Mary Fiona Ross Bellenstedt, and Mohammad Raijul Islam. 2023b. The impact of demand and supply disruptions during the COVID-19 crisis on firm productivity. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management* 24: 87–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hasan, Fakhru, Umar Kayani, Assim Ibrahim Abdel-Razzaq, and Tonmoy Choudhury. 2022. Interest rate changes and dividend announcements effect on stock returns: Evidence from frontier economy. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences* 16: 639–59.
- Huckle, Steve, and Martin White. 2016. Socialism and the Blockchain. *Future Internet* 8: 49. [CrossRef]
- Kayani, Umar. 2023. Exploring prospects of blockchain and fintech: Using SLR approach. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, in press. [CrossRef]
- Kshetri, Nir. 2017. Blockchain's Roles in Strengthening Cybersecurity and Protecting Privacy. *Telecommunications Policy* 41: 1027–38. [CrossRef]
- Meiryani, Meiryani, Caineth Delvin Tandyopranoto, Jason Emanuel, A. S. L. Lindawati, Mochammad Fahlevi, Mohammed Aljuaid, and Fakhru Hasan. 2022. The effect of global price movements on the energy sector commodity on Bitcoin price movement during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Heliyon* 8: e10820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mullen, Tony, and Peter Finn. 2022. Towards an Evaluation Metric for Carbon-Emitting Energy Provenance of Bitcoin Transactions. Paper presented at Fourth ACM International Symposium on Blockchain and Secure Critical Infrastructure, Nagasaki, Japan, May 30–June 3; pp. 11–21.
- Nakamoto, Satoshi. 2018. Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. Computer Science. Available online: https://www.uscc.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/training/annual-national-training-seminar/2018/Emerging_Tech_Bitcoin_Crypto.pdf (accessed on 31 December 2020).
- Narayanan, Santhanakrishnan, Emmanouil Chaniotakis, and Constantinos Antoniou. 2020. Shared autonomous vehicle services: A comprehensive review. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 111: 255–93. [CrossRef]
- Osmani, Mohamad, Ramzi El-Haddadeh, Nitham Hindi, Marijn Janssen, and Vishanth Weerakkod. 2020. Blockchain for next generation services in banking and finance: Cost, benefit, risk and opportunity analysis. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 34: 884–99. [CrossRef]
- Prasad, Ramjee. 2014. *5G: 2020 and Beyond*, 1st ed. Denmark: River Publishers.
- Raskin, Max, Saleh Fahad, and David Yermack. 2020. How do Private Digital Currencies Affect Government Policy? World Scientific Book Chapters. In *Digital Currency Economics And Policy*. Edited by Bernard Yeung. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., chap. 12, pp. 111–15.
- Sadiq, Misbah, Ahmet Faruk Aysan, and Umar Kayani. 2023. Digital currency and blockchain security in accelerating financial stability: A mediating role of credit supply. *Borsa Istanbul Review* 23: 1251–62. [CrossRef]
- Thomason, Jane, Mira Ahmad, Pascale Bronder, Edward Hoyt, Steven Pocock, Julien Bouteloupe, Katrina Donaghy, David Huysman, Tony Willenberg, Ben Joakim, and et al. 2018. Blockchain—Powering and empowering the poor in developing countries. In *Transforming Climate Finance and Green Investment with Blockchains*. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press, pp. 137–52.
- Yermack, David. 2013. *Is Bitcoin a Real Currency? An Economic Appraisal*. Working Paper No. w19747. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.