

Table S1. Resources utilized in this systematic quantitative literature review.

Reference Num. in this Article	Source	Reference Num. Simpson & Parker [29] Data paper
7 [1]	Giap, T. K.; Thye, W. W.; Aw, G. (). A new approach to measuring the liveability of cities: the Global Liveable Cities Index. <i>World Review of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development</i> 2014 , <i>11</i> , 176-196. https://doi.org/10.1504/wrstsd.2014.065677 .	75
12 [2]	Thompson, C.W. Urban open space in the 21st century. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2002 , <i>60</i> , 59-72, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00059-2 .	56
13 [3]	Norton, B.A.; Coutts, A.M.; Livesley, S.J.; Harris, R.J.; Hunter, A.M.; Williams, N.S. Planning for cooler cities: A framework to prioritise green infrastructure to mitigate high temperatures in urban landscapes. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2015 , <i>134</i> , 127-138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.10.018 .	9
15 [4]	Conteh, F.M.; Oktay, D. Measuring Liveability by Exploring Urban qualities of Kissy Street, Freetown, Sierra Leone. <i>Open House International</i> 2016 , <i>41</i> , 23-30.	65
16 [5]	Keniger, L.E.; Gaston, K.J.; Irvine, K.N.; Fuller, R.A. What are the benefits of interacting with nature? <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> 2013 , <i>10</i> , 913-935, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10030913 .	60
17 [6]	Simpson, G.; Newsome, D. Environmental history of an urban wetland: from degraded colonial resource to nature conservation area. <i>Geo: Geography and Environment</i> 2017 , <i>4</i> , E00030: 1-18, https://doi.org/10.1002/geo2.30 .	4
18 [7]	Balam, S.; Dragičević S. Attitudes toward urban green spaces: Integrating questionnaire survey and collaborative GIS techniques to improve attitude measurements. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> , 2005 , <i>71</i> , 147-162, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2004.02.007 .	22
19 [8]	Bratman, G.N.; Hamilton, P.; Daily, G.C. The impacts of nature experience on human cognitive function and mental health. <i>New York Academy of Sciences</i> 2012 , <i>1249</i> , 118-136, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06400.x .	50
20 [9]	Cattell, V.; Dines, N.; Gesler, W.; Curtis, S. Mingling, observing, and lingering: Everyday public spaces and their implications for well-being and social relations. <i>Health & Place</i> 2008 , <i>14</i> , 544-561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2007.10.007 .	66
21 [10]	Grose, M.J. Changing relationships in public open space and private open space in suburbs in south-western Australia. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2009 , <i>92</i> , 53-63, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.02.006 .	24
22 [11]	Hughes, M. Researching the links between parklands and health. In Voigt, C., Pforr, C., Eds.; <i>Wellness tourism: a destination perspective</i> . Routledge: Abingdon, United Kingdom, 2014: pp. 147-160, 978-1-1-380820-0-7.	47
23 [12]	Nasution, A.D.; Zahrah, W. Community Perception on Public Open Space and Quality of Life in Medan, Indonesia. <i>Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences</i> 2014 , <i>153</i> , 585-594, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.10.227 .	26
25 [13]	van den Berg, A.E.; Hartig, T.; and Staats, H. Preference for nature in urbanized societies: Stress, restoration, and the pursuit of sustainability. <i>Journal of Social Issues</i> 2007 , <i>63</i> , 79-96, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2007.00497.x .	43

Table S1. Resources utilized in this systematic quantitative literature review (continued).

Reference Num. in this Article	Source	Reference Num. Simpson & Parker [29] Data paper
26 [14]	Jones, C.; Newsome, D. Perth (Australia) as one of the world's most liveable cities: A perspective on society, sustainability and environment. <i>International Journal of Tourism Cities</i> 2015 , <i>1</i> , 18-35, https://doi.org/10.1108/IJTC-08-2014-0001 .	2
27 [15]	Newton, P.W. Liveable and sustainable? Socio-technical challenged for the twenty-first century cities. <i>Journal of Urban Technology</i> 2012 , <i>19</i> , 81-102, https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2012.626703 .	11
28 [16]	Okulicz-Kozaryn, A. City life: Rankings (livability) versus perceptions (satisfaction). <i>Social Indicators Research</i> 2013 , <i>110</i> , 433-451, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-011-9939-x .	12
33 [17]	Tzoulas, K.; Korpela, K.; Venn, S.; Yli-Pelkonen, V.; Kázmierczak, A.; Niemela, J.; James, P. Promoting ecosystem and human health in urban areas using Green Infrastructure: A literature review. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2007 , <i>81</i> , 167-178, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2007.02.001 .	44
48 [18]	Antognelli, S.; Vizzari, M. Landscape liveability spatial assessment integrating ecosystem and urban services with their perceived importance by stakeholders. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> 2017 , <i>72</i> , 703-725, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.08.015 .	36
49 [19]	Appiah-Opoku, S. Using protected areas as a tool for biodiversity conservation and ecotourism: A case study of Kakum National Park in Ghana. <i>Society and Natural Resources</i> 2011 , <i>24</i> , 500-510, https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2010.495108 .	57
50 [20]	Balding, M.; Williams, K.J. Plant blindness and the implications for plant conservation. <i>Conservation Biology</i> 2016 , <i>30</i> , 1192-1199, https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12738 .	42
51 [21]	Barth, B.J.; FitzGibbon, S.I.; Wilson, R. S. New urban developments that retain more remnant trees have greater bird diversity. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2015 , <i>136</i> , 122-129, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.11.003 .	40
52 [22]	Battisti, C. Experiential key species for the nature-disconnected generation. <i>Animal Conservation</i> 2016 , <i>19</i> , 485-487, https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12288 .	30
53 [23]	Bennett, N.J. Using perceptions as evidence to improve conservation and environmental management. <i>Conservation Biology</i> 2016 , <i>30</i> , 582-592. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12681 .	80
54 [24]	Čavić, L.; Beirão, J.N. Open Public Space Attributes and Categories - Complexity and Measurability. <i>Arhitektura Raziskave</i> 2014 , <i>2</i> , 15-24.	41
55 [25]	Chen, B.; Adimo, O.A.; Bao, Z. Assessment of aesthetic quality and multiple functions of urban green space from the users' perspective: The case of Hangzhou Flower Garden, China. <i>Landscape ad Urban Planning</i> 2009 , <i>93</i> , 76-82, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.06.001 .	21
56 [26]	Chiesura, A. The role of urban parks for the sustainable city. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2004 , <i>68</i> , 129-138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2003.08.003 .	53
57 [27]	Crawford, D.; Timperio, A.; Giles-Corti, B.; Ball, K.; Hume, C.; Roberts, R.; ... Salmon, J. (). Do features of public open spaces vary according to neighbourhood socio-economic status?. <i>Health and Place</i> , 2008 , <i>14</i> , 889-893, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2007.11.002 .	29

Table S1. Resources utilized in this systematic quantitative literature review (continued).

Reference Num. in this Article	Source	Reference Num. Simpson & Parker [29] Data paper
58 [28]	Dale, P.E.R.; Connelly, R. Wetlands and human health: an overview. <i>Wetlands Ecology and Management</i> 2012 , <i>20</i> , 165-171, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-012-9264-4 .	59
59 [29]	Dallimer, M.; Irvine, K.N.; Skinner, A.M.; Davies, Z.G.; Rouquette, J.R., Maltby, L.L.; ... Gaston, K.J. Biodiversity and the feel-good factor: understanding associations between self-reported human well-being and species richness. <i>BioScience</i> 2012 , <i>62</i> , 47-55, https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.1.9 .	23
60 [30]	de Lange, E.; Woodhouse, E.; Milner-Gulland, E. J. Approaches used to evaluate the social impacts of protected areas. <i>Conservation Letters</i> 2016 , <i>9</i> , 327-333, https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12223 .	20
61 [31]	De Riddera, K.; Adamecb, V.; Bañuelosc, A.; Bruscd, M.; Bürgerd, M.; Damsgaarde, O.; Dufekb, J.; Hirschf, J.; Lefebrea, F.; Pérez-Lacorzanac, J.M.; Thierrye, A.; Weberf C. An integrated methodology to assess the benefits of urban green space. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> 2004 , <i>334-335</i> , 489-497, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.04.054 .	19
62 [32]	Dietsch, A.M.; Teel, T.L.; Manfredro, M.J. Social values and biodiversity conservation in a dynamic world. <i>Conservation Biology</i> 2016 , <i>30</i> , 1212-1221, https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12742 .	49
63 [33]	Do, Y.; Kim, S.B.; Kim, J.Y.; Joo, G.J. Wetland-based tourism in South Korea: Who, When, and Why. <i>Wetlands Ecology and Management</i> 2015 , <i>23</i> , 779-787, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-015-9418-2 .	58
64 [34]	Edwards, N., Hooper, P., Trapp, G. S., Bull, F., Boruff, B., Giles-Corti, B. Development of a public open space desktop auditing tool (POSDAT): a remote sensing approach. <i>Applied Geography</i> 2013 , <i>38</i> , 22-30, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2012.11.010 .	28
65 [35]	Francis, J.; Giles-Corti, B.; Wood, L.; Knuiman, M. Creating sense of community: The role of public space. <i>Journal of Environmental Psychology</i> , 2012 , <i>32</i> , 401-409, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2012.07.002 .	63
66 [36]	Francis, J.; Wood, L.J.; Knuiman, M.; Giles-Corti, B. Quality or quantity? Exploring the relationship between Public Open Space attributes and mental health in Perth, Western Australia. <i>Social Science & Medicine</i> 2012 , <i>74</i> , 1570-1577, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.01.032 .	70
67 [37]	Gelissen, J. Explaining popular support for environmental protection: A multilevel analysis of 50 nations. <i>Environment and Behaviour</i> 2007 , <i>39</i> , 392-415, https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0013916506292014 .	79
68 [38]	Giles-Corti, B.; Broomhall, M.H.; Knuiman, M.; Collins, C.; Douglas, K.; Ng, K.; ... Donovan, R.J. Increasing walking: how important is distance to, attractiveness, and size of public open space?. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> 2005 , <i>28</i> , 169-176, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2004.10.018 .	34
69 [39]	Hagerman, C. Shaping neighborhoods and nature: Urban political ecologies of urban waterfront transformations in Portland, Oregon. <i>Cities</i> 2007 , <i>24</i> , 285-297, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2006.12.003 .	48
70 [40]	Hartig, T.; Evans, G.W.; Jamner, L.D.; Davis, D.S.; Gärling, T. Tracking restoration in natural and urban field settings. <i>Journal of Environmental Psychology</i> 2003 , <i>23</i> , 109-123, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944(02)00109-3 .	55

Table S1. Resources utilized in this systematic quantitative literature review (continued).

Reference Num. in this Article	Source	Reference Num. Simpson & Parker [29] Data paper
71 [41]	Hausmann, A.; Slotow, R.O.B.; Burns, J.K.; Di Minin, E. The ecosystem service of sense of place: benefits for human well-being and biodiversity conservation. <i>Environmental Conservation</i> 2016 , <i>43</i> , 117-127, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892915000314 .	77
72 [42]	Hillsdon, M., Panter, J., Foster, C., and Jones, A. The relationship between access and quality of urban green space with population physical activity. <i>Public Health</i> 2006 , <i>120</i> , 1127-1132, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2006.10.007 .	52
73 [43]	Hock Teck, L.H.; Chin Siong, H.; Ali H.M.; Tu, F. (). Do institutions matter in neighbourhood commons governance? A two-stage relationship between diverse property-rights structure and residential public open space (POS) quality: Kota Kinabalu and Penampang, Sabah, Malaysia. <i>International Journal of the Commons</i> 2016 , <i>10</i> , 294–333, http://doi.org/10.18352/ijc.618 .	64
74 [44]	Horan, E.; Craven, J.; Goulding, R. Sustainable urban development and liveability. How can Melbourne retain its title as the world's most liveable city and strive for sustainability at the same time?. <i>European Journal of Sustainable Development</i> 2014 , <i>3</i> , 61-70. http://dx.doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2014.v3n4p61	74
75 [45]	Howley, P.; Scott, M; Redmond, D. Sustainability versus liveability: An investigation of neighbourhood satisfaction. <i>Journal of Environmental Planning and Management</i> 2009 , <i>52</i> , 847-864, https://doi.org/10.1080/09640560903083798 .	73
76 [46]	Ikin, K.; Le Roux, D.S.; Rayner, L.; Villaseñor, N.R. Eyles, K.; Gibbons, P.; ... Lindenmayer, D.B. Key lessons for achieving biodiversity-sensitive cities and towns. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> 2015 , <i>16</i> , 206-214, https://doi.org/10.1111/emr.12180 .	35
77 [47]	Irvine, K.N.; Devine-Wright, P.; Payne, S.R.; Fuller, R.A.; Painter, B.; Gaston, K.J. Green space, soundscape and urban sustainability: An interdisciplinary, empirical study. <i>Local Environment</i> 2009 , <i>14</i> , 155-172, https://doi.org/10.1080/13549830802522061 .	30
78 [48]	Kaźmierczak, A. The contribution of local parks to neighbourhood social ties. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2013 , <i>109</i> , 31-44, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.05.007 .	76
79 [49]	Kurniawati, W. Public space for marginal people. <i>Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences</i> 2012 , <i>36</i> , 476-484, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.052 .	68
80 [50]	Malek, N. A.; Mariapan, M.; Ab Rahman, N.I.A. Community participation in quality assessment for green open spaces in Malaysia. <i>Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences</i> 2015 , <i>168</i> , 219-228.	25
81 [51]	Manfredo, M.J.; Teel, T.L.; Dietsch, A.M. Implications of human value shift and persistence for biodiversity conservation. <i>Conservation Biology</i> 2016 , <i>30</i> , 287-296, https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12619 .	81
82 [52]	Massey, D. Liveable town and cities: Approaches for planners. <i>The Town Planning Review</i> 2005 , <i>76</i> , 1-6, https://doi.org/10.3828/tpr.76.3.1 .	37
83 [53]	Nasution, A.D.; Zahrah, W. Public open space privatization and quality of life, case study Merdeka Square Medan. <i>Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences</i> 2012 , <i>36</i> , 466-475, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.051 .	67
84 [54]	Revell, G.; Anda, M. Sustainable urban biophilia: The case of greenskins for urban density. <i>Sustainability</i> 2014 , <i>6</i> , 5423-5438, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su6085423 .	50

Table S1. Resources utilized in this systematic quantitative literature review (continued).

Reference Num. in this Article	Source	Reference Num. Simpson & Parker [29] Data paper
85 [55]	Schipperijna, J.; Ekholmb, O.; Stigsdottera, U.K.; Toftagerb, M.; Bentsena, P.; Kamper-Jørgensenb, F.; Randrupa, T. B. Factors influencing the use of green space: Results from a Danish national representative survey. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2009 , <i>95</i> , 130-137, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.12.010 .	31
86 [56]	Schneider, J.; and Lorencová, H. Recreational activities, practices and attitudes of visitors to the protected landscape areas as a basis for resolving conflicts of recreation and nature protection. <i>Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis</i> 2015 , <i>63</i> , 1555-1564, https://doi.org/10.11118/actaun201563051555 .	45
87 [57]	Shackleton, S.; Chinyimba, A.; Hebinck, P.; Shackleton, C.; Kaoma, H. Multiple benefits and values of trees in urban landscapes in two towns in northern South Africa. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2015 , <i>136</i> , 76-86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.12.004 .	39
88 [58]	Shamsuddin, S.; Hassan, N.R.A.; Bilyamin, S.F.I. Walkable environment in increasing the liveability of a city. <i>Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences</i> 2012 , <i>50</i> , 167-178, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.08.025 .	78
98 [59]	Shanahan, D.F.; Lin, B.B.; Bush, R.; Gaston, K.J.; Dean, J.H.; Barber, E.; Fuller, R.A. Toward improved public health outcomes from urban nature. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 2015 , <i>105</i> , 470-477, https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2014.302324 .	54
90 [60]	Shanahan, D.F.; Lin, B.B.; Gaston, K.J.; Bush, R.; Fuller, R.A. What is the role of trees and remnant vegetation in attracting people to urban parks? <i>Landscape Ecology</i> 2015 , <i>30</i> , 153-165, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10980-015-0162-z .	61
91 [61]	Soga, M.; Yamaura, Y.; Aikoh, T.; Shoji, Y.; Kubo, T.; Gaston, K.J. Reducing the extinction of experience: Association between urban form and recreational use of public greenspace. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2015 , <i>143</i> , 69-75, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.06.003 .	46
92 [62]	Staats, H.; Kieviet, A.; Hartig, T. Where to recover from attentional fatigue: An expectancy-value analysis of environmental preference. <i>Journal of Environmental Psychology</i> 2003 , <i>23</i> , 147-157, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944(02)00112-3 .	62
93 [63]	Stanley, M. C.; Beggs, J. R.; Bassett, I. E.; Burns, B. R.; Dirks, K. N.; Jones, D. N.; ... Trowsdale, S. A. Emerging threats in urban ecosystems: a horizon scanning exercise. <i>Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment</i> 2015 , <i>13</i> , 553-560, https://doi.org/10.1890/150229 .	72
94 [64]	Sugiyama, T.; Gunn, L.D.; Christian, H.; Francis, J.; Foster, S.; Hooper, P.; ... Giles-Corti, B. Quality of public open spaces and recreational walking. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> , 2015 , <i>105</i> , 2490-2495. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2015.302890	69
95 [65]	Sushinsky, J.R.; Rhodes, J.R.; Possingham, H.P.; Gill, T.K.; Fuller, R.A. How should we grow cities to minimize their biodiversity impacts? <i>Global Change Biology</i> 2012 , <i>19</i> , 401-410, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12055 .	83
96 [66]	Taylor, B.T.; Fernando, P.; Bauman, A.E.; Williamson, A.; Craig, J.C.; Redman, S. Measuring the quality of public open space using Google Earth. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> 2011 , <i>40</i> , 105-112 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.10.024 .	38
97 [67]	Tonge, J.; Moore, S.A. Importance-satisfaction analysis for marine-park hinterlands: A Western Australian case study. <i>Tourism Management</i> 2007 , <i>28</i> , 768-776, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2006.05.007 .	33

Table S1. Resources utilized in this systematic quantitative literature review (continued).

Reference Num. in this Article	Source	Reference Num. Simpson & Parker [29] Data paper
98 [68]	Turner, W.R.; Nakamura, T.; Dinetti, M. Global urbanization and the separation of humans from nature. <i>BioScience</i> 2004 , <i>54</i> , 585-590, https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0585:GUATSO]2.0.CO;2 .	84
99 [69]	Van Herzele, A.; Wiedemann, T. A monitoring tool for the provision of accessible and attractive urban green spaces. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2003 , <i>63</i> , 109-126, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00192-5 .	18
100 [70]	Villanueva, K.; Badland, H.; Hooper, P.; Koohsari, M.J.; Mavoa, S.; Davern, M.; ... Giles-Corti, B. Developing indicators of public open space to promote health and wellbeing in communities. <i>Applied Geography</i> 2015 , <i>57</i> , 112-119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.12.003 .	27
101 [71]	Wetzstein, S. Perceptions of Urban Elites on Four Australasian Cities: How does Perth compare?; Committee for Perth: University of Western Australia, Perth, WA Australia, 2010; pp. 1-17.	82
102 [72]	Zhang, W. Research on how to Improve the Liveability of City Community. <i>Applied Mechanics and Materials</i> 2012 , <i>174-177</i> , 3503-3506, https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.174-177.3503 .	71
115 [73]	Ambrey, C.; Byrne, J.; Matthews, T.; Davison, A.; Portanger, C.; Lo, A. Cultivating climate justice: Green infrastructure and suburban disadvantage in Australia. <i>Applied Geography</i> 2017 , <i>89</i> , 52-60, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2017.10.002 .	88
116 [74]	Andersson, E.; Barthel, S.; Borgström, S.; Colding, J.; Elmqvist, T.; Folke, C.; Gren, Å. Reconnecting Cities to the Biosphere: Stewardship of Green Infrastructure and Urban Ecosystem Services. <i>Ambio</i> 2014 , <i>43</i> , 445-453, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-014-0506-y .	98
117 [75]	Coutts, C.; Hahn, M. Green Infrastructure, Ecosystem Services, and Human Health. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2015, <i>12</i> , 9768-9798, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120809768 .	94
118 [76]	Derkzen, M.L.; van Teeffelen, A.J.; Verburg, P.H. Green infrastructure for urban climate adaptation: How do residents' views on climate impacts and green infrastructure shape adaptation preferences?. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> 2017 , <i>157</i> , 106-130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.05.027 .	93
119 [77]	Green, T.L.; Kronenberg, J.; Andersson, E.; Elmqvist, T.; Gómez-Baggethun, E. Insurance value of green infrastructure in and around cities. <i>Ecosystems</i> 2016 , <i>19</i> , 1051-1063 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-9986-x .	96
120 [78]	Heckert, M.; Rosan, C.D. Developing a green infrastructure equity index to promote equity planning. <i>Urban Forestry & Urban Greening</i> 2016 , <i>19</i> , 263-270, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2015.12.011 .	90
121 [79]	Jarden, K.M.; Jefferson, A.J.; Grieser, J.M. Assessing the effects of catchment-scale urban green infrastructure retrofits on hydrograph characteristics. <i>Hydrological Processes</i> 2016 , <i>30</i> , 1536-1550, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10736 .	86
122 [80]	Jerome, G. Defining community-scale green infrastructure. <i>Landscape Research</i> , 2017 , <i>42</i> , 223-229, https://doi.org/10.1080/01426397.2016.1229463 .	89
123 [81]	Lennon, M. Green infrastructure and planning policy: A critical assessment. <i>Local Environment</i> 2015 , <i>20</i> , 957-980. https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2014.880411 .	92

Table S1. Resources utilized in this systematic quantitative literature review (continued).

Reference Num. in this Article	Source	Reference Num. Simpson & Parker [29] Data paper
124 [82]	Netusil, N. R.; Levin, Z.; Shandas, V.; Hart, T. Valuing green infrastructure in Portland, Oregon. <i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i> , 2014 , <i>124</i> , 14-21, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.01.002 .	99
125 [83]	Raquel, C.D.S.M.; Montalto, F.A.; Palmer, M.I. Potential climate change impacts on green infrastructure vegetation. <i>Urban Forestry & Urban Greening</i> 2016 , <i>20</i> , 128-139, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2016.08.014 .	97
126 [84]	Salata K.; Yiannakou A. Green Infrastructure and climate change adaptation. <i>TeMA: Journal of Land Use, Mobility and Environment</i> 2016 , <i>9</i> , 7-24.	91
127 [85]	Whitehouse, A. Common economic oversights in green infrastructure valuation. <i>Landscape Research</i> , 2017 , <i>42</i> , 230-234, https://doi.org/10.1080/01426397.2016.1228860 .	87
128 [86]	Wilker, J.; Rusche, K.; Rymsa-Fitschen, C. Improving participation in green infrastructure planning. <i>Planning Practice & Research</i> 2016 , <i>31</i> , 229-249, https://doi.org/10.1080/02697459.2016.1158065 .	95
129 [87]	Young, R.; Zanders, J.; Lieberknecht, K.; Fassman-Beck, E.A comprehensive typology for mainstreaming urban green infrastructure. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i> 2014 , <i>519</i> , 2571- 2583, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.05.048 .	85

References

- Giap, T.K.; Thye, W.W.; Aw, G. A new approach to measuring the liveability of cities: The Global Liveable Cities Index. *World Rev. Sci. Technol. Sustain. Dev.* **2014**, *11*, 176–196, doi:10.1504/wrstsd.2014.065677.
- Thompson, C.W. Urban open space in the 21st century. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2002**, *60*, 59–72, doi:10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00059-2.
- Norton, B.A.; Coutts, A.M.; Livesley, S.J.; Harris, R.J.; Hunter, A.M.; Williams, N.S. Planning for cooler cities: A framework to prioritise green infrastructure to mitigate high temperatures in urban landscapes. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2015**, *134*, 127–138, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.10.018.
- Conteh, F.M.; Oktay, D. Measuring liveability by exploring urban qualities of Kissy Street, Sierra Leone. *Open House Int.* **2016**, *41*, 23–30.
- Keniger, L.E.; Gaston, K.J.; Irvine, K.N.; Fuller, R.A. What are the benefits of interacting with nature? *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2013**, *10*, 913–935, doi:10.3390/ijerph10030913.
- Simpson, G.; Newsome, D. Environmental history of an urban wetland: From degraded colonial resource to nature conservation area. *Geo Geogr. Environ.* **2017**, *4*, E00030, doi:10.1002/geo2.30.
- Balam, S.; Dragicevic, S. Attitudes toward urban green spaces: Integrating questionnaire survey and collaborative GIS techniques to improve attitude measurements. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2005**, *71*, 147–162, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2004.02.007.
- Bratman, G.N.; Hamilton, P.; Daily, G.C. The impacts of nature experience on human cognitive function and mental health. *N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2012**, *1249*, 118–136, doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06400.x.
- Cattell, V.; Dines, N.; Gesler, W.; Curtis, S. Mingling, observing, and lingering: Everyday public spaces and their implications for well-being and social relations. *Health Place* **2008**, *14*, 544–561, doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2007.10.007.
- Grose, M.J. Changing relationships in public open space and private open space in suburbs in south-western Australia. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2009**, *92*, 53–63, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.02.006.
- Hughes, M. Researching the links between parklands and health. In *Wellness Tourism: A Destination Perspective*; Voigt, C., Pforr, C., Eds.; Routledge: Abingdon, UK, 2014; pp. 147–160, ISBN 978-1-1-380820-0-7.
- Nasution, A.D.; Zahrah, W. Public Open Space and Quality of Life in Medan, Indonesia. *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2014**, *168*, 219–228, doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.10.091
- van den Berg, A.E.; Hartig, T.; Staats, H. Preference for nature in urbanized societies: Stress, restoration, and the pursuit of sustainability. *J. Soc. Issues* **2007**, *63*, 79–96, doi:10.1111/j.1540-4560.2007.00497.x.

14. Jones, C.; Newsome, D. Perth (Australia) as one of the world's most liveable cities: A perspective on society, sustainability and environment. *Int. J. Tour. Cities* **2015**, *1*, 18–35, doi:10.1108/IJTC-08-2014-0001.
15. Newton, P.W. Liveable and sustainable? Socio-technical challenged for the twenty-first century cities. *J. Urban Technol.* **2012**, *19*, 81–102, doi:10.1080/10630732.2012.626703.
16. Okulicz-Kozaryn, A. City life: Rankings (liveability) versus perceptions (satisfaction). *Soc. Indic. Res.* **2011**, *110*, 433–451, doi:10.1007/s11205-011-9939-x.
17. Tzoulas, K.; Korpela, K.; Venn, S.; Yli-Pelkonen, V.; Kázmierczak, A.; Niemela, J.; James, P. Promoting ecosystem and human health in urban areas using Green Infrastructure: A literature review. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2007**, *81*, 167–178, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2007.02.001.
18. Antognelli, S.; Vizzari, M. Landscape liveability spatial assessment integrating ecosystem and urban services with their perceived importance by stakeholders. *Ecol. Indic.* **2017**, *72*, 703–725, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.08.015.
19. Appiah-Opoku, S. Using protected areas as a tool for biodiversity conservation and ecotourism: A case study of Kakum National Park in Ghana. *Soc. Nat. Resour.* **2011**, *24*, 500–510, doi:10.1080/08941920.2010.495108.
20. Balding, M.; Williams, K.J. Plant blindness and the implications for plant conservation. *Conserv. Biol.* **2016**, *30*, 1192–1199, doi:10.1111/cobi.12738.
21. Barth, B.J.; FitzGibbon, S.I.; Wilson, R.S. New urban developments that retain more remnant trees have greater bird diversity. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2015**, *136*, 122–129, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.11.003.
22. Battisti, C. Experiential key species for the nature-disconnected generation. *Anim. Conserv.* **2016**, *19*, 485–487, doi:10.1111/acv.12288.
23. Bennett, N.J. Using perceptions as evidence to improve conservation and environmental management. *Conserv. Biol.* **2016**, *30*, 582–592. doi:10.1111/cobi.12681.
24. Čavić, L.; Beirão, J.N. Open Public Space Attributes and Categories—Complexity and Measurability. *Arhit. Raziskave* **2014**, *2*, 15–24.
25. Chen, B.; Adimo, O.A.; Bao, Z. Assessment of aesthetic quality and multiple functions of urban green space from the users' perspective: The case of Hangzhou Flower Garden, China. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2009**, *93*, 76–82, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.06.001.
26. Chiesura, A. The role of urban parks for the sustainable city. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2004**, *68*, 129–138, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2003.08.003.
27. Crawford, D.; Timperio, A.; Giles-Corti, B.; Ball, K.; Hume, C.; Roberts, R.; Andrianopoulos, N.; Salmon, J. Do features of public open spaces vary according to neighbourhood socio-economic status?. *Health and Place* **2008**, *14*, 889–893, doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2007.11.002.
28. Dale, P.E.R.; Connelly, R. Wetlands and human health: An overview. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **2012**, *20*, 165–171, doi:10.1007/s11273-012-9264-4.
29. Dallimer, M.; Irvine, K.N.; Skinner, A.M.; Davies, Z.G.; Rouquette, J.R., Maltby, L.L.; Warren, P.H.; Armsworth, P.R.; Gaston, K.J. Biodiversity and the feel-good factor: Understanding associations between self-reported human well-being and species richness. *BioScience* **2012**, *62*, 47–55, doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.1.9.
30. de Lange, E.; Woodhouse, E.; Milner-Gulland, E.J. Approaches used to evaluate the social impacts of protected areas. *Conserv. Lett.* **2016**, *9*, 327–333, doi:10.1111/conl.12223.
31. De Riddera, K.; Adamech, V.; Bañuelos, A.; Brusced, M.; Bürgerd, M.; Damsgaarde, O.; Dufekb, J.; Hirschf, J.; Lefebrea, F.; Pérez-Lacorzanac, J.M.; et al. An integrated methodology to assess the benefits of urban green space. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2004**, *334–335*, 489–497, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.04.054.
32. Dietsch, A.M.; Teel, T.L.; Manfredo, M.J. Social values and biodiversity conservation in a dynamic world. *Conserv. Biol.* **2016**, *30*, 1212–1221, doi:10.1111/cobi.12742.
33. Do, Y.; Kim, S.B.; Kim, J.Y.; Joo, G.J. Wetland-based tourism in South Korea: Who, When, and Why. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **2015**, *23*, 779–787, doi:10.1007/s11273-015-9418-2.
34. Edwards, N.; Hooper, P.; Trapp, G.S.; Bull, F.; Boruff, B.; Giles-Corti, B. Development of a public open space desktop auditing tool (POSDAT): A remote sensing approach. *Appl. Geogr.* **2013**, *38*, 22–30, doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2012.11.010.
35. Francis, J.; Giles-Corti, B.; Wood, L.; Knuiman, M. Creating sense of community: The role of public space. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **2012**, *32*, 401–409, doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2012.07.002.
36. Francis, J.; Wood, L.J.; Knuiman, M.; Giles-Corti, B. Quality or quantity? Exploring the relationship between Public Open Space attributes and mental health in Perth, Western Australia. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2012**, *74*, 1570–1577, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.01.032.

37. Gelissen, J. Explaining popular support for environmental protection: A multilevel analysis of 50 nations. *Environ. Behav.* **2007**, *39*, 392–415, doi:10.1177%2F0013916506292014.
38. Giles-Corti, B.; Broomhall, M.H.; Knuiiman, M.; Collins, C.; Douglas, K.; Ng, K.; Lange, A.; Donovan, R.J. Increasing walking: How important is distance to attractiveness, and size of public open space? *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2005**, *28*, 169–176, doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2004.10.018.
39. Hagerman, C. Shaping neighborhoods and nature: Urban political ecologies of urban waterfront transformations in Portland, Oregon. *Cities* **2007**, *24*, 285–297, doi:10.1016/j.cities.2006.12.003.
40. Hartig, T.; Evans, G.W.; Jamner, L.D.; Davis, D.S.; Gärling, T. Tracking restoration in natural and urban field settings. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **2003**, *23*, 109–123, doi:10.1016/S0272-4944(02)00109-3.
41. Hausmann, A.; Slotow, R.O.B.; Burns, J.K.; Di Minin, E. The ecosystem service of sense of place: Benefits for human well-being and biodiversity conservation. *Environ. Conserv.* **2016**, *43*, 117–127, doi:10.1017/S0376892915000314.
42. Hillsdon, M.; Panter, J.; Foster, C.; Jones, A. The relationship between access and quality of urban green space with population physical activity. *Public Health* **2006**, *120*, 1127–1132, doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2006.10.007.
43. Hock Teck, L.H.; Chin Siong, H.; Ali H.M.; Tu, F. Do institutions matter in neighbourhood commons governance? A two-stage relationship between diverse property-rights structure and residential public open space (POS) quality: Kota Kinabalu and Penampang, Sabah, Malaysia. *Int. J. Commons* **2016**, *10*, 294–333, doi:10.18352/ijc.618.
44. Horan, E.; Craven, J.; Goulding, R. Sustainable urban development and liveability. How can Melbourne retain its title as the world's most liveable city and strive for sustainability at the same time?. *Eur. J. Sustain. Dev.* **2014**, *3*, 61–70, doi:10.14207/ejsd.2014.v3n4p61.
45. Howley, P.; Scott, M.; Redmond, D. Sustainability versus liveability: An investigation of neighbourhood satisfaction. *J. Environ. Plan. Manag.* **2009**, *52*, 847–864, doi:10.1080/09640560903083798.
46. Ikin, K.; Le Roux, D.S.; Rayner, L.; Villaseñor, N.R.; Eyles, K.; Gibbons, P.; Manning, A.D.; Lindenmayer, D.B. Key lessons for achieving biodiversity-sensitive cities and towns. *Ecol. Manag. Restor.* **2015**, *16*, 206–214, doi:10.1111/emr.12180.
47. Irvine, K.N.; Devine-Wright, P.; Payne, S.R.; Fuller, R.A.; Painter, B.; Gaston, K.J. Green space, soundscape and urban sustainability: An interdisciplinary, empirical study. *Local Environ.* **2009**, *14*, 155–172, doi:10.1080/13549830802522061.
48. Kaźmierczak, A. The contribution of local parks to neighbourhood social ties. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2013**, *109*, 31–44, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.05.007.
49. Kurniawati, W. Public space for marginal people. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2012**, *36*, 476–484, doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.052.
50. Malek, N.A.; Mariapan, M.; Ab Rahman, N.I.A. Community participation in quality assessment for green open spaces in Malaysia. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2015**, *168*, 219–228.
51. Manfredo, M.J.; Teel, T.L.; Dietsch, A.M. Implications of human value shift and persistence for biodiversity conservation. *Conserv. Biol.* **2016**, *30*, 287–296, doi:10.1111/cobi.12619.
52. Massey, D. Liveable town and cities: Approaches for planners. *Town Plan. Rev.* **2005**, *76*, 1–6, doi:10.3828/tpr.76.3.1.
53. Nasution, A.D.; Zahrah, W. Public open space privatization and quality of life, case study Merdeka Square Medan. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2012**, *36*, 466–475, doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.051.
54. Revell, G.; Anda, M. Sustainable urban biophilia: The case of greenskins for urban density. *Sustainability* **2014**, *6*, 5423–5438, doi:10.3390/su6085423.
55. Schipperijn, J.; Ekholm, O.; Stigsdottera, U.K.; Toftagerb, M.; Bentsena, P.; Kamper-Jørgensenb, F.; Randrupa, T.B. Factors influencing the use of green space: Results from a Danish national representative survey. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2009**, *95*, 130–137, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.12.010.
56. Schneider, J.; Lorencová, H. Recreational activities, practices and attitudes of visitors to the protected landscape areas as a basis for resolving conflicts of recreation and nature protection. *Acta Univ. Agric. Silv. Mendel. Brun.* **2015**, *63*, 1555–1564, doi:10.11118/actaun201563051555.
57. Shackleton, S.; Chinyimba, A.; Hebinck, P.; Shackleton, C.; Kaoma, H. Multiple benefits and values of trees in urban landscapes in two towns in northern South Africa. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2015**, *136*, 76–86, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.12.004.
58. Shamsuddin, S.; Hassan, N.R.A.; Bilyamin, S.F.I. Walkable environment in increasing the liveability of a city. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2012**, *50*, 167–178, doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.08.025.
59. Turner, W.R.; Nakamura, T.; Dinetti, M. Global urbanization and the separation of humans from nature. *BioScience* **2004**, *54*, 585–590, doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0585:GUATSO]2.0.CO;2.

60. Shanahan, D.F.; Lin, B.B.; Gaston, K.J.; Bush, R.; Fuller, R.A. What is the role of trees and remnant vegetation in attracting people to urban parks? *Landsc. Ecol.* **2015**, *30*, 153–165, doi:10.1007/s10980-015-0162-z.
61. Soga, M.; Yamaura, Y.; Aikoh, T.; Shoji, Y.; Kubo, T.; Gaston, K.J. Reducing the extinction of experience: Association between urban form and recreational use of public greenspace. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2015**, *143*, 69–75, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.06.003.
62. Staats, H.; Kieviet, A.; Hartig, T. Where to recover from attentional fatigue: An expectancy-value analysis of environmental preference. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **2003**, *23*, 147–157, doi:10.1016/S0272-4944(02)00112-3.
63. Stanley, M.C.; Beggs, J.R.; Bassett, I.E.; Burns, B.R.; Dirks, K.N.; Jones, D.N.; Linklater, W.L.; Macinnis-Ng, C.; Simcock, R.; Trowsdale, S.A.; et al. Emerging threats in urban ecosystems: A horizon scanning exercise. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **2015**, *13*, 553–560, doi:10.1890/150229.
64. Sugiyama, T.; Gunn, L.D.; Christian, H.; Francis, J.; Foster, S.; Hooper, P.; Owen, N.; Giles-Corti, B. Quality of public open spaces and recreational walking. *Am. J. Public Health* **2015**, *105*, 2490–2495. doi:10.2105/ajph.2015.302890.
65. Sushinsky, J.R.; Rhodes, J.R.; Possingham, H.P.; Gill, T.K.; Fuller, R.A. How should we grow cities to minimize their biodiversity impacts? *Global Chang. Biol.* **2012**, *19*, 401–410, doi:10.1111/gcb.12055.
66. Taylor, B.T.; Fernando, P.; Bauman, A.E.; Williamson, A.; Craig, J.C.; Redman, S. Measuring the quality of public open space using Google Earth. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2011**, *40*, 105–112, doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.10.024.
67. Tonge, J.; Moore, S.A. Importance-satisfaction analysis for marine-park hinterlands: A Western Australian case study. *Tour. Manag.* **2007**, *28*, 768–776, doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2006.05.007.
68. Turner, W.R.; Nakamura, T.; Dinetti, M. Global urbanization and the separation of humans from nature. *BioScience* **2004**, *54*, 585–590, doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0585:GUATSO]2.0.CO;2.
69. Van Herzele, A.; Wiedemann, T. A monitoring tool for the provision of accessible and attractive urban green spaces. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2003**, *63*, 109–126, doi:10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00192-5.
70. Villanueva, K.; Badland, H.; Hooper, P.; Koohsari, M.J.; Mavoa, S.; Davern, M.; Roberts, R.; Goldfeld, S.; Giles-Corti, B. Developing indicators of public open space to promote health and wellbeing in communities. *Appl. Geogr.* **2015**, *57*, 112–119, doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.12.003.
71. Wetzstein, S. *Perceptions of Urban Elites on Four Australasian Cities: How Does Perth Compare?*; Committee for Perth, University of Western Australia: Perth, WA Australia, 2010; pp. 1–17.
72. Zhang, W. Research on how to Improve the Liveability of City Community. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2012**, *174–177*, 3503–3506, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.174-177.3503.
73. Ambrey, C.; Byrne, J.; Matthews, T.; Davison, A.; Portanger, C.; Lo, A. Cultivating climate justice: Green infrastructure and suburban disadvantage in Australia. *Appl. Geogr.* **2017**, *89*, 52–60, doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2017.10.002.
74. Andersson, E.; Barthel, S.; Borgström, S.; Colding, J.; Elmqvist, T.; Folke, C.; Gren, Å. Reconnecting Cities to the Biosphere: Stewardship of Green Infrastructure and Urban Ecosystem Services. *Ambio* **2014**, *43*, 445–453, doi:10.1007/s13280-014-0506-y.
75. Coutts, C.; Hahn, M. Green Infrastructure, Ecosystem Services, and Human Health. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2015**, *12*, 9768–9798, doi:10.3390/ijerph120809768.
76. Derkzen, M.L.; van Teeffelen, A.J.; Verburg, P.H. Green infrastructure for urban climate adaptation: How do residents' views on climate impacts and green infrastructure shape adaptation preferences?. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2017**, *157*, 106–130, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.05.027.
77. Green, T.L.; Kronenberg, J.; Andersson, E.; Elmqvist, T.; Gómez-Baggethun, E. Insurance value of green infrastructure in and around cities. *Ecosystems* **2016**, *19*, 1051–1063, doi:10.1007/s10021-016-9986-x.
78. Heckert, M.; Rosan, C.D. Developing a green infrastructure equity index to promote equity planning. *Urban For. Urban Green.* **2016**, *19*, 263–270, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2015.12.011.
79. Jarden, K.M.; Jefferson, A.J.; Grieser, J.M. Assessing the effects of catchment-scale urban green infrastructure retrofits on hydrograph characteristics. *Hydrol. Process.* **2016**, *30*, 1536–1550, doi:10.1002/hyp.10736.
80. Jerome, G. Defining community-scale green infrastructure. *Landsc. Res.* **2017**, *42*, 223–229, doi:10.1080/01426397.2016.1229463.
81. Lennon, M. Green infrastructure and planning policy: A critical assessment. *Local Environ.* **2015**, *20*, 957–980, doi:10.1080/13549839.2014.880411.
82. Netusil, N.R.; Levin, Z.; Shandas, V.; Hart, T. Valuing green infrastructure in Portland, Oregon. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2014**, *124*, 14–21, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.01.002.
83. Raquel, C.D.S.M.; Montalto, F.A.; Palmer, M.I. Potential climate change impacts on green infrastructure vegetation. *Urban For. Urban Green.* **2016**, *20*, 128–139, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2016.08.014.

84. Salata, K.; Yiannakou, A. Green Infrastructure and climate change adaptation. *TeMA J. Land Use Mobil. Environ.* **2016**, *9*, 7–24.
85. Whitehouse, A. Common economic oversights in green infrastructure valuation. *Landsc. Res.* **2017**, *42*, 230–234, doi:10.1080/01426397.2016.1228860.
86. Wilker, J.; Rusche, K.; Ryma-Fitschen, C. Improving participation in green infrastructure planning. *Plan. Pract. Res.* **2016**, *31*, 229–249, doi:10.1080/02697459.2016.1158065.
87. Young, R.; Zanders, J.; Lieberknecht, K.; Fassman-Beck, E. A comprehensive typology for mainstreaming urban green infrastructure. *J. Hydrol.* **2014**, *519*, 2571–2583, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.05.048.