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Abstract: The rehabilitation of abandoned mining sites is an increasingly pressing issue in the context of sustainable development. Recent research has emphasized the need for a holistic approach to the abandoned mining sites and their environmental rehabilitation. Based on field analysis, environmental assessments, satellite imagery processing and geographic information operations, this paper pushes forward the existing knowledge by conducting a comprehensive assessment of abandoned mining sites in the Romanian Carpathians and by proposing innovative and sustainable rehabilitation solutions. Our findings highlight that abandoned mining sites and their surrounding territories in the Romanian mountains have significant ecological imbalances and complex socio-economic issues. The findings also suggest that by adopting innovative, integrated, and sustainability-oriented approaches, territories affected by mining can be transformed into valuable and sustainable spaces to meet human needs. We conclude by presenting the importance of innovation in ecological reconstruction and spatial–functional reintegration of mining sites in mountain areas as a useful tool in making fair decisions, both in the context of implementing appropriate development policies as well as for the resilience and environmental sustainability of mining-affected mountain areas.
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1. Introduction

Mining has been fundamental to human civilization, from Stone Age sites to the iron and coal mines of the Industrial Revolution, and now to materials critical for renewable energy. Mining and its products significantly bolster national economies, with mining value tripling in the past two decades [1]. Though mining operations have a limited spatial footprint, they cause significant local environmental effects, which vary with extraction techniques, deposit nature and scale, pollution control effectiveness, waste management, and land restoration strategies [2,3]. In Eastern Europe, including Romania, mining has a long history, significantly aiding economic development, job creation, infrastructure, local industries, and social welfare [4–9]. However, while mining offers societal benefits, it can cause land use conflicts [10,11] and negative impacts such as deforestation, erosion, contamination, water and air pollution, biodiversity loss, landscape degradation, community displacement, and issues related to mine abandonment and repurposing [12–20]. Ending mining operations impacts local economies, job prospects, and socio-economic growth, which are crucial for achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Balancing SDG pillars—society, economy, and environment—is essential for sustainable mining initiatives [13,21].

Remediation and rehabilitation can positively affect environmental systems, making it crucial to restore degraded land sustainably [22–24]. Recently, mining companies are required...
to integrate sustainable development (SD) principles in post-mining restoration, aiming for environmentally and socially acceptable conditions [13,25,26]. The literature highlights various successful intervention methods globally [27–29]. Scientific discourse on post-mining land use includes restoration, reclamation, and rehabilitation [24,25,30,31]. Some former mining sites are unique ecosystems or cultural heritage monuments, protected or transformed into tourist attractions [32,33]. In Romania, geocological reconstruction methods for mining-affected territories have developed over three decades, focusing on harmonizing natural and anthropogenic factors [34–46]. Similar studies in other Carpathian countries and globally offer effective practices [47–51]. Recreational activities and sustainable tourism are notable in former mining areas in the Czech Republic, Poland, and Slovakia. Globally, countries like Germany, the USA, the UK, India, Norway, Indonesia, Canada, Australia, Finland, and New Zealand have successfully converted industrial sites into tourist attractions, protecting and improving environmental conditions, preserving historical identity, and promoting cultural heritage, traditions, crafts, and economic development.

This paper aims to assess abandoned mining sites in the Romanian Carpathians and propose novel, sustainable remediation strategies using field surveys, environmental evaluations [52], satellite imagery, and GIS. Objectives include analyzing the current state of abandoned mining sites, identifying sustainable rehabilitation opportunities within the circular economy framework, and establishing investigation methodologies and research techniques. This involves spatial, proximity, multivariate, and raster analysis, optimizing methods for reintegrating decommissioned mining sites in mountainous areas for fair decision-making in spatial planning and integrated territorial analysis. Our research addresses these questions: RQ1. Can environmental sustainability be achieved post-mining? RQ2. Is a holistic approach needed for the ecological rehabilitation of abandoned mining sites? In addition, the study emphasizes innovation in the ecological restoration and spatial–functional reintegration of mining sites in mountainous terrains, crucial for equitable decisions, suitable development strategies, and enhancing resilience and environmental sustainability. This research contributes to national knowledge [13] and fills gaps in the international literature by offering a comprehensive perspective on the sustainable rehabilitation of abandoned mining sites, focusing on restoring degraded land in protected areas like Natura 2000 sites. Unlike studies focusing on specific regions or aspects of rehabilitation [53–55], this provides a thorough evaluation of the Romanian Carpathians’ abandoned sites. It integrates socio-economic and environmental aspects, addressing the need for comprehensive methodologies, highlighted by [56]. The study proposes transforming mining-affected areas into sustainable spaces meeting human needs, addressing socio-economic and environmental dimensions. Emphasizing innovation in ecological reconstruction and spatial–functional reintegration, it aligns with [57–59], advocating solutions-based approaches in ecological restoration, specifically for the Romanian Carpathians.

Our conclusions emphasize the relevance of sustainable development policies and resilience strategies for mining-affected mountain areas, crucial for fair decision-making and contributing to the broader discourse on sustainable development and resilience in mining regions, as discussed by Rodoloki et al. [53] and Alonzo et al. [54].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The territory investigated overlaps with abandoned mining sites in the mountainous area of Romania, an area delimited according to the joint MADR-MDRAP Order no. 97/1332/2019 [60] (Figure 1). In the current geographical landscape, mining sites are primarily characterized by the existence of different types of anthropic geomorphic structures, due to the long-lasting transformations induced by extractive and processing activities in the mining industry. The specific forms with which they are identified in the territory are marked by the presence of quarries, tailings pits, underground galleries and voids, settling ponds, artificial embankments, and mounds. These, in turn, introduce a character of structural–functional discordance in the relationship of association with
natural forms, marked both by the extension of the impact area of anthropogenic risks and by the potentially destructive effects induced on the components of local and regional environmental systems.

Research focused on the topic of landscape reconstruction and spatial–functional reintegration of mountain mining sites in the context of the circular economy has been extrapolated to the level of a case study with strong regional, national, and even international resonances. The area in question is the Apuseni Mountains, a region with multiple historical and cultural identity connotations [61], where gold and silver mining, which began in pre-Roman times, meets contemporary mining.

The regional system of the Apuseni Mountains constitutes a mountain subunit with distinct morphostructural and metallogenic features, which have given a specific socio-economic profile to the development of settlements in the mining areas. It is highlighted both by the historical value and the importance of the mineral resources contained/exploited, as well as by the order of magnitude expressed by the share of industrial-extractive surfaces and the density of mining sites. To all this must be added the impact of industrial mining activities on the local community and on the development profile of the entire mountain area.

The rationale for the multiscale regional approach in the analysis carried out is due, on the one hand, to the typological differences in mining anthropostructures (gold, silver, copper, uranium, refractory clay, bauxite, limestone, and coal), and, on the other hand, to the possibility of a gradual assessment of the elements and structures that make up the territorial systems in the areas affected by mining, given restricted access to data and information sets.

At the same time, the suitability of the territories for the implementation of the most appropriate spatial–functional reconstruction and reintegration measures was taken into account in accordance with the specificity of the local physical–geographical conditions and
the harmonization of the strategic directions of regional development with the integrated spatial planning actions of the settlements in the mountain mining areas.

2.2. Research Methodology

Identifying an appropriate research methodology was crucial for obtaining quantitative and spatial information for the exploratory process [62]. The methodology involved collecting, sorting, processing, and interpreting data, map making, consulting public documents, and field research. The research required a multivariate analysis methodology divided into three stages. The first stage involved collecting and sorting data on the typology of abandoned mining sites according to the type of exploitation, highlighting the state parameters of post-mining territorial structures (quarries, mine galleries, settling ponds, tailings ponds, technological roads, processing plants). This information was primarily gathered through extensive analysis of scientific papers and reports from Web of Knowledge, ResearchGate, and Scopus. Additional data from technical reports, projects, and geotechnical studies of the Apuseni Mountains mining sites were also integrated. In the second phase, georeferencing images from external sources and advanced spatial and alphanumeric analyses were conducted, utilizing data from the Digital Elevation Model (DEM, 12.5 m). Landsat 8 satellite images were processed using ERDAS Imagine 16.8 software to calculate the normalized building differentiation index (NDBI) [63]:

\[ \text{NDBI} = \frac{\text{TM5} - \text{TM6}}{\text{TM6} + \text{TM5}} \]

where TM5—near-infrared spectral band and TM6—mid-infrared spectral band.

The final result transformed images with values between −1 and +1 into descriptive data, facilitating the investigation of the territory based on the biophysical information obtained. Man-made and remodelled areas showed values between 0.01 and +0.99, while water bodies and vegetated areas had negative values between −1 and −0.01. GIS techniques complemented the research methods, allowing relational operations on different geographic data structures for maps, queries, and thematic editing. Using ArcGIS 10.8 software, vector layers corresponding to codes 131 (mineral extraction areas) and 121 (industrial or commercial units) were extracted from the Corine Land Cover dataset (2018). Visual checks revealed inaccuracies requiring data cleaning and error minimization. The accuracy of the vector layers was validated (Figure 2) using satellite images and by overlaying the Normalized Difference Building Index (NDBI) over images from Google Earth Pro datasets (image dates: 14 August 2020, 26 June 2021, 22 July 2022, and 31 March 2024).
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Figure 2. Roșia Montană and Roșia Poieni mining sites. Identification of areas affected by mining based on aerial imagery (1), satellite imagery (2), and the Normalized Difference Building Index-NDBI (3).

Fifteen cases of non-compliance were corrected regarding land classification. After removing spatial representation errors, the layer with polygons corresponding to the mining
Through this analysis, an estimate of the probability density was obtained according to the known points, with values very close to those given by the histograms. This spatial analysis identified several mining hotspots in the mountain areas of Hunedoara, Alba, Maramureș, Caraș-Severin, Bihor, Covasna, Harghita, Gorj, and Cluj. High-density areas are associated with ecological, socio-economic, and landscape impacts.

Through indirect methods, data were extracted in different formats, downloaded through platforms for geospatial products and GIS programs, facilitating comparative spatial analysis between abandoned mining sites and protected natural areas, ecological corridors, and water bodies. Using ArcGIS 10.8, the Intersect function (Analysis Tools > Overlay) identified points of ecological conflict within Natura 2000 sites. To identify the best reconstruction technologies for post-mining rehabilitation, the main morphometric indices (altitude, slope, and slope orientation) were analysed using a DEM (Digital Elevation Model) at 12.5 m resolution, produced by ALOS PALSAR with radiometric terrain correction (RTC). This analysis was applied at the regional level, with the Apuseni Mountains chosen as the case study due to the age of mining operations, the number of abandoned sites, population spread, exploitation complexity, and mineral resources.

The methodology applied in the third work phase was based on the results obtained in the previous stages (complex data processing, cartographic representations, diachronic assessments, and correlative-integrative analyses), all of which were favourable prerequisites for analysing the critical states induced by abandoned mining sites on protected natural areas, assessing the degree of resilience of socio-ecological systems and the possibilities of sustainable post-mining reconversion. The analytical approach aimed at geo-processing the data using GIS tools and generating multiple thematic layers on the distribution of mining sites by locality (Figure 4). Microscale analysis also involved investigations of mine water outlets, the current state of the mine sites in terms of reconstructive stages (in
operation, with stopped activity, undergoing greening, greened) and post-mining stability of depositional and excavation morphology.

![Diagram of data collection and analysis process]

**Figure 4.** Research framework.

The data analytical approach identified the suitability of structures from industrial-mining activities for landscape reconversion and spatial–functional reintegration, developing integrated territorial rehabilitation models linking resource opportunities with social needs. Alternative solutions for sustainable reconstruction and spatial–functional reintegration were identified, aligning with the EU’s Circular Economy Package and Directive 2006/21/EC [64]. The sustainable development possibilities for mountain settlements with abandoned mining industries were also analysed, addressing risks such as depopulation, demographic ageing, decline of single-industry towns, socio-economic isolation, environmental degradation, and increasing poverty [65–67]. This approach and the structured datasets could serve as a reference for reconstructing abandoned mining sites and post-mining sustainable development.

2.3. Data Collection

The present research is based on the collection and structuring of a large volume of data and information from which the necessary and essential elements have been extracted, in the form of graphs, tables, or thematic layers, for detailed observations and multiscale analyses. Thus, the structure of the database used includes both Landsat satellite image processing and heterogeneous GIS thematic datasets, which provides the necessary
consistency to achieve a methodological analysis framework that meets the objectives proposed in this research.

In order to build the database for the selection of the analysis variables, several classical and digital cartographic sources were used (Table 1), such as the geological map of Romania (1:200,000), topographic maps (1:25,000 and 1:50,000), orthophotos at 0.5 m resolution (for the year 2012, updated using Google Earth Pro 2020–2024 captures), and the CORINE Land Cover dataset (2018).

Table 1. The structure of the database used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Data Format</th>
<th>Data Processing Software</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEM 1_12.5 m</td>
<td>raster</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>ALOS PALSAR RTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAU s 2 limits</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>ANCPI 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected areas</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>ANPM 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat 8 OLI_TIRS images (2023)</td>
<td>raster</td>
<td>ERDAS 4</td>
<td>USGS 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORINE Land Cover (2018)</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>EEA 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS 3 data</td>
<td>.xml/.csv</td>
<td>Microsoft Excel Version 2406/ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>INSE 9/TEMPO-Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological map of Romania (1:200,000)</td>
<td>raster</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>IGR 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthophotoplanes _0.5 m (1:2000)</td>
<td>raster</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>ANCPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map of Romania’s mineral resources (1:500,000)</td>
<td>raster</td>
<td>Global Mapper v25.1</td>
<td>IGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits of Romania’s mountain area</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>MADR 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topographic map of Romania (1:50,000/1:25,000)</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>ANCPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerial images (2020–2024)</td>
<td>raster</td>
<td>ArcGIS 10.8</td>
<td>Google Earth Pro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Digital Elevation Model; 2 Territorial Administrative Units; 3 National Institute of Statistics; 4 Earth Resources Data Analysis System; 5 National Agency for Cadaster and Real Estate Publicity; 6 National Environmental Protection Agency; 7 United States Geological Survey; 8 European Environment Agency; 9 National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies; 10 Geological Institute of Romania; 11 Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development.

The management of field data, indirect observations, and intermediate and final results was carried out in a unified database management system, and the processing of this data was based on the use of different software. In addition, other sources of data obtained through comparative observations of the investigated mining sites in the mountainous area, in particular those in the Apuseni Mountains, were also used for the in-depth analysis of the territory. Among these, we can mention the data structures obtained from in situ observations and measurements, geographic information extracted through various operations using ArcGIS 10.8 software, analysis of studies and research projects carried out in the investigated area, and consultation of the normative–legislative framework in force.

The completion of the database was extrapolated for the whole mountain area of Romania by extracting the value sets of the parameters used from different cartographic supports (geomorphological, soil, and vegetation maps). The thematic layout types were used both in the spatial analysis process, carried out in the digital quantitative data collection stage, and for obtaining the information needed [68] for morphometric, morphodynamic, environmental, and landscape analyses.

The structuring and processing of the datasets thus obtained aimed to match the coverage area with the delineation and analysis of the biophysical parameters of the studied
area. At the same time, the relational database also included the variables needed to assess anthropogenic intervention by industrial-mining activities on the mountain territorial system, as well as the inventory of abandoned mining sites and the mapping of risk processes with major environmental impact. The first structures imported into the database were those in vector format, brought in through the PostGIS Manager import/export application. Several thematic layers have been added through this application, which have been kept spatially re-referenced by filling the SRID field with the EPSG code 3844, corresponding to the official projection system of Romania (Stereo 1970).

The necessary theoretical criteria were also evaluated and substantiated to actively contribute to the systematization of the geospatial data workflow for abandoned mining sites in the mountain area. To this end, several geospatial data were collected and processed on the location of surface and underground mining perimeters, as well as the location of mining waste dumps, included in the category of tailings ponds and settling ponds.

The cartographic and satellite materials were georeferenced in Global Mapper V.25.1 and ArcGIS 10.8. The satellite images used in this analysis were downloaded by accessing the Landsat database [69], with the aim of ensuring that the months of capture (July–September) provided the most fitting possible clarity.

Another part of the indicators used in the research process was based on quantitative data obtained by accessing data sources and information held by various national institutions: the National Agency for Cadaster and Real Estate Publicity (ANCPI), the National Agency for Environmental Protection (ANPM), the National Institute for Statistics and Economic Studies (INSSE), the Geological Institute of Romania (IGR), and the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MADR).

3. Results
3.1. Mining Area Identification

The analyses carried out have provided a wealth of data and information on abandoned mining sites in Romania’s mountain area. The content elements were based on the current morphodynamics of the mining pits, as well as on the state of the physical parameters of the tailings ponds and settling ponds, factors that underlie the characteristics of the existing mining technostructures, as well as the possibilities of sustainable reconstruction and resilient spatial–functional reintegration.

Based on spatial correlation analysis and proximity analysis [70], areas with high ecological sensitivity and the highest probability of impact from mining activities were identified. The correlation analysis of the territory focused on the geospatial distribution of the elements, allowed the representation of the central indicators, of the orientation, shape and dispersion of the different spatial entities, as well as the highlighting of some elements on the older cartographic material, in order to capture the evolutionary character of the phenomena.

The study of the historical and current use of mining sites in the mountain area was carried out in relation to a series of general and specific indicators. Thus, the research was based on observations made in correlation with the local and regional geological structure, the morphology of the territory, the current morphodynamics, the local climatic conditions [71], the type of hazardous substances used, the location of inhabited areas, and the functions of the surrounding areas. Data and information on land use, key receptors and their relation to mining sites, and environmental and landscape risks also contributed significantly.

The methodology used for the rehabilitation of anthropostructures caused by mining is in line with the trends mentioned in the international and national literature. The analyses carried out focused on the state of the closure and greening of the settling ponds, used for the storage of the tailings flotsam resulting from mining activities. The geomorphological assessment of solutions for the functional reintegration and landscaping of land systems in mountain areas affected by mining is a contemporary need, whose applicability has both immediate and long-term effects.
3.2. Spatial Distribution Characteristics

The mining sector in Romania’s mountainous regions has extensively transformed large land areas through excavation, tailings storage, underground mining, and construction activities. These interventions have increased entropy and disrupted the self-sustainability of mountain systems, leading to significant land being repurposed for agriculture, forestry, or other uses, thus necessitating urgent post-exploitation eco-rehabilitation. In 1989, mining was a way of life for 10% of the active population [72]. However, since 1999, the Mine Closure and Ecological Mining Programme (H.G. no. 418/1999 and H.G. no. 1158/2004) has overseen the closure of over 550 mining operations and about 30 preparation plants, leaving only the copper deposits at Rosia Poieni operational. The cessation of mining activities has left long-term demographic impacts, such as displacement of settlements, increased community vulnerability, altered population dynamics [73], and health issues for residents. The destructive nature of mining—discovery, transport, extraction, and waste storage—has led to persistent negative effects on mountain habitats and local fauna, affecting ecosystems with rare species [74–78].

The lack of preventive measures during waste processing and storage due to insufficient legislative frameworks has resulted in significant health and biodiversity impacts at many closed sites [79], highlighting the need for clear remediation methodologies for contaminated land and polluted groundwater.

Mining activities have polluted soil and groundwater with various contaminants, including cyanides, heavy metals, sulfate ions, hydrocarbons, and oxides, damaging aquatic biosystems up to 30 km from industrial perimeters. According to the National Strategy and National Action Plan for the Management of Contaminated Sites, “heavy metal contamination can only be remedied after assessing the acidification potential of deposited rocks” [80]. Romania has 1183 contaminated or potentially contaminated sites [81]. The negative impact of mining on mountain environmental systems is influenced by mining methods, duration, and the physical and geographical characteristics of the territory. While the extractive industry provides essential raw materials for economic development, it often conflicts with nature conservation regulations, especially EU directives on birds and habitats. An analysis of the mountain area identified 60 points of ecological conflict within Natura 2000 sites affected by mining works, which have not been ecologically protected (Figure 5). Of these, 31 are within Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)/Sites of Community Importance (SCI), and 29 are within Special Protection Areas (SPA) for birdlife.

Higher densities were reported in the northern Apuseni Mountains (ROSPA0115: Crisului Repede gorge, Valea Iadului), southern Banat Mountains (ROSCI0206: Portiile de Fier), and the central Eastern Carpathians (ROSPA0133: Călimani Mountains and ROSPA0082: Bodoc-Baraolt Mountains). The impact of extractive activities on mountain ecosystems includes not only extraction sites but also secondary works and facilities like clearing, earthworks, roads, conveyor belts, crushers, storage sites, residues, and plant platforms. The 2017 Report on the Inventory and Visual Inspection of Landfills and Settling Ponds in Romania identified 29 counties with industrial waste landfills (Figure 6), including Alba, Arad, Argeș, Bacău, Bihor, Bistrița-Năsăud, Brașov, Buzău, Caraș-Severin, Cluj, Constanța, Covasna, Dâmbovița, Dolj, Galați, Gorj, Harău, Hunedoara, Maramureș, Mehedinți, Mureș, Neamț, Prahova, Sălaj, Satu Mare, Suceava, Timiș, Tulcea, and Vâlcea.

Of these, 25 counties are in the mountain area, while Sibiu and Vrancea counties have no industrial landfills [82] (Figure 7).

There were 1030 inventoried tailings pits in the mountain counties, with 994 being mining sites. Suceava County had the highest number (224), followed by Maramureș (180). Additionally, 141 ponds were near protected natural areas, and 35 tailings ponds were unstable. Seventeen mountain counties had settling ponds, totaling 108, with 31 near protected areas and 15 operational [83].
Higher densities were reported in the northern Apuseni Mountains (ROSPA0115: Crișului Repede gorge, Valea Iadului), southern Banat Mountains (ROSCI0206: Porțile de Fier), and the central Eastern Carpathians (ROSPA0133: Călimani Mountains and ROSPA0082: Bodoc-Baraolt Mountains). The impact of extractive activities on mountain ecosystems includes not only extraction sites but also secondary works and facilities like clearing, earthworks, roads, conveyor belts, crushers, storage sites, residues, and plant platforms. The 2017 Report on the Inventory and Visual Inspection of Landfills and Settling Ponds in Romania identified 29 counties with industrial waste landfills (Figure 6), including Alba, Arad, Argeș, Bacău, Bihor, Bistrița-Năsăud, Brașov, Buzău, Caraș-Severin, Cluj, Constanța, Covasna, Dâmbovița, Dolj, Galați, Gorj, Harghita, Hunedoara, Maramureș, Mehedinți, Mureș, Neamț, Prahova, Sălaj, Satu Mare, Suceava, Timiș, Tulcea, and Vâlcea.

Figure 5. Ecological conflict points due to mining activities in the mountain area.

Figure 6. Spatial distribution of the identified ponds with issues.
The mining of non-ferrous ores, especially gold and silver, in the Apuseni Mountains has spanned over two millennia, with near-continuous activity since pre-Roman times. This long history has left several industrial-extractive areas, necessitating measures to reintegrate them into productive ecological and economic circuits per current legislation (Figure 8). Kernel density analysis identified two primary mining hotspots: one in the southern and central-eastern Apuseni Mountains and a secondary hotspot in the north-western Pădurea Craiului Mountains (Figure 9).

The investigated territory shows an altitudinal distribution of 49 mining sites, ranging from 202 m (TAU Zam) to 1347 m (TAU Poieni). Most sites (23%) are found at 600–700 m, while the least (8%) are at 1000–1347 m. Thus, altitude does not impose restrictive conditions for ecological reconstruction. Slope, talus, and watershed gradients are influenced by stripping processes, structural-petrographic typology, relief evolution, and mining dynamics. These factors, combined with declivity, horizontal fragmentation, relief amplitude, slope exposure, climatic conditions, and anthropogenic intervention, condition risk factors in mining-affected mountain areas. Morphometric analysis reveals the distribution of mining sites by slope: 12% at 0–2°, 6% at 2.01–5°, 16% at 5.01–15°, 35% at 15.01–35°, 21% at 35.01–55°, and 10% at 55.01–64.72° (maximum at Poieni TAU). Most sites (56%) are on steep slopes, indicating high susceptibility to landslides, mudflows, and other stripping processes, posing challenges for ecological reconstruction. Slope exposure influences solar insolation, affecting soil moisture, vegetation quality, and morphodynamic processes. Only 8% of mining sites are on flat surfaces, while 42% are on sunny slopes (SW and W), favourable for vegetation regeneration. Shaded slopes (N, NE) house 41% of sites, more vulnerable to landslides and solifluction due to runoff during transitional periods.
The stages of landscaping mining sites depend on the final destination of tailings ponds. Redeveloping mining areas for constructive purposes, followed by biological redevelopment for environmental recovery. Initial stages involve mine redevelopment to regenerate soil fertility and plant cultivation or constructive purposes, followed by biological redevelopment for environmental recovery. The stages of landscaping mining sites depend on the final destination of tailings ponds.

Figure 8. Spatial distribution of mining sites in the Apuseni Mountains.

Figure 9. Kernel density of mining sites in the Apuseni Mountains.

3.3. Reconstruction Mode

Two types of reconstruction technologies are suitable for the abandoned mining sites in the Apuseni Mountains: pollution purification and monitoring, and waste recycling–reuse. Initial stages involve mine redevelopment to regenerate soil fertility and plant cultivation or constructive purposes, followed by biological redevelopment for environmental recovery. The stages of landscaping mining sites depend on the final destination of tailings ponds.
Environmental rehabilitation aims for land to function as self-maintaining ecosystems. Recycling–reuse technologies enable re-mining to recover precious metals or minerals, reducing tailings in mountain areas (Figure 10). Remediation costs should align with sustainable territorial development, requiring creative policy and fiscal solutions. The National Strategy and Action Plan aimed to address contaminated sites by 2020, continuing until 2050. Redeveloping mining-affected areas is part of integrated development strategies, focusing on post-mining reconstruction, greening, and sustainable redevelopment to restore economic potential (Figure 11). Reuse options for abandoned sites in the Apuseni Mountains include agricultural areas, fisheries, forests, recreational areas, adventure parks, sports fields, mining museums, architectural-mining reserves, geological parks, waste dumps, industrial parks, tourist mine galleries, photovoltaic parks, and reserve habitats [84,85].

![Correlation of Directive 2006/21/EC with the EU Circular Economy Package and the inclusion of an annual target for the mining waste treatment](image)

**Figure 10.** Solutions regarding the economic circularization of mining waste from the mountain environment.

![Greening of the Gura Roșiei settling pond](image)

**Figure 11.** Greening of the Gura Roșiei settling pond (work stages: 2008–2023).

The most frequent deficiencies in ecological reconstruction and rehabilitation of abandoned mining sites include inadequate re-profiling of steep slopes, use of simple water-
proofing membranes without honeycomb structures, and economic greening methods with minimal vegetation. Issues also involve thin soil layers (15 cm), lack of integrated monitoring, and absence of a comprehensive vision for long-term ecological, social, economic, and cultural impacts. Restoration involves reintroducing degraded lands into the economic circuit through soil decontamination, slope stabilization with geo-synthetic materials, and ensuring vegetation regeneration [86]. Contaminated underground water requires pumping and purification. Numerous degraded and polluted surfaces in the Apuseni Mountains offer diverse conditions for sustainable reconstruction and resilient reintegration. Connecting these considerations, mining in the Apuseni Mountains has heavily impacted the environment due to the richness in non-ferrous ores (gold, silver, copper, zinc, lead), leaving a valuable cultural heritage. Roșia Montană, the oldest mining town in Romania, documented in 131 AD, is a significant archaeological site in Europe. Recently added to the UNESCO World Heritage List, this site highlights tourism’s potential as a future development engine. Restoration must balance the economic use of degraded lands with cultural preservation and sustainable practices, integrating ecological, social, and economic aspects to create resilient, functional spaces for future use [84,85].

The Northeastern Metaliferi Mountains, a regional subunit of the Apuseni Mountains, include the mining area with the most extensive territory affected by mining and the oldest and most diversified system of industrial-mining exploitation in the entire mountainous region of Romania. It covers a total area of 727 km², of which abandoned mining sites account for over 10%, and the environmental and socio-economic impact is considerable. Our research has highlighted the existence of three abandoned mining sites, namely Roșia Montană, Bucium, and Baia de Aries. Alongside these inactive sites, there is one of the largest operational mining sites in Europe, namely the copper exploitation at Roșia Poieni. Micro-scale analysis has revealed that within the same site, there are sectors that require different types of ecological reconstruction. Thus, in some sectors, interventions are needed for soil and water decontamination, while others are suitable for waste recycling and reuse operations (Figure 12). For example, in the case of Roșia Montană mining site, which spatially integrates exploitation quarries, tailings ponds, old networks of multi-level galleries, branched voids with an archaic appearance, primitive exploitation grottos, waste heaps, and industrial constructions, it is necessary to carefully apply ecological reconstruction works and spatial–functional reintegration of the territory. The techniques of ecological reconstruction and development works should primarily focus on protecting the traditional cultural landscape and preserving the architectural-mining vestiges of value to humanity, in accordance with the World Heritage Convention. Interventions should consider both the reconstruction of local ecosystems and the restoration of biodiversity, as well as the depollution of waters and decontamination of soils texturally modified by the gravitational accumulation of materials washed from the waste heap slopes or exfiltrations from old mining galleries and the body of the tailings ponds. The solutions implemented in this case involve the combined application of the two proposed models of ecological reconstruction. On one hand, recycling and reusing the mining waste stored in the Gura Roșiei and Săliștei Valley tailings ponds are necessary, while on the other hand, extensive interventions are needed for the decontamination of affected soil, as well as the depollution and restoration of water drainage within the perimeter of the abandoned mining exploitations. Moreover, the choice of appropriate implementation of ecological reconstruction techniques for mining sites must consider both the physico-mechanical characteristics of the excavation and storage structures, as well as the chemical composition of the waste, the types of ore deposits exploitation, and the industrial technologies used during processing. Additionally, the reconstruction process will include mandatory public consultation stages with the communities in the proximity of the mining sites, and sustainable land management and climate resilience will be ensured by identifying and applying the best nature-based solutions (NBSs). The forms of intervention must be based on rigorous analyses in terms of differentiated application in relation to local and regional territorial specificities, because they will have a series of implications in the social-economic plan as well (valuation of the
local workforce by creating jobs, redefining activities in the context of the circular economy, the reconsideration of economic cycles based on the concept of eco-efficiency, and the development of alternative economic activities).

Figure 12. Suitable models for ecological reconstruction of abandoned mining sites in the northeastern Metaliferi Mountains.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

4.1. Research Contributions

Ecological restoration aims to recover native ecosystems following disturbances, ranging from degradation to complete destruction [59,87]. A specialized sub-discipline focuses on post-mining landscape restoration, distinct due to significant disturbances. Recovery goals often favor creating novel or hybrid ecosystems, including native and non-native species, to ensure stability and functionality [30,88]. Governments now recognize the importance of post-mining rehabilitation aligned with ecological sustainability principles, enforcing legislation that includes financial guarantees, phased restoration, and non-polluting objectives [89–94]. Despite this, abandoned mine lands remain an issue, due to past insufficient legislation and financial instruments, seen in mining regions globally, including Romania. The rehabilitation of abandoned sites faces challenges such as undefined responsibilities, high costs, and lack of funds following the cessation of mining activities. Evaluation factors for such sites include age, environmental impact, public health concerns, social implications, government support, and community commitment to rehabilitation [95,96].

Our study highlights significant ecological and socio-economic challenges around abandoned mining sites in the Romanian Carpathians. Findings suggest adopting innova-
tive, holistic, and sustainability-focused measures to transform these areas into resourceful environments. Romania’s pre-1989 industrial-mining activities were unsustainable, and post-communist socio-economic and political changes have led to neglect of former mining areas [97,98]. Addressing their marginalization requires solutions for environmental rehabilitation aimed at restoring long-term equilibrium in affected and neighboring areas. Authorities must attract funds to convert abandoned mining areas into innovative spaces, beyond mere populist measures [99].

The study adds to the literature on post-industrial landscape rehabilitation and sustainable regional development. The integrated model of territorial reconversion aligns with sustainable spatial planning principles [100], emphasizing a multidisciplinary approach for ecological reconstruction. The study advocates for active involvement from local, regional, and national authorities, focusing on funding to transform abandoned areas into innovative spaces [101]. Implementing new industrial eco-cycles and landscape rehabilitation is essential for reintegrating these territories into the economic circuit, creating jobs, and developing the local workforce. Our findings align with global studies on post-industrial regions, highlighting similar challenges and solutions. Examples from the UK, Germany, Australia, and the USA demonstrate the effectiveness of community-led projects and comprehensive policy frameworks [102,103]. However, this study’s focus on the specific geographical and socio-economic context of the Romanian Carpathians provides unique insights into the differentiated application of rehabilitation measures. It emphasizes the importance of local and regional territorial specificities in designing and implementing sustainable development strategies [104]. Data for characterizing abandoned mining sites were gathered based on relevant thematic elements, sampling, and regional validation. The methodological system used highlights specific research methods that provide objective results, forming an optimal framework for action. The investigation combined geographical research methods and interdisciplinary resources, linked to current territorial realities.

4.2. Practical Implications

Developing an integrated model of territorial reconversion, tailored to the community’s social, economic, cultural, and environmental needs [105], and identifying alternative solutions to leverage endogenous potential, is crucial for sustainable spatial planning in mining-affected mountain areas. This research evaluated risk factors for mining sites, including anthropogenic geomorphostructures, factors amplifying negative effects, stability of geotechnical arrangements, potential destructive geomorphological processes, and optimal reintegration solutions for degraded post-exploitation surfaces.

Our analysis confirms that environmental rebalancing of abandoned mining sites in mountain areas is feasible, ensuring optimal functionality and sustainability. Mitigating risks associated with tailings ponds, settling ponds, underground galleries, and quarries (e.g., material detachments, accidental acidic water discharges, toxic substance pollution, landscape, and biodiversity degradation) from long-term mining in the Apuseni Mountains requires tailored ecological rehabilitation and reconstruction measures. A holistic approach to rehabilitation involves integrated monitoring of territorial components, relationships, vulnerable structures within mining sites, and positional risks. Sustainable management of post-exploitation eco-rehabilitation must extend to all mountain areas with industrial extractive activities, aiming for long-term ecological reconstruction and reintegration into the economic circuit.

To succeed, rehabilitation efforts need acceptance from all stakeholders (territorial-administrative institutions, businesses, local community) and alignment with national and regional strategic objectives. Challenges include the uncertain legal status of lands designated for closure and greening works or modernization of mine water treatment stations. Recommendations for state institutions and mining specialists include revising legislation on mining waste deposits, clarifying land legal status to avoid compromising greening efforts, and reconsidering the valorization of mining cultural heritage for tourism and the
circular economy. Implementing new industrial eco-cycles, landscape rehabilitation, and integrated reconstruction of abandoned sites and degraded areas is essential. Despite a 2017 national inventory of mining waste deposits, ongoing identification, expertise, monitoring, and good practice implementation, aligned with European legislative frameworks, are critical.

4.3. Limitations and Future Directions

In carrying out this study, some limitations have been noted, which will be discussed in this subsection. Specifically, errors associated with the data collection and processing stage of the datasets extracted from the CLC 2018 were identified, such as inaccuracies in the classification of certain land use categories. For instance, some settling ponds associated with the Baia de Aries mining site were incorrectly classified by Romanian specialised authorities in the field as deciduous forests. In addition to this, the Groapa Ruginoasă–Valea Seacă protected area was also misclassified by these authorities as an “ore extraction area” and the Târnicioara and Valea Straja settling ponds were inaccurately categorized as “waste pits”. To address these inaccuracies, alternative methods such as satellite/aerial imagery and field observations were applied for data validation.

Another limitation is the absence of a national open access database on mining activity in Romania, which would include detailed information on the location, type, period of activity, current status, and ownership of abandoned sites. Despite these limitations, the research results are robust, based on relevant information and complex spatial and quantitative data structures with high methodological precision and accuracy. Future research should aim to develop a comprehensive national database on mining activities, enhancing the accuracy of ecological and socio-economic assessments and supporting better-informed decision-making [101]. Moreover, longitudinal studies involving community participation are essential to understand the long-term impacts of rehabilitation measures and refine strategies. Exploring eco-tourism and the circular economy could also provide sustainable economic alternatives [103]. In conclusion, while this study provides a foundational framework for the rehabilitation of abandoned mining sites in the Romanian Carpathians, addressing these limitations and pursuing further research is crucial for achieving long-term sustainability and regional development. We believe that the outcomes of our study could be further developed by gaining insights through interviews and surveys with the people living in mountain mining areas.
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