Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in the Diagnosis and Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Carolina Larrain, Alejandro Torres-Hernandez and Daniel Brock Hewitt *

Division of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, Department of Surgery, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA
* Correspondence: brock.hewitt@nyulangone.org

Abstract: Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be a useful tool in the management of disease processes such as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) as treatment decisions are often complex and multifaceted. AI applications in medicine are expanding with the ongoing advances in AI including more sophisticated machine learning and deep learning processes. In preliminary studies, AI algorithms have demonstrated superiority in predicting the development of HCC compared with standard models. Radiomics, a quantitative method used to extract features from medical imaging, has been applied to numerous liver imaging modalities to aid in the diagnosis and prognostication of HCC. Deep learning methodologies can help us to identify patients at higher likelihood of disease progression and improve risk stratification. AI applications have expanded into the field of surgery as models not only help us to predict surgical outcomes but AI methodologies are also used intra-operatively, in real time, to help us to define anatomic structures and aid in the resection of complex lesions. In this review, we discuss promising applications of AI in the management of HCC. While further clinical validation is warranted to improve generalizability through the inclusion of larger and more diverse populations, AI is expected to play a central role in assisting clinicians with the management of complex disease processes such as HCC.
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1. Introduction

Primary liver cancer is the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer worldwide and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for over 80% of newly diagnosed cases [1]. Due to the lack of early diagnostic markers, absence of specific symptoms in early disease, and inadequate screening programs in most countries, nearly two out of every three patients diagnosed with HCC have intermediate or advanced disease upon diagnosis [2,3]. Unfortunately, these patients often have a poor prognosis as their disease may not be amenable to curative interventions, such as surgical resection, liver transplantation, or ablation, and, until recently, effective systemic options were limited. As a result, HCC is the fourth most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide with a relative five-year survival rate of 18% [4,5]. However, the recent inclusion of immunotherapy into the HCC treatment paradigm and the expansion of downstaging/bridging protocols to liver transplantation have improved overall survival and established a new standard of care for patients with HCC [6,7]. In addition to surgical and systemic therapeutic options, a number of liver-directed therapies (e.g., bland transarterial embolization (TAE), transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), radioembolization (RE)) are available depending on the extent of cirrhosis and the stage of the disease [5]. With the expanding therapeutic armamentarium for patients with HCC, novel tools are needed to effectively stratify patients to maximize therapeutic benefit.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has recently emerged as a viable clinical tool with growing utility in the management of HCC. Broadly, AI is a subdivision of data science which
describes the theory and development of computer systems that perform tasks requiring human-level intelligence such as visual perception or decision making. AI was originally conceptualized in the 1950s by the mathematician Alan Turing and the field has greatly expanded since its original conception. As technology continues to evolve, new AI techniques have been developed to address more complex and sophisticated problems. Machine learning and deep learning are two such subfields of AI (Figure 1).

In machine learning, computer systems learn and adapt by using algorithms and statistical models to draw inferences from patterns present in data [8]. Unlike traditional statistical programming where the data and algorithms are provided and the output is produced, machine learning systems are provided the data and then independently “develop” an algorithm to process the data. Algorithm accuracy improves overtime as the system “learns” from additional data/output cycles. Two common learning methods are supervised and unsupervised learning. In supervised learning, the computer system is provided human-labeled datasets including desired inputs and outputs. The operator knows the desired output; the algorithm “learns” from the observations to identify patterns in the data and make predictions. Examples of supervised learning include classification, regression, and forecasting. In contrast, unsupervised machine learning systems do not receive output information. The system identifies associations and relationships to group the data in a more organized way without an “answer key”. Clustering and dimension reduction are two examples of unsupervised learning [9].

The datasets used to develop machine learning models are typically divided into training, validation, and testing datasets. Once an algorithm has been developed from a training dataset, it is further optimized and tuned with a validation set. Upon completion of the training phase, its performance will be evaluated with a test dataset comprising new data which the system has not yet encountered. Ideally, the algorithm will have a similar predictive power with the test dataset as with the one it was trained through, implying
generalizability of the model. It is important to note the generalizability of an algorithm is largely based upon the characteristics of its training and the validation dataset. Any biases present within the dataset, such as homogeneity due to sampling error, will become inherent to the model. This will ultimately lead to decreased generalizability and a poorer predictive performance of the model [9].

Deep learning is a subset of machine learning and more closely mimics human intelligence. The system is built upon artificial neural networks that are modeled on the biologic neural networks of the brain [10]. Deep learning models have the ability to process more complex data, such as images, text or sounds, and are the basis of complex models such as speech recognition or large-scale image analysis. This method of AI processes data through multiple neural layers, progressively extracting higher-level features to produce a complete learned result. These networks can comprise millions of neural layers each of which receives data from the previous layer, transforms the data, and sends them to connected neurons in succeeding layers (Figure 2). Each of these connections has a different weighted value based upon which characteristics are most important and predictive in achieving an accurate output. These networks of neurons—in addition to the initial input and final output layers—are known as hidden layers. One major limitation of deep learning methods is that it is not always understood how the model has reached the final output, a phenomenon known as the “black box” of deep learning. It is often unclear how the model has transformed the data to reach the output. Furthermore, data are processed in such a granular fashion and through countless amounts of hidden neural layers that these connections are often not comprehensible or meaningful to the human brain [11]. Another major limitation of deep learning is that these models require sizable training datasets in order to extrapolate meaningful relationships within the data. This can be a deterrent to developing functional models for entities with few observations as is frequently the case when evaluating rare diseases [12].
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**Figure 2.** Deep learning processes and transforms data through multiple artificial neural networks to generate a predictive algorithm based on complex patterns within the data.

AI has a growing presence in medicine; however, ethical considerations and technical constraints initially limited broader adoption and the implementation of AI within the med-
ical field. While many ethical considerations remain, recent advances in AI methodologies have overcome some of the technical constraints. For example, Jiang et al. reported on the superiority of a self-supervised large language model that was trained on unstructured clinical notes from an electronic health record to predict 30-day all-cause readmission, in-hospital mortality, comorbidity indices, lengths of stay, and insurance denial when compared with traditional models [13]. Furthermore, an AI model using deep network automatic segmentation outperformed a clinical model and a radiomic model in discriminating between patients with pancreatic cancer with and without lymph node metastasis [14]. These advances have improved the accuracy and expanded the clinical utility of AI systems in the field of medicine.

This review explores the utility of AI techniques for the management of HCC. We will review available AI models that aid in the detection and diagnosis of HCC as well as AI-based tools that can be used as adjuncts when deciding a treatment course. This review will also focus on the development of imaging and intra-operative AI tools that can aid in pre-operative planning and surgical resection. We will address current limitations with the use of AI in medicine and propose changes that may allow for the more widespread implementation of AI in the clinical realm in the future.

2. Screening and Detection

Since many patients diagnosed with HCC have advanced disease and limited therapeutic options, improvements in screening and early detection are necessary to improve outcomes. For example, a review of country-level HCC surveillance programs demonstrated that countries with established and effective HCC surveillance programs detected HCC at significantly earlier stages and had lower overall mortality [15]. Effective programs identify and stratify patients at high-risk of developing HCC and enroll them into regular surveillance protocols such as biannual evaluation with liver ultrasound and liquid tumor markers. Ideally, healthcare professionals intervene prior to the development of irreversible HCC risk factors (i.e., cirrhosis). However, cost/benefit restraints and high false-positive rates limit the utility of screening low-prevalence populations. AI techniques may help us to overcome these limitations (Table 1). In a 2022 study, Blanes-Vidal et al. evaluated asymptomatic patients from a primary care population without a prior diagnosis of liver disease [16]. The authors tested the diagnostic performance of ensemble models, a machine learning approach, to detect liver fibrosis and then compared the performance with standard blood-based scoring systems. The ensemble models included data readily available during a primary care visit. In a subset of 463 patients that received a liver biopsy, the ensemble learning models significantly outperformed standard blood-based indices to detect liver stiffness (>8 kPa) and fibrosis (Kleiner biopsy stage F2 to F4) with AUCs of 0.86–0.94 vs. 0.60–0.76. Furthermore, all the ensemble models had a ≥98% negative predictive value. Similarly, other AI models have demonstrated superiority to standard models in predicting the development of HCC [17,18].

Radiomics, a quantitative method to extract features (e.g., shape, intensity, texture) from medical imaging, can significantly improve the diagnostic yield of imaging modalities, especially when combined with other AI techniques such as deep learning. While established criteria exist for the radiologic diagnosis of HCC in high-risk patients (i.e., LI-RADS), the true proportion of patients with LI-RADS 5 lesions (diagnostic of HCC) is unclear. Additionally, this classification system only applies to patients at high risk of HCC (e.g., cirrhosis, HBV infection) [19]. The diagnostic uncertainty of many newly identified liver lesions may lead to unnecessary serial imaging, invasive procedures (e.g., biopsy), and undue psychological stress on the patient. For these reasons, much energy has been devoted to building radiomic-based models that can accurately detect and diagnose HCC (Table 1). Yasaka et al. developed a deep learning model using a convolutional neural network (CNN) to differentiate malignant liver lesions from non-malignant lesions [20]. In this retrospective study, the authors built a CNN using imaging sets from 460 patients who were found to have liver lesions on triple-phase CT. The lesions were classified into
five categories as follows: classic HCC (A), malignant tumors other than HCC (B), indeterminate masses and rare benign liver masses (C), hemangiomas (D), or cysts. The CNN accurately identified malignant lesions with the median AUC of 0.92 for differentiating categories A–B from categories C–E. Furthermore, Mokrane et al. used a radiomic model to improve the diagnostic accuracy of HCC in cirrhotic patients with indeterminate liver nodules [21]. In this multicenter retrospective study, multiphasic CT scans of 178 cirrhotic patients who had undergone the biopsy of indeterminate liver nodules were included. Nearly 14,000 quantitative features were extracted from imaging sets. With machine learning algorithms, a radiomic signature was created and validated to classify these indeterminate liver nodules as HCC or non-HCC. While the LI-RADS scores were similar between the HCC and non-HCC groups, the radiomic signature reached an AUC of 0.70 in the discovery cohort and 0.66 in the validation cohort. AI has also been used to augment the diagnostic capabilities of other imaging modalities including ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [22–24].

While the generalizability of screening and diagnostic models derived from AI techniques requires further evaluation, these models offer non-invasive and resource-efficient means to reliably screen and detect HCC in select populations. This technology can be especially useful in low resource centers where specialized liver radiologists may not be readily available to aid in the diagnosis of complex liver lesions. Furthermore, these models may help institutions and governments to more efficiently allocate scarce resources to HCC screening and intervention due to improved risk stratification. For example, using a deep learning recurrent neural network model to generate HCC risk scores, Ioannou et al. found that 80% of HCC cases diagnosed in the subsequent three years occurred in the highest 51% of risk scores [18]. Risk-based screening supported by AI algorithms may increase diagnostic yield, optimize resource utilization, and help us to overcome the suboptimal performance of existing tools [25].

**Table 1.** Select studies utilizing AI in screening and diagnosis of HCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Model Design</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>AI Methodology</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blanes-Vidal et al.</td>
<td>Prediction of liver fibrosis using clinical data readily available to primary care physicians</td>
<td>Low-prevalence primary care population</td>
<td>Ensemble learning model</td>
<td>AUC: 0.86–0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioannou et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Identification of patients at high risk of developing HCC by extracting data from electronic medical records</td>
<td>Patients with known Hepatitis C Virus and cirrhosis</td>
<td>Recurrent neural network</td>
<td>AUROC: 0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yasaka et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Differentiation of liver masses on CT, with categorization into HCC, other liver tumors, hemangiomas, or cysts</td>
<td>Patients who had undergone dynamic contrast-enhanced CT for evaluation of liver lesions</td>
<td>Convolutional neural network</td>
<td>AUROC: 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokrane et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Diagnosis of liver nodules as HCC vs. non-HCC-based on quantitative features extracted from triphasic CT</td>
<td>Patients with cirrhosis and biopsy-proven indeterminate liver nodules</td>
<td>Machine learning-based radiomic signature</td>
<td>AUROC: 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmauch et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Detection and characterization of focal liver lesions as benign- vs. malignant-based on ultrasound characteristics</td>
<td>Patients with known liver nodules</td>
<td>Residual neural network</td>
<td>AUROC: 0.935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Prognosis and Treatment

#### 3.1. HCC Prognosis and Risk of Recurrence

Recent multi-omic investigations have advanced our understanding of the carcinogenic mechanisms responsible for HCC, revealing many potential biomarkers [26]. Evaluating these large data sets with AI methods may improve current prognostic ability by identifying more aggressive subtypes and patients at high risk of recurrence (Table 2). In an early study using a deep learning framework to integrate multi-omic data in patients with HCC, Chaudhary et al. developed a deep learning model from 360 patients with HCC using...
RNA sequencing, miRNA sequencing, and methylation data from The Cancer Genome Atlas [27]. The model identified a more aggressive subtype with worse survival characterized through frequent TP53 mutations; a higher expression of KRT19, EPCAM, and BIRC5; and activated Wnt and Akt signaling pathways. The investigators then validated the model on five external data sets with acceptable results.

In addition to detecting relationships within large multi-omic data sets to improve prognostication, AI techniques can help us identify biomarkers in the preoperative setting typically only identified through pathologic evaluation such as microvascular invasion (MVI) [28]. For example, multiple studies have shown the feasibility of using machine learning algorithms to accurately predict the presence of MVI based on preoperative axial imaging characteristics [29–31]. Chong et al. built a radiomic-based nomogram to assess the risk of MVI [32]. This retrospective study analyzed preoperative MRIs from 356 patients with pathologically confirmed solitary HCC less than 5 cm. The nomogram, built by extracting radiomic features from images containing tumors, peritumoral tissue, and non-tumoral liver parenchyma, accurately predicted the risk of MVI as well as recurrence-free survival.

Similarly, other groups have identified biomarkers of HCC recurrence using machine learning methods (Table 2) [33]. Yan et al. created a deep learning MR signature derived from imaging characteristics including tumor size, arterial phase enhancement type, capsular appearance, presence of a hypointense halo, intratumoral necrosis, satellite nodules, and peritumoral hypointensity [34]. When combined with clinical factors such as MVI and tumor number, the MR signature predicted early recurrence better than clinical data alone. Another model created by Ji et al. extracted radiomic features from preoperative CT scans to build a radiomic signature that, when integrating clinical data such as MVI, AFP level, and tumor number, accurately predicted the risk of recurrence in patients after resection of early-stage HCC [35]. Improved prognostication and risk stratification with AI techniques may better inform management decisions for patients with HCC.

Table 2. Key studies utilizing AI to predict prognosis and risk of recurrence of HCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Model Design</th>
<th>Pertinent Risk Factors</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>AI Methodology</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chaudhary et al. (2018) [27] | Predictive model for HCC prognosis based on molecular signature and multi-omic data | - TP53 inactivation mutation  
- EPCAM, KRT1, BIRC5 upregulation | HCC patients within the Genome Cancer Atlas (TCGA) | Deep learning | C-index: 0.68 |
| Liu et al. (2021) [30] | Prediction of MVI preoperatively based on CT imaging characteristics and patient clinical factors | - Radiomic features identified from CT images | Patients with HCC | Residual Neural Network | AUC: 0.845 |
| Chong et al. (2021) [32] | Creation of radiomic-based nomogram to preoperatively predict risk of MVI and recurrence-free survival, based on MRI characteristics and clinical data | - Elevated AFP, total bilirubin  
- Radiomic values  
- Peritumoral enhancement  
- Incomplete or absent capsule enhancement | Patients with solitary HCC smaller than 5cm | Random Forrest | AUC: 0.92 |
| Ji et al. (2020) [35] | Creation of radiomic signature with pre- and post-resection features to predict recurrence for early-stage HCC | - Tumor number  
- Cirrhosis  
- Arterial peritumoral enhancement  
- Tumor necrosis  
- Satellite lesion  
- MVI | Patients with HCC that met the Milan Criteria and underwent curative intent resection | Machine learning-based radiomic signature | C-index: 0.77 |

3.2. Pathologic Assessment

AI techniques have also been applied to evaluate associations between histologic features and outcomes in numerous disease processes, including HCC (Table 3) [36–39]. Using whole-slide imaging, Yamashita et al. developed a deep learning-based system
to predict a recurrence-free disease interval after curative-intent hepatectomy in patients with HCC. Their model stratified patients into low-risk and high-risk subgroups and outperformed the standard tumor–node–metastasis (TNM) staging system [40].

Chen et al. built a neural network that was able to assist in the prognostication of HCC based on histologic whole-slide imaging. The model used hematoxylin and eosin slides from a genomic database to train a neural network to classify liver lesions as malignant with 96.0% accuracy and predict lesion histopathological grade with 89.6% accuracy. Furthermore, the model also predicted select gene mutations including CTNNB1, FMN2, TP53, and XFZ4 [41]. AI-based pathology models can also predict the activation of immune signatures in HCC. Zeng et al. used deep learning approaches on whole-slide histologic images and gene expression profiling, derived from the Cancer Genome Atlas Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma (TCGA-LIHC) public dataset, to develop models that predicted the activation of six key immune signatures that, when overexpressed, correlated with the response to immunotherapy [42]. While prospective validation studies are needed, these data demonstrate the potential utility of AI to select patients who will have a greater response to immunotherapy and may inform adjuvant therapy decisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Model Design</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>AI Methodology</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qu et al. (2022)</td>
<td>Creation of histological score using whole-slide imaging to predict HCC recurrence</td>
<td>Patients with early-stage HCC who had undergone surgical resection in a single institutional dataset and the TCGA dataset</td>
<td>Convolutional neural network</td>
<td>C-index: 0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saillard et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Use of whole-slide imaging to predict risk of HCC recurrence and stratifying it into low- and high-risk subgroups</td>
<td>Patients with HCC who had undergone surgical resection in a single institutional dataset and the TCGA dataset</td>
<td>Convolutional neural network</td>
<td>C-index: 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamashita et al. (2021)</td>
<td>Use of whole-slide imaging to formulate a risk score predictive of HCC recurrence</td>
<td>Patients with HCC in the TCGA and Stanford-HCC dataset</td>
<td>Convolutional neural network</td>
<td>C-index: 0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeng et al. (2022)</td>
<td>Prediction of activation of immune gene signatures based on whole-slide imaging</td>
<td>Patients with HCC who had undergone surgical resection in the TCGA dataset</td>
<td>Clustering-constrained attention multiple instance learning</td>
<td>AUROC: 0.78–0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3. Locoregional Therapies

AI-based models may help to select patients who are good candidates for locoregional therapies such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA) (Table 4). Wu et al. built an artificial neural network based on fifteen clinical variables from HCC patients who had undergone CT-guided RFA [43]. Variables included patient characteristics, tumor size, tumor number, and laboratory values (e.g., AFP). Ultimately, the artificial neural network model predicted one-year disease-free survival with an AUC of 0.84 and one-year disease-free survival with an AUC of 0.75. Lui et al. created a deep learning radiomic-based model of preoperative contrast-enhanced liver ultrasound images and predicted the response to the first TACE session in patients with HCC [44]. In another study, investigators trained and validated a CNN to automatically assess splenic volume. Patients with higher splenic volumes, as automatically determined by the CNN, had significantly higher risk of hepatic decompensation and lower overall survival after TACE. Conversely, axial and cranio-caudal splenic diameter did not correlate with outcomes [45].

### 3.4. Automatic Methods for Liver and Tumor Segmentation

Automatic liver and tumor segmentation has numerous clinical applications which may aid in the management of HCC such as the preoperative volumetric quantification and assessment of treatment responses to locoregional therapies (Table 4). The use of manual and semi-automatic liver segmentation methods can lead to inconsistencies due to user variability. Furthermore, segmentation is often a slow, labor-intensive process.
With advancements in deep learning techniques and CNNs, completely automatic liver segmentation is now feasible [46–48]. However, automatic algorithms do have their own limitations including the need for large training datasets to develop accurate algorithms. Automatic tumor segmentation can be even more challenging as tumor characteristics, such as variability in size, variability in location, and indiscernible borders between healthy liver parenchyma and tumors, can decrease the accuracy of models. Regardless, early studies demonstrate that automatic segmentations outperform semi-automatic methods with regard to the accuracy and repeatability of segmentation [49]. Meng et al. used a three-dimensional (3D) dual-path multiscale CNN to build a liver and liver tumor segmentation algorithm based on abdominal CT images. The dual path multiscale 3D network architecture allowed the model to capture large scale global features through one path while capturing more granular local features through the second path. Notably, their algorithm performed best on large tumors [50]. Zheng et al. built a four-dimensional (4D) deep learning model to segment HCC lesions based on dynamic contrast-enhanced MRIs. In an attempt to avoid the “black box” learning that occurs with many automatic segmentation models, the investigators first build a 3D CNN to separately extract imaging features from each individual phase of imaging. From there, these data were fed into a convolutional long short-term memory (C-LSTM) network module in order to extract higher-level features, including temporal information and dynamic features, that varied through the multi-phase imaging. This allows us to use dynamic features that are not only characteristic of HCC but critical to diagnosis, such as arterial phase hyperenhancement and portal venous or delayed-phase washout. Their model achieved a Dice score of 0.825 ± 0.077 for HCC tumor segmentation in an internal test set and 0.786 ± 0.073 in an external set, implying good generalizability of this model [51].

3.5. Surgical Complications

Many HCC patients have some level of hepatic dysfunction upon presentation, increasing the risk of post-hepatectomy liver failure (PHLF). PHLF remains the most frequent cause of postoperative mortality in patients following hepatectomy, highlighting the importance of identifying patients at high risk of PHLF prior to resection to more effectively select patients for adjuncts such as portal vein embolization. Wang et al. constructed a machine learning clinical model using laboratory values, tumor characteristics, and surgical variables (e.g., surgical approach, extent of resection, intraoperative blood loss) to predict the risk of PHLF. The model outperformed traditional models such as MELD, Child-Turcotte-Pugh, or albumin-bilirubin grade when predicting PHLF [52]. AI-derived algorithms have successfully predicted other surgical complications. Laino et al. predicted the risk of a postoperative bile leak following hepatectomy using a combined clinical–radiomic model in 378 patients with a preoperative CT. Radiomic data was extracted from a virtual liver biopsy obtained from a 2 cm cylinder of non-tumoral liver parenchyma on the portal phase of preoperative CT. Their combined model (AUC 0.74) outperformed a model using clinical data alone (AUC 0.61) to predict the risk of a bile leak [53].

Table 4. Key studies demonstrating the use of AI in management of HCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Model Design</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>AI Methodology</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. (2017) [42]</td>
<td>Prediction of disease-free survival after radiofrequency ablation based on clinical variables</td>
<td>Patients who underwent CT-guided radiofrequency ablation</td>
<td>Artificial neural network</td>
<td>AUC: 0.75–0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al. (2020) [44]</td>
<td>Prediction of response to first TACE session using contrast-enhanced liver ultrasound</td>
<td>Patients who underwent ultrasound within one week of TACE for HCC</td>
<td>Radiomic-based deep learning</td>
<td>AUC: 0.81–0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meng et al. (2020) [50]</td>
<td>Automatic liver parenchyma and liver tumor segmentation from CT images</td>
<td>Multi-institutional liver tumor segmentation (LiTS) dataset</td>
<td>Dual path multiscale convolutional neural network</td>
<td>Dice: 0.689–0.965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Intraoperative Use of Artificial Intelligence

A thorough understanding of the vascular and biliary anatomy from adequate multiphasic abdominal imaging is necessary to perform a safe hepatectomy and minimize complications. Three-dimensional liver reconstruction technology may improve perioperative outcomes in patients undergoing a major hepatectomy by further elucidating spatial relationships between the tumor and critical vascular and biliary structures. A meta-analysis evaluating the efficacy and safety of 3D-reconstruction liver models in patients undergoing a major hepatectomy showed shorter operative times, less intraoperative blood loss, fewer hepatic inflow occlusion events, shorter hospital stays, and fewer postoperative complications when using such technology [54]. Deep learning algorithms can help us to automate the reconstruction of 3D liver models with reliable accuracy and detail [55].

As an adjunct to intraoperative liver ultrasound (IOUS), machine learning algorithms may help us to overcome some limitations of traditional ultrasounds and improve the accuracy of identifying liver lesions intraoperatively. Barash et al. trained a CNN on intraoperative ultrasound imaging to detect liver lesions. The algorithm achieved an AUC of 80.2% and an overall classification accuracy of 74.6% [56]. Furthermore, Takamoto et al. used real-time virtual sonography (RVS), an AI-assisted platform that merges preoperative CT images with real-time IOUS, to enhance IOUS with the identification of small intrahepatic lesions [57]. The median liver lesion size was 6.0 mm and RVS significantly improved surgeon confidence in lesion identification. Importantly, of the 17 lesions undetectable using fundamental IOUS, 14 were identified through RVS and ultimately treated.

However, despite the successful applications of AI technology during liver surgery, several challenges remain. This is especially true of 3D overlays, which are difficult to use during hepatectomy. The mobilization of the liver and the deformation of the parenchyma during hepatectomy make real-time overlays onto tissues more challenging and less accurate. This is in comparison with other surgical disciplines such as neurosurgery, where the target—in this case the brain—is rigid and fixed, allowing for an easy overlay of 3D reconstructions. Preliminary studies demonstrate the feasibility of a physics-based elastic augmented reality model that can provide a real-time 3D overlay during hepatectomy, allowing for the deformation and mobilization of the tissue; however, further quality improvement needs to occur prior to meaningful use during hepatectomy [58].

5. Challenges and Future Directions

5.1. Barriers to Clinical Implementation

While many studies have shown that AI can be a useful tool to supplement clinical decision making, few of these AI-based models have been adopted into clinical practice or recommended in national or institutional treatment guidelines. Many of these studies that illustrate the advantageous qualities of AI are preliminary or demonstrate proof of the concept. Few AI-based models have been validated in clinical practice or shown to improve patient outcomes.

The lack of clinical implementation can be, in part, attributed to the lack of prospective trials. The vast majority of AI studies are retrospective, using historically labeled datasets to develop algorithms. Notably, only a handful of randomized control trials on AI have been performed across all medical specialties [59–61]. For example, one randomized control trial by the INFANT collaborative group studied the use of decision-support software that

### Table 4. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Model Design</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>AI Methodology</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al.</td>
<td>Automatic segmentation of HCC lesions based on dynamic MRI</td>
<td>Patients with HCC who underwent dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI</td>
<td>Convolutional neural network and recurrence neural network</td>
<td>Dice: 0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al.</td>
<td>Prediction of post-hepatectomy liver failure based on clinical characteristics and surgical variables</td>
<td>Patients with HCC who underwent hepatectomy</td>
<td>Light gradient boosting machine learning</td>
<td>AUC: 0.822–0.944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
assists clinicians in the interpretation of cardiotocographs during labor. The investigators found no improvement in maternal or infant clinical outcomes [62]. Further prospective studies demonstrating how AI will either positively or negatively affect patient outcomes are necessary prior to the widespread adoption of AI-based models.

Furthermore, many models were developed using single-center institutional datasets, putting algorithms at risk of overfitting and limiting generalizability. As the predictive power of models is highest using the datasets from which they are developed, it is unclear how these models will perform when using real-world data. For these reasons, multi-institutional collaboration between high-volume centers should be considered to create and maintain shared datasets from which investigators can develop more accurate and generalizable AI models. However, patient privacy is one major consideration when building these large datasets. While datasets are de-identified, there remains a significant risk of re-identification [63]. Opponents of large datasets have suggested the use of other techniques, such as federated learning or distributed models, that would train across multiple servers while the data remains in situ [64]. While access to large amounts of data are essential for the development of AI algorithms and the establishment of large datasets should continue to be explored, more rigorous practices to prevent breaches in patient privacy are essential.

Another barrier to clinical application is the lack of a framework for insurance reimbursement. Direct reimbursement of AI models remains very limited; however, the use of certain models is now reimbursable. For example, the first radiology AI algorithm to receive Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) reimbursement, which measures coronary fractional flow reserve, will receive its own category I CPT code in 2024 [65]. Further frameworks need to be established as a lack of reimbursement may be a deterrent in creating and utilizing algorithms in the future.

The “black box” of deep learning has likewise been a deterrent for the wider adaptation of AI in clinical medicine. High-functioning deep learning models often have thousands of parameters and, in turn, countless hidden layers. While models will give a clear output, it is often not feasible to understand how the algorithm reached this output. Some physicians argue that high-risk medical decisions should not be based on AI algorithms as we cannot understand how the model’s conclusions were reached. This can also lead to worse patient outcomes if a physician fails to recognize when a model has output inaccuracies.

5.2. The Role of AI in Healthcare Disparities

One major concern that has been raised as AI is implemented in medicine is the propagation of healthcare disparities. Small datasets, which are often used to develop algorithms, may easily exclude historically underserved populations, including racial minorities or patients of lower socioeconomic status. Furthermore, depending on which data are used for the input layer, algorithms may be inherently biased despite diverse populations being included in the dataset. For example, Obermeyer et al. found that an algorithm used to predict which patients would benefit from enrollment into a care management program had a significant racial bias against black patients. This algorithm used medical expenditure to identify high-risk patients and black patients were less likely to be flagged as benefitting from enrollment into the program as they had lower healthcare expenditure despite having a higher rate of comorbidities [66]. As minority groups often have decreased access to care and decreased medical expenses, algorithms based upon metrics such as this can misrepresent and disadvantage these groups.

Conversely, AI can be a useful tool for identifying and mitigating healthcare disparities. Models aimed at studying under-represented populations can help to identify barriers to care or factors contributing to disparities [67–69]. Likewise, AI can increase the accessibility of healthcare such as through models that facilitate cancer screening in low-income or resource-constrained settings [70,71]. Models can also help to predict which treatment strategies will be an increased financial burden for at-risk patients in an attempt to ameliorate healthcare associated costs [72].
5.3. Potential Applications

A potential application of artificial intelligence is through the use of neural networks in multidisciplinary tumor boards (MTB). With the release of publicly accessible large language models, such as chatGPT™ (OpenAI, San Francisco), many groups have investigated how these models fare in comparison with a traditional MTB in making management decisions. While certain studies have demonstrated concordance rates of up to 86%, others have shown much lower rates and significant management errors [73,74]. These models seem to be especially limited in stage IV disease as the treatment of metastatic disease is often highly individualized and dependent on numerous patient factors [75,76]. While the use of AI has yet to be explored in liver tumor boards, this is currently under investigation [77].

Another facet of AI currently under investigation is the economic impact of AI on healthcare. Overall, many believe AI may help us to optimize clinical operations, decrease physician workload, and facilitate resource allocations, with the ultimate outcome of a reduction in healthcare spending. Many models have been developed to increase cost-effectiveness, especially in low-income settings. Cost-effective cancer screening models have been developed for numerous disease processes, such as for breast, cervical, and lung cancer [78–80]. Other models have focused on improving the cost-effectiveness of diagnostics, such as that of distinguishing subtypes of lymphoma [81]. However, further research is needed in this area as very few studies have rigorously assessed the true economic impact that AI will have on healthcare [82].

In conclusion, while still in the early stage of development, AI tools have demonstrated immense potential to improve the management of patients with complex medical conditions. With specific regard to liver disease, AI techniques can help us to identify high-risk patients, improve diagnostic yield, risk-stratify surgical patients, enhance operative outcomes, and optimize surveillance programs. However, as AI-based technologies are developed and new discoveries are made using machine learning and deep learning methodologies, more robust clinical validation and prospective studies are warranted prior to their incorporation into societal and institutional management guidelines.
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