Effect of Metal Coating on Displacement of the Silicon Electrothermal V-Shaped Actuator
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Abstract: Electrothermal actuation is widely employed in MEMS systems, and the electrothermal V-shaped actuator (referred to as EVA) has garnered attention due to its stable output force. However, current EVAs in MEMS face the challenge of limited driving displacement. To investigate the impact of metal coating on the driving displacement of silicon EVAs, a mechanical model is established, and a formula for calculating the maximum displacement is derived. The theoretical analysis results are compared between cases with and without metal coating. To validate the formula’s accuracy, the COMSOL simulation platform is utilized, employing the finite element method to model and simulate actuators with various metal coatings. The analysis demonstrates that the calculated results have a maximum error of 10% compared to the simulation results. The metal coating enhances the displacement of silicon EVAs to different degrees, with a more pronounced effect observed for metal coatings with lower resistivity. Notably, a copper metal coating doubles the displacement of silicon EVAs at a voltage of 4 V. In other words, under the same displacement, a silicon EVA with a metal coating requires a lower input voltage compared to the group without a metal coating, resulting in a significant voltage reduction of 33.75%.
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1. Introduction

Microactuators are essential components of microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), serving as the driving or actuating units of the system. They are responsible for energy conversion, motion and force transmission, as well as responding to system information. Generally, microactuators can be categorized into several types: electrostatic actuators, electromagnetic actuators, piezoelectric actuators, and electrothermal actuators. Electrostatic actuators [1,2] offer fast response speeds, but they require high driving voltages, making them incompatible with integrated circuits. Electromagnetic actuators [3] rely on special magnetic materials, which complicates their fabrication process. Piezoelectric actuators [4] involve complex manufacturing techniques and generate small displacements. Electrothermal actuators [5], based on the Joule heating effect, can produce stable and controllable displacements and driving forces. Compared to other actuators, they exhibit greater actuation forces. Consequently, electrothermal actuators find extensive applications in various micro-devices and systems, such as linear motors designed by Maloney et al. [6], nanomaterial testing devices developed by Espinosa et al. [7], micro grippers designed by Andersen et al. [8], and safety thermal devices designed by Hu et al. [9]. Additionally, with the advancement of the semiconductor industry, electrothermal actuators can be manufactured using mature integrated circuit fabrication processes, making them an integral part of MEMS devices.
However, the output displacement of electrothermal actuators is small, which is difficult to meet the needs of practical applications. In recent years, research on electrothermal actuators is mainly on the improvement of their structure and new materials. Wang Z. et al. [10] designed a U-shaped electrothermal actuator capable of producing circular motion, with a maximum jaw deflection of 9.1 μm at a voltage of 14 V for the microgripper. Zhang Z. et al. [11] designed a Z-shaped electrothermal actuator similar to a V-beam in terms of symmetrical structure and linear motion output but less rigid than a V-beam. P. Shivhare et al. [12] proposed an electrothermally driven microgripper with a two-finger structure, where the gripping finger is driven via a V-shaped electrothermal actuator that produces a displacement of nearly 14 μm at 1.2 V. Chu J. K. et al. [13] designed a symmetrical structure of microgripper based on V-shaped electrothermal actuators to achieve a simple gripping operation of microcells with relatively small moving displacement. Zhuo Zhang et al. [14] used copper and SU-8 photoresist to prepare V-shaped electrothermal microactuators, and the combination of the two materials provided a large motion drive and much flexibility for the integration and design of micro-robotic grippers. Li-Nuan Tsai et al. [15] prepared nickel and diamond nanocomposites for electrothermal microactuators, and the nanocomposites can save about 73% of power compared to microactuators made of pure nickel. K. Ochiai et al. [16] obtained larger displacements with a trapezoidal gold-plated MEMS thermal microactuator prepared using the SOI-MUMPS technique. Nguyen et al. [17] performed platinum coating on a silicon electrothermal V-shaped actuator, and, at the same displacement, the driving voltage was reduced by 40% for specimens with platinum coating compared to specimens without coating. H. Hussein et al. [18] investigated the influence of actuator size and material properties on its performance and successfully validated the theoretical model via finite element simulation. Fan Q. et al. [19] fabricated flexible double-layer ETAs by bonding graphite paper and a PI film, enabling significant deformation of the “U”-shaped electrothermal actuator under low constraint conditions. Zhang D. et al. [20] proposed a V-shaped electrothermal MEMS actuator model that considers human error factors using a cascaded ANSYS simulation model and fuzzy mathematical calculation model. Nouha Alcheikh et al. [21] studied the dynamics model of an electrothermal-driven MEMS V-shaped microbeam resonator using experimental, analytical, and numerical approaches.

Through the analysis of the aforementioned research, it is found that among various types of electrothermal actuators, V-shaped actuators offer a simpler structure and provide larger displacement in MEMS systems compared to other micro-actuators. This paper is based on the metal coating of silicon EVA and establishes theoretical models for silicon EVA with and without metal coating. The theoretical models validate that the metal coating effectively enhances the driving displacement of silicon EVA. This study identifies the resistivity of the metal coating as a key parameter influencing the driving displacement of the V-shaped actuator. Additionally, COMSOL simulation software is utilized for simulation, and the theoretical results are compared with the simulation results to verify the accuracy of the theoretical findings.

2. Theoretical Analysis

The mechanical model of the silicon EVA can be converted into a rigid structure subjected to an external load \( P \) [22], as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Simplified mechanical model of silicon EVA.](image-url)
After converting to external loads subjected to forces, the simplified mechanical model can be studied as a three-time super-stationary problem. Since the structural model is a symmetric structure, it is not difficult to analyze the section C to obtain the same shear force at section C’ – C” as P/2, but the axial force X1 and axial force X2 are unknown, so the mechanical model can be reduced to a quadratic super-stationary problem for analysis. Assuming that the left anchor area C in Figure 1 is a static base, the deformation coordination condition of the static base can be obtained as the horizontal displacement δXc, and the turning angle δθc at the back section C’ of the cantilever beam subjected to free elongation via thermal expansion Δl1 are zero.

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta X_c &= 0 \\
\Delta l_1 \cdot \cos \theta + \delta X' &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

In the above equation, \(\Delta l_1 = a \cdot \Delta T \cdot I\), \(a\) represents the thermal expansion coefficient of the material, and \(\Delta T\) represents the temperature variation of the actuator.

According to Castigliano’s theorem [23], the horizontal displacement and angle at section C’ can be calculated.

\[
\delta X_c = \int_1^\prime N(x) \cdot \frac{\partial N(x)}{\partial X_1} dx + \int_1^\prime M(x) \cdot \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial X_1} dx
\]  

(2)

\[
\delta \theta_c = \int_1^\prime M(x) \cdot \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial X_2} dx
\]  

(3)

From the above equation, \(I\) is the rotational inertia of the cantilever beam, \(E\) is Young’s modulus of the material, \(M\) is the bending moment, and \(N\) is the tensile stress.

1. In \(\delta X_c\), the bending moment \(M\) at section C’ can be calculated.

\[
M(x) = X_2 - X_1 \sin \theta \cdot x - \frac{P}{2} \cdot \cos \theta \cdot x, \quad \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial X_1} = -\sin \theta \cdot x
\]  

(4)

The tensile stress \(N\) at section C’ can be calculated as follows:

\[
N(x) = X_1 \cdot \cos \theta - \frac{P}{2} \cdot \sin \theta, \quad \frac{\partial N(x)}{\partial X_1} = \cos \theta
\]  

(5)

From Equations (2), (4), and (5), \(\delta X_c\) can be deduced.

\[
\delta X_c = X_1 X_1 \frac{3Il_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + 3l_1^2 \sin^2 \theta}{3EAJ} - X_2 \frac{l_2}{2EJ} \sin \theta + \frac{PAl_3 \sin \theta \cos \theta - 3Pll_1 \sin \theta \cos \theta}{6EAJ}
\]  

(6)

From the above equation, \(A\) is the cross-sectional area of the cantilever beam in the above equation.

2. In \(\delta \theta_c\), the bending moment \(M\) at section C’ can be calculated.

\[
M(x) = X_2 - X_1 \sin \theta \cdot x - \frac{P}{2} \cos \theta \cdot x, \quad \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial X_2} = 1
\]  

(7)

The tensile stress \(N\) at section C’ can be calculated as follows:

\[
N(x) = X_1 \cos \theta - \frac{P}{2} \sin \theta, \quad \frac{\partial N(x)}{\partial X_2} = 0
\]  

(8)

Bringing the results of Equations (7) and (8) into Equation (3), \(\delta \theta_c\) can be calculated as follows:

\[
\delta \theta_c = X_2 \frac{1}{2EJ} - X_1 \frac{l_2^2 \sin \theta}{2EJ} + \frac{Pl_2 \cos \theta}{4EJ}
\]  

(9)
Bring the results of Equations (6) and (9) into Equation (1), the force \( X_1 \) and moment \( X_2 \) on section \( C' \) can be calculated.

\[
X_1 = -\frac{12EA\alpha \cdot \Delta T \cdot \cos \theta}{Al^2 \sin^2 \theta + 12I \cos^2 \theta} - \frac{(PAI^2 - 12PI) \sin \theta \cos \theta}{2Al^2 \sin^2 \theta + 24I \cos^2 \theta}
\]  

(10)

\[
X_2 = -\frac{6EA\alpha \cdot \Delta T \cdot \sin \theta \cos \theta}{Al^2 \sin^2 \theta + 12I \cos^2 \theta} + \frac{3PI \cdot \cos \theta}{Al^2 \sin^2 \theta + 12I \cos^2 \theta}
\]  

(11)

Since the bending moment \( X_2 \) and external load \( P/2 \) at section \( C' \) are known, the displacement \( y \) at section \( C' \) can be obtained in a V-shaped cantilever beam of symmetrical structure.

\[
y = \Delta x'\sin \theta + \Delta y'\cos \theta
\]  

(12)

It is assumed that there are two displacement variables in \( X \) and \( Y \) directions at section \( C' \), as shown in Figure 2.

As shown in Figure 2, the displacement expression in section \( C' \) can be expressed as follows:

\[
\Delta x' = (\alpha \cdot \Delta T \cdot l + \frac{X_1 \cdot l \cdot \cos \theta}{EA} - \frac{P}{2} \cdot l \cdot \sin \theta)
\]  

(13)

\[
\Delta y' = \frac{X_2 \cdot l^2}{2EI} - \frac{X_1 \cdot l^3 \cdot \sin \theta}{3EI} - \frac{P \cdot l^3 \cdot \cos \theta}{3EI}
\]  

(14)

The values of \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) have been calculated in Equations (10) and (11), and the values of \( \Delta x' \) and \( \Delta y' \) can be found by bringing the results into Equations (13) and (14). Therefore, the maximum displacement \( y \) at the top of the cantilever beam in Equation (12) can be calculated, as shown in Figure 3.

\[
y_{\text{max}} = \alpha \cdot \Delta T \cdot l \cdot \sin \theta[1 + \frac{(AI^2 - 12I) \cos^2 \theta}{AI^2 \sin^2 \theta + 12I \cos^2 \theta}]
\]  

(15)

Figure 2. Simple diagram of unilateral displacement change in silicon EVA.
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(15)

Figure 3. Simplified model of silicon EVA displacement.

2.1. Silicon EVA Heat Transfer Model without Metal Coating

The silicon EVA with a certain resistance value will produce the maximum displacement at the apex of the V-shaped beam under no load when a constant voltage \( U \) is applied. It is assumed that the resistance can convert all the electrical energy into thermal energy.
in a certain time $t$, neglecting the heat loss of the silicon EVA conducted through the air gap [24,25], as shown in Figure 4, according to the law of conservation of energy [26].

$$m \cdot C \cdot \Delta T = \frac{U^2}{R_c} \cdot t$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

![Figure 4. Silicon EVA heat transfer model without metal coating.](image)

**Figure 4.** Silicon EVA heat transfer model without metal coating.

From the equation above, $m$ is the mass of the cantilever beam, $C$ is the specific heat capacity of the cantilever beam, and $R_c$ is the resistance value of the cantilever beam. According to Equation (16), $\Delta T$ can be calculated.

$$\Delta T = \frac{U^2 \cdot t}{m \cdot C \cdot R_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

From Equations (15) and (17), the maximum output displacement $y_{\text{max}}$ of the silicon EVA can be obtained.

$$y_{\text{max}} = a \cdot l \cdot \sin \theta [1 + \frac{(A l^2 - 12l) \cos^2 \theta}{A l^2 \sin^2 \theta + 12l \cos^2 \theta}] \cdot \frac{U^2 \cdot t}{m \cdot C \cdot R_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

### 2.2. Heat Transfer Model of Silicon EVA with Metal Coating

In the silicon EVA heat transfer system, there are various parameters that affect the actuation displacement, such as cantilever beam angle $\theta$, cantilever beam length $l$, cantilever beam mass $m$, cantilever beam cross-sectional area $A$, etc. The silicon EVA resistance $R_e$ with metallic coating can be calculated by ensuring that the simulation model with metallic coating and the without metal coating group have the same dimensional parameters as shown in Figure 5 [26].

![Figure 5. Silicon EVA model with metal coating.](image)

**Figure 5.** Silicon EVA model with metal coating.

The metal coating resistance $R_t$ can be calculated.

$$R_t = \rho_t \frac{l}{A_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

From the equation above, $\rho_t$ is the metal coating resistivity, and $A_t$ is the cross-sectional area of the metal coating.
The resistance $R_s$ of the silicon layer can be calculated as follows:

$$R_s = \rho_s \frac{l}{A_s} \quad (20)$$

From the equation above, $\rho_s$ is silicon layer resistivity, and $A_s$ is the cross-sectional area of the silicon layer.

From Equations (19) and (20), the total resistance $R_e$ of the silicon EVA with metal coating can be calculated.

$$R_e = \frac{R_t \cdot R_s}{R_t + R_s} \quad (21)$$

For the silicon EVA with a metal coating, the resistance is equivalent to connecting metal and silicon in parallel [26], resulting in $R_e < R_c < R_s < R_t$, while the smaller the metal resistivity $\rho_t$ of the coating, the smaller the total resistance $R_e$. The silicon EVA heat transfer model with metal coating has a coupled silicon and metal bilayer material heat transfer effect, as shown in Figure 6, with the following two forms of conduction: heat transfer through the air gap and heat transfer inside the beam. The heat conduction inside the beam dominates at the microscale, and the heat conduction through the air gap can be neglected [24,25]. Without considering the heat loss via air gap conduction, the maximum output displacement $y_{\text{max}}$ of the silicon EVA with the metal coating is inversely proportional to the total resistance $R_e$.

$$y_{\text{max}} = \alpha \cdot l \cdot \sin \theta \left[1 + \frac{(A_l^2 - 12l) \cos^2 \theta}{A_l^2 \sin^2 \theta + 12l \cos^2 \theta}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{U^2 \cdot t}{m \cdot C \cdot R_e}\right] \quad (22)$$

Figure 6. Silicon EVA heat transfer model with metal coating.

Comparing Equations (18) and (22), it can be found that in the presence of metal coating, the total resistance of the actuator decreases due to the coupling effect of the metal coating with the silicon cantilever beam, which affects the conductivity of the actuator. Therefore, the silicon EVA with metal coating has a larger output displacement when the same size voltage is applied, and the effect of the metal coating on the output displacement of the silicon EVA is further verified using the simulation below.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Simulation Parameter Setting

On the premise that the metal coating group and the without metal coating group have the same structural size, the geometric size of the EVA model is set to $w = 50 \mu m$ and $\theta = 2^\circ$, as shown in Figure 7.
During the actuator motion, the multiphysics field dynamic simulation of electrothermal has two energy conversion processes, electrical energy is converted into thermal energy, and thermal energy is converted into mechanical energy. So, the COMSOL software is selected to simulate the actuator properties. The properties of the materials involved are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Material parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity [J/(kg*K)]</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density [kg/m³]</td>
<td>2320</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>8960</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>10,500</td>
<td>19,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity [W/(m*K)]</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus [GPa]</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio σ</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity [Ω-m]</td>
<td>230 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.7 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>6.8 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.58 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>4.9 × 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Permittivity ε</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The actuator is set to be in an environment with a temperature of 293.15 [K], along with fixed constraints on both sides of the V-shaped beam, and thermal expansion is chosen to couple with the electromagnetic thermal multiphysics field. Since actuators generally work at small voltages in microsystems, 1 V, 2 V, 3 V, and 4 V were, therefore, input to the actuator during the simulation to study the characteristics of EVA.

In summary, the displacement equations for the silicon electrothermal actuator were set up using MATLAB’s scripting language, incorporating relevant parameters such as resistivity and thickness associated with the metal coating and silicon electrothermal actuator. The equations were effectively solved using the MATLAB solver. The results were then compared and analyzed alongside the simulation data from COMSOL to gain a comprehensive understanding of the influence of various metal coatings on the actuator’s displacement.

3.2. Calculation and Simulation Results

Figure 8 shows the relationship between the input voltage and the maximum temperature of EVA. From the figure, it can be seen that with the input voltage increasing, the actuator temperature shows exponential growth, with the actuator temperature rising slowly between 0 V and 1 V and rising faster between 3 V and 4 V. Among them, the growth rate of the group with the metal coating is larger than that of the without metal coating group, and the temperature change curves of the actuators with Ag and Cu coating basically match, and the temperature difference between the group with metal coating and the group without metal coating is the largest at the input voltage of 4 V (deviation of 22,700 K). The metal coating affected the maximum temperature of silicon EVA at different input voltages of Ag ≈ Cu > Al > Ni > W > without metal coating.
Figure 8. EVA input voltage–temperature characteristics.

Figure 9 shows the displacement changes of EVA at different positions under different input voltages. It can be found that EVA has a bell-shaped distribution of position and displacement changes in the range of 0–2000 um, and the output displacement of EVA is the largest at the position of 1000 um. In the four voltages (1 V, 2 V, 3 V, and 4 V), the influence of the metal coating on the silicon EVA displacement is $Cu > Ag > Al > Ni > W > without\ metal\ coating$, which is basically the same as the metal coating affecting the maximum temperature of silicon EVA. The only difference is that the silicon EVA displacement change with Cu coating is slightly larger than with Ag coating; the reason may be that at the same temperature, Cu has a better expansion effect than Ag.

Figure 9. Characteristics of output displacement at different positions of EVA (a) at a voltage of 1 v, (b) at a voltage of 2 v, (c) at a voltage of 3 v, and (d) at a voltage of 4 v.
The graph of EVA input voltage versus maximum displacement is given in Figure 10. It can be found that the maximum displacement of EVA increases exponentially with the increase in input voltage from 0 to 4 V. At the input voltage of 4 V, the maximum deviation of displacement between the group with metal coating and the without metal coating is 445.5 um (i.e., about twice as much as the without metal coating group). According to this figure, it can also be found that for the same size of output displacement, the input voltage required for the group with the metal coating will be less than that of the group without the metal coating. If the displacement reaches up to 351 um, this corresponds to an input voltage of 2.65 V and 4 V for the group with metal coating and the without metal coating, respectively, saving about 1.35 V (i.e., about 33.75%).

![Figure 10. EVA input voltage–maximum displacement characteristics.](image-url)

It can be found from the graphical comparison of the calculated and simulated results, as shown in Figure 11, that the deviation of the displacement between the calculated and simulated results increases gradually as the input voltage increases. The comparison between calculated and simulated results for silicon EVA without metal coating, as shown in Figure 11a, shows that the deviation in displacement between calculated and simulated results are small in the voltage range from 0 V to 1 V. Beyond this range, the deviation increases, reaching 30.93 um at a voltage of 4 V (relative error of about 9.85%). In the group with a metal coating, the error between the simulated and simulated results of silicon EVA for Al coating is larger at 37.52 (relative error is about 4.84%) at an input voltage of 4 V, as shown in Figure 11c. The error analysis shows that the deviation of the displacement is within 10% for the silicon EVA in different cases, and it can be seen that the numerical simulation results and the theoretical analysis basically match, which further verifies the correctness of the theoretical derivation.
and simulated results increases gradually as the input voltage increases. The comparison between calculated and simulated results for silicon EVA without metal coating, as shown in Figure 11a, shows that the deviation in displacement between calculated and simulated results are small in the voltage range from 0 V to 1 V. Beyond this range, the deviation increases, reaching 30.93 um at a voltage of 4 V (relative error of about 9.85%). In the group with a metal coating, the error between the simulated and simulated results of silicon EVA for Al coating is larger at 37.52 (relative error is about 4.84%) at an input voltage of 4 V, as shown in Figure 11c. The error analysis shows that the deviation of the displacement is within 10% for the silicon EVA in different cases, and it can be seen that the numerical simulation results and the theoretical analysis basically match, which further verifies the correctness of the theoretical derivation.

![Figure 11](image-url)  
Figure 11. Comparison of EVA calculation and simulation results: (a) without metal coating; (b) metal Ag coating; (c) metal Al coating; (d) metal Cu coating; (e) metal Ni coating; (f) metal W coating.

4. Conclusions

This study performed calculations and simulations on the displacement of silicon EVA with and without metal coating, comparing different resistivities of the metal coatings. The results demonstrate that silicon EVA with a metal coating can achieve larger displacements, providing approximately twice the displacement of the group without a metal coating at 4 V. In other words, under the same displacement, the silicon EVA with a metal coating requires a lower input voltage compared to the group without a metal coating, resulting in a significant voltage reduction of 33.75%. Moreover, the maximum deviation between the calculated and simulated displacements is within 10%, indicating the validity of the results.

Furthermore, it is also evident that the lower the resistivity of the metal material, the greater the impact on the output displacement of silicon EVA. The metal coating reduces the overall resistance of the system and enhances the conductivity of silicon EVA, thereby
influencing the output displacement. Hence, the metal coating not only decreases the resistivity of silicon EVA but also improves the operational quality and efficiency of the actuator (due to lower driving voltage).

This study has investigated the influence of different metal coatings on the driving displacement of silicon EVA. Future work will involve experimental research to validate the theoretical models and simulation results. Additionally, further exploration will be conducted to investigate different coating thicknesses and determine the optimal thickness that maximizes displacement improvement. This will contribute to improving the performance and expanding the application of silicon EVA in MEMS systems.
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