Saturation-Induced Variable-Flux Characteristics in a 12-Slot 10-Pole Concentrated Winding Permanent-Magnet Motor
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Abstract: This paper proposes a stator core design to achieve variable-flux characteristics enhanced by magnetic saturation in fractional-slot concentrated winding (FSCW) permanent-magnet (PM) motors without additional excitation systems, complicated structures, or active controls. Magnetic saturation induced at suitable locations can enhance variable-flux characteristics because the PM flux linkage is variable with the magnetic saturation in cores. In distributed winding configurations, magnetic saturation is induced symmetrically for each rotor pole by the fundamental that is dominant in the spatial distribution of the air-gap flux density. The magnetic saturation at each rotor pole can enhance variable-flux characteristics. In FSCW configurations, magnetic saturation is not induced symmetrically for each rotor pole because of several dominant space harmonics. The magnetic saturation at several rotor poles cannot enhance variable-flux characteristics. In the proposed design, the stator core has thin tooth tips for inducing magnetic saturation. The magnetic saturation in the stator core achieves the variable-flux characteristics that are effective for expanding the operating region or decreasing electromotive force and increasing torque. The efficacy of the proposed design is determined by estimating motor performance through a finite element method analysis and demonstrating the actual motor performance of a prototype.
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1. Introduction

Variable-flux characteristics have been investigated as a design concept to achieve motor drives over a wide operating range of torque and speed in permanent-magnet (PM) motors [1]. High-torque, low-speed operation requires a high PM flux linkage to achieve high-torque production under an input current limit. Low-torque, high-speed operation requires a low PM flux linkage to achieve high-speed rotation under an input voltage limit. The variability of PM flux linkage in operation is realized through many design methods [1] such as field winding excitation [2,3], adjustable magnetization [4,5], and mechanical flux regulation [6–8]. However, these design methods require additional excitation systems, complicated structures, or active controls.

Variable leakage-flux motors have been proposed to achieve variable-flux characteristics without additional excitation systems, complicated structures, or active controls [9–11]. Such motors positively induce magnetic saturation in iron cores to vary the PM flux linkage of armature windings. The magnetic saturation is indirectly controlled with the q-axis current that is controlled for torque production. In high-torque operation, the magnetic saturation appears to enhance the PM flux linkage with a large q-axis current. In low-torque operation, the magnetic saturation does not appear to suppress the PM flux linkage with a small q-axis current. To realize these phenomena, magnetic paths, referred to as leakage-flux bypasses in [10], are established between adjacent PM poles for the leakage flux of PMs in the rotors. In high-torque operation, the large input current induces magnetic...
saturation around the leakage-flux bypasses. This leads to the suppression of the PM flux through the bypasses to enhance the PM flux linkage. In low-torque operation, the leakage-flux bypasses do not receive any influence, namely, magnetic saturation, because of the small input current. This allows for the PM flux to pass through the leakage-flux bypasses to suppress the PM flux linkage. The PM flux passing through the leakage-flux bypasses is regarded as the leakage of the PM flux. Therefore, such motors simply vary the PM flux linkage and expand the operating region without additional excitation systems, complicated structures, or active controls. This implies that magnetic saturation induced at suitable locations can enhance variable-flux characteristics.

Fractional-slot concentrated winding (FSCW) configurations have attracted much attention with the aim to achieve lower cogging torque, lower copper loss, and shorter axial length design than integral-slot distributed winding configurations in PM motors [12–19]. In FSCW configurations, the magnetic field, due to the armature windings, includes several dominant space harmonics. One of them produces drive torque. The others give rise to undesirable effects that increase iron loss in cores and eddy-current loss in PMs without contributing to torque production [20–24]. These dominant space harmonics induce magnetic saturation asymmetrically for each pole in rotor cores because of the different periodicities in the spatial distribution of the air-gap flux density.

This paper proposes a stator core design to achieve variable-flux characteristics enhanced by magnetic saturation in FSCW PM motors. Variable leakage-flux motors have been proposed in distributed winding configurations that generate a dominant space harmonic or fundamental [9–11]. The dominant space harmonic induces symmetrical magnetic saturation for each pole in the rotor cores. The magnetic saturation in the rotor cores contributes to the variable leakage-flux characteristics. For this reason, FSCW configurations with several dominant space harmonics do not effectively achieve the variable leakage-flux characteristics because magnetic saturation is induced asymmetrically for each pole in the rotor cores. In this paper, variable-flux characteristics are achieved through magnetic saturation in the stator core for a PM motor with a 12-slot 10-pole concentrated winding (CW) configuration as one of FSCW configurations. Therefore, the proposed design expands the operating region or decreases electromotive force (EMF) and increases torque without additional excitation systems, complicated structures, or active controls. The efficacy of the design concept is determined by estimating the PM flux linkage of armature windings, torque for q-axis current, and motor performance through a finite-element method (FEM) analysis and demonstrating the actual motor performance of a prototype.

2. A Leakage-Flux Bypass CW Motor

This section describes that variable leakage-flux characteristics are not effective for expanding the operating region of FSCW PM motors that employ a specific space harmonic except the fundamental for torque production in contrast to that of distributed winding PM motors. A 12-slot 10-pole CW motor whose rotor has leakage-flux bypasses, for example, is examined with respect to variable leakage-flux characteristics through an FEM analysis. Figure 1a and Figure 1b, respectively, show the cross sections of analyzed motors, namely, a 12-slot 10-pole CW motor with a conventional rotor, which is designated as CONV for comparison, and the motor with leakage-flux bypasses, which is designated as LFBP. The dimensions of the analyzed motors are presented in Table 1. The dimensions of the stator tooth tips are determined to suppress magnetic saturation at those locations. The thickness of the leakage-flux bypasses governs the no-load PM flux linkage or the no-load d-axis flux linkage and the variable leakage-flux characteristics. In this paper, a leakage-flux bypass thickness of 2 mm is selected for comparison with CONV and the proposed design. The stator and rotor cores are constructed of electrical steel sheets 50JN470. The rotor PMs are made of neodymium magnet material NMX-44CH. The variable leakage-flux characteristics are determined from the d-axis flux linkage and torque for the q-axis current in reference to [10,11].
Figure 1. Cross sections of 12-slot 10-pole PM motors that have (a) a conventional stator and a conventional rotor (CONV), (b) a conventional stator and a rotor with leakage-flux bypasses (LFBP), and (c) a proposed stator and a conventional rotor (PROP).

Table 1. Dimensions of analyzed motors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CONV</th>
<th>LFBP</th>
<th>PROP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stack length</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator outer diameter</td>
<td>160 mm</td>
<td>160 mm</td>
<td>160 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor outer diameter</td>
<td>88 mm</td>
<td>88 mm</td>
<td>88 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap length</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator yoke width</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator tooth width</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator tooth tip base thickness</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator tooth tip end thickness</td>
<td>3 mm</td>
<td>3 mm</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator slot opening width</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>4°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leakage-flux bypass thickness</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet thickness</td>
<td>3.5 mm</td>
<td>3.5 mm</td>
<td>3.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet width</td>
<td>16 mm</td>
<td>16 mm</td>
<td>16 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns per coil</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The leakage-flux bypasses ineffectively achieve variable leakage-flux characteristics in CW motors in contrast to distributed winding motors. Figure 2a shows the d-axis flux linkage for the q-axis current in CONV for comparison, where the q-axis current \( i_q \) is calculated with the armature current \( I_a \) and the current phase \( \beta \): \( i_q = I_a \cos \beta \). Each thin line denotes the d-axis flux linkage for the current phase \( 0^\circ \leq \beta \leq 90^\circ \), where the d-axis current is defined as \( i_d = -I_a \sin \beta \) at each armature current \( I_a \leq 25 \text{ A} \). The dashed line, which is designated as \( \Psi_{\text{d0}} \), indicates the value of d-axis flux linkage without armature current. The d-axis flux linkage slightly increases with the q-axis current at a current phase of \( \beta = 0^\circ \) or in the zero d-axis current operation. The maximum q-axis current \( i_q = 25 \text{ A} \) increases the d-axis flux linkage by approximately 2.9%. Figure 3a shows the d-axis flux linkage for the q-axis current in LFBP. The d-axis flux linkage increases with the q-axis current in the zero d-axis current operation. The maximum q-axis current \( i_q = 25 \text{ A} \) increases the d-axis flux linkage by approximately 7.5%. The motor LFBP exhibits a larger increase ratio of the d-axis flux linkage to the q-axis current than CONV. The larger increased ratio of the d-axis flux linkage is attributed to the PM flux linkage of armature windings enhanced by the magnetic saturation around several leakage-flux bypasses in LFBP. The increased d-axis flux linkage contributes to the enhancement in torque production in comparison with the situation without magnetic saturation. At the no-load condition or \( I_a = 0 \text{ A} \), the d-axis flux linkage of LFBP is lower than that of CONV by approximately 14.9%. The leakage-flux...
bypasses significantly decrease the PM flux linkage of armature windings at the no-load condition. The PM flux partially passes through the leakage-flux bypasses not to pass through the armature winding coils. Even in CONV or a motor without the leakage-flux bypasses, the d-axis flux linkage slightly increases with the q-axis current because the rotor has flux passes corresponding to narrow leakage-flux bypasses near the air gap.

![Graph](image1.png)

**Figure 2.** Variable-flux characteristics of CONV: (a) d-axis flux linkage, (b) torque for q-axis current, and (c) torque for current phase \( \Psi \).

![Graph](image2.png)

**Figure 3.** Variable-flux characteristics of LFBP: (a) d-axis flux linkage, (b) torque for q-axis current, and (c) torque for flux linkage.

Figure 2b shows the torque for the q-axis current in the zero d-axis current operation in CONV. Each thin line denotes the torque for current phase \( 0^\circ \leq \beta \leq 90^\circ \) at each armature current \( I_d \leq 25 \) A. In addition, the torque production without magnetic saturation, which is estimated using the number of pole pairs \( p \), the no-load d-axis flux linkage \( \Psi_{d0} \) as

\[
T = p\Psi_{d0}i_q
\]

is denoted by the dashed line. The comparison between these lines shows that the torque production is slightly enhanced by magnetic saturation with a large q-axis current. The maximum q-axis current \( i_q = 25 \) A increases the torque by approximately 2.9% in comparison to the situation without magnetic saturation. Figure 3b shows the torque for the q-axis current in the zero d-axis current operation in LFBP. The comparison between the torques in the actual situation and in the situation without magnetic saturation shows that the torque production is enhanced by magnetic saturation with a large q-axis current. The maximum q-axis current \( i_q = 25 \) A increases the torque by approximately 7.4% in comparison to the situation without magnetic saturation. The motor LFBP exhibits a larger enhancement in torque production by the q-axis current than CONV. Thus, the leakage-flux bypasses realize the so-called variable leakage-flux characteristics. However, the leakage-flux bypasses significantly decrease the PM flux linkage of armature windings and the torque production.

The variable leakage-flux characteristics are not effective for expanding the operating region in the CW motor LFBP. For motor drives over a wide range of torque and speed, motors should be operated to expand the operating region under an input current and voltage limit. The actual operating region of the motors CONV and LFBP is enclosed.
by the curve corresponding to the maximum torque per ampere (MTPA) operation, the curve corresponding to the maximum torque per flux-linkage (MTPF) operation, and the line for zero torque [25], as shown in Figures 2c and 3c. Here, the flux linkage of armature windings is substituted for the rotational speed to clarify the MTPF operation curve. Because the flux linkage is hardly dependent on the rotational speed, the operating regions shown in Figures 2c and 3c are calculated at a rotational speed of 0 min⁻¹. The MTPA operation maximizes torque for an input current. The MTPF operation maximizes torque for a given flux linkage under an input current limit. The zero d-axis current operation is not included in the actual operating region. Therefore, the efficacy of the variable leakage-flux characteristics is determined from the actual operating regions in this paper. Figure 4 shows the actual operating regions in the flux linkage and torque of the motors CONV and LFBP. The variable-flux characteristics can be compared for these motors by matching the maximum torque. The operating region of LFBP is enlarged to 117.0% to match the maximum torque with the motor CONV at an armature current of \( I_a = 25 \) A. This enlargement corresponds to the increase in the stack length from 50 mm to 58.5 mm in the machine design in LFBP. The actual operating regions of these motors appear in an almost identical area. Thus, the variable leakage-flux characteristics are not effective for expanding the actual operating region in the CW motor LFBP in spite of the large increased ratio of the d-axis flux linkage to the q-axis current.

![Figure 4. Actual operating regions in the flux linkage and torque of CONV and LFBP.](image)

The ineffectiveness in the variable leakage-flux characteristics is caused by non-identical magnetic saturation for each leakage-flux bypass. Figure 5 shows the distribution of magnetic flux density in the MTPA operation with \( I_a = 25 \) A and \( \beta = 18^\circ \) in LFBP. In FSCW configurations, the leakage-flux bypasses do not face an equivalent armature winding arrangement. The non-equivalent armature winding arrangements give magnetically different phenomena to leakage-flux bypasses. This causes differences in magnetic saturation at leakage-flux bypasses. The leakage-flux bypasses designated as A, B, and C are magnetically saturated end to end. Magnetic saturation appears at one end of the leakage-flux bypasses designated as D and E. These phenomena imply that the leakage fluxes of PMs through these leakage-flux bypasses are different from each other. In this situation, the leakage-flux bypasses do not effectively achieve the variable leakage-flux characteristics or expand the actual operating region.
3. A Saturation-Induced Variable-Flux CW Motor

Magnetic saturation is appropriately induced in stator cores to enhance variable-flux characteristics. The variable leakage-flux characteristics achieve variable-flux characteristics by passively controlling flux through magnetic circuits that consist of rotor magnets, stator windings, and cores with magnetic saturation. The variable leakage-flux characteristics in distributed winding configurations are achieved through magnetic saturation in rotor cores [9–11]. Therefore, variable-flux characteristics similar to the variable leakage-flux characteristics in distributed winding configurations can be achieved through magnetic saturation in stator cores. Based on the concept, a CW motor, designated as PROP, is proposed, as shown in Figure 1c, to achieve variable-flux characteristics induced by magnetic saturation. The stator core of the motor has tooth tips that are thin from the base to the end for inducing magnetic saturation. The stator slot opening is narrower than that of CONV. Hence, the width of stator tooth tips is wider than that of CONV to establish magnetic paths for the leakage flux of PMs in the stator. The stator tooth tips saturated magnetically suppresses the leakage flux of PMs and increases the d-axis flux due to PMs. The variation in the d-axis flux due to PMs can be confirmed with a conceptual magnetic circuit, as shown in Figure 6. The magnetic circuit focuses a part of the d-axis magnetic circuit that is most strongly affected by magnetic saturation. In the figure, the rotor rotates in a counterclockwise direction. Magnetic saturation shifts to the adjacent stator teeth in the clockwise direction as the rotor rotates. Therefore, similar magnetic saturation persists with the rotation of the motor. The PMs are modeled as the series-connected magnetomotive force $F_m$ and magnetic reluctance $R_m$. The d-axis winding current produces the magnetomotive force $F_d$. The magnetic reluctances of the air gap, stator core, and rotor core are denoted as $R_g$, $R_s$, and $R_r$, respectively. In particular, the magnetic reluctance of the stator tooth tip, in which magnetic saturation appears, is expressed as $R_l$. The d-axis flux due to the PMs corresponds to the flux $\Phi_d$ at $F_d = 0$. The flux $\Phi_d$ can be obtained from the magnetic circuit as

$$\Phi_d = \frac{R_l}{(R_{gm} + R_s)R_l + R_{gmr}R_s} F_m,$$

where $R_{gmr} = R_g + R_m + R_r$. The magnetic reluctance $R_l$ of the stator tooth tip increases with magnetic saturation. It is confirmed that the flux $\Phi_d$ increases with the magnetic reluctance $R_l$ by

$$\frac{d\Phi_d}{dR_l} = \frac{R_{gmr}R_s}{\{(R_{gm} + R_s)R_l + R_{gmr}R_s\}} F_m > 0,$$
which is the derivative of the d-axis flux $\Phi_d$ due to PMs with respect to the magnetic reluctance $R_l$. Therefore, the d-axis flux due to the PMs increases with magnetic saturation at the stator tooth tips. An FEM analysis is performed to determine the efficacy of PROP in this section. The dimensions of the analyzed motor are presented in Table 1. The dimensions of the stator tooth tips are determined to positively induce magnetic saturation at those locations and to achieve almost the same torque production as CONV.

![Figure 6. Conceptual diagram of the d-axis magnetic circuit in PROP.](image)

### 3.1. Magnetic Flux Density Distribution

Figure 7 shows the distribution of magnetic flux density in the MTPA operation with $I_a = 25$ A and $\beta = 21^\circ$ in CONV and $\beta = 18^\circ$ in PROP. The rotor shows a similar distribution of magnetic saturation. On the other hand, the distribution of magnetic saturation is different in the stators. In the stator of CONV, magnetic saturation rarely appears, as shown in Figure 7a. In the stator of PROP, magnetic saturation appears prominently in the bases of the stator tooth tips, as shown in Figure 7b. In particular, magnetic saturation at the stator and the rotor blocks the magnetic path in the circle of Figure 7b. The blocked magnetic path corresponds to the magnetic reluctance $R_l$ in the magnetic circuit shown in Figure 6. Therefore, the influence of magnetic saturation on the magnetic circuit is confirmed with the FEM analysis.

![Figure 7. Cont.](image)
3.2. Variable-Flux Characteristics

Figure 8a shows the d-axis flux linkage for the q-axis current in PROP. Each thin line denotes the d-axis flux linkage for current phase $0^\circ \leq \beta \leq 90^\circ$ at each armature current $I_a \leq 25$ A. The d-axis flux linkage slightly increases with the q-axis current in the zero d-axis current operation. The maximum q-axis current $i_q = 25$ A increases the d-axis flux linkage by approximately 5.4%. The d-axis flux linkage without armature current is slightly decreased from CONV by the proposed stator. Figure 8b shows the torque for the q-axis current in PROP. The torque without magnetic saturation is estimated with (1), as shown by the dashed line. The comparison between the torques in the situations with and without magnetic saturation shows that the torque production is enhanced by magnetic saturation with a large q-axis current. The maximum q-axis current $i_q = 25$ A increases the torque by approximately 5.4% in comparison to the situation without magnetic saturation. The motor PROP exhibits a larger enhancement in torque production through magnetic saturation with a large q-axis current than CONV and a smaller enhancement than LFBP. Therefore, the motor PROP does not exhibit the variable leakage-flux characteristics more strongly than LFBP.

Figure 8c shows the actual operating region, which is enclosed by the curve corresponding to the MTPA operation, the curve corresponding to the MTPF operation, and the line for zero torque at a rotational speed of 0 min$^{-1}$. Figure 9 shows the actual operating regions in motors CONV and PROP. Here, the motor CONV is selected for the comparison because the motor CONV has an identical rotor structure with PROP and exhibits an almost identical operating region to that of LFBP, whose stack length is increased. The region of PROP is enlarged to 100.9% to match the maximum torque at an armature current of $I_a = 25$ A. This enlargement corresponds to the increase in the stack length from 50 mm to 50.45 mm in the machine design. The actual operating region of PROP appears in the area with lower flux linkage than that of CONV. Hence, the motor PROP produces
an identical torque with lower flux linkage or lower EMF than CONV. At the maximum
armature current $I_a = 25\, \text{A}$ in the MTPA operation, the flux linkage decreases with the
proposed stator by approximately 4.7%. The proposed stator expands the operating region
toward a high speed range. Therefore, the motor PROP exhibits more effective variable-flux
characteristics than CONV.

![Figure 9. Actual operating regions in the flux linkage and torque of CONV and PROP.](image)

3.3. Motor Performances

No-load EMF is affected little by the proposed stator. Figure 10 shows the waveforms
of no-load line EMF in CONV and PROP at a rotational speed of 1500 min$^{-1}$. These no-load
EMFs exhibit an almost identical waveform. The fundamental amplitudes of the no-load
EMFs are 77.38 V for CONV and 77.29 V for PROP. Therefore, the proposed stator affects
the motor characteristics little without magnetic saturation induced by armature current.

![Figure 10. No-load line EMF at a rotational speed of 1500 min$^{-1}$ in CONV and PROP.](image)
Figure 11. Line EMF in the MTPA operation with an armature current of 25 A and a rotational speed of 1500 min$^{-1}$ in CONV and PROP.

Torque production is slightly affected by the proposed stator. Figure 12 shows the waveforms of torques in the MTPA operation in CONV and PROP at an armature current of 25 A. The average torques are 9.56 Nm in CONV and 9.55 Nm in PROP. The proposed stator decreases the average torque in the MTPA operation by approximately 0.1%. The torque ripple is slightly increased by the proposed stator. This is caused by the increased width of the stator tooth tips in the proposed design. Therefore, the proposed stator produces an almost identical torque in spite of the active induction of magnetic saturation.

Figure 12. Torque in the MTPA operation at an armature current of 25 A in CONV and PROP.

The operating region of the torque and speed is expanded for the constant power region by the proposed stator. Figure 13 shows the maximum torque for rotational speed or the operating region envelope of torque and speed under the conditions of a maximum armature current of 25 A and a maximum line voltage of 140 V in CONV and PROP. In the constant torque region, the torque or the operating region is decreased by approximately 0.9%. In the constant power region, the proposed stator increases the torque or the operating region by more than 3%. Therefore, the proposed stator expands the operating region by effectively inducing magnetic saturation.
4. Experimental Verification

The variable-flux characteristics induced by magnetic saturation in the proposed motor is verified experimentally. A prototype has been constructed for PROP, as shown in Figure 14. The dimensions are presented in Table 1. The stator coils have been wound with four conductors whose diameter is 0.8 mm in parallel. Figure 15 shows the experimental setup. The prototype is connected with a hysteresis brake through a torque transducer. No-load EMF, static torque, and variable-flux characteristics are measured and compared with those obtained in the FEM analysis.

Figure 13. Maximum torque for rotational speed under the conditions of a maximum armature current of 25 A and a maximum line voltage of 140 V in CONV and PROP.

Figure 14. Stator of the prototype.

Figure 15. Experimental setup.

Fundamental characteristics without magnetic saturation obtained with the FEM analysis is verified experimentally. No-load EMF has been measured by rotating the
prototype with an induction motor at a rotational speed of 1500 min$^{-1}$. Figure 16 shows the waveform of the no-load line EMF. The waveform calculated through the FEM analysis is shown for comparison. The FEM analysis accurately predicts the actual no-load line EMF of the prototype. Static torque of the prototype has been measured at each rotor position at a q-axis current of 15 A. Figure 17 shows the measured torque with the torque calculated through the FEM analysis. The calculated torque coincides with the measured one.

![Figure 16. No-load line EMF induced by the prototype at a rotational speed of 1500 min$^{-1}$.](image)

![Figure 17. Static torque produced by the prototype at a q-axis current of 15 A.](image)

The variable-flux characteristics of the prototype are confirmed with the torque production and the induced voltage for armature current at a rotational speed of 1500 min$^{-1}$. Average torque of the prototype has been measured for armature current in the zero d-axis current operation and in the MTPA operation, as shown in Figure 18a. This figure includes the average torque calculated through the FEM analysis for comparison. In the FEM analysis, each indicated torque is obtained by subtracting a no-load torque from the corresponding electromagnetic torque because the FEM analysis cannot include the influence of the no-load torque. The no-load torque is estimated with the torque measured by rotating the prototype without armature current and the iron loss in cores and the eddy-current loss in PMs calculated through the FEM analysis at each rotational speed. The measured value of the no-load torque is 0.092 Nm at 1500 min$^{-1}$. The actual average torque can be predicted by the FEM analysis. The prototype can produce the maximum torque at the current phase predicted by the FEM analysis for each armature current. Average torque is associated with the line voltage for armature current in the zero d-axis current operation and in the MTPA operation, as shown in Figure 18b. The results calculated through the FEM analysis are shown for comparison. The line voltage is indicated as the effective or root-mean-squared value. The voltage drop across the armature winding is included in the line voltage. However, the FEM analysis cannot calculate the voltage corresponding to the iron loss in cores and the eddy-current loss in PMs. In addition, the influence of
switching in an inverter for input is not included in the FEM analysis. For these reasons, the FEM analysis slightly underestimates the line voltage of the prototype. Therefore, the FEM analysis can predict the variable-flux characteristics of the prototype with a sufficient degree of accuracy.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 18.** Variable-flux characteristics of the prototype at a rotational speed of 1500 min\(^{-1}\): (a) torque for q-axis current and (b) torque for line voltage.

The variable-flux characteristics have been verified through the comparison of the measured and calculated results for no-load EMF, static torque, and variable-flux characteristics. These results are consistent with each other. The prototype demonstrates the feasibility of the variable-flux characteristics induced by magnetic saturation. The FEM analysis validated by this comparison establishes the efficacy of the variable-flux characteristics.

### 5. Conclusions

A stator core design is proposed to achieve variable-flux characteristics enhanced by magnetic saturation in FSCW PM motors based on variable leakage-flux motors. The previously proposed variable leakage-flux motors with distributed winding configurations generate a dominant space harmonic or fundamental. The dominant space harmonic induces symmetrical magnetic saturation for each pole in the rotor cores. The magnetic saturation in the rotor cores contributes to the variable-flux characteristics that are effective for expanding the operating region without additional excitation systems, complicated structures, or active controls. However, magnetic saturation is not induced symmetrically for each pole in rotor cores for FSCW configurations with several dominant space harmonics. The asymmetric magnetic saturation does not contribute to effective variable-flux characteristics. For a 12-slot 10-pole configuration, the proposed stator, which has thin tooth tips for inducing magnetic saturation, achieves effective variable-flux characteristics with magnetic saturation in the stator core without additional excitation systems, complicated structures, or active controls. The proposed stator decreases the flux linkage or EMF with an identical torque and increases torque with an identical EMF. The efficacy of the proposed method has been determined numerically and experimentally. Magnetic saturation induced at suitable locations can enhance variable-flux characteristics in FSCW configurations.
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