H₂-Based Processes for Fe and Al Recovery from Bauxite Residue (Red Mud): Comparing the Options †

Stergi Kapelari 1,*, Platon N. Gamaletsos 1,2, Tom Van Der Donck 1, Yiannis Pontikes 1 and Bart Blanpain 1

1 Department of Materials Engineering, KU Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium; platon.gamaletsos@mred.tuc.gr (P.N.G.); tom.vanderdonck@kuleuven.be (T.V.D.D.); yiannis.pontikes@kuleuven.be (Y.P.); bart.blanpain@kuleuven.be (B.B.)
2 School of Mineral Resources Engineering, Technical University of Crete, 73100 Chania, Greece
* Correspondence: stergi.kap@kuleuven.be
† Presented at International Conference on Raw Materials and Circular Economy, Athens, Greece, 5–9 September 2021.

Abstract: To tackle the challenge of bauxite residue (BR), generated during the alumina production, as well as to recover some of its metal content, three combinatory H₂-based processes were utilized. Firstly, Greek BR was mixed with NaOH to produce water soluble Na-aluminates and was roasted under pure H₂ gas in order to reduce the Fe⁺³ content. Then the first process combined water leaching and magnetic separation, the second water leaching and melting and the last included wet magnetic separation. The water media resulted in the dissolution of Na-aluminate phases and the production of Al, Na-ion rich leachates. From these, pregnant leaching solutions recovery of Al was 78%, 84% and for the third case it reached 91%. Concerning Na recovery, it could reach 94%. Both melting process and magnetic separation aimed for Fe recovery from the material. The former case however still needs to be optimized, here its concept is introduced. The magnetic fraction, after the dry magnetic separation, varied in Fe content from 31.57 wt.% to 38.50 wt.%, while after the wet magnetic separation it reached 31.85 wt.%.
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1. Introduction

Many efforts and researches have been conducted on the valorization of bauxite residue (BR), with the most successful—concerning industrial application—being in clinker production, in road construction and in iron/steel production. However, this does not exceed 3% of its annual production, which is around 150 million tons [1]. With many years of research and hundreds of publications and equal patents, this number is insignificant and an immediate solution should be found. The process that will deal with the BR challenge should engage the main obstacles of its smooth utilization—quality diversity, complex mineralogy and logistics [1,2]—and counter them. Moreover, with technology evolving rapidly a novel process should follow the trends and directions in industry, and be, of course, eco-friendly as possible. To this direction, lower temperature and less polluting agents should be applied.

The presented combinatory processes attempt to deal with the BR storage capacity while being greener and employing the latest trends in industry, specifically utilizing the capabilities of H₂ gas [3,4]. Moreover, recovery of both Al and Fe combined through one process, is more cost-effective [5].

The concept of combining BR with NaOH under roasting was introduced by Borra et al. [6], and proved that a low temperature process—more than 400 °C but less than 900 °C—is enough to create water soluble Na-aluminates and subsequently recover a significant fraction of the Al using water leaching. Our study elaborates on this concept: in
combination with $\text{H}_2$ gas, which is also effective at lower temperature ($<900\, ^\circ\text{C}$), both Al and Fe recovery is targeted.

2. Materials and Methods

For the experiments Greek BR was provided by Mytilineos S.A., Aluminium of Greece, after it was filter-pressed and dried. It was mixed with a NaOH solution—NaOH pellets of Sigma Aldrich—of 8.75 M in a 100 mL volume stainless steel crucible. The crucible was placed in a box furnace that was initially purged with $\text{N}_2$ gas to eliminate the air. Immediately when the target temperature was reached, the gas was switched to pure $\text{H}_2$ with a flow of around 30 L/h. The duration of the thermal treatment was two hours at 600 $^\circ\text{C}$.

Subsequently, the thermal product was milled with a mortar and pestle. For the first two processes, it was introduced to water for water leaching at 60 $^\circ\text{C}$ for one hour. As a result, an Al and Na ion rich liquid (leachate or pregnant solution) and a Fe rich solid residue arose. The latter was in one case imposed to dry magnetic separation with a NdFeB magnet $2 \times 2 \times 1$ inches, and in another case to melting at 1550 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 min.

The third process included, after the thermal treatment, a wet magnetic separation to combine the dissolution of the water-soluble aluminates with the recovery of Fe on the magnet. This resulted immediately in three products: the Al, Na ion rich liquid (leachate or pregnant solution), the Fe-rich phase (on the magnet) and the non-magnetic phase which was recovered during the filtration of the liquid. The processes are presented in Figure 1. For the chemical analysis and the characterization of the individual products in bulk and micro-scale WDXRF and ICP–OES along with powder XRD and SEM–EDS were applied. In particular, a Bruker S8 Tiger equipment was used for the WDXRF, whilst the mineralogical composition (XRD) was determined using a Bruker D2 Focus with Cu-Kα radiation, 10° to 50° diffraction angle and 0.02 step size. For the characterization on a micro-scale, the products were firstly embedded in epoxy resin, grinded with SiC paper up to 4000 grit size and polished with oil-based solution from 1 to 3 $\mu$m. Then a Philips XL30 FEG scanning electron microscope was utilized, and for the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis an Ametek, EDAX, Apollo SDD 10 mm$^2$ detector was used. A Varian 720 ES axial ICP-OES instrument was used to provide analysis of the liquid products, after they were proper diluted. Moreover, the use of duplicates, blanks and standards provided accuracy and precision. Lastly, for particle size distribution measurements with laser particle analysis a Beckman Coulter LS was used.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.** Three $\text{H}_2$-based processes for Al and Fe recovery from bauxite residue. Each parallelogram shows a different process.
3. Results

3.1. Bauxite Residue

The chemical and mineralogical composition of the applied BR are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2. It consists mainly of iron oxide in the form of hematite and Al-bearing phases, for instance cancrinite and hydrogarnet or Al-hydroxides, like diaspore.

Table 1. Normalized chemical composition of the Greek bauxite residue (expressed as oxides).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>Loss on Ignition (LOI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>42.47</td>
<td>23.74</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>9.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2. Mineralogical composition of bauxite residue.](image)

3.2. Thermal Treatment (Common for all the Processes)

The major resulting phases of the product after roasting BR and NaOH at 600 °C, under H₂ gas, which are illustrated in Figure 3, are: metallic Fe, perovskite (CaTiO₃) and sodium aluminum silicate (Na₁.₉₅Al₁.₉₅Si₀.₀₅O₄). Moreover, in Table 2 the wt.% composition of this product is presented, and it is useful for comparison with the Al, Na-depleted solid residue.

![Figure 3. PXRD pattern of the thermal product after roasting BR and NaOH at 600 °C, under H₂ gas.](image)
Table 2. Normalized chemical composition of the thermal product after roasting BR and NaOH at 600 °C, under H₂ gas (expressed as oxides).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>27.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Magnetic Separation or Melting of the Resulting Solid Residue (Two Separate Processes)

As it is indicated in Figure 1 the first two processes are differentiated in the treatment of the resulting solid residue. The mineralogical and chemical composition of the latter are shown in Figure 4 and Table 3, respectively. The Al recovery, calculated based on the initial BR composition and ICP-OES measurements, was 78% in one case and 84% in another.

![PXRD pattern of the solid residue after water leaching of the thermal product (roasting BR and NaOH at 600 °C, under H₂ gas).](image)

Figure 4. PXRD pattern of the solid residue after water leaching of the thermal product (roasting BR and NaOH at 600 °C, under H₂ gas).

Table 3. Normalized chemical composition of the solid residue, after water leaching of the thermal product (roasting BR and NaOH at 600 °C, under H₂ gas).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>52.17</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>12.43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To finalize the first process, the iron-rich solid residue was imposed to a dry magnetic separation with the NdFeB magnet, after it was milled with a mortar and pestle. The particle size analysis revealed a median particle size (D50) equal to 4.9 μm. Specifically, the iron particles have an extended size range and are spread in the matrix of the solid residue, as can be observed in the SEM—BSE image, shown in Figure 5—iron particles correspond to the brightest areas. This is challenging for the magnetic separation and an approach would be the target of a specific particle size of the iron phase, an identical and effective size translates into an efficient separation. Nevertheless, a solid mixture attracted by the magnet was obtained, which in the best case was consistent of 38.5 wt.% Fe.

For the second process, instead of a dry magnetic separation, the solid residue was melted at 1550 °C for half an hour, under Ar gas and pO₂ around 10⁻¹⁷ atm. Then it was allowed to cool down in the furnace. This C-free melting process imitates the EAF (electric arc furnace) process, which is utilized in steel production and consumes scrap or sponge iron or both. In this study sponge iron was produced using H₂ gas, with the difference that in-between Al (and some of the Na) were obtained. The final product after melting and cooling down can be found in Figure 6. Since the Fe phase was not separated immediately, as normally occurs during melting, the process should be optimized, probably higher temperature will give better results.
3.4. Wet Magnetic Separation (Final Process)

The final process led immediately to an ion-rich leachate and two solids, one magnetic and one non-magnetic fraction. The water leaching conditions were identical to the previous processes—water at 60 °C for one hour—the difference was the magnet placed inside the beaker during the water leaching. The set-up is illustrated in Figure 7. The recovery for Al reached 91%, which is higher than in the previous plain water leaching. Two SEM-BSE images of the solid attracted by the magnet and the solid obtained during the filtration of the leachate are given in Figure 8. It seems that the non-magnetic solid sample still contains some Fe particles (bright areas), based on PXRD and EDS measurements, however they are much smaller in size compared with the ones in the solid attracted by the magnet. A plausible explanation is that bigger Fe particles, or particles consistent of many Fe microparticles, can be attracted easier from the magnet, because the average magnetic susceptibility is higher due to a higher metallic Fe fraction, while the nanoparticles trapped in the non-magnetic matrix result in an overall lower magnetic susceptibility. However,
there is still a considerable amount of other phases interfering with the larger Fe particles, as it can be seen from Figure 8b. The Fe content in the magnetic fraction was 31.85 wt.%.

![Figure 7. Set-up of the wet magnetic separation process: (a) at the beginning of the experiment and (b) during the process (temperature 60 °C).](image)

![Figure 8. SEM-BSE image of (a) the non-magnetic phase and (b) the magnetic phase after the wet magnetic separation (simultaneous water leaching and magnetic separation).](image)

4. Conclusions and Remarks

Three processes for Al and Fe recovery from bauxite residue were described above, after addition of NaOH and thermal treatment under H₂ gas at 600 °C. The first process, that included water leaching and dry magnetic separation lead to an iron phase fraction of 38.5 wt.% Fe content. The second process included melting of the solid residue after the water leaching, instead of magnetic separation, and adjustment of the experimental parameters is necessary to achieve the maximum Fe recovery. The third process, simultaneous water leaching and magnetic separation (wet magnetic separation) resulted in a solid of 31.85 wt.% Fe content. The metallic Fe content in each case can be improved, and it was proven that larger particles, for instance more than 40 µm, can be separated more effectively using magnetic separation. Concerning the Al recovery in all cases was high enough, especially during the wet magnetic separation that reached 91% and further investigation is necessary to examine the reason behind this difference. Once the ideal Fe particle size for the maximum liberation is achieved, the wet magnetic separation can be a
highly efficient and practical approach to the bauxite residue issue, since during one step both Fe and Al can be recovered, with a low energy consumption and with a relatively ‘green’ process.
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