

Article

# An Iterative Algorithm for Solving Generalized Variational Inequalities and Fixed Points Problems

Yonghong Yao <sup>1</sup>, Mihai Postolache <sup>2,3,4,\*</sup> and Jen-Chih Yao <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300387, China; yaoyonghong@aliyun.com

<sup>2</sup> Center for General Education, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup> Romanian Academy, Gh. Mihoc-C. Iacob Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics, 050711 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University “Politehnica” of Bucharest, 060042 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>5</sup> Center for General Education, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan; yaojc@mail.cmu.edu.tw

\* Correspondence: mihai@mathem.pub.ro

Received: 20 December 2018; Accepted: 6 January 2019; Published: 8 January 2019



**Abstract:** In this paper, a generalized variational inequality and fixed points problem is presented. An iterative algorithm is introduced for finding a solution of the generalized variational inequalities and fixed point of two quasi-pseudocontractive operators under a nonlinear transformation. Strong convergence of the suggested algorithm is demonstrated.

**Keywords:** split problems; variational inequalities; pseudocontractive operators; fixed points

**MSC:** 49J53; 90C25

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and induced norm  $\| \cdot \|$ , respectively. Let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a closed convex set. For the given two nonlinear operators  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , the generalized variational inequality (GVI) aims to find an element  $x^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}x^\dagger, \varphi(y) - \varphi(x^\dagger) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (1)$$

We use  $GVI(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \mathcal{C})$  to denote the solution set of Equation (1).

If  $\varphi \equiv \mathcal{I}$ , then GVI (1) can be reduced to find an element  $x^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}x^\dagger, y - x^\dagger \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (2)$$

We use  $VI(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$  to denote the solution set of Equation (2).

Variational inequalities were introduced by Stampacchia [1] and provide a useful tool for researching a large variety of interesting problems arising in physics, economics, finance, elasticity, optimization, network analysis, medical images, water resources, and structural analysis [2–8]. For some related work, please refer to References [9–27].

Iterative computing fixed points of nonlinear operators is nowadays an active research field [28–35]. The interest in pseudocontractive operators is due mainly to their usefulness as an additional assumption to Lipschitz type conditions in proving convergence of fixed point iterative procedures and their connection with the important class of nonlinear monotone (accretive) operators.

Recall that an operator  $S: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is called pseudocontractive if

$$\|Su^\dagger - Sv^\dagger\|^2 \leq \|u^\dagger - v^\dagger\|^2 + \|(\mathcal{I} - S)u^\dagger - (\mathcal{I} - S)v^\dagger\|^2, \tag{3}$$

for all  $u^\dagger, v^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Iterative algorithms for finding the fixed points of pseudocontractive operators have been studied by many mathematicians, see, for example, References [36–40]. In this article, we focus on a general class of quasi-pseudocontractive operators. Recall that a mapping  $S: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is called quasi-pseudocontractive if

$$\|Su^\dagger - v^\dagger\|^2 \leq \|u^\dagger - v^\dagger\|^2 + \|Su^\dagger - v^\dagger\|^2, \tag{4}$$

for all  $u^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $v^\dagger \in \text{Fix}(S)$ , where  $\text{Fix}(S)$  stands for the set of fixed points of  $S$ , i.e.,  $\text{Fix}(S) = \{z^\dagger : z^\dagger = Sz^\dagger\}$ .

Now it is well-known that the quasi-pseudocontractive operators include the directed operators and the demicontractive operators as special cases [19]. In this paper, we consider the following generalized variational inequalities and fixed points problems of finding an element  $\tilde{x}$  such that

$$\tilde{x} \in \text{GVI}(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \mathcal{C}) \text{ and } \varphi(\tilde{x}) \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T), \tag{5}$$

where  $S$  and  $T$  are two quasi-pseudocontractive operators.

In order to solve Equation (5), we introduce a new iterative algorithm. Under some mild restrictions, we will demonstrate the strong convergence analysis of the presented algorithm.

## 2. Notation and Lemmas

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a closed convex set. Recall that an operator  $S: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is called  $L$ -Lipschitz if  $\|Su^\dagger - Sv^\dagger\| \leq L\|u^\dagger - v^\dagger\|$  for all  $u^\dagger, v^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $L > 0$  is a constant.

**Definition 1.** An operator  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is said to be

- Monotone if  $\langle u^\dagger - v^\dagger, \mathcal{A}u^\dagger - \mathcal{A}v^\dagger \rangle \geq 0, \forall u^\dagger, v^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- Strongly monotone if  $\langle u^\dagger - v^\dagger, \mathcal{A}u^\dagger - \mathcal{A}v^\dagger \rangle \geq \delta\|u^\dagger - v^\dagger\|^2, \forall u^\dagger, v^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is a constant.
- $\alpha$ -inverse strongly monotone if  $\langle u^\dagger - v^\dagger, \mathcal{A}u^\dagger - \mathcal{A}v^\dagger \rangle \geq \alpha\|\mathcal{A}u^\dagger - \mathcal{A}v^\dagger\|^2, \forall u^\dagger, v^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is a constant.
- $\alpha$ -inverse strongly  $\varphi$ -monotone if  $\langle \mathcal{A}u^\dagger - \mathcal{A}v^\dagger, \varphi(u^\dagger) - \varphi(v^\dagger) \rangle \geq \alpha\|\mathcal{A}u^\dagger - \mathcal{A}v^\dagger\|^2, \forall u^\dagger, v^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a nonlinear operator and  $\alpha > 0$  is a constant.

An operator  $R: \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows 2^{\mathcal{H}}$  is called monotone on  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $\langle u^\dagger - v^\dagger, x - y \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in \text{dom}(R), u^\dagger \in Rx$ , and  $v^\dagger \in Ry$ . A monotone operator  $R$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called maximal monotone if the graph of  $R$  is a maximal monotone set.

We use  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}$  to denote the nearest point projection from  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is, for  $\forall u^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}, \|u^\dagger - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[u^\dagger]\| \leq \|u - u^\dagger\|$ , for all  $u \in \mathcal{C}$ . Now it is known that the operator  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$\|\text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[u^\dagger] - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[v^\dagger]\|^2 \leq \langle \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[u^\dagger] - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[v^\dagger], u^\dagger - v^\dagger \rangle, \forall u^\dagger, v^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Consequently,

$$\langle u^\dagger - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[u^\dagger], x^\dagger - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[u^\dagger] \rangle \leq 0, \forall u^\dagger \in \mathcal{H}, x^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{6}$$

Recall that an operator  $S$  is said to be demiclosed if  $w_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$  weakly and  $Sw_n \rightarrow u$  strongly, implies  $S(\tilde{u}) = u$ . We collect several lemmas for our main results in the next section.

**Lemma 1** ([41]). Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a closed convex set. Let  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an  $L$ -Lipschitz quasi-pseudocontractive operator. Then, we have

$$\|(1 - \sigma)x^\dagger + \sigma T((1 - \xi)x^\dagger + \xi T x^\dagger) - y^\dagger\|^2 \leq \|x^\dagger - y^\dagger\|^2 + \sigma(\sigma - \xi)\|T((1 - \xi)x^\dagger + \xi T x^\dagger) - x^\dagger\|^2,$$

for all  $x^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $y^\dagger \in \text{Fix}(T)$  when  $0 < \sigma < \xi < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L^2}+1}$ .

**Lemma 2** ([41]). Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a closed convex set. If the operator  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz with  $L \geq 1$ , then we have

$$\text{Fix}(((1 - \delta)\mathcal{I} + \delta T)T) = \text{Fix}(T((1 - \delta)\mathcal{I} + \delta T)) = \text{Fix}(T),$$

where  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ .

**Lemma 3** ([41]). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If the operator  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz with  $L \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{I} - T$  is demiclosed at 0, then the composition operator  $\mathcal{I} - T((1 - \delta)\mathcal{I} + \delta T)$  is also demiclosed at 0 provided  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ .

**Lemma 4** ([42]). Suppose  $\{\omega_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ ,  $\{v_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ , and  $\{\varrho_n\}$  are three real number sequences satisfying

- (i)  $\omega_{n+1} \leq (1 - v_n)\omega_n + \varrho_n, \forall n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty v_n = \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho_n}{v_n} \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\varrho_n| < \infty$ .

Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_n = 0$ .

**Lemma 5** ([43]). Let  $\{w_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers. Assume there exists at least a subsequence  $\{w_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{w_n\}$  such that  $w_{n_k} \leq w_{n_k+1}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . For every  $n \geq N_0$ , define an integer sequence  $\{\tau(n)\}$  as

$$\tau(n) = \max\{i \leq n : w_{n_i} < w_{n_i+1}\}.$$

Then,  $\tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and for all  $n \geq N_0$ , we have  $\max\{w_{\tau(n)}, w_n\} \leq w_{\tau(n)+1}$ .

### 3. Main Results

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a closed convex set. Let  $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be an  $L$ -Lipschitz operator. Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a  $\delta$ -strongly monotone and weakly continuous operator such that its rang  $R(\varphi) = \mathcal{C}$ . Let the operator  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be  $\alpha$ -inverse strongly  $\varphi$ -monotone. Let  $S: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an  $L_1$ -Lipschitzian quasi-pseudocontractive operator with  $L_1 > 1$  and  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an  $L_2$ -Lipschitzian quasi-pseudocontractive operator with  $L_2 > 1$ . Denote the solution set of Equation (5) by  $\Omega$ , that is,  $\Omega = GVI(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T))$ . In what follows, assume  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Next, we firstly suggest the following algorithm for solving the problem in Equation (5).

For initial guess  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the following form

$$\begin{cases} u_n = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\alpha_n \nu \phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n)], \\ y_n = (1 - \sigma_n)u_n + \sigma_n T((1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_n T u_n), \\ z_n = (1 - \zeta_n)y_n + \zeta_n S((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n S y_n), \\ \varphi(x_{n+1}) = \vartheta_n \varphi(x_n) + (1 - \vartheta_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where  $\nu > 0$  is a constant,  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\sigma_n\}$ ,  $\{\delta_n\}$ ,  $\{\zeta_n\}$ ,  $\{\eta_n\}$ , and  $\{\vartheta_n\}$  are six sequences in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $I - S$  and  $I - T$  are demiclosed at 0. Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_n \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < a_1 < \sigma_n < c_1 < \delta_n < b_1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_2^2+1}}$  and  $0 < a_2 < \zeta_n < c_2 < \eta_n < b_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_1^2+1}}$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $L\nu < \delta < 2\alpha$  and  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n < 2\alpha$ .

Then, the iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by Equation (7) strongly converges to  $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$  which solves the variational inequality

$$\langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), \varphi(x^\dagger) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x^\dagger \in \Omega. \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** Since  $\varphi$  is  $\delta$ -strongly monotone, we deduce

$$\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\| \geq \delta\|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{9}$$

Note that VI (8) has a unique solution which is denoted by  $\tilde{x}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{x} \in GVI(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \mathcal{C})$  and  $\varphi(\tilde{x}) \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T)$ . By virtue of Equation (6), we get  $\varphi(\tilde{x}) = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}]$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\alpha$ -inverse strongly  $\varphi$ -monotone. By Definition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi(x) - \zeta \mathcal{A}x) - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta \mathcal{A}\tilde{x})\|^2 &= \|\varphi(x) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - 2\zeta \langle \mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}, \varphi(x) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \zeta^2 \|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\varphi(x) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - 2\zeta\alpha \|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + \zeta^2 \|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\varphi(x) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + \zeta(\zeta - 2\alpha) \|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

According to Equation (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n) - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x})\|^2 &\leq \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + \zeta_n(\zeta_n - 2\alpha) \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \zeta_{n+1} \mathcal{A}x_{n+1} - (\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_{n+1} \mathcal{A}x_n)\|^2 &\leq \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \zeta_{n+1}(\zeta_{n+1} - 2\alpha) \|\mathcal{A}x_{n+1} - \mathcal{A}x_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From Equations (7), (9), and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| &= \|\text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\alpha_n \nu\phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n)] - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}]\| \\ &\leq \|\alpha_n(\nu\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}) + (1 - \alpha_n)((\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n) - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}))\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|\nu\phi(x_n) - \nu\phi(\tilde{x})\| + \alpha_n \|\nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n) - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \nu L \|x_n - \tilde{x}\| + \alpha_n \|\nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \nu L / \delta \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \alpha_n \|\nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\ &= [1 - (1 - \nu L / \delta) \alpha_n] \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \alpha_n \|\nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \\ &\leq [1 - (1 - \nu L / \delta) \alpha_n] \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \alpha_n (\|\nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + 2\alpha \|\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By Equations (11) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &\leq \|\alpha_n(\nu\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}) + (1 - \alpha_n)((\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}x_n) - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}))\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n\|\nu\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}x_n) - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n\|\nu\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)[\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\quad + \zeta_n(\zeta_n - 2\alpha)\|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In view of Lemma 1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &= \|(1 - \sigma_n)u_n + \sigma_nT((1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_nTu_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + \sigma_n(\sigma_n - \delta_n)\|T((1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_nTu_n) - u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &= \|(1 - \zeta_n)y_n + \zeta_nS((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_nSy_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\leq \|y_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + \zeta_n(\zeta_n - \eta_n)\|S((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_nSy_n) - y_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|y_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Combining Equations (10), (14), (15) with (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| &\leq \vartheta_n\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + (1 - \vartheta_n)\|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq \vartheta_n\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + (1 - \vartheta_n)\|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq \vartheta_n\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + (1 - \vartheta_n)[1 - (1 - \nu L/\delta)\alpha_n]\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n(\|\nu\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + 2\alpha\|\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|) \\ &= [1 - (1 - \nu L/\delta)(1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n]\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \nu L/\delta)(1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n \frac{\|\nu\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + 2\alpha\|\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|}{1 - \nu L/\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

An induction to derive

$$\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \leq \max \left\{ \|\varphi(x_0) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|, \frac{\|\nu\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + 2\alpha\|\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|}{1 - \nu L/\delta} \right\}.$$

It follows that

$$\|x_n - \tilde{x}\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \max \left\{ \|\varphi(x_0) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|, \frac{\|\nu\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + 2\alpha\|\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|}{1 - \nu L/\delta} \right\}.$$

Hence,  $\{\varphi(x_n)\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  are all bounded.

By Equation (7), we get

$$\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n) = (1 - \vartheta_n)(z_n - \varphi(x_n)), \quad n \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

It follows that

$$\langle \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), \varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n) \rangle = (1 - \vartheta_n)\langle \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), z_n - \varphi(x_n) \rangle. \tag{19}$$

Observe that

$$2\langle \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), \varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n) \rangle = \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n)\|^2, \tag{20}$$

and

$$2\langle z_n - \varphi(x_n), \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle = \|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\|^2. \tag{21}$$

By virtue of Equations (19)–(21), we deduce

$$\|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 = (1 - \vartheta_n)[\|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\|^2] + \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n)\|^2. \tag{22}$$

Combining Equations (18) with (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &= (1 - \vartheta_n)[\|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\|^2] \\ &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n)^2\|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\|^2 + \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \vartheta_n)[\|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2] - \vartheta_n(1 - \vartheta_n)\|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \vartheta_n)[\|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2] - \vartheta_n(1 - \vartheta_n)\|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Returning to Equation (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &\leq [1 - (1 - \nu L/\delta)\alpha_n]\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \nu L/\delta)\alpha_n \left( \frac{\|\nu\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + 2\alpha\|\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|}{(1 - \nu L/\delta)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

There exists two possible cases. CASE 1. There exists  $m > 0$  such that  $\{\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|\}$  is decreasing when  $n \geq m$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|$  exists. From Equations (23) and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_n(1 - \vartheta_n)\|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\|^2 &\leq \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n)[\|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2] \\ &\leq \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \nu L/\delta)\alpha_n \left( \frac{\|\nu\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + 2\alpha\|\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|}{(1 - \nu L/\delta)} \right)^2 \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This together with assumptions (i) and (iii) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - \varphi(x_n)\| = 0. \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, it follows from Equation (18) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n)\| = 0. \tag{26}$$

By Equation (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &= \|\vartheta_n(\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})) + (1 - \vartheta_n)(z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}))\|^2 \\
 &\leq \vartheta_n\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n)\|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\leq \vartheta_n\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n)\|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n\|v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + \vartheta_n\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\zeta_n(\zeta_n - 2\alpha)\|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n\|v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\zeta_n(\zeta_n - 2\alpha)\|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{27}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1 - \vartheta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\zeta_n(2\alpha - \zeta_n)\|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n\|v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\leq (\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|)\|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n)\| \\
 &\quad + (1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n\|v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ (by (i) and Equation (26)).}
 \end{aligned}$$

This, together with assumption (iv), implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| = 0.
 \tag{28}$$

Set  $v_n = \varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}x_n - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x})$  for all  $n$ . Applying Equation (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &= \|\text{proj}_C[\alpha_n v\varphi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}x_n)] - \text{proj}_C[\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}]\|^2 \\
 &\leq \langle \alpha_n(v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}) + (1 - \alpha_n)v_n, u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|\alpha_n(v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}) + (1 - \alpha_n)v_n\|^2 + \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \|\alpha_n(v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}) + (1 - \alpha_n)v_n - u_n + \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \alpha_n\|v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad + \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\alpha_n(v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n) + \varphi(x_n) \\
 &\quad \left. - u_n - \zeta_n(\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x})\|^2 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \alpha_n\|v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad + \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\|^2 - \alpha_n^2\|v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \zeta_n^2\|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + 2\zeta_n\alpha_n\langle \mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}, v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n \rangle \\
 &\quad + 2\zeta_n\langle \varphi(x_n) - u_n, \mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} \rangle \\
 &\quad \left. - 2\alpha_n\langle \varphi(x_n) - u_n, v\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n\mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n \rangle \right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{29}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\quad - \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\|^2 + 2\zeta_n \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\| \\ &\quad + 2\zeta_n \alpha_n \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

In the light of Equations (27) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &\leq \vartheta_n \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n) \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\leq \vartheta_n \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n) \alpha_n \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \vartheta_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - (1 - \vartheta_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\zeta_n(1 - \vartheta_n) \alpha_n \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\| \\ &\quad + 2\zeta_n(1 - \vartheta_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \vartheta_n) \alpha_n \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + \alpha_n \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\zeta_n \alpha_n \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\| \\ &\quad + 2\zeta_n \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| - (1 - \vartheta_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \vartheta_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\|^2 &\leq (\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|) \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(x_n)\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\zeta_n \alpha_n \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\| \\ &\quad + 2\zeta_n \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|\mathcal{A}x_n - \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}\| \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| \|v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x} - v_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

According to (iii), Equations (26) and (28), we easily deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(x_n) - u_n\| = 0. \tag{31}$$

In view of Equations (15) and (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(\delta_n - \sigma_n) \|T((1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_n Tu_n) - u_n\|^2 &+ \zeta_n(\eta_n - \zeta_n) \|S((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n Sy_n) - y_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 - \|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - z_n\| (\|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \|z_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

It follows from Equations (25), (31), and (32) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T((1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_n Tu_n) - u_n\| = 0, \tag{33}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n Sy_n) - y_n\| = 0. \tag{34}$$

Note that  $z_n - y_n = \delta_n [S((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n Sy_n) - y_n]$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - y_n\| = 0. \tag{35}$$



Next, we prove  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0$ . Let  $\{u_{n_i}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), u_{n_i} - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), \phi(x_{n_i}) - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Note that  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  is bounded. We can choose a subsequence  $\{x_{n_{i_j}}\}$  of  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  such that  $x_{n_{i_j}} \rightharpoonup z \in \mathcal{C}$  weakly. Assume  $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup z$  without loss of generality. This indicates that  $\phi(x_{n_i}) \rightharpoonup \phi(z)$  due to the weak continuity of  $\phi$ . Thus,  $u_{n_i} \rightharpoonup \phi(z)$  and  $y_{n_i} \rightharpoonup \phi(z)$ .

Apply Lemmas 2 and 6 to Equations (33) and (34) to deduce  $\phi(z) \in \text{Fix}(T)$  and  $\phi(z) \in \text{Fix}(S)$ , respectively. That is,  $\phi(z) \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Fix}(S)$ . Next, we show  $z \in \text{GVI}(\mathcal{A}, \phi, \mathcal{C})$ . Let

$$Rv = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}v + N_{\mathcal{C}}(v), & v \in \mathcal{C}, \\ \emptyset, & v \notin \mathcal{C}. \end{cases}$$

According to Reference [32], we can deduce that  $R$  is maximal  $\phi$ -monotone. Let  $(v, w) \in G(R)$ . Since  $w - \mathcal{A}v \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(v)$  and  $x_n \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\langle \phi(v) - \phi(x_n), w - \mathcal{A}v \rangle \geq 0$ . Noting that  $u_n = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\alpha_n \nu\phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\phi(x_n) - \zeta_n)]$ , we get

$$\langle \phi(v) - u_n, u_n - [\alpha_n \nu\phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\phi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n)] \rangle \geq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\langle \phi(v) - u_n, \frac{u_n - \phi(x_n)}{\zeta_n} + \mathcal{A}x_n - \frac{\alpha_n}{\zeta_n}(\nu\phi(x_n) - \phi(x_n) + \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(v) - \phi(x_{n_i}), w \rangle &\geq \langle \phi(v) - \phi(x_{n_i}), \mathcal{A}v \rangle \\ &\geq \langle \phi(v) - \phi(x_{n_i}), \mathcal{A}v \rangle - \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \frac{u_{n_i} - \phi(x_{n_i})}{\zeta_{n_i}} \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle + \frac{\alpha_{n_i}}{\zeta_{n_i}} \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \nu\phi(x_{n_i}) - \phi(x_{n_i}) + \zeta_{n_i} \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi(v) - \phi(x_{n_i}), \mathcal{A}v - \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle + \langle \phi(v) - \phi(x_{n_i}), \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \frac{u_{n_i} - \phi(x_{n_i})}{\zeta_{n_i}} \rangle - \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_{n_i}}{\zeta_{n_i}} \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \nu\phi(x_{n_i}) - \phi(x_{n_i}) + \zeta_{n_i} \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle \\ &\geq - \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \frac{u_{n_i} - \phi(x_{n_i})}{\zeta_{n_i}} \rangle - \langle \phi(x_{n_i}) - u_{n_i}, \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_{n_i}}{\zeta_{n_i}} \langle \phi(v) - u_{n_i}, \nu\phi(x_{n_i}) - \phi(x_{n_i}) + \zeta_{n_i} \mathcal{A}x_{n_i} \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

By virtue of Equation (37), we derive that  $\langle \phi(v) - \phi(z), w \rangle \geq 0$  due to  $\|\phi(x_{n_i}) - u_{n_i}\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\phi(x_{n_i}) \rightharpoonup \phi(z)$ . By the maximal  $\phi$ -monotonicity of  $R$ ,  $z \in R^{-1}0$ . So,  $z \in \text{GVI}(\mathcal{A}, \phi, \mathcal{C})$ . Therefore,  $z \in \Omega$ .

From Equation (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), \phi(x_{n_i}) - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), \phi(z) - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Applying Equation (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &= \|\text{proj}_C[\alpha_n v\phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n)] - \text{proj}_C[\varphi(\tilde{x}) - (1 - \alpha_n)\zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}]\|^2 \\
 &\leq \langle \alpha_n(v\phi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})) + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &\leq \alpha_n v \langle \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle + \alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n - (\varphi(\tilde{x}) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}\tilde{x})\| \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n Lv \|x_n - \tilde{x}\| \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n (Lv/\delta) \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| + \alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\
 &= [1 - (1 - Lv/\delta)\alpha_n] \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &\leq \frac{1 - (1 - Lv/\delta)\alpha_n}{2} \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \leq [1 - (1 - Lv/\delta)\alpha_n] \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\varphi(x_{n+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 &\leq \vartheta_n \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n) \|u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\leq \vartheta_n \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 + (1 - \vartheta_n) [1 - (1 - \nu L/\delta)\alpha_n] \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2(1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &= [1 - (1 - \nu L/\delta)(1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n] \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2(1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\
 &= [1 - (1 - \nu L/\delta)(1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n] \|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (1 - \nu L/\delta)(1 - \vartheta_n)\alpha_n \left( \frac{2}{1 - \nu L/\delta} \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_n - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

We can therefore apply Lemma 4 to Equation (39) to conclude that  $\varphi(x_n) \rightarrow \varphi(\tilde{x})$  and  $x_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ .

CASE 2. There exists  $n_0$  such that  $\|\varphi(x_{n_0}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\| \leq \|\varphi(x_{n_0+1}) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|$ . At this case, we set  $\omega_n = \{\|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(\tilde{x})\|\}$ . Then, we have  $\omega_{n_0} \leq \omega_{n_0+1}$ . For  $n \geq n_0$ , we define a sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  by

$$\tau(n) = \max\{l \in \mathbb{N} | n_0 \leq l \leq n, \omega_l \leq \omega_{l+1}\}.$$

We can show easily that  $\tau(n)$  is a non-decreasing sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n) = \infty$$

and

$$\omega_{\tau(n)} \leq \omega_{\tau(n)+1}.$$

According to techniques similar to Equations (36) and (39), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle v\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_{\tau(n)} - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0 \tag{40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\tau(n)+1}^2 &\leq [1 - (1 - \nu L/\delta)(1 - \vartheta_{\tau(n)})\alpha_{\tau(n)}]\omega_{\tau(n)}^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \nu L/\delta)(1 - \vartheta_{\tau(n)})\alpha_{\tau(n)} \left( \frac{2}{1 - \nu L/\delta} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_{\tau(n)} - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Since  $\omega_{\tau(n)} \leq \omega_{\tau(n)+1}$ , we have from Equation (41) that

$$\omega_{\tau(n)}^2 \leq \frac{2}{1 - \nu L/\delta} \langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), u_{\tau(n)} - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle. \tag{42}$$

Combining Equations (41) with (42), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\tau(n)} \leq 0,$$

and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\tau(n)} = 0. \tag{43}$$

By Equations (40) and (41), we also get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\tau(n)+1} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\tau(n)}.$$

The last inequality together with Equation (43) imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\tau(n)+1} = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 5 to get

$$0 \leq \omega_n \leq \max\{\omega_{\tau(n)}, \omega_{\tau(n)+1}\}.$$

Therefore,  $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.,  $x_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

For initial guess  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the following form

$$\begin{cases} u_n = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\alpha_n \nu\phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n)], \\ y_n = (1 - \sigma_n)u_n + \sigma_n T((1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_n Tu_n), \\ z_n = (1 - \zeta_n)y_n + \zeta_n S((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n Sy_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \vartheta_n x_n + (1 - \vartheta_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

where  $\nu > 0$  is a constant,  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\sigma_n\}$ ,  $\{\delta_n\}$ ,  $\{\zeta_n\}$ ,  $\{\eta_n\}$ , and  $\{\vartheta_n\}$  are six sequences in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Corollary 1.** Suppose  $I - S$  and  $I - T$  are demiclosed at 0. Assume the following restrictions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_n \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < a_1 < \sigma_n < c_1 < \delta_n < b_1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_2^2+1}}$  and  $0 < a_2 < \zeta_n < c_2 < \eta_n < b_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_1^2+1}}$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $\delta \in (\nu, 2\alpha)$  and  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n < 2\alpha$ .

Then, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by Equation (44) strongly converges to  $\tilde{x} \in VI(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \cap \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T)$  which solves the following variational inequality

$$\langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{x}, x^\dagger - \tilde{x} \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x^\dagger \in VI(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \cap \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T).$$

### 4. Examples and Applications

In this section, we provide some examples and applications of our suggested algorithms and theorems.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a closed convex set. Let  $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be an  $L$ -Lipschitz operator. Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a  $\delta$ -strongly monotone and weakly continuous operator such that its rang  $R(\varphi) = \mathcal{C}$ . Let the operator  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be  $\alpha$ -inverse strongly  $\varphi$ -monotone. Let  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an  $L_3$ -Lipschitzian pseudocontractive operator with  $L_3 > 1$ . Set  $\Omega^\dagger = GVI(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Fix}(T)) \neq \emptyset$ .

For the initial guess  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the following form

$$\begin{cases} u_n = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\alpha_n \nu \phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n)], \\ y_n = (1 - \sigma_n)u_n + \sigma_n T((1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_n T u_n), \\ z_n = (1 - \zeta_n)y_n + \zeta_n T((1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n T y_n), \\ \varphi(x_{n+1}) = \vartheta_n \varphi(x_n) + (1 - \vartheta_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

where  $\nu > 0$  is a constant,  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\sigma_n\}$ ,  $\{\delta_n\}$ ,  $\{\zeta_n\}$ ,  $\{\eta_n\}$ , and  $\{\vartheta_n\}$  are six sequences in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 6** ([40]). *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{C}$  a closed convex subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a continuous pseudocontractive operator. Then,  $\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{T}$  is demi-closed at zero.*

**Theorem 2.** *Assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_n \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < a_1 < \sigma_n < c_1 < \delta_n < b_1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_3^2+1}}$  and  $0 < a_2 < \zeta_n < c_2 < \eta_n < b_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_3^2+1}}$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $L\nu < \delta < 2\alpha$  and  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n < 2\alpha$ .

Then, the iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by Equation (45) strongly converges to  $\tilde{x} \in \Omega^\dagger$  which solves the variational inequality

$$\langle \nu \phi(\tilde{x}) - \varphi(\tilde{x}), \varphi(x^\dagger) - \varphi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x^\dagger \in \Omega^\dagger.$$

**Remark 1.** *Algorithm (45) and Theorem 2 include the corresponding algorithm and theorem in Reference [18] as special cases, respectively.*

Let  $S: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an  $L_1$ -Lipschitzian monotone operator with  $L_1 > 1$  and  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an  $L_2$ -Lipschitzian monotone operator with  $L_2 > 1$ . Set  $\Omega^\ddagger = GVI(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \mathcal{C}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(S^{-1}(0) \cap T^{-1}(0)) \neq \emptyset$ .

For initial guess  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the following form

$$\begin{cases} u_n = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}[\alpha_n \nu \phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\varphi(x_n) - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}x_n)], \\ y_n = (1 - \sigma_n)u_n + \sigma_n (I - T)[(1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_n (I - T)u_n], \\ z_n = (1 - \zeta_n)y_n + \zeta_n (I - S)[(1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n (I - S)y_n], \\ \varphi(x_{n+1}) = \vartheta_n \varphi(x_n) + (1 - \vartheta_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

where  $\nu > 0$  is a constant,  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\sigma_n\}$ ,  $\{\delta_n\}$ ,  $\{\zeta_n\}$ ,  $\{\eta_n\}$ , and  $\{\vartheta_n\}$  are six sequences in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_n \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < a_1 < \sigma_n < c_1 < \delta_n < b_1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_2^2+1}}$  and  $0 < a_2 < \zeta_n < c_2 < \eta_n < b_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L_1^2+1}}$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $L\nu < \delta < 2\alpha$  and  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n < 2\alpha$ .

Then, the iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by Equation (46) strongly converges to  $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$  which solves the variational inequality

$$\langle \nu\phi(\tilde{x}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), \phi(x^\dagger) - \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x^\dagger \in \Omega^\ddagger.$$

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated a generalized variational inequality and fixed points problems. We presented an iterative algorithm for finding a solution of the generalized variational inequality and fixed point of two quasi-pseudocontractive operators under a nonlinear transformation. We demonstrated the strong convergence of the suggested algorithm under some mild conditions, noting that in our suggested iterative sequence (Equation (7)), the involved operator  $\mathcal{A}$  requires some form of strong monotonicity. A natural question arises: how to weaken this assumption?

**Author Contributions:** All the authors have contributed equally to this paper. All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding:** Jen-Chih Yao was partially supported by the Grant MOST 106-2923-E-039-001-MY3.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Stampacchia, G. Formes bilineaires coercivites sur les ensembles convexes. *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **1964**, *258*, 4413–4416.
- Svaiter, B.-F. A class of Fejer convergent algorithms, approximate resolvents and the hybrid proximal extragradient method. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2014**, *162*, 133–153. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yao, Y.; Liou, Y.-C.; Kang, S.-M. Approach to common elements of variational inequality problems and fixed point problems via a relaxed extragradient method. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2010**, *59*, 3472–3480. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yao, Y.; Shahzad, N. Strong convergence of a proximal point algorithm with general errors. *Optim. Lett.* **2012**, *6*, 621–628. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chen, C.; Ma, S.; Yang, J. A general inertial proximal point algorithm for mixed variational inequality problem. *SIAM J. Optim.* **2014**, *25*, 2120–2142. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Zegeye, H.; Yao, Y. Minimum-norm solution of variational inequality and fixed point problem in Banach spaces. *Optimization* **2015**, *64*, 453–471. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Thakur, B.S.; Postolache, M. Existence and approximation of solutions for generalized extended nonlinear variational inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, *2013*, 590. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yao, Y.; Postolache, M. Iterative methods for pseudomonotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2012**, *155*, 273–287. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Korpelevich, G.-M. An extragradient method for finding saddle points and for other problems. *Ekon. Mat. Metody* **1976**, *12*, 747–756.
- Glowinski, R. *Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Variational Problems*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1984.
- Bello Cruz, J.-Y.; Iusem, A.-N. A strongly convergent direct method for monotone variational inequalities in Hilbert space. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **2009**, *30*, 23–36. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Iiduka, H.; Takahashi, W.; Toyoda, M. Approximation of solutions of variational inequalities for monotone mappings. *Panam. Math. J.* **2004**, *14*, 49–61.
- Censor, Y.; Gibali, A.; Reich, S.; Sabach, S. Common solutions to variational inequalities. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* **2012**, *20*, 229–247 [[CrossRef](#)]
- Kassay, G.; Reich, S.; Sabach, S. Iterative methods for solving systems of variational inequalities in reflexive Banach spaces. *SIAM J. Optim.* **2011**, *21*, 1319–1344. [[CrossRef](#)]

15. Bot, R.-I.; Csetnek, E.-R. A hybrid proximal-extragradient algorithm with inertial effects. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **2015**, *36*, 951–963. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Yao, Y.; Chen, R.; Xu, H.-K. Schemes for finding minimum-norm solutions of variational inequalities. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2010**, *72*, 3447–3456. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Maingé, P.-E. Strong convergence of projected reflected gradient methods for variational inequalities. *Fixed Point Theory* **2018**, *19*, 659–680. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Yao, Y.-H.; Liou, Y.C.; Yao, J.-C. Iterative algorithms for the split variational inequality and fixed point problems under nonlinear transformations. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2017**, *10*, 843–854. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Censor, Y.; Gibali, A.; Reich, S. Extensions of Korpelevichs extragradient method for the variational inequality problem in Euclidean space. *Optimization* **2012**, *61*, 1119–1132. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Bao, T.-Q.; Khanh, P.-Q. A projection-type algorithm for pseudomonotone nonlipschitzian multivalued variational inequalities. *Nonconvex. Optim. Appl.* **2005**, *77*, 113–129.
21. Iusem, A.-N.; Lucambio Peerez, L.R. An extragradient-type algorithm for non-smooth variational inequalities. *Optimization* **2000**, *48*, 309–332. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Yao, Y.-H.; Postolache, M.; Liou, Y.-C.; Yao, Z.-S. Construction algorithms for a class of monotone variational inequalities. *Optim. Lett.* **2016**, *10*, 1519–1528. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. He, Y.-R. A new double projection algorithm for variational inequalities. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2006**, *185*, 166–173. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Xia, F.-Q.; Huang, N.-J. A projection-proximal point algorithm for solving generalized variational inequalities. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2011**, *150*, 98–117. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Qi, F.; Lim, D.; Guo, B.-N. Explicit formulas and identities for the Bell polynomials and a sequence of polynomials applied to differential equations. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **2018**, in press. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Qi, F.; Niu, D.-W.; Guo, B.-N. Some identities for a sequence of unnamed polynomials connected with the Bell polynomials. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math.* **2018**, in press. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Ye, M.-L.; He, Y.-R. A double projection method for solving variational inequalities without mononicity. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **2015**, *60*, 141–150. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Ishikawa, S. Fixed points by a new iteration method. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1974**, *44*, 147–150. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Yao, Y.-H.; Postolache, M.; Liou, Y.-C. Strong convergence of a self-adaptive method for the split feasibility problem. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, *2013*, 201. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Browder, F.-E.; Petryshyn, W.-V. Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1967**, *20*, 197–228. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Yao, Y.; Qin, X.; Yao, J.-C. Projection methods for firmly type nonexpansive operators. *J. Nonlinear Convex. Anal.* **2018**, *19*, 407–415.
32. Zhang, L.-J.; Chen, J.-M.; Hou, Z.-B. Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings and generalized variational inequalities. *Acta Math. Sin.* **2010**, *53*, 691–698.
33. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.-J. Porosity and convergence results for sequences of nonexpansive mappings on unbounded sets. *Appl. Anal. Optim.* **2017**, *1*, 441–460.
34. Shehu, Y. Iterative procedures for left Bregman strongly relatively nonexpansive mappings with application to equilibrium problems. *Fixed Point Theory* **2016**, *17*, 173–188.
35. Thakur, B.S.; Thakur, D.; Postolache, M. A new iterative scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of Suzuki’s generalized nonexpansive mappings. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2016**, *275*, 147–155. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Cho, S.-Y.; Qin, X.; Yao, J.-C.; Yao, Y. Viscosity approximation splitting methods for monotone and nonexpansive operators in Hilbert spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex. Anal.* **2018**, *19*, 251–264.
37. Chidume, C.-E.; Abbas, M.; Ali, B. Convergence of the Mann iteration algorithm for a class of pseudocontractive mappings. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2007**, *194*, 1–6. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Yao, Y.; Yao, J.-C.; Liou, Y.-C.; Postolache, M. Iterative algorithms for split common fixed points of demicontractive operators without priori knowledge of operator norms. *Carpathian J. Math.* **2018**, *34*, 459–466.
39. Yao, Y.; Liou, Y.-C.; Postolache, M. Self-adaptive algorithms for the split problem of the demicontractive operators. *Optimization* **2018**, *67*, 1309–1319. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Zhou, H. Strong convergence of an explicit iterative algorithm for continuous pseudo-contractions in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2009**, *70*, 4039–4046. [[CrossRef](#)]

41. Yao, Y.; Liou, Y.-C.; Yao, J.-C. Split common fixed point problem for two quasi-pseudocontractive operators and its algorithm construction. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2015**, *2015*, 127. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Xu, H.-K. Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2002**, *2*, 1–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Mainge, P.-E. Approximation methods for common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**, *325*, 469–479. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).