

Article



Oscillation of Solutions to Third-Order Nonlinear Neutral Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

Yang-Cong Qiu^{1,†}, Kuo-Shou Chiu^{2,†}, Said R. Grace^{3,†}, Qingmin Liu^{4,*,†} and Irena Jadlovská^{5,*,†}

- ¹ School of Humanities, Shunde Polytechnic, Foshan 528333, China; 10627@sdpt.edu.cn
- ² Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Metropolitana de Ciencias de la Educación, José Pedro Alessandri 774, Santiago 7760197, Chile; kschiu@umce.cl
- ³ Department of Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Orman, Giza 12221, Egypt; saidgrace@yahoo.com or srgrace@eng.cu.edu.eg
- ⁴ School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China
- ⁵ Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Kosice, Slovakia
- Correspondence: lqmin20201011@163.com or lqmin@mail.sdu.edu.cn (Q.L.); jadlovska@saske.sk (I.J.)
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation of solutions to a class of third-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales. New oscillation criteria are presented by employing the Riccati transformation and integral averaging technique. Two examples are shown to illustrate the conclusions.

Keywords: third-order; neutral dynamic equation; time scale; oscillation; nonlinear

MSC: 34C10; 34K11; 34N05; 39A13; 39A21



Citation: Qiu, Y.-C.; Chiu, K.-S.; Grace, S.R.; Liu, Q.; Jadlovská, I. Oscillation of Solutions to Third-Order Nonlinear Neutral Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. *Mathematics* 2022, *10*, 86. https:// doi.org/10.3390/math10010086

Academic Editor: Ioannis G. Stratis

Received: 27 October 2021 Accepted: 14 December 2021 Published: 27 December 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the oscillation of solutions to a class of third-order halflinear dynamic equations with a nonpositive neutral coefficient

$$\left(r_1(t)\left(\left(r_2(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_1}\right)^{\Delta} + f(t,x(h(t))) = 0$$
(1)

on a time scale \mathbb{T} , which satisfies $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$, where $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} = [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$ with $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ and z(t) = x(t) - p(t)x(g(t)). We assume that:

(A1) $r_1, r_2 \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0, \infty))$ and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r_1^{1/\gamma_1}(t)} = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta t}{r_2^{1/\gamma_2}(t)} = \infty;$$

- (A2) γ , γ_1 , γ_2 are quotients of odd positive integers, where $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2$;
- (A3) $p \in C_{rd}([t_0,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}},[0,\infty))$ and there exists a constant p_0 with $0 \le p_0 < 1$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = p_0$;
- (A4) $g, h \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T}), g(t) \leq t, h^{\sigma}(t) \leq t, h^{\Delta}(t) > 0, \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = \infty$, and there exists a sequence $\{c_k\}_{k>0}$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} c_k = \infty$ and $g(c_{k+1}) = c_k$;
- (A5) $f \in C([t_0,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a function $q \in C_{rd}([t_0,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0,\infty))$ such that $uf(t,u) \ge q(t)u^{\gamma+1}$;
- (A6) if $0 < \gamma < 1$, then it satisfies that $r_2(t) = 1$ for $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(t)h^{\gamma}(t)\Delta t = \infty.$$

In what follows, we state some background details that motivate the analysis of (1). In recent years, numerous significant results for the oscillation of functional differential equations have been shown in [1–6]. Therein, Džurina et al. [3] and Santra et al. [6] studied the oscillation of half-linear/Emden–Fowler delay differential equations with a sublinear neutral term, whereas the papers [1,4,5] were concerned with the asymptotics and oscillation of solutions to (1) and its modifications in the continuous case (i.e., $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$). Chiu and Li [2] considered the oscillatory behavior of a class of scalar advanced and delayed differential equations with piecewise constant generalized arguments, which extended the theory of functional differential equations with continuous arguments to differential equations with discontinuous arguments.

To unify continuous and discrete analysis (i.e., the theories of differential equations and difference equations), Hilger introduced the time scale theory in [7,8]. Instead of repeating here the basic facts of time scales and time scale notation, we refer the reader to the papers [9,10] and monographs [11,12] for more details on this theory. Recently, there has been much attention to the study of oscillation of various classes of dynamic equations on time scales; see, for instance, the papers [13–16] concerning the analyses of Fite–Hille–Wintner-type criteria, comparison theorems, and Kamenev-type criteria for the half-linear dynamic equation with deviating argument

$$\left(r(t)\left(x^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\Delta} + q(t)f(x(\tau(t))) = 0, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$$

respectively. In particular, Wu et al. [16] used the generalized Riccati substitution

$$\omega(t) = \Phi(t)r(t)\left(\left(\frac{x^{\Delta}(t)}{x(\tau(t))}\right)^{\gamma} + \phi(t)\right),\tag{2}$$

where $\Phi \in C^1_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_T, (0, \infty))$ and $\phi \in C^1_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_T, \mathbb{R})$, and obtained several oscillation criteria. In 2004, Mathsen et al. [17] presented some open problems for the study of qualitative properties of solutions to dynamic equations on time scales. Later on, numerous researchers analyzed the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions to different classes of third-order dynamic equations. Agarwal et al. [18,19], Erbe et al. [20], and Hassan [21] investigated a third-order half-linear delay dynamic equation

$$\left(a(t)\left[\left(r(t)x^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\Delta}\right]^{\gamma}\right)^{\Delta} + f(t,x(\tau(t))) = 0,$$

whereas Yu and Wang [22] studied the third-order half-linear dynamic equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_2(t)}\left(\left(\frac{1}{a_1(t)}\left(x^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\alpha_1}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\alpha_2}\right)^{\Delta} + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0$$

in the case when $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = 1$. Han et al. [23] investigated a third-order half-linear/Emden– Fowler neutral delay dynamic equation

$$\left(r(t)(x(t)-a(t)x(\tau(t)))^{\Delta\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}+p(t)x^{\gamma}(\delta(t))=0.$$

Qiu [24] considered (1) under the condition

$$h(t) \ge \begin{cases} \sigma(t), & 0 < \gamma < 1, \\ t, & \gamma \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

By employing the Riccati transformation

$$u(t) = A(t) \frac{r_1(t) \left(\left(r_2(t) \left(z^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\gamma_2} \right)^{\Delta} \right)^{\gamma_1}}{z^{\gamma}(t)} + B(t),$$
(3)

where $A \in C^1_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_T, (0, \infty))$ and $B \in C^1_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_T, \mathbb{R})$, the author established several oscillation criteria for (1). As a matter of fact, it is not difficult to see that the functions ϕ in (2) and *B* in (3) can be deleted, respectively.

Half-linear equations, as the classical nonlinear equations, arise in the analyses of *p*-Laplace equations, non-Newtonian fluid theory, porous medium problems, chemotaxis models, and so forth; see, for instance, the papers [13,14,25–27] for more details. On the basis of the above discussion, we will establish integral criteria and Kamenev-type criteria (see, e.g., [15]) for the oscillation of (1) by employing a similar Riccati transformation as (2). Finally, two examples are presented to show the significance of the conclusions.

2. Auxiliary Results

To establish oscillation criteria for (1), we give the following lemmas in this section; Lemmas 1–3 are also used in [24].

Lemma 1. Let (A1)–(A5) be satisfied. Suppose that x is an eventually positive solution to (1) and there exists a constant $a \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = a$. Then,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}x(t)=\frac{a}{1-p_0}.$$

Lemma 2. Let (A1)–(A5) be satisfied and assume that x is an eventually positive solution to (1). Then, there exists a sufficiently large $T \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that, for $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$\left(r_2(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta}>0,$$

and either $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$ or $z^{\Delta}(t) < 0$.

Lemma 3. Let (A1)–(A5) be satisfied and assume that x is an eventually positive solution to (1). Then, z is eventually positive or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

Lemma 4. For $0 < \gamma < 1$, assume that (A1)–(A6) hold. Suppose that x is an eventually positive solution to (1) and z, z^{Δ} are both eventually positive. Then, there exists a sufficiently large $T \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that, for any $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$\frac{1}{z(h^{\sigma}(t))} \ge \frac{h(t)}{h^{\sigma}(t)} \frac{1}{z(h(t))}.$$
(4)

Proof. For $0 < \gamma < 1$, suppose that x is an eventually positive solution to (1), and z, z^{Δ} are both eventually positive. Then, there exists a $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that z(t) > 0 and $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$, $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. In view of (A6) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\left(\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta} > 0, \quad t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Using the Pötzsche chain rule

$$\left(\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta} = \gamma_2 \int_0^1 [hz^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)) + (1-h)z^{\Delta}(t)]^{\gamma_2 - 1} dh \cdot z^{\Delta\Delta}(t),$$

we deduce $z^{\Delta\Delta}(t) > 0, t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. For $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, define

$$y(t) = z(t) - tz^{\Delta}(t).$$

It follows that $y^{\Delta}(t) = -\sigma(t)z^{\Delta\Delta}(t) < 0$. We can claim that y is eventually positive. Assume not; then there exists a sufficiently large $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that y(t) < 0 for $t \in [t_2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Therefore, we have

$$\left(\frac{z(t)}{t}\right)^{\Delta} = \frac{tz^{\Delta}(t) - z(t)}{t\sigma(t)} = -\frac{y(t)}{t\sigma(t)} > 0, \quad t \in [t_2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

It is clear that z(t)/t is strictly increasing on $[t_2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t) = \infty$, there exists a $t_3 \in [t_2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $h(t) \ge h(t_3) \ge t_2$ for $t \in [t_3, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{z(h(t))}{h(t)} \ge \frac{z(h(t_3))}{h(t_3)}.$$

By virtue of (A5), we have $f(t, x(h(t))) \ge q(t)x^{\gamma}(h(t)) \ge q(t)z^{\gamma}(h(t))$. According to (1), for $t \in [t_3, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we conclude that

$$\left(r_1(t)\left(\left(r_2(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_1}\right)^{\Delta} = -f(t,x(h(t))) \le -q(t)z^{\gamma}(h(t)).$$
(5)

Note that $r_2(t) = 1$. Integrating (5) from t_3 to $t, t \in [\sigma(t_3), \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we arrive at

$$r_{1}(t)\left(\left(\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_{1}} - r_{1}(t_{3})\left(\left(\left(z^{\Delta}(t_{3})\right)^{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_{1}}$$
$$\leq -\int_{t_{3}}^{t}q(s)z^{\gamma}(h(s))\Delta s \leq -\frac{z^{\gamma}(h(t_{3}))}{h^{\gamma}(t_{3})}\int_{t_{3}}^{t}q(s)h^{\gamma}(s)\Delta s$$

It means that

$$r_1(t_3)\left(\left(\left(z^{\Delta}(t_3)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_1} \ge \frac{z^{\gamma}(h(t_3))}{h^{\gamma}(t_3)} \int_{t_3}^t q(s)h^{\gamma}(s)\Delta s \to \infty$$

as $t \to \infty$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, *y* is eventually positive, and so there exists a sufficiently large $T \in [t_1, \infty)_T$ such that for any $t \in [T, \infty)_T$, we have

$$\left(\frac{z(t)}{t}\right)^{\Delta} = -\frac{y(t)}{t\sigma(t)} < 0,$$

which implies that z(t)/t is strictly decreasing on $[T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Since $h^{\Delta}(t) > 0, t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we deduce

$$\frac{z(h(t))}{h(t)} \ge \frac{z(h^{\sigma}(t))}{h^{\sigma}(t)},$$

which means that (4) holds. The proof is complete. \Box

3. Main Results

In this section, we establish oscillation criteria for (1) by the Riccati transformation and integral averaging technique.

Theorem 1. When $\gamma \ge 1$, assume that (A1)–(A5) hold. For any $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, if there exist a sufficiently large $T \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and a function $A \in C^1_{rd}([T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ A(t) \int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s + \int_T^t \left[A(s)q(s) - \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_2^*(s)}{\delta(h(s))} \right)^{\gamma_1} \frac{\left(A^\Delta(s) \right)^{1+\gamma}}{\left(A(s)h^\Delta(s) \right)^{\gamma}} \right] \Delta s \right\} = \infty, \quad (6)$$

where $r_2^*(t) = \max\{r_2(\xi) : \xi \in [h(t), h^{\sigma}(t))_{\mathbb{T}}\}$ and $\delta(t) = \int_{t_1}^t 1/r_1^{1/\gamma_1}(s)\Delta s$, then every solution x of (1) is oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exists.

Proof. Suppose that (1) is not oscillatory. Without loss of generality, assume that *x* is an eventually positive solution to (1). In view of Lemma 3, we deduce that *z* is eventually positive or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$. If $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, then the theorem is proved. When *z* is eventually positive, we know that z^{Δ} is eventually positive or eventually negative according to Lemma 2. If z^{Δ} is eventually negative, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)$ exists, and $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exists on the basis of Lemma 1. The theorem is also proved.

If z^{Δ} is eventually positive, then there exists a $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that for any $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have x(t) > 0, x(g(t)) > 0, x(h(t)) > 0, z(t) > 0, and $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$. Moreover, there exists a sufficiently large $T \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $h(t) \ge t_1$, $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Define

$$w(t) = A(t) \frac{r_1(t) \left(\left(r_2(t) \left(z^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\gamma_2} \right)^{\Delta} \right)^{\gamma_1}}{z^{\gamma}(h(t))}, \quad t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}},$$
(7)

where $A \in C^1_{rd}([T,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}},(0,\infty))$. In view of (1) and (A5), for $t \in [T,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ we have (5). Integrating (5), by Lemma 2 we obtain

$$r_1(t)\left(\left(r_2(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_1} \ge \int_t^{\infty} q(s)z^{\gamma}(h(s))\Delta s \ge z^{\gamma}(h(t))\int_t^{\infty} q(s)\Delta s,$$

which implies that

$$w(t) \ge A(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \Delta s > 0, \quad t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$
(8)

Then, Δ -differentiating (7) and using (1), we deduce

$$\begin{split} w^{\Delta}(t) &= \frac{A(t)}{z^{\gamma}(h(t))} \left(r_{1}(t) \left(\left(r_{2}(t) \left(z^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\gamma_{2}} \right)^{\Delta} \right)^{\gamma_{1}} \right)^{\Delta} \\ &+ \left(\frac{A(t)}{z^{\gamma}(h(t))} \right)^{\Delta} \left(r_{1}(t) \left(\left(r_{2}(t) \left(z^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\gamma_{2}} \right)^{\Delta} \right)^{\gamma_{1}} \right)^{\sigma} \\ &= -\frac{A(t)}{z^{\gamma}(h(t))} \cdot f(t, x(h(t))) \\ &+ \frac{A^{\Delta}(t) z^{\gamma}(h(t)) - A(t) (z^{\gamma}(h(t)))^{\Delta}}{z^{\gamma}(h(t)) z^{\gamma}(h^{\sigma}(t))} \left(r_{1}(t) \left(\left(r_{2}(t) \left(z^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\gamma_{2}} \right)^{\Delta} \right)^{\gamma_{1}} \right)^{\sigma} \\ &\leq -A(t) q(t) + A^{\Delta}(t) \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)} \right)^{\sigma} - A(t) \frac{(z^{\gamma}(h(t)))^{\Delta}}{z^{\gamma}(h(t))} \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)} \right)^{\sigma}. \end{split}$$
(9)

Since $\gamma \geq 1$, we have

$$(z^{\gamma}(h(t)))^{\Delta} \ge \gamma z^{\gamma-1}(h(t))(z(h(t)))^{\Delta},$$

which yields

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \leq -A(t)q(t) + A^{\Delta}(t) \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma} - \gamma A(t) \frac{(z(h(t)))^{\Delta}}{z(h(t))} \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac$$

If $\sigma(t) = t$, then $(z(h(t)))^{\Delta} = z'(h(t))h'(t)$. If $\sigma(t) > t$, then by Mean Value Theorem (see [12]), there exists a $\xi \in [h(t), h^{\sigma}(t))_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that

$$(z(h(t)))^{\Delta} = \frac{z(h^{\sigma}(t)) - z(h(t))}{\sigma(t) - t} = \frac{z(h^{\sigma}(t)) - z(h(t))}{h^{\sigma}(t) - h(t)} \cdot h^{\Delta}(t) \ge z^{\Delta}(\xi)h^{\Delta}(t).$$

Therefore, for $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we obtain

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \le -A(t)q(t) + A^{\Delta}(t) \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma} - \gamma A(t)h^{\Delta}(t) \frac{z^{\Delta}(\xi)}{z(h(t))} \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma}, \tag{10}$$

where $\xi \in [h(t), h^{\sigma}(t))_{\mathbb{T}}$. From (A5), we have

$$\left(r_1(t)\left(\left(r_2(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_1}\right)^{\Delta} = -f(t,x(h(t))) < 0, \quad t \in [t_1,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}},$$

which means that $r_1(t)((r_2(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma_2})^{\Delta})^{\gamma_1}$ is strictly decreasing on $[t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. For $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2}(t) \left(z^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\gamma_{2}} &= r_{2}(t_{1}) \left(z^{\Delta}(t_{1}) \right)^{\gamma_{2}} + \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{r_{1}^{1/\gamma_{1}}(s) \left(r_{2}(s) \left(z^{\Delta}(s) \right)^{\gamma_{2}} \right)^{\Delta}}{r_{1}^{1/\gamma_{1}}(s)} \Delta s \\ &\geq r_{1}^{1/\gamma_{1}}(t) \left(r_{2}(t) \left(z^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\gamma_{2}} \right)^{\Delta} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{\Delta s}{r_{1}^{1/\gamma_{1}}(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi \in [h(t), h^{\sigma}(t))_{\mathbb{T}}$, $h(t) \ge t_1$, and $h^{\sigma}(t) \le t$ for $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, it follows that

$$r_{2}(\xi)\left(z^{\Delta}(\xi)\right)^{\gamma_{2}} \geq r_{1}^{1/\gamma_{1}}(\xi)\left(r_{2}(\xi)\left(z^{\Delta}(\xi)\right)^{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{\Delta}\int_{t_{1}}^{h(t)}\frac{\Delta s}{r_{1}^{1/\gamma_{1}}(s)}$$
$$\geq r_{1}^{1/\gamma_{1}}(t)\left(r_{2}(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{\Delta}\delta(h(t)).$$

Then, we have

$$\left(z^{\Delta}(\xi)\right)^{\gamma} \geq \frac{r_1(t)\left(\left(r_2(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma_2}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_1}}{\left(r_2^*(t)\right)^{\gamma_1}}\delta^{\gamma_1}(h(t)),$$

and so

$$\frac{z^{\Delta}(\xi)}{z(h(t))} \ge \left(\frac{\delta(h(t))}{r_2^*(t)}\right)^{1/\gamma_2} \left[\left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma}\right]^{1/\gamma}.$$
(11)

In view of (10) and (11), for $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ we deduce that

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \le -A(t)q(t) + A^{\Delta}(t) \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma} - \gamma A(t)h^{\Delta}(t) \left(\frac{\delta(h(t))}{r_2^*(t)}\right)^{1/\gamma_2} \left[\left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma}\right]^{1+1/\gamma}.$$
 (12)

For (12), applying the inequality $\lambda ab^{\lambda-1} - a^{\lambda} \leq (\lambda - 1)b^{\lambda}$, with $\lambda = 1 + 1/\gamma$,

$$a^{\lambda} = \gamma A(t) h^{\Delta}(t) \left(\frac{\delta(h(t))}{r_2^*(t)}\right)^{1/\gamma_2} \left[\left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma} \right]^{1+1/\gamma},$$

and

$$b^{\lambda-1} = \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} \left(\frac{r_2^*(t)}{\delta(h(t))}\right)^{\gamma_1/(1+\gamma)} \frac{A^{\Delta}(t)}{(\gamma A(t)h^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma/(1+\gamma)}},$$

we conclude that

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \le -A(t)q(t) + \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{*}(t)}{\delta(h(t))}\right)^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\left(A^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{1+\gamma}}{\left(A(t)h^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\gamma}}.$$
(13)

Letting *t* be replaced by *s*, and integrating (13) with respect to *s* from *T* to $t \in [\sigma(T), \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we obtain

$$w(t) \le w(T) - \int_{T}^{t} \left[A(s)q(s) - \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{*}(s)}{\delta(h(s))} \right)^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\left(A^{\Delta}(s) \right)^{1+\gamma}}{\left(A(s)h^{\Delta}(s) \right)^{\gamma}} \right] \Delta s.$$

By (8), we arrive at

$$A(t)\int_t^{\infty} q(s)\Delta s + \int_T^t \left[A(s)q(s) - \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_2^*(s)}{\delta(h(s))}\right)^{\gamma_1} \frac{\left(A^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{1+\gamma}}{\left(A(s)h^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{\gamma}}\right] \Delta s \le w(T),$$

which is a contradiction to (6). This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 2. When $0 < \gamma < 1$, assume that (A1)–(A6) hold. For any $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, if there exist a sufficiently large $T \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and a function $A \in C^1_{rd}([T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \left\{ A(t) \int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s + \int_T^t \left[A(s)q(s) - \frac{\left(A^\Delta(s)\right)^{1+\gamma} \left(h^\sigma(s)\right)^\gamma}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma} \delta^{\gamma_1} \left(h(s)\right) \left(A(s)h(s)h^\Delta(s)\right)^\gamma} \right] \Delta s \right\} = \infty, \quad (14)$$

where δ is defined as in Theorem 1, then every solution x of (1) is oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exists.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, for $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ we obtain (9). Since $0 < \gamma < 1$, in view of Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{split} (z^{\gamma}(h(t)))^{\Delta} &\geq \gamma z^{\gamma-1}(h^{\sigma}(t))(z(h(t)))^{\Delta} \\ &= \gamma \frac{z^{\gamma}(h^{\sigma}(t))}{z(h^{\sigma}(t))}(z(h(t)))^{\Delta} \\ &\geq \gamma \frac{h(t)}{h^{\sigma}(t)} \frac{z^{\gamma}(h^{\sigma}(t))}{z(h(t))}(z(h(t)))^{\Delta} \\ &\geq \gamma \frac{h(t)}{h^{\sigma}(t)} z^{\gamma-1}(h(t))(z(h(t)))^{\Delta}, \end{split}$$

which means that

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \leq -A(t)q(t) + A^{\Delta}(t) \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma} - \gamma A(t) \frac{h(t)}{h^{\sigma}(t)} \frac{(z(h(t)))^{\Delta}}{z(h(t))} \left(\frac{w(t)}{A(t)}\right)^{\sigma}.$$

The remainder of the proof is similar to that in Theorem 1 and so we omit it here. The proof is complete. $\ \Box$

Remark 1. The term $A(t) \int_t^{\infty} q(s)\Delta s$ can be deleted in (6) of Theorem 1 and (14) of Theorem 2, respectively. However, it is not difficult to see that conditions (6) and (14) are weaker than those without $A(t) \int_t^{\infty} q(s)\Delta s$ and easier to be satisfied.

Next, we give a definition as follows. Let $D = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : t \ge s \ge t_0\}$. Define

$$\mathscr{H} = \{ H \in \mathsf{C}^1(D, [0, \infty)) : H(t, t) = 0, H(t, s) > 0, H_2^{\Delta}(t, s) \le 0, t > s \ge t_0 \},\$$

where H_2^{Δ} is the partial derivative of *H* with respect to *s*. Then, the following results are obtained.

Theorem 3. When $\gamma \geq 1$, assume that (A1)–(A5) hold. For any $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, if there exist a sufficiently large $T \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and two functions $H \in \mathscr{H}$ and $A \in C^1_{rd}([T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \left[H(t,s)A(s)q(s) - \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{*}(s)}{\delta(h(s))} \right)^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\left(H_{2}^{\Delta}(t,s)A^{\sigma}(s) + H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{1+\gamma}}{\left(H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{\gamma}} \right] \Delta s = \infty, \quad (15)$$

where r_2^* and δ are defined as in Theorem 1, then every solution x of (1) is oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exists.

Proof. Suppose that (1) is not oscillatory. Similarly, assume that *x* is an eventually positive solution to (1). It is clear that *z* is eventually positive or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ by Lemma 3. If $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$, then the theorem is proved. If *z* is eventually positive, then in view of Lemma 2, we obtain that z^{Δ} is eventually positive or eventually negative. If z^{Δ} is eventually negative, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exist by virtue of Lemma 1, which also completes the proof of the theorem.

If $z^{\overline{\Delta}}$ is eventually positive, then there exists a $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that for any $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have x(t) > 0, x(g(t)) > 0, x(h(t)) > 0, z(t) > 0, $z^{\overline{\Delta}}(t) > 0$, and there exists a sufficiently large $T \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $h(t) \ge t_1$, $t \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Define *w* as (7). Then, by the proof of Theorem 1, we arrive at (12).

Replace *t* by *s* in (12), and multiply it by H(t, s). Integrating the resulting inequality from *T* to *t*, $t \in [\sigma(T), \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{T}^{t} H(t,s)A(s)q(s)\Delta s &\leq -\int_{T}^{t} H(t,s)w^{\Delta}(s)\Delta s + \int_{T}^{t} H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\left(\frac{w(s)}{A(s)}\right)^{\sigma}\Delta s \\ &\quad -\int_{T}^{t} \gamma H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s)\left(\frac{\delta(h(s))}{r_{2}^{*}(s)}\right)^{1/\gamma_{2}} \left[\left(\frac{w(s)}{A(s)}\right)^{\sigma}\right]^{1+1/\gamma}\Delta s \\ &= H(t,T)w(T) + \int_{T}^{t} H_{2}^{\Delta}(t,s)w^{\sigma}(s)\Delta s + \int_{T}^{t} H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\left(\frac{w(s)}{A(s)}\right)^{\sigma}\Delta s \\ &\quad -\int_{T}^{t} \gamma H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s)\left(\frac{\delta(h(s))}{r_{2}^{*}(s)}\right)^{1/\gamma_{2}} \left[\left(\frac{w(s)}{A(s)}\right)^{\sigma}\right]^{1+1/\gamma}\Delta s \\ &= H(t,T)w(T) + \int_{T}^{t} \left\{\left(H_{2}^{\Delta}(t,s)A^{\sigma}(s) + H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\right)\left(\frac{w(s)}{A(s)}\right)^{\sigma} - \gamma H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s)\left(\frac{\delta(h(s))}{r_{2}^{*}(s)}\right)^{1/\gamma_{2}} \left[\left(\frac{w(s)}{A(s)}\right)^{\sigma}\right]^{1+1/\gamma}\right\}\Delta s. \end{split}$$

Using the inequality $\lambda ab^{\lambda-1} - a^{\lambda} \leq (\lambda - 1)b^{\lambda}$, with $\lambda = 1 + 1/\gamma$,

$$a^{\lambda} = \gamma H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s) \left(\frac{\delta(h(s))}{r_2^*(s)}\right)^{1/\gamma_2} \left[\left(\frac{w(s)}{A(s)}\right)^{\sigma}\right]^{1+1/\gamma_2}$$

and

$$b^{\lambda-1} = \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} \left(\frac{r_2^*(s)}{\delta(h(s))}\right)^{\gamma_1/(1+\gamma)} \frac{H_2^{\Delta}(t,s)A^{\sigma}(s) + H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)}{(\gamma H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma/(1+\gamma)}}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \int_{T}^{t} H(t,s)A(s)q(s)\Delta s &\leq H(t,T)w(T) \\ &+ \int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{*}(s)}{\delta(h(s))}\right)^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\left(H_{2}^{\Delta}(t,s)A^{\sigma}(s) + H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{1+\gamma}}{(H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma}} \Delta s, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \left[H(t,s)A(s)q(s) - \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{*}(s)}{\delta(h(s))}\right)^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\left(H_{2}^{\Delta}(t,s)A^{\sigma}(s) + H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{1+\gamma}}{(H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma}} \right] \Delta s \leq w(T) < \infty. \end{split}$$

This result contradicts (15). The proof is complete. \Box

By virtue of the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, it is not difficult to obtain the following theorem, and so we omit the proof here.

Theorem 4. When $0 < \gamma < 1$, assume that (A1)–(A6) hold. For any $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, if there exist a sufficiently large $T \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and two functions $H \in \mathscr{H}$ and $A \in C^1_{rd}([T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \bigg[H(t,s)A(s)q(s) \\ &- \frac{\left(H_{2}^{\Delta}(t,s)A^{\sigma}(s) + H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{1+\gamma}(h^{\sigma}(s))^{\gamma}}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}\delta^{\gamma_{1}}(h(s))(H(t,s)A(s)h(s)h^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma}} \bigg] \Delta s = \infty, \end{split}$$

where δ is defined as in Theorem 1, then every solution x of (1) is oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exists.

4. Examples and Discussion

Two examples are presented to show the applications of our results. The first example is given to demonstrate Theorems 1 and 2.

Example 1. Let $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [2n-1, 2n]$. For $t \in [5, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, consider

$$\left(t^{2}\left(\left(r_{2}(t)\left(\left(x(t)-\frac{t-1}{2t}x(t-4)\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{1/5}\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\Delta}+\frac{x^{\gamma_{1}/5}(t-2)}{t^{3/2}}=0.$$
 (16)

Here, $r_1(t) = t^2$, $\gamma_2 = 1/5$, p(t) = (t-1)/(2t), g(t) = t-4, h(t) = t-2, and $f(t,x) = x^{\gamma_1/5}/t^{3/2}$. In view of (A5), we can take $q(t) = 1/t^{3/2}$.

Case 1: $r_2(t) = 1/t$ and $\gamma_1 = 7$, which means that $\gamma = 7/5 > 1$. It is not difficult to see that the coefficients of (16) satisfy (A1)–(A5). Moreover, we have

$$r_2^*(t) = \frac{1}{t-2}, \quad \delta(h(t)) = \int_{t_1}^{t-2} \frac{\Delta s}{s^{2/7}} = O(t^{5/7}).$$

Taking A(t) = t, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ A(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \Delta s + \int_{T}^{t} \left[A(s)q(s) - \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{*}(s)}{\delta(h(s))} \right)^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\left(A^{\Delta}(s) \right)^{1+\gamma}}{\left(A(s)h^{\Delta}(s) \right)^{\gamma}} \right] \Delta s \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ t \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \Delta s + \int_{T}^{t} \left[\frac{1}{s^{1/2}} - \left(\frac{5}{8} \right)^{8/5} \left(\frac{1/(s-2)}{O(s^{5/7})} \right)^{7} \frac{1}{s^{7/5}} \right] \Delta s \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ O(t^{1/2}) + \int_{T}^{t} \left[O(s^{-1/2}) - O(s^{-67/5}) \right] \Delta s \right\} = \infty. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 1, we conclude that every solution x of (16) is oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exists.

Case 2: $r_2(t) = 1$ and $\gamma_1 = 3$, which means that $0 < \gamma = 3/5 < 1$. It is not difficult to see that the coefficients of (16) satisfy (A1)–(A6). Furthermore, we have

$$\delta(h(t)) = \int_{t_1}^{t-2} \frac{\Delta s}{s^{2/3}} = O(t^{1/3}).$$

Letting A(t) = t*, it follows that*

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ A(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \Delta s + \int_{T}^{t} \left[A(s)q(s) - \frac{(A^{\Delta}(s))^{1+\gamma}(h^{\sigma}(s))^{\gamma}}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}\delta^{\gamma_{1}}(h(s))(A(s)h(s)h^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma}} \right] \Delta s \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ t \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \Delta s + \int_{T}^{t} \left[\frac{1}{s^{1/2}} - \frac{O(s^{3/5})}{(8/5)^{8/5} \cdot O(s) \cdot (s(s-2))^{3/5}} \right] \Delta s \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ O(t^{1/2}) + \int_{T}^{t} \left[O(s^{-1/2}) - O(s^{-8/5}) \right] \Delta s \right\} = \infty. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we conclude that every solution x of (16) *is oscillatory or* $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ *exists via Theorem* 2.

Now, we give the second example to demonstrate Theorem 3.

Example 2. Let $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [2 \cdot 3^n, 3^{n+1}]$. For $t \in [6, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, consider

$$\left(\frac{1}{t}\left(\left(t^3\left(\left(x(t)-\frac{1}{t^2}x\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)\right)^{\Delta}\right)^5\right)^{\Delta}\right)^{3/5}\right)^{\Delta}+t^{\lambda}x^3\left(\frac{t}{9}\right)=0.$$
(17)

.

Here, $r_1(t) = 1/t$, $r_2(t) = t^3$, $p(t) = 1/t^2$, g(t) = t/3, h(t) = t/9, $\gamma_1 = 3/5$, $\gamma_2 = 5$, $\gamma = 3 > 1$, and $f(t, x) = t^{\lambda}x^3$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. In view of (A5), we can take $q(t) = t^{\lambda}$. It is obvious that the coefficients of (17) satisfy (A1)–(A5). Moreover, we have

$$r_2^*(t) = \left(\frac{t}{9}\right)^3, \quad \delta(h(t)) = \int_{t_1}^{t/9} \frac{\Delta s}{(1/s)^{5/3}} = O(t^{8/3})$$

Taking $H(t,s) = (t-s)^2$ and A(t) = 1, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \left[H(t,s)A(s)q(s) - \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{1+\gamma}} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{*}(s)}{\delta(h(s))} \right)^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\left(H_{2}^{\Delta}(t,s)A^{\sigma}(s) + H(t,s)A^{\Delta}(s)\right)^{1+\gamma}}{(H(t,s)A(s)h^{\Delta}(s))^{\gamma}} \right] \Delta s \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(t-T)^{2}} \int_{T}^{t} \left[(t-s)^{2}s^{\lambda} - \frac{1}{256} \left(\frac{(s/9)^{3}}{O(s^{8/3})} \right)^{3/5} \frac{O(s^{4})}{O(s^{6})} \right] \Delta s \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(t-T)^{2}} \int_{T}^{t} \left[O(s^{2+\lambda}) - O(s^{-9/5}) \right] \Delta s. \end{split}$$

When $\lambda > -1$, it follows that (15) holds. Then, by virtue of Theorem 3, we deduce that every solution x of (17) is oscillatory or $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t)$ exists.

Remark 2. Due to the fact that the derivative z^{Δ} does not fixed, it is difficult to establish criteria which ensure oscillation of all solutions of (1). It is interesting to suggest a different method to study (1) for future research. It would be of interest to investigate (1) with a damping term or a nonlinear neutral term; see, for instance, the papers [3,15] for more details, respectively.

Author Contributions: Supervision, Q.L. and I.J.; Writing—original draft, Y.-C.Q.; Writing—review editing, K.-S.C. and S.R.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research of the first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (Grant Nos. 11671406 and 12071491), Characteristic Innovation Project of Colleges in Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2020KTSCX367), and Special Project in Key Fields of Colleges in Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2021ZDZX4114). The research of the second author was supported by PGI 03-2020 DIUMCE.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing not applicable to this paper as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgments: The authors express their sincere gratitude to the editors and three anonymous referees for the careful reading of the original manuscript and useful comments that helped to improve the presentation of the results and accentuate important details.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Chatzarakis, G.E.; Grace, S.R.; Jadlovská, I.; Li, T.X.; Tunç, E. Oscillation criteria for third-order Emden-Fowler differential equations with unbounded neutral coefficients. *Complexity* **2019**, 2019, 5691758. [CrossRef]
- Chiu, K.-S.; Li, T.X. Oscillatory and periodic solutions of differential equations with piecewise constant generalized mixed arguments. *Math. Nachrichten* 2019, 292, 2153–2164. [CrossRef]
- 3. Džurina, J.; Grace, S.R.; Jadlovská, I.; Li, T.X. Oscillation criteria for second-order Emden-Fowler delay differential equations with a sublinear neutral term. *Math. Nachrichten* 2020, 293, 910–922. [CrossRef]
- 4. Li, T.X.; Rogovchenko, Yu. On asymptotic behavior of solutions to higher-order sublinear Emden-Fowler delay differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2017**, *67*, 53–59. [CrossRef]
- 5. Li, T.X.; Rogovchenko, Yu. On the asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of third-order nonlinear neutral differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2020**, *105*, 106293. [CrossRef]
- 6. Santra, S.S.; Bazighifan, O.; Postolache, M. New conditions for the oscillation of second-order differential equations with sublinear neutral terms. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1159. [CrossRef]
- Hilger, S. Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten. Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany, 1988.
- 8. Hilger, S. Analysis on measure chains–A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. *Results Math.* **1990**, *18*, 18–56. [CrossRef]
- 9. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M. Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications. Results Math. 1999, 35, 3–22. [CrossRef]

- Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; O'Regan, D.; Peterson, A. Dynamic equations on time scales: A survey. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 2002, 141, 1–26. [CrossRef]
- 11. Bohner, M.; Peterson, A. Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications; Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, USA, 2001.
- 12. Bohner, M.; Peterson, A. Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales; Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, USA, 2003.
- 13. Bohner, M.; Hassan, T.S.; Li, T.X. Fite-Hille-Wintner-type oscillation criteria for second-order half-linear dynamic equations with deviating arguments. *Indag. Math.* 2018, 29, 548–560. [CrossRef]
- 14. Bohner, M.; Li, T.X. Oscillation of second-order *p*-Laplace dynamic equations with a nonpositive neutral coefficient. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2014**, *37*, 72–76. [CrossRef]
- 15. Bohner, M.; Li, T.X. Kamenev-type criteria for nonlinear damped dynamic equations. *Sci. China Math.* **2015**, *58*, 1445–1452. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wu, H.W.; Erbe, L.; Peterson, A. Oscillation of solution to second-order half-linear delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 2016, 71.
- Mathsen, R.M.; Wang, Q.-R.; Wu, H.-W. Oscillation for neutral dynamic functional equations on time scales. J. Differ. Equ. Appl. 2004, 10, 651–659. [CrossRef]
- Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Li, T.X.; Zhang, C.H. A Philos-type theorem for third-order nonlinear retarded dynamic equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2014, 249, 527–531. [CrossRef]
- 19. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Tang, S.H.; Li, T.X.; Zhang, C.H. Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of third-order nonlinear retarded dynamic equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2012**, *219*, 3600–3609. [CrossRef]
- Erbe, L.; Hassan, T.S.; Peterson, A. Oscillation of third order nonlinear functional dynamic equations on time scales. *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* 2010, 18, 199–227. [CrossRef]
- 21. Hassan, T.S. Oscillation of third order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Math. Comput. Model.* 2009, 49, 1573–1586. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Z.-H.; Wang, Q.-R. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of third-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 2009, 225, 531–540. [CrossRef]
- Han, Z.L.; Li, T.X.; Sun, S.R.; Zhang, C.H. Oscillation behavior of third-order neutral Emden-Fowler delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2010, 2010, 586312. [CrossRef]
- 24. Qiu, Y.-C. Oscillation criteria of third-order nonlinear dynamic equations with nonpositive neutral coefficients on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 2015, 299. [CrossRef]
- 25. Frassu, S.; van der Mee, C.; Viglialoro, G. Boundedness in a nonlinear attraction-repulsion Keller-Segel system with production and consumption. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2021**, *504*, 125428. [CrossRef]
- 26. Li, T.X.; Pintus, N.; Viglialoro, G. Properties of solutions to porous medium problems with different sources and boundary conditions. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **2019**, *70*, 86.
- Li, T.X.; Viglialoro, G. Boundedness for a nonlocal reaction chemotaxis model even in the attraction-dominated regime. *Differ. Integral Equ.* 2021, 34, 315–336.