




Article

# On a Lyapunov-Type Inequality for Control of a $\psi$ -Model Thermostat and the Existence of Its Solutions

Shahram Rezapour <sup>1,2</sup>, Sina Etemad <sup>1</sup>, Ravi P. Agarwal <sup>3</sup> and Kamsing Nonlaopon <sup>4,\*</sup><sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz 3751-71379, Iran<sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Kingsville, TX 78363, USA<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

\* Correspondence: nkamsi@kku.ac.th

**Abstract:** In this paper, a new structure of an applied model of thermostat is defined using the generalized  $\psi$ -operators with three-point boundary conditions. Some useful properties of the relevant Green's function are established, and based on these properties, the Lyapunov-type inequality is constructed for the given extended  $\psi$ -model thermostat with the help of Jensen's inequality. By defining mild solutions for such an extended system, the existence and non-existence conditions are discussed.

**Keywords:** boundary value problem; Lyapunov inequality; generalized fractional operator; thermostat model; non-existence

**MSC:** 34A08; 34A40; 26D10



**Citation:** Rezapour, S.; Etemad, S.; Agarwal, R.P.; Nonlaopon, K. On a Lyapunov-Type Inequality for Control of a  $\psi$ -Model Thermostat and the Existence of Its Solutions. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 4023. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math10214023>

Academic Editors: Jüri Majak and Andrus Salupere

Received: 18 September 2022

Accepted: 27 October 2022

Published: 30 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Inequalities in their various forms play a vital role in mathematics. In particular, their effective operation can be seen in ordinary and partial differential equations (ODEs and PDEs) that lead to various standard formulas in different applications. In this direction, in recent years, mathematicians have introduced many important inequalities by considering various assumptions on the given functions and using operators with singular and non-singular kernels. One of the most famous of these inequalities is the Lyapunov inequality. To investigate the spectral properties of ODEs, the inequality of the Lyapunov type is a helpful tool [1–3]. Moreover, eigenvalue problems, disconjugacy, and oscillation theory are other fields in which this type of inequality is useful [4].

As a starting point in this area, Lyapunov [5] formulated the Lyapunov inequality for a second-order boundary value problem (BVP) for the first time. In fact, by assuming the existence of a non-trivial solution for the following linear BVP,

$$\begin{cases} v''(s) + \psi(s)v(s) = 0, & s \in (m, n), \\ v(m) = v(n) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Lyapunov derived an inequality as

$$\int_m^n |\psi(q)| dq > \frac{4}{n-m}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that  $\psi$  is a continuous function on  $[m, n]$  with real values. After that, some researchers such as Yang et al. [6] and Agarwal et al. [7] extended this inequality to higher-order systems. The investigation of Lyapunov inequalities was initiated in the context of standard

integer-order ODEs, and then other generalized versions of it were introduced by defining fractional operators. One can consider the first conducted research on the fractional type of the Lyapunov inequality in a paper from Ferreira [8]. In fact, Ferreira extended the linear BVP (1) to a fractional BVP with the Riemann–Liouville derivative given by

$$\begin{cases} D_m^p v(s) + \psi(s)v(s) = 0, & s \in (m, n), \\ v(m) = v(n) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

with  $1 < p \leq 2$  and established the following inequality:

$$\int_m^n |\psi(q)|dq > \Gamma(p) \left[ \frac{4}{n-m} \right]^{p-1}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1}e^{-t} dt$  is the Gamma function.

One year later, Ferreira [9], in another research, conducted a similar analysis with the Caputo fractional derivative and obtained the following inequality:

$$\int_m^n |\psi(q)|dq > \frac{p^p \Gamma(p)}{(p-1)^{p-1} (n-m)^{p-1}}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Due to the importance of such inequalities in different applied areas, various versions of Lyapunov-type inequalities have been obtained by some other researchers. For instance, Jleli et al. [10] studied the corresponding inequality with the help of  $q$ -difference operators. Additionally, Ma and Han [11] implemented a similar study with  $q$ -operators on the Schrodinger equation with Woods–Saxon potential. In 2018, Pathak [12] generalized Lyapunov-type inequality when the derivative of the given BVP is of the Hilfer type. For more details, see [13–16].

By developing practical concepts in the theory of fractional calculus, mathematicians became eager to design various mathematical models with the help of various mathematical tools such as mathematical operators with singular or non-singular kernels. The power of simulation and analysis of fractional and fractal-fractional operators compared to classical operators has caused us to see the publication of various articles in the field of modeling phenomena every day. For instances about the analytical and numerical studies, the readers can find new advanced models via fractional and fractal-fractional operators, such as [17–29].

In 2006, a second-order model of thermostat was formulated by Infante and Webb [30], which is insulated at  $s = 0$  under the controller at  $s = 1$ , and it has the following formulation:

$$\begin{cases} -v''(s) = \varphi(s, v(s)), & (s \in \mathbb{I} := [0, 1]), \\ v'(0) = 0, \quad v(p) + \mu v'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

with the real constant  $p \in \mathbb{I}$  and parameter  $\mu > 0$ , and continuous nonlinear function  $\varphi : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By the structure of such a second-order model, the addition or discharging of heat under the performance of a thermostat depends on the temperature assessed by the sensor at  $s = p$ . From the mathematical point of view, Infante and his colleague continued their study on the existence results using fixed-point index theory in the context of integral Hammerstein equations. Further, Nieto and his colleague Pimentel [31] discussed and turned to analysis on the properties of existence for solutions of the fractional version of BVP (3) by substituting fractional derivatives of order  $q$  instead of classical derivative, in which  $q \in (1, 2]$  stands for the order of the Caputo fractional derivative. Some years later, Cabrera, Rocha and Sadarangani [32] presented some new structures of Lyapunov-type inequalities in relation to the aforementioned fractional thermostat BVP under nonlocal boundary conditions.

In this paper, we focus on this target in which a Lyapunov-type inequality is obtained for a generalized fractional model of thermostat control involving generalized  $\psi$ -operators given by

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D^{\psi;q} v(s) = K(s, v(s)), & (s \in \mathbb{I} := [a_1, a_2], a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}), \\ {}^c D^{\psi;1} v(a_1) = 0, \quad v(p) + \mu {}^c D^{\psi;q-1} v(a_2) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

with some hypotheses such as  $q \in (1, 2]$ ,  $p \in (a_1, a_2)$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , and  ${}^c D^{\psi;1} = \frac{1}{\psi'(s)} \frac{d}{ds}$ , which is the same generalized  $\psi$ -Caputo derivative of order one. Along with these, the given function  $K : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and  ${}^c D^{\psi;\gamma}$  denotes the generalized  $\psi$ -Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\gamma \in \{1, q, q - 1\}$ . It is natural that by assuming  $\psi(s) = s$  and  $q = 2$  and  $[a_1, a_2] = [0, 1]$ , the fractional  $\psi$ -model of thermostat control (4) reduces to the standard second-order model (3) of thermostat control.

In the present study, we concentrate on the establishment of the Lyapunov-type inequality for a new extended  $\psi$ -model of thermostat with generalized  $\psi$ -operators. As far as we know, the Lyapunov-type inequality for this version of thermostat  $\psi$ -model has seldom been studied up to now. Additionally, some required conditions guaranteeing the existence and non-existence of solutions are investigated in the sequel via some established properties of the relevant Green’s function. The insights of the present manuscript can be specified as follows. First, we provide several properties of fractional  $\psi$ -integrals and derivatives (Section 2). Then, we obtain the Green’s function and investigate some important properties of it (Section 3). By considering the concavity and increasing properties of some functions, the Lyapunov-type inequality is constructed for the  $\psi$ -thermostat model (4) (Section 4). After that, non-existence and existence theorems are stated for our applied  $\psi$ -model of thermostat (Section 5). Finally, the conclusion section is provided (Section 6).

### 2. Basic Notions

In this section, we state and recall some basic and fundamental notations and definitions, which will be used later. Let  $[a_1, a_2]$  ( $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \infty$ ) be an interval and  $\psi : [a_1, a_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that  $\psi'(s) > 0$  for every  $s \in [a_1, a_2]$ . Now, by these assumptions, we provide some properties from  $\psi$ -fractional calculus.

**Definition 1** ([33]). Let  $q > 0$ . The  $\psi$ -RL-fractional integral (Riemann–Liouville) of order  $q$  for an integrable function  $v : [a_1, a_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with respect to the function  $\psi : [a_1, a_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} v(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{a_1}^s \psi'(r) (\psi(s) - \psi(r))^{q-1} v(r) dr, \tag{5}$$

with  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  as the Gamma function given by

$$\Gamma(q) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-r} r^{q-1} dr, \quad q > 0.$$

**Definition 2** ([34]). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi, v \in C^n([a_1, a_2], \mathbb{R})$ , where  $\psi$  is introduced above. The  $\psi$ -Caputo fractional derivative of order  $q$  for the function  $v$  is defined by

$${}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} v(s) = I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;n-q} \left( \frac{1}{\psi'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n v(s),$$

with  $n = [q] + 1$  for  $q \notin \mathbb{N}$  and  $n = q$  for  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $[q]$  denotes the largest integer less than or equal to  $q$ .

To simplify in writing, the abbreviated symbol

$$v_{\psi}^{[n]}(s) = \left( \frac{1}{\psi'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n v(s), \tag{6}$$

can be used. By definition,

$${}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} v(s) = \begin{cases} \int_{a_1}^s \frac{\psi'(r)(\psi(s) - \psi(r))^{n-q-1}}{\Gamma(n-q)} v_{\psi}^{[n]}(r) dr & , \quad q \notin \mathbb{N}, \\ v_{\psi}^{[n]}(s) & , \quad q = n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

This extension (7) gives the Caputo fractional derivative if  $\psi(s) = s$ . For  $\psi(s) = \ln s$ , the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative is obtained.

Next, we provide a property in relation to the composition of the generalzied fractional  $\psi$ -derivatives with  $\psi$ -integrals.

**Lemma 1 ([35]).** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n - 1 < q < n$ , and  $v \in C^n([a_1, a_2], \mathbb{R})$ . Then, the following relation holds:*

$$I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} {}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} v(s) = v(s) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{v_{\psi}^{[j]}(a_1)}{j!} [\psi(s) - \psi(a_1)]^j,$$

for all  $s \in [a_1, a_2]$ . Furthermore, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $v \in C^{n+m}([a_1, a_2], \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{\psi'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^m {}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} v(s) = {}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q+m} v(s) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{[\psi(s) - \psi(a_1)]^{j+n-q-m}}{\Gamma(j+n-q-m+1)} v_{\psi}^{[j+n]}(a_1).$$

**Lemma 2 ([33,35]).** *Let  $q, p > 0$ , and  $v \in C([a_1, a_2], \mathbb{R})$ . Then, for each  $s \in [a_1, a_2]$ , we have*

1.  $I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;p} v(s) = I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q+p} v(s),$
2.  ${}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} v(s) = v(s),$
3.  $I_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} (\psi(s) - \psi(a_1))^{p-1} = \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p+q)} (\psi(s) - \psi(a_1))^{p+q-1},$
4.  ${}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} (\psi(s) - \psi(a_1))^{p-1} = \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p-q)} (\psi(s) - \psi(a_1))^{p-q-1},$
5.  ${}^c D_{a_1^+}^{\psi;q} (\psi(s) - \psi(a_1))^j = 0, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, n \in \mathbb{N}, n-1 < q < n.$

**Lemma 3 ([36]).** *(Jensen’s inequality) Assume that  $\mu$  is a positive measure and  $\mathbb{B}$  is a measurable set such that  $\mu(\mathbb{B}) = 1$ . If  $K \in L^1(\mu)$  is a real-valued function and for each  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ ,  $a < K(x) < b$ , and  $\phi$  is a real-valued convex function on  $(a, b)$ , then*

$$\phi\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} K d\mu\right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} (\phi \circ K) d\mu. \tag{8}$$

For  $K$  with the concavity property on  $(a, b)$ , (8) is satisfied with  $\geq$  instead of  $\leq$ .

### 3. Green’s Function

Green’s function plays a fundamental role in the theory of integral equations [37–39]. Here, we discuss some properties of the relevant Green’s function in the thermostat  $\psi$ -model.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $q \in (1, 2]$ ,  $p \in (a_1, a_2)$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $\mathbb{A} \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{I})$ , where  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{I})$  denotes the family of all continuous real-valued functions on the interval  $\mathbb{I}$ . A function  $v \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{I})$  is a solution for the linear thermostat  $\psi$ -model*

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D^{\psi;q} v(s) = \mathbb{A}(s), & (s \in \mathbb{I} := [a_1, a_2]), \\ {}^c D^{\psi;1} v(a_1) = 0, \quad v(p) + \mu {}^c D^{\psi;q-1} v(a_2) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

which is given by the integral equation

$$v(s) = - \int_{a_1}^s \frac{\psi'(r)(\psi(s) - \psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mathbb{A}(r) dr + \int_{a_1}^p \frac{\psi'(r)(\psi(p) - \psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mathbb{A}(r) dr + \mu \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \psi'(r) \mathbb{A}(r) dr. \tag{10}$$

**Proof.** If  $v$  satisfies the linear  $\psi$ -thermostat Equation (9), then  ${}^c D^{\psi;q} v(s) = -\mathbb{A}(s)$ . As  $1 < q \leq 2$ , by integrating, it becomes

$$v(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{a_1}^s \psi'(r)(\psi(s) - \psi(r))^{q-1} \mathbb{A}(r) dr + c_0 + c_1(\psi(s) - \psi(a_1)), \tag{11}$$

where we need to find values of the coefficients  $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, the properties of the  $\psi$ -Caputo fractional derivative give

$${}^c D^{\psi;1} v(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{a_1}^s \psi'(r)(\psi(s) - \psi(r))^{q-2} \mathbb{A}(r) dr + c_1, \tag{12}$$

and for  $0 < q - 1 \leq 1$ , we obtain

$${}^c D^{\psi;q-1} v(s) = - \int_{a_1}^s \psi'(r) \mathbb{A}(r) dr + c_1 \frac{(\psi(s) - \psi(a_1))^{2-q}}{\Gamma(3-q)}. \tag{13}$$

By the condition  ${}^c D^{\psi;1} v(a_1) = 0$  and (12), we obtain  $c_1 = 0$ . Moreover, the Equations (11) and (13) and the condition  $v(p) + \mu {}^c D^{\psi;q-1} v(a_2) = 0$  imply that

$$-\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{a_1}^p \psi'(r)(\psi(p) - \psi(r))^{q-1} \mathbb{A}(r) dr + c_0 - \mu \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \psi'(r) \mathbb{A}(r) dr = 0,$$

and thus, we have

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{a_1}^p \psi'(r)(\psi(p) - \psi(r))^{q-1} \mathbb{A}(r) dr + \mu \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \psi'(r) \mathbb{A}(r) dr.$$

Finally, if we substitute the obtained coefficients  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  in (11), then the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Note that one can rewrite (10) by means of Green's function as

$$v(s) = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} G_\psi(s, r) \psi'(r) \mathbb{A}(r) dr, \tag{14}$$

where

$$G_\psi(s, r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(\psi(s)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{(\psi(p)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu, & a_1 \leq r \leq \min\{p, s\} \\ \frac{(\psi(p)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu, & a_1 \leq s \leq r \leq p, \\ -\frac{(\psi(s)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu, & p \leq r \leq s \leq a_2, \\ \mu, & \max\{p, s\} \leq r \leq a_2. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

**Proposition 2.** For Green's function given by (15), we have

- (i)  $\min_{a_1 \leq r, s \leq a_2} G_\psi(s, r) = -\frac{(\psi(a_2)-\psi(p))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu.$
- (ii)  $\max_{a_1 \leq r, s \leq a_2} G_\psi(s, r) = \mu + \frac{(\psi(p)-\psi(a_1))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}.$

**Proof.** We have

$$\frac{\partial G_\psi(s, r)}{\partial s} \begin{cases} -\frac{(q-1)\psi'(s)(\psi(s)-\psi(r))^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q)}, & a_1 \leq r \leq s \leq a_2, \\ 0, & a_1 \leq s \leq r \leq a_2. \end{cases}$$

This shows that  $G_\psi(s, r)$  is a non-increasing function with respect to the first variable  $s$ .  
 (i). From the above result, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{a_1 \leq s \leq a_2} G_\psi(s, r) &= \\ G_\psi(a_2, r) &= \begin{cases} -\frac{(\psi(a_2)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{(\psi(p)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu, & \text{for } a_1 \leq r \leq p \\ -\frac{(\psi(a_2)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu, & \text{for } p \leq r \leq a_2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Using the fact that  $a^x - b^x \leq (a - b)^x$  for any  $a \geq b \geq 0$  and  $x \in (0, 1]$ , we have

$$0 \leq (\psi(a_2) - \psi(r))^{q-1} - (\psi(p) - \psi(r))^{q-1} \leq (\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1},$$

for each  $a_1 \leq r \leq p$ . This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} G_\psi(a_2, r) &= -\frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{(\psi(p) - \psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu \\ &\geq -\frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $a_1 \leq r \leq p$ . On the other hand, we can easily see that

$$\min_{p \leq r \leq a_2} G_\psi(a_2, r) = G_\psi(a_2, p) = -\frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu.$$

Combining two last inequalities yield that

$$\min_{a_1 \leq r \leq a_2} G_\psi(a_2, r) = -\frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu.$$

Using (16) and the latter inequality, we obtain

$$\min_{a_1 \leq r, s \leq a_2} G_\psi(s, r) = -\frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu.$$

(ii). We have

$$\max_{a_1 \leq s \leq a_2} G_\psi(s, r) = G_\psi(a_1, r) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\psi(p)-\psi(r))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu, & \text{for } a_1 \leq r \leq p, \\ \mu, & \text{for } p \leq r \leq a_2. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

It is obvious that

$$\max_{a_1 \leq r \leq p} G_\psi(a_1, r) = G_\psi(a_1, a_1) = \frac{(\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu > \mu.$$

Combining the latter inequality and (17), we obtain

$$\max_{a_1 \leq r, s \leq a_2} G_\psi(s, r) = \frac{(\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \mu.$$

The proof of Proposition 2 is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Since  $\psi$  is a non-decreasing function, from Proposition 2, we have

$$\max_{a_1 \leq r, s \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| = \max \left\{ \frac{\mu\Gamma(q) + (\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}, \frac{|(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1} - \mu\Gamma(q)|}{\Gamma(q)} \right\}.$$

Moreover, if  $\mu \geq \frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}$ , then

$$\max_{a_1 \leq r, s \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| = \frac{\mu\Gamma(q) + (\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}.$$

**Proposition 3.** For Green’s function given by (15), the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{a_1}^{a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)|\psi'(r)dr \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{(\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^q}{\Gamma(q + 1)} + \mu(\psi(a_2) - \psi(a_1)), \frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^q}{\Gamma(q + 1)} - \mu(\psi(a_2) - \psi(a_1)) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $s \in [a_1, a_2]$ .

**Proof.** Using and by direct computations, we reach the desired result of the Proposition.  $\square$

#### 4. Lyapunov-Type Inequality

In this section, we obtain a Lyapunov-type inequality for the proposed thermostat control  $\psi$ -model. We consider the following assumption:

- **Assumption (A1):** There exist  $\kappa : [a_1, a_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a positive, concave and non-decreasing function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|K(s, v)| \leq |\kappa(s)||g(v)|,$$

for each  $s \in [a_1, a_2]$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Using the proposed assumption and above notations, we state the main result of this section as follows. Here, we define  $\|v\| = \max_{a_1 \leq s \leq a_2} |v(s)|$ .

**Theorem 1.** Assume that Assumption (A1) holds. If  $\psi'(\cdot)\kappa(\cdot) \in L^1[a, b]$ , and the fractional  $\psi$ -model of thermostat control (4) has a non-trivial solution,  $v \in C[a_1, a_2]$ , then

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} \psi'(r)|\kappa(r)|dr \geq \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\mu\Gamma(q) + (\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}, \frac{\Gamma(q)}{|(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1} - \mu\Gamma(q)|} \right\} \frac{\|v\|}{g(\|v\|)}.$$

If  $\mu \geq \frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}$ , then

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} \psi'(r)|\kappa(r)|dr \geq \frac{\Gamma(q)\|v\|}{(\mu\Gamma(q) + (\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1})g(\|v\|)}.$$

**Proof.** If  $v \in C[a_1, a_2]$  is a non-trivial solution of the fractional  $\psi$ -model of thermostat control (4), we find from (14) that

$$v(s) = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} G_\psi(s, r)\psi'(r)K(r, v(r))dr. \tag{18}$$

For each  $s \in [a_1, a_2]$ , by using Jensen’s inequality, and from (18), we have

$$|v(s)| \leq \int_{a_1}^{a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)\psi'(r)K(r, v(r))|dr$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \psi'(r) |\kappa(r)| |g(v(r))| dr \\
 &\leq \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \|\psi'(\cdot)\kappa(\cdot)\|_{L^1[a,b]} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{\psi'(r) |\kappa(r)|}{\|\psi'\| \|\kappa\|_{L^1[a,b]}} |g(v(r))| dr \\
 &\leq \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \|\psi'(\cdot)\kappa(\cdot)\|_{L^1[a,b]} \mathcal{G} \left( \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{\psi'(r) |\kappa(r)|}{\|\psi'\| \|\kappa\|_{L^1[a,b]}} |v(r)| dr \right) \\
 &\leq \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \|\psi'(\cdot)\kappa(\cdot)\|_{L^1[a,b]} \mathcal{G}(\|u\|).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\psi'(\cdot)\kappa(\cdot)\|_{L^1[a,b]} &\geq \frac{1}{\max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)|} \frac{\|u\|}{\mathcal{G}(\|u\|)} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\mu\Gamma(q) + (\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}, \frac{\Gamma(q)}{|(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1} - \mu\Gamma(q)|} \right\} \frac{\|v\|}{\mathcal{G}(\|v\|)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** For  $K(s, v(s)) = \kappa(s)v(s)$ ,  $\psi(s) = s$  and  $\mu \geq \frac{(a_2-p)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}$ , we have

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} |\kappa(r)| dr \geq \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\mu\Gamma(q) + (p - a_1)^{q-1}}.$$

The result coincides with the one in [32].

**Proof.** Apply Theorem 1 for  $\psi(s) = s$  and  $g(v) = v$ .  $\square$

### 5. Some Existence and Non-Existence Results

In this section, we investigate the existence and non-existence of a mild solution for the thermostat control  $\psi$ -model (4). We begin with the definition of mild solutions.

**Definition 3.** The function  $v \in C[a_1, a_2]$  is called a mild solution of the thermostat control model (4) if it satisfies the following integral equation:

$$v(s) = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} G_\psi(s, r) \psi'(r) K(r, v(r)) dr. \tag{19}$$

To study the existence of a mild solution for our problem, the following assumption will be considered:

- **Assumption (A2):** There exists  $\kappa : [a_1, a_2] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that

$$|K(s, v) - K(s, w)| \leq \kappa(s) |v - w|,$$

for each  $(s, v), (s, w) \in [a_1, a_2] \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Continuously, from now on, for  $\varphi \in C[a_1, a_2]$ , we denote  $\|\varphi\| = \max_{a_1 \leq s \leq a_2} |\varphi(s)|$ . Based on the above assumption and definition, we can state and prove the existence and uniqueness result for our  $\psi$ -model.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $K$  is a continuous function which satisfies Assumption (A2). If  $\psi \in C^1[a_1, a_2]$ ,  $\kappa \in L^1[a_1, a_2]$ , and

$$\|\kappa\|_{L^1[a_1, a_2]} < \frac{1}{\|\psi'\|} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\mu\Gamma(q) + (\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}, \frac{\Gamma(q)}{|(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1} - \mu\Gamma(q)|} \right\},$$



then the  $\psi$ -model (4) of the thermostat has a unique mild solution.

**Proof.** Let us consider the operator  $Q : C[a_1, a_2] \rightarrow C[a_1, a_2]$  defined by

$$Qv(s) = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} G_\psi(s, r)\psi'(r)K(r, v(r))dr.$$

Note that it is well-defined in virtue of the continuity of the functions  $\psi'$ ,  $G_\psi$  and  $K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |Qv(s) - Qw(s)| &\leq \|\psi'\| \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \int_{a_1}^{a_2} |K(r, v(r)) - K(r, w(r))|dr \\ &\leq \|\psi'\| \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \kappa(s)|v(r) - w(r)|dr \\ &\leq \|\psi'\| \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \|\kappa\|_{L^1[a_1, a_2]} \|v - w\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\|Qv - Qw\| \leq \|\psi'\| \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \|\kappa\|_{L^1[a_1, a_2]} \|v - w\|. \tag{20}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa\|_{L^1[a_1, a_2]} &< \frac{1}{\|\psi'\|} \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\mu\Gamma(q) + (\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^{q-1}}, \frac{\Gamma(q)}{|(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^{q-1} - \mu\Gamma(q)|} \right\} \\ &= \left( \|\psi'\| \max_{a_1 \leq s, r \leq a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)| \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude from (20) that  $Q$  is contraction in  $C[a_1, a_2]$ . Hence,  $Q$  has a unique fixed point in  $C[a_1, a_2]$ , which is a mild solution of the thermostat control  $\psi$ -model (4). The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.  $\square$

To complete this section, we give a non-existence result for our problem. Herein, we use the following assumption:

- **Assumption (A3):** There exists a constant  $L_K > 0$  such that

$$|K(s, v)| \leq L_K|v|,$$

for each  $(s, v) \in [a_1, a_2] \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that Assumption (A3) holds. If  $L_K C_\psi < 1$  with

$$C_\psi = \max \left\{ \frac{(\psi(p) - \psi(a_1))^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \mu(\psi(a_2) - \psi(a_1)), \frac{(\psi(a_2) - \psi(p))^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} - \mu(\psi(a_2) - \psi(a_1)) \right\},$$

then the thermostat control  $\psi$ -model (4) has no non-trivial mild solution.

**Proof.** We prove by contradiction that the thermostat control  $\psi$ -model (4) has a mild solution. Then, from (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| &= \max_{a_1 \leq s \leq a_2} \left| \int_{a_1}^{a_2} G_\psi(s, r)\psi'(r)K(r, v(r))dr \right| \\ &\leq \max_{a_1 \leq s \leq a_2} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)|\psi'(r)|K(r, v(r))|dr \\ &\leq L_K\|v\| \max_{a_1 \leq s \leq a_2} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} |G_\psi(s, r)|\psi'(r)dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq L_K C_\psi \|v\| \\ &< \|v\|, \end{aligned}$$

due to Proposition 3. This is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.  $\square$

## 6. Conclusions

In the present research study, we considered a new applied model of thermostat control by defining the relevant differential equation and boundary value conditions with the help of  $\psi$ -operators in which  $\psi$  is a non-decreasing function. This model covers all previous fractional BVPs of thermostat control. To follow the study, a mathematical structure of Green's function was obtained, and then maximum and minimum values of it over the given interval were calculated. By using some estimates and the Jensen's inequality, the Lyapunov-type inequality was proved under the supposed conditions for the generalized  $\psi$ -model of the thermostat. Moreover, based on functional analysis techniques, the non-existence and existence of mild solutions for such a generalized  $\psi$ -system were established. Due to the importance of real models of processes, we can continue such studies for other forms of applied mathematical models via the newly defined mathematical operators.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.R. and S.E.; formal analysis, S.R., S.E., R.P.A. and K.N.; funding acquisition, K.N.; methodology, S.R., S.E., R.P.A. and K.N.; software, S.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated nor analyzed during the current study.

**Acknowledgments:** The first and second authors would like to thank Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University. Additionally, all the authors would like to thank dear reviewers for their useful and constructive comments to improve the quality of the paper.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Pinasco, J.P. *Lyapunov-Type Inequalities*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
2. Canada, A.; Villegas, S. *A Variational Approach to Lyapunov Type Inequalities*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2015.
3. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Ozbekler, A. *Lyapunov Inequalities and Applications*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2021.
4. Clark, S.; Hinton, D.B. A Lyapunov inequality for linear Hamiltonian systems. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **1998**, *1*, 201–209.
5. Lyapunov, A.M. Problème général de la stabilité du mouvement. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse.* **1907**, *2*, 203–407.
6. Yang, X.J.; Kim, Y.I.; Lo, K.M. Lyapunov-type inequalities for a class of higher-order linear differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2014**, *34*, 86–89. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Agarwal, R.P.; Ozbekler, A. Lyapunov type inequalities for nth order forced differential equations with mixed nonlinearities. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **2016**, *15*, 2281–2300.
8. Ferreira, R.A.C. A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, *16*, 978–984. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Ferreira, R.A.C. On a Lyapunov-type inequality and the zeros of a certain Mittag-Leffler function. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2014**, *412*, 1058–1063. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Jleli, M.; Samet, B. A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional  $q$ -difference boundary value problem. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2016**, *9*, 1965–1976. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Ma, K.K.; Han, Z.L. Lyapunov-type inequalities on fractional  $q$ -difference Schrodinger equation with Woods-Saxon potential. *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, *9*, 105–119. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Pathak, N. Lyapunov-type inequality for fractional boundary value problems with Hilfer derivative. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2018**, *21*, 179–200.
13. Ma, D.; Yang, Z. Lyapunov-type inequality and solution for a fractional differential equation. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2020**, *2020*, 181. [[CrossRef](#)]

14. Kassymov, A.; Torebek, B.T. Lyapunov-type inequalities for a nonlinear fractional boundary value problem. *RACSAM* **2021**, *115*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Wang, J.; Zhang, S. A Lyapunov-type inequality for partial differential equation involving the mixed Caputo derivative. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 47. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Ntouyas, S.K.; Ahmad, B.; Tariboon, J. A survey on recent results on Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional differential equations. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 273. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Bonyah, E.; Chukwu, C.W.; Juga, M.L. Fatmawati, Modeling fractional-order dynamics of Syphilis via Mittag-Leffler law. *AIMS Math.* **2021**, *6*, 8367–8389. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Baleanu, D.; Etemad, S.; Rezapour, S. A hybrid Caputo fractional modeling for thermostat with hybrid boundary value conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2020**, *2020*, 64. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Khan, A.; Shah, K.; Abdeljawad, T.; Alqudah, M.A. Existence of results and computational analysis of a fractional order two strain epidemic model. *Res. Phys.* **2022**, *39*, 105649. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Mohammad, H.; Kumar, S.; Rezapour, S.; Etemad, S. A theoretical study of the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional modeling for hearing loss due to Mumps virus with optimal control. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2021**, *144*, 110668. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Asamoah, J.K.K.; Okyere, E.; Yankson, E.; Opoku, A.A.; Adom-Konadu, A.; Acheampong, E.; Arthur, Y.D. Non-fractional and fractional mathematical analysis and simulations for Q fever. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2022**, *156*, 111821. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Wu, Y.; Ahmad, S.; Ullah, A.; Shah, K. Study of the fractional-order HIV-1 infection model with uncertainty in initial data. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2022**, *2022*, 7286460. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Etemad, S.; Avci, I.; Kumar, P.; Baleanu, D.; Rezapour, S. Some novel mathematical analysis on the fractal–fractional model of the AH1N1/09 virus and its generalized Caputo-type version. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2022**, *162*, 112511. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Najafi, H.; Etemad, S.; Patanarapeelert, N.; Asamoah, J.K.K.; Rezapour, S.; Sitthiwirattam, T. A study on dynamics of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells under the effect of HIV-1 infection based on a mathematical fractal-fractional model via the Adams-Bashforth scheme and Newton polynomials. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 1366. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Abbas, M.I.; Ragusa, M.A. On the hybrid fractional differential equations with fractional proportional derivatives of a function with respect to a certain function. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 264. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Rezapour, S.; Ntouyas, S.K.; Iqbal, M.Q.; Hussain, A.; Etemad, S.; Tariboon, J. An analytical survey on the solutions of the generalized double-order  $\varphi$ -integrodifferential equation. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, *2021*, 6667757. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Rezapour, S.; Etemad, S.; Tellab, B.; Agarwal, P.; Guirao, J.L.G. Numerical solutions caused by DGJIM and ADM methods for multi-term fractional BVP involving the generalized  $\psi$ -RL-operators. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 532. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Mohammadi, H.; Rezapour, S.; Etemad, S.; Baleanu, D. Two sequential fractional hybrid differential inclusions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *2020*, 385. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Agarwal, R.P.; Hristova, S.; O’regan, D. Generalized proportional Caputo fractional differential equations with noninstantaneous impulses: Concepts, integral representations, and Ulam-type stability. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 2315. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Infante, G.; Webb, J. Loss of positivity in a nonlinear scalar heat equation. *Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl.* **2006**, *13*, 249–261. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Nieto, J.J.; Pimentel, J. Positive solutions of a fractional thermostat model. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2013**, *2013*, 5. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Cabrera, I.J.; Rocha, J.; Sadarangani, K.B. Lyapunov type inequalities for a fractional thermostat model. *RACSAM* **2018**, *112*, 17–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Kilbas, A.A.; Srivastava, H.M.; Trujillo, J.J. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*; North Holland Mathematics Studies, 203; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
34. Almeida, R. A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **2017**, *44*, 460–481. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Almeida, R.; Malinowska, A.B.; Teresa, N.; Monteiro, T. Fractional differential equations with a Caputo derivative with respect to a kernel function and their applications. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2018**, *41*, 336–352. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Rudin, W. *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed.; McGraw-Hill Book Company: New York, NY, USA, 1987.
37. Jiang, F.; Xu, X.; Cao, Z. The positive properties of Green’s function for fractional differential equations and its applications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, *2013*, 531038. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Wang, Y. The Green’s function of a class of two-term fractional differential equation boundary value problem and its applications. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *2020*, 80. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Khan, H.; Tunc, C.; Khan, A. Green function’s properties and existence theorems for nonlinear singular-delay-fractional differential equations. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. S* **2020**, *13*, 2475–2487. [[CrossRef](#)]