Abstract: Burgers’ equation is a nonlinear partial differential equation that appears in various areas of physics and engineering. Finding accurate and efficient numerical methods to solve this equation is crucial for understanding complex fluid flow phenomena. In this study, we propose a spline-based numerical technique for the numerical solution of Burgers’ equation. The space derivative is discretized using cubic B-splines with new approximations for the second order. Typical finite differences are used to estimate the time derivative. Additionally, the scheme undergoes a stability study to ensure minimal error accumulation, and its convergence is investigated. The primary advantage of this scheme is that it generates an approximate solution as a smooth piecewise continuous function, enabling approximation at any point within the domain. The scheme is subjected to a numerical study, and the obtained results are compared to those previously reported in the literature to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach. Overall, this study aims to contribute to the development of efficient and accurate numerical methods for solving Burgers’ equation. The spline-based approach presented herein has the potential to advance our understanding of complex fluid flow phenomena and facilitate more reliable predictions in a range of practical applications.
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1. Introduction

We consider Burgers’ equation (BE) in this study:

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad z \in [a, b], \quad t > 0,
\]

subject to the IC,

\[v(z, 0) = \phi(z)\]

and the BCs,

\[
\begin{align*}
  \psi_1(t), \\
  \psi_2(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\lambda > 0\) and \(a, b, \phi(z), \psi_1(t)\) and \(\psi_2(t)\) are given.

Burgers’ equation was first introduced by Bateman [1] and is a well-known and important model used in various scientific and engineering fields. Fay [2] came up with an interesting series solution for BE, and JM Burgers [3] extensively studied this equation back in 1940, especially in the context of turbulence problems in fluid mechanics. Cole [4] and

The primary motivation behind this work is to utilize a novel cubic B-spline approximation for the spatial derivative, leading to an approximate solution of BE with improved accuracy. Another advantage of this approach is that the approximation results in a smooth, piecewise continuous function, enabling approximation at any point within the specified domain.

The rest of the paper is ordered as follows. Section 2 introduces the spline-based numerical technique with a new approximation [39]. In Section 2, the stability of the presented scheme is discussed. Section 4 investigates a convergence analysis of the scheme. Section 5 discusses a comparison of our numerical results with those of some of the other numerical procedures in the literature. Section 6 presents the conclusion of this study.

2. The Derivation of the Scheme

The time and space step sizes are initially defined as $\Delta t = \frac{t}{T}$ and $h = \frac{b-a}{N}$ with $T$ and $N$ being positive integers. Let $t_n = n\Delta t$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., M$, $z_j = jh$, $j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$. Now, partition the domain $a \leq z \leq b$ into $N$ equal subintervals $[z_j, z_{j+1})$, $j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1$ by
choosing the knots, \( z_j \), where \( a = z_0 < z_1 < \ldots < z_{n-1} < z_N = b \). The approximation \( V(z,t) \) to the exact solution \( v(z,t) \) of (1) is given as

\[
V(z,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \varepsilon_j(t) B_j^3(z),
\]

where \( \varepsilon_j(t) \) are unknowns to be determined, and the cubic B-Splines (CuBS) basis functions, \( B_j^3(z) \), are defined as

\[
B_j^3(z) = \frac{1}{6h^3} \begin{cases} 
(z - z_j)^3, & z \in [z_j, z_{j+1}], \\
h^3 + 3h^2(z - z_{j+1}) + 3h(z - z_{j+1})^2 - 3(z - z_{j+1})^3, & z \in [z_{j+1}, z_{j+2}], \\
h^3 + 3h^2(z_{j+3} - z) + 3h(z_{j+3} - z)^2 - 3(z_{j+3} - z)^3, & z \in [z_{j+2}, z_{j+3}], \\
(z_{j+4} - z)^3, & z \in [z_{j+3}, z_{j+4}], \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

The local support property of CuBS ensures that only \( B_j^3(z) \), \( B_j^3(z) \) and \( B_{j+1}^3(z) \) are nonzero at \( [z_{j-1}, z_{j+3}] \), \( [z_j, z_{j+4}] \), and \( [z_{j-1}, z_{j+5}] \), respectively. Consequently, we obtain the approximate solution at the grid point \( (z_j, t_n) \) as

\[
v(z_j, t_n) = v^n_j = \sum_{k=j-1}^{k=j+1} \varepsilon_k^n(t) B_k^3(z_j).
\]

The unknowns \( \varepsilon_j^n(t) \) are determined by utilizing the initial, boundary, and collocation conditions applied to \( B_j^3(z) \). Through this process, it becomes evident that the approximations \( v^n_j \) and their requisite derivatives are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_j^n &= \omega_1 \varepsilon^n_{j-1} + \omega_2 \varepsilon^n_{j} + \omega_1 \varepsilon^n_{j+1}, \\
(\varepsilon_j^n)' &= -\omega_3 \varepsilon^n_{j-1} + \omega_4 \varepsilon^n_{j} + \omega_3 \varepsilon^n_{j+1},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \omega_1 = \frac{1}{6}, \omega_2 = \frac{4}{6}, \omega_3 = \frac{1}{2h}, \omega_4 = 0. \)

The recently derived estimation for the second derivative of \( (v^n_j)^{zz} \) is presented in [31] as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(v_{zz}^n)_j &= \frac{1}{12h^2} (14v^n_{j-2} - 33v^n_{j-1} + 28v^n_{j} - 14v^n_{j+1} + 6v^n_{j+2} - v^n_{j+3}), \\
(v_{zz}^n)_j &= \frac{1}{12h^2} (1v^n_{j-2} - 2v^n_{j-1} + 1v^n_{j} + 8v^n_{j+1} + 1v^n_{j+2} - 1v^n_{j+3}), \\
(v_{zz}^n)_j &= \frac{1}{12h^2} (-v^n_{j-3} + 6v^n_{j-2} - 14v^n_{j-1} + 14v^n_{j} - 6v^n_{j+1} - v^n_{j+2}), \\
& \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Equation (1) can be represented in discretized form by discretizing the time derivative by finite differences as

\[
\frac{v_j^{n+1} - v_j^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{(v_{zz}^n)_j^{n+1} + (v_{zz}^n)_j^n}{2} = \lambda \frac{(v_{zz}^n)_j^{n+1} + (v_{zz}^n)_j^n}{2},
\]

Note that the term \( v_{zz}^n \) is approximated as

\[
(v_{zz}^n)_j^{n+1} = v_j^n (v_{zz}^n)_j^{n+1} + v_j^{n+1} (v_{zz}^n)_j^n - (v_{zz}^n)_j^n,
\]

so that (9) becomes

\[
v_j^{n+1} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( v_j^n (v_{zz}^n)_j^{n+1} + v_j^{n+1} (v_{zz}^n)_j^n \right) - \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v_{zz}^n)_j^{n+1} = v_j^n + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v_{zz}^n)_j^n.
\]
Substituting (7) and (8) in (11) at knot $z_0$ yields
\[
d_1 e_{-1}^{n+1} + d_2 e_0^{n+1} + d_3 e_1^{n+1} + d_4 e_2^{n+1} + d_5 e_3^{n+1} + d_6 e_4^{n+1} = d_7 e_{-1}^{n} + d_8 e_0^{n} + d_9 e_1^{n} - d_4 e_2^{n} - d_5 e_3^{n} - d_6 e_4^{n},
\]
where
\[
d_1 = \omega_1 - \frac{7\Delta t \lambda}{12h^2} + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_1 - \epsilon_1, d_2 = \omega_2 + \frac{11\Delta t \lambda}{8h^2} + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_2 + \epsilon_2, d_3 = \omega_3 + \frac{11\Delta t \lambda}{8h^2} + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_3 + \epsilon_3,
\]
\[
d_4 = \omega_4 - \frac{7\Delta t \lambda}{12h^2} + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_4, d_5 = \frac{\Delta t}{12h^2} \omega_5, d_6 = \frac{\Delta t}{12h^2} \omega_6,
\]
\[
d_7 = \omega_7 - \frac{11\Delta t \lambda}{8h^2}, d_8 = \omega_8 - \frac{11\Delta t \lambda}{8h^2}, d_9 = \omega_9 + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_9.
\]

By substituting (7) and (8) in (11) at knots $z_j$, we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
eg_1 e_{j-1}^{n+1} + e_2 e_j^{n+1} + e_3 e_{j+1}^{n+1} + e_4 e_{j+1}^{n+1} + e_5 e_{j+2}^{n+1} = & - e_1 e_{j-1}^{n} + e_5 e_j^{n} + e_6 e_{j+1}^{n} + e_7 e_{j+2}^{n}, \\
& j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1,
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
e_1 = - \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{24h^2}, e_2 = \omega_1 - \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{3h^2} + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_1, e_3 = \omega_2 + \frac{3\Delta t \lambda}{4h^2} + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_2, e_4 = \omega_3 + \frac{\Delta t(v_z)_0^0}{2} \omega_3, e_5 = \omega_4 + \frac{3\Delta t \lambda}{4h^2}, e_6 = \omega_5 - \frac{3\Delta t \lambda}{4h^2}.
\]

Substituting (7) and (8) in (11) at the knot $z_N$ yields
\[
8_1 e_{N-4}^{n+1} + 8_2 e_{N-3}^{n+1} + 8_3 e_{N-2}^{n+1} + 8_4 e_{N-1}^{n+1} + 8_5 e_N^{n+1} + 8_6 e_{N+1}^{n+1} = -8_1 e_{N-4}^{n} - 8_2 e_{N-3}^{n} - 8_3 e_{N-2}^{n} + 8_4 e_{N}^{n} + 8_5 e_{N+1}^{n},
\]
where
\[
8_1 = \frac{\omega_1}{24h^2}, 8_2 = -\frac{\omega_1}{4h^2}, 8_3 = 7\omega_1 + \frac{7\omega_1}{12h^2}, 8_4 = \omega_2 + \frac{7\omega_1}{6h^2} + \frac{\Delta t v_z^0}{2} \omega_2, 8_5 = \omega_3 - \frac{7\omega_1}{12h^2} + \frac{\Delta t v_z^0}{2} \omega_3, 8_6 = \omega_4 + \frac{7\omega_1}{12h^2} + \frac{\Delta t v_z^0}{2} \omega_4, 8_7 = \omega_5 + \frac{7\omega_1}{12h^2}.
\]

Note that from (12), (13), and (14), a system of $N + 1$ linear equations in $N + 3$ unknowns is obtained. Two additional equations are derived from the stated boundary conditions for a consistent system. As a result, a consistent system of dimensions $(N + 3) \times (N + 3)$ is obtained, which can be uniquely solved using any Gaussian elimination-based numerical approach in a unique manner.

**Initial state:** The initial vector $\phi^0$ can be obtained from the initial condition and boundary values of the derivatives of the initial conditions as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \phi^0 \rangle_j & = \phi'(z_j), & j & = 0, \\
\langle \phi_j \rangle & = \phi(z_j), & j & = 0, 1, \ldots, N, \\
\langle \phi^0 \rangle_j & = \phi'(z_j), & j & = N.
\end{align*}
\]

The arrangement (15) results in a matrix system of dimensions $(N + 3) \times (N + 3)$, taking the following structure:
\[
A e^0 = d,
\]
\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_3 & \omega_4 & \omega_3 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \omega_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & -\omega_3 & \omega_4 & \omega_3 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ e^0 = [e^0_{-4}, e^0_{-3}, e^0_{-2}, \ldots, e^0_{-N-1}] \text{ and } d = [\phi'(z_0), \phi(z_0), \ldots, \phi(z_N), \phi'(z_N)]. \]

3. Stability Analysis

The stability of the suggested method (12)–(14) is demonstrated to be unconditionally stable in this section for the entire domain. Following the von Neumann method, the nonlinear term \( \psi_2 \) is linearized by taking \( \psi_2 \) as a constant \( d \). Consequently, the scheme can be linearized as

\[ \sigma_j^{n+1} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} d\sigma_j^{n+1} + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v_{zz})_j^{n+1} = v_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{2} d\psi_j^n + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v_{zz})_j^n. \]  

By substituting (7) and (8) in (17), we obtain

\[ p_0 e_j^{n+1} + p_1 e_j^{n+1} + p_2 e_j^{n+1} + p_0 e_j^{n+1} = q_0 e_j^{n-2} + q_1 e_j^{n-1} + q_2 e_j^n + q_1 e_j^{n+1} + q_0 e_j^{n+2}, \]

where, \( j = 2, 3, 4, \ldots, N - 1 \) and \( p_0 = -\frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta z^2}, \quad p_1 = (1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2}) \omega_1 - \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta z^2}, \quad p_2 = (1 + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2}) \omega_2 + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta z^2}, \quad q_0 = \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta z^2}, \quad q_1 = (1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2}) \omega_1 + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta z^2}, \quad q_2 = (1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2}) \omega_2 - \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta z^2}. \]

Now, by inserting the Fourier mode, \( e_j^n = B e_{j, \xi}^n \exp(i \phi \tau) \) into (18), where \( B, \xi \) and \( \phi \) are the harmonics amplitude, growth factor, and the mode number, respectively, and \( \tau = \sqrt{-1} \), we obtain

\[ p_0 B e_{j, \xi}^{n+1} e^{i(j-2)\phi \tau} + p_1 B e_{j, \xi}^{n+1} e^{i(j-1)\phi \tau} + p_2 B e_{j, \xi}^{n+1} e^{i(j)\phi \tau} + p_1 B e_{j, \xi}^{n+1} e^{i(j+1)\phi \tau} + p_0 B e_{j, \xi}^{n+1} e^{i(j+2)\phi \tau} = q_0 B e_{j, \xi}^{n-2} e^{i(j-2)\phi \tau} + q_1 B e_{j, \xi}^{n-1} e^{i(j-1)\phi \tau} + q_2 B e_{j, \xi}^{n} e^{i(j)\phi \tau} + q_1 B e_{j, \xi}^{n+1} e^{i(j+1)\phi \tau} + q_0 B e_{j, \xi}^{n+2} e^{i(j+2)\phi \tau}. \]  

This implies that

\[ B e_{j, \xi}^{n} e^{i\phi \tau} (p_0 e^{-2\phi \tau} + p_1 e^{-i\phi \tau} + p_2 e^{-\phi \tau} + p_1 e^{i\phi \tau} + p_0 e^{2\phi \tau}) = B e_{j, \xi}^{n} e^{i\phi \tau} (q_0 e^{-2\phi \tau} + q_1 e^{-i\phi \tau} + q_2 e^{-\phi \tau} + q_1 e^{i\phi \tau} + q_0 e^{2\phi \tau}). \]  

so that we obtain

\[ \xi = \frac{q_0 (e^{-2\phi \tau} + e^{2\phi \tau}) + q_1 (e^{-i\phi \tau} + e^{i\phi \tau}) + q_2}{p_0 (e^{-2i\phi \tau} + e^{2i\phi \tau}) + p_1 (e^{-i\phi \tau} + e^{i\phi \tau}) + p_2}. \]  

By using the identity \( \cos(\phi \tau) = \frac{e^{i\phi \tau} + e^{-i\phi \tau}}{2} \) in (20) and simplifying it, we obtain

\[ \xi = \frac{2q_0 \cos 2\phi \tau + 2q_1 \cos \phi \tau + q_2}{2p_0 \cos 2\phi \tau + 2p_1 \cos \phi \tau + p_2}. \]
Note that $-\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi$. Without losing generality, choose $\varphi = 0$ so that the last equation reduces to $\xi = \frac{2q_0 + 2q_1 + q_2}{2p_0 + 2p_1 + p_2} < 1$, which confirms the unconditional stability of the proposed scheme.

4. Convergence Analysis

Within this section, we provide an exposition of the convergence analysis for the presented scheme. In order to proceed, it is necessary to refer to the following theorem [29,30].

**Theorem 1.** Let $v(z)$ belong to the class of $C^4[a,b]$, and let us consider a partition $a = z_0 < z_1 < \ldots < z_{N-1} < z_N = b$ of the interval $[a,b]$. Additionally, let $V^*(z)$ be the unique B-spline function that interpolates the function $v$ and let $D^i$ denote the $i^{th}$ order derivative. Under these conditions, there exist constants $\sigma_i$, which are not dependent on the interval size $h$, satisfying

$$\|D^i(v - V^*)\|_\infty \leq \sigma_i h^{4-i}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$  

Firstly, we start by considering the computed B-spline approximation to Equation (4), which is expressed as follows:

$$V^*(z, t) = \sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} e_j^*(t) B_j^3(z).$$  

We aim to assess the errors $|v(z, t) - V^*(z, t)|_\infty$ and $|V^*(z, t) - V(z, t)|_\infty$ individually, enabling us to draw inferences about the overall error, $|v(z, t) - V(z, t)|_\infty$. To facilitate this analysis, we introduce alterations to Equation (11) in the subsequent fashion:

$$r^*(z) = v^* + \frac{\Delta t}{2} (v v_z)^* - \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v z z)_z^*, \quad (21)$$

where $v^* = v_j^{n+1}, (v v_z)^* = v_j^{n}(v z)_j^{n+1} + v_j^{n+1}(v z)_j^{n}, (v z z)_z^* = (v z z)_z^j^{n+1}$ and $r(z) = v_j^n + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v z z)_z^j$. Similarly

$$r(z) = v + \frac{\Delta t}{2} (v v_z) - \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v z z). \quad (22)$$

Equations (12)–(14) can be written in matrix form as

$$M \varepsilon = R,$$  

where $R = N \varepsilon^n + h$ and

$$M = \begin{bmatrix}
\omega_1 & \omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & d_2 & d_3 & d_4 & d_5 & d_6 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 & e_1 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & g_4 & g_5 & g_6 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \omega_1 & \ldots \\
\end{bmatrix}$$
\[ N = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
-d_1^* & d_8 & d_9 & -d_4 & -d_5 & -d_6 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -e_1 & e_5 & e_6 & e_7 & e_8 & -e_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -e_1 & e_5 & e_6 & e_7 & e_8 & -e_1 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -g_1 & -g_2 & -g_3 & g_7 & g_8 & g_9 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\end{bmatrix}, \]

where \( d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8, d_9, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7, g_8, g_9 \) are given in (12), (13), and (14) and \( h = [\psi_1(t_{n+1}), 0, \ldots, 0, \psi_2(t_{n+1})]^T \), \( \epsilon^n = [\epsilon^n_1, \epsilon^n_2, \epsilon^n_3, \ldots, \epsilon^n_{N+1}]^T \).

By substituting \( v \) with \( V \) in equation (21), the resulting equation can be expressed in matrix form as follows:

\[ M\epsilon^* = R^* \tag{24} \]

By subtracting (24) from (23), we obtain

\[ M(\epsilon^* - \epsilon) = (R^* - R). \tag{25} \]

Now, by using (21) and (22), we obtain

\[ |r^*(z_j) - r(z_j)| = |(v^*(z_j) - v(z_j)) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} (v v_z^*(z_j) - v v_z(z_j)) - \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} (v^*_z(z_j) - v_z(z_j))| \]
\[ \leq |(v^*(z_j) - v(z_j))| + \frac{\Delta t}{2} |(v v_z^*(z_j) - v v_z(z_j))| + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} |(v_z^*(z_j) - v_z(z_j))| \tag{26} \]

From (26) and theorem (1), we have

\[ \|R^* - R\| \leq c_0 h^4 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \|v\| c_1 h^3 + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} \|v\| c_2 h^2 \]
\[ = (c_0 h^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \|v\| c_1 h + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} \|v\| c_2) h \]
\[ = \gamma_1 h^2, \tag{27} \]

where \( \gamma_1 = c_0 h^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \|v\| c_1 h + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{2} \|v\| c_2 \). The matrix \( M \) is clearly diagonally dominant and so it is nonsingular, implying that

\[ (\epsilon^* - \epsilon) = M^{-1} (R^* - R). \tag{28} \]

By utilizing (27), we obtain

\[ \|\epsilon^* - \epsilon\| \leq \|M^{-1}\| \|R^* - R\| \leq \|M^{-1}\| (\gamma_1 h^2). \tag{29} \]

Let \( \mu_{ij} \) be the elements of the matrix \( M \) and \( \zeta_j \) (\( 0 \leq j \leq N + 2 \)) be the sum of the matrix \( M \)'s \( j \)th row; then, we have

\[ \zeta_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} \mu_{0,i} = 2 \omega_1 + \omega_2, \]
\[ \zeta_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} \mu_{1,i} = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 + d_5, \]
\[ \zeta_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} \mu_{N,i} = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 + d_5 + d_6, \]
\[ \zeta_{N+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} \mu_{N+1,i} = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 + d_5 + d_6. \]
\[
\xi_j = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} \mu_{ij} = 2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4, \quad 2 \leq j \leq N \\
\xi_{N+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} \mu_{N+1,i} = g_1 + g_2 + g_3 + g_4 + g_5 + g_6.
\]

\[
\xi_{N+2} = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2} \mu_{N+2,i} = 2\omega_1 + \omega_2.
\]

Based on the principles of matrix theory, we have

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{N+2} \mu_{g,j} \xi_j = 1, \quad g = 0, 1, \ldots, N + 2,
\]

where \(\mu_{g,j}^{-1}\) are the elements of \(M^{-1}\). Therefore,

\[
\|M^{-1}\| = \sum_{j=0}^{N+2} |\mu_{g,j}^{-1}| \leq \frac{1}{\min \xi_g} = \frac{1}{|\tau|}, \quad 0 \leq g, l \leq N + 2.
\]

By substituting (31) into (29), we see that

\[
\|\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon\| \leq \gamma_1 h^2 \frac{|\tau|}{|\tau|} = \gamma_2 h^2,
\]

where \(\gamma_2 = \frac{21}{|\tau|}\) is constant.

**Theorem 2.** The cubic B-splines \(\{B_{-1}, B_0, \ldots, B_{N+1}\}\) specified in relationship (5) satisfy

\[
\sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} |B_j^3(z)| \leq \frac{5}{3}, \quad 0 \leq z \leq 1.
\]

**Proof.** Consider

\[
\left| \sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} B_j^3(z) \right| \leq \sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} |B_j^3(z)| \\
= |B_{-1}^3(z)| + |B_0^3(z)| + |B_1^3(z)| \\
= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4}{6} + \frac{1}{6} \\
= 1.
\]

Now, for \(z \in [z_j+1, z_{j+2}]\), we have

\[
|B_{j+2}^3(z)| \leq \frac{4}{6} \\
|B_{j-1}^3(z)| \leq \frac{1}{6}, \\
|B_j^3(z)| \leq \frac{4}{6}, \\
|B_{j+1}^3(z)| \leq \frac{1}{6}.
\]

Subsequently, we obtain

\[
\sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} |B_j^3(z)| = |B_{j+2}^3(z)| + |B_{j-1}^3(z)| + |B_j^3(z)| + |B_{j+1}^3(z)| \leq \frac{5}{3}
\]
as required. \( \square \)

Now, consider

$$V^*(z) - V(z) = \sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} \bar{e}_j^* (\epsilon_j^* - \epsilon_j) B_j^3(z).$$

(33)

By using Theorem 2 and (32), we produce

$$\|V^*(z) - V(z)\| = \| \sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} (\bar{e}_j^* - \epsilon_j) B_j^3(z)\|$$

$$\leq \| \sum_{j=-1}^{N+1} B_j^3(z) \| \| (\bar{e}_j^* - \epsilon_j)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{5}{3} \gamma_2 h^2. \quad (34)$$

**Theorem 3.** Suppose \( v(z) \) represents the exact solution, and \( V(z) \) is the cubic collocation approximation to \( v(z) \). In such a scenario, the proposed approach demonstrates second-order spatial convergence and

$$\|v(z) - V(z)\| \leq \omega h^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = \sigma_0 h^2 + \frac{5}{3} \gamma_2 h^2.$$

**Proof.** Based on Theorem 1, the following inequality holds:

$$\|v(z) - V^*(z)\| \leq \sigma_0 h^4. \quad (35)$$

By combining Equations (34) and (35), we arrive at

$$\|v(z) - V(z)\| \leq \|v(z) - V^*(z)\| + \|V^*(z) - V(z)\| \leq \sigma_0 h^4 + \frac{5}{3} \gamma_2 h^2 = \omega h^2. \quad (36)$$

where \( \omega = \sigma_0 h^2 + \frac{5}{3} \gamma_2. \) \( \square \)

5. Results and Discussions

This section aims to validate the reliability of the present scheme through various test problems. The accuracy is assessed using two discrete error norms, namely \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \), which are calculated as follows:

$$L_2 = \|V - V_n\|_2 = h \sum_{j=0}^{N} |(V(z_j, t_n) - V_n^j)|$$

and

$$L_\infty = \|V - V_n\|_\infty = \max_j |V(z_j, t_n) - V^n_j|.$$ 

The numerical order of convergence (OC) can be ascertained by utilizing the subsequent formula:

$$OC = \frac{\log(L_\infty(N)/L_\infty(2N))}{\log(2N/N)}, \quad (37)$$

where \( L_\infty(N) \) and \( L_\infty(2N) \) denote the errors acquired using a partition count of \( N \) and \( 2N \), correspondingly.

**Example 1.** Let us consider Equation (1) with boundary conditions:

$$v(0, t) = v(1, t) = 0$$
and the initial condition:

\[ v(z, 1) = \frac{z}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{t}{t_0}} \exp\left(\frac{z^2}{4\lambda}\right)}. \]

The exact solution for this problem is given by

\[ v(z, t) = \frac{z}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{t}{t_0}} \exp\left(\frac{z^2}{4\lambda}\right)}, \]

where \( t_0 = \exp\left(\frac{1}{8\lambda}\right) \).

The given procedure is employed to solve the aforementioned problem. Figures 1 and 2 display the approximate and exact solutions, showcasing different values of \( \lambda \) at various time instances. Figure 3 presents the 2D and 3D absolute error profiles at \( t = 2 \). In Table 1, a comparison is made between the numerical solutions obtained in this work and those presented in [24]. Furthermore, Tables 2 and 3 compare the error norms with those reported in [21,22,25]. Table 4 provide the rate of convergence. The approximate solution for Example 1 obtained when \( h = 0.05, \lambda = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.01, \) and \( t = 2 \) is given as

\[ V(z, 2) = \begin{cases} 
(0.498619 + 0.000425956z - 0.0212431z^2), & \; z \in \left[0, \frac{1}{10}\right) \\
1.31894 \times 10^{-7} + 0.498611z + 0.000584228z^2 - 0.022293z^3, & \; z \in \left[\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{5}\right) \\
0.000015413 + 0.498153z + 0.0051686z^2 - 0.037596z^3, & \; z \in \left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
8.95319 - 28.4252z + 30.1839z^2 - 10.7176z^3, & \; z \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1}\right) \\
5.10604 - 15.6013z + 15.9352z^2 - 5.44023z^3, & \; z \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
2.89384 - 8.61542z + 8.58162z^2 - 2.86004z^3, & \; z \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right) \\
\end{cases} \]

Figure 1. The computed numerical solutions (depicted as diamonds, triangles, circles, and stars) and the exact solutions (illustrated as solid lines) are displayed with a step size of \( h = 0.005, \) a time increment of \( \Delta t = 0.01, \) and the parameters \( \lambda = 0.005 \) (in the left figure) and \( \lambda = 0.0005 \) (in the right figure) for different time points in Example 1.

Table 1. The computed numerical solutions for Example 1 are shown at various time instances with the parameter \( \lambda = 0.0005, \) an interval of \( [a, b] = [0, 1], \) a step size of \( h = 0.005, \) and a time increment of \( \Delta t = 0.01. \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>z</th>
<th>( t = 1.7 )</th>
<th>( t = 2.5 )</th>
<th>( t = 3.25 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05983</td>
<td>0.05982</td>
<td>0.04000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.11763</td>
<td>0.11765</td>
<td>0.08000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.17648</td>
<td>0.17647</td>
<td>0.12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.23531</td>
<td>0.23529</td>
<td>0.16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.29414</td>
<td>0.29412</td>
<td>0.20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.35296</td>
<td>0.35294</td>
<td>0.24000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.28000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.32000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.36000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPU time: 1.53563 s, 2.23438 s, 4.90625 s.
Table 2. The error magnitudes for Example 1 were computed with the parameter $\lambda = 0.005$, a step size of $h = 0.005$, and a time increment of $\Delta t = 0.01$ at various time instances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>$t = 1.7$</th>
<th>$t = 2.5$</th>
<th>$t = 3.25$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>$L_\infty$</td>
<td>$L_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>$0.857 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.567 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.423 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>$0.857 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.567 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.423 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBCM [25]</td>
<td>$0.07215 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.31339 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.05103 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBCM [25]</td>
<td>$2.4642 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$27.5770 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.1187 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>$1.5719 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.7239 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.6443 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU time</td>
<td>$1.59375$</td>
<td>$1.59375$</td>
<td>$3.26503$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The error magnitudes for Example 1 were calculated for different time points using the parameter $\lambda = 0.0005$, a step size of $h = 0.005$, and a time increment of $\Delta t = 0.01$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>$t = 1.7$</th>
<th>$t = 2.5$</th>
<th>$t = 3.25$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>$L_\infty$</td>
<td>$L_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>$0.235 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.688 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.567 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>$0.567 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.880 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.308 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBCM [25]</td>
<td>$1.2424 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$13.8155 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.43951 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBCM [25]</td>
<td>$2.4644 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$27.5770 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.1186 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>$1.1043 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$13.4180 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.88500 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU time</td>
<td>$1.51563$</td>
<td>$1.51563$</td>
<td>$3.23438$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. The solutions obtained through numerical computation and the exact solutions for Example 1 are presented while considering the parameter values: $h = 0.005$ and $\Delta t = 0.01$, along with two different values for $\lambda$, namely $\lambda = 0.0005$ and $\lambda = 0.0005$. 


Table 4. The convergence rate assessment was conducted for various values of \( N \) in Example 1 by considering the following parameters: \( \Delta t = 0.01, \lambda = 0.005, \) and \( t = 2. \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( L_{\infty} )</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Order of Convergence</th>
<th>CPU Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.59993 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.171875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.98107 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>16.0296</td>
<td>4.00267</td>
<td>0.34375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.16944 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.39386</td>
<td>1.25934</td>
<td>0.890625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>4.09150 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.01905</td>
<td>0.02723</td>
<td>2.26563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>4.09666 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.99874</td>
<td>0.01821</td>
<td>8.28125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. The error distributions in both two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) settings at time \( t = 2 \) are displayed for Example 1, where the step size is set to \( h = 0.005 \) and the time increment is \( \Delta t = 0.01. \)

Example 2. Let us examine equation (1) with the subsequent boundary conditions:

\[ v(0, t) = 1, \ldots, v(1, t) = 0.2. \]

The precise solution for this scenario is expressed as

\[ v(z, t) = \frac{\mu + \alpha + (\alpha - \mu) e^{\eta t}}{1 + e^{\eta t}}, \]

where \( \alpha, \mu, \) and \( \gamma \) denote arbitrary constants, and \( \eta = \frac{\alpha(z - \mu t - \gamma)}{\lambda}. \) The initial condition corresponds to \( t = 0 \) in the exact solution.

Figure 4 depicts the implementation of the presented scheme, as well as approximations to the exact solution at various times. The approximate and exact solutions are depicted in superb 3D contrast in Figure 5. The 2D and 3D error graphs are displayed in Figure 6. Table 5 compares the obtained numerical solutions with the ones reported in [22,37]. The approximate solutions in Table 6 are compared to those found in [20,24,28]. The convergence rate assessment is given in Table 7.

The estimated solution for Example 2 under the conditions of \( h = 0.05, \lambda = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.01, \) and \( t = 1 \) is given by

\[
V(z, 1) = \begin{cases} 
1. + 0.637846z - 22.0811z^2 + 186.572z^3, & z \in [0, \frac{1}{20}) \\
1.02956 - 1.13559z + 13.3875z^2 - 49.8856z^3, & z \in [\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{10}) \\
0.966145 + 0.766774z - 5.6361z^2 + 13.5264z^3, & z \in [\frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{20}) \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
7.70131 - 24.513z + 26.7269z^2 - 9.72239z^3, & z \in [\frac{3}{20}, \frac{7}{20}) \\
2.69213 - 7.81572z + 8.17434z^2 - 2.85108z^3, & z \in [\frac{7}{20}, \frac{9}{20}) \\
0.44573 - 0.721823z + 0.707083z^2 - 0.23099z^3, & z \in [\frac{9}{20}, 1). 
\end{cases}
\]
Figure 4. The computed approximate solutions (depicted as triangles, circles, and stars) and the corresponding exact solutions (illustrated as solid lines) for Example 2 are displayed across various time instances, considering the following parameter values: $h = 0.005$, $\Delta t = 0.01$, and $\lambda = 0.005$.

Figure 5. The estimated solution (depicted on the left) and the precise solution (displayed on the right) are presented with the following parameter values: $\lambda = 0.005$, $h = 0.005$, $\Delta t = 0.01$, and $t = 1$ for Example 2.

Figure 6. The error distributions in both two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) contexts for Example 2 are shown, employing the parameters $h = 0.005$ and $\Delta t = 0.01$. 
Table 5. The computed numerical solutions for Example 2 are obtained with the given parameter values: \( h = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.001, \) and \( \lambda = 0.01 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t = 0.5 )</th>
<th>( t = 1.3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( z )</td>
<td>Present [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3082</td>
<td>0.9928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3542</td>
<td>0.9563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3809</td>
<td>0.8844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4030</td>
<td>0.7673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4456</td>
<td>0.4453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4632</td>
<td>0.3435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4824</td>
<td>0.2735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5076</td>
<td>0.2284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5520</td>
<td>0.2049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPU time 4.8125 12.078

Table 6. The computed numerical solutions for Example 2 were derived by considering the following parameters: \( \lambda = 0.01, \) time \( t = 0.5, \) and interval \( [a, b] = [0, 1] \).

| \( z \) | \( h = \frac{1}{\Delta t} = 0.025 \) | \( h = \frac{1}{\Delta t} = 0.001 \) | \( h = \frac{1}{\Delta t} = 0.025 \) | \( h = \frac{1}{\Delta t} = 0.001 \) | \( h = \frac{1}{\Delta t} = 0.025 \) | \( h = \frac{1}{\Delta t} = 0.001 \) | \( h = \frac{1}{\Delta t} = 0.025 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.056 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 0.167 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 |
| 0.278 | 0.999 | 0.995 | 0.999 | 0.996 | 0.991 | 0.997 | 1.004 | 0.999 |
| 0.333 | 0.983 | 0.985 | 0.986 | 0.994 | 0.970 | 0.982 | 0.986 | 0.986 |
| 0.389 | 0.852 | 0.845 | 0.850 | 0.835 | 0.862 | 0.850 | 0.696 | 0.847 |
| 0.444 | 0.449 | 0.449 | 0.448 | 0.461 | 0.461 | 0.444 | 0.360 | 0.452 |
| 0.500 | 0.238 | 0.238 | 0.236 | 0.240 | 0.159 | 0.171 | 0.228 | 0.238 |
| 0.556 | 0.204 | 0.204 | 0.204 | 0.199 | 0.300 | 0.286 | 0.203 | 0.204 |
| 0.611 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.199 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 0.667 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.213 | 0.211 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 0.722 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.211 | 0.210 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 0.778 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.188 | 0.190 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 0.833 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.201 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 0.889 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.191 | 0.193 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 0.944 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.203 | 0.202 | 0.200 | 0.200 |

CPU time 0.063 0.672

Table 7. The convergence rate assessment for Example 2 was conducted at time \( t = 1 \), considering the various values of \( N \) while maintaining \( \Delta t = 0.01 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( L_{\infty} )</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Order of Convergence</th>
<th>CPU Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.70279 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.10938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.50510 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.99940</td>
<td>2.58482</td>
<td>0.21875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.33022 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.93334</td>
<td>0.95109</td>
<td>0.56250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.26236 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.02999</td>
<td>0.04264</td>
<td>1.26563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>2.26042 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.00086</td>
<td>0.00124</td>
<td>4.79688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 3. Let us consider Equation (1) with the initial condition

\[ v(z, 0) = \sin(\pi z) \]

and the boundary conditions

\[ v(0, t) = 0, \quad v(1, t) = 0. \]

The exact solution for this problem is given by

\[ v(z, t) = \frac{4\pi \lambda}{L_0(\frac{1}{\pi \lambda})} \sum I_j \left( \frac{1}{\pi \lambda} \right) \sin(j \pi z) \exp(-j^2 \pi^2 \lambda t) + 2 \sum I_j \left( \frac{1}{\pi \lambda} \right) \cos(j \pi z) \exp(-j^2 \pi^2 \lambda t). \]

Here, \( I_j \) represents the Bessel function of the first kind.
We employ the current scheme to obtain approximate solutions to this problem. Figure 7 presents the numerical and exact solutions at various time instances. A 3D comparison between the numerical and exact solutions is depicted in Figure 8. Furthermore, Tables 8 and 9 provide evidence of the superiority of our approach by comparing the numerical solutions with those presented in [24].

The computed approximate solution for Example 3, which was obtained by using the following parameter values:

\[ h = 0.05, \quad \lambda = 1, \quad \Delta t = 0.01, \text{ and } t = 1, \]

is given by

\[
V(z,1) = \begin{cases}
-1.69407 \times 10^{-21} + 0.000170474z - 0.000339192z^2 + 0.00260343z^3, & z \in [0, \frac{1}{20}) \\
4.53619 \times 10^{-7} + 0.000143257z + 0.000205151z^2 - 0.00102553z^3, & z \in \left[\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{10}\right) \\
-5.33322 \times 10^{-7} + 0.000172865z - 0.0000909316z^2 - 0.000385655z^3, & z \in \left[\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{5}\right) \\
\vdots \\
-0.0000428039 + 0.00012479z - 0.000206725z^2 + 0.0000385973z^3, & z \in \left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
0.00243469 - 0.00730232z + 0.00747104z^2 - 0.00260341z^3, & z \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \cdot
\end{cases}
\]

Figure 7. The computed numerical solutions (indicated by triangles, circles, and stars) and the corresponding exact solutions (shown as solid lines) are presented for Example 3. These are displayed for two cases: one with \( h = 0.01 = \Delta t \) and \( \lambda = 0.1 \) (in the left figure), and another with \( \lambda = 0.01 \) (in the right figure), across various time points.

Figure 8. The estimated solution (on the left) and the precise solution (on the right) for Example 3 are presented with parameter values of \( h = 0.005 \), \( \Delta t = 0.01 \), and \( t = 1 \).

**Example 4.** Let us examine equation 1 with the provided initial condition:

\[ v(z,0) = z \]

and the following boundary conditions

\[ v(0,t) = 0, \quad v(1,t) = \frac{1}{1+t}. \]
The precise solution for this scenario is expressed as

\[ v(z, t) = \frac{z}{1 + t}. \]

Table 8. A contrast of the solutions at different positions was performed for Example 3 at time \( t = 0.1 \), utilizing parameters \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( \Delta t = 0.00001 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>( h = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( h = 0.05 )</th>
<th>( h = 0.025 )</th>
<th>( h = 0.0125 )</th>
<th>( h = 0.00625 )</th>
<th>Exact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.10068</td>
<td>0.10954</td>
<td>0.10954</td>
<td>0.10954</td>
<td>0.10954</td>
<td>0.10954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.20847</td>
<td>0.20979</td>
<td>0.20945</td>
<td>0.20979</td>
<td>0.20979</td>
<td>0.20979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.29992</td>
<td>0.29190</td>
<td>0.29138</td>
<td>0.29190</td>
<td>0.29190</td>
<td>0.29190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.34537</td>
<td>0.34792</td>
<td>0.34726</td>
<td>0.34792</td>
<td>0.34792</td>
<td>0.34792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.36899</td>
<td>0.37157</td>
<td>0.37080</td>
<td>0.37158</td>
<td>0.37158</td>
<td>0.37158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.35589</td>
<td>0.35904</td>
<td>0.35823</td>
<td>0.35905</td>
<td>0.35905</td>
<td>0.35905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.30966</td>
<td>0.30989</td>
<td>0.30914</td>
<td>0.30969</td>
<td>0.30969</td>
<td>0.30969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.22552</td>
<td>0.22781</td>
<td>0.22722</td>
<td>0.22782</td>
<td>0.22782</td>
<td>0.22782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.11942</td>
<td>0.12068</td>
<td>0.12036</td>
<td>0.12069</td>
<td>0.12069</td>
<td>0.12069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU time</td>
<td>0.09242</td>
<td>0.21476</td>
<td>9.35749</td>
<td>103.675</td>
<td>237.885</td>
<td>237.885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. The estimated solutions for Example 3 were computed by using a step size of \( h = 0.0125 \) and a time increment of \( \Delta t = 0.0001 \), considering various values of \( \lambda \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>( t = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( \lambda = 1 )</th>
<th>( \lambda = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( \lambda = 0.01 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.01357</td>
<td>0.01357</td>
<td>0.01357</td>
<td>0.01357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.02018</td>
<td>0.02018</td>
<td>0.02018</td>
<td>0.02018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.01923</td>
<td>0.01923</td>
<td>0.01923</td>
<td>0.01923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.00267</td>
<td>0.00267</td>
<td>0.00267</td>
<td>0.00267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.01362</td>
<td>0.01363</td>
<td>0.01363</td>
<td>0.01363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.01198</td>
<td>0.01198</td>
<td>0.01198</td>
<td>0.01198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By utilizing the current method, numerical outcomes are acquired for this particular problem. The exact and computed solutions are exhibited at different time instances in Figure 9. A three-dimensional comparison between the precise and estimated solutions at \( t = 1 \) is depicted in Figure 10. Furthermore, Figure 11 visualizes the two-dimensional and three-dimensional error profiles at \( t = 1 \). In Table 10, a comparison of error norms is presented, which is in line with the findings reported in [39]. The estimated solution for Example 4 with parameters \( h = 0.05, \lambda = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.01, \) and \( t = 1 \) is as follows:

\[ V(z, t) = \begin{cases} 
8.6736 \times 10^{-19} + 0.5z - 2.03393 \times 10^{-12}z^2 + 1.4353 \times 10^{-12}z^3, & z \in [0, 1) \\
1.46375 \times 10^{-7} + 0.5z - 1.49214 \times 10^{-12}z^2 + 1.06581 \times 10^{-12}z^3, & z \in [1, 1.5) \\
7.08828 \times 10^{-15} + 0.5z + 1.9682 \times 10^{-12}z^2 - 5.92593 \times 10^{-12}z^3, & z \in [1.5, 2) \\
\end{cases} \]
Figure 9. The computed numerical solutions (indicated by triangles, circles, and stars) and the corresponding exact solutions (depicted as solid lines) for Example 4 are presented across various time points, considering the following parameters: \( h = 0.01 = \Delta t \) and \( \lambda = 1 \).

Figure 10. The estimated solution (on the left) and the accurate solution (on the right) for Example 4 are presented with parameter values of \( h = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.01 \), and \( t = 1 \).

Figure 11. The error distributions in both two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) contexts for Example 4 are displayed under the conditions of \( h = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.01 \), and \( \lambda = 1 \).

Table 10. Error magnitudes for Example 4 at time \( t = 1 \) are evaluated across various values of \( N \) while maintaining \( \Delta t = 0.01 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>CuTBS [39]</th>
<th>Present Scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( L_2 )</td>
<td>( L_\infty )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( 1.0998 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 1.5328 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>( 2.7422 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( 3.8217 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>( 6.8510 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 9.5486 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>( 1.7125 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 2.3883 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>( 1.0960 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>( 1.5284 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Concluding Remarks

In conclusion, this paper presents a novel numerical technique for solving Burgers’ equation using new cubic B-spline approximations. The proposed method offers several advantages over existing approaches, including improved accuracy and stability. Through a series of numerical experiments, we have demonstrated the reliability and efficiency of our scheme in capturing the behavior of Burgers’ equation. The comparison between the approximate and exact solutions reveals the high accuracy achieved by our method, even with relatively coarse grid sizes. Moreover, the analysis of error norms confirms the superior performance of our approach compared to previous methods, showcasing its ability to yield highly accurate results. In summary, this paper presents a significant advancement in numerical techniques for solving Burgers’ equation. The presented technique is more effective in comparison with the previous work of authors on various splines. The proposed method’s high accuracy, stability, and convergence properties make it a promising tool for a wide range of applications in fluid dynamics and other related fields. Future research may focus on extending this approach to other partial differential equations and exploring its applicability in different physical scenarios.
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