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Abstract: Generalized nets (GNs) are a suitable tool for the modeling of parallel processes. Through them, it is possible to describe the functioning and results of the performance of complex real processes running in time. In a series of articles, we consistently describe the main processes involved in the production of petroleum products taking place in an oil refinery. The GN models can be used to track the actual processes in the oil refinery in order to monitor them, make decisions in case of changes in the environment, optimize some of the process components, and plan future actions. This study models the heavy oil production process in a refinery using the toolkit of GNs. Five processing units producing ten heavy-oil-refined products in an amount of 106.5 t/h from 443 t/h atmospheric residue feed, their blending, pipelines, and a tank farm devoted to storage of finished products consisting of three grades of fuel oil (very low sulfur fuel oil (0.5%S) — 3.4 t/h; low sulfur fuel oil (1.0%S) — 4.2 t/h; and high sulfur fuel oil (2.5%S) — 66.9 t/h), and two grades of road asphalt (bitumen 50/70 — 30 t/h and bitumen 70/100 — 2 t/h) are modeled in a GN medium. This study completes the process of modeling petroleum product production in an oil refinery using GNs. In this way, it becomes possible to construct a highly hierarchical model that incorporates the models already created for the production of individual petroleum products into a single entity, which allows for a comprehensive analysis of the refinery’s operations and decision making concerning the influence of various factors such as disruptions in the feedstock supply, the occurrence of unplanned shutdowns, optimization of the production process, etc.
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1. Introduction

The processes that take place in an oil refinery where multiple products are produced from a single raw material (crude oil) are generally parallel and their description can be conveniently performed with the Generalized Net (GN, see [1]) toolbox. In the research we have carried out so far related to the modeling of the processes of production of automotive gasoline, diesel fuel for internal combustion engines, and gas products in a refinery, described in the articles [2–4], showed the possibility of modeling the production of these products using GNs. The part of the refinery scheme that produces heavy petroleum products has not yet been modeled using GNs.

The heavy oil is the residual oil fraction remaining after atmospheric distillation of the crude oil [5]. It contains components boiling above 360 °C and has specific gravity above 0.933 (API < 20) [6]. It is treated in the petroleum refinery to extract additional amounts of light oil products and produce heavy oil products like fuel oil, marine fuels, and road pavement bitumen [7–11]. All processes involved in the technological chain of heavy oil treatment have been the subject of modeling and simulation with the aim to...
better understand the behavior of the heavy oil plants and determine the values of the
operation variables providing the optimum performance from economical, energy saving,
and environmental points of view [12–20]. Gaikwad et al. [12] have simulated the operation
of atmospheric residue vacuum distillation using Chemcad 5.1 software, with the aim to
reduce the energy consumption. Mishra, and Yadav [13] have modeled an industrial slurry
phase reactor (SPR) for vacuum residue hydrocracking using different kinetic models.
Ye et al. [14] have employed a molecular-level reaction kinetic model of delayed coking of
vacuum residue based on the structure-oriented lumping method to predict the product
yield and group composition in the actual delayed coking process. Selalame et al. [15–17]
have reviewed traditional modeling methodologies used in modeling and simulation of
the fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) unit that converts vacuum gas oils and atmospheric
residues into high-value light oil products. Wang et al. [18] have modeled and simulated a
real-life industrial residue hydrotreating process based on Aspen HYSYS/Refining process
simulation software. Sun et al. [19] have modeled and simulated the operation of a vapor
recovery unit of an FCC complex using Aspen Plus process simulation software and
reported a 2.4% reduction in medium pressure steam consumption. Piskunov et al. [20]
have reviewed the main principles of modeling the dependencies of bitumen properties
on their chemical composition, dispersed structure, and other quality parameters. All
heavy oil models discussed in references [12–20] are partial models of diverse heavy oil
treatment processes taking place in the petroleum refining. Their output is typically fed into
linear programming refinery models to evaluate the most economically valuable scenario
to follow during the oil-refining process [21,22]. In linear programming, the algorithm
is performed step by step because it is sequential [23–27]. In contrast, the use of another
approach to modeling processes which run in parallel as it is in the real world is the availing
of Petri nets (see, e.g., [28]) and their extensions as Generalized Nets (GNs, see [1]).

Petri nets were employed for the short-term scheduling optimization of crude oil
operations [29], while generalized nets (GN) were applied to model the processes of
production of automotive gasoline [2], diesel fuels [3], and gas, LPG, propylene, and

The GN is a process description tool that can describe the processes in more details
than Petri nets [4]. The complete analytics of any means of describing a real-world process
(e.g., linear programming) can be described by the characteristics of the token character-
istics in the GN model (see [4]), while the logic of the modeled process is represented by
the predicates of the GN. For a more detailed discussion about the use of GN to model
oil-refining processes, the reader can refer to our recent studies [2–4]. The method to
the modeling of the processes of petroleum-refining product production by the use of
generalized nets is original and all publications to date are the work of the authors.

Considering that the processes of production of automotive gasoline [2], diesel fuels [3],
and gas, LPG, propylene, and polypropylene [4] in a petroleum refinery have been already
modeled employing the toolkit of GN, the current research completes the modeling of all
refined products by dealing with the process of production of different grades of heavy
fuel oil and road pavement bitumen in the petroleum refinery, modeled by the use of
generalized nets. Having modeled all processes of production of all oil-refining products
in the petroleum refinery using distinct GNs enables the construction of a higher-level
GN that encompasses the more detailed, already-established lower-level GN models. The
higher-level GN model can be used to facilitate and optimize the decision-making process
in the petroleum refining.

Our main goal is to describe the main processes in the oil refinery via a series of
papers, based on which, using the hierarchical operators defined over the GNs (such
operators do not exist for other types of Petri nets), we model the processes in the refinery
as a whole. It is important to note that Petri net models are concerned with modeling
individual pieces of the process, which does not allow for a single global model. This
paper can also be seen as yet another application of the apparatus of GNs, which have, so
far, been used to model various real-world processes in the fields of medicine, economics,
education, industry, transportation, and others, with a major emphasis in computer science and artificial intelligence [30–32].

The aim of this research is to investigate the process of production of different grades of heavy fuel oil and road pavement bitumen in a petroleum refinery and model it by the use of GNs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Processing Scheme for Production of Different Grades of Heavy Fuel Oil and Road Pavement Bitumen in a Petroleum Refinery to Be Modeled Using GNs

The fuel oils mainly used as fuels for cargo ships are also called marine fuels. The demand of marine fuels globally was reported to be 640,000 tons per day, highlighting the importance of this fuel for the world economics [33]. Three grades of fuel was produced in the refinery under study (LUKOIL Neftohim Burgas refinery): fuel oil having sulfur content \( \leq 0.5 \) wt.% (Fuel oil 0.5% S); fuel oil having sulfur content \( \leq 1.0 \) wt.% (Fuel oil 1.0% S); and fuel oil having sulfur content \( \leq 2.5 \) wt.% (Fuel oil 2.5% S), as shown in Figure 1.

The specifications of the three fuel oil grades grades produced in the LUKOIL Neftohim Burgas (LNB) refinery are presented in Tables 1–3. The fuel oil products manufactured in the LNB refinery are marketed on the basis of the specifications shown in Tables 1–3.

Figure 1. Processing scheme for production of different grades of heavy fuel oil and road pavement bitumen in a petroleum refinery to be modeled using generalized nets (The numbers in the diagram are related to the quantity of the heavy oil streams in the dimension of t/h. Different colors are used to differentiate the three grades of produced fuel oils (orange for fuel oil 0.5% S, green for fuel oil 1.0% S, and black for fuel oil 2.5% S).
Table 1. Specification for fuel oil 0.5% S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Density at 15 °C</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>991.0</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3675 [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 12185 [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity at 50 °C</td>
<td>mm²/s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>380.0</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3104 [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 445 [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Calculated Carbon Aromaticity Index</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 8754 [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 4294 [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Flash point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 2719 [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 93 (B) [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hydrogen sulfide</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>IP 570 [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Acid number</td>
<td>mg KOH/g</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ASTM D 664 [43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Total sediment</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>BDS ISO 10307-2 [44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determination using standard procedures for aging thermal aging (procedure A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IP 390 [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Carbon residue: micro method</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 10370 [46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pour point (upper)</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3016 [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>% (V/V)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3733 [48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 6245 [49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>IP 501, IP 470 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>IP 501, IP 470 [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Aluminum + Silicon</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>IP 501, IP 470 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ISO 10478 [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Used lubricating oils (ULO):</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td></td>
<td>IP 501, IP 470 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or Calcium</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Phosphorus</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Specification for Fuel oil 1.0% S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Density at 15 °C</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3675 [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 12185 [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity at °C</td>
<td>mm²/s</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3104+AC ASTM D 445 [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 8754 [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 4294 [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>% (v/v)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3733 [48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sediments, content</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3735 [54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Flash point in closed cup</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 2719 [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 93 (B) [41]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pour point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3016 [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 97 [55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Specific combustion heat (lower)</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ASTM D 240 [56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDS ISO 8217 [57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Carbon residue: micro method</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 10370 [46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 6245 [49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Total sediment</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>IP 375 [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determination via hot filtration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDS ISO 10307-1 [59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>IP 470, IP 501 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>IP 470, IP 501 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Aluminum + Silicon</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>IP 470, IP 501 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>IP 470, IP 501 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Asphaltenes</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ASTM D 6560 [60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IP 143 [61]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Specification for Fuel oil 2.5% S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Density at 15 °C</td>
<td>g/cm³</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3675 [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 1298 [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 12185 [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity at 80 °C or Engler specific viscosity at 80 °C</td>
<td>mm²/s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3104 [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>°E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>ASTM D 445 [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDS 1766-74 [63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sulfur content</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 8754 [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 4294 [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5 *</td>
<td>ASTM D 95 [64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mechanical impurities, content</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5 *</td>
<td>ASTM D 473 [65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Flash point in open cup</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 2592 [66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 92 [67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Flash point in closed cup</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 2719 [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 93 (B) [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pour point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 3016 [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 97 [55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Specific combustion heat (lower)</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ASTM D 4809 [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDS ISO 8217 [57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ash content</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 6245 [49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 482 [68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Water soluble acids and alkaly</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>BDS 5252-84 [69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>ASTM D 5863 (A) [70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IP 470, IP 501 [50,51]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The components for production of these three grades of fuel oils are hydrotreated vacuum gas oil (HTVGO); fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) light cycle oil (LCO); FCC heavy cycle oil (HCO); FCC slurry oil (SLO); H-Oil heavy atmospheric gas oil (H-Oil HAGO); H-Oil vacuum gas oil (H-Oil VGO); and an H-Oil hydrocracked vacuum residue called vacuum tower bottom (H-Oil VTB). Their physicochemical properties are summarized in Table 4. These components are produced in the petroleum-refining units: a fluid catalytic cracking feed hydrotreater or a pretreater (FCCPT); fluid catalytic cracking (FCCU); and H-Oil ebullated bed vacuum residue hydrocracking (H-Oil). Details about the performance of these refining units and the qualities of their products are given in our earlier research [73].

### Table 3. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Conradson Carbon residue</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>ASTM D 189 [71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 4530 [72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Asphaltenes</td>
<td>% (m/m)</td>
<td>to be</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTM D 6560 [60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reported</td>
<td></td>
<td>IP 143 [61]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The total value of \( p \cdot (4 + 5) \) does not exceed 0.5 % (m/m).

The components for production of these three grades of fuel oils are hydrotreated vacuum gas oil (HTVGO); fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) light cycle oil (LCO); FCC heavy cycle oil (HCO); FCC slurry oil (SLO); H-Oil heavy atmospheric gas oil (H-Oil HAGO); H-Oil vacuum gas oil (H-Oil VGO); and an H-Oil hydrocracked vacuum residue called vacuum tower bottom (H-Oil VTB). Their physicochemical properties are summarized in Table 4. These components are produced in the petroleum-refining units: a fluid catalytic cracking feed hydrotreater or a pretreater (FCCPT); fluid catalytic cracking (FCCU); and H-Oil ebullated bed vacuum residue hydrocracking (H-Oil). Details about the performance of these refining units and the qualities of their products are given in our earlier research [73].

### Table 4. Physicochemical properties of heavy oils participating in the processing scheme of heavy oil products manufactured in the LUKOIL Neftohim Burgas refinery under study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>SRVGO</th>
<th>SRVR</th>
<th>HTVGO</th>
<th>FCC LCO</th>
<th>FCC HCO</th>
<th>FCC SLO</th>
<th>H-Oil HAGO</th>
<th>H-Oil VGO</th>
<th>H-Oil VTB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density at 15 °C, g/cm³</td>
<td>0.9408</td>
<td>0.9200</td>
<td>1.0024</td>
<td>0.9030</td>
<td>0.9412</td>
<td>1.0336</td>
<td>1.1146</td>
<td>0.9504</td>
<td>0.9707</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSD (ASTM D-7169)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBP</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBP</td>
<td></td>
<td>453</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur, wt.%</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity at 80 °C, mm²/s</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>2172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softening point, °C</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturates, wt.%</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatics, wt.%</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resins, wt.%</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphaltenes, wt.%</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 indicates that the production of road pavement bitumen takes place in the bitumen unit where a blend of straight run vacuum residue and H-Oil VTB are oxidized to manufacture two grades of bitumen: Road bitumen 50/70 and Road bitumen 70/100. The specifications of the two road bitumen grades are presented in Tables 5 and 6.
Table 5. Specification for road bitumen grade 50/70.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Penetration at 25 °C</td>
<td>0.1mm</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>BDS EN 1426 [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Softening point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>BDS EN 1427 [74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fraass breaking point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>minus 8</td>
<td>BDS EN 12593 [75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flash point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 2592 [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Resistance to hardening, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN 12607-1 [66]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|     | change in mass (absolute value) | % (m/m) | -     | 0.5   |
|     | retained penetration           | % (m/m) | 50    | -     |
|     | increase in softening point    | °C      | -     | 9     |

Table 6. Specification for road bitumen grade 70/100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Min Value</th>
<th>Max Value</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Penetration at 25 °C</td>
<td>0.1mm</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BDS EN 1426 [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Softening point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>BDS EN 1427 [74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fraass breaking point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>minus 10</td>
<td>BDS EN 12593 [75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flash point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDS EN ISO 2592 [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Resistance to hardening, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EN 12607-1 [66]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|     | change in mass (absolute value) | % (m/m) | -     | 0.8   |
|     | retained penetration           | % (m/m) | 46    | -     |
|     | increase in softening point    | °C      | -     | 9     |

| 6  | Solubility                   | % (m/m) | 99.0  | -     | BDS EN 12592 [79]    |
| 7  | Paraffin wax content         | % (m/m) | -     | 2.2   | BDS EN 12606-17 [54] |

Details about the production of road pavement bitumen from straight run vacuum residue (VR) and H-Oil VTB can be found in our recent research [73]. Figure 1 also shows that the Vacuum Residue (VR) and the vacuum gas oil (SRVGO) availed to produce the components for the manufacture of the fuel oil grades, and so the road pavement bitumen are obtained in the vacuum distillation units (VDU 1 and 2), where the atmospheric residue derived from the crude distillation units is fractionated. Details about the performance of the vacuum distillation units are explained in [12].

2.2. Short Notes on the Theory of GNs

A full description of the GNs is given in [1]; short one, e.g., in [4]. So, here we will mention only that the GNs, in contrast to Petri nets, have tokens that enter the net with initial characteristics, and at the time of their transfer in the net, they obtain their next characteristics, having the possibility to collect all received characteristics if this is necessary for the concrete model.

The second important difference between Petri nets and GNs is in the existence of predicates associated with the separate GN transitions that determine the directions of the token’s transfers. Both of these ideas in their full form were introduced for the first time for GNs. On one hand, they are extensions of the colored Petri nets [80], because each token’s color can be represent as a token’s characteristic, and on the other hand, the special matrices of the transition condition predicates are essential extensions of the idea for the predicate transition nets (see [81]). The concept of an Index Matrix (IM, see, e.g., [82]) was introduced in 1987, especially for the needs of a mathematical description of the operations with GN transitions (see [1]).
3. Results of Modeling Heavy Oil Product Manufacturing in a Petroleum Refinery Using Generalized Nets

The GN model contains 8 transitions, 35 places, and 8 types of tokens (see Figure 2). The meaning of the transitions is as follows:

- VDU—Vacuum distillation unit
- FCCPT—Fluid catalytic cracking feed pretreater
- H-Oil—H-Oil vacuum residue hydrocracker
- FCCU—Fluid catalytic cracking unit
- BU—Road pavement (asphalt) bitumen production unit
- 0.5 S—Fuel oil containing maximum of 0.5 wt.% sulfur
- 1.0 S—Fuel oil containing maximum of 1.0 wt.% sulfur
- 2.5 S—Fuel oil containing maximum of 2.5 wt.% sulfur

In the initial time moment of the GN functioning, token \( \alpha_0 \) stays in place \( l_1 \) with an initial characteristic

"Atmospheric Residue (AR), initial quantity";

token \( \beta_0 \) stays in place \( l_9 \) with an initial characteristic

"Straight run vacuum gas oil (SRVGO), initial quantity";

token \( \gamma_0 \) stays in place \( l_{17} \) with an initial characteristic

"Blend of straight run vacuum residue (SRVR), FCC HCO, and FCC SLO, initial quantity";

token \( \delta_0 \) stays in place \( l_{26} \) with an initial characteristic

"Blend of vacuum gas oils consisting of SRVGO, and H-Oil VGO, initial quantity";

token \( \epsilon_0 \) stays in place \( l_{29} \) with an initial characteristic

"Blend of SRVR, and hydrocracked vacuum residue, initial quantity";

token \( \zeta_0 \) stays in place \( l_{31} \) with an initial characteristic

"Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 0.5 wt.%, initial quantity";

token \( \eta_0 \) stays in place \( l_{33} \) with an initial characteristic

"Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 1.0 wt.%, initial quantity";

token \( \theta_0 \) stays in place \( l_{35} \) with an initial characteristic

"Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 2.5 wt.%, initial quantity";

In each next time-moment, tokens \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots \) enter place \( l_1 \) with initial characteristics

"AR, current arriving quantity".
Figure 2. A GN model of the manufacturing of heavy oil products in “LUKOIL Neftohim Burgas” refinery.
For brevity, below, we will denote these tokens as $\alpha$ without their (current) lower indices. Following the same way, we will omit the lower indices of the $\beta$-$\gamma$ and $\delta$-tokens, the sense of which will be described below.

The GN transitions have the following forms.

$$VDU = \left\langle \{l_1, l_5\}, \{l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5\},\begin{array}{cccc} l_2 & l_3 & l_4 & l_4 \\ l_1 & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{true} \\ l_5 & W_{5,2} & W_{5,3} & W_{5,4} & \text{true} \end{array} \rightangle,$$

where

$W_{5,2} =$ “there is a request for AR from BU”,

$W_{5,3} =$ “there is a request for AR from H-Oil”,

$W_{5,4} =$ “there is a request for AR from FCCPT”.

When $\alpha$-token enters place $l_1$, on the next time moment, it enters place $l_5$ and unites with token $\alpha_0$ that obtains the characteristic “AR, current quantity in the reservoir”.

With respect to the truth values of predicates $W_{5,2}$, $W_{5,3}$, $W_{5,4}$, token $\alpha_0$ splits into two, three, or four tokens—the same token $\alpha_0$ continues to stay in place $l_5$ with the above-mentioned characteristic, and tokens $\alpha^1$, $\alpha^2$ and/or $\alpha^3$, obtain, respectively, the characteristics

“$q_1$ AR for BU”

in place $l_2$, where $q_1 \in [0, Q_1]$;

“$q_2$ AR for H-Oil”

in place $l_3$, where $q_2 \in [0, Q_2]$;

“$q_3$ AR for FCCPT”

in place $l_4$, where $q_3 \in [0, Q_3]$.

Here and below, $Q_i$ is the maximal quantity for the $i$-th heavy oil component participating in the production of fuel oil and road pavement bitumen, where $1 \leq i \leq 26$.

$$FUCPT = \left\langle \{l_4, l_9\}, \{l_6, l_7, l_8, l_9\},\begin{array}{cccc} l_6 & l_7 & l_8 & l_8 \\ l_1 & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{true} \\ l_9 & W_{9,6} & W_{9,7} & W_{9,8} & \text{true} \end{array} \rightangle,$$

where

$W_{9,6} =$ “there is a request for HTVGO for production of fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 0.5% S”,

$W_{9,7} =$ “there is a request for HTVGO as a feed for fluid catalytic cracking unit”,

$W_{9,8} =$ “there is a request for HTVGO for production of fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 1.0% S”.

The $\alpha^3$-token from place $l_4$ enters place $l_9$ and unites with token $\beta_0$ that obtains the characteristic “Blend of vacuum gas oils consisting of SRVGO, and H-Oil VGO, current quantity in the reservoir”.

With respect to the truth values of predicates $W_{9,6}$, $W_{9,7}$, $W_{9,8}$, token $\beta_0$ splits into two, three, or four tokens—the same token $\beta_0$ continues to stay in place $l_9$ with the above-mentioned characteristic, and tokens $\beta^1$, $\beta^2$ and/or $\beta^3$, obtain, respectively, the characteristics
“q₄ HTVGO for fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 0.5% for FCCU”
in place l₆, where q₄ ∈ [0, Q₄];

“q₅ HTVGO for fluid catalytic cracking unit”
in place l₇, where q₅ ∈ [0, Q₅];

“q₆ HTVGO for Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 1.0 wt.% S”
in place l₈, where q₆ ∈ [0, Q₆].

\[
H - Oil = \langle \{l₃, l₁₇, l₁₈, l₁₉\}, \{l₁₀, l₁₁, l₁₂, l₁₃, l₁₄, l₁₅, l₁₆, l₁₇\} \rangle,
\]

where

\[
W_{17,10} = \text{“there is a request for VTB for Bitumen”},
W_{17,11} = \text{“there is a request for HAGO for Fuel oil 0.5% S”},
W_{17,12} = \text{“there is a request for VGO for Fuel oil 1.0% S”},
W_{17,13} = \text{“there is a request for VTB for Fuel oil 1.0% S”},
W_{17,14} = \text{“there is a request for VTB for Fuel oil 2.5% S”},
W_{17,15} = \text{“there is a request for VGO for Fuel oil 2.5% S”},
W_{17,16} = \text{“there is a request for VGO as a feed for FCCU”}.
\]

The α²-token from place l₃ enters place l₁₇ and unites with token γ₀ that obtains the characteristic

“Straight run vacuum residue (SRVR), current quantity in the reservoir”.

With respect to the truth values of predicates W₁₇,₁₀, ..., W₁₇,₁₆, token γ₀ splits into two, three, ..., or seven tokens—the same token γ₀ continues to stay in place l₁₇ with the above-mentioned characteristic, and tokens γ₁, ..., or γ₇, obtain, respectively, the characteristics

“q₇ VTB for BU”
in place l₁₀, where q₇ ∈ [0, Q₇];

“q₈ HAGO for Fuel oil 0.5% S”
in place l₁₁, where q₈ ∈ [0, Q₈];

“q₉ VGO for Fuel oil 1.0% S”
in place l₁₂, where q₉ ∈ [0, Q₉];

“q₁₀ VTB for Fuel oil 1.0% S”
in place l₁₃, where q₁₀ ∈ [0, Q₁₀];

“q₁₁ VTB for Fuel oil 2.5% S”
in place $l_{14}$, where $q_{11} \in [0, Q_{11}]$;

“$q_{12}$ VGO for Fuel oil 2.5% S”

in place $l_{15}$, where $q_{12} \in [0, Q_{12}]$;

“$q_{13}$ VGO as a feed for FCCU for FCCU”

in place $l_{16}$, where $q_{13} \in [0, Q_{13}]$.

\[
\text{FCCU} = \langle \{l_7, l_{16}, l_{26}, \}, \{l_{18}, l_{19}, l_{20}, l_{21}, l_{22}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{25}, l_{26}\} \rangle,
\]

where

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 l_1 & l_{18} & l_{19} & l_{20} & l_{21} & l_{22} & l_{23} & l_{24} & l_{25} & l_{26} \\
 \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{true} \\
 \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{false} & \text{true} \\
 l_{26} & W_{26,18} & W_{26,19} & W_{26,20} & W_{26,21} & W_{26,22} & W_{26,23} & W_{26,24} & W_{26,25} & \text{true}
\end{array}
\]

The $\alpha$-token from place $l_9$ and $\gamma$-token from $l_{16}$ enter place $l_{26}$ and unite with token $\delta_0$ that obtains the characteristics:

“FCC feed (blend of vacuum gas oils), current quantity in the reservoir”.

With respect to the truth values of predicates $W_{26,18}, \ldots, W_{26,25}$, token $\delta_0$ splits into two, three, ..., or eight tokens—the same token $\delta_0$ continues to stay in place $l_{26}$ with the above-mentioned characteristic, and tokens $\delta^1, \ldots$ and/or $\delta^8$, obtain, respectively, the characteristics

“$q_{14}$ SLO as a feed for H-Oil unit”

in place $l_{18}$, where $q_{14} \in [0, Q_{14}]$;

“$q_{15}$ HCO as a feed for H-Oil unit”

in place $l_{19}$, where $q_{15} \in [0, Q_{15}]$;

“$q_{16}$ LCO for Fuel oil 1.0% S”

in place $l_{20}$, where $q_{16} \in [0, Q_{16}]$;

“$q_{17}$ HCO for Fuel oil 1.0% S”

in place $l_{21}$, where $q_{17} \in [0, Q_{17}]$;

“$q_{18}$ SLO for Fuel oil 1.0% S”

in place $l_{22}$, where $q_{18} \in [0, Q_{18}]$;

“$q_{19}$ LCO for Fuel oil 2.5% S”
in place $l_{23}$, where $q_{19} \in [0, Q_{19}]$;

“$q_{20}$ HCO for Fuel oil 2.5% S”

in place $l_{24}$, where $q_{20} \in [0, Q_{20}]$;

“$q_{21}$ SLO for Fuel oil 2.5% S”

in place $l_{25}$, where $q_{21} \in [0, Q_{21}]$.

$$BU = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|ccc} l_7 & l_{27} & l_{28} & l_{29} \\ \hline l_2 & false & false & true \\ l_{10} & false & false & true \\ l_{29} & W_{29,27} & W_{29,28} & true \end{array} \right\},$$

where

$W_{29,27} = \text{“there is a request for Road bitumen grade 50/70”}$,

$W_{29,28} = \text{“there is a request for Road bitumen grade 70/100”}$.

The $\alpha$-token from place $l_2$ and $\gamma$-token from place $l_{10}$ enter place $l_{29}$ and unite with token $\epsilon_0$ that obtains the characteristics:

“Bitumen feed (blend of SRVR, and H-Oil VTB), current quantity in the reservoir”.

With respect to the truth values of predicates $W_{29,27}$ and $W_{29,28}$, token $\epsilon_0$ splits into two or three tokens—the same token $\epsilon_0$ continues to stay in place $l_{29}$ with the above-mentioned characteristic, and tokens $\epsilon^1$ and $\epsilon^2$, obtain, respectively, the characteristics

“$q_{22}$ Road bitumen grade 50/70”

in place $l_{27}$, where $q_{22} \in [0, Q_{22}]$;

“$q_{23}$ Road bitumen grade 70/100”

in place $l_{28}$, where $q_{23} \in [0, Q_{23}]$.

$$0.5\%S = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|cc} l_6 & l_{30} & l_{31} \\ \hline l_6 & false & true \\ l_{11} & false & true \\ l_{31} & W_{31,30} & true \end{array} \right\},$$

where

$W_{31,30} = \text{“there is a request for Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 0.5 wt.% S”}$.

The $\gamma$-token from place $l_{11}$ and $\beta$-token from place $l_7$ enter place $l_{31}$ and unite with token $\xi_0$ that obtains the characteristics:

“Requested amount of Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 0.5 wt.% S, current quantity in the reservoir”.

When the truth value of predicate $W_{31,30}$ is true, token $\xi_0$ splits into two tokens—the same token $\xi_0$ continues to stay in place $l_{31}$ with the above-mentioned characteristic, and token $\xi^1$ obtains the characteristics:

“$q_{24}$ Requested amount of Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 0.5 wt.% S”

in place $l_{30}$, where $q_{24} \in [0, Q_{24}]$. 
1.0%S = \left\{ (l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{20}, l_{21}, l_{22}, l_{33}), (l_{32}, l_{33}) \right\},

where

\[ W_{33,32} = \text{“there is a request for Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 1.0 wt.% S”}. \]

The \( \gamma \)-tokens from places \( l_{12} \) and \( l_{13} \) and the \( \delta \)-tokens from places \( l_{20}, l_{21}, l_{22} \) enter place \( l_{33} \) and unite with token \( \eta_0 \) that obtains the characteristics:

“Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 1.0 wt.% S, current quantity in the reservoir”.

When the truth value of predicate \( W_{33,32} \) is \textit{true}, token \( \eta_0 \) splits into two tokens—the same token \( \eta_0 \) continues to stay in place \( l_{33} \) with the above-mentioned characteristic, and token \( \eta_1 \) obtains the characteristics:

“\( q_{25} \) Requested amount of Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 1.0 wt.% S”

in place \( l_{32} \), where \( q_{25} \in [0, Q_{25}] \).

\[
2.5\%S = \left\{ (l_{8}, l_{14}, l_{15}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{25}, l_{35}), (l_{34}, l_{35}) \right\},
\]

where

\[ W_{35,34} = \text{“there is a request for Requested amount of Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 2.5 wt.% S”}. \]

The \( \gamma \)-tokens from places \( l_{14} \) and \( l_{15} \) and the \( \delta \)-tokens from places \( l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{25} \) enter place \( l_{35} \) and unite with token \( \theta_0 \) that obtains the characteristics:

“Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 2.5 wt.% S, current quantity in the reservoir”.

When the truth value of predicate \( W_{35,34} \) is \textit{true}, token \( \theta_0 \) splits into two tokens—the same token \( \theta_0 \) continues to stay in place \( l_{35} \) with the above-mentioned characteristic, and token \( \theta_1 \) obtains the characteristics:

“\( q_{26} \) Requested amount of Fuel oil with maximum sulfur content of 2.5 wt.% S”

in place \( l_{42} \), where \( q_{26} \in [0, Q_{26}] \).

\[ \text{4. Discussion} \]

As evident from Figure 1, the production of the four grades of fuel oil and the three grades of road pavement bitumen is a complex parallel process involving five processing units (VDU, FCCPT, FCCU, H-Oil, and BU), where ten heavy-oil-refined products with properties shown in Table 4 are manufactured. By properly blending the ten heavy-oil-refined products that account for their physicochemical properties’ variation discussed in
our earlier research [73], the finished five heavy oil products are obtained. This complex parallel process was possible to model by the use of generalized nets. The developed GN model for the production of different grades of heavy fuel oil and road pavement bitumen in the refinery is the fourth, last GN model after the one developed by us concerning GN models on the production of automotive gasoline [2], diesel [3], and fuel gas, LPG, propylene, and polypropylene [4].

The methodology used here is based on the theory of GNs. The developed model follows the principles of organization of each oil refinery and the specific data to be processed in simulation are taken from a specific refinery: LUKOIL Neftohim Burgas (LNB). The model presented in this paper is principled and it will be a part (subnetwork) of the future hierarchical production model. The higher GN model will incorporate the models already created for the production of individual refined products into a single whole, which enables a comprehensive analysis of the refinery’s operations and decision making concerning the influence of various factors such as disruptions in the feedstock supply, the occurrence of unplanned shutdowns, optimization of the production process, evaluation of the suitability of adding new technological units, etc.

Usually, linear programming is used for cases where it is known that a certain amount of raw material with certain characteristics will be delivered after a certain period of time. However, when this clarity is lacking due to the dynamic nature of the processes involved, the tools of linear programming are not sufficient for adequate programming and planning. For example, sudden changes in the price of crude oil, changes in the supply and demand situation for specific petroleum products, etc. In a GN model, we can represent everything that is obtained via linear programming, with all the information specified by the characteristics of some of the tokens of the net. On the other hand, a specific GN model can be added as a subnet to a GN model, for example, of an expert system making decisions for defined situations (see [82]). Furthermore, in another subnet, different situations can be simulated for the considered GN model to simulate. In order to see how a real process would run under specific conditions, each such GN will be hierarchically included in the next GN model that we plan to prepare in the near future. Unlike other types of Petri nets, in GN, we specify predicates that determine the direction of the token movements. Through these predicates, we can represent the logic of the flow of the modeled process. When the conditions for the process flow change, this is modeled by changing the type of the corresponding predicates in the GN.

5. Conclusions

Similar to the modeling of the processes of production of different grades of automotive gasoline, automotive diesel, and fuel gas, LPG, propylene, and polypropylene, the processes of production of different grades of heavy oil products in a petroleum refinery was also possible to be modeled by the use of generalized nets. All of these processes are complex and parallel and their modeling via the employment of GN allows us to avoid the shortcomings of linear, and even dynamic, programming (where the difficulty comes from the inability to reflect the logic of cause and effect relationships). The combination of the four already-established distinct GN models, which simulate in detail the processes of all oil-refined products’ production, in another higher hierarchy GN and its model program realization is the next paper under preparation, which completes our study dedicated to GN modeling oil-refining processes. Through this series of papers, a new approach is proposed to model the processes in a refinery that is more global than those currently available.
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