

**A NEW ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN δ -OPERATOR BASED TRANSFER
FUNCTION FROM ITS CONTINUOUS TIME COUNTERPART**

Omer GUNDOGDU

Department of Mechanical Engineering ,
Aeronautical Engineering and Mechanics

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Troy, New York 12180

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the advantages of the δ -operator over the forward shift operator q in implementation of discrete-time systems. The δ -operator gives better coefficient representation and less round-off noise in many cases. As an application, a new algorithm is introduced here to obtain δ -operator based transfer functions from continuous time counterparts. Finally, a fourth-order Butterworth low-pass filter is taken as an example to compare coefficient sensitivity effects of q - and δ -operator systems.

Keywords: δ -Transform, coefficient sensitivity, numerical instability, discretization, stability

INTRODUCTION

A widely used operator in digital control is the forward shift-operator q . However, q -operator based algorithms are ill-conditioned in high speed applications. As an alternative, δ -operator-based implementation of discrete-time systems has become the center of recent research activities because of their

- a) superior finite word length coefficient representations and reduced round-off noise
- b) convergence to continuous-time counterparts as the sampling time approaches to zero.

Therefore, better results can be obtained if the shift operator is replaced by an incremental difference operator, namely δ -operator:

$$\delta = \frac{q-1}{T} \quad (1)$$

where T is the sampling time [1-4].

As an application, the counterpart of Groutage algorithm [5] is introduced here to show how to obtain the δ -operator equivalent of a continuous time transfer function by means of the bilinear transformation. The counterpart of the bilinear transformation

$$s = \frac{2\delta}{2+T\delta} \quad (2)$$

where T is the sampling time, is frequently used to obtain an approximate discrete equivalent $H(\delta)$ from a continuous transfer function $H(s)$ [1]. Given the continuous time transfer function of linear time invariant, finite-dimensional system

$$H(s) = \frac{A_n s^n + A_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + A_0}{B_n s^n + B_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + B_0} \quad (3)$$

where A_n and B_n are non zero, the function $H(\delta)$ will be

$$H(\delta) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n A_{n-i} 2^{n-i} \delta^{n-i} (2+T\delta)^i}{\sum_{i=0}^n B_{n-i} 2^{n-i} \delta^{n-i} (2+T\delta)^i} \quad (4)$$

$H(\delta)$ can also be written as the ratio of two n -th degree polynomials:

$$H(\delta) = \frac{A'_n \delta^n + A'_{n-1} \delta^{n-1} + \dots + A'_0}{B'_n \delta^n + B'_{n-1} \delta^{n-1} + \dots + B'_0} \quad (5)$$

The problem becomes finding the coefficients A'_n and B'_n of the polynomials in equation (5). To solve this, one equates the respective numerators and denominators of (4) and (5)

$$A'_n \delta^n + A'_{n-1} \delta^{n-1} + \dots + A'_0 = \sum_{i=0}^n A_{n-i} 2^{n-i} \delta^{n-i} (2+T\delta)^i \quad (6)$$

$$B'_n \delta^n + B'_{n-1} \delta^{n-1} + \dots + B'_0 = \sum_{i=0}^n B_{n-i} 2^{n-i} \delta^{n-i} (2+T\delta)^i \quad (7)$$

Let δ take $(n+1)$ values of r_k 's on the circumference of the shifted circle of radius $1/T$, which is located at $(-1/T, 0)$. The values of the roots can be calculated using the formula

$r_k = \frac{1}{T}(-1 + e^{i\theta k})$ for $k=0,1,\dots,n$. When these values are substituted into the equations (6) and (7), the resulting $(n+1)$ equations can be solved for $(n+1)$ coefficients A'_n and B'_n . The set of equations in the matrix form is given as

$$F_n a_n = \Sigma_a \quad (8)$$

where F_n is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ complex matrix of coefficients

$$F_n = \begin{bmatrix} r_0^n & r_0^{n-1} & \dots & r_0^0 \\ r_1^n & r_1^{n-1} & \dots & r_1^0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ r_n^n & r_n^{n-1} & \dots & r_n^0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

a_n is the $(n+1) \times 1$ complex vector of unknowns

$$a_n = [A'_n \ A'_{n-1} \ \dots \ A'_0]^T \quad (10)$$

and Σ_a is the $(n+1) \times 1$ complex vector of function evaluations

$$\Sigma_a = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^n A_{n-i} 2^{n-i} \delta^{n-i} (2 + T\delta)^i \Big|_{\delta=r_0} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=0}^n A_{n-i} 2^{n-i} \delta^{n-i} (2 + T\delta)^i \Big|_{\delta=r_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

The solution to this system is given by

$$a_n = F_n^{-1} \Sigma_a \quad (12)$$

However, since r_0 's are all zero, each element at the first row of the matrix F_n is zero. Therefore, it is not possible to invert this matrix to get a solution, but if the system is carefully investigated it can be seen that it is not actually necessary to solve the equations for A'_0 and B'_0 , because they can easily be figured out from equations (5) and (6) that $A'_0 = 2^n A_0$ and $B'_0 = 2^n B_0$ when $i=n$. Thus, the system turns out to be a $n \times n$ matrix system, and as is expected the complex term in the solution is zero. Similarly, the coefficients B'_n of the denominator can be evaluated from $b_n = F_n^{-1} \Sigma_b$ in the same way.

As an example, step responses for q - and δ -operator implementations of a fourth order Butterworth low-pass filter [1] are drawn in the figure to show the finite word length effect and the convergence of the δ system to its continuous counterpart as the sampling rate is increased.

Conclusion

The superiority of the δ -operator over forward shift operator q is mainly due to better numerical results obtained by the computers that allow only a certain number of binary bits on each mantissa. Since the δ -operator gives better coefficient representation and converges to its continuous-time counterpart as the sampling rate is increased, it leads to an implementation of shorter wordlength and a unified treatment of both continuous and discrete time systems.

References

1. Middleton, R.H., and Goodwin, G.C., *Digital Control and Estimation*, Prentice-Hall, 1990.
2. Vijayan, R., Poor, H.V., Moore, J.B., and Goodwin, G.C., *IEEE Trans. On Auto. Cont.*, vol. 36, pp. 314-321, Mar. 1991.
3. Goodwin, G.C., Middleton, R.H., and Poor, H.V., *Proce. of the IEEE*, vol. 80, pp 240-259, 1992.
4. Likourezos, G., *Proce. of the IEEE*, vol. 80, 238-239, 1992.
5. Groutage, F.D., et al., *IEEE Trans. On Auto. Cont.*, vol. AC-32, pp 635-637, July 1987.
6. Gundogdu, O., *ASME Grad. Stud. Tech. Conf.* Tampa, Florida, May 1995.

Fig. Unit step responses for analog, q- and delta-operators

