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1. Introduction

Similar to the Al-Mn system, diverse complex intermetallics in the binary Al-Fe system have been discovered, including quasiperiodic and periodic quasi-crystalline approximate phases [1,2]. Among them, the Al$_2$Fe and Al$_5$Fe$_2$ phases have been extensively studied [3–7]. Studies on the crystal structure of the Al$_2$Fe phase can be traced back to half a century ago. In 1973, Corby et al. [8] studied the crystal structure of Al$_2$Fe for the first time using the anomalous dispersion method. The refined composition of the phase is Al$_{17}$Fe$_7$ with space group P11; There are three Al/Fe co-occupying atoms in the unit cell. The lattice parameters are determined to be $d = 4.878 (1)$ Å, $b = 6.461 (2)$ Å, $c = 8.800 (3)$ Å, $\alpha = 91.75 (5)^\circ$, $\beta = 73.27 (5)^\circ$, $\gamma = 96.89 (3)^\circ$. In 1978, Bastin et al. [9] investigated the crystal structure of Al$_2$Fe using the Weissenberg technique. The authors consider the unit cell of Al$_2$Fe can be described as an A-centered pseudo-monoclinic cell with $a = 7.594$ Å, $b = 16.686$ Å, $c = 4.863$ Å, $\alpha = 89.55^\circ$, $\beta = 122.62^\circ$, $\gamma = 90.43^\circ$. Due to the inconsistency of the above two research results, Chumak et al. [10] have re-determined the crystal structure of Al$_2$Fe again by single-crystal X-ray diffraction method in 2010. The refined composition was Al$_{12.59}$Fe$_{6.41}$ with space group $P\bar{1}$; There are two Al/Fe co-occupying atoms in the unit cell, and the lattice parameters are $a = 4.8745 (6)$ Å, $b = 6.4545 (8)$ Å, $c = 8.7361 (10)$ Å, $\alpha = 87.930 (9)^\circ$, $\beta = 74.396 (9)^\circ$, $\gamma = 83.062 (9)^\circ$. For the crystal structure of Al$_5$Fe$_2$, Schubert et al. [11] first determined the crystal structure of the Al$_5$Fe$_2$ phase by X-ray powder diffraction method in 1953. They consider the phase to have $Cmcm$ space group and consists of three independent atoms, including a vacancy atom AlI with an occupancy of 0.2. The cell parameters are
a = 7.675 Å, b = 6.403 Å, c = 4.203 Å, α = 90°. In 1994, Burkhardt et al. [12] re-determined the fine crystal structure of this phase by the single-crystal X-ray diffraction method. They proposed that this phase has two vacant atoms, namely Al1 and Al2 atoms, with the site of occupation factors (S.O.F) of 0.32 and 0.24, respectively. Furthermore, several studies have shown that the Al3Fe2 phase has an ordered cryogenic phase [13–16].

As aforementioned, the crystal structure models of Al2Fe and Al5Fe2 phases have been studied extensively; however, there are no reports on the co-existence of the two phases. Mihalkovič et al. [17] studied the structure and stability of Al2Fe and Al5Fe2 phases by first-principles calculations. Hirata et al. [18] studied the crystal structure of Al2Fe and Al5Fe2 phases and found that the crystal structure of the two phases has a similar decagonal-like atomic arrangement. Romero-Romero et al. [19] studied the structural stability of Al2Fe and Al5Fe2 phases by the high-energy ball-milling method. They found that the Al2Fe phase underwent a phase transition and transformed into the Al5Fe2 phase after 10 h of high-energy ball milling.

In the present work, samples with nominal Al2Fe composition were prepared using the arc melting method, and the co-existence of the Al2Fe phase and Al5Fe2 phase in the educts was discovered by analyzing the SXRD data sets. Second, we solved and refined the crystal structures of the two phases separately and obtained the orientation relationship of the two phases in real space by comparing their orientation matrix in the reciprocal space. Finally, concerning the vitally important role played by interfaces for industrial applications [20,21], the parallel atomic planes between the coexisting phases were analyzed, and one preferential interface was constructed by referring to the reconstructed reciprocal-space precession images.

2. Materials and Methods

High-purity aluminum (2.457 g) and iron (2.543 g) powders, according to the atomic ratio of 2:1, were pressed into blocks and then melted in a vacuum furnace for 4 cycles to ensure a uniform composition. The sintered block was broken into small pieces, and a cuboid-shaped fragment with a size of 0.08 × 0.06 × 0.03 mm3 was selected and mounted on a thin glass fiber for SXRD measurements. Diffraction measurements were carried out with a four-circle single-crystal X-ray diffractometer (Bruker D8 Venture, Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). Two SEM and EDX tests have been conducted on the sample. In the first test, the electron microscope Hitachi S-3400N type equipped with EDX (EDAX Inc., Mahwah, NJ, USA) was used, and in the second test, the electron microscope ZEISS Sigma 300 type equipped with EDX (OXford, UK) was used.

All data sets from SXRD are processed by the APEX3 program [22], including indexing, integration, scaling, absorption correction [23], space group determination, structural solving, and refinement [24,25]. The structural models are drawn with the Diamond program [26]. The building clusters of the studied phases are analyzed by the ToposPro package [27].

3. Results

3.1. Single-Crystal XRD Patterns

The diffraction points in the reciprocal space of the whole sample collected by 10 runs of the single-crystal XRD measurements are illustrated in Figure 1. As can be seen from Figure 1, these diffraction points can be clearly divided into two different data sets, implying two independent different phases. In the following, the two data sets will be analyzed separately in the reciprocal space. It needs to be noted that the single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements on the sample include a total of 10 runs, and a total of 4793 diffraction points were harvested with the criteria of I/σ(I) equals 3 in the reciprocal space when indexing the phases. Among these 4793 diffraction points, there are 2984 diffraction points belong to the Al2Fe phase, 1032 diffraction points belong to the Al5Fe2 phase, and the remaining 777 diffraction points belong to anomalous phases, either an amorphous phase or some very tiny crystalline phases, which cannot be indexed to de-
termine a unit cell. We have also conducted a Phi360 test (with the sample rotating around the psi axis) on the sample by the single-crystal X-ray diffraction diffractometer, which is equivalent to XRD powder diffraction (see Figure S1 of the Supplementary Material).

Figure 1. Diffraction points in the reciprocal space of the whole sample projected along different directions. The data set in white and green color indicates the Al$_2$Fe and Al$_3$Fe$_2$ phase, respectively: (a) Projection along the c*-axis of the Al$_2$Fe phase; (b) Projection along the b*-axis of the Al$_3$Fe$_2$ phase.

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the diffraction patterns of the Al$_2$Fe and Al$_3$Fe$_2$ phases projected in three axes, along with their crystal structures, respectively. It can be seen that the diffraction points in the reciprocal space are arranged quite neatly for both phases. The first data set (indicated as white color in Figure 1) is indexed to be $a = 4.86$ Å, $b = 6.44$ Å, $c = 8.74$ Å, $\alpha = 87.88^\circ$, $\beta = 74.47^\circ$, $\gamma = 83.06^\circ$, in accordance with those of the Al$_2$Fe phase as shown in Figure 2. The second data set (indicated as green color in Figure 1) is indexed to be $a = 7.63$ Å, $b = 6.41$ Å, $c = 4.20$ Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$, in accordance with those of the Al$_3$Fe$_2$ phase as shown in Figure 3. The cell parameters of the Al$_2$Fe and Al$_3$Fe$_2$ phases are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Crystallographic and experimental data of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Formula</th>
<th>Al$<em>{12.48}$Fe$</em>{6.52}$</th>
<th>Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$/°</td>
<td>87.873 (4), 74.463 (4), 83.060 (4)</td>
<td>90, 90, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$/Å$^3$</td>
<td>261.18 (5)</td>
<td>205.01 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$\bar{P}1$</td>
<td>Cmcm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffractometer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bruker D8 Venture Photon 100 COMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monochromator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{meas}}$/K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time per step/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bruker D8 Venture Photon 100 COMS
Graphite
300 (2)
Mo-Ka, $\lambda = 0.71073$ (Å)
$\phi$ and $\omega$ scan
3
Multi-scan
Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Formula</th>
<th>Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}</th>
<th>Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F (000)</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ range/°</td>
<td>2.421~27.571</td>
<td>4.158~24.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>9.803</td>
<td>8.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. measured reflections</td>
<td>7202</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. unique reflections</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. observed reflections (I &gt; 2σ (I))</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. reflections used in refinement</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. parameters used in refinement</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection range</td>
<td>−6 ≤ h ≤ 6, −8 ≤ k ≤ 8, −11 ≤ l ≤ 11</td>
<td>−6 ≤ h ≤ 9, −6 ≤ k ≤ 7, −4 ≤ l ≤ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{int}</td>
<td>0.0957</td>
<td>0.0567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (σ)</td>
<td>0.0692</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices (Fobs &gt; 4σ (Fobs))</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0543, ωR₂ = 0.1044</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0363, ωR₂ = 0.0844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0797, ωR₂ = 0.1044</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0597, ωR₂ = 0.0844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness of fit</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. The diffraction patterns of the Al₂Fe and its crystal structure: (a) Projection along the a* axis; (b) Projection along the b* axis; (c) Projection along the c* axis; (d) Crystal structure of Al₂Fe phase.
3.2. The Refinement of Al<sub>2</sub>Fe Phase and Al<sub>5</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub> Phase

Detailed crystal data, data collection, and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. For the Al<sub>2</sub>Fe phase, as the residual electron density around the Fe4 atom is too large, thus it is designated as a disordered atom, and the PART instruction (means to divide disordered atoms into two or more groups, each representing a disordered component) is used to separate it to Fe4A atom and Al4B atom. The S.O.F for Fe4A and Al4B atoms is 0.758 and 0.242, respectively. All the remaining atoms are completely occupied, and the chemical formula was refined to Al<sub>12.48</sub>Fe<sub>6.52</sub>. The final crystallographic parameter R<sub>1</sub> is 0.0543, ωR<sub>2</sub> is 0.1044 (F<sub>obs</sub> > 4σ (F<sub>obs</sub>)), and goodness of fit S is 1.057. During the structural refinement of Al<sub>5</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub> phase, it is found that it is more reasonable for Al1 and Al2 atoms to be refined in the form of vacancy atoms with the S.O.F of the Al1 atom to be 0.50 while that of the Al2 atom to be 0.18, resulting the final refined chemical formula to be Al<sub>5.72</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>. The
final crystallographic parameter $R_1$ is 0.0363, $\omega R_2$ is 0.0844 ($F_{\text{obs}} > 4\sigma (F_{\text{obs}})$), and goodness of fit $S$ is 1.017. All the parameters meet the requirements of international crystallography for the rationalization of the crystal structure.

Table 2 shows detailed information about the atomic occupancy of the Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase, where $U_{\text{eq}}$ is the equivalent isotropic temperature factor and Occ. is the site of occupation factors of atoms. It can be seen that there are two disordered (co-occupying) atoms in this structure, named the Fe4A atom and the Al4B atom. These two atoms occupy the same position with S.O.F of 0.758 and 0.242 for Fe4A and Al4B, respectively. When comparing the present refined crystal structure model with the previously reported Al$_2$Fe phase as refined to be Al$_{12.59}$Fe$_{6.41}$ determined in 2010 [10], one can find that they agree with each other quite well except for the slightly different S.O.F for the co-occupied position. In the previous model, the S.O.F is 0.705 and 0.295 for Fe4A and Al4B, respectively, while in the present one, the ratio of S.O.F between Fe4A and Al4B is much closer to 3:1.

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\AA^2$) of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>Occ.</th>
<th>$U_{\text{eq}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe1</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.1425 (3)</td>
<td>0.15847 (19)</td>
<td>0.41690 (14)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0066 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.2296 (3)</td>
<td>0.3508 (2)</td>
<td>0.87452 (15)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe3</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0083 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe4A</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.1640 (3)</td>
<td>0.4744 (2)</td>
<td>0.59039 (18)</td>
<td>0.758 (12)</td>
<td>0.0115 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al4B</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.1640 (3)</td>
<td>0.4744 (2)</td>
<td>0.59039 (18)</td>
<td>0.242 (12)</td>
<td>0.0115 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.4915 (6)</td>
<td>0.0106 (4)</td>
<td>0.1650 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0129 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.0430 (6)</td>
<td>0.1158 (5)</td>
<td>0.7096 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0135 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al3</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.5994 (6)</td>
<td>0.1853 (4)</td>
<td>0.5253 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0140 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al4</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.0150 (6)</td>
<td>0.2924 (4)</td>
<td>0.1691 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0123 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al5</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.3101 (5)</td>
<td>0.6635 (4)</td>
<td>0.0343 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0079 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>0.4198 (6)</td>
<td>0.4451 (4)</td>
<td>0.2983 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the following, the building units of the Al$_2$Fe phase have been analyzed by applying the nanocluster method as integrated into the ToposPro software (Version 5.5.2.0, programed by V. A. Blatov and A. P. Shevchenko). One finds that the crystal structure model can be described by two cluster types: Fe3 (1) (1@12) and Al6 (1) (1@13). Among them, the Fe3 (1) (1@12) cluster is an icosahedral cluster with the Fe3 atom as the center, and the Al6 (1) (1@13) is a 21-dihedral cluster with an Al6 atom located at the center. Figure 4a shows the centers of the aforementioned different clusters in the unit cell. As shown in Figure 4b, the Al6 (1) (1@13) clusters are connected with Fe3 (1) (1@12) clusters either by common vertex, edge, or plane, while two Al6 (1) (1@13) clusters are connected with a common plane. Two Fe3 (1) (1@12) clusters along the a-axis are also connected by a common edge. Figure 5 shows the environments of Fe3 and Al6 atoms. It can be seen that the Fe3 atom is surrounded by 12 atoms while the Al6 atom is surrounded by 13 atoms.

Table 3 shows detailed information on the Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase. There are two vacancy atoms, namely the Al1 atom and the Al2 atom, and the occupying factor of the Al1 atom is 0.50 (10), and that of the Al2 atom is 0.18 (5). By comparing the present work with the Al$_{5.4}$Fe$_2$ obtained by Schubert et al. [11], the present model contains an additional Al atom at the 8f position. Compared with the Al$_{5.6}$Fe$_2$ determined by Burkhardt et al. in 1994 [12], Al1 and Al2 atoms in the present Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase have slightly different S.O.F. The occupancy of Al atoms at 4h and 8f site in the previous Al$_{5.6}$Fe$_2$ phase is 0.32 and 0.24, while the occupancy of Al atoms at 4h and 8f in the Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase is 0.50 and 0.18, respectively, in the present work. It is also necessary to note that Okamoto et al. [14] have constructed Al$_8$Fe$_2$ and Al$_7$Fe$_3$ phases with the Al$_{5.5}$Fe$_2$ phase as the basic unit. As the difference in the occupancy of vacant Al atoms in the present Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase and the previous Al$_{5.6}$Fe$_2$ phase, it is believed that this may lead to the emergence of some modified Al$_8$Fe$_2$ and Al$_7$Fe$_3$ structure models. (See Supplementary Materials for details).
Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²) of Al₅Fe₃ phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>Occ.</th>
<th>Ueq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe1</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.8279 (4)</td>
<td>0.250000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0081 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.500000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.50 (10)</td>
<td>0.03 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2</td>
<td>8f</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.537 (6)</td>
<td>0.81 (4)</td>
<td>0.18 (5)</td>
<td>0.03 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al3</td>
<td>8g</td>
<td>0.1888 (4)</td>
<td>0.1454 (6)</td>
<td>0.250000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0158 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then, we focus on the crystallographic feature of the Al₅Fe₃ phase. Figure 6a shows the 2 x 2 x 2 supercell of Al₅Fe₃ projected along the [001] direction. The Al1 and Al2 atoms (designated in green and pink color, respectively) are surrounded by a hole composed of eight Al3 atoms and two Fe1 atoms (designated in blue and orange color, respectively). The distance between Al1 and Al2 is only 0.7982 Å, confirming that both have to be partially occupied atoms. Figure 6b shows a projection of the Al₅Fe₃ supercell along the direction [100], where one can see that the Al1 and Al2 atoms are alternatively distributed along the c-axis.
It was found that the unit cell of Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ is composed of four twisted icosahedrons, as shown in Figure 7. The icosahedron takes an Al1 atom as its center, and each icosahedron is connected by a common edge. As described in Section 3.1, the structural model of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ can also be described by icosahedron. Such common structural features could be the reason for their growing together.

3.3. Structure Models for Intergrowth Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ Phase and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ Phase in Real Space

In the above section, we have explained the crystal structure of the Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase, respectively. In this section, the orientation model of real space will be constructed through the orientation matrix of these two phases in reciprocal space. Please refer to Appendix A for the specific construction method of structure models for intergrowth Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase in real space. First, the orientation matrix of two phases in reciprocal space is obtained by APEX3 software (v2018.1-0), and then the orientation relationship of two phases in real space is obtained by the basic correspondence between reciprocal space and real space [28], and the orientation model of two-phase single cell edges in real space is obtained. As shown in Figure 8a, the black and red frames show the cell edges of the Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phases, respectively. Finally, the final orientation model is obtained by adding atoms to the two-phase cell edges.
In Figure 8b, the left shows the unit cell of Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}, and the right shows the unit cell of Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}. It is interesting to find that the angle between the crystal plane of Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} (001) and the crystal plane of Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} (100) is 63.35°.

![Figure 8](image_url)

Figure 8. Oriented structural models described with cell edges (a) and unit cell (b) for Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} and Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} phases.

3.4. Interfaces between Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} Phase and Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} Phases

In the previous section, we obtained the oriented structural models of Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} and Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}. However, the orientation of the interfaces between the two phases and the arrangement of atoms inside the interfaces are still elusive. In this section, we will focus on solving such issues by investigating the synthesized precession images from the SXRD data sets, as shown in Figure 9. Figure 9a–c represent the precession images of the (0kl), (h0l), and (hkl) planes from the Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} phase, while Figure 9d–f represent the precession images of the (0kl), (h0l) and (hkl) planes from the Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} phase. In Figure 9a–c, the green and blue circles represent the crystal planes of the Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} phase and the Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} phase, respectively. The precession images are constructed with a thickness of 0.05 Å⁻¹ and a resolution of 0.80 Å. While in Figure 9d–f, the green and blue circles represent the crystal planes of the Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} phase and the Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} phase, respectively, the precession images are constructed with a thickness of 0.03 Å⁻¹ and a resolution of 0.80 Å. It needs to be emphasized that the “reconstructed precession images” are obtained by the APEX3 program, where the Synthesize Precession Images plug-in provides an undistorted view of layers of the reciprocal lattice. It generates simulated precession images by finding the appropriate pixels in a series of frames.

The orientation relationship of the Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} and Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} phases expressed by a pair of crystal planes can be observed directly from Figure 9. Those diffraction points from the two phases overlap, which means the crystal planes they represent are parallel with each other. To summarize, four orientation relationships named OR1, OR2, OR3, and OR4 can be obtained by analyzing the (0kl), (h0l), and (hkl) planes of the Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} phase and the (hkl) planes of the Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} phase are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Four crystallographic orientation relationships at the interface of Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} and Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OR</th>
<th>[uvw] Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/[uvw] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</th>
<th>(hkl) Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/(hkl) Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR1</td>
<td>[010] Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/[3726] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
<td>(308) Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/(730) Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR2</td>
<td>[010] Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/[3726] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
<td>(308) Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/(730) Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR3</td>
<td>[001] Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/[794] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
<td>(350) Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/(442) Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR4</td>
<td>[592] Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/[001] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
<td>(313) Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}/(510) Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As mentioned above, we have identified four crystallographic orientation relationships between the $\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}$ and $\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_{2}$ phases from Figure 9. According to the symmetry principle of crystallography, there are usually multiple variants corresponding to a set of experimentally determined orientation relationships. It is necessary to judge if the four crystallographic orientation relationships observed in this experiment are equivalent. In the following, the matrix method is used to analyze and discuss the experimental results.

A detailed explanation of the matrix method can be found in Appendix B. Through this method, we obtain the conversion matrix between the four orientation relationships, as shown in the following Table 5, where matrix B represents the conversion matrix between crystal directions and matrix A represents the conversion matrix between crystal planes. The absolute values of the elements in the conversion matrices corresponding to the four orientation relationships are different, so it is confirmed that they are four independent orientation relationships.

Furthermore, a preliminary interface model of these interface relationships was built. The ORI orientation relationship: [100] $\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}$ // [211] $\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_{2}$. (012) $\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}$ // (111) $\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_{2}$ is used as an example. For the (012) $\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}$ surface model, as shown in Figure 10a, the $u$ and $v$ directions are parallel to [221] and [100], respectively. At this time, the lattice parameters of the surface of $\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}$ (012) are $u = 17.685 \text{ Å}, v = 4.857 \text{ Å}, \theta = 59.669^\circ$. For the surface model of (111) $\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_{2}$, as shown in Figure 10b, the $u$ and $v$ directions are parallel to [132] and [211], respectively. The lattice parameters of the surface of (111) $\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_{2}$ are as follows: $u = 22.284 \text{ Å}, v = 17.079 \text{ Å}, \theta = 57.611^\circ$. As the mismatch between $\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}$ (012) surface and $\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_{2}$ (111) surface is very large in the $u$ and $v$ directions. It is necessary to build a model of the supercell interface to satisfy the periodic boundary conditions. Since it is difficult to eliminate the mismatch in the $u$ and $v$ directions when constructing very large supercells, relatively small mismatches can be constructed by 5 ($u$)
\( \times 7 \) (012) \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} \) and \( 4 \) (001) \( Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} \) surface models. The mismatches in the \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) directions of the two surfaces are \( \delta (\mu) = 0.804\% \) and \( \delta (\nu) = 0.468\% \), respectively. The lattice parameters of \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} \) and \( Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} \) on both sides of the interface are averaged by applying a certain strain to eliminate the two-phase lattice parameters of the interface. The atomic interface model of \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(012)/Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) \) is shown in Figure 10c, red dot lines represent the dividing line between the \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} \) (012) surface and \( Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} \) (111) surface. It can be seen that the atomic arrangement law on the surface of \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(012) \) is that two layers of Fe atoms and one layer of Al atoms are alternately arranged, and the atomic arrangement law on the surface of \( Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) \) is that one layer of Al atoms and one layer of Fe atoms are alternately arranged.

Table 5. Orientation relationships and corresponding conversion matrices between \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} \) and \( Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} \) interfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation Relationship</th>
<th>Conversion Matrix B</th>
<th>Conversion Matrix A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR1</td>
<td>(012) ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(012)/Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>(1.60 -0.34 0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[001] ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(001)/[211] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>-0.44 -0.93 0.06</td>
<td>-0.23 -0.97 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR2</td>
<td>(308) ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(308)/[730] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>-1.23 0.76 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[010] ( Al_{2}Fe([272] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}) )</td>
<td>-0.39 -0.65 -0.13</td>
<td>0.16 -0.35 1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR3</td>
<td>(350) ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(350)/[442] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>0.10 0.33 -0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[001] ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(001)/[794] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>-0.87 0.61 0.14</td>
<td>-0.60 0.74 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR4</td>
<td>(313) ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(313)/[510] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>-1.14 0.83 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[592] ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(592)/[001] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>-0.04 0.35 -0.61</td>
<td>-0.15 0.43 -1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[592] ( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(592)/[001] Al_{5.72}Fe_{2}(111) )</td>
<td>0.45 -0.63 -0.15</td>
<td>-0.81 -0.90 -0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. (a): \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52} \) (012) surface; (b): \( Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} \) (111) surface; (c): the atomic interface model of \( Al_{12.48}Fe_{6.52}(012)/Al_{5.72}Fe_{2} \).
4. Conclusions

In summary, two typical phases, Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ in the Al-Fe, are discovered to be co-existence in the form of single crystals with size of tens of micromers, which has been confirmed by SXRD by combing SEM/EDX analysis. The first phase, known as Al$_2$Fe, is refined to be Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ (space group P$ar{1}$) with cell parameters: $a = 4.8569$ (5) Å, $b = 6.4389$ (7) Å, $c = 8.7323$ (10) Å, $\alpha = 87.873$ (4)$^\circ$, $\beta = 74.463$ (4)$^\circ$, $\gamma = 83.060$ (4)$^\circ$. There are two co-occupying atoms, namely Fe4A and Al4B, with S.O.F refined to be 0.758 and 0.242, respectively, in accordance with the previously refined model Al$_{12.59}$Fe$_{6.41}$ determined in 2010. The second phase known as Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ is refined to be Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ (space group Cmcm) with cell parameters: $a = 7.635$ (3) Å, $b = 6.392$ (2) Å, $c = 4.2007$ (10) Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$. There are two vacancy atoms, namely Al1 and Al2, with S.O.F refined to be 0.5 and 0.18, respectively. Meanwhile, topical analysis reveals that both phases are composed of 4–8 twisted icosahedrons as structural building units.

Furthermore, crystal structure models for intergrowth Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phases have been obtained from the SXRD data sets in reciprocal space as well as the refined model in real space. It needs to be emphasized that the angle between the crystal plane of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ (001) and the crystal plane of Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ (100) is 63.35$^\circ$.

Finally, the orientation relationships of interfaces between the two phases are obtained by investigating the synthesized precession planes from the SXRD data sets. Three orientation relationships named OR1, OR2, OR3, and one named OR4 have been obtained by analyzing the (0kl), (h0l) and (hk0) planes of the Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase and the (hk0) planes of the Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase, respectively. The arrangement of atoms inside the interfaces has been illustrated in a preliminary interface model by taking OR1 as an example.

The present works report a protocol for analyzing the detailed crystal structures of double intergrowth phases and investigating their orientation relationships of interfaces between intergrowth phases, which provide an alternative approach for such investigations besides advanced transmission electron microscopy (TEM) [6,7,20,21] and electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) techniques [29,30] and will definitely stimulate further related jobs on intergrowth samples which frequently showing up in the complex metallic alloys.
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**Appendix A**

During data processing, the orientation matrix is a $3 \times 3$ matrix, which specifies the component values and orientations of the three reciprocal axes based on the $x$, $y$, and $z$ coordinates on the goniometer. This matrix, therefore, contains the basic data that defines the reciprocal cell and its spatial orientation. The orientation matrix in reciprocal space can be described as:

$$
R = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{a}_x^* & \hat{b}_x^* & \hat{c}_x^* \\
\hat{a}_y^* & \hat{b}_y^* & \hat{c}_y^* \\
\hat{a}_z^* & \hat{b}_z^* & \hat{c}_z^*
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(A1)

$a^*$ corresponds to the first column, $b^*$ to the second, $c^*$ to the third. The subscripts $x$, $y$, and $z$ indicate the Cartesian coordinates of the diffractometer.

The orientation matrix of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase in reciprocal space is recorded by the APEX3 software, where the orientation matrix of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase in reciprocal space is:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
+0.13465488 & +0.02485142 & -0.10581445 \\
-0.09946004 & -0.09375799 & -0.05067891 \\
-0.13488966 & +0.12265494 & -0.01804230
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(A2)

The orientation matrix of the Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase in reciprocal space is:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
+0.00026973 & +0.15365113 & +0.04200600 \\
+0.10967476 & -0.01534700 & +0.12811274 \\
+0.07172056 & +0.02289071 & -0.19606741
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(A3)

From the basic correspondence between reciprocal space and real space [28]:

$$
a^* \cdot a = b^* \cdot b = c^* \cdot c = 1
$$

(A4)

One can derive the orientation matrix of these two phases in real space. Where the orientation matrix of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase in real space is:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
+2.07050255 & -3.28088174 & -2.92743045 \\
+1.32006580 & -4.37338517 & 4.54245615 \\
-6.50564472 & -5.20223437 & -2.65848672
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(A5)

The orientation matrix of the Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase in real space is:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
+0.01570701 & +6.38666400 & +4.17648610 \\
+6.30539906 & -0.62979677 & +0.93936868 \\
+0.74189574 & +2.26268416 & -3.46287667
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(A6)
Through the orientation matrix of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phases in real space, the comprehensive models of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ described with cell edges in real space can be constructed, as shown in Figure 8a of the main text.

Now, we can add the specific atoms for both phases to the orientation models described with cell edges by acknowledging the experimental orientation matrix and the Crystallographic Information File (CIF) related orientation matrix. First, the positions of atoms of the Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase in real space are introduced. We named the experimental orientation matrix of the phase in the real space as matrix B. The CIF-related orientation matrix corresponding to Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ is described as:

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
7.63500 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 6.39200 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4.20070
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(A7)

Based on matrix A and matrix B, one can find the transformation relationship between the two matrices, let \( AC = B \), Then the matrix C is:

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
+0.00205724 & +0.83649824 & +0.54701848 \\
+0.98645169 & -0.09852891 & +0.14696007 \\
+0.17661241 & +0.53864454 & -0.82435704
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(A8)

Then, the cartesian coordinates of the atoms in the CIF of Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ are multiplied by the matrix C, resulting in the coordinate positions of the atoms of the Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phase in real space. Second, the coordinate positions of the atoms of the Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ phase in real space are also obtained in the same way. Finally, the comprehensive oriented structural models of Al$_{12.48}$Fe$_{6.52}$ and Al$_{5.72}$Fe$_2$ phases in real space are obtained, as shown in Figure 8b of the main text.

Appendix B

The matrix method is to find out the conversion relationship between the crystal plane index and the crystal direction index between two phases mathematically, i.e., to find the transformation matrix B and A of each kind of orientation relation. If the absolute values of the nine elements in the transformation matrix are identical, it can be judged that the orientation relation of the two phases belongs to the same type even though the positive/negative signs and the arrangement order and position are different.

The crystal plane \((hkl)\) can be represented by a reciprocal vector in the reciprocal space. \(G_{hkl} = (ha_1 + ka_2 + la_3)\). The normal direction of the crystal plane \((hkl)\) is the positive vector and can be expressed \(I_{uvw} = (ua_1 + va_2 + wa_3)\). The relationship between \(G_{hkl} = (ha_1 + ka_2 + la_3)\) and \(I_{uvw} = (ua_1 + va_2 + wa_3)\) is as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
h \\
k \\
l
\end{bmatrix} = G \begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v \\
w
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(A9)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v \\
w
\end{bmatrix} = G^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}
h \\
k \\
l
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(A10)

Among them, for any crystal system, there are:

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
a^2 & ab \cos \gamma & ac \cos \beta \\
ab \cos \gamma & b^2 & bc \cos \alpha \\
ac \cos \beta & bc \cos \alpha & c^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(A11)

where \(a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\) are the lattice parameters.
In the general crystallographic study, the orientation relationship between two phases is always expressed in the form of \([u_1 v_1 w_1] / [u_2 v_2 w_2]\), \((h_1' k_1' l_1') / (h_1 k_1 l_1)\). The second group of crystal plane parallelism \((h_2' k_2' l_2') / (h_2 k_2 l_2)\) can be obtained according to the crystal direction parallelism and Formula (A9). According to \((h_1' k_1' l_1') / (h_1 k_1 l_1)\) and Formula (A10), one can obtain the second crystal orientation parallel relationship \([u_1' v_1' w_1'] / [u_1 v_1 w_1]\). Then the \((h_2' k_2' l_2')\) can be obtained by \([u_1' v_1' w_1'] \times [u_2' v_2' w_2']\), the \((h_3 k_3 l_3)\) can be obtained by \([u_1 v_1 w_1] \times [u_2 v_2 w_2]\). Therefore, three groups of crystal plane parallelism between the two phases can be obtained.

\[
\begin{align*}
(h_1' k_1' l_1') / (h_1 k_1 l_1) \\
(h_2' k_2' l_2') / (h_2 k_2 l_2) \\
(h_3' k_3' l_3') / (h_3 k_3 l_3')
\end{align*}
\]  

(A12)

The above orientation relationship can be expressed in the following matrix form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
    u' \\
    v' \\
    w'
\end{bmatrix}
= B
\begin{bmatrix}
    u \\
    v \\
    w
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
    h' \\
    k' \\
    l'
\end{bmatrix}
= A
\begin{bmatrix}
    h \\
    k \\
    l
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]  

(A13)

where \(B\) is the conversion matrix, \(A\) and \(B\) are transposed inverse matrices of each other, and the expression of the conversion matrix \(B\) is as follows:

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
    h_1' & k_1' & l_1' \\
    h_2' & k_2' & l_2' \\
    h_3' & k_3' & l_3'
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
    d_1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & d_2 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & d_3
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    h_1 & k_1 & l_1 \\
    h_2 & k_2 & l_2 \\
    h_3 & k_3 & l_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(A14)

where \(d_1, d_2, d_3\) are the interplanar spacing of \((h_1 k_1 l_1), (h_2 k_2 l_2)\) and \((h_3 k_3 l_3); d_1', d_2', d_3'\) are the interplanar spacing of \((h_1' k_1' l_1'), (h_2' k_2' l_2')\) and \((h_3' k_3' l_3')\).

For the \(\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}/\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_2\) phase interfaces reported in the present work, when substituting the lattice constants of these two phases into the formula, the crystal-to-plane conversion matrix of \(\text{Al}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52}\) and \(\text{Al}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_2\) can be obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \text{GA}_{12.48}\text{Fe}_{6.52} \\
    \text{GA}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_2
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    23.590 & 3.779 & 11.360 \\
    3.779 & 41.460 & 2.122 \\
    11.360 & 2.122 & 76.253
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(A15)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \text{GA}_{5.72}\text{Fe}_2
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    58.293 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 40.858 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 17.646
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(A16)
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