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Abstract: In the present study, a comparison of the dry reforming of a gas mixture containing methane, carbon dioxide and nitrogen without contaminants to a ruthenium-based Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst was carried out in a microreactor for the first time. The influence of the contact time, temperature and composition of the feed on the conversion was exhaustively investigated. The optimal operating conditions were found to be a contact time of 80 milliseconds, a temperature of 700 °C and a CH$_4$:CO$_2$ ratio of 1. The assessment of diffusional limitations reveals that there is no resistance to mass transfer, which reveals the potential benefit of the determination of intrinsic reaction kinetics within a microreactor.
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1. Introduction

Biogas produced during the anaerobic treatment of wastewater is an interesting energy resource from both an environmental and economic point of view. The intensification of the anaerobic process, such as better control of flow fields and mixing at different scales leads to a higher content of methane in the biogas produced. This has been the subject of investigation by our group for a long time [1–5]. At present, the process of methanization yields biogas composed of 55–70% methane and 30–45% carbon dioxide, along with impurities such as hydrogen sulfide. It can be burned on-site to provide heating or electricity, but there are other value-adding uses. For example, biogas can be processed into synthesis gas (H$_2$ + CO) through reforming [6–8]. Reforming processes have been used to produce hydrogen from natural gas, usually with the addition of steam, in the so-called steam reforming of methane (SRM) process [9]. In another process, oxygen is added to the methane stream (oxidative methane reforming). In the case of biogas, the carbon dioxide can act as a source of oxygen and the reforming can be carried out without steam, as the dry reforming of methane (DRM), according to the following reaction:

$$\text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{CO} + 2 \text{H}_2 \quad \Delta H_{\text{298K}}^\circ = 247 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$$

(1)

This is a highly endothermic reaction and therefore requires large amounts of heat. However, it has the advantage of simultaneously consuming two greenhouse gases: CO$_2$ and CH$_4$. It can be catalyzed by several metals, including Ru, Rh and Ni [10]. Biogas reforming has received increasing interest in recent years. In particular, various catalysts for the DRM have been investigated due to their efficiency and stability, such as Ni-supported catalysts on ZrO$_2$, La$_2$O$_3$–ZrO$_2$ and CeO$_2$–ZrO$_2$ [11], Ni and Ba co-doped La$_{0.9}$AlO$_3$ perovskite catalysts [12], Ni/Al catalysts with an egg-shell structure [13], CoNiMgAl catalysts [14], LaCoO$_3$ and LaNiO$_3$ perovskite-derived catalysts [15], and Ni-containing CeO$_2$ rods [16]. Different characterization techniques, including x-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and temperature-programmed
reduction (TPR), were employed to elucidate the structural and morphological properties. Enhanced basicity, metal–support interactions and oxygen vacancies were identified as possible factors affecting catalytic performance. These studies contribute to a better understanding of catalyst design principles and the optimization of DRM processes for sustainable biogas utilization, shedding light on future directions for hybrid biological/chemical H2 production. One of the major drawbacks of this process is the formation of coke due to the following reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
2 \text{CO} &= \text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \quad \Delta H_{298K}^\circ = -172 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1} \\
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2 &= \text{C} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H_{298K}^\circ = +128 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1} \\
\text{CH}_4 &= \text{C} + 2 \text{H}_2 \quad \Delta H_{298K}^\circ = 75 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1} \\
\text{CO}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2 &= \text{C} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H_{298K}^\circ = -90 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

It appears that whenever the oxygen species are missing, deposited carbon can stay on the surface of the catalyst and form a layer that covers the active metal or even diffuses through the particle to create a filament that separates the metal particles from the support [17]. Moreover, it was demonstrated that carbon deposition is favored by the presence of severe temperature gradients [18]. An effective way to avoid carbon deposition could therefore be to use a reactor that allows for suitable heat management. Among other solutions, e.g., fluidized beds [19], microreactors provide an interesting alternative because their small dimensions allow for high surface-to-volume ratios, which can enhance heat and mass transfer. Several studies have been carried out in small channels, mostly focusing on steam reforming [20–23]. Izquierdo et al. [24] performed a comparison of biogas oxidative reforming in a conventional reactor and in a microsystem. Although both configurations resulted in similar conversions, methane turnover frequency and hydrogen productivity were significantly larger in the microreactor. The present work focuses on the direct dry reforming of a model biogas on a Ru/Al2O3 catalyst in a microreactor composed of a pre-heating channel of 5 mm² width and 16 cm length, followed by a reaction square channel of 1 × 1 × 60 mm³; the catalyst is deposited at the bottom. When not specifically studying the performance of a catalyst, a commercial catalyst with a high ruthenium content is used. Microreactors offer advantages over classical reactors like fixed and fluidized beds due to their miniature scale, enhanced mass and heat transfer rates, better control over reaction conditions and reduced residence time. In the presence of catalysts, their compact design enables the efficient utilization of space and resources, facilitating rapid reactions and improving the selectivity and yield of the desired products. Additionally, microreactors allow for the safer handling of hazardous chemicals and increase their scalability, making them ideal for diverse applications ranging from emulsification to the use of an anaerobic microreactor to intensify biogas production in our group [1]. The main objective is to demonstrate the feasibility of DRM in a microreactor through the simple contact between the model biogas circulating above the catalyst deposited at the bottom of the microchannel. This can drastically reduce the flow pattern, as seen in fixed or fluidized beds in previous studies.

2. Results and Discussions

2.1. Equilibrium Calculations

If no solid carbon is produced, the equilibrium conversions of methane and carbon dioxide for four values of CH4:CO2 are displayed in Figure 1.
Figure 1. The equilibrium conversions of methane and carbon dioxide as a function of the temperature for various CH₄:CO₂ ratios when no solid carbon is formed under atmospheric pressure. The initial feed composition of CH₄:CO₂:N₂ is 18:18:64.

As expected, the conversions are very low if the temperature is lower than 400 °C. For higher temperatures, the reactants are consumed to produce hydrogen, carbon monoxide and water. When excess methane is present in the feed, its conversion rate is always smaller than that of carbon dioxide. Conversely, when carbon dioxide is in excess, X₇CH₄ is always better. To determine the equimolar composition of the feed, the conversion of carbon dioxide is slightly higher because of the reverse water–gas shift reaction:

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298\text{K}} = 41 \text{kJ.mol}^{-1} \]  

If the formation of solid carbon is taken into account in the equilibrium calculations (Figure 2), the results are quite different. In this case, the reactants are converted even at low temperatures because of the reactions leading to carbon formation, as mentioned in Equations (2)–(5). When the temperature increases, the equilibria are reversed and the reforming reaction becomes predominant due to the necessary energy requirements. These results are similar to those obtained by other research groups [25,26].

Figure 2 shows the experimental values of X₇CH₄ and X₇CO₂ as a function of temperature for a CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 1.3 and a very low inlet volumetric flow rate of 20 mL.min⁻¹. These values are compared with those obtained by equilibrium calculations in the presence and absence of solid carbon, respectively. On one hand, it can be observed that the experimental data display a very satisfactory agreement with the equilibrium calculations in the absence of solid carbon. On the other hand, when carbon is added to the equilibrium calculations, the results are inconsistent for carbon dioxide since the experimental conversion cannot exceed the theoretical conversion.
The present work focuses only on the feasibility of biogas reforming, without focusing on the selection of a catalyst. This is supported by the fact that the elemental mass balance for carbon is almost always satisfied by the following equation:

$$F_{\text{CH}_4} + F_{\text{CO}_2} = F_{\text{out}}^{\text{CH}_4} + F_{\text{out}}^{\text{CO}_2} + F_{\text{out}}^{\text{CO}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

In fact, direct visual observations show no solid carbon formation in the microreactor after the experimental run. An additional explanation for this observation could be attributed to the diluted reaction feed, i.e., 64% nitrogen being present in the feed. The comparison shown in Figure 2 between the presence and absence of solid carbon confirms, without ambiguity, the absence of such a formation. Owing to the limited space size of the microreactor, the flow pattern should be quite close to ideal with respect to classical reactors with a bad fluid distribution passing through a catalyst fixed bed.

2.2. Effect of the Feed Flowrate

Along the microreactor, the total volumetric flow rate increases because the reforming reaction increases the number of moles in the gas mixture. The actual residence time is therefore different from the space time $\tau$ defined by the following:

$$\tau = \frac{V}{Q}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $V$ (m$^3$) is the volume of the reactor and $Q$ (m$^3$/s) is the inlet volumetric flow rate. However, a variation of $Q$ has the same effect on both the residence time and the space time. It is then possible to use the space time to analyze the behavior of the reaction system with respect to the influence of the feed flow rate. It is worth noting that, unlike a conventional fixed or fluidized catalytic bed, the catalyst is deposed at the bottom of the microchannel (cf. Section 3). The contact time between the gas and the catalyst is mainly localized at the surface layer of the catalyst, without penetrating the catalyst layer, considering the drop in pressure. Then, space time is employed here instead of the classical gas hourly space velocity (GHSV).
Respectively, Figures 3 and 4 display the values of the experimental conversion rates of methane and carbon dioxide as functions of either the gas flowrate at the inlet of the microreactor or the temperature for a CH$_4$:CO$_2$ ratio of 1. These equilibrium values with the space time $\tau$ under three different temperatures are in agreement with the expected trend: the conversion rates increase with the space time until they reach maximum conversion. This maximum conversion would indeed correspond to an infinite space time.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** Experimental values of $X_{CH_4}$ as a function of the space time compared to the equilibrium values for a CH$_4$:CO$_2$ ratio of 1.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4.** Experimental values of $X_{CO_2}$ as a function of the space time compared to the equilibrium values for a CH$_4$:CO$_2$ ratio of 1.

The major result is that a short space time of 80 ms (volumetric flow rate of 45 mL.min$^{-1}$) is sufficient to reach the maximum conversion for both reactants, even with only a superficial contact between the model biogas and the surface of the deposited catalyst in the microreactor.

2.3. Effect of the Feed Composition

Although the biogas composition is usually determined by the nature of the waste used in the anaerobic digestion process, the CH$_4$:CO$_2$ ratio in the biogas is of the upmost importance for the reforming reaction. As shown in Figure 5, the conversion rates of methane and carbon dioxide depend largely on this ratio. Since the feed inlet volumetric flow rate is 45 mL.min$^{-1}$, these values are close to the equilibrium conversions. $X_{CH_4}$ is close to 1 when methane is the limiting reactant but decreases rapidly when methane is in excess. Conversely, $X_{CO_2}$ generally increases with the CH$_4$:CO$_2$ ratio. However, the trend is less clear because the reverse water–gas shift reaction (Equation (6)) also contributes to the conversion of carbon dioxide. For a typical biogas composition of CH$_4$:CO$_2 = 1.5$, a complete conversion of CH$_4$ would be impossible, even at high temperatures.
Figure 5. Experimental values of $X_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $X_{\text{CO}_2}$ as functions of $\text{CH}_4:\text{CO}_2$ ratio and temperature for a space time of 80 ms.

2.4. Product Distribution

The main products of the reaction are hydrogen and carbon monoxide. The distribution of these products is represented by the $\text{H}_2:\text{CO}$ ratio. This ratio is always inferior to 1 whereas the stoichiometry of the main reaction (Equation (1)) leads to a ratio equal to 1. This could be mainly attributed to the reverse water–gas shift reaction (Equation (6)), which converts hydrogen and carbon dioxide into water and carbon monoxide. Nevertheless, the endothermic character of this reaction implies a decrease in hydrogen consumption when temperature is increased. Measuring the amount of water produced would help to assess the importance of the reverse water–gas shift reaction. However, this was not possible in our system due to the very limited production of water in the microreactor. The gas mixture from the microreactor exit was sent to a heat exchanger in order to condense the water (cf. Section 3) and no water was collected there. A similar phenomenon was observed in another study of the dry methane reforming process [29], where it was attributed to the occurrence of the steam methane reforming reaction:

$$\text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3 \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} \quad \Delta H^{298\text{K}} = 206 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1} \quad (9)$$

The consumption of hydrogen due to the in situ reduction in the catalyst might provide another explanation [30]. It is also noteworthy that these experimental values are in satisfactory agreement with the equilibrium calculations.

Moreover, Figure 6 reveals the variation in $\text{H}_2:\text{CO}$ in the function of $\text{CH}_4:\text{CO}_2$ at different temperatures for a given gas mixture flowrate of 45 mL/min. The $\text{H}_2:\text{CO}$ ratio increases with the $\text{CH}_4:\text{CO}_2$ ratio because of the increased availability of hydrogen atoms in this study. In the case of a real biogas (CH$_4$CO$_2$ = 1.5), the $\text{H}_2:\text{CO}$ ratio could be as high as 0.85 at high temperatures according to this graph, without considering the impurities involved.

These results show that the direct biogas reforming is not an efficient way to produce large amounts of renewable hydrogen. But the process could be amended with a water–gas shift reactor after the reforming, as in the existing petroleum refineries for the production of hydrogen. The composition of the syngas could, however, be suitable for the production of liquid hydrocarbons by means of the Fischer–Tropsch process using an Fe-based catalyst [31] under certain conditions, such as a $\text{H}_2:\text{CO}$ ratio notably higher than 1. Low-$\text{H}_2/\text{CO}$-ratio syngas can also be converted to higher alcohols using a Cu-based catalyst [32]. In addition, it is worth noting that, as observed with Pt- and Ni-based catalysts [33], the reforming observed here is mainly thermodynamically controlled.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Catalyst Description

The catalyst used in the present work was purchased from Evonik Degussa GmbH (Evonik Noblyst® P3061 5% Ru, Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) and contains 5% wt. of ruthenium deposited on alumina. Such a high ruthenium content was chosen to guarantee an efficient conversion in order to test the feasibility of reforming. A basic characterization of the catalyst was carried out. The Sauter mean diameter of the catalyst particles was determined via laser granulometry (Malvern Mastersizer 2000, UK): $d_p = 28$ µm over a range of 10 to 60 µm. The specific surface area $S_{BET}$ was measured according to the adsorption of nitrogen using the B.E.T. method. The mean diameter of the internal pores $d_{pore}$ and the specific surface $S_{BET}$ were measured via the adsorption and desorption of nitrogen: $d_{pore} = 1.28 \times 10^{-8} \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ m and $S_{BET} = 102 \pm 1$ m$^2$/g. Both measurements were performed with an ASAP 2020 physisorption Analyzer (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA). The shape of a catalyst particle is illustrated in Figure 7, as obtained by the SEM in our laboratory. The catalyst was not pre-treated before experiments. A fresh catalyst sample was employed each time a parameter was changed.

Figure 6. Variation in H$_2$:CO ratio with CH$_4$:CO$_2$ at different temperatures, with a total inlet volumetric flowrate of 45 mL.min$^{-1}$.

Figure 7. SEM image of a particle of Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst.
3.2. Experimental Set-Up

The experimental set-up is presented in Figure 8. The first step is to mix pure methane and carbon dioxide (from two 3.5-grade gas bottles with a purity of 99.95%) in order to produce a gas mixture of the desired composition by means of two SLA5850 mass flow regulators (Brooks Instrument, Hatfield, PA, USA). The synthetic biogas is diluted in nitrogen and led into the microsystem. The total pressure is measured at both the inlet and the outlet of the reactor.

![Scheme of the experimental set-up](image1)

![Picture of the microreactor](image2)

**Figure 8.** (a) Scheme of the experimental set-up (left) and picture of the microreactor (right). (b) Illustration of the channel of 1 mm height, 1 mm width and 60 mm length, with the catalyst deposited at the channel’s bottom.

The microsystem is composed of two stainless steel plates, one of which was machined to create a channel for the pre-heating of gases and housing for the microchannel plate. The pre-heating channel has a section of 5 mm² and a total length of 16 cm. At the outlet of the pre-heating channel, the gas mixture enters the $1 \times 1 \times 60$ mm³ square channel with the catalyst deposited at the bottom (cf. Figure 8b). The mass of the catalyst loaded in the reactor was 25 mg in every experiment. The gas mixture flows above the deposited catalyst particles without displacing them or carrying the finest ones, as was observed in a similar transparent channel at ambient temperature. For the experiments with a high temperature, the catalyst was regularly weighed and no significant loss was measured during the monitoring between the inlet and outlet of the microreactor. No pressure measurements were taken inside the microreactor along the flow as the pressure does not vary significantly.

At the end of the reaction zone, the gas mixture is sent to a heat exchanger in order to lower the temperature and condense the water. The composition is then measured by a gas chromatograph Micro GC 490 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a COX column.

The tightness of the microreactor is tested in a water bath at ambient temperature. It should be noted that the gas mixture does not pass through a fixed catalyst bed as usual, which results in slight loss of pressure.
Each experiment was repeated three times, and this was extended to five times if a relative error above 5% was observed. The experimental error was around ±5%. The influence of the following three parameters was investigated:

- The reactor temperature, which varied in the range 20–800 °C,
- The total inlet volumetric flowrate, which varied in the range 20–120 mL min⁻¹,
- The composition of the feed, characterized by the CH₄:CO₂ ratio, which varied in the range 0.75–1.7.

Measurements of the outlet volumetric flow rate, along with the methane and carbon dioxide concentrations, are required for the calculation of conversion rates $X_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $X_{\text{CO}_2}$:

$$X_{\text{CH}_4} = \frac{F_{\text{CH}_4} - F_{\text{CH}_4}^{\text{out}}}{F_{\text{CH}_4}} \quad (10)$$

$$X_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{F_{\text{CO}_2} - F_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{out}}}{F_{\text{CO}_2}} \quad (11)$$

where $F_j$ and $F_{j}^{\text{out}}$ are the molar flow rates of species $j$ at the inlet and at the outlet of the microreactor, respectively.

### 3.3. Equilibrium Consideration

Chemical equilibrium calculations were essential to determine the maximum conversions of the reactants for a given feed composition and a given set of pressure and temperature conditions. The feed contains CH₄, CO₂ and N₂, and the major products are CO, H₂ and H₂O. The equilibrium concentrations were derived from the minimization of Gibbs energy under the constraints of the elemental mass balance. Two cases were investigated separately according to whether the formation of solid carbon was considered or not.

The Gibbs energy is a function of the $N$ chemical potential of the various species:

$$G = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j n_j \quad (12)$$

The chemical potential of gases, treated like ideal gases, is expressed as follows:

$$\mu_j = \mu_j^0 + RT \ln(y_j) \quad (13)$$

where $\mu_j^0$ is the chemical potential of the species $j$ in the pure state.

At equilibrium, the chemical potential of carbon in the gas phase is equal to the chemical potential in the solid phase. The solid phase is assumed to be pure carbon; hence, the chemical potential of carbon is equal to zero.

### 3.4. Assessment of Diffusional Limitation

In order to elucidate the true effect of the experimental parameters on the reactants’ conversion and the reaction rates, it is necessary to assess the eventual diffusional limitations. Firstly, there is a concentration gradient in the channel over the catalyst layer because the flow is in the laminar regime (the Reynolds number based on the hydraulic diameter is lower than 60). However, the maximum diffusion time $t_{\text{diff}}$ in the gas phase, calculated with Equation (14), is always smaller than the residence time. Except for very short residence times, the concentration gradient in the channel can therefore be neglected.

$$t_{\text{diff}}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{h^2}{D_j} \quad (14)$$

where $h$ (m) is the height of the channel (1 mm) and $D_j$ (m².s⁻¹) is the diffusivity of species $j$ estimated from [34].
Secondly, the external mass transfer limitations could be assessed. The concentration gradient around a catalyst particle can be derived from the steady-state mass balance on species $j$:

$$k_D \left( C_e^j - C_s^j \right) A_p = r_j V_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $k_D$ (m.s$^{-1}$) is the mass transfer coefficient, $C_e^j$ and $C_s^j$ (mol.m$^{-3}$) are the molar concentration of species $j$ outside the diffusion film and at the surface of the particle, respectively, $A_p$ (m$^2$) and $V_p$ (m$^3$) are the surface and volume of the particle and $r_j$ (mol.m$^{-3}$.s$^{-1}$) is the apparent reaction rate of species $j$.

The mass transfer coefficient $k_D$ can be estimated using a standard correlation [35]:

$$Sh = \frac{k_D d_p}{D_f} = 2 + 1.8 Re^0.5 Sc^0.5$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $Sh$ is the Sherwood number, $d_p$ (m) is the diameter of the catalyst particles, $Re$ is the Reynolds number and $Sc$ is the Schmidt number.

The fraction of external mass transfer limitation is defined using the hypothesis of a spherical catalyst particle:

$$f_e = \frac{C_e^j - C_s^j}{C_e^j} = \frac{r_j d_p}{6k_D C_e^j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

The results are presented in Table 1 under the following conditions: inlet volumetric flowrate of 100 mL.min$^{-1}$; temperature of 700 $^\circ$C. The apparent reaction rate of both reactants measured is smaller than 400 mol.m$^{-3}$.s$^{-1}$ under these conditions. Therefore, using this value in the calculations could only lead to an overestimation of $f_e$. As illustrated in Table 1, the external mass transfer limitations are also negligible.

**Table 1.** Estimation of the fraction of external mass transfer limitations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$D$ (m$^2$.s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$Re$</th>
<th>$Sc$</th>
<th>$Sh$</th>
<th>$k_D$ (m.s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_e^j$(mol.m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$f_e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>$7.46 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>$2.10 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>$1.07 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$3.10 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, internal mass transfer limitations are assessed using a Thiele modulus $\Phi$, which compares the maximum reaction rate to the diffusion rate of a reactant:

$$\Phi = \frac{r_j d_p^2}{36 C_e^j D_f^5}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $D_f$ (m$^2$/s) is the effective diffusivity, defined as follows:

$$D_f = \frac{\epsilon D}{\tau_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $\epsilon$ is the internal porosity of the particle and $\tau_p$ is its tortuosity. For an alumina catalyst, typical values of these parameters would be $\epsilon = 0.5$ and $\tau_p = 7.5$ [36]. The diffusivity $D$ needs to be replaced by Knudsen diffusivity because the mean free path of the reactants is around $2 \times 10^{-7}$ m, whereas the diameter of the pores is less than $2 \times 10^{-8}$ m. It is worth noting that this estimation only provides an order of magnitude, without quantifying the diffusional limitation within our microreactor. In fact, the microreactor used here has a channel with only a partially loaded catalyst at the bottom, unlike a classical fixed-catalytic-bed reactor at the macroscale, with gases flowing across the whole section. In the present microreactor, the reforming would mainly take place at the surface of the catalyst layers in direct contact with the gases.
The results are presented in Table 2 for the following conditions: inlet volumetric flowrate of 100 mL.min$^{-1}$ and temperature of 700 °C. Under these conditions, the apparent reaction rate of both the measured reactants is smaller than 400 mol.m$^{-3}$.s$^{-1}$. Therefore, using this value in the calculations could only lead to an overestimation of Φ. These data reveal that the Thiele modulus is significantly smaller than 1. This means that internal transfer is not a limiting factor for the mass transfer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>D (m$^2$.s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_j$ (mol.m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Φ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>1.11 × 10$^{-8}$</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>6.73 × 10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obviously, no part of the mass transfer process is subject to diffusional limitations. This is mainly due to the fact that both the microreactor dimensions and the catalyst particle are small enough. Consequently, the performance of the microreactor is only limited by the reaction rate, and the kinetics measured in this work faithfully reflect the intrinsic kinetics. As expected, the microreactor provides quasi-perfect conditions to achieve conversion results that are close to equilibrium. Complex flow patterns will certainly affect the conversion efficiency in classical catalytic reactors like fixed beds.

4. Conclusions

The direct dry reforming of a simplified model biogas over a Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst was experimentally investigated. The reaction took place in a narrow channel to avoid diffusional mass transfer limitations and to assure high reaction rates. The best operating conditions seem to be a space time of 80 ms, a temperature of 700 °C and a CH$_4$:CO$_2$ ratio close to unity. Of course, this conclusion should be moderated as the results were close to the equilibrium curve under the particular conditions of the microreactor. This work mainly focused on the feasibility of DRM in a microreactor. The excess Ru load of a Ru-based catalyst was chosen to guarantee the total conversion of methane at the outlet of the microreactor within a very short space time. These results could pave the way for processing real biogas reforming in the presence of impurities like ammonia and hydrogen sulfide. The choice of a realistic catalyst and an intensified microreactor design requires further investigation.
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