Postbiotics of the *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* EIR/IF-1 Strain Show Antimicrobial Activity against Oral Microorganisms with pH Adaptation Capability
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**Abstract:** Postbiotics offer better properties than probiotics. This study investigated the antimicrobial activity of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics against pH-adaptive bacteria, namely *Prevotella denticola*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, and *Streptococcus sanguinis*. Cell-free culture media of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 were used as postbiotics in either crude (acidic) or neutralized form to also understand non-pH-dependent antimicrobial potential. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), and viable cell counts were determined for crude and neutralized postbiotics. Culture media adjusted to different pH values were also compared to adjusted media with postbiotics to understand the strength of organic acids in postbiotics. Antibiofilm activity of postbiotics was determined against polymicrobial biofilm formation. Finally, the toxicity of crude postbiotics was tested on human periodontal ligament fibroblast cells (hPDLFCs). MIC values of crude postbiotics were 12.5 mg/mL for all strains. *F. nucleatum* and *P. denticola* strains were sensitive to neutralized postbiotics after 48 h of incubation. Moreover, 12.5 and 25 mg/mL postbiotics inhibited biofilm formation and 2.5 mg/mL and lower concentrations of crude postbiotics showed no cytotoxicity in hPDLFCs. This study showed that postbiotics have antimicrobial activity against pH-adaptive oral bacteria and no cytotoxic effect on hPDLFCs depending on the dose. The non-acidic antimicrobial components of postbiotics could also enable their safe use in the oral cavity.
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1. Introduction

According to the International Scientific Association of Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of postbiotics, postbiotics are defined as preparations of nonliving microorganisms and/or their components that have a health benefit for the host [1]. In addition to inactivated nonliving cells, postbiotics include metabolic byproducts released from living cells and enzymes, teichoic acids, muropeptides, polysaccharides, and cell surface proteins released after cell lysis [2]. The beneficial effects of postbiotics on restoring symbiotic microbiota, strengthening epithelial barrier function, and supporting the immune response have been reported [3,4]. *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*, formerly known as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, is a well-documented and extensively studied species of lactic acid bacteria. Its postbiotics consist of various beneficial metabolites, including organic acids, particularly lactic acid, which have a wide range of antimicrobial effects against various pathogens [5–7].
The symbiotic microbial community in the oral microbiota can deteriorate and transform into dysbiotic communities [8]. *Prevotella* is one of the most commonly identified genera in the oral microbiome [9]. Comparative genomic analysis shows that there is a large gene repertoire of *Prevotella* strains in the human oral cavity, allowing adaptation to different niches [10,11]. *Prevotella* strains are non-spore-forming, immotile rods, and most of them are saccharolytic and can use complex carbohydrates [12]. *Prevotella denticola*, *Prevotella loescheii*, and *Prevotella intermedia* accelerate biofilm formation by enabling interspecies adhesion and providing a suitable environment for late colonizers to form complex biofilms [13,14]. *F. nucleatum*, a commonly isolated bacterium of the oral cavity, promotes coaggregation of early and late colonizers and generates an oxido-reduction potential low enough to allow survival of, for example, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, a potential periodontal pathogen [15,16]. *Streptococcus sanguinis*, another commensal member of the oral microbiota, is associated with the formation of healthy plaque but also favors the accumulation of some periodontal pathogens such as *P. gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum* [17,18].

The Inhibitory properties of postbiotics have been studied in periodontitis-associated pathogens. Byproducts of lactobacilli extracted from cell-free supernatants of culture media reduce the biofilm formation of *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* [19]. Additionally, the cellular response of epithelial cells against *P. gingivalis* can be normalized in the presence of metabolic byproducts of the *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* Lr-32 strain [20]. However, it is important to remember that periodontitis-associated pathogens are mostly oxygen- and pH-sensitive and require commensal oral bacteria to grow, form biofilms, and cause destructive periodontal disease [21]. Therefore, current studies focus only on the inhibitory effect of postbiotics on the virulence of periodontal pathogens. However, these studies do not address how postbiotics may affect commensal-opportunistic bacterial groups that have the ability to adapt to the environment. In our study, we hypothesized that oral bacteria with the ability to adapt to pH would resist the antimicrobial and antibiofilm effects of postbiotics. Considering the complexity of oral microbial ecology, in this study, we evaluated the responses to postbiotics of *P. denticola*, a health-associated organism with the ability to adapt to pH, *F. nucleatum*, a bridging organism in oral biofilms, and *S. sanguinis*, a Gram-positive representative in interspecies interactions. In this context, crude and neutralized forms of postbiotics derived from the strain *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 were tested on individual strains of *P. denticola*, *F. nucleatum*, and *S. sanguinis* and their multispecies consortia. Finally, the crude postbiotics were tested on hPDLC cells to determine their biocompatible potential and possible cytotoxic effects.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains, Culture Media, and Growth Conditions

The previously isolated and identified *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 strain (former NCBI GenBank accession number: MW057714.1 and known as *L. plantarum* F10, current accession number: OP810909.1) was available at Pharmabiotic Technologies Research Laboratory, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ankara University. *P. denticola* ATCC 33185 (type strain), *P. denticola* AHN 32366 (clinical strain), *P. denticola* AHN 32482 (clinical strain), *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 (type strain), and *S. sanguinis* NCTC 10904 (type strain) were obtained from the culture collections of the Institute of Dentistry, University of Turku, and used as test microorganisms in antimicrobial screening tests. Since it has not been adequately studied in the literature, clinical isolates of *P. denticola* were also included in the study for comparison with the reference strain.

Before postbiotics preparation, the *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 strain stored in 50% glycerol at −86 °C was first grown for 24 h at 37 °C under static conditions on De Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe Agar (MRS Agar, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Before antimicrobial screening, strains of *P. denticola* ATCC 33185, *P. denticola* AHN 32366, *P. denticola* AHN 32482, and *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 were grown on Brain Heart Infusion Agar (BHI Agar, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Before antimicrobial screening, strains of *P. denticola* ATCC 33185, *P. denticola* AHN 32366, *P. denticola* AHN 32482, and *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 were grown on Brucella Blood Agar Medium supplemented with 750 mg/mL cysteine, 5 mg/mL hemin, and 10 mg/mL vitamin K1. The *S. sanguinis* NCTC 10904 strain was grown on Brain Heart Infusion Agar (BHI Agar, Merck, Darmstadt,
Germany). All strains were incubated under anaerobic conditions (10% H₂, 5% CO₂, and 85% N₂, Whitley A35 Anaerobic Workstation, Don Whitley Scientific Ltd., West Yorkshire, UK) at 37 °C for 4–5 days.

### 2.2. Postbiotics Preparation

A characteristic colony of the previously activated *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 strain on MRS Agar was taken and suspended in 20 mL of MRS Broth (MRS Broth, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). After overnight incubation (corresponding to the late log phase) at 37 °C, this bacterial culture (∼10⁸ CFU/mL) was used to inoculate 1 L of MRS Broth at an inoculation ratio of 2% (v/v). After another overnight incubation at 37 °C, the culture broth was centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 20 min at room temperature, and the supernatant (spent culture medium) was obtained. The supernatant was sterilized using membrane filters (0.22 µm pore size, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) [22]. The filtered samples were then freeze-dried and powdered (freezing conditions of −20 °C, a vacuum pressure of 0.120 mB, and a condenser temperature of −58 °C; Christ freeze dryer, Harz, Germany). Approximately 10 g of dried powder was obtained from 1-L culture supernatant. MRS Broth was also freeze-dried and powdered to use as a control for further experiments.

### 2.3. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentrations (MBC) Tests

MIC and MBC values for postbiotics were determined by the microdilution method according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [23]. Briefly, Todd-Hewitt Broth media (casein peptone 10 g/L, heart infusion 3.1 g/L, sodium carbonate 2.5 g/L, dextrose 2 g/L, sodium chloride 2 g/L, disodium phosphate 0.4 g/L; supplemented with 750 mg/mL cysteine, 5 mg/mL hemin, and 10 mg/mL vitamin K₁) contained various concentrations of crude postbiotics or neutralized (pH 7) postbiotics (0–50 mg/mL). The activated bacterial cultures were adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard and transferred to the wells of the microtiter plates. The test groups contained postbiotics and inoculum. The wells containing postbiotics without inocula served as negative controls, whereas the wells containing positive controls contained only media and inocula. After 48 h of incubation at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions, cell density was measured at a wavelength of 490 nm in a microplate reader and the lowest concentration without growth (MIC values) was determined.

After incubation, 100 µL of the culture suspensions were taken from each well and diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) to perform colony counting. Each dilution was spread on Brucella Blood Agar plates, and the plates were incubated at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions for at least 4 days. Colonies were counted, and log reduction compared to the control groups was calculated. Values corresponding to a log reduction of ≥99.9% were determined as MBC values.

### 2.4. Antimicrobial Screening Assay

Culture media (Todd-Hewitt Broth) containing various concentrations (0, 6.25, 12.5, 25, and 50 mg/mL) of crude postbiotics were prepared, and the pH of the media was measured with a pH meter. The pH values of the media that contained crude postbiotics at different concentrations are shown in Table 1. To test the acid-dependent antimicrobial activities of the postbiotics, pH-adjusted postbiotics-free media were used as positive controls. Neutralized postbiotic samples were also prepared with 2 M NaOH to test acid-independent antimicrobial activity.
Table 1. pH values of Todd-Hewitt media containing different concentrations of postbiotics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postbiotics Concentrations (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Corresponding pH Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.01–6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.99–5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.70–4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.40–4.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colonies from 4-day bacterial pure cultures were harvested and suspended in PBS (pH 7.4). The optical density (OD) of the suspensions was adjusted to 2.0 at 490 nm. Colony counting was performed to calculate the CFU/mL values of the suspensions, and each bacterial suspension was confirmed to be \( \approx 10^9 \) CFU/mL. Twenty-five microliters of these suspensions were inoculated into 2 mL of Todd-Hewitt Broth media containing various concentrations of crude and neutralized postbiotics and acidified Todd-Hewitt Broth media. After inoculation, the culture suspensions were incubated for 48 h at 37 \( ^\circ \)C under anaerobic conditions. During incubation, the culture suspensions were shaken with the vortexer and at 6, 24, and 48 h time points, a 300 \( \mu \)L sample was taken from each culture suspension and transferred to microcentrifuge tubes. A sample of 100 \( \mu \)L was measured with a pH meter with the appropriate probe and a sample of 100 \( \mu \)L was used for colony counting. The last 100 \( \mu \)L sample was used for growth recovery. For this purpose, the collected samples were inoculated in 5 mL of fresh Todd-Hewitt Broth and the samples were incubated for another 4 days. In this way, it was checked whether the growth recovered at the concentrations where the antimicrobial activity was observed.

2.5. Antibiofilm Screening Assay

To determine the antibiofilm effects of crude postbiotics, polymicrobial biofilm models of *P. denticola*, *F. nucleatum*, and *S. sanguinis* were developed based on their interactions in oral biofilms [24,25].

- *P. denticola* ATCC 33185 + *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 + *S. sanguinis* NCTC 10904
- *P. denticola* AHN 32366 + *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 + *S. sanguinis* NCTC 10904
- *P. denticola* AHN 32482 + *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 + *S. sanguinis* NCTC 10904

Bacterial strains were cultured as stated in Section 2.1. Suspensions of the cultures were prepared by adjusting each of them to OD: 2.0 at a wavelength of 490 nm (\( \approx 10^9 \) CFU/mL). An equal volume of each bacterial suspension was mixed to prepare different combinations as stated above. Pasteurized human saliva was used to coat the wells of the polystyrene plates as described previously [26]. Next, 135 \( \mu \)L of Todd-Hewitt Broth adjusted with various concentrations of crude postbiotics was added to the saliva-coated wells (3.12, 6.25, 12.5, and 25 mg/mL postbiotics concentrations). Mixed bacterial suspensions (15 \( \mu \)L) were inoculated into the wells and then the plates were incubated for 48 h at 37 \( ^\circ \)C under anaerobic conditions. The wells containing only inocula served as positive control groups, while the wells containing only different concentrations of postbiotics without inoculum served as negative control groups. After incubation, the biofilm formation was determined using a crystal violet binding assay [27].

2.6. Determination of the Cytotoxic Effects of Postbiotics on hPDLFCs

Previously isolated hPDLFCs were used in this study [28]. Healthy premolar teeth of 20–25-year-old patients were harvested and washed in sterile PBS containing 5% penicillin-streptomycin.

Periodontal membranes were incised, minced, and digested in a protease solution (0.1% collagenase, type I, 0.25–1 mg/mL solid FALGPA (N-(3-[2-furyl] acryloyl)-LeuGly-Pro-Ala) for 45 min in a CO\(_2\) incubator (Panasonic, Osaka, Japan). The digested tissue was then centrifuged at 300 \( \times \) g for 5 min (Hettich, Westphalia, Germany). The pellet was
transferred to DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 1% non-essential amino acid stock solution, and 1% L-glutamine (all from Biological Industries, USA) and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. The morphology of hPDLFCs was examined using an inverted-phase contrast microscope (PrimoVert, Zeiss, Mainz, Germany).

The 96-well plates were seeded with hPDLFCs at a density of 1 × 10⁴ cells/well. After 24 h of incubation, the media were removed, and the wells were rinsed with sterile PBS. Growth media adjusted with various concentrations of crude postbiotics ranging from 0–5000 µg/mL were added to the wells. Wells without crude postbiotics were used as positive controls. The plates were incubated for an additional 24 h. A cytotoxicity assay was performed using MTT 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide according to the manufacturer’s recommendation with the Cell Growth Determination Kit, MTI based, CGD1, Darmstadt, Germany, Merck).

2.7. Statistical Analysis
Each experiment was performed in triplicate, at least at two independent time points. Data are presented as mean values and standard deviations. Multiple comparisons were performed with the One-Way ANOVA test, and Tukey’s test was used for post-hoc comparisons. A p value of 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. All analyses were carried out with GraphPad software (version 8.0, Boston, MA, USA).

3. Results
3.1. MIC and MBC Tests
The MIC and MBC values of all the test strains are shown in Table 2. The MIC and MBC values of the crude postbiotics for the clinical strains of P. denticola and the type strain of S. sanguinis were 12.5 mg/mL and 25 mg/mL, respectively, and for the type strains of F. nucleatum and P. denticola, both MIC and MBC values were 12.5 mg/mL. MIC and MBC values were not determined for the two clinical strains of P. denticola AHN 33266 and 32482 and the type strain of S. sanguinis within the tested concentrations of the neutralized postbiotics. While the MIC and MBC values of the neutralized postbiotics for F. nucleatum ATCC 25586 were 50 mg/mL, the concentration value for the MIC and MBC values in the case of P. denticola ATCC 33185 was 3.12 mg/mL.

Table 2. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) values of test strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postbiotics</th>
<th>Neutralized Postbiotics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIC Value (mg/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. denticola ATCC 33185</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. denticola AHN 32366</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. denticola AHN 32482</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. nucleatum ATCC 25586</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. sanguinis NCTC 10904</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a nd; not determined among tested concentrations.

3.2. Antimicrobial Screening
3.2.1. P. denticola ATCC 33185
The concentrations of 25 and 50 mg/mL completely inhibited the microbial growth of P. denticola ATCC 33185, as did the concentration of 12.5 mg/mL after 48 h. After 6 and 24 h of incubation, no viability was observed in the culture media containing 25 and 50 mg/mL of postbiotics. Compared with the control group, a significant increase in viability was observed in the media containing 6.25 mg/mL postbiotics at the end of the 6 and 24-h
incubations, whereas a decrease of 3 logs was observed at a concentration of 12.5 mg/mL. Moreover, the decrease in the pH of the culture media after 48 h was quite drastic in the media containing 6.25 mg/mL of postbiotics compared with the control group (without postbiotics) (Figure 1a). No significant pH changes were observed in the media containing 12.5, 25, and 50 mg/mL of postbiotics and in the media adjusted with HCl. The pH values in the media containing postbiotics at the indicated concentrations and in media adjusted with HCl were the same both at the beginning and at the end of incubation. The pH changes were lower in the media containing neutralized postbiotics (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1 pH changes in culture media with different concentrations of crude postbiotics, media acidified with HCl, or with different concentrations of neutralized postbiotics).
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**Figure 1.** Microbial growth of *P. denticola* ATCC 33185 under the influence of crude postbiotics, acidified media, and neutralized postbiotics. (a) P: postbiotics in their crude form. Colony counting at indicated time points. (b) Acidified media with HCl. Average pH values corresponding to pH values of culture media adjusted with different concentrations of crude postbiotics (see also Table 1). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. (c) NP: neutralized postbiotics (pH 7). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. Bars indicate standard deviation, n = 3. Different letters indicate the significant differences between groups (One-Way ANOVA, Tukey’s Test, p < 0.05). t<sub>6</sub>: 6 h, t<sub>24</sub>: 24 h, t<sub>48</sub>: 48 h.

Microbial growth and inhibition observed in HCl-acidified media showed a trend similar to that observed in media containing postbiotics. Similarly, an increase in cell viability was observed at the end of 24 h compared to the control group. This indicates that a pH as low as 6.05, corresponding to 6.25 mg/mL of postbiotics, provides an ideal environment for the growth of strain *P. denticola* ATCC 33185 (Figure 1b).

Although the neutralized postbiotics (pH 7.0) were not as effective as the crude postbiotics after 6 h, antimicrobial activity was observed with increasing concentrations and longer incubation times (Figure 1c).

3.2.2. Clinical Strains of *P. denticola*

The antimicrobial activities of postbiotics, acidified media, and neutralized postbiotics were quite similar in both clinical strains of *P. denticola* (AHN 32366 and AHN 32482). In contrast to the type strain of *P. denticola* ATCC 33185, there was no difference in media containing 6.25 mg/mL crude postbiotics at the end of the 6-h incubation period in either clinical strain compared with the control groups. However, in contrast to the type strain, antimicrobial activity under the influence of neutralized postbiotics was observed only at the end of the short-term incubation (6 h), whereas this effect disappeared after 24 and 48 h, and even a slight increase in viability was observed compared with the control group (Figures 2 and 3). The trends of pH changes were very similar in media containing
postbiotics and in pH-adjusted media containing HCl (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1 pH changes in culture media with different concentrations of crude postbiotics, media acidified with HCl, or with different concentrations of neutralized postbiotics).

**Figure 2.** Microbial growth of *P. denticola* AHN 32366 under the influence of crude postbiotics, acidified media, and neutralized postbiotics. (a) P: postbiotics in their crude form. Colony counting at indicated time points. (b) Acidified media with HCl. Average pH values corresponding to pH values of culture media adjusted with different concentrations of crude postbiotics (see also Table 1). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. (c) NP: neutralized postbiotics (pH 7). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. Bars indicate standard deviation, n = 3. Different letters indicate the significant differences between groups (One-Way ANOVA, Tukey’s Test, p < 0.05). t6; 6 h, t24; 24 h, t48; 48 h.

**Figure 3.** Microbial growth of *P. denticola* AHN 32482 under the influence of crude postbiotics, acidified media, and neutralized postbiotics. (a) P: postbiotics in their crude form. Colony counting at indicated time points. (b) Acidified media with HCl. Average pH values corresponding to pH values of culture media adjusted with different concentrations of crude postbiotics (see also Table 1). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. (c) NP: neutralized postbiotics (pH 7). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. Bars indicate standard deviation, n = 3. Different letters indicate the significant differences between groups (One-Way ANOVA, Tukey’s Test, p < 0.05). t6; 6 h, t24; 24 h, t48; 48 h.
3.2.3. *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586

At all time points, bacterial viability was dramatically inhibited at 12.5, 25, and 50 mg/mL postbiotics concentrations in both groups containing different concentrations of postbiotics and HCl-acidified media. In the groups with acidified media, bacterial viability was still present after 6 h at a pH equivalent to 12.5 mg/mL of postbiotics. In contrast to the strains of *P. denticola*, no significant increase in microbial growth was observed in *F. nucleatum* at lower concentrations of postbiotics. As for the antimicrobial activity of the neutralized postbiotics, a decrease in microbial growth was observed only at 25 and 50 mg/mL. Although the increase in microbial growth was remarkable at high concentrations, especially at a long incubation time (24 and 48 h), it was observed that neutralized postbiotics were more effective in the early incubation period (Figure 4). When pH changes were considered in the case of *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586, it was found that even lower concentrations of postbiotics (6.25 mg/mL) had buffering capacity. However, a dramatic decrease was observed in the pH-adjusted media with a pH of 6.25 mg/mL postbiotics (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1 pH changes in culture media with different concentrations of crude postbiotics, media acidified with HCl, or with different concentrations of neutralized postbiotics).

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Microbial growth of *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 under the influence of crude postbiotics, acidified media, and neutralized postbiotics. (a) Postbiotics in their crude form. Colony counting at indicated time points. (b) Acidified media with HCl. Average pH values corresponding to pH values of culture media adjusted with different concentrations of crude postbiotics (see also Table 1). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. (c) NP; neutralized postbiotics (pH 7). Colony counting and pH of the media at indicated time points. Bars indicate standard deviation, n = 3. Different letters indicate the significant differences between groups (One-Way ANOVA, Tukey’s Test, p < 0.05). t48; 6 h, t24; 24 h, t48; 48 h.

3.2.4. *S. sanguinis* NCTC 10904

At the end of 48 h, viability was present only in the media containing 6.25 mg/mL of postbiotics. However, in the acidified media, microbial growth was present even at a pH equal to the pH of the 12.5 mg/mL postbiotics. Moreover, 25 and 50 mg/mL postbiotics and their pH equivalents in manipulated media with HCl were found to be completely inhibitory. For the neutralized postbiotics, a small reduction in growth was observed only at a concentration of 50 mg/mL at the end of all incubation periods (Figure 5). The trends of pH changes were very similar in media containing postbiotics and in pH-adjusted media with HCl (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1 pH changes in culture media with different concentrations of crude postbiotics, media acidified with HCl, or with different concentrations of neutralized postbiotics).
3.3. Growth Recovery after Treatment

After the treatments to determine antimicrobial activity, samples were taken from the cultures and inoculated into fresh media. This was performed to confirm whether the applied contents or conditions (postbiotics in crude form, neutralized postbiotics, or acidified media) resulted in permanent inhibition of microbial viability. No recovery of bacterial growth was observed at postbiotic concentrations and time points where bacterial viability was not observed during the experimental process, with the exception of *F. nucleatum* and 12.5 mg/mL of postbiotics at 6 h, where growth was observed after the recovery process (Supplementary Materials, Table S1 Microbial growth after recovery).

3.4. Antibiofilm Effects of Postbiotics on Polymicrobial Biofilm Formation

Three different polymicrobial consortia were tested in the biofilm assay, all containing type strains of *S. sanguinis* and *F. nucleatum*, but a different *P. denticola* strain. Levels below the MIC concentrations were also tested (3.12 mg/mL and 6.25 mg/mL). The postbiotics showed significant antibiofilm activity in the polymicrobial consortia containing the *P. denticola* AHN 32366 strain, even at non-bactericidal concentrations. In polymicrobial consortia containing *P. denticola* ATCC 33185 and *P. denticola* AHN 34482, only the two highest concentrations reduced biofilm formation (Figure 6).
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**Figure 6.** Antibiofilm activities of crude postbiotics against polymicrobial biofilm formation. Wells of a microtiter plate were coated with saliva and equal amounts of indicated bacteria were added to media containing postbiotics. After 48 h, the amount of accumulated biofilm was measured by crystal violet staining. Bars indicate standard deviation, \( n = 3 \). Different letters indicate the significant differences between groups (One-Way ANOVA, Tukey’s Test, \( p < 0.05 \)).

3.5. Determination of the Cytotoxic Effects of Postbiotics on hPDLFCs

The tested concentrations of crude postbiotics between 0 and 2500 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) had no cytotoxic or proliferative effects on hPDLFCs. A concentration of 5000 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) of crude postbiotics had a cytotoxic effect (Figure 7).

![Graph showing proliferative or cytotoxic effects of crude postbiotics on hPDLFCs.](image)

**Figure 7.** Proliferative or cytotoxic effects of crude postbiotics on hPDLFCs. Different letters indicate the significant differences between groups (One-Way ANOVA, Tukey’s Test, \( p < 0.05 \)).

4. Discussion

This study shows for the first time that postbiotics from the *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 strain have antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities against *P. denticola*, *F. nucleatum*, and *S. sanguinis*. It was also found that the acidity of the postbiotics from the *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 strain was mainly related to the observed antimicrobial and antibiofilm properties. Finally, our results suggest that the antibacterial activity of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics is not solely dependent on their acidity.

In this study, *P. denticola*, *F. nucleatum*, and *S. sanguinis* were preferred to evaluate the antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities of postbiotics because these bacteria are abundant in both healthy and disease-associated biofilms. A wide range of interspecies interactions...
have been observed in oral biofilms, with *Prevotella* in particular interacting with a wide range of microorganisms [29]. *Prevotella* is also involved in the formation of complex oral biofilms, and *P. denticola* occurs as a commensal in normal oral flora but can also be detected in dysbiotic biofilms [14,30]. Because it has not been adequately studied in the literature, clinical isolates of *P. denticola* were also included in the study for comparison with the reference strain. *S. sanguinis* also has an arsenal of surface proteins that can facilitate adhesion between different species [31]. Another important component of oral biofilms is *F. nucleatum* due to its abundance and ability to aggregate with other bacterial species in the oral cavity [32]. Consistent with the literature, the complex ecology of many microorganisms is thought to be at the root of the link between oral health and disease. In recent years, considerable attention has been paid to the use of postbiotics against oral microorganisms. However, the design of current studies is usually based on the evaluation of only one type of microorganism. In the current study, the strain *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 was preferred due to its antimicrobial activity against various pathogens. The strain EIR/IF-1 was rated as the best strain in the current collection [33].

A limitation of this study concerns the partial chemical characterization of the postbiotics, as they are a cocktail of metabolites. In our previous studies, we determined the composition of organic acids of the strain *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to investigate the antimicrobial activities of the postbiotics [27]. Among the organic acids in the composition of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics, lactic acid was interpreted as the highest concentration (35.82 mg/mL), and the concentrations of formic and maleic acids were also relatively high (3.55 and 2.47 mg/mL, respectively). Malic acid, succinic acid, butyric acid, acetic acid, and tartaric acid were also detected at concentrations of 0.18, 0.20, 0.22, 0.98, and 1.62 mg/mL, respectively. However, if we consider the other metabolites of postbiotics, which have a complex chemical composition, organic acids make up only a small part of this complex composition. This complexity can make it difficult to evaluate potential benefits because the ingredients are not well documented. Most of the literature points to the health benefits of live bacteria, but scientific evidence for the use of postbiotics is growing. Postbiotics also offer advantages over the use of live organisms, including the possibility of chemical characterization and dose adjustment [2]. Another limitation is that the slightly acidic environment (6.01–6.10) caused by the low concentration (6.25 mg/mL) of postbiotics may favor the growth of *P. denticola* strains. Therefore, the appropriate use of postbiotics containing organic acids should be tested on oral bacteria that can adapt to acidic conditions. Appropriate dosing strategies should also be tested. This is because, as in this study, inappropriate postbiotic concentrations can lead to unexpected results, especially with *P. denticola* strains. Although postbiotics can usually be used directly as a complex cocktail due to their various beneficial properties, it would be difficult to separately evaluate the potential antimicrobial and antibiofilm capabilities of the numerous and diverse individual metabolites in postbiotics. Despite this difficulty, this challenge can also be partially overcome by the fact that postbiotics can be used directly as a complex component in many applications. Another limitation would be that only cell-free supernatant was used as postbiotics in this study. This is because intracellular and cell wall/membrane components also fall under the definition of postbiotics. To some extent, cell-free culture supernatant is both easier to obtain and standardize than the other postbiotic components. In this study, significant antimicrobial and antibiofilm effects were observed by using cell-free culture supernatant as postbiotics source.

Cell-free culture supernatants, which fall under the definition of postbiotics, may have cytotoxic effects on eukaryotic cells because of the organic acids they contain. Although there are few studies on the effects of cell-free culture metabolites on periodontal cells, some of these studies have shown that postbiotics from lactic acid bacteria have toxic effects. For example, culture supernatant of the *L. rhamnosus* Lr-32 strain impairs the viability of gingival epithelial cells at increasing concentrations [20]. Although there is no study in the literature on the possible cytotoxic effects of postbiotics on hPDLF cells, it is also known that metabolites such as acetate and propionate, which may be present in postbiotics,
can have cytotoxic effects on various human cell lines [34]. Although the antimicrobial and antibiofilm benefits of postbiotics should not be disregarded, the potential cytotoxic effects of these ingredients on healthy cells in the oral flora should be considered and dose-dependent approaches should be evaluated in the future use of these ingredients in periodontal treatment. In particular, organic acids that may be present in postbiotics and have a potentially toxic effect on oral cell lines should be evaluated separately.

The crude postbiotics were also tested against polymicrobial consortia. Finally, as an important contribution to the literature, bacterial recovery after the application of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics was performed to understand whether the antimicrobial effect is durable. Simply put, agents with bacteriostatic activity can prevent the growth of microorganisms by keeping them in the stationary phase, and agents with bactericidal activity can kill microorganisms [35]. Essentially, the application of growth recovery was performed only to evaluate whether the efficacy of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics was bacteriostatic or bactericidal on the oral microorganisms tested. Again, we demonstrated that the antimicrobial activity of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics was bactericidal against the oral bacteria tested.

In the oral cavity, pH is an important regulator of bacterial presence, colonization, and biofilm formation [36]. Fluctuations in pH affect the structure of proteins and phospholipids in the cell membrane, increase the permeability of the cell membrane, and may eventually lead to leakage of internal cell components. In addition to this basic effect, acids can cause cell death by inhibiting processes such as DNA replication and protein synthesis in the target cell [37]. *P. intermedia* can grow under acidic conditions in the range of 5.0–7.0 and *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 can grow at acidic pH values in the range of 5.5–7.0. To survive under acidic conditions, these bacteria deaminate amino acids and provide acid neutralization [38]. According to our results, both *P. denticola* and *F. nucleatum* survive in a pH range of 5–7.4. Moreover, the strain *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 is more sensitive to acidic postbiotics than the strains of *P. denticola*, and the antimicrobial activity of postbiotics proved to be stronger against *F. nucleatum* ATCC 25586 than that of HCl-acidified media. Bosch et al. [39] demonstrated that lactic acid-producing probiotic bacteria exhibited strong antimicrobial activity against *P. denticola* and *F. nucleatum*. Considering that the predominant organic acid in the postbiotics tested in this study is lactic acid, the antimicrobial effect observed in the present study and in the study by Bosch et al. [39] could be due to lactic acid. When the pH of the environment is lowered to 4.04 in the presence of a carbohydrate source, non-mutans streptococci such as *S. sanguinis* can still survive within 60 min. The acid tolerance of *S. sanguinis* may be due to the activity of the enzyme arginine deiminase [39]. In contrast, the strain *S. sanguinis* NCTC 10904 used in this study did not survive under the influence of acidic postbiotics, which lowered the pH to 4.40–6.0. This result suggests that the acid tolerance of *S. sanguinis* is less effective in long-term cultures.

Lactic acid is the dominant organic acid in *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics. When lactic acid interacts with the bacterial cell membrane, it leads to acidification of the membrane and consequent failure of the proton drive. Lactic acid can act on many bacteria, including Gram-negative species. Lactic acid has a high affinity for water-filled porins on the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. Acidic agents with high affinity such as lactic acid cause the release of LPS from the outer membrane. This increases membrane permeability and leads to cell death [40]. The antimicrobial activity of acidic postbiotics on Gram-negative *F. nucleatum* and *P. denticola* can be explained by this general mode of action.

Postbiotics may contain not only bacteriocins but also various other antimicrobial substances that exhibit antimicrobial activity in addition to organic acids [2]. To test this hypothesis, we used neutralized postbiotics and found that the neutralized postbiotics (pH 7) exhibited significant antimicrobial activity, especially in the case of *P. denticola* ATCC 33185. A short-term antibacterial effect with lower efficiency was also observed in other test strains. Interestingly, the growth of clinical strains of *P. denticola* increased with increasing concentration of neutralized postbiotics, which may be explained by the
large abundance of postbiotics metabolites that these strains can use [27]. Thus, our results suggest that the effect of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics on the growth of the tested oral bacteria is strain-dependent. Finally, the fact that postbiotics exhibit stronger antimicrobial activity than acidified media, especially in the case of *S. sanguinis*, may indicate antimicrobial components other than organic acids. The neutralized crude extract of postbiotics was also treated with proteinase K and catalase enzymes to determine whether a peptide-based antimicrobial agent or hydrogen peroxide-induced antimicrobial activity was present. While a decrease in antimicrobial activity was observed in postbiotic activity after treatment with proteinase K, no hydrogen peroxide-derived antimicrobial activity was observed after treatment with catalase [33].

Postbiotics of *L. rhamnosus* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* reduce the biofilm formation and virulence of *A. actinomycetemcomitans* [19]. Additionally, postbiotics of strain *L. rhamnosus* Lr-32 show immunomodulatory effects on gingival epithelial cells infected with *P. gingivalis*, more effectively than live probiotic cells [20]. The spent culture medium of *Lactobacillus salivarius* strain MG4265 was found to inhibit *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm formation and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase activity (TRAP) [41]. Sub-MIC concentrations of postbiotics from the strains *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1, *Lactiplantibacillus curvatus* EIR/DG -1, and *L. curvatus* EIR/BG-2 are effective in inhibiting the expression of *gtfC* gene, which plays a role in the glucosyltransferase enzyme of *S. mutans*, and *comA* and *comX* genes, which play a role in regulating the two-component system [27]. As indicated in the literature, postbiotics from lactic acid bacteria have antibiotic activity against oral pathogens. However, these studies were conducted on only one type of pathogenic microbial species. Therefore, we investigated the effects of postbiotics on biofilm formation of consortia of *F. nucleatum*, *P. denticola*, and *S. sanguinis*. In particular, the antibacterial effect of postbiotics was different among *P. denticola* strains. The postbiotics of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 strains showed antibiofilm activity against the formation of polymeric biofilms even at sub-MIC concentrations in which the strain *P. denticola* AHN 33266 is present. Finally, considering the efficacy of the postbiotics of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 in terms of antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity, the effective concentrations were 25 and 50 mg/mL, corresponding to the preferred oral bacterial strains and polymicrobial biofilm consortia under defined in vitro conditions. It seems possible that the antibiofilm activity of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics is independent of the antimicrobial activity, especially in polymicrobial consortia containing the strain *P. denticola* AHN 33266. This situation requires further studies to show how the related postbiotics exhibit antibiofilm activity against oral bacteria.

Our data suggest that postbiotics from the *L. plantarum* strain EIR/IF-1 can inhibit polymicrobial oral biofilm formation. However, because no data are available in the literature on the effects of postbiotics on polymicrobial biofilms of the species examined in this study, our results are of limited comparability. Additionally, because the method used to determine the amount of biofilm was an indirect method, it was difficult to determine which bacteria in the polymicrobial biofilm were affected by the use of postbiotics.

5. Conclusions

The antibacterial activity of *L. plantarum* EIR/IF-1 postbiotics against *F. nucleatum*, *S. sanguinis*, and *P. denticola* depends mainly on its acidity. The antibacterial activity in neutralized postbiotics, especially against the strain *P. denticola* ATCC 33185, suggests the presence of other antimicrobial agents distinct from the dominant acidic components. Further characterization of the antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities of functional components in postbiotics is needed. Given the complexity of oral biofilms in health and disease, the use of postbiotics should be evaluated for both their antimicrobial/antibiofilm effects and their potential toxicity at high concentrations on oral cells.
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