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The Antimicrobial Photoinactivation Effect on *Escherichia coli* through the Action of Inverted Cationic Porphyrin–Cyclodextrin Conjugates
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Abstract: Photodynamic action has been used for diverse biomedical applications, such as treating a broad range of bacterial infections. Based on the combination of light, dioxygen, and photosensitizer (PS), the photodynamic inactivation (PDI) approach led to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and represented a non-invasive, non-toxic, repeatable procedure for pathogen photoinactivation. To this end, different tetrapyrrolic macromolecules, such as porphyrin (Por) dyes, have been used as PSs for PDI against microorganisms, mainly bacteria. Still, there is significant room for improvement, especially new PS molecules. Herein, unsymmetrical new pyridinone (3–5) and thiopyridyl Pors (7) were prepared as free-base and CD units, following their quaternization to perform the corresponding free-base Pors (3a–5a and 7a), and were compared with the already-known Pors 6a and 8a, both bearing thiopyridinium and CD units. These water-soluble porphyrins were evaluated as PSs, and their photophysical and photochemical properties and photodynamic effects on *E. coli* were assessed. The presence of one CD unit and three positive charges on the Por structure (3a–5a and 7a) enhanced their aqueous solubility. The photoactivity of the cationic Pors 3a–5a and 6a–8a ensured their potential against the Gram-negative bacterium *E. coli*. Within each series of methoxypyridinium vs thiopyridinium dyes, the best PDI efficiency was achieved for 5a with a bacterial viability reduction of 3.5 log<sub>10</sub> (50 mW cm<sup>−2</sup>, 60 min of light irradiation) and for 8a with a total bacterial viability reduction (>8 log<sub>10</sub>, 25 mW cm<sup>−2</sup>, 30 min of light irradiation). Here, the presence of the methoxypyridinium units is less effective against *E. coli* when compared with the thiopyridinium moieties. This study allows for the conclusion that the peripheral charge position, quaternized substituent type/CD unit, and affinity to the outer bacterial structures play an important role in the photoinactivation efficiency of *E. coli*, evidencing that these features should be further addressed in the pursuit for optimised PS for the antimicrobial PDI of pathogenic microorganisms.
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1. Introduction

*Escherichia coli* belongs to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, considered pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria. This bacterium is present in the intestinal microbiome of humans [1]. However, some strains can promote disease. Many of them cause severe infections in the urinary tract, digestive system, or even in the blood [2]. In recent decades, an increase in microbial resistance against antibiotics has been witnessed. *E. coli* is no exception and, thus, it is essential to find an alternative for its effective combat [3].

One of the interesting alternatives that have been studied, with promising results, is the antimicrobial photoinactivation (PDI) approach. PDI is based on the use of three key elements: the photosensitizer (PS), light source, and dioxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), which, when combined, promote the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and free radicals,
inducing oxidative damage in biological targets [4,5]. This method can be applied in different microorganisms, such as bacteria [6], viruses [7], fungi [8], and protozoa [9]. Thus, the PDI approach has been explored for, e.g., surface disinfection [10], wastewater treatment [11], or food decontamination [12,13].

The selection of the PS is essential, which must be fast, efficient, and selective. Numerous molecules can be considered PSs, such as chlorin (Chl) [4,14–16], porphyrin (Por) [6,17–19], and phthalocyanine (Pc) dyes [5,20–26]. The PDI’s effectiveness is also influenced by the microorganism structure. The cell wall complexity of Gram-negative bacteria is higher than that of Gram-positive ones. However, their porous cell wall allows the crossing and accumulation of PS [21,27,28]. To improve the PS biodistribution, finding suitable PS chemical structures and solubility strategies are necessary. Some published studies demonstrated that cationic PSs have a higher efficacy against Gram-negative bacteria than neutral or anionic compounds [29,30]. The addition of positive charges on the Por periphery can also increase its photophysical properties and may result in an effective increase in the PDI treatment [31,32]. Moreover, binding porphyrin derivatives to amphiphilic molecules may be another strategy to adopt to increase their compatibility and biological efficacy [33–35]. Thus, the association between unsymmetrical porphyrins and versatile cyclodextrin (CD) units is extremely important for promoting water-soluble PS drugs [17]. This association with cyclic oligosaccharides derivatives, such as α-, β-, or γ-cyclodextrin (α-, β-, or γ-CD) units have been explored in drug design due to their water solubility, low toxicity, and low inflammatory response [36–38]. Moreover, CDs present several strategies for combating microbial infections, including reducing resistance to known antibiotics by altering the possibility of cell-to-cell communication (quorum sensing), thus reducing the likelihood of resistance [39–41]. Given these properties and those of porphyrins, it was decided to bring both together and try to increase the PDI efficacy against bacteria.

Here, we synthesized water-soluble porphyrin–cyclodextrin (Por–CD) conjugates to assess their photodynamic efficiency against E. coli, a Gram-negative bacterial model. We compared the effectiveness of Por–CD conjugates (with three positive charges) bearing methoxypyridinium (3a–5a) and thiopyridinium (6a–8a) moieties to photoinactivate the E. coli. The new synthesized unsymmetrical Pors 3a–5a and 7a, covalently linked to the α-, β-, or γ-CD units, were compared with the already-known Pors 6a and 8a (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Cationic porphyrin-cyclodextrin conjugates.
2. Experimental Procedure

2.1. General

All reagents were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) with high purities and were immediately used in the reactions. In some cases, standard procedures were applied on the solvents, such as distillation or drying [42]. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed in silica (Merck, 60, 0.2 mm thick). Chromatography of the column was made in silica (Merck, Kenilworth, NJ, USA, 35–70 mesh). 

1H (300.13 MHz) and 19F (282.38 MHz) NMR spectra were acquired in Bruker Avance-300 spectrometers. It was used as an internal reference of tetramethylsilane (TMS) and the deuterium solvent of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO-d6). Chemical shifts were determined in δ (ppm), and coupling constants (J) in Hertz (Hz). ESI–MS spectra were acquired on an instrument of Q-TOF 2 (Micromass, Manchester, UK). Sample solutions were prepared at 1 mg/mL in MeOH or H2O. The absorbance and steady-state fluorescence spectra of Pors (3a–8a) were recorded in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in quartz optical cells at 298 K and under normal air conditions by using Shimadzu UV–2501PC and the spectrofluorometer FluoroMax Plus, Horiba, respectively. The fluorescence quantum yield (ΦF) of the Pors was calculated by comparing the area under the emission spectrum of every compound, with the area under the emission spectrum of 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP) (ΦF = 0.13 in DMSO) as a standard reference [43].

2.2. Synthesis of 5-(pentafluorophenyl)-10,15,20-tris[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(4-oxopyridin-1(4H)-yl)phenyl]porphyrin, Por 1

In a 50 mL round-bottom flask, 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin (H2TPPF20, 300.3 mg, 3.1 × 10−4 mol, 1 eq.) and 4-hydroxypyridine (90.8 mg, 9.5 × 10−4 mol, 3.1 eq.) were dissolved in 10 mL of DMF under an N2 atmosphere. After stirring during the 48 h at r.t., the TLC analysis confirmed that the nucleophilic reaction was complete. The DMF was completely evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction was dissolved in a mixture of CH2Cl2/MeOH (93/7, v/v%) and purified by column chromatography using the same eluent. The main fraction was subsequently precipitated in hexane, filtrated, and washed with the same solvent. The obtained purple solid was dried under a vacuum system over 6 h at 80 °C. Yield (Por 1): 80% (297.6 mg, 3.3 × 10−4 mol).

1H NMR (300.13 MHz, DMSO–d6): δ = −3.14 (s, 2H, -NH), 6.55 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 6H, m-H), 8.23 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 6H, o-H), 9.45–9.50 (m, 8H, β-H) ppm. 19F NMR (282.38 MHz, DMSO–d6): δ = −158.67– −158.48 (m, 2F, Ar-F), −149.75 (t, J = 22.6 Hz, 1F, Ar-F), −145.42–− 145.21 (m, 6F, Ar-F), −135.32 (dd, J = 26.0, 7.6 Hz, 2F, Ar-F), −135.00–− 134.88 (m, 6F, Ar-F) ppm. ESI–MS m/z: 1200.4 [M+H]+ and 601.0 [M+2H]2+. 

2.3. Synthesis of Por–CD Conjugates, Pors 3–5

In a 25 mL round-bottom flask, a mixture of Por 1 (100 mg, 8.3 × 10−5 mol) and α-(105 mg, 1.1 × 10−4 mol), β-(123 mg, 1.1 × 10−4 mol), or γ-cyclodextrin (140 mg, 1.1 × 10−4 mol) was dissolved in 5 mL of DMF. The reaction was carried out for 72 h at 60 °C under an N2 atmosphere, allowing for the nucleophilic substitution, also confirmed by TLC. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the reactional crude was dissolved in MeOH, then precipitated with water, filtered, and washed with cool water. The obtained purple solids were dried under a vacuum system over 6 h at 80 °C. The desired porphyrin–cyclodextrin conjugates were obtained in moderate yields (Por 3: 47%, Por 4: 58%, and Por 5: 41%).

5-[4-(α-cyclodextrin)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro]-10,15,20-tris[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(4-oxopyridin-1(4H)-yl)phenyl]porphyrin, Por 3: 1H NMR (300.13 MHz, DMSO–d6): δ = −3.08 (br s, 2H, -NH), 6.28–6.54 (m, 6H, m-H), 7.96–8.30 (m, 6H, o-H), 9.14–9.65 (m, 8H, β-H) ppm. 19F NMR (282.38 MHz, DMSO–d6): δ = −145.98–− 144.86 (m, 8F, Ar-F), −135.00–− 133.69 (m, 8F, Ar-F) ppm. ESI–MS m/z: 1076.2 [M+2H]2+ and 710.9 [M+3H]3+. UV–Vis (DMSO): λ (log ε) 417 (4.17); 510 (2.01); 583 (1.47) nm.
5-[4-(β-cyclodextrin)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro]-10,15,20-tris[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(4-oxopyridin-1(4H)-yl)phenyl]porphyrin, For 4: $^1$H NMR (300.13 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 3.03$ (br s, 2H, -NH), 6.27–6.76 (m, 6H, $\alpha$-H), 7.92–8.20 (m, 6H, $\omega$-H), 9.22–9.43 (m, 8H, $\beta$-H) ppm. $^{19}$F NMR (282.38 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 144.35$ (m, 8F, Ar-F), $-133.87$ (m, 8F, Ar-F) ppm. ESI-MS m/z: 1239.5 [M+2H]$^2^+$ and 773.3 [M+3H]$^3^+$. UV–Vis (DMSO): $\lambda (\log c)$ 416 (3.96); 510 (2.03); 584 (1.56) nm.

In a sealed tube, Por 3 (50 mg, 2.3 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol), Por 4 (50 mg, 2.2 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol), or Por 5 (50 mg, 2.0 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol) was dissolved in 5 mL of DMF and reacted with an excess of (CH$_3$)$_3$SO$_4$ (3.0 mL). The reaction was stirred overnight at 80 °C. After this period, the reactional residue was dissolved in MeOH and precipitated in water, filtered, and washed with the same solvent. The obtained purple solids were dried under a vacuum system over 6 h at 80 °C and the cationic derivatives were obtained in moderate-to-good yields (Por 3a (35 mg, 1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol, 43%), Por 4a (38 mg, 1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol, 73%), Por 5a (35 mg, 1.4 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol, 70%).

2.4. Synthesis of Cationic Por–CD Conjugates, Pors 3a–5a

In a sealed tube, Por 3 (50 mg, 2.3 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol), Por 4 (50 mg, 2.2 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol), or Por 5 (50 mg, 2.0 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol) was dissolved in 5 mL of DMF and reacted with an excess of (CH$_3$)$_3$SO$_4$ (3.0 mL). The reaction was stirred overnight at 80 °C. After this period, the reactional residue was dissolved in MeOH and precipitated in water, filtered, and washed with the same solvent. The obtained purple solids were dried under a vacuum system over 6 h at 80 °C and the cationic derivatives were obtained in moderate-to-good yields (Por 3a (35 mg, 1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol, 43%), Por 4a (38 mg, 1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol, 73%), Por 5a (35 mg, 1.4 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol, 70%).

5-[4-(α-cyclodextrin)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl]-10,15,20-tris[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(4-methoxy pyridinium-1-yl)phenyl]porphyrin, For 3a: $^1$H NMR (300.13 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 3.08$ (br s, 2H, -NH), 4.37 (s, 9H, -OCH$_3$), 8.14–8.20 (m, 6H, $m$-H), 9.37–9.55 (m, 14H, $\omega$-H and 8 $\beta$-H) ppm. $^{19}$F NMR (282.38 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 144.35$ (m, 8F, Ar-F), $-133.88$ (m, 8F, Ar-F) ppm. UV–Vis (DMSO): $\lambda (\log c)$ 416 (4.04); 509 (1.84); 583 (1.35) nm.  

5-[4-(β-cyclodextrin)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl]-10,15,20-tris[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(4-methoxy pyridinium-1-yl)phenyl]porphyrin, For 4a: $^1$H NMR (300.13 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 3.08$ (br s, 2H, -NH), 4.36 (s, 9H, -OCH$_3$), 8.06–8.20 (m, 6H, $m$-H), 9.41–9.48 (m, 14H, $\omega$-H and 8 $\beta$-H) ppm. $^{19}$F NMR (282.38 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 144.35$ (m, 8F, Ar-F), $-133.85$ (m, 8F, Ar-F) ppm. UV–Vis (DMSO): $\lambda (\log c)$ 417 (3.37); 509 (2.14); 583 (1.61) nm.  

5-[4-(γ-cyclodextrin)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl]-10,15,20-tris[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(4-methoxy pyridinium-1-yl)phenyl]porphyrin, For 5a: $^1$H NMR (300.13 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 3.10$ (br s, 2H, -NH), 4.37 (s, 9H, -OCH$_3$), 8.18–8.22 (m, 6H, $m$-H), 9.34–9.53 (m, 14H, $\omega$-H and $\beta$-H) ppm. $^{19}$F NMR (282.38 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 144.09$ (m, 8F, Ar-F), $-133.83$ (m, 8F, Ar-F) ppm. UV–Vis (DMSO): $\lambda (\log c)$ 417 (3.10); 510 (1.82); 583 (1.43) nm.

2.5. Synthesis of Por–CD Conjugate, For 7

Por 2 [17] (100 mg, 8.1 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol) and 10 equivalents of β-cyclodextrin were dissolved in 5 mL of DMF and the reaction was stirred under an N$_2$ atmosphere and over 72 h at 60 °C until the confirmation, by TLC, that Por 2 was totally consumed. After the workup, the reactional residue was dissolved in MeOH and precipitated in water, filtered, and washed with water. The obtained purple solid constituted by Por 7 was dried under a vacuum system over 6 h at 80 °C. Compound 7 was obtained in a moderate yield (99 mg, 4.2 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ mol, 52%).
2.6. Synthesis of Cationic Por–CD Conjugate, Por 7a

In a sealed tube, Por 7 (50.1 mg, 2.1 \( \times \) 10\(^{-5} \) mol) was dissolved in DMF (3.0 mL) and was added to an excess of methyl iodide (1.0 mL, 16.1 mmol). The reaction was stirred overnight at 40 °C. After this period, the reactional mixture was cooled until room temperature and the positive charged product was precipitated in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), filtered, and washed with the same solvent. The obtained purple solid was dried under a vacuum system over 6 h at 80 °C and was recovered in excellent yield, obtaining the compound 7a (48 mg, 1.9 \( \times \) 10\(^{-5} \) mol, 95%).

5-[4-(β-cyclodextrin)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl]-10,15,20-tris[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(1-methylpyridinium-4-yl-thio)phenyl]porphyrin triiodide, Por 7a: \(^1\)H NMR (300.13 MHz, DMSO–d\(_6\)): \( \delta \) -3.08 (br s, 2H, -NH), 4.35 (s, 9H, N-CH\(_3\)), 8.44–8.48 (m, 6H, \( m \)-H), 8.90–9.00 (m, 6H, \( o \)-H), 9.59–9.71 (m, 8H, \( β \)-H) ppm. \(^{19}\)F NMR (282.38 MHz, DMSO–d\(_6\)): \( \delta \) -132.89–132.76 (m, 8F, Ar-F), -128.00–127.87 (m, 8F, Ar-F) ppm. UV–Vis (DMSO): \( \lambda \) (log \( \varepsilon \)) 420 (3.09); 514 (1.95); 588 (1.62) nm.

2.7. Photostability Assays

The PBS solutions of Pors 3a–8a (3 mL in quartz cuvettes) were irradiated under the same light conditions applied in the biological experiments. The UV–Vis spectrum was monitored, and the Soret band absorbance value (between 416 and 420 nm) of each PS (3a–8a) was displayed before (time \( t_0 = Abs_0 \)) and after white light exposure for pre-defined intervals (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45, and 60 min) (Abs\(_t\)):

\[
\text{Photostability (\%)} = \left( \frac{Abs_t}{Abs_0} \right) \times 100
\]

Light Conditions

A white light LED system (ELMARK-VEGA20, 20 W, 1400 lm, range of 400–700 nm) was used for the irradiation of the Por dyes at different irradiation intensities of 25 and 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\). The light irradiance was determined through a Power Meter Coherent FieldMaxII196 Top with a Coherent PowerSens PS19Q energy sensor.

2.8. Singlet Oxygen Generation

Different solutions of each PS (Abs at 420 nm \( \approx \) 0.2) were prepared in DMF with 9,10-dimethylanthracene (9,10-DMA) at a concentration of 50 \( \mu \)M. These solutions were irradiated in quartz cuvettes with blue light (\( \lambda \) = 420 nm). A solution of TPP in DMF was used as a reference (\( \Phi_\Delta = 0.65 \)) [44,45]. The photooxidation kinetics of 9,10-DMA was studied by decaying the absorption of 9,10-DMA absorbance at 378 nm, the photooxidation results of 9,10-DMA were photosensitized by PSs 3a–8a, and the reference was registered in a first-order plot [46–48]. Three independent experiments were performed.

2.9. Bacterial Strain and Growth Conditions

The genetically transformed bioluminescent E. coli Top10 (by the luxCDABE genes of the marine bioluminescent bacterium Allivibrio fischeri) was grown in a Tryptic Soy Broth medium (TSA, Merck), enriched with 50 mg mL\(^{-1}\) of ampicillin (Amp) and 34 mg mL\(^{-1}\) of chloramphenicol (Cm). Before the assay, one colony was placed into a flask with Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Merck), enriched with Amp and Cm, and was grown at 25 °C overnight under shaking at 120 rpm. Then, an aliquot was transferred into 10 mL of TSB under the same growth conditions until the stationary growth phase was achieved. An optical density of 600 nm (OD\(_{600}\)) of 1.6 ± 0.1 corresponded to 10\(^8\) colony forming units per millilitre (CFU mL\(^{-1}\)). The correlation of CFU mL\(^{-1}\) and the bioluminescence signal (in relative light units, RLUs) of the bioluminescent E. coli is described in the literature [49].
2.10. Photodynamic Inactivation Studies

The bacteria of *E. coli* were grown overnight in TBS. After that, it was diluted in PBS (pH = 7.49) to a final concentration of \( \approx 10^7 \) CFU mL\(^{-1}\), corresponding to \( \approx 10^7 \) RLU. This bacterial suspension was equivalently distributed in 6-well plates and a suitable volume of each PS was added in order to accomplish the final concentration of 5.0 \( \mu \)M. From our previous published binding studies [17], the best concentration to minimize the aggregation effect of the PSs was 5 \( \mu \)M. The experiment had a light control (LC), which contained only the bacterial suspension, as well as a dark control (DC), which included the bacterial suspension incubated with the PS at 5.0 \( \mu \)M and was protected from light. Both were exposed to the same irradiation conditions as the samples. The samples and the controls were protected from light using aluminum foil and were kept in the dark for 15 min to provide the ability of PS to bind to *E. coli*. At the end of the incubation process, all the samples were irradiated under agitation for 60 min at 20 \( ^\circ \)C. Aliquots of 1.0 mL were collected and the bacterial bioluminescence was measured in a luminometer (TD–20/20 Luminometer, Turner Designs, Inc., Madison, WI, United States). The detection limit of the equipment is ca. 2.3 log. Three independent experiments were performed.

In addition to measuring bioluminescence, aliquots of treated and control samples were taken from the first and last time points, serially diluted, and poured in triplicate onto a Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) medium. The plates were incubated for 24 h at a controlled temperature of 37 \( ^\circ \)C, and the concentration of the viable cells was determined by counting the CFU. At least three independent experimental measurements were conducted with three replicates. The results are determined as the average of the three assays.

2.11. Statistical Analysis

At least three independent experiments, with three replicates per assay for each condition, were done. The statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 8. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to check for normal distributions, and the homogeneity of variance was verified with the Brown–Forsythe test. ANOVA and Dunnet’s multiple comparison tests were applied to assess whether the samples were statistically significant.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis, Photophysical, and Photochemical Characterization of the Porphyrin Derivatives

The neutral tri-substituted 4-pyridinone, Pors 1 and 3–5, and the cationic Pors 3a–5a (Scheme 1), were prepared through a nucleophilic substitution methodology well-established in our research group [50]. The tri-substituted 4-pyridinone Por 1 was prepared from commercial \( \text{H}_2\text{TPPF}_{20} \) using three equivalents of 4-hydroxypyridine and \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \) in DMF as the solvent. Pors 3–5 was synthesized from Por 1 after the reaction with \( \approx 1.2 \) equivalents of the corresponding \( \alpha-, \beta-, \) or \( \gamma-\)cyclodextrin (Scheme 1), where the reactional temperature was carefully controlled. The cationic Pors 3a–5a was attained by a quaternization process using an excess of dimethyl sulfate in DMF. All Por structures were confirmed by \( ^1\text{H} \) and \( ^19\text{F} \) NMR spectroscopy, ESI–MS spectrometry (when it was possible to determine), and were characterized by UV–Vis absorption and emission spectroscopy (Figure 1).
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Table 1. Absorption and emissive properties of Pors 3a–8a in DMSO. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength / nm</th>
<th>Absorbance</th>
<th>Fluorescence / a.u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Normalized (A) absorption and (B) emission spectra of Pors 3a–8a in DMSO at 298 K. 

The 1H NMR spectrum of the Por 1 is characteristic of a trisubstituted pattern, evidencing two doublets at δ 6.55 ppm and δ 8.23 ppm (J = 7.7 Hz) corresponding to the resonance of 6 meta-protons and 6 ortho-protons on the 4-pyridinone groups, respectively. Additionally, the 19F NMR spectrum of Por 1 is compatible with a para substitution pattern of three pentafluorophenyl rings and one unsubstituted pentafluorophenyl ring. The 19F NMR spectra of Pors 3–5 revealed the disappearance of the signal at −149.75 ppm due to the para-fluorine replacement by the cyclodextrin and the appearance of two multiplets on the interval of δ −146.92—144.68 ppm and δ −135.72—133.69 ppm, corresponding these signals to the ortho- and meta-fluorine atoms. The cationization of the neutral compounds provided to the Pors 3a–5a were confirmed by the appearance of the singlet in the aliphatic region located at δ 4.36–4.37 ppm of the 1H NMR, which corresponds to the resonance of the –OCH3 protons. 

The ESI–MS analysis shows the observed species resulting from the characteristic fragmentation processes of these Pors with the formation of species with different overall m/z ratios. 

3.1.1. Photophysical and Photochemical Studies 

The absorption spectra of cationic Pors 3a–8a were recorded in DMSO solutions (~10−5 M) at 298 K (Figure 1). The UV–Vis absorption spectra of the new Pors 3a–5a and 7a in DMSO solutions exhibit a typical feature of meso–substituted porphyrin derivatives with a strong Soret band at ca. 418 nm and weak Q bands between 500 and 650 nm (Figure 1). Moreover, although free-base porphyrins generally display four Q bands, meso-pentafluorophenylporphyrin derivatives often display only two Q bands, with the remaining two Q bands as indefinite. It is worth noting that the Soret bands of Pors 3a–5a and 7a appear slightly broadened in the base, typical of the aggregation phenomena. All the studied derivatives showed weak emissive properties with fluorescence quantum yields above 1% [6,50]. This fact might be due to a non-radiative excited deactivation that is very likely due to aggregation phenomena observed in DMSO for the tricationic dyes conjugated with a CD unit. 

The absorption characteristics, molar extinction coefficients (ε), and fluorescence quantum yield for Pors 3a–8a in DMSO are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. Absorption and emissive properties of Pors 3a–8a in DMSO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pors</th>
<th>Soret Band (nm)</th>
<th>log ε</th>
<th>Q Bands (nm)</th>
<th>log ε</th>
<th>λemiss.</th>
<th>ΦF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>583</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>583</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>583</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a b</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>583</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>588</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a b</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>583</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Using TPP (ΦF = 0.13) as reference in DMSO [43]; b From reference [17].

As previously mentioned, one of the key characteristics of a molecule that is considered a PS is its ability to generate singlet oxygen (1O2), one of the main ROS responsible for causing cell damage, leading to cell death.

Thus, the production of 1O2 by Pors 3a–8a in DMF was evaluated by decaying the absorption of 9,10-DMA at 378 nm under light irradiation. No significant photodegradation of 9,10-DMA in DMF was observed without any PS under light irradiation. The generation of 1O2 by the new cationic Pors (3a–5a and 7a) was compared to the known cationic Pors 6a, 8a, and a TPP reference (ΦΔ = 0.65 in DMF) that is considered a good generator of 1O2 [44,45]. According to the obtained results summarised in Figure 2, all derivatives can generate 1O2 after light exposure. The gamma-cyclodextrin Por derivatives 5a and 8a are the best producers of 1O2 among the three cyclodextrins (α-, β-, and γ-derivatives) assessed. Comparing methoxypyridinium Por–CD dyes (3a–5a) with the corresponding thiopyridinium Por–CD dyes (6a–8a) [17], the results point out that thiopyridinium porphyrin derivatives display a higher ability to generate 1O2 species.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** % 1O2 generation by Por–CD dyes 3a–8a in DMF at 298 K.

Since the application purpose is the use of Por–CD derivatives 3a–8a under light conditions to photoinactive E. coli, their photostability was studied by monitoring the
absorption decay of the Soret band after irradiation with white light (400–800 nm) at an irradiance of 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\) for 60 min. The obtained results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Photostability of Pors 3a–8a in PBS after 60 min of white light irradiation at an irradiance of 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pors</th>
<th>3a</th>
<th>4a</th>
<th>5a</th>
<th>6a</th>
<th>7a</th>
<th>8a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Abs decay (^a)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Measured at Soret band wavelength.

The Por–CD conjugates 3a–8a showed excellent photostability in PBS solution, showing a robust behavior facing the irradiation used, with 86% to 94% of the porphyrin conjugates remaining unaltered after irradiation with white light at an irradiance of 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\) for 60 min (Table 2). It is worth noting that the Soret absorbance of these compounds in the dark decays ca. 3%. These absorption decreases might be related to a slight aggregation phenomenon that occurred in PBS.

3.1.2. Photodynamic Inactivation of *Escherichia coli*

The photodynamic efficiency of the cationic derivatives Por–CD was assessed against a bioluminescent *E. coli* strain Top10. The PDI efficiency of Pors 3a–5a against the bioluminescent *E. coli* was evaluated at 5.0 µM under white light exposure at an irradiance of 25 mW cm\(^{-2}\) (Figure 3A) and 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\) (Figure 3B). The photodynamic efficiency of all Pors (3a–8a) (methoxypyridinium (3a–5a) and thiopyrydinum (6a–8a)) was compared for the conditions of 5.0 µM and 25 mW cm\(^{-2}\) (Figure 4). As observed in Figure 3, no bacterial decay under the light conditions occurred in the absence of PS (data provided by the light control, LC) and the presence of the PS in the absence of light (data provided by the dark control, DC). It should be noted that the *E. coli* viability was not significantly affected by the cationic inverted Pors 3a–5a at the light exposure at an irradiance of 25 mW cm\(^{-2}\) (60 min, Figure 3A). However, Por 5a caused a slight *E. coli* photoinactivation, with a bioluminescence signal decrease of ~1.5 log\(_{10}\) (ANOVA, \(p < 0.0001\)). Nevertheless, when the light irradiance used was increased twice (50 mW cm\(^{-2}\), Figure 3B), the Por 5a achieved a better PDI effect against the bioluminescent *E. coli*, with a ~3.5 log\(_{10}\) and 4.0 log\(_{10}\) reduction (ANOVA, \(p < 0.0001\)) after 30 and 60 min of light exposure, respectively, followed by Pors 4a and 3a (~2.5 log\(_{10}\) and ~3.0 log\(_{10}\), ANOVA, \(p < 0.0001\)) for the same light exposition time. The results point out the importance of the \(1^1\)O\(_2\) generation by the PS. The photodynamic efficiency, to photoinactivate *E. coli*, of each PS 3a–5a (Figure 3B) correlates with the \(1^1\)O\(_2\) generation (Figure 2), in which the \(1^1\)O\(_2\) production follows the ascending efficiency order (3a < 4a < 5a).

Comparing inverted methoxypyridinium Pors 3a–5a and thiopyridinium Pors 6a–8a at a concentration of 5.0 µM and an irradiance of 25 mW cm\(^{-2}\) (Figure 4), it was observed that the *E. coli* photoinactivation occurs faster mainly in the presence of Pors 6a and 8a. Once again, this highlights the importance of the \(1^1\)O\(_2\) generation by the PS, reaching the detection limit of the methodology between 30–60 min of irradiation [17]. Pors 3a–5a, at an irradiance of 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\), were less effective in the photoinactivation of the bioluminescent *E. coli* strain than the Pors 6a–8a, even at an irradiance of 25 mW cm\(^{-2}\). This fact can be explained by the positive charge localization in the thiopyridinium conjugates (6a–8a) in an external position. Additionally, the cationic substituent type/CD unit and affinity to the outer bacterial structures cannot be ruled out. Overall, within each series of quaternized dyes (methoxypyridinium vs. thiopyridinium), the best PSs candidates were the cationic Por–γ–CD dyes 5a and 8a at irradiances of 50 mW cm\(^{-2}\) and 25 mW cm\(^{-2}\), respectively.
Table 2. Photostability of Pors 3a–8a in PBS after 60 min of white light irradiation at 50 mW cm$^{-2}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PS</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Log bioluminescence (RLU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Bioluminescence monitoring of E. coli treated with PSs Por 3a–5a at 5.0 μM at different irradiation times, under white light irradiation at (A) 25.0 mW cm$^{-2}$ and (B) 50.0 mW cm$^{-2}$. The values are expressed as the means of three independent experiments; error bars indicate the standard deviation; DC—dark control; LC—light control. Lines combine experimental points.

Figure 4. Comparison of photoinactivation of bioluminescent E. coli treated with methoxypyridinium Pors 3a–5a and thiopyridinium Pors 6a–8a* at 5.0 μM at different irradiation times, under white light irradiation at 25.0 mW cm$^{-2}$. Values are expressed as means of three independent experiments; error bars indicate standard deviation. * The results for Pors 6a and 8a were described in the article [17].

For a better consistency in our study, it was related to the reduction in E. coli bioluminescence with the reduction expressed in log$_{10}$ CFU mL$^{-1}$ accomplished with the pour-plate methodology at times 0 and 60 min. The obtained results are presented as the average of the three assays in Figure 5. The obtained results for the Por–CD derivatives 3a–5a confirmed the results obtained through the bioluminescence monitoring of the PDI treatment. Only the conjugate 5a was able to generate a decrease of ~1.5 log (ANOVA, p < 0.0001) in the viability of E. coli after 60 min of light irradiation. Besides, at the same irradiance (25 mW cm$^{-2}$) and light exposure time (60 min), the Por–CD 7a caused a total photoinactivation of the E. coli, which corroborated the determined bioluminescence results, and is similar to the PDI results found in the literature for Por–CD 6a and 8a [17]. When the irradiance was increased to 50 mW cm$^{-2}$, Pors 3a–5a caused a decrease of ~2 log$_{10}$ to ~4 log$_{10}$ in the viability of E. coli, and Por 7a reached a complete bacteria inactivation. These results are in agreement with the RLU results found for photoinactivation bioluminescent
E. coli. All obtained results confirmed that the external positive charge and type of the cyclodextrin substituent of the Por–CD dyes considerably influences the PDI efficiency.

![Graph A](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** Photodynamic inactivation of bioluminescent E. coli treated with PSs 3a–5a and 7a at 5.0 μM after 60 min of white light irradiation at an irradiance of (A) 25 mW cm⁻² and (B) 50 mW cm⁻². The values are expressed as the means of three independent experiments; error bars indicate the standard deviation.

It is well-known that the PS efficacy on the PDI treatments is correlated with different aspects, such as the microbial adsorption behavior, the ability of PS to generate singlet oxygen, the photostability profile, the solubility/aggregation comportment, or cell localization, among others [51]. Despite the fact that the ability to generate ¹O₂ for all cationic Por derivatives, the γ-cyclodextrin derivatives (5a and 8a) were revealed to be better ¹O₂ generators. This fact might justify the more efficient E. coli inactivation profile achieved for Por–CD conjugates 5a (3.5 log₁₀ reduction, 60 min, 50 mW cm⁻²) and 8a (4.0 log₁₀ reduction, reaching the detection limit of the methodology, 15 min, 25 mW cm⁻²), and it was more pronounced for 8a due to its highest ¹O₂ generation, good water solubility, and the maximized electrostatic interactions between their more exposed peripheral positive charges and the Gram-negative bacterium. Moreover, different solubility profiles in the physiological medium of Por–CD derivatives can also justify the distinct PS inactivation behavior of E. coli. It is widely known that γ-cyclodextrin is more water-soluble than α-cyclodextrin [51,52], which clarifies the fact that Por derivatives 5a and 8a (γ-cyclodextrin derivatives) tend to have better PDI performances compared with the others Por–CD conjugates.

It is also important to highlight that the structural manner in which hydroxypyridine reacts with the Por structure (3a–5a) can also influence the photoinactivation efficiency. The substitution by the nitrogen, instead of the expected oxygen-bridge, as in a thio-bridge (6a–8a), probably makes the former less flexible. In addition, the position of the positive charge may also contribute to the aggregation behavior in water once it is more protected from the aqueous environment. The position of the positive charge plays a key role in the physicochemical and biological features of the Por conjugates, evidencing that these features should be further addressed in the pursuit of optimized PS for antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation.

4. Conclusions

The new free-base Pors 3–5, 3a–5a, 7, and 7a were prepared and structurally characterized, and the photodynamic efficiency of the cationic Por–CD conjugates 3a–5a and 7a, as well as of the known Pors 6a and 8a, were evaluated against the bioluminescent strain of E. coli. The antimicrobial PDI assays within the series of 3a–5a (5.0 μM) showed that, only under white light at an irradiance of 50 mW cm⁻², a significative E. coli photoinactivation occurred. The Por 5a proved to be the best PS, causing a decrease of 3.5 and 4.0 log₁₀ of the bioluminescence signal after 30 and 60 min of light irradiation, respectively.
Analyzing the new inverted methoxypyridinium Pors 3a–5a and the already-known thiopyridinium Pors 6a–8a, at 5.0 μM at an irradiance of 25 mW cm⁻², a faster (in 30 min) and complete E. coli photoinactivation was confirmed, particularly for thiopyridinium Pors 6a and 8a. The obtained results show that the methoxypyridinium Por–CD conjugates (3a–5a) evidence less effectiveness against the bacterial viability than the thiopyridinium Por–CD conjugates (6a–8a). According to the American Society of Microbiology, this is higher than the minimum required (reduction > 3 log CFU mL⁻¹) for a new approach to be termed as antimicrobial. The Por 5a (50 mW cm⁻²) and Pors 6a–8a (25 mW cm⁻²) can be considered promising PS drugs for PDI.
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