The Depositional Mechanism of Hydrothermal Chert Nodules in a Lacustrine Environment: A Case Study in the Middle Permian Lucaogou Formation, Junggar Basin, Northwest China
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Abstract: Although chert deposits are limited in geological distribution, their geological and geochemical characteristics can provide important information to reconstruct paleoenvironmental and diagenetic processes. For the Permian period, cherts are utilized to trace global silicon cycles and hydrothermal activities in relation to the Permian Chert Event. In Northwest China, Permian chert nodules have recently been discovered in both the southeastern and northwestern margins of the Junggar Basin. We conducted an analysis of the mineralogy, petrology and geochemistry of chert nodules of the Lucaogou Formation in the southeastern margin of the Junggar Basin to identify silicon sources and determine the precipitation mechanism of chert nodules. As evidenced by petrology, the chert nodules were mainly composed of crypto-microcrystalline silica (94.33% on average), with development of a soft-sediment deformation structure, indicating the synsedimentary deposition of silicon. Proven by trace elements, high Eu/Eu* ratios (average 2.14), low total rare earth element content (average 6.03 ppm), low LaN/YbN ratios (average 0.17) and low Y/Ho ratios (average 25.25) in chert nodules supports the hydrothermal source of silicon. The wide distribution of authigenic metal-bearing minerals and the significant positive Eu anomalies observed suggest that the chert depositions in the Lucaogou Formation intermittently received high-temperature (>250 °C) hydrothermal fluids, likely associated with the initiation of the Bogda Rift in the middle Permian. Following rapid cooling down and differential compaction, siliceous sediments dehydrated and deformed, finally forming chert nodules.
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1. Introduction

Cherts are limited in distribution; however, these deposits are resistant to diagenetic alteration and, thus, serve as important archives of sedimentary environmental [1–3] and diagenetic processes [4,5]. Continental silica is a major source of both marine and lacustrine chert deposits [6] as a consequence of chemical weathering from continental siliciclastics [7]. Hydrothermal solutions, carrying silicon-rich fluids, can promote the regional precipitation of chert deposits [8]. Cherts are normally characterized as bedded and nodular distributions. Bedded cherts are mostly associated with inorganic and/or biogenically induced precipitation [9], while nodular cherts result from complex interactions between depositional processes [10,11] and diagenetic alternations [4,12,13]. Subsequent alteration by diagenetic metasomatism and filling processes in the voids further hinders the interpretation of cherts [4,14]. Therefore, sedimentary structures of chert nodules are essential to elucidate the depositional process. Trace elements in cherts are indicative of the main...
determinants of chert nodule formation, such as biological interference [15], hydrothermal activity [16] and the alkalinity of water [17,18].

Chert deposits in geological records trace silicon cycles and, thus, provide a record of the regional paleoenvironment and diagenetic processes [19–21]. Some significantly enriched chert deposits have been associated with global geological events [22,23]. For instance, the Permian Chert Event (PCE) is globally documented in coastal, island arc and oceanic basins [2,12,24] and is related to the periodic silicification of oceans [22,25] and the efficient scavenging of silica-secreting organisms [2,26]. Therefore, Permian cherts are crucial records of marine/lacustrine conditions and hydrothermal activity [12,22]. In China, the Permian chert deposits resulting from the PCE are mainly preserved in southern basins [12,19,22]. These Permian cherts are mostly associated with deep-source fluid activity [19,25] and flourishing siliceous organisms, corresponding to oceanic evolution and upwelling currents, respectively [3,27]. Recently, Permian chert deposits have been reported in lacustrine settings in the Junggar Basin, NW China, including the Fengcheng Formation in the NW Junggar Basin [28] and the Lucaogou Formation (LCG Fm.) in the SE Junggar Basin [29]. Detailed petrological and geochemical analyses were conducted in the Fengcheng Formation, indicating that the main controls included hydrothermal fluid activity, microorganism induction, and the condition of alkaline lake water [28,30,31]. Research on cherts in the LCG Fm. is relatively limited. Determining the origin of LCG cherts is essential for tracing hydrothermal activity [4,32], determining organic matter enrichment mechanisms [33,34] and reconstructing reservoir evolution [35–37].

Here, we report sedimentological and geochemical analyses undertaken on chert nodules from the LCG Fm. to determine their depositional mechanisms and the silicon source of Permian cherts in the SE Junggar Basin.

2. Geological Background

The Junggar Basin, NW China, is bounded by the Tianshan Mountains to the south, the Altai Mountains–Kelameili Mountains to the northeast, and the Zaire Mountains to the west. The Bogda Mountain, located in the north Tianshan (NTS), SE Junggar Basin (Figure 1a), is the southern segment of the central Asian orogenic belt, serving as a pivotal tectonic belt separating the Turpan–Hami Basin and the Junggar Basin [38,39]. In the Permian, however, the Junggar Basin was located at the SE Kazakhstan Plate of Pangea (Figure 1b), which migrated northward from ~30°N to 50°N [40,41]. Accordingly, the Bogda Mountain is deemed to be located in the greater Turfan–Junggar rift basin [42,43], as the product of the southward subduction of NTS oceanic crust during the late Paleozoic [44–46]. The XLK1 well, which was investigated in this study, is a cored well located at the Xiaolongkou profile in the northern Bogda Mountain (Figure 1a). The core diameter of the XLK1 well is 10 cm and the well depth is 4900 m.
The Bogda area experienced three main stages of tectonic and sedimentary evolution: (1) Late Carboniferous–middle Permian: the major extensional period with the formation of the Bogda intra-continental rift basin [49,50]. The environment evolved from shallow marine to lacustrine [51]; (2) Late Permian–early Triassic: the Bogda area is still an extensional basin [38,39], which mainly developed as delta or lacustrine environments [47]; (3) Triassic–Cretaceous: the Bogda Mountain uplifts, caused by the Indosinian movement, leading to separation of the Junggar Basin and the Turpan–Hami Basin [52]. Although the current Bogda Mountain has an average elevation of 4000 m [38], it was interpreted as a rift basin with low elevations and frequent intermittent hydrothermal activity during the middle Permian [33,34].

The LCG Fm., with a thickness of ~200–1000 m [53–55] was mainly deposited in the SE Junggar Basin in the Great Turpan-Junggar rift basin (Figure 1a) [56,57]. The age of the LCG Fm. is tentatively suggested to be 268–270 Ma [53,58]. The LCG Fm. is one of the primary source rocks and shale oil reservoirs in the Junggar Basin [59,60], normally occurring as black shales, siltstones and marlstones, with a small number of nodular cherts occurring in the marlstones (Figure 2) [29,61]. Accordingly, the LCG Fm. is understood to be a deep (probably exceeding 100 m in depth), brackish and stratified lacustrine environment [62–64], with an estimated paleo-temperature of about 3–25 °C [65]. The dense black shale deposits in the LCG Fm. indicate strong reducing conditions [66,67] with high productivity [34]. Intermittent hydrothermal activities were identified in the surrounding area, as evidenced by high proportions of plagioclase and dolomitic rock, which are similar to typical hydrothermal sedimentary rocks [34], abnormally high concentrations of Sr and Li [29,68], and the development of hydrothermal analcite and dolomite [33,69,70].
3. Methods

3.1. Sample Pre-Treatment

To prevent potential chemical weathering of rock samples from outcrops, drill-core samples were targeted and collected for chert analysis. A total of 25 samples were selected from the XLK1 well, which was drilled in the north of Bogda Mountain (Figure 2). Selected samples included chert nodules ($n = 18$) and surrounding rocks ($n = 7$). In chert nodule samples, thick polished slabs, large thin sections made from the counterpart slabs, and region-specific plates with ~1 cm$^2$ fresh surfaces were prepared for sedimentary, petrologic and scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis, respectively. To avoid the cracks in chert nodules, powders of cherts were drilled by a micro-drill fixed with a 1.5 mm-diameter needle (Figure 3). About 1 g powder of each chert sample was collected. For the surrounding rock samples, powders were ground to a 200-mesh size using a vibrating ball-mill. All the powder samples were then prepared for further analysis, including X-ray diffraction (XRD) and elemental geochemistry assessments.

Figure 2. The Permian age framework of the southeastern Junggar Basin [53], the XLK1 well lithology column and the position of samples. Chert nodules are circled by yellow dotted lines.
Figure 3. Photographs and optical photomicrographs of chert nodules in the Lucaogou Formation from the Junggar Basin, NW China. Chert nodules are circled by yellow dotted lines in (a,b). (a) Fresh surface of sample cut by diamond wire saw; the position of sample C-01 is shown in the red dot; (b) fresh flat surface of sample cut by diamond wire saw; the position of sample C-07 is shown in the red dot; (c) plane-polarized photograph of chert nodule; (d) plane-polarized photograph of chert nodule; (e) cross-polarized photograph corresponding to (d).

3.2. Petrological Observation and Mineral Analysis

A total of 25 large thin sections was produced for petrological analysis. Half of the area of the thin sections was dyed with alizarin red stains to distinguish carbonate minerals. Petrological observations were conducted under cathodoluminescence, plane-polarized light (PPL) and cross-polarized light (XPL). Petrological structures and elemental distributions were examined using a Bruker Tornado M4 micro-X-ray fluorescence analyzer (Micro-XRF, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA). Two-dimensional elemental mapping was conducted on three selected thin sections. The scanning for mapping was set with a spatial resolution of 30 µm/point and the measuring duration of each point was 7 ms.

Based on the petrological analysis, the microscale signatures and mineral composition of the chert nodules were analyzed by SEM and subsequently XRD analysis following the protocol in Ma et al., 2017 [71]. Briefly, the ~1 cm² plates with multidirectional fresh surfaces were fixed and observed on a field emission-environment scanning electron microscope in backscattered electron (BSE) and secondary electron (SE) modes. The SEM analysis was carried out on an FEI Quanta 650 FEG at the MOE Key Laboratory of Orogenic Belts and
Crustal Evolution, Peking University, China. The mineral composition of 18 selected chert powdered samples was measured using a D/max-rA rotating anode X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical; 40 kV, 12 kW) at the College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, China. About 1 g of powder of each chert sample was weighed, flattened and compressed on a glass slide and then tested. The samples were continuously scanned for 6 min under a 2θ angle ranging from 5° to 60°. The mineral proportions were semi-quantitatively calculated using the General Structure Analysis System program [72].

3.3. Major and Trace Elemental Analysis

The dissolution of rock samples was undertaken using the sequential acid-leaching method [4,14]. Specifically, about 100 mg each of powdered samples of chert nodules were weighed and washed three times (for about 5 min each time) in 3 N HCl to remove carbonate fractions. After washing three times by Milli-Q water and centrifuging, the insoluble residuals were then evaporated in a drying cabinet at 65 °C. The surrounding rock samples were heated at 1000 °C for 2 h to determine loss on ignition (LOI). Subsequently, approximately 50 mg samples of surrounding rock and carbonate-free chert were weighed in Teflon beakers for digestion. A mixture of 3 mL concentrated HF (20.20 mol/L) and 1 mL concentrated HNO₃ (14.76 mol/L) was added to the Teflon beakers and reacted on a 120 °C hotplate for 48 h. For complete digestion, the solution of samples was dried on the same hotplate, further dissolved in 3 mL concentrated HNO₃ (14.76 mol/L) and then reacted on the 120 °C hotplate for a further 48 h. After complete digestion, sample solutions were again dried and dissolved in 2% HNO₃ for elemental analysis.

The major elemental compositions were determined using an inductive coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES), which was performed on a BLUE SOP at Peking University, while the trace element contents were measured by an inductive coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS), which was performed on an iCAP RQ at Peking University. All major elements were calculated as oxides (i.e., Na₂O, MgO, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, K₂O, CaO, Fe₂O₃, P₂O₅, TiO₂). The silica content of the chert nodules was determined by subtracting the total weight of all other oxides following Shen et al., 2018 [4]. The silica content of the surrounding rocks was determined by subtracting the total weight of all other oxides and LOI. Replicate analyses yielded a relative 2σ reproducibility better than 10% and 5% for the ICP-OES and ICP-MS analyses, respectively.

4. Results

4.1. Petrology and Mineralogy

The chert nodules, with size-variations, are distributed in multiple layers upwards, and are mainly hosted in the marlstones of LCG Fm. (Figure 3). The morphology of the LCG chert nodules is various, including tabular, irregular elliptic, virgulate, and lenticular forms (Figure 3). The long dimensions of the chert nodules generally occur parallel to the layers and range from 2 to 60 mm. The short dimensions of the chert nodules vary from 1 to 40 mm, and occur perpendicular to the layers. The fresh surface of the nodular chert appears dark grey (Figure 3a,b). The soft-sediment deformation structures are well-developed in the chert nodules, forming consistent deformed characters with the surrounding rocks (Figure 4c,d). Under PPL, the sedimentation gradually alters from the surrounding rocks to the bottom of the chert nodules (Figures 3d and 4b), while the boundary between the top of the chert nodules and the surroundings is distinct (Figure 4a). Quartz minerals, which form the chert nodules, occur as crypto-microcrystalline particles (Figure 4e), with no light emission under cathodic luminescence (Figure 4f). Under XPL, the quartz mineral exhibits crystal aggregation with sub-rectangular mosaic patterns (Figure 4e). In the exterior of each quartz particle, there are distinct radiating extinction bands (Figure 4e).
Figure 4. Optical photomicrographs show textural and microscopic features of chert nodules in the middle Permian Lucaogou Formation from the Junggar Basin, NW China. Chert nodules are circled by yellow dotted lines in (a–d). (a) Chert nodule is in abrupt contact with the upper surrounding rock, enlarged image of area x circled in yellow in Figure 3d, PPL; (b) chert nodule intermingled with the bottom surrounding rock, enlarged image of area y circled in yellow in Figure 3d, PPL; (c) chert nodule showing soft sediment deformation, PPL; (d) soft sediment deformation occurs between chert and surrounding rock, XPL; (e) crypto-microcrystalline silica, radiating extinction band of one quartz crystal is circled by red dotted lines, XPL; (f) cathodoluminescence photograph corresponding to (e).

Compared with the surrounding rocks, the chert nodules are characterized by rich silicon content and low content of other elements (Figure 5b). The surrounding rock, with fewer chert nodules, is characterized by high Al content (Figure 5c) and low Mn content (Figure 5d). The abundance of nodules gradually increases stratigraphically downwards, along with decrease in Al content and increase in Mn content (Figure 5).
Figure 5. Optical photomicrograph and Micro-XRF mapping images show elemental distribution of one representative large thin section from the Lucaogou Formation from the Junggar Basin, NW China. Elemental maps (false-color images) of Si-Kα, Al-Kα and Mn-Kα. (a) Chert nodules in surrounding rocks are unevenly distributed, XPL; (b) elemental map of Si; (c) elemental map of Al; (d) elemental map of Mn.

The XRD results show that the LCG chert nodules are mainly composed of quartz minerals with a low abundance of carbonate minerals, while the surrounding rocks are mainly composed of albite, quartz and calcite (Figure 6). The SEM results reveal the authigenic mineral characteristics of the LCG chert nodules. The quartz in the LCG chert nodules occurs mainly as euhedral crystal, but the size of the crystals, ranging from 1 to 20 µm, is small (Figure 7a,b). Authigenic metallic minerals, such as barite (BaSO₄; Figure 7c), jacobsite (MnFe₂O₄; Figure 7d,e) and azurite (Cu₂(CO₃)₂(OH)₂; Figure 7f), with average particle sizes of 5 µm, 3 µm and 17 µm, respectively, are distributed in the chert nodules.
Figure 6. XRD patterns of chert nodules and surrounding rocks in the Lucaogou Formation, Junggar Basin. Qz = quartz; Cal = calcite; Ab = albite.

Figure 7. Scanning electron microscope images of chert nodules in the Lucaogou Formation, Junggar Basin. (a) Quartz is crypto-microcrystalline with relatively poor crystallinity, SE image; (b) BSE image corresponding to (a); (c) authigenic barite in chert nodule, BSE image; (d,e) authigenic jacobsite in chert nodule, BSE image; (f) authigenic azurite in chert nodule, BSE image. Qz = quartz; Brt = barite; Jcb = jacobsite; Azu = azurite.
4.2. Elemental Compositions

4.2.1. Major and Trace Elements

The geochemical characteristics of the chert nodules in the LCG Fm. are similar to those of samples from the surrounding rocks (Table 1). Based on the major element composition, the chert nodules are mainly composed of SiO$_2$ (94.33% on average), with minor components of MgO (2.16% on average), Al$_2$O$_3$ (1.74% on average) and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (1.11% on average), with other elements <1% in average content (e.g., Na$_2$O, P$_2$O$_5$, K$_2$O, CaO and TiO$_2$; Table 1). Both the major and the trace elemental characteristics of the surrounding rocks are significantly different from the chert nodules in the LCG Formation. In the surrounding rocks, SiO$_2$ remains the most abundant of all the major element oxides, ranging from 26.99% to 71.79% (50.90% on average). The content of other major element oxides, including Na$_2$O (average 5.68%), MgO (average 2.94%), Al$_2$O$_3$ (average 13.85%), P$_2$O$_5$ (average 0.35%), K$_2$O (average 1.93%), CaO (average 10.05%), TiO$_2$ (average 0.41%) and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (average 2.78%) is generally higher than that in the chert nodules (Table 1). The content of trace elements in the surrounding rocks is significantly higher. For example, the average content of Mn and Ba in the surrounding rocks is 794 ppm and 562 ppm, respectively, while the content is 32 ppm and 57 ppm in the chert nodules, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1. Major (wt%) and trace elements (ppm) of chert nodules and surrounding rocks in the Lucaogou Formation, Junggar Basin. The symbol “-” indicates the unmeasured value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SiO$_2$ (wt.%)</th>
<th>Na$_2$O (wt.%</th>
<th>MgO (wt.%</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$ (wt.%</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$ (wt.%</th>
<th>K$_2$O (wt.%</th>
<th>CaO (wt.%</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ (wt.%</th>
<th>TFe$_2$O$_3$ (wt.%</th>
<th>LOI (wt.%</th>
<th>Mn (ppm</th>
<th>Ba (ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chert nodules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>98.07</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39.22</td>
<td>110.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>97.71</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>99.49</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>99.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>95.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.02</td>
<td>90.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>95.69</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td>95.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>95.81</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.01</td>
<td>27.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>97.48</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.91</td>
<td>37.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>90.61</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65.85</td>
<td>63.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>95.01</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.94</td>
<td>80.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>92.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.21</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>99.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>98.99</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>86.60</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47.15</td>
<td>49.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>92.22</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47.14</td>
<td>64.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17</td>
<td>97.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18</td>
<td>78.69</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105.85</td>
<td>295.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>94.33</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.02</td>
<td>56.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surrounding rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>1609.71</td>
<td>1144.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>39.28</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>1181.82</td>
<td>1332.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>53.18</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>513.63</td>
<td>568.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>26.99</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>31.10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>27.49</td>
<td>2141.52</td>
<td>488.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>71.79</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>119.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>71.22</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>21.35</td>
<td>110.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>58.58</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>23.94</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>73.37</td>
<td>171.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>50.90</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>793.85</td>
<td>562.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.2. Rare Earth Elements and Yttrium

The rare earth element (REE) concentrations, yttrium (Y) concentrations and associated parameters of the LCG chert nodules and surrounding rocks are summarized in Table 2. In the LCG Fm., the chert nodules display relatively low total REE content (ΣREE; average 6.03 ppm), while the surrounding rocks are characterized by significantly higher ΣREE (average 146.89 ppm). We normalized the REE and Y concentrations of all samples according to Post-Archean-Australia-Shale (PAAS), as shown in Figure 8. The shale-normalized REE and Y concentrations of LCG chert nodules are characterized by moderate enrichment of heavy REE (HREE; LaN/YbN average 0.17), a prominent positive Eu anomaly (Eu/Eu* average 2.14) and a low Y/Ho ratio (average 25.25).

Table 2. Rare earth elements (ppm) and yttrium (ppm) of chert nodules and surrounding rocks in the Lucaogou Formation, Junggar Basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C8</th>
<th>C10</th>
<th>C12</th>
<th>C14</th>
<th>C15</th>
<th>C18</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La (ppm)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>21.85</td>
<td>28.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce (ppm)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>55.54</td>
<td>77.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr (ppm)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>8.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd (ppm)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>22.27</td>
<td>30.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm (ppm)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu (ppm)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd (ppm)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb (ppm)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy (ppm)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho (ppm)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er (ppm)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm (ppm)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb (ppm)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu (ppm)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y (ppm)</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>17.31</td>
<td>24.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣREE (ppm)</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>123.46</td>
<td>170.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaN/YbN</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Ho</td>
<td>25.10</td>
<td>25.19</td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td>24.86</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>23.86</td>
<td>23.98</td>
<td>25.81</td>
<td>26.23</td>
<td>25.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu*</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce*</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Discussion

5.1. Origin of Chert Nodules

Although chert nodules in the LCG Fm. have various morphologies (Figure 3), the mineral and geochemical compositions of the chert nodules are similar, which is indicative of a consistent origin. According to the pathways of genetic mechanisms, cherts can be classified into three types: penecontemporaneous cherts, burial diagenetic cherts and meteoric diagenetic silcretes [11]. Penecontemporaneous chert is the product of dehydration in original siliceous sediments and provides clues for reconstruction of the sedimentary water conditions [3]. Diagenetic cherts, including burial diagenetic cherts and meteoric diagenetic silcretes, are normally formed by filling in of the voids or metasomatic carbonate/evaporite, mainly reflecting the pore fluid properties [4,14]. Here, in the LCG chert nodules, the silica mineral is mainly composed of crypto-microcrystalline particles, indicating the stage of very incipient crystallinity. Lacking metasomatic structure and the residual structure of the metasomatic surrounding rocks, the LCG chert nodules are barely affected by recrystallization and metasomatism processes. In addition, soft-sedimentary deformation (Figure 4c,d) and detachment structure (Figure 4c) are well-developed between the nodules and the surrounding rocks, occurring as penecontemporaneous cherts (e.g., Magadi-type cherts [75,76] and Coorong-type cherts [10]), further supporting the syndepositional origin of Permian chert nodules in the LCG Formation.

In some nodules, the boundary between the top of the chert nodule and the surrounding rock is distinct (Figure 4a), while the bottom of the nodule, with a blurred boundary, is mixed with the surroundings (Figure 4b). This feature is further indicative of the presence of unconsolidated surrounding rocks during chert deposition. Therefore, we speculate that the LCG chert nodules result from silicon-rich fluid activity at the water-sediment interface. When silicon-rich fluids touched the surroundings, the depositional process was interrupted, followed by the stirring up of unconsolidated lake bottom sediments, eventually forming a blurred bottom boundary (Figure 4b). After cessation of silicon-rich fluid activity, the sedimentary environment returned to normal and formed a clear top boundary of LCG cherts (Figure 4a).

Evidence obtained from SEM and Micro-XRF mapping also supports the importance of fluid activity in the formation of the chert deposits and provides preliminary clues for distinguishing the varied fluid properties. In the LCG Fm., barite (Figure 7c), jacobsite...
(Figure 7d,e) and azurite (Figure 7f), featuring as euhedral or semi-euhedral crystals (>3 µm, in general), are well distributed in the chert nodules. The formation of barite, manganese-bearing mineral, copper-bearing mineral and other metal-bearing minerals is associated with hydrothermal activities [77–79]. These source-specific minerals in the LCG cherts reveal their hydrothermal origins. The quartz crystals in the LCG chert nodules are closely packed with pores of small size (<2 µm in general; Figure 7b). The pore sizes are significantly lower than the crystal sizes of authigenic metal-bearing minerals (Figure 7c–f). Based on the synsedimentary origin of the Permian chert nodules, these authigenic metallic minerals were formed simultaneously with the quartz minerals, indicating synchronous hydrothermal activity during chert precipitation.

Micro-XRF mapping shows changes in the elements and minerals (Figure 5). In LCG Fm., with decrease in the chert nodules upwards, the Mn content gradually decreases in the surrounding rocks (Figure 5d), while the Al content gradually increases (Figure 5c). Al and Ti are normally enriched in siliciclastics; therefore, they are effective indicators of terrigenous input [80,81]. The enrichment of Fe and Mn is also associated with hydrothermal deposits [1,3]. Here, the formation of LCG chert nodules is mostly related to hydrothermal activities, given high Mn content in the surrounding rocks with abundant chert nodules. We infer that the low Al content of the surrounding rock with abundant chert nodules may be a result of the dilution of terrigenous detritus by hydrothermal sediments; the Al content then gradually increases with the disappearance of dilution effects following hydrothermal activity.

5.2. Recognition of Silicon Sources during Chert Precipitation

The elemental compositions of cherts reflect their silicon sources [3,82,83]; however, evaluation of the geochemical data is essential before interpreting the geochemical data [12]. During ICP-MS measurement, some polyatomic ions, such as Ba, have similar masses to Eu, which may affect the Eu values obtained [84,85]. However, in LCG samples, the values of Ba essentially show no correlation with Eu, Sm and Gd (R² = 0.01, 0.03 and 0.02 respectively), indicating valid element measurement. In addition, the values for REEs were evaluated assuming that REEs are difficult to fractionate during the diagenetic process [3]; however, they are easily affected by Fe- or Mn- oxides and terrestrial input [86,87]. The contents and patterns of ∑REEs (6.03 ppm on average) and other elements (e.g., Al₂O₃ 1.74%, TiO₂ 0.06% on average) contrast with those in the surrounding rocks, reflecting their different mineral compositions and elemental systems. The content of Fe₂O₃ (1.11% on average) and MnO₂ (32.02 ppm on average) is low in the chert samples. The lack of correlation between siderophile elements (e.g., Ni and Sc) and Y/Ho ratios further suggests that the cherts are not impacted by contamination by Fe- or Mn- oxides [86,87]. Moreover, the lack of correlation between TiO₂ and ∑REE, Eu/Eu*, Ce/Ce*, and Y/Ho rule out a terrigenous source of ∑REEs in the cherts.

Enrichment of chert nodules in the LCG Fm. requires concentrated silicon. In general, continental silica provides most of the silicon in both marine and lacustrine contexts [6]. However, the element compositions of LCG chert, especially ∑REEs, contradicts this, assuming that the ∑REEs of continental silica show no negative Eu anomalies and flat patterns [2]. Siliceous organisms are deemed to be another consuming source of concentrated silica at a regional level. Although the solubility of silica in modern seawater is low, abundant cherts can still be deposited, resulting from the efficient absorption of silicon by silica-secreting organisms, such as diatoms, radiolarians, and siliceous sponges [7,88]. However, there are no siliceous organisms reported in the LCG Fm. or fossilized organisms near these chert nodules, suggesting other silicon sources.

The temperature and pH of water are the key factors controlling the solubility of silica. However, the solubility of silica is little affected by pH when pH is less than 9 [11]. Moreover, there is no alkali lake reported in the LCG Fm. Therefore, in the LCG Fm., the temperature is the main factor controlling the solubility of silica. Hydrothermal fluids usually carry more dissolved silica due to greater solubility at higher temperatures [8].
For instance, hydrothermal fluids at 100 °C, 200 °C, and 300 °C are capable of dissolving 1 mM, 4.3 mM, and 11 mM of silica, respectively [4]. When siliceous high-temperature (HT) hydrothermal fluids penetrate and mix with cold water, the solubility of silicon can be reduced rapidly by 10–20 times, presenting a supersaturated state, leading to rapid precipitation of silica [11]. Here, according to the Al-Fe-Mn diagram [6], most of the LCG chert nodule samples are distributed in the hydrothermal area (Figure 9), which is consistent with the characteristics of Fe and Mn in hydrothermal silicon [6,89]. Thus, these chert nodules are mostly derived from a hydrothermal silicon source.

Moreover, Y and REE, due to their similar properties [90], are often used together as indicators to identify the source of silica [22,91]. Some authors have reported the characteristics of hydrothermal cherts, including low \( \sum \) REE, relative enrichment of HREE [92], low Y/Ho (~27) [93] and particularly positive Eu anomaly values [4]. In the LCG Fm., the chert nodules are characterized by low \( \sum \) REE content (average 6.03 ppm), which is lower than that of typical hydrothermal cherts (such as the Permian cherts in the Ji Tingling [94] and the middle Permian cherts in the Qiangui basin [19]), relative enrichment of HREE (average \( \text{La}_\text{N}/\text{Yb}_\text{N} = 0.17 \)), low Y/Ho ratio (average 25.25) and positive Eu anomalies (average Eu* = 2.14), displaying characteristics consistent with hydrothermal cherts [4,92,93], further supporting a hydrothermal silicon source. The PAAS-normalized REE + Y patterns of LCG chert nodules display features consistent with hydrothermal fluids [4,73] and features different from seawater [74,95], e.g., no negative Ce anomaly, no positive Y anomaly, and a significant positive Eu anomaly (Figure 8). Therefore, the primary silicon source of the LCG chert nodules is concluded to be hydrothermal fluid.

Since the positive Eu anomaly is a typical signature of hydrothermal origins, it has been regarded as an effective indicator for reconstructing hydrothermal temperature [4,22]. In the LCG Fm., the chert nodules show remarkably positive Eu anomalies (average Eu* = 2.14, up to 3.15), and display high-temperature (>250 °C) hydrothermal features [90,96], indicating input of large quantities of high-temperature (>250 °C) hydrothermal fluids. A significant positive Eu anomaly only occurs in a limited area within tens of kilometers of submarine volcanic or hydrothermal activity centers [19,97], as Eu can be fractionated from other REEs due to change in its valence state [12]. The valence state change from Eu\(^{3+}\) to more soluble Eu\(^{2+}\) which occurs under reducing and high-temperature conditions results in the enrichment of Eu in the sediments and the positive Eu anomaly observed [96,98]. As evidenced by the high Eu* content of the chert nodules, we infer that there were high-temperature (>250 °C) hydrothermal events near the Bogda area during the middle Permian.

Figure 9. Al-Fe-Mn ternary plot of chert nodules in the Lucaogou Formation. Hydrothermal and non-hydrothermal chert fields are from Yamamoto et al. (1987) [6].
5.3. Depositional Model of Permian Chert Nodules in LCG Formation

Based on the development of soft-sedimentary deformation, the presence of authigenic metallic minerals and a significant positive Eu anomaly, the hydrothermal origin of synsedimentary cherts is confirmed. Adding the sedimentary evidence, a depositional model of LCG chert modules is proposed. Temperature appears to be the key factor controlling the silica precipitation of LCG cherts, assuming their hydrothermal origin. The solubility of silica increases with elevated temperature [99]. Higher temperatures allow hydrothermal fluids to carry more silica for chert precipitation [8].

During the deposition of the LCG Fm., when Si-rich high-temperature hydrothermal fluids entered the deep lake, silica carried by hydrothermal fluids precipitated rapidly along with decreasing hydrothermal temperature and the presence of inchoate chert forms (Figure 10). This siliceous sedimentation contained significant amounts of water and is mainly displayed as banded or quasi-banded sediments (Figure 11a). Subsequently, differential compaction was the main factor leading to the morphologic differences in chert nodules. In early diageneis, cherts were dehydrated due to the increasing pressure of the overlying strata and the morphologies of the cherts changed to nodular or lenticular accordingly (Figure 11b,c). Some cherts even penetrated the soft surrounding rocks and merged with adjacent cherts, forming larger chert nodules (Figure 11c). After the early diageneis stage, chert nodules formed as a result of a consolidation process in the LCG Fm. in the Bogda area.

Figure 10. Schematic diagrams showing the silica precipitation model of chert nodules in the Lucaogou Formation from the Junggar Basin, NW China.
Figure 11. The formation model of chert nodules in the Lucaogou Formation from the Junggar Basin, NW China. (a) Siliceous sedimentation mainly displayed as banded or quasi-banded in depositional stage; (b,c) in early diagenesis, cherts deformed into nodular or lenticular shape.

Given that the LCG cherts resulted from the silication of hydrothermal fluids, sedimentary intervals that contain chert nodules in LCG Fm. further confirm the occurrence of episodic high-temperature hydrothermal activity in the Bogda area. The well-distributed synsedimentary fault activities [42, 48] indicate that these channels were responsible for transporting hydrothermal materials in the Bogda area (Figure 10). Importantly, the hydrothermal activities in the Bogda Rift closely correspond to the PCE [26], assuming an estimated age of about 269 Ma [53, 58] of the LCG cherts. The LCG chert nodules and the hydrothermal fluids potentially record the response to the PCE [19, 100], providing an important contribution to PCE studies in the SE Junggar Basin, NW China.

6. Conclusions

Chert nodules, occurring as 2 to 60 mm long spheroids, are mainly composed of crypto-microcrystalline silica in the Lucaogou Formation, SE Junggar Basin. Lacking recrystallization, their soft-sediment deformation structure indicates the synsedimentary sedimentation of chert deposits. Furthermore, the distribution of authigenic metal-bearing minerals (such as barite, jachsite and azurite) and the elemental evidence, such as high Eu/Eu⁺ ratios (average 2.14), low ∑REE content (average 6.03 ppm), low La_N/Yb_N ratios (average 0.17) and low Y/Ho ratios (average 25.25), suggest that the silicon source derives from hydrothermal fluids. As evidenced by the significant positive Eu anomaly in LCG chert nodules, these fluids resulted from episodic high-temperature (>250 °C) hydrothermal activities in the Bogda area. Temperature is assumed to be the main factor leading to the rapid precipitation of inchoate chert, whilst differential compaction is responsible for the varied morphologies of the nodules. Importantly, the hydrothermal activities recorded in LCG chert nodules in the Bogda area, Junggar Basin, appear to be a response to the PCE globally.
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