Genesis of the Mahour Base Metal Deposit, Iran: Constraints from Fluid Inclusions and Sulfur Isotopes
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Abstract: The Mahour base metal deposit is located northeast of Badroud in the middle of the Urumieh–Dokhtar magmatic arc in the Isfahan province of Iran. The main host rocks to the ores are Eocene volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks. Hypogene ore minerals constituting the main ore body are galena, sphalerite, pyrite, and chalcopyrite. In addition to gangue quartz, a variety of supergene minerals comprising gypsum, goethite, hematite, “limonite”, malachite, azurite, covellite, and chalcocite are also present; gangue minerals are quartz, barite, calcite, sericite, and chlorite. Silicification, intermediate argillic, and propylitic are the main wall-rock alteration types. The presence of fluid inclusions with different vapor/liquid ratios in quartz and sphalerite could indicate a boiling process. The primary liquid-rich fluid inclusions suggest that the homogenization temperature was between 107 and 298 °C from fluids with salinities from 1.5 to 13.7 wt.% NaCl equiv. These data suggest that the ore-forming fluids were magmatic with a contribution from meteoric waters. The δ34S values of sulfides range from 1.9 to 3.4‰, those of barite range from 12.1 to 13.2‰, and those of gypsum range from 4.3 to 5.6‰. These data suggest that sulfur was mostly of magmatic origin with a minor contribution from sedimentary rocks. Our data suggest that the boiling of fluids formed an intermediate-sulfidation style of epithermal mineralization for the Mahour deposit.
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1. Introduction

The Urumieh–Dokhtar magmatic arc (UDMA), as a part of the Tethyan belt, is regarded as an important metallogenic province in Iran [1,2]. Based on geological and geodynamic models, most Iranian deposits formed in connection with related magmatism or calc-alkaline volcanic arcs of this belt [3]. They occurred during the stages of opening, subduction, and closure of the Neotethys Ocean, as well as the post-collisional processes. Considering the geodynamic location, this magmatic belt hosts important types of metal mineralization (e.g., copper-molybdenum porphyry deposits of Sar Cheshmeh, Meiduk, Sungun, Panj Kuh, Darreh Zereshk, and Ali-Abad) [4–8] and also epithermal gold deposits at Hizehjan, Masjeddaghi, Zaylik, and Safikhanlou [9–11], Chah Zard [12–15], as well as Bazman [16]. The Mahour epithermal base metal deposit is located 40 km northeast of Badroud, a suburb of the city of Natanz in the Isfahan province of central Iran (Figure 1). Geological studies, the structure and texture of minerals, mineralogy, and alteration and geochemical investigations (including fluid inclusion studies and sulfur isotope analyses) provide the formation model and type of mineralization for the Mahour deposit and also aim at shedding light on the genesis of the base metal deposits in the middle of the UDMA.
Figure 1. (A). Structural zones of Iran showing the location of the study area. (B). Geological map of the Mahour area. (C). The A–B profile.

2. Regional Geology

The Iranian Plateau is situated in the middle of the Alpine-Himalayan orogenic and metallogenic belt. The formation of the Iranian Plateau took place during the complex processes of the collision event that followed the separation of continental blocks from the northern margins of Gondwana and their addition to the southern margin of Eurasia.
2. Regional Geology

The Iranian Plateau is situated in the middle of the Alpine-Himalayan orogenic and metallogenic belt. The formation of the Iranian Plateau took place during the complex processes of the collision event that followed the separation of continental blocks from the northern margins of Gondwana and their addition to the southern margin of Eurasia [17,18]. The formation and evolution of the Iranian Plateau are related to the opening and closure of two large oceans, Paleo-Tethys and Neotethys. The Paleo-Tethys Ocean opened in the Precambrian and caused the separation of the Iran plate from Eurasia. This ocean closed during the Late Triassic. The Neotethys Ocean between the Iranian and Arabian plates opened during the Permian and lasted from the upper Mesozoic to the lower Cenozoic. The closure time of the Neotethys Ocean is considered to last from the Upper Cretaceous to the Miocene [17,19]. The UDMA formed in connection with the subduction of the Neotethys lithosphere under Central Iran and the collision of the Arabian and Iranian plates [18,19].

The Mahour base metal deposit, along with several other deposits, occurs in the Saveh–Yazd magmatic arc in the middle of the UDMA (Figure 1) [20]. The oldest rocks identified in this arc include Infracambrian to Paleozoic sedimentary rocks covered by volcanic rocks or intruded by Cenozoic intrusive masses. Cenozoic magmatism is divided into two phases: 1. Paleogene intense volcanism, followed by mafic to felsic intrusions from Oligocene to Miocene [21]; 2. late Miocene to Quaternary adakitic magmatism. Paleogene magmatism happened during the Eocene and seemed to be associated with the Neotethys subduction [22]. It seems that Neogene magmatism was related to slab break-off and thermal re-equilibration in a post-collisional environment. The Mahour deposit is located in the middle of this magmatic arc and is hosted by Paleogene volcanics.

The Mahour area is a small part of the 1:100,000 geological sheet of Kuh-e-Latif [23]. The area is structurally located at the intersection of Central Iran and the UDMA zone. According to the diversity in the composition of rocks and deposits, the rocks of the area are mainly of Eocene igneous units, including granite, granodiorite, andesite, trachyandesite, and many pyroclastic units. Sedimentary rocks mostly include marls, shales, and limestones, and are characterized by flat and low topography. The range of Eocene volcanic rocks of the northern margin is mostly composed of Eocene igneous rocks that are alternately placed on the sedimentary units, where numerous intrusive masses from granodiorite to granite and all kinds of acidic igneous rocks are observed. The strata in the studied area comprise a volcano-sedimentary sequence from the Precambrian to the present.

3. Geological Features of the Mahour Deposit

3.1. Ore Deposit Geology

Based on field and laboratory studies, the outcropped units in the Mahour deposit are volcanic and volcanioclastic rocks of the Eocene age. In the following, these rocks will be introduced. A green tuff unit (E_t1) contains interlayers of andesite basalt lavas. Nearly half of the rock outcrops have covered the map area, which has a relatively gentle morphology and is composed of green to light gray tuffs with interlayers of basaltic andesite lavas with dark gray to black coloration (E_an1). This rock unit is under the influence of argillic alteration in most places and has undergone sericitic alteration locally (Figure 2A). Andesite to andesitic basalt units (E_an1), with dark gray to black outcrops, are exposed as interlayers inside the Ead1 unit. This rock unit, with a general N–S direction and a thickness of less than 10 m, can be seen in the middle and eastern parts of the 1:1000 map of the mining area. The freshly broken and non-weathered surface of the rock is red to dark gray and has a porphyritic texture that is more or less associated with the carbonate and sericite alteration types (Figure 2B). The andesite tuff unit (E_an2), with a gray-green color, covers a limited area in the SW of the mountain range. This unit has a moderate to relatively rough morphology and is corroded and weathered in surface outcrops. The contact of this unit is faulted with the andesite-andesitic basalt lava unit (E_t1) (Figure 2C). The crystal tuff unit (E_t2), with gray to light green outcrop, occurs in the north of the map. This unit
has a hilly morphology and is mainly composed of crystal tuff, but in some places, it is accompanied by interlayers of red andesitic lavas, which are 2 to 10 m thick. This unit has a faulted contact with an andesite-andesitic basalt lava unit (E^{an2}). The northeastern part of the unit has undergone propylitic alteration (Figure 2D). The andesite to hornblende andesite unit (E^{anm2}) covers limited outcrops of rock units in the area and is exposed as interlayers in the E^{ts2} unit and the north of the mountain range. The contact between this unit and the E^{ts2} unit is normal (Figure 2E). The trachy-andesite lava unit is marked as Eta on the map (Figure 1). This unit, with black outcrop, varnished, broken, gray in color, and with a high and rocky morphology, has formed a small outcrop in the western part of the studied area. The unit has undergone argillic alteration and sericite carbonate alteration in some parts. The presence of carbonate and sericite makes the surface of outcrops of this unit light-colored (Figure 2F).

**3.2. Mineralized Veins**

Mineralization at the Mahour deposit, which is hosted by volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks of Eocene age, comprises NW–SE-trending quartz-sulfide veins (Figure 1). The main outcrops of mineralization in the Mahour area are three mineralized quartz veins, and the green tuff unit (E^{ts1} unit) and the andesite tuff unit (E^{ant} unit). These three veins are named 1, 2, and 3 according to the importance and dimensions of mineralization. Vein No. 1 is the main and largest silicic vein exposed in the studied area. This vein, about 30 m long and 1 m thick, trends N145 and dips 75° NE in the green tuff unit (E^{ts1}). Ore paragenesis in the vein includes chalcopyrite, pyrite, galena, and sphalerite. Chalcopyrite was replaced by malachite and azurite under the influence of supergene processes. Based on the textural relationships, it seems that the siliceous zone is cut by the barite vein. This vein is associated with the surrounding siliceous alteration. The main alteration in these veins is siliceous-sulfide alteration, and it is accompanied by argillic alteration in the surroundings. This quartz vein is accompanied by significant amounts of copper, lead, and zinc (Figure 3A). Quartz vein No. 2 is with an NW–SE direction (N110), a length of about 15 m, and an average thickness of 0.5 m, hosted by the green tuff unit (E^{ts1}). Metal mineralization in the quartz vein includes chalcopyrite, pyrite, galena, and sphalerite. This vein is surrounded by sillic alteration, quartz veins, as well as argillic alteration. In addition to copper, lead, and zinc, this silica vein is also associated with high gold and silver contents (Figure 3B,C). Vein No. 3, with a length of 2 m and a thickness of less than 0.5 m, trends N130. The paragenesis of this vein consists of hematite, pyrite, galena, malachite, azurite, and secondary and amorphous
oxide-hydroxides (Figure 3D,E). This quartz vein, like veins No. 1 and 2, apart from Cu, Pb, and Zn, is associated with significant Au and Ag contents.

Figure 3. A view of quartz-sulfide veins at Mahour deposit. (A). Overview of quartz-sulfide vein No. 1. (B). Distant view of quartz-sulfide vein No. 2. (C). Quartz-sulfide vein No. 2. (D). Perspective view of quartz-sulfide veins No. 3. (E). Quartz-sulfide vein No. 3. (F). A view of the quartz and barite veins. (G). A closer view of the barite vein.

3.3. Ore Mineralogy

The ore minerals at Mahour are galena, sphalerite, pyrite, and chalcopyrite. Supergene minerals include gypsum, goethite, hematite, “limonite”, malachite, azurite, covellite, and chalcocite. Pyrite is one of the most common and early-formed sulfide minerals that occurs in all mineral deposits [24], as is the case in the Mahour deposit, which can occur in all stages of mineralization. Pyrite is present in the form of subhedral to cubic crystals and is usually together with chalcopyrite. According to mineralogical studies, pyrite is seen in two generations. The first generation includes microcrystalline to medium-grained pyrite (20–60 µm) and euhedral to subhedral crystals, which appear disseminated in the pyroclastic units (Figure 4A). In the second generation, pyrite is present in a subhedral to anhedral form along with galena, sphalerite, and chalcopyrite, as inclusion and open
space filling (Figure 4B). Chalcopyrite is the main and most abundant mineral in the region, which is mainly seen as massive crystals and in connection with sphalerite, galena, and sulfosalts in sulfide-bearing quartz veins and also in the space between parts of hydrothermal breccias. This mineral has often been seen as massive to subhedral crystals. In some places, chalcopyrite has replaced pyrite from the margins or along the fractures. In some parts, chalcopyrite encrusts euhedral pyrite crystals or pyrite complexes, indicating the formation of chalcopyrite after pyrite (Figure 4C). It has also been replaced by secondary minerals such as chalcocite, covellite, and goethite or sulfosalts (Figure 4D). Galena is the most abundant sulfide mineral in the Mahour area. It is also seen as euhedral crystals in the joints and fractures in the green-to-gray andesite to the andesite-basalt host rock (Figure 4E). Galena is replaced by sphalerite (Figure 4F). Sphalerite is observed in association with chalcopyrite and galena. It exists in an anhedral to subhedral form and, in microscopic sections, it is mostly seen as large crystals (up to several millimeters) (Figure 4F), and occasionally, they have been replaced by secondary minerals such as chalcocite, covellite, and digenite from the margin and along the fractures. On a textural basis, sphalerite and sulfosalts formed simultaneously (Figure 5).

Gangue minerals include quartz, barite, calcite, sericite, and chlorite. The most abundant gangue mineral at the Mahour deposit is quartz, which occurs in different time stages. It is observed with the comb (Figure 6A), open space filling (Figure 6B), mosaic (Figure 6C,D), and plumose textures (Figure 6E), as well as vein-veinlets (Figure 6F).

Figure 4. Ore minerals and their textures (reflected light). (A). First-generation pyrite (Py1) as euhedral crystals. (B). Second-generation pyrite (Py2) with chalcopyrite (Ccp). (C). First-generation pyrite together with chalcopyrite. (D). Chalcopyrite replaced by chalcocite (Cc) and covellite (Cv) from the margins. (E). Galena (Gn). (F). Galena replaced by chalcopyrite and sphalerite (Sph).
### Table: Paragenetic Sequence of Minerals for the Mahour Epithermal Base Metal Deposit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Hydrothermal Ore Stage</th>
<th>Supergene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mineralogy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ore</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Py₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galena</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenaantite-tetrahedrite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcocite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covellite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malachite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azurite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-hydroxide minerals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gangue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sericite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texture</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disseminated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vein-veinlet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breccia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open space filling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Paragenetic sequence of minerals for the Mahour epithermal base metal deposit.

Figure 6. Photomicrographs (transmitted polarized light, crossed nicols, XPL) showing textures for quartz (Qz) in the Mahour epithermal base metal deposit. (A). Comb-structured quartz. (B). Open space filling texture. (C,D). Mosaic texture. (E). Plumose texture. (F). Vein-veinlet quartz texture.
4. Analytical Methods

4.1. Fluid Inclusions

Fluid inclusion microthermometry was performed using the criteria of [25]. Fifteen samples of mineralized veins and breccias were selected from veins No. 1, 2, and 3 of the Mahour deposit for fluid inclusion studies. The measurements were carried out on 15 doubly polished sections (150 µm) of sphalerite. Fluid inclusions in the quartz grains were too small for microthermometric study. The size of the inclusions in the quartz grains was smaller than 3 µm, occurring as monophase liquid/vapor-rich inclusions. Therefore, the focus of this study is on the sphalerite samples. Petrographic and microthermometric studies of fluid inclusions were conducted at Tarbiat Modares University using the Linkam system with a TMS 94 thermal controller. Microscopic and petrographic studies were carried out using a Leitz microscope, and due to the small size of the fluid inclusions, an objective lens of 100× magnification was used. The working accuracy of the device in the freezing and heating stages is ±2 °C, and the temperature range of the device is between −180 and +600 °C. A total of 100 fluid inclusions were heated up to the homogenization temperature (Th). Salinity was calculated from the last ice-melting temperatures using the equation of [26] for the H2O–NaCl binary system.

4.2. Sulfur Isotopes

The samples chosen for sulfur isotope analysis were taken from mineralized breccias and quartz veins. Sulfur isotopes (n = 9) were measured using a Delta C Finnigan MAT mass spectrometer at the Centres Científics i Tecnològics de l’Universitat de Barcelona, Spain. Results are reported as δ34S ‰ deviations from the Vienna Canyon Diablo Troilite (V-CDT) standard. The analytical precision is ±0.1‰ (1σ).

5. Results

5.1. Fluid Inclusion Studies

5.1.1. Types and Features of Fluid Inclusions

Fluid inclusions consist of solid, liquid, and gas phases and are divided into primary, secondary, and pseudo-secondary groups according to the time of formation [27]. Measurements were carried out only on primary inclusions [25,27,28]. The fluid inclusions in sphalerite were light-colored and 6 to 22 µm in size (Figure 7). Some inclusions show necking-down. Based on their phase relationships, four types of fluid inclusions are found at Mahour:

- **V**: Vapor phase
- **L**: Liquid phase
- **E**: Ellipsoidal
- **C**: Cylindrical

Figure 7. Photomicrographs (at room temperature, plane-polarized light) of fluid inclusion types from Mahour epithermal base metal deposit, showing representative primary FIAs and variable L/V ratio as a piece of evidence for boiling. (A) Vapor-phase (V) inclusions. (B) Liquid-phase (L) inclusions. (D) Vapor-rich, two-phase (VL) inclusions. (C,E,F) Liquid-rich two-phase (LV) inclusions.
1. Vapor (V) fluid inclusions (Figure 7A). In the freezing experiments, neither liquid CO₂ nor CH₄ was observed; 2. Liquid (L) fluid inclusions (Figure 7B); 3. Two-phase vapor-rich VL fluid inclusions (Figure 7D); 4. Two-phase liquid-rich LV fluid inclusions (Figure 7C,E,F), which are the most dominant.

5.1.2. Microthermometry

The L and V inclusions were not studied and the VL inclusions, which were heterogeneously trapped, were not considered either. Most of the VL inclusions had variable Th values. Only the data obtained from microthermometry of LV inclusions with uniform L/V ratios were used. The mean values of fluid inclusion assemblages (FIAs), not those of individual fluid inclusions, are used. The microthermometric measurements were carried out for sphalerite only. The double-polished sections of the fluid inclusions were also prepared from the barite vein and the silica vein, but due to the small size of the inclusions, they could not be measured. The summary of microthermometric data is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Microthermometric data summary for LV fluid inclusions in sphalerite samples from the Mahour epithermal base metal deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Inclusion Type</th>
<th>Tm-Ice (°C)</th>
<th>Th (Total) Range (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity (wt.% NaCl equiv.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphalerite (n = 100) LV</td>
<td>−0.1 to −15</td>
<td>107.5–298.1 (160)</td>
<td>1.5–13.7 (6.97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The digits between the parentheses are the mean values. Tm-ice = final ice-melting temperature, Th (total) = total homogenization temperature.

These inclusions have Tm-ice ranging between −15 and −0.1 °C showing salinities of 1.5–13.7 (avg. 6.97) wt.% NaCl equiv. The values of Th for LV inclusions (to liquid state) range between 107.5 and 298.1 °C (avg. 160 °C).

5.2. Sulfur Isotope Studies

Table 2 presents the sulfur isotope data for samples from the Mahour deposit. These data for sulfide minerals (chalcopyrite, sphalerite, and galena) have δ⁳⁴S values from 1.9 to 3.4‰ (and the average is 2.6‰) (Figure 8).

Table 2. Sulfur stable isotope data for samples from the Mahour deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>δ⁳⁴S Sulfide (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mac.B1</td>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.B2</td>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.B3</td>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.B4</td>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.B5</td>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.CGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.C1</td>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.C2</td>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.G1</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac GG</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.SG</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S Sulfide (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mac.Gy1</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.Gy2</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac.GS</td>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. $\delta^{34}$S of sulfides from the Mahour deposit compared to values of the main geological reservoirs [29].

6. Discussion

6.1. Boiling Evidence

Genetically, various mineralogical, textural, and fluid inclusion characteristics are associated with boiling in epithermal systems. The best criterion for detecting boiling in epithermal mineralization is the existence of FIAs comprising LV and VL liquid inclusions (e.g., [30–34]). The presence of adularia, lamellar calcite, as well as plumose and banded colloform/crustiform quartz, imply boiling (e.g., [34–39]). According to [27], the association and simultaneity of gas-rich and liquid-rich fluid inclusions, necking-down in petrographic studies of vapor-rich fluid inclusions indicate their entrapment during boiling. Therefore, based on the available evidence, it seems that boiling in the hydrothermal system played the most important role in the mineralization of the Mahour deposit. One of the important shreds of evidence for boiling is the contemporaneous trapping of the fluid inclusions (e.g., [40,41]). The presence of plumose- and colloform-banded textures in the quartz veins at Mahour is also consistent with the possibility of boiling.

6.2. Source of Ore-Forming Fluids and Materials

Regarding the source and evolution of ore-forming fluids, stable isotope compositions can provide useful information (e.g., [27,42–50]). The $\delta^{34}$S values for sulfides from the Mahour deposit have a tight range, from 1.9 to 3.4‰, averaging 2.8‰ (Table 2), which is indicative that they came from a homogeneous source. The highest values of $\delta^{34}$S can
be related to the involvement of heavy sulfur contained in sulfate of seawater origin that probably came from sediments that entered the magma production area during subduction [51]. Therefore, it seems that the isotopic composition of sulfur in the studied area is in good agreement with sulfur derived from mantle magma, where contamination with sedimentary rocks has been effective.

The isotopic composition of gypsum is similar to that of sulfides, which is explained by the fact that these were formed from sulfide oxidation. The formation of sulfate from the oxidation of sulfide does not produce isotopic fractionation, or it is very low [52,53]. The $\delta^{34}$S values of barite, which coexists in veins with other sulfides, are between $+13.2$ and $+21.1\%$, implying a fractionation with sulfides of about $+10\%$. These fractionations are greater than those that occur when sulfide is formed by the oxidation of sulfate. Similar fractionations have been found in other epithermal deposits, such as the Cobre–Babilonia deposit in Mexico [54], with values that are justified by a contribution of the sulfate present in the sedimentary rocks. This argument would also apply to the Mahour deposit.

6.3. Evolution of the Ore-Forming Fluids

The sulfur isotope data suggest that the ore-forming fluids at the Mahour deposit were possibly initiated from late Eocene magmatism. The scenario is similar for many other occurrences of epithermal mineralizations elsewhere (e.g., [32,55–59]), in which magmatic fluids are the main sources of metals.

The total Th for fluid inclusions from Mahour varies between 298 and 107 °C (avg. ca. 160 °C). This temperature range corresponds well with the temperature of epithermal environments (Figure 9). Based on the curve of Th versus salinity of the fluid inclusion data from the Mahour deposit, the trends indicate a combination of dilution with meteoric waters and cooling due to decreasing depth, accompanied by boiling in the later stages (Figure 10). The vapor-rich inclusions coexisting with liquid-rich inclusions and the occurrence of hydrothermal breccias at the Mahour ore deposit suggest that the ore-forming fluids boiled at the site of trapping during mineral deposition.

![Figure 9](image-url)  
*Figure 9.* Total homogenization temperatures vs. equivalent salinity diagram at the Mahour deposit. This temperature range corresponds well with the temperature of epithermal environments, according to (e.g., [60–62]).
Figure 9. Total homogenization temperatures vs. equivalent salinity diagram at the Mahour deposit. This temperature range corresponds well with the temperature of epithermal environments, according to (e.g., [60–62]).

Figure 10. (A). Schematic diagram showing the trend of homogenization temperature versus salinity of the fluid inclusions during various processes of fluid evolution [63], and (B). Homogenization temperature vs. salinity for fluids from the Mahour deposit [45]. Process 1 shows the decrease in temperature due to cooling or the decrease in lithostatic pressure (decrease in depth), while process 2 corresponds to the decrease in temperature and the increase in fluid salinity due to boiling.

Based on the presented diagram for salinity and Th, which separates the types of mineralization systems, the analyzed fluid inclusions from the Mahour deposit are in the range of epithermal systems in terms of temperature and salinity (Figure 11). The salinity data obtained from this study (1.5–13.7 wt.% NaCl equiv.) suggest that boiling could have taken place during the evolution of mineralizing fluids.

Figure 11. Final homogenization vs. salinity diagram [63] for fluid data from the Mahour deposit.

6.4. Trapping Pressure

When the information obtained on the Th of the fluid inclusion is combined with the salinity data, the fluid density can be determined regardless of the trapping conditions. According to the diagram of Figure 12 [45], fluids with an average salinity of 6.97 wt.% NaCl equiv. and an average Th of 160 °C have an approximate density of 1.06 g/cm³ (using the salinity vs. homogenization temperature diagram of [63]) and a pressure of less than 50 bars (Figure 12).
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When the information obtained on the Th of the fluid inclusion is combined with the salinity data, the fluid density can be determined regardless of the trapping conditions. According to the diagram of Figure 12 [45], fluids with an average salinity of 6.97 wt.% NaCl equiv. and an average Th of 160 °C have an approximate density of 1.06 g/cm³ (using the salinity vs. homogenization temperature diagram of [63]) and a pressure of less than 50 bars (Figure 12).

Figure 12. Homogenization temperature vs. density to determine pressure concerning salinity of fluid inclusions [45].

6.5. Sulfidation State

The classification by [35] divides epithermal deposits into three categories: low-, intermediate-, and high-sulfidation. Based on the tectonic setting, paragenetic sequence, vein-veinlet ore textures, and alteration types, Mahour can be considered to exhibit volcanic-hosted epithermal mineralization. Sulfides and sulfosalts observed at the Mahour deposit include pyrite, tennantite-tetrahedrite, chalcopyrite, galena, and sphalerite. Quartz, barite, calcite, sericite, and chlorite are the gangue minerals. The salinity in the veins, colloform/crustiform, comb, plumose, and cockade ore textures, as well as alteration types at Mahour, are similar to those of intermediate-sulfidation epithermal mineralization (e.g., [37]).

6.6. Ore Genesis

Three stages have been identified for the geological evolution of the Mahour area, from which, stages 2 and 3 are related to the ore genesis at the Mahour deposit:

1. The first stage is associated with the formation of Eocene volcano-sedimentary sequences in the Mahour area (Figure 13A). On a regional scale, the formation of this unit can be associated with the oblique Neotethys Ocean subduction under the Central Iranian plate [8,19,64–68]. The large volume of volcanism in the UDMA is the result of the subduction zone prevailing in the margin of the Central Iran plate during the Eocene.

2. The second stage is associated with the emplacement of intrusive masses in the volcano-sedimentary facies and the development of hydrothermal and ore-forming processes in the form of vein–veinlet occurrences and fractures filled with quartz veins at the Mahour deposit (Figure 13B). The penetration of intrusive masses caused the circulation of fluids and their concentration in areas with high permeability in the Eocene volcanic sequences. The co-existence of gas-rich and liquid-rich fluid inclusions suggests a boiling mechanism in epithermal base metal deposits. Due to the boiling, hydrothermal fractures formed and increased the permeability and, consequently, the concentration of fluids containing base metals, silver, and the rest of mineralization at the Mahour deposit. The occurrence of boiling is one of the main mechanisms leading to the instability and deposition of sulfide complexes carrying metals; increasing pH and H₂S, according to [69];
as well as reducing temperature, pressure, and the boiling process, which causes the rapid separation of volatile substances (CO$_2$, H$_2$S, SO$_2$, CH$_4$) from the liquid phase to the vapor phase, leading to precipitation. According to the mentioned materials and evidence, it seems that the mineralization of base metals in the Mahour deposit is related to magmatic fluids that formed during the boiling process. In the epithermal deposits of base metals in Mexico, boiling is also regarded as the principal process of ore mineralization due to the temporal and spatial relationship of mineralization [70].

3. In the third stage, as a result of the erosion of the upper units, the mineralized part is exposed on the surface and is affected by the supergene and weathering processes, which involve the formation of minerals such as malachite, azurite, and iron hydroxide compounds (Figure 13C).

Figure 13. Schematic model showing the stages of formation of volcano-sedimentary sequences and subvolcanic intrusive masses and how mineralization formed in the area of the Mahour deposit. (A). Formation of Eocene volcano-sedimentary sequences. (B). The emplacement of intrusive masses in the volcano-sedimentary facies and the development of hydrothermal and ore-forming processes in the form of vein-veinlet occurrences and fractures. (C). Formation of minerals such as malachite, azurite, and iron hydroxide compounds affected by supergene and weathering processes

7. Conclusions

Mahour can be considered an intermediate-sulfidation epithermal deposit due to the following reasons:

(1) The Eocene volcanic units (green tuff and andesite tuff units) host the deposit. Based on geochemical and petrological studies, the above units have a calc-alkaline composition and a shear and vein-veinlet structure.

(2) Mineralization at the deposit is in the form of sulfides and sulfosalts, including galena, Fe-poor sphalerite, pyrite, chalcopyrite, and tetrahedrite-tennantite.
(3) The alteration types observed at the deposit include carbonate-sericite, argillic, silicic-sulfide, and propylitic with a significant association to the mineralized part.

(4) Studies of sulfur isotopes and fluid inclusions suggest that the Mahour deposit could have formed mainly from magmatic fluids.

(5) The presence of fluid inclusions rich in L and V phases and the coexistence of high-salinity along with low-salinity fluids suggest a boiling mechanism in the Mahour deposit.
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