

Article

Cyclic Water Clusters in Tape-Like and Cage-Like Structures

Qin Huang ^{1,2,*}, Lihong Diao ¹, Chuang Zhang ¹ and Fuhou Lei ^{1,2}

¹ College of Chemistry and Ecological Engineering, Guangxi University for Nationalities, Nanning 530006, China; E-Mail: huangqinpeking@163.com (Q.H.)

² Key Laboratory of Development and Application of Forest Chemicals of Guangxi, Nanning 530006, China

Received: 17 February 2011; in revised form: 23 March 2011 / Accepted: 25 March 2011 /

Published: 4 April 2011

Abstract: Controlling the ratio of 2,2'-bpy to benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid produces two interesting complexes, namely $[\text{Co}(\text{2,2'-bpy})_3] \cdot (\text{SO}_4) \cdot 8.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**) and $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{BTCA})(\text{2,2'-bpy})_4] (\text{OH}) \cdot (\text{2,2'-bpy})_{0.5} \cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**) (H_3BTCA = benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid, 2,2'-bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine). We report the structural evidence in the solid state of discrete lamellar water cluster conformations. These units are found to act as supramolecular glue in the aggregation of cobalt (II) or copper (II) complexes to give three dimensional cage-like networks through hydrogen-bonding. It is interesting that the structure of complex **1** contains a 3D negatively charged cage.

Keywords: complexes; water clusters; negatively charge; host-guest

1. Introduction

There is hardly a compound that has been more thoroughly investigated than water, and this is due to its importance in many biological, chemical, and physical processes [1]. In the past several decades, there has been increasing interest in the experimental and theoretical study of small water clusters $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$, where $n = 2\text{--}16$ because these water assemblies are considered to be ideal research models to gain an insight into some of the unexplained properties of bulk water [2], into the processes that occur at the ice-liquid, ice-air, and liquid-air interfaces [3], and into the nature of water-water and water-solute interactions [4–14]. Water clusters can play an important role in the stabilization of supramolecular systems both in solution and in the solid state, and there is clearly a need for a better understanding of how such water aggregations are influenced by the overall structure of their surroundings [15–20]. So far, a variety of tetramer [21–23], pentamer, hexamer, octamer and decamer water clusters have been


isolated in different solid crystalline hosts. Small water clusters are crucial building units for extended water morphologies including tapes [24] and layers [25] whose physical properties are closely associated with those of bulk water. The formation of different water morphologies may be the hydrophilic groups on the host frameworks. Therefore, a systematic variation of these backbones and determination of the corresponding water layers will improve our understanding of the structures of water. In this context, we have succeeded in obtaining two novel cobalt (II) and copper (II) complexes $[\text{Co}(\text{2,2'}\text{-bpy})_3] \cdot (\text{SO}_4) \cdot 8.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**) and $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{BTCA})(\text{2,2'}\text{-bpy})_4](\text{OH}) \cdot (\text{2,2'}\text{-bpy})_{0.5} \cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**) [26,27]. The unusual 3D water cluster is stabilized by the hydrophobic hosts [28–32] that undergo hydrogen-bonding to two adjacent hydrogen bond sheets.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Crystal Structures of Compounds 1–2

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurement reveals that complex **1** crystallizes in a $C2/c$ space group. A molecular structure showing the arrangement of the Co (II) metal center is shown in Figure 1. The Co atom is six coordinated and adopts distorted octahedral geometry by coordinating to six nitrogen atoms from three 2,2'-bpy molecules (Co(1)-N(1) 2.169(3) Å; Co(1)-N(2) 2.155(3) Å; Co(1)-N(3) 2.165(4) Å; Co(1)-N(4) 2.156(4) Å; Co(1)-N(5) 2.148(3) Å; Co(1)-N(6) 2.179(4) Å) with nearly the same M-N bond distances. One isolated sulfate ion and eight uncoordinated water molecules are contained in this structure.


Figure 1. (a) The coordination environments of Co atoms with 50% thermal ellipsoids of complex **1**. (b) The coordination environments of Cu atoms with 50% thermal ellipsoids of complex **2**.



It must be remarked that the deprotonated sulfate ion and eight lattice water molecules are held together forming hydrogen-bonded layers, as shown in Figure 2. Within the hydrogen-bonded layers, uncoordinated sulfate ion and eight uncoordinated water molecules are linked together via hydrogen-bonding interactions, forming an infinite inorganic-water 2D framework. In this system, the uncoordinated

sulfate ion is a host, and acts as a guest of the stacking structure of the title complex (Figure 1). In other organic-water clusters [33,34], the organic compound always acts as a host. Such dual host-guest structure features including water clusters are still very rare. This 2D water layer consists of fused four-, five-, six-, ten- and eighteen-membered rings, as well as corner-sharing four-membered rings (Figure 2). In addition, the structure of the title compound is composed of 2D layers of organic anions $[(\text{SO}_4) \cdot 13(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{4-}$ separated by one water molecule (O8), sulfate ion and dinuclear cobalt complex $[\text{Co}_2(2,2'\text{-bpy})_6]^{4+}$ pillars resulting in a three-dimensional frameworks (Figure 3c).

Figure 2. Perspective view of supramolecular water clusters morphology in complex 1.



It is very interesting that the supramolecular assembly of $[(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 16(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{4-}$ with $[\text{Co}_2(2,2'\text{-bpy})_6]^{4+}$ forms an negatively charged cage (Figure 3). In this cage, the lattice water molecules and sulfate ions accept the hydrogen atoms of the 2,2'-bpy ligand, resulting in a multitude of unconventional interactions. Through the hydrogen bonds, the sulfate ion and lattice water molecules can be linked together to form a negatively charged hydrogen-bonded cage with the identical distance of 12.45 Å (Figures 3a and b). Similar charged cages were also observed recently [35,36].

Complex 2 conforms to the space group C2/c (Table 1). The molecular structure showing the arrangement about the Cu(II) metal center is shown in Figure 1(b). The Cu(II) atom is five coordinated and adopts distorted trigonal bipyramid geometry with N6, N8 and O5 (N1, N4 and O2 for Cu2) constituting the base of the square-pyramid, whereas N5 and N7 (N2 and N3 for Cu2) occupy the apical position. (Cu(1)-N(5) 1.970(5) Å; Cu(1)-N(7) 1.992(5) Å; Cu(2)-N(3) 1.980(5) Å; Cu(2)-N(2)

1.987(5) Å). One isolated 2,2'-bpy molecule, one hydroxyl and fourteen uncoordinated water molecules are contained in this structure.

Figure 3. Model of the 3D structure showing the frameworks formed by the guest molecules (pillar-layer structure) with negative charge and the host (red ball) with positive charge.






Figure 4. Perspective view of supramolecular water clusters morphology in complex 2.**Figure 5. (a)** The 2D layers of complex 2 along ac plane. **(b)** Perspective view of the water layers, showing the $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking interactions between the 2,2'-bpy molecules of the host.

It must be remarked that sixteen water molecules are connected to each other by hydrogen bonding (Table 2). Furthermore, these water molecules and their symmetric equivalent atoms are joined together forming a 2D water layer (Figure 4). Most of the O...O distances and the O...O...O angles fall around the average of 2.8514 Å and 168.074°, respectively. These values are close to those found in liquid water [37]. These values also indicate that the configuration of the water tape is strongly enforced by the shape of the supporting backbone. This 2D water layer consists of fused four-, five-, six-, ten- and eighteen-membered rings in a ratio of 1:1:3:1:1. Molecular dynamics simulations suggested that liquid water is composed of small cyclic water clusters with different sizes, in which the cyclic water pentamer is the dominant species [38]. The water tapes are separated far from each other (6 Å) by the bulging hydrophobic backbone which serves as a pillar (Figure 5). Only weak Van der Waals interactions are found between the bulging areas of the adjacent metal-organic layers.

After inspecting the structure more closely, we found that O7, O8, O11, O15 and O16 are hydrogen-bonded to the carboxyl oxygen atoms (O3 and O4) that are pointing away from the surfaces of the metal-organic framework (O7...O1 = 2.771(8) Å, O8...O3 = 2.692(10) Å, O11...O6 = 2.776(10) Å, O15...O4 = 2.775(8) Å, O16...O4 = 2.762(9) Å). So the benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid provides potential hydrogen bonding intermolecular interactions, beside its coordination ability towards metal ions. The other oxygen atoms of the lattice water molecules have no significant supramolecular interactions with the metal-organic layers, but they are hydrogen-bonded to each other. Adjacent water rings are assembled in a head-to-head or shoulder-to-shoulder fashion via hydrogen bonds along the ac plane to give an extender water tape occupying the groove region of the surface.

The IR spectral data also confirm the structures. Both the high intensity bands at range of 3,100 to 3,500 cm⁻¹ (for complex **1**) and 3,000 to 3,500 cm⁻¹ (for complex **2**) were observed, which can be attributed to the vibrations of H₂O molecules. The vibration of C=O groups are downshifted compared to the free ligand (BTCA) in complex **1** as it coordinated to the metal ions. Medium intensity bands in the 1,556 cm⁻¹ (for complex **1**) and 1,590 cm⁻¹ region (for complex **2**) were regarded as a combination of C=N of the pyridine and aromatic C=C stretching vibrations.

TG-DTG are carried out in the interest of studying the thermal behavior of complexes **1** and **2**. The TGA indicated that complex **1** loses 19% of its total weight in the 30-80 °C temperature range, which corresponds to the removal of the lattice water molecules (calcd. 19.7%). When the temperature continues to rise, the product loses 55% of the total weight in the 210-230 °C temperature range, corresponding to the removal of the 2,2'-bpy molecules (calcd. 60%) and sulfate ion (Figure 6), cobalt(II) was completely degraded to CoO (observed 9%). Complex **2** displays a similar behavior (Figure 7). The title compound loses 20% of the total weight in the 50-80 °C temperature range, corresponding to the removal of the uncoordinated water molecules. As the temperature rises, the complex loses 5% of the total weight in the 90-160 °C temperature range because of the decomposition of the isolated 2,2'-bpy molecule (calcd. 6%). Then, the compound sheds the coordinated 2,2'-bpy molecules in the 240-250 °C temperature range. The residual percentage weight (9%) at the end of the decomposition of the complex is consistent with the formation of CuO (expected 7%).

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinements for complexes **1-2**.

Complex	1	2
Empirical formula	C ₆₀ H ₈₂ Co ₂ N ₁₂ O ₂₅ S ₂	C ₅₄ H ₄₉ Cu ₂ N ₉ O ₁₁
Formula weight	1553.35	1127.11
Temperature(K)	293(2) K	296(2) K
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C2/c	C2/c
a(Å)	22.979(4)	12.551(5)
b(Å)	13.656(3)	23.483(9)
c(Å)	24.890(6)	42.330(17)
α(°)	90	90
β(°)	115.235(2)	95.053(6)
γ(°)	90	90
V(Å ³)	7065(3)	12428(9)
Z	4	8
D _{calc} (mgcm ⁻³)	1.442	1.397
μ(mm ⁻¹)	0.614	0.765
F[000]	3204	5456
θ(°)	1.78 to 25.01	0.97 to 25.01
Data/restraints/parameters	6201/ 0 / 457	10944 / 0 / 784
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.020	1.045
Fianl R ^a indices[I>2σ(I)]	R1 = 0.0609, wR2 = 0.1764	R1 = 0.0746, wR2 = 0.1983
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0724, wR2 = 0.1900	R1 = 0.1118, wR2 = 0.2244

Table 2. H-bonded lengths (Å) and angles (°) of complexes **1** and **2**.

D-H...A	d(H...A)	d(D...A)	< (DHA)	Symmetry code
O(5)-H(5C)...O(1)	1.97	2.819(6)	172.4	x-1/2,y+1/2,z
O(5)-H(5D)...O(1)z	1.92	2.768(6)	172.4	-x+1/2,-y+3/2,-z
O(5)-H(5D)...S(1)	2.96	3.771(4)	160.9	-x+1/2,-y+3/2,-z
O(6)-H(6C)...O(10)	1.81	2.575(11)	148.6	x,-y+1,z-1/2
O(6)-H(6D)...O(13)	1.68	2.47(2)	155.3	-x+1/2,-y+1/2,-z+1
O(7)-H(7C)...O(2)	1.98	2.792(14)	160.5	-x+1/2,-y+1/2,-z+1
O(7)-H(7D)...O(13)	1.19	2.03(3)	170.2	
O(8)-H(8C)...O(3)	1.78	2.621(8)	172.9	
O(8)-H(8C)...S(1)	2.95	3.719(3)	151.5	
O(9)-H(9C)...O(2)	1.91	2.742(7)	167.3	-x+1/2,-y+1/2,-z
O(9)-H(9D)...O(5)	2.07	2.910(7)	167.8	-x,-y+1,-z
O(10)-H(10D)...O(11)	1.97	2.815(11)	174.9	
O(11)-H(11A)...O(13)	2.19	2.89(3)	139.3	x,-y,z-1/2
O(11)-H(11C)...O(5)	2.04	2.888(8)	172.5	x,-y+1,z+1/2
O(12)-H(12C)...O(6)	1.88	2.728(10)	179.2	-x+1/2,-y+1/2,-z+1
O(12)-H(12D)...O(9)	1.92	2.767(7)	177.8	-x+1/2,-y+1/2,-z+1
O(13)-H(13C)...O(4)	1.81	2.65(2)	172.1	-x+1/2,-y+1/2,-z+1
O(13)-H(13C)...S(1)	2.83	3.58(2)	148.1	-x+1/2,-y+1/2,-z+1

Table 2. Cont.

Complex 2				
O(7)-H(7A)...O(1)	1.92	2.771(8)	174.3	
O(7)-H(7B)...O(10)	1.90	2.746(14)	173.1	x-1,y,z
O(8)-H(8A)...O(3)	1.86	2.692(10)	164.1	x+1,y-1,z
O(8)-H(8B)...O(15)	1.94	2.766(14)	165.2	
O(9)-H(9A)...O(14)	1.95	2.802(11)	176.5	x+1/2,y-1/2,z
O(9)-H(9B)...O(12)	1.97	2.767(11)	156.7	x+1/2,y-1/2,z
O(10)-H(10A)...O(6)	2.32	3.127(14)	158.6	x+1,y,z
O(10)-H(10B)...O(9)	2.14	2.951(18)	158.2	
O(11)-H(11A)...O(19)	1.87	2.716(18)	177.4	x+1/2,y-1/2,z
O(11)-H(11B)...O(6)	1.93	2.776(10)	177.8	x+1,y,z
O(12)-H(12B)...O(3)	1.88	2.715(10)	169.0	
O(12)-H(12A)...O(13)	2.30	3.093(15)	154.8	
O(13)-H(13A)...O(19)	1.87	2.71(2)	174.0	
O(14)-H(14A)...O(15)	2.06	2.906(12)	178.6	x,y+1,z
O(14)-H(14B)...O(21)	1.95	2.802(12)	179.0	x+1/2,y+1/2,z+1
O(15)-H(15A)...O(4)	1.93	2.775(8)	176.1	x+1,y-1,z
O(15)-H(15B)...O(7)	1.88	2.726(10)	176.6	x+1/2,y-1/2,z
O(16)-H(16A)...O(4)	1.93	2.762(9)	166.4	x+1/2,y-1/2,z
O(16)-H(16B)...O(18)	1.90	2.730(14)	165.1	
O(17)-H(17A)...O(16)	2.13	2.978(12)	173.5	-x+2,y,-z+3/2
O(17)-H(17B)...O(13)	2.14	2.982(13)	173.3	-x+1,y,-z+3/2
O(18)-H(18A)...O(19)	2.33	3.15(2)	163.0	
O(19)-H(19A)...O(18)	2.61	3.15(2)	122.2	
O(20)-H(20B)...O(8)	2.22	3.067(16)	177.2	x-1/2,y+1/2,z-1
O(20)-H(20A)...O(8)	1.74	2.586(15)	173.3	-x+3/2,-y+1/2,-z+1
O(21)-H(21A)...O(9)	2.15	2.992(12)	170.9	-x+1,-y+1,-z+1
O(21)-H(21B)...O(20)	1.91	2.750(14)	170.3	

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms

3. Experimental

3.1. Materials and Physical Measurements

All chemicals were commercial materials of analytical grade and used without further purification. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Magna-IR 550 spectrometer in dry KBr pellets. C, H and N analysis was measured on a MOD 1106 elemental analyzer. The PXRD data were collected on a Bruker D8 diffractometer with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418\text{\AA}$).

Complexes **1** and **2** were sealed in a capillary with mother liquid for diffraction measurement on a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073\text{\AA}$) at 293K for **1** and 296K for **2**. All intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects (SAINT), and empirical absorption corrections based on equivalent reflections were applied (SADABS). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method on F² with SHELXTL program package. All non-hydrogen atoms of the framework were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The organic hydrogen atoms were

placed in calculated positions with isotropic displacement parameters set to $1.2 \times U_{eq}$ of the attached atom.

Figure 6. The TG-DTG curve of complex 1.3.2. Synthesis of 1-2.





Figure 7. The TG-DTG curve of complex 2.



3.2.1. Synthesis of $[\text{Co}_2(2,2'\text{-bpy})_6](\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 17\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**)

A solution of 2,2'-bipyridine (156 mg, 1 mmol) in DMF/water (15mL, v/v, 1:1) is added to a solution of CoSO_4 (155 mg, 1 mmol) in distilled water (10 mL). The mixture is stirred at room temperature for fifteen minutes and placed in a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 130 °C for 120 h. The autoclave was cooled over a period of 11 h at 10 °C h⁻¹, and the product was collected by filtration and dried at ambient temperature to give **1** as pink crystals. Yield: 68%. Anal. Calc. C, 46.39; H, 5.32; N, 10.82%. Found: C, 44.35; H, 5.29; N, 11.08%. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3,100-3,500 (vs), 1,696 (vs), 1,628 (ms), 1,556 (ms), 1,441 (ms), 1,413 (ms), 1,213 (s), 1,065 (w).

3.2.2. Synthesis of $[\text{Cu}_2(2,2'\text{-bpy})_4(\text{BTCA})]\cdot(\text{OH})(2,2'\text{-bpy})_{0.5}14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2)

A solution of benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid (210 mg, 1 mmol) in DMF/water (10 mL, v/v, 1:1) is added to the solution of CuCl_2 (133 mg, 1 mmol) in distilled water (10 mL). Ten minutes later, a solution of 2,2'-bipyridine (156 mg, 1 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) is added. The mixture is stirred at room temperature for fifteen minutes and placed in a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 130 °C for 120 h. The autoclave was cooled over a period of 11 h at 10 °C h⁻¹, and the product was collected by filtration and dried at ambient temperature to give 2 as pink crystals. Yield: 50%. Anal. Calcd. C, 57.84; H, 4.38; N, 11.18%. Found: C, 57.55; H, 4.39; N, 11.18%. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3,000–3,500 (vs), 1668 (vs), 1,590 (ms), 1,410 (ms), 1,223 (ms), 1,165 (w), 810 (w).

3.3. Single-crystal Structure Determination

Intensity data for 1 and 2 were collected at 296 K on a Bruker SMART CCD area detector diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using the ω - θ scan mode in the range $0.97 \leq \theta \leq 25.01^\circ$. Raw frame data were integrated with the SAINT [39] program. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 using SHELXS-97. An empirical absorption correction was applied with SADABS [40]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were set in calculated positions and refined by a riding mode, with a common thermal parameter. All calculations and graphics were performed with SHELXTL and DIAMOND. The crystallographic data and experimental details for the structure analysis are summarized in Table 1.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have prepared and characterized two complexes $[\text{Co}(2,2'\text{-bpy})_3]\cdot(\text{SO}_4)\cdot 8.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1) and $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{BTCA})(2,2'\text{-bpy})_4](\text{OH})\cdot(2,2'\text{-bpy})_{0.5}\cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2). Hydrogen bonding interactions and unconventional interactions contribute to the formation of the packing structures. In these structures, 3D hydrogen bonds networks with negative charge are observed. New water morphologies varying from 2D to 3D character have been observed by changing the hydrophobic property of the ligands.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant no.20090460405) and the Science Foundation of Guangxi University for Nationalities (grant no. 200702YJ21).

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Materials can be seen at <http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/16/2/2871/s1>.

References and Notes

1. Ludwig, R. Water: From Clusters to the Bulk. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2001**, *40*, 1808–1827.
2. Xantheas, S.S. Ab initio studies of cyclic water clusters $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$, $n = 1\text{--}6$. II. Analysis of many-body interactions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *100*, 7523–7534.

3. Kim, J.; Kim, K.S. Structures, binding energies, and spectra of isoenergetic water hexamer clusters: Extensive ab initio studies. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 5886-5895.
4. Ghosh, S.K.; Bharadwaj, P.K. Coexistence of Water Dimer and Hexamer Clusters in 3D Metal-Organic Framework Structures of Ce(III) and Pr(III) with Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic Acid. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 8250-8254.
5. Ugalde, J.M.; Alkorta, I.; Elguero, J.; Structure and Dynamics of the Host–Guest Complex of a Molecular Tweezer: Coupling Synthesis, Solid-State NMR, and Quantum-Chemical Calculations. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 717-720.
6. Narasimha Moorthy, J.; Natarajan, R.; Venugopalan, P.; Characterization of a Planar Cyclic Form of Water Hexamer in an Organic Supramolecular Complex: An Unusual Self-Assembly of Bimesityl-3,3'-Dicarboxylic Acid. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 3417-3420.
7. Naskar, J.P.; Drew, M.G.B.; Hulme, A.; Tocher, D.A.; Datta, D.; Occurrence of ribbons of cyclic water pentamers in a metallo-organic framework formed by spontaneous fixation of CO₂. *CrystEngComm* **2005**, *7*, 67-70.
8. Haymet, A.D.J.; Dill, K.A. A Simple Model of Water and the Hydrophobic Effect, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 3166-3175.
9. Janiak, C.; Scharmann, T. G.; Mason, S.A.; Two-Dimensional Water and Ice Layers: Neutron Diffraction Studies at 278, 263, and 20 K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 14010-14011.
10. Baldelli, S.; Schnitzer, C.; Campbell, D.J.; Shultz, M.J. Effect of H₂SO₄ and Alkali Metal SO₄²⁻/H₂SO₄⁻ Salt Solutions on Surface Water Molecules Using Sum Frequency Generation. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* **1999**, *103*, 2789-2795.
11. Scatena, L.F.; Brown, M.G.; Richmond, G.L.; Water at Hydrophobic Surfaces: Weak Hydrogen Bonding and Strong Orientation Effects. *Science* **2001**, *292*, 908-912.
12. Rossky, P.J.; Chemistry: Only skin-deep, *Nature* **2002**, *419*, 889-890.
13. Gruenloh, C.J.; Carney, J.R.; Arrington, C.A.; Zwier, T.S.; Fredericks, S.Y.; Jordan, K.D. Infrared Spectrum of a Molecular Ice Cube: The S₄ and D_{2d} Water Octamers in Benzene-(Water)₈. *Science* **1997**, *276*, 1678-1681.
14. Udachin, K.A.; Ripmeester, J.A.; A complex clathrate hydrate structure showing bimodal guest hydration. *Nature* **1999**, *397*, 420-423.
15. Dehl, R.E.; Hoeve, C.A. Broad-Line NMR Study of H₂O and D₂O in Collagen Fibers. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1969**, *50*, 3245-3251.
16. Wang, L.Y.; Yang, Y.; Liu, K.; Li, B.L.; Zhang, Y. A New “Opened-Cube” (H₂O)₁₀ Cluster and Undulated Water Chain in Porous Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Crystal Growth Des.* **2008**, *8*, 3902-3904.
17. Zhong, R.Q.; Zou, R.Q.; Du, M.; Takeichi, N.; Xu, Q. Observation of helical water chains reversibly inlayed in magnesium imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylate. *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 1175-1179.
18. Colson, S. D.; Dunning, T.H. The Structure of Nature's Solvent: Water. *Science* **1994**, *265*, 43-44.
19. Liu, K.; Cruzan, J.D.; Saykally, R.J. Water Clusters. *Science* **1996**, *271*, 929-933.
20. Barbour, L.J.; Orr, G.W.; Atwood, J.L. Characterization of a well resolved supramolecular ice-like (H₂O)₁₀ cluster in the solid state. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, *10*, 859-860.

21. Supriya, S.; Manikumari, S.; Raghavaiah, P.; Das, S.K. A cyclic supramolecular (H₂O)₄ cluster in an unusual Fe₃ complex that aggregates to {Fe₃}_n with a zig-zag chainlike structure. *New J. Chem.* **2003**, *27*, 218-220.
22. Kostakis, G.E.; Abbas, G.; Anson, C.E.; Powell, A.K.; A new class of 3-D porous framework: [Ln(H₂O)_n]³⁺ ions act as pillars between π -stacked and H-bonded sheets of (m-BDTH)[−] organic anions in [Ln(H₂O)_n](m-BDTH)₃·9(H₂O) (Ln=Pr, n=9, Ln=Gd, n= 8). *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 1117-1119.
23. López, M.V.; Zaragoza, G.; Otero, M.; Pedrido, R.; Rama, G.; Bermejo, M.R.; Supramolecular Aggregation of Pd(II) Monohelicates Directed by Discrete (H₂O)₈ Clusters in a 1,4-Diaxially Substituted Hexameric Chairlike Conformation. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2008**, *8*, 2083-2086.
24. Ma, B.Q.; Sun, H.L.; Gao, S. Cyclic water pentamer in a tape-like structure. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, *4*, 2220-2221.
25. Lakshminarayanan, P.S.; Suresh, E.; Ghosh, P.; Formation of an Infinite 2D-Layered Water of (H₂O)₄₅ Cluster in a Cryptand-Water Supramolecular Complex: A Template Effect. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 13132-13133.
26. Marek, J.; Trvnček, Z. Cu₂(bipy)₄(HBTCA)[HBTCA]·(bipy)13H₂O. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2008**, *E64*, m384.
27. Xu, F.; You, W.; Huang, W. [Cu(bipy)₃]SO₄ 7.5H₂O. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2009**, *E65*, m129.
28. Infantes, L.; Chisholm, J.; Motherwell, S. Extended motifs from water and chemical functional groups in organic molecular crystals. *CrystEngComm* **2003**, *5*, 480-486.
29. Luo, S.; Li, F.; Li, T.; The conformation conversion from achirality to chirality of a flexible alkane tetracarboxylate ligand in the metal-organic framework. *Inorganic Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *14*, 597-600.
30. Liu, R.; Mok, K.; Valiyaveetil, V.; Solid-state self-assembly of a complex from 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid and 1,3,5-trihydroxybenzene : influence of strong O-H···O and C-H···O hydrogen bonds. *New J. Chem.* **2001**, *25*, 890-892.
31. Zhang, W.; Bruda, S.; Landee, C.; Parent, J.; Turnbull, M.; Structures and magnetic properties of transition metal complexes of 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid. *Inorganica Chimica Acta* **2003**, *342*, 193-201.
32. Mei, X.; Wolf, C. Conformational polymorphism of 1,8-dipyridylnaphthalene and encapsulation of chains of fused cyclic water pentamers in a hydrophobic crystal environment. *CrystEngComm* **2006**, *8*, 377-380.
33. Ma, B.Q.; Sun, H.L.; Gao, S. Formation of Two-Dimensional Supramolecular Icelike Layer Containing (H₂O)₁₂ Rings. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2004**, *43*, 1374-1376.
34. Pal, S.; Sankaran, N.B.; Samsnta, A.; Structure of a Self-Assembled Chain of Water Molecules in a Crystal Host. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* **2003**, *42*, 1741-1743.
35. Xiao, W.; Chen, C.; Deng, S.; Mao, X.; Sun, B.; Zhang, N. Supramolecular assembly of [H_(4-n)BPTC]^{n−} with pipzH₂²⁺: 1D negatively charged rectangular tubes and a 2D neutral fes 4·8² network. *CrystEngComm* **2010**, *12*, 2011-2013.
36. Sun, J.; Xu, H. Supramolecular assembly of [Co₂(2,2'-bpy)₆](BTCA)·Cl·11H₂O: 3D negatively charged cages. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *14*, 254-257.

37. Banerjee, S.; Murugavel, R. Formation of One-Dimensional Water inside an Organic Solid: Supramolecular Architectures Derived by the Interaction of Aminobenzoic Acids with Nitrogen Bases and H₂SO₄. *Crystal Growth Des.* **2004**, *4*, 545-552.
38. Csajka, F.; Chandler, D. Transition pathways in a many-body system: Application to hydrogen-bond breaking in water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 1125-1133.
39. Siemens. *SAINT: Area Detector Control and Integration Software*; Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 1996.
40. Sheldrick, G.M. *SHELXL97 and SHELXTL Software Reference Manual, Vol. 5.1.*; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 1997.
41. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Date Centre as supplementary publications CCDC 805059 and 805060 for 1-2, respectively. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: 441223-336-033; E-Mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.].

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.

© 2011 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).