

Communication

Synthesis of DPIE [2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine] Derivatives and Their Regulatory Effects on Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine Production in IL-1 β -Stimulated Primary Human Oral Cells

Jeongah Lim^{1,†}, Jihyoun Seong^{2,†}, Seunggon Jung³, Tae-Hoon Lee², Eunae Kim^{4,*} and Sunwoo Lee^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Chemistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea; 91jeongah@gmail.com
 ² Department of Oral Biochemistry, Dontal Science Research Institute, School of Department of Oral Biochemistry, Chonnam National
- ² Department of Oral Biochemistry, Dental Science Research Institute, School of Dentistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea; luvhhy@hanmail.net (J.S.); thlee83@jnu.ac.kr (T.-H.L.)
 - ³ Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Chonnam National University,
 - Gwangju 61186, Korea; seunggon.jung@jnu.ac.kr
 - ⁴ College of Pharmacy, Chosun University, Gwangju 61452, Korea
 - * Correspondence: eunaekim@chosun.ac.kr (E.K.); sunwoo@chonnam.ac.kr (S.L.); Tel.: +82-62-230-6375 (E.K.); +82-62-530-3385 (S.L.)
 - † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β) has diverse physiological functions and plays important roles in health and disease. In this report, we focus on its function in the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6 and IL-8, which are implicated in several autoimmune diseases and host defense against infection. IL-1ß activity is markedly dependent on the binding affinity toward IL-1 receptors (IL-1Rs). Several studies have been conducted to identify suitable small molecules that can modulate the interactions between 1L-1 β and 1L-1R1. Based on our previous report, where DPIE [2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine] exhibited such modulatory activity, three types of DPIE derivatives were synthesized by introducing various substituents at the 1, 2, and 3 positions of the indole group in DPIE. To predict a possible binding pose in complex with IL-1R1, a docking simulation was performed. The effect of the chemicals was determined in human gingival fibroblasts (GFs) following IL-1β induction. The DPIE derivatives affected different aspects of cytokine production. Further, a group of the derivatives enabled synergistic pro-inflammatory cytokine production, while another group caused diminished cytokine production compared to DPIE stimulation. Some groups displayed no significant difference after stimulation. These findings indicate that the modification of the indole site could modulate IL-1β:IL1R1 binding affinity to reduce or enhance pro-inflammatory cytokine production.

Keywords: IL-1; IL-1R1; pro-inflammatory cytokine; DPIE derivative

1. Introduction

Bacterial infection in oral tissues causes periodontal diseases and may lead to chronic inflammation or alveolar bone loss [1]. Therefore, preventing bacterial infection or regulating inflammation is necessary. Oral bacteria, including *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, form a crucial bacterial complex in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease [2,3]. The bacteria complex induces gingival inflammation by secreting virulence factors, mainly LPS, which mediate the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines from oral fibroblasts and immune cells [4]. The oral cells release interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β), which triggers IL-1R1 to produce chemokines as well as IL-1 β itself in response to LPS stimulation [5]. IL-1 β binds to IL-1R1, forming a heterodimer with IL-1 type 3 receptor (IL-1R3). The heterodimer initiates intracellular signaling via Myd88, finally inducing pro-inflammatory



Citation: Lim, J.; Seong, J.; Jung, S.; Lee, T.-H.; Kim, E.; Lee, S. Synthesis of DPIE [2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3yl)ethanamine] Derivatives and Their Regulatory Effects on Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine Production in IL-1β-Stimulated Primary Human Oral Cells. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 899. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/molecules27030899

Academic Editor: Arduino A. Mangoni

Received: 6 January 2022 Accepted: 26 January 2022 Published: 28 January 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). cytokine gene expression [6,7]. IL-6 and IL-8 are the prominent cytokines closely related to tissue damage and alveolar bone loss [8,9].

Periodontitis is currently treated by removing the pathogenic biofilm; however, this strategy is not effective. Therefore, many studies have sought to develop new therapeutics to control infection and inflammation. In fact, several trials have been conducted to control inflammatory responses against IL- 1β :IL-1R interactions. The therapies target IL-1 receptors, IL-1 β , or upstream of the IL-1 β signal. For instance, anakinra, AMG 108, and EBI-005 bind to IL-1R1 and inhibit its interaction with IL-1 β [10–13]. Rilonacept, canakinumab, gevokizumab, and LY2189102 target IL-1 β , and as they are monoclonal antibodies, they can neutralize IL-1 β [14–16]. VX-765 targets caspase-1, which directly activates IL-1 β [17]. Despite their high ligand specificity, most drugs are proteins, including therapeutic antibodies. There are two major limitations of protein drugs: short serum half-life and low activity. Accordingly, a high dose is often required, which might induce an immune response against the protein drugs. The low productivity of protein drugs leads to high medical expenses. To resolve these limitations, small organic molecules have received considerable attention as potential drugs because structural modification is possible with these molecules. Accordingly, in our study, we opted to focus on synthetic drugs for regulating IL-1 β :IL-1R signals.

In our previous study, 60 molecules were evaluated to determine the effect of proinflammatory cytokine production in IL-1 β -stimulated gingival fibroblasts (GFs) using in silico computational analysis [18]. Among them, only DPIE had a positive effect on pro-inflammatory cytokine expression in IL-1 β -stimulated GFs. DPIE increased IL-6 and IL-8 production by 3-fold and 2-fold, respectively, compared to the control. Owing to these results, we opted to determine the correlation between the chemical structure of DPIE and its binding affinity to receptors. The chemical structure of DPIE consists of an indole core in which phenyl groups are attached to N and 2-positions, and the 3-aminoethyl group is connected to the 3-position, as shown in Figure 1. In the prediction of DPIE, which can regulate IL-1 β :IL-1R interaction, DPIE interacts with Glu 129 in the immunoglobulin-like domains (D2) of IL-1R via hydrogen bonds and the Asp128 residue in IL-1 β . Therefore, we sought to prepare three types of DPIE derivatives: Type 1 is a DPIE derivative with a different N-aryl substituent; Type 2 has two different substituents at the 3-position of the indole core of DPIE, an amine group (type 2-1), and an alkyl group (type 2-2); and Type 3 is the derivative that does not have a phenyl substituent at the 2-position of the indole core.



Figure 1. Molecular structures of DPIE and DPIE derivatives.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of DPIE Derivatives

To synthesize Type 1 derivatives, we attempted to use the 2-phenyl-1*H*-indole derivative with the 2-aminoethyl group at the 3-position of indole as an intermediate and induce a reaction with aryl halides. However, the C-N bond formation of aryl halides and the intermediate did not result in the desired product. Therefore, C-N bond formation between aryl halides and 2-phenyl-1*H*-indole was conducted, and then a 2-aminoethyl group was introduced at the 3-position of indole via three steps. The results are summarized in Scheme 1. We confirmed that DPIE could be obtained by using these reaction processes. To prepare Type 1 compounds, three types of aryl iodides, including 4-iodo-1,1-biphenyl, 4-trifluromethylphenyl iodide, and 2-iodo-9,9-dimethyl-9*H*-fluorene, were selected and allowed to react with **1** in the presence of a CuI catalyst. The desired coupled products **2b**, **2c**, and **2d** were obtained, with yields of 90%, 79%, and 81%, respectively. Thereafter, formylation, condensation with nitromethane, and reduction were conducted, and the desired Type 1 products, including **4b**, **4c**, and **4d**, were successfully obtained.



Reaction conditions: *i*) Arl, Cul (10 mol%), trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane, K₃PO₄, toluene, 120 °C, 24 h, *ii*) POCl₃, DMF, 25 °C, 3 h *iii*) NH₄OAc, MeNO₂, *iv*) LiAlH₄, THF

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Type 1 DPIE derivatives.

Type 2-1 compounds with N-substituents at the amino ethyl group were synthesized from 2-phenyl-1*H*-indole via a three-step reaction process (Scheme 2). Copper-catalyzed *N*-phenylation of **1** resulted in **2a** with a yield of 77%. Further, formylation of **2a** resulted in 3-formylated indole derivate **3**, with a yield of 70%. The reduction aminations with primary or secondary amines produced the desired Type 2-1 compounds in good yields.

Type 2-2 and Type 3 compounds were prepared using the Fisher indole synthetic method (Scheme 3). Phenyl hydrazine was reacted with ketones or aldehydes. When alkyl phenyl ketones were allowed to react with phenyl hydrazine, 3-alkyl-substituted 2-phenyl-1*H*-indole derivatives were formed in good yields. Alkyl aldehydes, such as butyraldehyde, pentanal, and 4-aminobutanal, afforded the 3-alkyl-substituted-1*H*-indoles in good yields. Finally, these 3-alkyl-substituted 1*H*-indoles were treated with phenyl iodide in the presence of copper-catalyst to yield the corresponding *N*-phenyl indoles, **9** and **12**.

2.2. Effect of DPIE Derivatives on Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine Production in IL-1β-Stimulated GFs

After synthetic processes, the derivatives were screened using human GFs, which are the most abundant structural cells in the periodontal tissue and cause persistent inflammation in periodontal disease, to discover new therapeutics that regulate IL-1 β :IL-1R1 interaction. To determine the activity of small molecules, GFs were pre-incubated with the derivatives at the indicated concentration. The concentration of small molecules was determined after cell cytotoxic assay (Figure S1), the molecules were applied with non-cytotoxic concentrations. Then cells were stimulated with IL-1 β for 8 h. Cells were harvested, and the mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and IL-8) was determined.

Type 1 modification tended to increase IL-6 mRNA but had no effect on IL-8 mRNA expression. As shown in Figure 2, **4c** and **4d** in Type 1 derivatives had better activity in IL-6 production than DPIE. However, only compound **4d** induced a larger production of IL-8 than DPIE. These results suggest that the binding affinity between IL-1 β and IL-

1R might be increased as the size of the substituent at the *N*-position increased. The findings indicate that Type 1-4d modification, which involves increasing the size of the substituent at the *N*-position, could provide a better structure for developing an IL-1 β : IL-1R1 interaction enhancer.

Type 2-1 compounds were expected to exhibit hydrophilic and hydrogen bonding affinity between amino substituents and target proteins, while Type 2-2 compounds were expected to exhibit a hydrophobic interaction between the alkyl group substituents and target protein. As shown in Figure 3, when the Type 2-1 and Type 2-2 compounds were evaluated in the IL-1 β -induced inflamed GFs, all compounds exhibited lower activity than DPIE in the production of both IL-6 and IL-8. When these compounds were administered, the mRNA levels of cytokines were similar to those obtained by IL-1 β stimulation alone. Such findings indicate that Type 2 compounds could not contribute to the receptor: ligand interaction.

As shown in Figure 4, Type 3 compounds, which do not have a phenyl ring at the 2-position, induced a greater decrease in the expression of IL-6 and IL-8 than IL-1 β alone. The statistical significance, the *p*-value, between IL-1 β alone and Type 3 compounds less than 0.05 in IL-6 mRNA expression indicated as asterisk. The IL-8 mRNA expression also showed decreasing tendency after Type 3 compound treatment; **12c** had a great effect on suppressing both IL-6 and IL-8 mRNA expression, the *p*-value of **12c** compared to IL- β alone was less than 0.05, indicated as asterisk. These results support that the phenyl ring at the 2-position of indole moiety was a key functionality to increase the binding affinity between DPIE and receptors. This modification could be a good framework for anti-inflammatory agents.



Reaction conditions: *i*) Arl, Cul (10 mol%), trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane, K₃PO₄, toluene, 120 °C, 24 h, *ii*) POCl₃, DMF, 25 °C, 3 h, *iii*) NaHB(OAc)₃,HNR₁R₂, AcOH, DCE, 25 °C, 6 h

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Type 2-1 DPIE derivatives.



Reaction conditions: *i*) PhNHNH₂, **7** or **10**, cyanuric chloride, EtOH, 80 °C, 4 h, *ii*) PhI, CuI (10 mol%), trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane, K₃PO₄, toluene, 120 °C, 24 h,

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Type 2-2 and Type 3 DPIE derivatives.



Figure 2. DPIE and its derivatives (4) enhance pro-inflammatory cytokine expression in IL-1 β -stimulated hGFs. hGFs were treated with 4 μ M DPIE and its derivatives (4) for 12 h prior to human IL-1 β stimulation at 0.5 ng/mL. The effect on IL-6 (left) and IL-8 (right) mRNA expression of IL-1 β alone was compared to DPIEs with IL-1 β . mRNA expression levels were determined by RT-PCR. No treatment; without IL-1 β and DPIEs. The results are the mean \pm SD (n = 3). Statistical analyses were performed using student's *t*-test (* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01).



Figure 3. DPIE derivatives **5** and **9** do not affect pro-inflammatory cytokine expression. hGFs were treated with 4 μ M DPIE and 50 μ M of the derivatives for 12 h prior to human IL-1 β stimulation at 0.5 ng/mL. The effect of each modification (compound **5** modification; **upper**, compound **9** modification; **lower**) on IL-6 (**left**) and IL-8 (**right**) mRNA expression was determined by RT-PCR. IL-1 β alone was compared with DPIEs with IL-1 β . No treatment; without IL-1 β and DPIEs. The results are the mean \pm SD (n = 3). Statistical analyses were performed using student's *t*-test (** p < 0.01).



Figure 4. DPIE derivatives (**12**) do not enhance pro-inflammatory cytokine expression. hGFs were treated with 4 μ M DPIE and 50 μ M of the derivatives for 12 h prior to human IL-1 β stimulation at 0.5 ng/mL. The effect of each modification on IL-6 (**left**) and IL-8 (**right**) mRNA expression was determined by RT-PCR. IL-1 β alone was compared with DPIEs with IL-1 β . No treatment; without IL-1 β and DPIEs. The results are the mean \pm SD (n = 3). Statistical analyses were performed using student's *t*-test (* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01).

2.3. Molecular Docking Study of DPIE Derivatives and Human IL-1R

To predict a binding interaction of DPIE derivatives such as **4d** of Type 1, **5a** of Type 2-1, and **9a** of Type 2-2 with human IL-1R1, the docking study was performed using Vinardo software [19]. According to our previous study [18], the significant residue of the binding

sites in IL-1R1 were defined by Pro26 of Site 1 (S1) and Tyr127 of Site 2 (S2). The regions of S1 and S2 were located at the binding interface of IL-1R1 and IL-1 β . Regarding the binding pocket S1, it consisted of the inside hydrophobic hole, and the cave was restricted. Meanwhile, the region of S2 coincided with the weak interface in the binding of the IL-1R1 and IL-1β and made up of hydrophobic cores. After running docking calculations for two binding sites, the best pose was selected based on their docking score and analyzed concerning the derailed information about the binding mode in the cavity. Depending on the score value, the best poses of the derivatives **5a** and **9a**, such as Type 2-1 and Type 2-2, were occupied in S1, while 4d of Type 1 was bound to S2 (Figure 5). The binding affinity was -6.9 kcal/mol, -7.2 kcal/mol, and -9.3 kcal/mol for 4d, 5a, and 9a, respectively. Regarding the binding pose of two different Type 2 compounds in S1, 2-phenyl-N-phenyl indole moiety of 5a and 9a was surrounded by the hydrophobic sidechains of IL-1R1, such as Ile13, Leu15, Pro26, Pro28, Tyr127, and Phe130, in common. The difference of the binding pose between 5a and 9a was that the amine group of 5a (Type 2-1) slightly formed a hydrogen bond with the charged side chain of Glu129, and the alkyl group of 9a was between Glu129 and Phe130. Further, since two derivatives were deeply buried in the S1 of IL-1R1, it could not affect the IL-1R1 and IL-1 β interaction directly. Compared with **5a** and 9a, interestingly, the best pose of 4d was tightly stuck to S2. The indole group of 4d lay on the hydrophobic core, including Pro26, Tyr127, and Phe130, and the 9,9-dimethylfluoren moiety was reached on Ile13 and Leu15. In addition, the ethanamine group of 4d formed a hydrogen bond with the backbone of Lys112, and the 2-phenyl group was stacked with the alkyl group of Lys112 in parallel. It is an important binding region formed of the hydrophobic cores, and the derivatives **4d** could construct in the stable complex with IL-1R1 because S2 seems like a crack between IL-1R1 and IL-1 β . Noticeably, the possible binding poses of 4d only occurred in S2, and the binding affinity was lower than that of 5a and 9a. Therefore, the analog expansion of 4d could be promised to enhance the protein-protein interaction of IL-1R1 and IL-1 β .



Figure 5. The predicted binding pose of DPIE derivatives, such as **4d** (type 1, purple), **5a** (type 2-1, magenta), and **9a** (type 2-2, yellow), in complex with IL-1R1. The ligand binding sites, S1 and S2, represented by a red dashed line, were located at the interface of IL-1R1 (rainbow) and IL-1 β (pink) interaction. The derivatives **5a** and **9a** were well suitable in the binding site S1 and surrounded with the hydrophobic sidechains, such as Ile13, Leu15, Pro26, Pro28, Tyr127, and Phe130, in common. Compared with the binding mode of **5a** and **9a**, the amino group of **5a** formed a hydrogen bond with the charged side chain of Glu129, and the binding affinity of **5a** was more stable than that of **9a**. The derivative **4d** was tightly stuck to S2 of the receptor IL-1R1, and the charged amine group of **4d** made a hydrogen bond with the backbone of Lys112 of IL-1R1. The gray dashed line represents a hydrogen bond.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Ethics Statement

Chonnam National University Dental Hospital Institutional Review Board (Approval No., CNUDH-2016-014; 21 November 2016) approved the isolation of human GFs. Written informed consent was obtained from all individuals after the nature, and possible consequences of the studies were explained.

3.2. Cell Culture and Reagents

Primary human GFs were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% Fetal bovine serum (heat-inactivated, PAA Laboratories, Etobicoke, ON, Canada), 100 U/mL Penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL Streptomycin (Gibco BRL) at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO₂. The cells were pre-incubated with DPIE derivatives and stimulated with 0.5 ng/mL human IL-1 β (Peprotech, Korea) for 8 h. The cells were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline and lysed using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Calsbad, CA, USA) for mRNA purification.

3.3. Reverse-Transcription PCR

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent. A total of 500 ng RNA was reversetranscribed into cDNA. Briefly, RNA, oligo dT (Takara, Japan) primer, and dNTP mixture were stored at 65 °C for 5 min and then cooled immediately on ice. Thereafter, PrimeScript RTase (Takara, Japan) was added, and the mixture was incubated under the following conditions: 30 °C for 10 min, 42 °C for 1 h, and 95 °C for 5 min. To determine IL-6 and IL-8 mRNA expression levels, reverse-transcription PCR was performed with the following primers: IL-6, 5'-AGGGCTCTTCGGGAAATGTA-3', and 5'-TGCCCAGTGGACAGGTTTC-3'; and IL-8, 5'-CCATAAGGCACAAACTTTCA-3', and 5'-GTTCCTTCCGGTGGTTTCTTC-3'. GAPDH was used as a reference for quantitative analysis. PCR was conducted using the QuantStudio 3 qRT-PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), the Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (4367659; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and a temperature protocol provided by the company. The cycle threshold values are expressed as relative ratios and were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

Data were represented as means of \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was determined by student's *t*-test, and *p*-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The significance values are indicated by asterisks in figures (* *p* < 0.05; ** *p* < 0.01). All experiments were repeated in at least 3 independent experiments.

3.5. Synthetic Method

3.5.1. General Information

All reagents were purchased and used without further purification. Analytical thinlayer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel (Merck Kieselgel 60 F254) precoated aluminum plates, and the products were visualized by short-wave (254 nm) or long-wave (360 nm) UV light. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel (Merck, Kieselgel 60, 230–240 mesh). ¹H spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ or DMSO-d₆ on 500 MHz NMR spectrometers, and data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet), coupling constants (Hz), and integration. ¹³C spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on 126 MHz NMR spectrometers, and resonances (δ) are given in ppm. ¹HNMR and ¹³CNMR were recorded on Agilent Technologics (Santa Clara, CA, USA) NMR spectrometer (500 MHz for ¹H). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a time of flight (TOF) mass spectrometer (JEOL, Model: JMS-T200GC). The purities of all DPIE derivatives were determined by HPLC (Waters ACQUITY (UPLC), SQD2) and confirmed to 100%.

3.5.2. General Procedure I: Synthesis of DPIE Derivative Type 1

2-Phenyl indole (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), aryl iodide (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), CuI (19.0 mg, 0.1 mmol, 10 mol%), trans-1,2-cyclohexane diamine (34 mg, 0.3 mmol, 30 mol%), and K_3PO_4 (425 mg, 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were reacted in toluene (10.0 mL) at 120 °C for 12 h. The reaction solution was quantitatively transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc and water. The layers were mixed and allowed to separate. Thereafter, the organic layer was washed three times with water, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to yield the *N*-arylated indole 2. Compound 2 (1.0 mmol) was reacted with POCl₃ (184 mg, 1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in DMF (10.0 mL) at 25 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was treated with water and 6 N NaOH until the pH was 11. The resulting solid was collected by filtration, washed with cold H_2O , and dried under vacuum to yield the formulated compound 3. Compound 3 (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv) and NH₄OAc (1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were reacted in CH₃NO₂ (10.0 mL) at 100 °C for 1 h. The resulting solid was collected by filtration, washed with H₂O, and dried under vacuum to yield the nitro vinyl compounds. The resulting orange powder (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was subsequently dissolved in THF (10 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. LiAlH₄ (4 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added portion-wise, and after complete addition, the reaction was heated to reflux at 60 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, quenched by the careful addition of 15% NaOH (5 mL) and water (3 mL), and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed three times with water, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: MC/MeOH, with 10% NH₄OH). The residue was then dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo, yielding compound 4.

2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (4a: DPIE)

General procedure I with iodobenzene (204 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (DPIE) (47 mg, 0.15 mmol, 15% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78–7.75 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 6H), 7.26–7.19 (m, 4H), 3.12–2.96 (m, 4H), 1.34 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.4, 138.2, 137.7, 132.1, 130.8, 129.1, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.6, 126.8, 122.6, 120.3, 119.2, 112.7, 110.7, 43.2, 29.3; HRMS (FD-TOF) m/z[M]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₀N₂: 312.1626, found: 312.1624.

2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (**4b**)

General procedure I with 4-iodo-1,1'-biphenyl (280 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (**4b**)) (242 mg, 0.7 mmol, 70% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.74–7.71 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.49 (m, 4H), 7.42–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.25 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.17 (m, 5H), 3.06–2.95 (m, 4H), 1.44 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.1, 139.4, 138.1, 137.7, 137.6, 132.1, 130.8, 128.9, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.1, 122.8, 120.4, 119.3, 112.9, 110.8, 43.1, 29.2; HRMS (FD-TOF) *m*/*z*[M]⁺ calcd for C₂₈H₂₄N₂: 388.1939, found: 388.1938.

2-(2-phenyl-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (4c)

General procedure I with 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 2-(2-phenyl-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (**4c**)) (186 mg, 0.6 mmol, 55% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78–7.74 (m, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.29 (m, 6H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 4H), 3.10–2.97 (m, 4H), 1.41 (s, 2H);¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 141.7, 137.7, 137.4, 131.6, 130.7, 128.7, 128.5, 128.5 (q, *J* = 32.9 Hz), 128.0, 127.9, 126.3 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 124.0 (q, *J* = 272.2 Hz), 123.1, 120.9, 119.5, 114.0, 110.4, 43.1, 29.2; HRMS (FD-TOF) m/z[M]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₁₉F₃N₂: 380.1500, found: 380.1495.

2-(1-(9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluoren-2-yl)-2-phenyl-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (4d)

General procedure I with 2-bromo-9,9-dimethyl-9*H*-fluorene (273 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 2-(1-(9,9-dimethyl-9*H*-fluoren-2-yl)-2-phenyl-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (**4d**) (181 mg, 0.5 mmol, 47% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.98 (s, 2H), 8.54 (dt, *J* = 7.8, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.79–7.70 (m, 4H), 7.45–7.28 (m, 23H), 7.07 (dd, *J* = 1.9, 0.5 Hz, 2H), 1.31 (s, 12H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.5, 153.8, 138.5, 138.2, 137.5, 137.2, 132.2, 130.8, 128.4, 128.1, 127.5, 127.4, 127.1, 126.2, 122.8, 122.6, 120.3, 120.2, 120.1, 119.2, 112.4, 110.7, 46.8, 43.0, 28.9, 26.8. MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 428.2[M]⁺.

3.5.3. General Procedure II: Synthesis of DPIE Derivative Type 2-1

Compound **3** (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), amine, and AcOH (1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were reacted in DCE at 25 °C for 0.5 h. Thereafter, NaHB(OAc)₃ (1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was treated with aqueous Na₂CO₃ and EtOAc. The organic layer was separated and washed three times with water, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 4/1 v/v) to afford compound **5**.

N-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)cyclopropanamine (**5a**)

General procedure II with cyclopropanamine (57 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (297 mg 1.0 mmol) afforded *N*-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl) cyclopropanamine (**5a**) (115 mg, 0.3 mmol, 34% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-D₆) δ 7.83–7.77 (m, 1H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.25 (m, 6H), 7.22–7.10 (m, 5H), 3.87 (s, 2H), 2.16–2.08 (m, 1H), 0.40–0.29 (m, 2H), 0.30–0.22 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-D₆) δ 138.3, 138.2, 137.7, 131.6, 130.8, 129.8, 128.5, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 127.6, 122.9, 120.5, 120.1, 114.3, 110.4, 43.8, 31.4, 30.9, 22.5, 14.5, 6.7; HRMS (FD-TOF) *m*/*z*[M]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₂N₂: 338.1783, found: 338.1777.

N-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)aniline (**5b**)

General procedure II with aniline (93 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (297 mg 1.0 mmol,) afforded *N*-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)aniline (**5b**) (161 mg, 0.4 mmol, 43% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.31 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.15 (m, 8H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.63–6.58 (m, 2H), 4.10 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 144.2, 138.7, 138.0, 138.0, 132.0, 132.0, 130.7, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 128.1, 128.1, 127.5, 126.8, 122.5, 120.3, 119.9, 115.5, 114.2, 110.5, 30.0; HRMS (FD-TOF) *m*/*z*[M]⁺ calcd for C₂₇H₂₂N₂: 374.1783, found: 374.1785.

N-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)naphthalen-1-amine (5c)

General procedure II with naphthalen-1-amine (143 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (297 mg 1.0 mmol) afforded *N*-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl) methyl)naphthalen-1-amine (**5c**) (221 mg, 0.5 mmol, 52% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14–8.06 (m, 1H), 7.95–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.53–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 4H), 7.22–7.16 (m, 6H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10–7.06 (m, 1H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 0.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.5, 138.6, 138.3, 138.0, 132.7, 131.8, 130.3, 129.1, 128.9, 128.0, 128.0, 127.6, 127.3, 126.7, 126.0, 125.8, 124.6, 124.3, 124.3, 122.5, 121.5, 120.2, 119.9, 112.9, 110.4, 109.8, 27.5; HRMS (FD-TOF) *m*/*z*[M]⁺ calcd for C₃₁H₂₄N₂: 424.1939, found: 424.1938.

N-((1,2-Diphenyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)pyridin-2-amine (5d)

General procedure II with pyridin-2-amine (94 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (297 mg 1.0 mmol) afforded *N*-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl) pyridin-2-amine (5d) (139 mg, 0.4 mmol, 38% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81–7.76 (m, 1H), 7.42–7.26 (m, 7H), 7.26–7.18 (m, 7H), 6.60–6.54 (m, 1H), 6.37 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.5, 147.5, 139.0, 138.2, 137.9, 137.6, 131.2, 130.7, 129.2, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.8, 127.2, 123.0,

120.9, 119.4, 112.7, 111.6, 110.8, 107.3, 37.7; HRMS (FD-TOF) m/z[M]⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₂₁N₃: 375.1735, found: 375.1740.

N-((1,2-Diphenyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-N-phenylaniline (5e)

General procedure VI with Diphenylamine (170 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (297 mg 1.0 mmol) afforded *N*-((1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)-N-phenylaniline (5e) (248 mg, 0.6 mmol, 55% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.54–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 7H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.23 (m, 8H), 7.23–7.20 (m, 8H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.15–7.10 (m, 7H), 6.96–6.93 (m, 4H), 4.14 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 141.3, 138.5, 137.9, 137.8, 134.0, 131.9, 130.5, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 126.7, 122.4, 120.2, 119.7, 117.8, 113.8, 110.4, 30.0; HRMS (FD-TOF) *m*/*z*[M]⁺ calcd for C₃₃H₂₆N₂: 450.2096, found: 450.2075.

3.5.4. General Procedure III: Synthesis of DPIE Derivative Type 2-2 and Type 3

Alkyl phenyl ketone 7 or alkyl aldehyde **10** (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), phenyl hydrazine hydrochloride (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and cyanuric chloride (0.1 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were reacted in ethanol at 80 °C for 4 h. The resulting mixture was treated with water and EtOAc. The organic layer was separated, washed three times with water, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the corresponding compound **8** or **11**. Compound **8** or **11** (1.0 mmol), iodobenzene (204 mg, 1.0 mmol), CuI (19.0 mg, 0.1 mmol, 10 mol%), trans-1,2-cyclohexane diamine (34 mg, 0.3 mmol, 30 mol%), and K₃PO₄ (425 mg, 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were reacted in toluene (10.0 mL) at 120 °C for 12 h. The resulting mixture was treated with water and EtOAc. The organic layer was separated and washed three times with water, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to afford compound **9** or **12**.

1,2-Diphenyl-3-propyl-1*H*-indole (9a)

General procedure III with 1-phenylpentan-1-one (162 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 1,2-Diphenyl-3-propyl-1*H*-indole (**9a**) (112 mg, 0.36 mmol, 36% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77–7.72 (m, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.32 (m, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 7.32–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.26–7.17 (m, 6H), 2.88 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.7, 137.8, 136.7, 132.3, 130.7, 129.1, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 127.4, 126.7, 122.4, 120.1, 119.3, 117.4, 110.6, 18.1, 16.0; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 408.1[M]⁺.

3-butyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (9b)

General procedure III with 1-phenylhexan-1-one (176 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 3-butyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (9b) (123 mg, 0.38 mmol, 38% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 4H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 6H), 2.86–2.77 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.31 (m, 2H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.6, 137.7, 137.0, 132.3, 130.6, 128.9, 128.4, 127.9, 127.2, 126.5, 122.3, 119.9, 119.2, 115.9, 110.5, 33.3, 24.4, 22.9, 13.9; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 408.1[M]⁺.

3-hexyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (9c)

General procedure III with 1-phenyloctan-1-one (204 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 3-hexyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (**9c**) (145 mg, 0.41 mmol, 41% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.12 (m, 12H), 2.86–2.76 (m, 2H), 1.77–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.21 (m, 4H), 0.85 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H);¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.8, 137.8, 137.1, 132.5, 130.8, 129.1, 128.5, 128.1, 127.3, 126.7, 122.4, 120.1, 119.4, 116.1, 110.6, 31.8, 31.2, 29.6, 24.8, 22.8, 14.2; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 408.1[M]⁺.

3-octyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1H-indole (9d)

General procedure III with 1-phenyldecan-1-one (232 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 3-octyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (**9d**) (149 mg, 0.39 mmol, 39% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.10 (m, 12H), 2.84–2.77 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.29–1.18 (m, 8H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.7, 137.8, 137.1, 132.4, 130.7, 129.1, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 127.3, 126.7, 122.4, 120.1, 119.4, 116.1, 110.6, 32.1, 31.3, 30.0, 29.5, 29.4, 24.8, 22.8, 14.3. HRMS (FD-TOF) *m*/*z*[M]⁺ calcd for C₂₈H₃₁N: 381.2457, found: 381.2454.

3-decyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (9e)

General procedure III with 1-phenyldodecan-1-one (260 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 3-decyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (**9e**) (143 mg, 0.35 mmol, 35% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 4.7 Hz, 3H), 7.28–7.21 (m, 4H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 6H), 2.80 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.76–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.22 (m, 12H), 0.92–0.84 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.7, 137.8, 137.08, 132.4, 130.7, 129.05, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 127.3, 126.7, 122.4, 120.1, 119.4, 116.1, 110.6, 32.1, 31.3, 29.9, 29.8, 29.6, 29.5, 24.8, 22.8, 14.3; HRMS (FD-TOF) *m*/*z*[M]⁺ calcd for C₃₀H₃₅N: 409.2770, found: 409.2766.

3-hexadecyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1H-indole (9f)

General procedure III with 1-phenyloctadecan-1-one (345 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 3-hexadecyl-1,2-Diphenyl-1*H*-indole (**9f**) (183 mg, 0.37 mmol, 37% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.35–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.20 (m, 5H), 7.20–7.14 (m, 5H), 2.84–2.76 (m, 2H), 1.74–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.24 (m, 24H), 0.88–0.86 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.7, 137.8, 137.09, 132.4, 130.7, 129.1, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 127.3, 126.7, 122.4, 120.1, 119.4, 116.1, 110.6, 32.1, 31.8, 31.3, 30.0, 29.9, 29.8, 29.8, 29.8, 29.6, 29.5, 24.8, 22.9, 14.3; HRMS (FD-TOF) m/z[M]⁺ calcd for C₃₆H₄₇N: 493.3709, found: 493.3703.

3-ethyl-1-phenyl-1*H*-indole (12a)

General procedure III with butyraldehyde (72 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 3-ethyl-1-phenyl-1*H*-indole (**12a**) (33 mg, 0.2 mmol, 15% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.67–7.63 (m, 1H), 7.58–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.34–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.12 (m, 3H), 2.84 (qd, *J* = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 1.38 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.2, 136.3, 129.7, 129.1, 126.1, 124.5, 124.2, 122.5, 120.0, 119.9, 119.4, 110.6, 18.4, 14.5; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 221.1[M]⁺.

1-phenyl-3-propyl-1*H*-indole(**12b**)

General procedure III with Pentanal (86 mg, 1.0 mmol) afforded 1-phenyl-3-propyl-1*H*-indole (**12b**) (47 mg, 0.2 mmol, 20% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.68–7.62 (m, 1H), 7.58–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.44 (m, 4H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.10 (m, 3H), 2.87–2.63 (m, 2H), 1.84–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.03 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.2, 136.2, 129.7, 129.4, 126.0, 125.2, 124.2, 122.4, 119.8, 119.5, 118.2, 110.6, 27.3, 23.4, 14.4; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 235.1[M]⁺.

2-(1-phenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (12c)

General procedure III with (*E*)-3-(2-nitrovinyl)-1-phenyl-1*H*-indole (264 mg, 1.0 mmol), LiAlH₄ (4.0 mmol, 152 mg) afforded 2-(1-phenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine (**12c**) (40 mg, 0.17 mmol, 17% yield) as a yellow oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.11–7.08 (m, 1H), 7.02–6.99 (m, 1H), 6.98–6.93 (m, 3H), 2.79 (dt, *J* = 60.2, 6.8 Hz, 4H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.8, 139.9, 136.2, 129.7, 129.7, 126.2, 125.9, 124.2, 122.6, 120.0, 119.4, 110.7, 42.5, 29.6; MS (ESI) *m*/*z*: 236.1[M]⁺.

3.6. Docking Simulation

To predict a bind pose of DPIE derivatives in complex with human IL-1R, we performed a docking simulation using Vinardo [19] and improved the scoring function of AutoDock Vina for ranking and scoring. To prepare the target receptor IL-1R1, the X-ray structure of the human IL-1 β - IL-1R1 complex was available from the protein databank (PDB ID: 4GAF) [20]. The IL-1 β and water molecules were deleted, and deficient hydrogen atoms were added. The three DPIE derivatives were selected as **4d** of Type 1, **5a** of Type 2-1, and **9a** of Type 2-2 and were made by MarvinSketch [21]. While the derivative 9a was neutral in pH 7.4, 4d and 5a were totally protonated because the predicted pK_a values of **4d** and **5a** were 9.68 and 9.54, respectively. In our previous virtual screening study [18], two binding sites were assigned, and each interesting residue was Pro26 of Site 1 (S1) and Tyr127 of Site 2 (S2). After defining the appropriate search space for S1 and S2, a Vinardo docking simulation was carried out with IL-1R1 and three derivatives. Depending on the docking score, the best binding pose of each ligand was retrieved for further analysis. The interaction between IL-1R1 and docked compounds were analyzed using Chimera [22] with the utility of the analyzed complex module.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized three types of DPIE derivatives, namely Types 1, 2, and 3. The DPIE derivative with a different N-aryl substituent was Type 1; the DPIE derivative with the alkyl or amino groups at the 3-position of indole core was Type 2; and the DPIE derivative without a phenyl ring at the 2-position of indole core was Type 3. All DPIE derivatives were successfully synthesized in good yields and fully characterized. Type 1 DPIE derivatives enhanced IL-6 expression relative to DPIE. The IL-8 expression level owing to Type 1 DPIE derivatives was similar to that produced by DPIE. These like mediators, which induced inflammatory cytokines, are important for host cell defense against pathogenic stimulation. Small molecules have positive features that activate IL-1 β signaling. For example, the host defense peptide LL-37 enhanced the IL-1 β -induced production of IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, and MCP-3 in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. These secreted proteins enhanced innate immune responses [23]. In addition, IL-1 β activation was correlated with antimicrobial activity in macrophages [24].

Types 2 and 3 DPIE derivatives did not enhance the pro-inflammatory cytokine expression to the same extent as DPIE. Type 2 DPIE derivative displayed similar levels of IL-8 and IL-6 expression relative to IL-1 β treatment alone. Compounds **9d**, **9e**, and **9f** slightly upregulated IL-6 expression relative to the IL-1 β control; however, the IL-6 expression level was lower than that induced by DPIE. Most of the Type 3 DPIE derivatives did not enhance cytokine expression, but induced a slight decrease in IL-6 expression level relative to the IL-1 β -treated control. As the inhibition of IL-1 β signaling is important for the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases, such as osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis [25,26], the Type 3 DPIE derivative could be a good framework for anti-inflammatory agents. According to the docking study, whether the DPIE derivatives were selectively bound to any binding sites could enhance or reduce the binding interaction between IL-1R1 and IL-1β. Based on these results, three important structural conclusions were discovered for the activity of DPIE derivatives toward the receptor: (1) as the size of the N-aryl substituent increased, the binding affinity between DPIE derivatives and receptors increased; (2) the phenyl ring at the 2-position of indole was needed to maintain the strong affinity of DPIE toward the receptors; and (3) functionality at the 3-position of indole had no effect.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded online. Cell viability assay (page S2–S3). ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of all products (page S4–S29).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.-H.L., S.L.; methodology, J.L., J.S., S.J., T.-H.L., E.K., S.L.; synthesis, J.L., S.L.; docking simulation, E.K., writing, J.L., J.S., T.-H.L., E.K., S.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (NRF-2021R1A2C1005169). This work was also supported by the Commercializations Promotion Agency for the R and D Outcomes (COMPA) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (2021C200).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chonnam National University Dental Hospital Institutional Review Board (Approval No., CNUDH-2016-014; 21 November 2016).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article and supplementary materials.

Acknowledgments: The spectral and HRMS data were obtained from the Korean Basic Science Institute, Gwangju center, and Daegu center.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds (4a–4d, 5a–5e, 9a–9f, 12a–12c) are available from the authors.

References

- 1. Chapple, I.L.C. Time to take periodontitis seriously. *BMJ* 2014, 348, g2645. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lamont, R.J.; Hajishengallis, G. Polymicrobial synergy and dysbiosis in inflammatory disease. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2014, 21, 172–183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lamont, R.J.; Koo, H.; Hajishengallis, G. The oral microbiota: Dynamic communities and host interactions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2018, 16, 745–759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kang, W.; Ji, X.; Zhang, X.; Tang, D.; Feng, Q. Persistent Exposure to Fusobacterium nucleatum Triggers Chemokine/Cytokine Release and Inhibits the Proliferation and Osteogenic Differentiation Capabilities of Human Gingiva-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Front. Microbiol. 2019, 9, 429. [CrossRef]
- Zasłona, Z.; Pålsson-McDermott, E.M.; Menon, D.; Haneklaus, M.; Flis, E.; Prendeville, H.; Corcoran, S.E.; Peters-Golden, M.; O'Neill, L.A.J. The Induction of Pro-IL-1β by Lipopolysaccharide Requires Endogenous Prostaglandin E2 Production. *J. Immunol.* 2017, 198, 3558–3564. [CrossRef]
- Brikos, C.; Wait, R.; Begum, S.; O'Neill, L.A.; Saklatvala, J. Mass spectrometric analysis of the endogenous type I interleukin-1 (IL-1) receptor signaling complex formed after IL-1 binding identifies IL-1RAcP, MyD88, and IRAK-4 as the stable components. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* 2007, *6*, 1551–1559. [CrossRef]
- 7. Boraschi, D.; Tagliabue, A. The interleukin-1 receptor family. Semin. Immunol. 2013, 25, 394–407. [CrossRef]
- Hong, L.; Sharp, T.; Khorsand, B.; Fischer, C.; Eliason, S.; Salem, A.; Akkouch, A.; Brogden, K.; Amendt, B.A. MicroRNA-200c Represses IL-6, IL-8, and CCL-5 Expression and Enhances Osteogenic Differentiation. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11, e0160915. [CrossRef]
- Yamaji, Y.; Kubota, T.; Sasaguri, K.; Sato, S.; Suzuki, Y.; Kumada, H.; Umemoto, T. Inflammatory cytokine gene expression in human periodontal ligament fibroblasts stimulated with bacterial lipopolysaccharides. *Infect. Immun.* 1995, 63, 3576–3581. [CrossRef]
- Kaneko, N.; Kurata, M.; Yamamoto, T.; Morikawa, S.; Masumoto, J. The role of interleukin-1 in general pathology. *Inflamm. Regen.* 2019, 39, 12. [CrossRef]
- 11. Arend, W.P.; Joslin, F.G.; Massoni, R.J. Effects of immune complexes on production by human monocytes of interleukin 1 or an interleukin 1 inhibitor. *J. Immunol.* **1985**, *134*, 3868–3875. [PubMed]
- Cardiel, M.H.; Tak, P.P.; Bensen, W.; Burch, F.X.; Forejtova, S.; Badurski, J.E.; Kakkar, T.; Bevirt, T.; Ni, L.; McCroskery, E.; et al. A phase 2 randomized, double-blind study of AMG 108, a fully human monoclonal antibody to IL-1R, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2010, *12*, R192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kovalchin, J.; King, B.; Masci, A.; Hopkins, E.; Fry, J.; Hou, J.; Li, C.; Tenneson, K.; Weber, S.; Wolfe, G.; et al. Preclinical Development of EBI-005: An IL-1 Receptor-1 Inhibitor for the Topical Ocular Treatment of Ocular Surface Inflammatory Diseases. *Eye Contact Lens.* 2018, 44, 170–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Dubois, E.A.; Rissmann, R.; Cohen, A.F. Rilonacept and canakinumab. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2011, 71, 639–641. [CrossRef]
- Owyang, A.M.; Maedler, K.; Gross, L.; Yin, J.; Esposito, L.; Shu, L.; Jadhav, J.; Domsgen, E.; Bergemann, J.; Lee, S.; et al. XOMA 052, an anti-IL-1β monoclonal antibody, improves glucose control and β-cell function in the diet-induced obesity mouse model. *Endocrinology* **2010**, *151*, 2515–2527. [CrossRef]
- Sloan-Lancaster, J.; Abu-Raddad, E.; Polzer, J.; Miller, J.W.; Scherer, J.C.; De Gaetano, A.; Berg, J.K.; Landschulz, W.H. Double-blind, randomized study evaluating the glycemic and anti-inflammatory effects of subcutaneous LY2189102, a neutralizing IL-1β antibody, in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2013, *36*, 2239–2246. [CrossRef]
- 17. Flores, J.; Noël, A.; Foveau, B.; Lynham, J.; Lecrux, C.; LeBlanc, A.C. Caspase-1 inhibition alleviates cognitive impairment and neuropathology in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3916. [CrossRef]
- Ahn, S.-H.; Lee, J.-K.; Kim, N.D.; Kim, S.-H.; Lee, S.; Jung, S.; Chay, K.-O.; Lee, T.-H. DPIE [2-(1,2-Diphenyl-1H-indol-3yl)ethanamine] Augments Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine Production in IL-1β-Stimulated Primary Human Oral Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *19*, 1835. [CrossRef]
- 19. Quirpga, R.; Villarreal, M.A. Vinardo: A Scoring Function Based on Autodock Vina Improves Scoring, Docking, and Virtual Screening. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0155183.

- 20. Hou, J.; Townson, S.A.; Kovalchin, J.T.; Masci, A.; Kiner, O.; Shu, Y.; King, B.M.; Schirmer, E.; Golden, K.; Thomas, C.; et al. Design of a superior cytokine antagonist for topical ophthalmic use. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, 3913. [CrossRef]
- 21. Marvin Was Used for Drawing, Displaying and Characterizing Chemical Structures, Substructures and Reactions, Marvin 17.14, 2017, ChemAxon. Available online: http://www.chemaxon.com (accessed on 22 March 2021).
- 22. Pettersen, E.F.; Goddard, T.D.; Huang, C.C.; Couch, G.S.; Greenblatt, D.M.; Meng, E.C.; Ferrin, T.E. UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, 25, 1605–1612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yu, J.; Mookherjee, N.; Wee, K.; Bowdish, D.M.; Pistolic, J.; Li, Y.; Rehaume, L.; Hancock, R.E. Host defense peptide LL-37, in synergy with inflammatory mediator IL-1beta, augments immune responses by multiple pathways. *J. Immunol.* 2007, 179, 7684–7691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Jayaraman, P.; Sada-Ovalle, I.; Nishimura, T.; Anderson, A.C.; Kuchroo, V.K.; Remold, H.G.; Behar, S.M. IL-1beta promotes antimicrobial immunity in macrophages by regulating TNFR signaling and caspase-3 activation. *J. Immunol.* **2013**, *190*, 4196–4204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Bresnihan, B.; Newmark, R.; Robbins, S.; Genant, H.K. Effects of anakinra monotherapy on joint damage in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Extension of a 24-week randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J. Rheumatol.* **2004**, *31*, 1103–1111. [PubMed]
- Kapoor, M.; Martel-Pelletier, J.; Lajeunesse, D.; Pelletier, J.P.; Fahmi, H. Role of proinflammatory cytokines in the pathophysiology of osteoarthritis. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 2011, 7, 33–42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]