Abstract: A 44-year-old male patient was referred to the Egas Moniz Dental Clinic, with a previous history of failed bone regeneration, resulting in a reduced buccal-palatal bone thickness and aesthetic compromise of the gingival margin of the anterior maxilla. Since the use of autologous bone is considered the “gold-standard” in guided bone regeneration, the treatment plan consisted of an autologous mental graft into the maxilla, with a simultaneous guided bone regeneration with a xenograft and absorbable membrane. This allowed a predictable volumetric bone regeneration with low patient morbidity and posterior fixed rehabilitation.
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1. Introduction

Tooth extraction often leads to alveolar defects, which may present a difficult challenge to overcome, before the placement of implants, especially in the aesthetic zone. Depending on the size and location of the defect, different grafting materials can be used. Some materials, such as xenografts and allografts, and alloplastic materials of natural or synthetic origin, provide a scaffold, for new bone to grow. However, autogenous or autologous bone possesses osteoinductive, osteogenic, and osteoconductive properties, with a higher capacity of regeneration, when compared to other materials [1,2].

Various donor sites are available for autologous bone extraction. Regarding intraoral sites, the mandibular symphysis and the external oblique ridge of the mandible are the preferable donor sites, regarding both the quality and quantity of bone. Despite some potential complications described in the literature, as sensory alterations of the skin and mucosa, collection of bone from the symphysis provides thick and large grafts, suitable for vertical and horizontal augmentation [3,4].

Autologous bone is still considered the “gold standard” for bone augmentation, more importantly in cases of large and/or severe bone defects [2].

2. Materials and Methods

A 44-year-old male patient, without pathological and medicative references, and a regular smoker (about 10 cigarettes per day), was referred to the Egas Moniz Dental Clinic. Upon inspection, the patient presented a bone defect in the anterior maxilla on tooth #22, caused by a previous tooth extraction, and subsequent failed bone regeneration, which resulted in a reduced buccal-palatal bone thickness and aesthetic compromise of the gingival margin. Upon evaluation of the orthopantomography and CBCT scan, the treatment plan, which consisted of an autologous mental graft into the maxilla in conjunction with guided bone regeneration with a xenograft and absorbable membrane, was proposed to the patient and accepted.
3. Results and Discussion

After initial documentation, the first part of the surgery involved the exposure of the defect with a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap and measurement, facilitating the harvesting of bone.

Using the same method, the donor site was exposed, and an osteotomy was performed on the left mental region, to remove the bone block. Afterward, hemostasis was achieved, and the donor site was regenerated with collagen membrane and xenograft and sutured (Figure 1).
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**Figure 1.** Surgical stages: (a) Orthopantomography; (b) CBCT scan (axial plane); (c) CBCT scan (sagittal plane); (d) initial photograph; (e) exposure of the defect; (f) measurements; (g) cortical perforations; (h) exposure of the donor site; (i) osteotomy; (j) suture of the donor site; (k) fixation of the bone block; (l) xenograft application; (m) collagen membrane placement; (n) suture of the recipient site; (o) provisional crown (1-week post-op).

Lastly, the bone block was held in place in the recipient site with fixation screws. A xenograft material (NanoBone®) was used to fill the rest of the defect, and an absorbable collagen membrane (Evolution OsteoBiol®) was applied, covering the bone grafting materials. The recipient site was then sutured. A provisional crown was lastly adhered to the adjacent teeth.

Due to the multi-dimensional defect present, the use of an autologous bone block was crucial, both to stabilize the grafting materials, as well as to ensure the maximum regenerative ability both vertically and in buccal-palatal thickness, thus confirming, that the use of autologous bone in large bone defects remains one of the best options for bone augmentation procedures.
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