Targeting Bioinformatics Predicted Biomarkers Associated with Cell Proliferation and Migration for Treating Gliomas: Preclinical Studies in a GL261 Mouse Model
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Abstract: We previously reported on the experimental validation of several in silico-predicted glioma biomarkers (e.g., Plexin-B2 (PLXNB2), SLIT3, and Spondin-1 (SPON1)) that were found to be higher in human high-grade gliomas (HGGs). In this study, we validated their therapeutic potential by investigating antibody therapies against these three biomarkers in a preclinical mouse GL261 high-grade glioma model. Efficacies for antibody therapies against these biomarkers were assessed by survival and tumor volumes, biomarker expressions, cell invasion and proliferation, and bioinformatics gene/protein associations. Antibodies against PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 were effective in significantly reducing tumor volumes and increasing animal survival. With immunohistochemistry (IHC), these biomarkers were highly expressed in human HGGs, as well as in mice tumors. From IHC, CD44v6 was significantly decreased for all three antibody treatments, compared to UT GL261 tumors. Bioinformatics suggested that targeting either PLXNB2 or SPON1 may have a major effect on HGG cell migration and invasion (validated with CD44v6 IHC), whereas targeting SLIT3, in addition to affecting cell invasion, may also affect cell proliferation (not validated with Ki67 IHC). These results indicate that targeting these three biomarkers could add to the therapeutic arsenal against high-grade gliomas and that antibodies against them could be considered for clinical translation.
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1. Introduction

The most recent CNS5 (Central Nervous System) World Health Organization (WHO) classifications for adult-type diffuse gliomas, which divides these tumors by IDH (isocitrate dehydrogenase 1) mutation, 1p/19q-codeletion, and histological grade, are astrocytoma, IDH mutant (Grade 2 to 4); oligodendroglioma, IDH mutant and 1p/19q-codeleted (Grades 2 and 3); and glioblastoma, IDH-wildtype (Grade 4) [1,2]. NCCN (National Comprehensive Cancer Network) guidelines currently separate treatment recommendations according to tumor grade and subtype. For instance, the standard of care (SOC) for Grade 2 oligodendroglioma, with a favorable risk profile (gross total resection and ages less than 40 years old), is observation and treatment at recurrence, but the SOC for glioblastoma...
(Grade 4) is maximal feasible resection followed by radiotherapy and concurrent and adjuvant temozolomide chemotherapy, with an overall survival of only 14.6 months [3]. The concern for all of these glioma subtypes is the chance and speed of recurrence despite the SOC. It is important to consider that there are genetic, epigenetic, and environmental heterogeneities that exist within each individual glioma and that intra-tumoral heterogeneities result in several phenotypic cellular heterogeneities, which lead to multiple mechanisms of therapeutic resistance that result in highly adaptable and resistant tumors [4].

Treatments for primary brain tumors are complicated by the different tumor traits of primary or secondary gliomas (low-grade lesions transformed into high-grade tumors), as well as the difficulties associated with identifying radiation necrosis, tumor progression, and pseudoprogression with standard magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [5]. More precise diagnosis, prediction of prognosis, and assessing tumor response to current and recent therapies may offer more promise with radiomics and radio-genomics derived from morphological, textural, and functional characteristics obtained from quantitative voxel-level MR image metrics [5]. Novel emerging radiomic techniques using machine learning and fractal-based analyses have the potential capabilities for improving both diagnostic and prognostic accuracies for gliomas [6]. Over the past decade or so, molecular diagnostic capabilities involving the use of chemical-, peptide-, antibody-, and nanoparticle-based imaging probes have also been developed to target specific molecules in gliomas, which can be visualized with different multimodality clinically relevant molecular imaging approaches, such as positron emission tomography (PET), single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF), and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [7].

New feasible or potential targets for the treatment of gliomas, especially IDH-wild-type glioblastoma, are now being considered [3]. Classical biomarker targets, such as p53, the retinoblastoma (RB) pathway, and an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene alteration, have unfortunately failed due to complex regulatory networks [3]. More recently, there is an interest in immunotherapies (e.g., immune checkpoint molecules, tumor-associated macrophages, dendritic cell vaccines, CAR-T (chimeric antigen receptor T)) and targeting the tumor microenvironment [3]. The appeal of selecting biomarkers for more personalized targeted therapies is becoming extremely attractive. Our focus for the past decade has been to try and identify new therapeutic targets for high-grade gliomas that can potentially be translated clinically, as well as develop molecular imaging approaches to visualize these new targets in vivo using preclinical models.

We previously reported on the experimental validation of a few in silico-predicted glioma biomarkers that were found to be significantly higher in human high-grade gliomas (hHGGs) than low-grade gliomas [8]. These included SLIT3, Plexin-B2 (PLXNB2), and Spondin-1 (SPON1). Plexins are a family of genes that are expressed in several organ systems and have been implicated in cell movement and cell–cell interaction [9]. PLXNB2 has been previously reported to be related to the negative regulation of interleukin-12/interleukin-23p40 in dendritic cells [9]. Plexins have been identified as cell surface receptors widely studied in the nervous system, where they mediate migration and morphogenesis through the Rho family of small GTPases [10]. PLXNB2 has been found to be exceedingly expressed in cells of the innate immune system in the mouse, including macrophages and dendritic cells, and may serve as a negative regulator of basal cell motility [9]. PLXNB2 is related to genes that control extracellular matrix remodeling (MMP1, TGFβ1, COL2A1, COL1A1/2) and angiogenesis (VEGF-α and the integrin-binding protein IGFBP3) [2]. The SLIT3 gene at human chromosome 5q34-q35.1 is involved in encoding large secreted proteins functioning as ligands for Roundabout (ROBO) receptors, and the SLIT-ROBO signaling pathway is implicated in angiogenesis and endothelial cell migration [11]. SPON1 encodes a secreted extracellular matrix (ECM) glycoprotein (F-spondin/VSGP) [12]. SPON1 is connected to genes related to cell invasion or migration, such as MMPs, TGFβ1 (transforming growth factor β1), several collagen genes, and CD44; endothelial cells (integrin ITGB1/3); and the epidermal growth factor receptor [13–15].
According to The Human Protein Atlas, which uses the TCGA database for human gliomas of various grades, PLXNB2 has high expression in 120 out of 153 glioma patients (78.4%), whereas SLIT3 and SPON1 had high expression in 47 and 76, respectively, out of 153 patients (30.7 and 49.7%, respectively). It is interesting that all three biomarkers are listed in The Human Protein Atlas as being not prognostic for gliomas. However, previously, we reported that all three of these biomarkers are diagnostic for human high-grade gliomas (hHGGs) in an independent study [8]. If the TCGA data is made up of both low- (TCGA-LGG: 515 samples; 46%) and high-grade (TCGA-GBM: 599 samples; 54%) gliomas, then this could explain the discrepancy (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov (accessed on 4 November 2022)), as our data found high expressions of PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 only in hHGGs but not in hLGGs, i.e., the hLGG data in the TCGA database may be diluting the overall expression for all gliomas as reported in The Human Protein Atlas. Larger independent studies would need to be conducted on both hHGGs and hLGGs for the three biomarkers in question.

In this study, we validated the therapeutic potential of PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 by investigating antibody therapies against each of these three biomarkers in a preclinical mouse GL261 high-grade glioma (HGG) model in syngeneic C57BL6/J mice. We also established that these three biomarkers are highly expressed in both a mouse GL261 HGG model, using in vivo molecular-targeted MRI (mt-MRI), as well as translationally in human HGGs, from immunohistochemistry (IHC). Bioinformatics was also used to link other genes and proteins highly associated with these biomarkers, which provides some insight regarding their broad activities against HGG tumorigenesis. Bioinformatics predicted that all three of these biomarkers were associated with cell proliferation and cell migration, and so we used IHC in the GL261 mouse model to look at the expressions of Ki67 and CD44v6, respectively.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Glioma Model

Two-month-old male C57BL6/J mice (Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN, USA) were intracerebrally implanted with mouse GL261 (104) glioma cells (National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD, USA) as previously performed [16]. All animal studies were approved by the Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted and promulgated by the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

2.2. Treatments

GL261 tumor-bearing mice were treated with either anti-PLXNB2 (n = 5) (I-16 (#sc-34504, Santa Cruz Tech, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), 2 mg/kg in 100 µL saline every 3 days for up to tumor maximum); anti-SLIT3 (n = 7) (H-54 (#sc-28946, Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), 1.5–2.0 mg/kg in 100 µL saline every 3 days for up to tumor maximum); or anti-SPON1 (n = 7) antibodies (N-19 (#sc-49006, Santa Cruz Tech, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), 1 mg/kg in 100 µL saline every 3 days for up to tumor maximum). Antibodies (Santa Cruz) used described in the Methods were available when we conducted the antibody treatments in the GL261 mouse HGG model; however, these have been recently discontinued by the manufacturer. We have searched and found comparable antibodies for the extracellular regions for either PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1. These include an alternative for anti-PLXNB2 antibody (AF683b, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), an alternative for anti-SLIT3 antibody (DF9909, Affinity Biosciences, Cincinnati, OH, USA), and an alternative for anti-SPON1 antibody (DPABH-08849, Creative Diagnostics, Shirley, NY, USA). Untreated mice (n = 12) served as controls for statistical comparison. Previous studies conducted by our group found no statistical differences between untreated and isotype antibody (non-specific IgG) treatment [17]. Treatments were initiated when the tumors reached 10–20 mm³ in volume, as determined by morphological MRI. As the tumor take rate was approximately 90%, we ensured there were sufficient mice to have a minimum of 5–7 mice per treatment...
group. We incorporated more untreated mice in order to ensure that there were sufficient control mice to compare with treatment cohorts during MRI investigations, resulting in a larger no. for this group. All antibody treatments were administered via a tail vein catheter. Doses for antibody treatments were selected based on similar previous studies [17]. Animal percent survivals were obtained, with the proviso that all mice were euthanized when tumor volumes reached ≥150 mm$^3$. In some instances, mice died overnight before we could obtain viable tissues for histological investigations, but survival data were still obtained.

2.3. MRI Molecular-Targeting Agent Synthesis

Antibody-conjugated MRI contrast agents were prepared according to the previously reported methods [17], using the antibodies described above. Biotinylated albumin conjugates were prepared using a sulfo-NHS crosslinker. Biotinylated BSA-albumin was dialyzed and reacted with diethylene triamine penta acet acid anhydride (DTPA) to develop a gadolinium-chelating construct. Gd(III) chloride was then gradually added and the mixture was reacted at 4 °C for 24 h to yield Gd-DTPA-albumin. Gd-based molecular probes were then prepared by reacting Gd-DTPA-BSA with antibodies (either anti-PLXNB2, anti-SLIT3, or anti-SPON1) in the presence of a sulfo-NHS crosslinker.

2.4. MRI

MRI experiments were carried out on a Bruker BioSpec 7.0 Tesla/30-cm horizontal-bore magnet imaging system. Animals were immobilized by using 1.5–2.5% isoflurane and 0.8 L/min O$_2$ and placed in a 72-mm quadrature volume coil for signal transmission, and a surface mouse-head coil was used for signal reception. T2-weighted imaging was acquired as previously performed by our group [17]. Morphological images were obtained from days 10–11 following the intracerebral implantation of GL261 cells and every 7–10 days until tumors reached ≥150 mm$^3$ in volume (maximum tumor volumes).

Molecular MRI

Molecular MRI was executed when the tumor volumes were close to their maximum tumor volumes (120–180 mm$^3$). A variable-TR RARE sequence (rapid acquisition with refocused echoes, with multiple TRs of 200, 400, 800, 1200, and 1600 ms, TE of 15 ms, FOV of 3.5 × 3.5 cm$^2$, matrix size of 256 × 256, and a spatial resolution of 0.137 mm) was used to obtain T1-weighted images before and after the administration of probe or control contrast agents. Relative probe (contrast agent) expressions were calculated to assess the levels of PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 probes in each animal. A contrast difference image was created from the pre- and (90 min) post-contrast datasets for the slice of interest, by computing the difference in signal intensity between the post-contrast and the pre-contrast image on a pixel basis, as described previously [17]. From a difference (post-contrast minus pre-contrast) image, ten regions of interest (ROI) of equal size (0.05 cm$^2$) were drawn within areas with the highest MRI signal intensities in the tumor parenchyma and contralateral side of the brain for each animal after either the PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 probes were injected at the TR 800 ms. The values obtained from the ROIs in the tumor regions were normalized to the corresponding contralateral sides. An overlay of the contrast difference image and T1-weighted image was generated using the 3D Analysis Software for Life Sciences Amira® (Fei, Hillsboro, OR, USA) [17].

2.5. Histology and Immunohistochemistry

2.5.1. Human Tissue Samples

The human tissue sample portion of the study was conducted in compliance with the University of Utah Health Sciences Center Institutional Review Board in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. For IHC analysis, tissue from 50 patients with high-grade gliomas (21 female, 29 male), including 40 GBM, 6 anaplastic astrocytomas, and 4 anaplastic oligodendrogliomas, was compared with tissue from 21 patients (10 female, 11 male) with tumors classified as low-grade gliomas (11 benign oligodendrogliomas, 10 low-grade
Antibodies (Abs) to Plexin-B2, Slit homolog (SLIT3), and spondin1 were available commercially (Plexin-B2 Ab (I-16), SLIT3 Ab (F-15), and F-spondin Ab (S-17) were all obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The procedures followed were completed as previously reported [8,18]. A score of 0–4 was assigned based on the percentage of cells stained in a given field: 0 = 0–25%, 1 = 25–50%, 2 = 50–75%, 3 = 75–100%, and 4 = 100%. Positive expression was determined for scores of 2–4, whereas negative expression was established for scores of 0 and 1. Percent survivals for the GBM, anaplastic astrocytoma, and anaplastic oligodendroglioma patients were 0.0%, 0.0%, and 50.0%, respectively. Percent survival values for benign oligodendroglioma and low-grade astrocytoma patients were 27.3% and 70.0%, respectively.

Toluidine blue (0.1%) counterstain was used (15 s). IHC was performed using the Vectastain ABC Kit (Vector Laboratories). Negative controls were performed by replacing the primary Ab with nonimmune serum. Slides were examined using an Olympus BX41 microscope. Under 200× magnification (10 ocular × 20 objective), slides were scored by 2 investigators blinded to the specimen tumor grade or patient information. In prior papers, we have demonstrated that this method is very reproducible, with good interrater reliability ($p = 0.99, 95\% \text{confidence interval (CI)} = 0.99–1.00$) and intrarater reliability ($p = 0.96, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.92–0.99$). Each investigator independently reviewed the slide at low power and at random high-power fields when determining the IHC score.

We acknowledge the evolving complexities of using molecular classifications; however, there is still some validity in using high- and low-grade dichotomization. For instance, all of the HGG tumors in this study were IDH1$^{WT}$, and all of the low-grade tumors used in this study were IDH1 mutated. For a preliminary study where the numbers are relatively low, we decided to combine our tumors into HGG and LGG categories.

2.5.2. Mouse Tissue Samples

All mice were sacrificed after the last MRI scan. The brain of each animal (n = 4/group) was removed, preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, and processed routinely, as described previously [17].

Five-micron-thick histological sections, embedded in paraffin and mounted on HistoBond® Plus slides (Statlab Medical Products, Lewisville, TX, USA) were rehydrated and washed in Tris Buffered Saline. Antigen retrieval (pH 6 Citrate Antigen Unmasking Solution; cat# H-3300; Vector Labs Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) was accomplished via twenty minutes in a steamer followed by thirty minutes of cooling at room temperature. Sections were treated with a peroxidase-blocking reagent (Bloxall, cat# SP-6000; Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) to inhibit endogenous peroxidase activity. Slides for SA-HRP immunohistochemistry for the molecular-targeted MRI study were incubated with R.T.U. streptavidin (SA) and peroxidase (HRP) (cat # SA-5704; Vector Lab, Burlingame, CA, USA) in a humidified chamber for 30 min at room temperature. Appropriate washes were in TBS. The SA-HRP targets the biotin moieties of the antibody probes (either anti-PLXNB2, anti-SLIT3, or anti-SPON1 molecular-targeted gadolinium-based MRI probes). Slides were incubated with NovaRed® (cat# SK-4805; Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) chromogen for visualization. Counterstaining was carried out with Hematoxylin QS Nuclear Counterstain (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). This is similar to what was previously published [17]. Appropriate positive and negative tissue controls were used. Isotype controls were used for negative antibody staining, and positive/negative tissue staining was based on previous stains on other tissues used in the lab (as prescribed by the vendors). For IHC staining, rabbit antibodies were used for Ki-67 (cat# NB600-1209, 2 μg/mL, Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA) and CD44v6 (cat# orb13319, 1.7 μg/mL, Biorbyt Ltd., San Francisco, CA, USA) immunohistochemistry (IHC). Both antibodies were diluted 1:300. The sections were processed using the ImmPRESS™ VR Reagent Anti-Rabbit IgG Peroxidase (VLI cat# MP-6401) [17]. Antigen retrieval (pH6 citrate antigen unmasking solution; VLI cat#H-3300) was accomplished for 20 min in a steamer followed by 30 min of cooling at room temperature. Sections were treated with a peroxidase-blocking reagent.
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Following incubation overnight at 4 °C in a humidified chamber, sections were washed in TBS and reagents were applied according to the manufacturer’s directions. Slides were incubated with NovaRed® as described above. Counterstaining was carried out as described above (similar to what was previously published [17]). Appropriate positive and negative tissue controls were used. Isotype controls for negative antibody staining and positive/negative tissue staining were as described above.

To characterize IHC positivity levels, five regions of interest (ROIs), secured digitally (20×) using a Leica-Aperio CS whole-slide scanner, were identified in each case. Only areas containing tumor tissue were analyzed, excluding areas with necrosis and/or significant artifacts. The number of positive pixels was divided by the total number of pixels (negative and positive) in the examined area. ROIs were analyzed using Leica-Aperio ImageScope and Leica-Aperio Tool Box (pixel count algorithm) (Leica Biosystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA).

2.6. GAMMA and STRING

GAMMA (GlobAl Microarray Meta-Analysis) is a method used to compile and analyze transcriptional correlation networks using publicly available microarray data [19]. Briefly, it operates on a “guilt-by-association” principle, whereby the 40 most highly correlated transcripts across experimental conditions are analyzed for their commonalities using either Gene Ontology (GO) or the text-mining of PubMed abstracts. Statistically significant annotations tend to overlap heavily with known annotations for genes with established functions. Its strength lies in inferring function for unknown or poorly known transcripts. Several prior studies have shown that predicted phenotypes for unknown/poorly-known transcripts were observed experimentally using gene perturbation experiments [8,20–22]. As GAMMA uses literature mining to identify associations between genes and diseases such as gliomas (and synonymous terms), if there are updated classifications, the GAMMA-associated predictions would only be impacted if the data contained new terms. It is important to note that predicted associations rely upon the prior literature, which will not be updated when new terminology or classifications are included. The PubMed literature network used to generate these predictions was constructed using all abstracts available on 1 November 2022.

The STRING database [23] integrates both known and predicted physical and functional associations between proteins, from several sources: (1) text-mining the literature, (2) databases of interaction experiments, (3) predicted interactions from co-expression and genomic context, and (4) ortholog interactions. The web interface to STRING version 11 was used to generate the PPI networks with default parameters.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Prism 9.3.1 Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Tumor volumes, positivity for CD44v6 and Ki67, and the PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 levels from molecular targeting were reported as means ± standard deviation. Kaplan–Meier survival curves and a Log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test were used to compare the survival times among the untreated and treated groups. ANOVA testing (one-way, multiple comparisons) was used when comparing multiple treatment groups for tumor volumes and to assess the differences between the means of untreated and anti-PLXNB2-, anti-SLIT3-, or anti-SPON1-treated GL261 glioma mice for CD44v6 or Ki67 IHC positivity levels in tumor and contralateral regions. Tukey’s multiple comparison tests were used for multiple comparisons. Student t-tests (independent samples, two-tailed t-tests) were used when comparing the mt-MRI IgG, PLXNB2-, SLIT3-, or SPON1-probe levels. All p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The sample size was set based on the expected level of variability (standard deviation/mean) based on what has been observed in previous, similar studies. More mice (n = 12) were assigned to the
control, as this is the reference level for most comparisons. A power analysis with the R function “power.t.test” shows that \( n = 5 \) to 7 mice per treatment group ensures reaching common statistical parameters of about 0.8 power (0.75 for \( n = 5 \), 0.85 for \( n = 7 \)) and 0.05 type 1 error rate on a standard two-sided \( t \)-test assuming the difference between group means will exceed 1.5, given the expected group standard deviation.

3. Results

3.1. Human Protein Expressions for PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1: Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Human HGGs demonstrate high levels of expression of all three biomarkers, PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1, as shown in Figure 1, where the percentage of patient expression for each biomarker in HG (high-grade) is 80% or higher.

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1. Expressions of PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 in human high-grade gliomas. Representative immunohistochemistry (IHC) images for PLXNB2 (A), SLIT3 (B), and SPON1 (C) in human GBM tumor sections. (D) Negative control in a low-grade glioma for SLIT3. Magnification is 20× for slides in (A–D). (E) Percentage (%) of patient protein expression (IHC) for PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 in high-grade (HG) gliomas highly expressed (HG E) or not expressed (HG NE). The % of patient expression for each biomarker in HG was 80% or higher.

3.2. Mouse Tumor Responses to Antibody Therapies against PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1

Antibody treatment against PLXNB2 was found to significantly increase mouse survival in GL261 tumor-bearing mice when compared to untreated (UT) controls (\( p < 0.01 \)) (Figure 2A). Tumor volumes for PLXNB2 antibody-targeted treated mice were also significantly less than UT controls (\( p < 0.01 \)) (Figure 2B). Representative tumor volume morphological images for UT controls, compared to anti-PLXNB2-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice, are shown in Figure 2C,D, respectively. Antibody treatment against SLIT3 was also found to significantly increase mouse survival in GL261 tumor-bearing mice when compared to UT controls (\( p < 0.0001 \)) (Figure 2E). Tumor volumes for SLIT3 antibody-targeted treated mice were also significantly less than UT controls (\( p < 0.0001 \)) (Figure 2F). Representative tumor volume morphological images for UT tumors, compared to anti-SLIT3-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice, are shown in Figure 2G,H, respectively. Antibody treatment against SPON1 was also found to significantly increase mouse survival in GL261 tumor-bearing mice when compared to UT controls (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Figure 2I). Tumor volumes for SPON1 antibody-targeted treated mice were also significantly less than UT controls (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Figure 2J). Representative tumor volume morphological images for UT, compared to
anti-SPON1-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice, are shown in Figure 2K, L, respectively. A treatment schematic and summarized outcomes are shown in Figure 2M.

Figure 2. Antibodies against PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 are effective against GL261 orthotopic tumors. Common untreated data sets were used to compare each antibody treatment separately. (A) Survival curve for untreated and PLXNB2-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice. There was a significant increase in the percentage of survival for PLXNB2-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice (n = 5) compared to untreated controls (n = 12) (p < 0.01; p = 0.006). (B) Tumor volumes (mm$^3$), as measured from morphological MRI for untreated and PLXNB2-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice at 21 days (treatment groups) from GL261 tumor cell implantations or maximum tumor volumes for UT tumors (average survival of 21 days). There was a significant decrease in tumor volumes for PLXNB2-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice (n = 5) compared to untreated controls (n = 12) (p < 0.01; p = 0.003). Representative morphological MR images in untreated (C) and PLXNB2-antibody-treated (D) GL261 tumor-bearing mice. (E) Survival curve for untreated and SLIT3-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice. There was a significant increase in the percentage of survival for SLIT3-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice (n = 7) compared to untreated controls (n = 12) (p < 0.001). (F) Tumor volumes (mm$^3$), as measured from morphological MRI for untreated and SLIT3-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice. There was a significant decrease in tumor volumes for SLIT3-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice (n = 6) compared to untreated controls (n = 12) (p < 0.0001). Representative morphological MR images in untreated (G) and SLIT3-antibody-treated (H) GL261 tumor-bearing mice. (I) Survival curve for untreated and SPON1-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice (n = 7) compared to untreated controls (n = 12) (p < 0.05; p = 0.03). It should be noted that with Bonferroni correction (all treatment groups compared), the p-value comparing UT to SPON1 for survival drops to p = 0.09. (J) Tumor volumes (mm$^3$), as measured from morphological MRI for untreated and SPON1-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice. There was a significant decrease in tumor volumes for SPON1-antibody-treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice (n = 6), compared to untreated controls (n = 12) (p < 0.001). Representative morphological MR images in untreated (K) and SPON1-antibody-treated (L) GL261 tumor-bearing mice. Tumor boundaries are traced with a faint yellow line. (M) Antibody treatment schematic and summarized results. Kaplan–Meier survival curves and a Log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test were used to compare the survival times among the untreated and treated groups. ANOVA testing (one-way, multiple comparisons—Tukey’s multiple comparisons test) was used when comparing multiple treatment groups for tumor volumes.
3.3. Mouse GL261 Protein Expressions for PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1: Molecular-Targeted MRI

In vivo protein expressions for the three biomarkers, PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1, are shown in Figure 3 with the use of mt-MR imaging probes for each (anti-PLXNB2-, antiSLIT3-, or anti-SPON1-antibodies conjugated to albumin-Gd-TPA-biotin constructs). Confirmation of the binding affinities of each probe was determined by targeting streptavidin-horse radish peroxidase (SA-HRP) to the biotin moieties of each probe, compared to non-specific IgG probe treatment. The synthesis of the MRI molecular-targeting probes and a summary of the findings are depicted in Figure 3K.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 are all highly expressed in untreated GL261 tumor-bearing mice, as determined from in vivo mt-MRI using specific probes for each biomarker. Images were obtained at maximum tumor volumes (approx. 21 days from GL261 cell implantations). Representative mt-MRI contrast difference images (false-colored in red) overlaid on top of morphological MR images (grayscale) for non-specific IgG (A), PLXNB2 (B), SLIT3 (C), and SPON1 (D). Probe construct bases were a Gd-DTPA-albumin-biotin combination, where the specific antibody (anti-PLXNB2, anti-SLIT3, or anti-SPON1) was conjugated directly to the albumin linker. (E) Relative expression of non-specific
Gd-DTPA-albumin-IgG-biotin, Gd-DTPA-albumin-anti-PLXB2-biotin, Gd-DTPA-albumin-anti-SLIT3-biotin, Gd-DTPA-albumin-anti-SPON1-biotin probes in tumor (T) or contralateral (C) brain regions. \( n = 5/\)group with 5-6 ROIs per animal in each region. There was a significant increase in each biomarker’s relative expression in the tumor regions when compared to the non-specific IgG tumor regions (\( p < 0.001 \) for each). Each biomarker’s relative expressions were also significantly increased when comparing tumor vs. contralateral regions (\( p < 0.001 \) for each). Representative tissue sections of streptavidin-horse radish peroxidase (SA-HRP) targeting biotin moieties of anti-PLXB2 (H), anti-SLIT3 (I), or anti-SPON1 (J) probes, indicating selective binding in tumor regions. Control SA-HRP in normal brain (F) or tumor region (G) of a GL261-tumor bearing mouse treated with non-specific IgG. All histology slides are \( 20\times \) magnifications. (K) mt-MRI probe synthesis and treatment schematic and summarized results. Student \( t \)-tests (independent-samples, two-tailed \( t \)-test) were used to assess the differences between means of the mt-MRI IgG, PLXB2-, SLIT3-, or SPON1-probe levels.

3.4. Mouse GL261 Protein Expressions for CD44v6 or Ki67 following Antibody Treatments

IHC staining for CD44v6 and Ki67 is shown in Figure 4. CD44v6 was found to be significantly less in the antibody therapies targeting PLXB2, SLIT3, or SPON1, compared to untreated (UT) tumors (Figure 4A–F). Ki67 was found to be significantly less in the antibody therapies targeting PLXB2 or SPON1 compared to untreated (UT) tumors, but not for SLIT3 (Figure 4G–L). The treatment schematic and summarized findings are shown in Figure 4M.

Figure 4. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining for CD44v6 in normal (A), untreated (UT) (B), and antibody treatments targeting plexin-B2 (PLX) (C), SLIT-3 (SLIT3) (D), and SPON-1 (SPON1) (E).
(F) Positivity values for CD44v6 levels in tumor regions from UT (closed circles), PLX (open squares), SLIT3 (closed triangles), and SPON1 (open inverted triangles) antibody-treated groups, and normal mouse brains (closed diamonds). There were significant differences between UT and all other groups (* \( p < 0.05 \) \( (p = 0.02) \); ** \( p < 0.01 \) \( (p = 0.007) \); **** \( p < 0.0001 \)), as well as between normal brain and other groups (#### \( p < 0.0001 \)).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining for Ki67 in normal (G), untreated (UT) (H), and antibody treatments targeting plexin-B2 (PLX) (I), SLIT-3 (SLIT3) (J), and SPON-1 (SPON1) (K). (L) Positivity values for Ki67 levels in tumor regions from UT (closed circles), PLX (open squares), SLIT3 (closed triangles), and SPON1 (open inverted triangles) antibody-treated groups, and normal mouse brains (closed diamonds). There were significant differences between UT and all other groups (except between UT and SLIT3) (* \( p < 0.05 \) \( (p = 0.03) \); ** \( p < 0.01 \) \( (p = 0.005) \); **** \( p < 0.0001 \)), as well as between normal brain and other groups (#### \( p < 0.0001 \)). All histology slides are 20× magnifications. \( n = 4 \)/group. (M) Antibody treatment schematic and summarized results. ANOVA testing (one-way, multiple comparisons—Tukey’s multiple comparisons test) was used to assess the differences between means of untreated and anti-PLXNB2, anti-SLIT3- or anti-SPON1-treated GL261 glioma mice for CD44v6 or Ki67 IHC positivity levels in tumor and contralateral regions.

3.5. Bioinformatic Associations of Genes and Proteins for PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1

The most recent GAMMA-predicted associations for PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Note that the predictions are similar to and consistent with, but not identical to, the GAMMA predictions generated in the original 2013 paper [8] that prompted us to investigate these genes. This is because more expression data and more literature data were used in this most recent version. A summary of common and uncommon GAMMA-predicted associations for PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 is shown in Table 1. All three biomarkers are highly associated with both tumor cell proliferation and migration (both PLXNB2 and SPON1 are associated with CD44), which provides some rationale for assessing Ki67 (proliferation marker) and CD44v6 (migration marker) via IHC in the GL261 mouse model. In addition, all three biomarkers are associated with gliomas, glioblastomas, poor prognosis, and Wnt signaling. Both SLIT3 and SPON1 are highly associated with angiogenesis. Both SLIT3 and SPON1 are also highly associated with the transforming growth factor \( \beta \)1 (TGF\( \beta \)1), and SLIT3 seems to be also associated with NOTCH1.

Table 1. Summary of some common and uncommon GAMMA-predicted associations for PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted Associations</th>
<th>PLXNB2 (Rank)</th>
<th>SLIT3 (Rank)</th>
<th>SPON1 (Rank)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>X (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular matrix proteins</td>
<td>X (65)</td>
<td>X (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiogenesis</td>
<td>X (27)</td>
<td>X (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell growth</td>
<td>X (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell migration</td>
<td>X (6)</td>
<td>X (22)</td>
<td>X (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell proliferation</td>
<td>X (45)</td>
<td>X (83)</td>
<td>X (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell adhesion</td>
<td>X (16)</td>
<td>X (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell differentiation</td>
<td>X (68)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>X (7)</td>
<td>X (112)</td>
<td>X (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>X (19)</td>
<td>X (122)</td>
<td>X (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor prognosis</td>
<td>X (24)</td>
<td>X (102)</td>
<td>X (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor microenvironment</td>
<td>X (70)</td>
<td>X (121)</td>
<td>X (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement membrane</td>
<td>X (55)</td>
<td>X (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted Associations</th>
<th>PLXNB2 (Rank)</th>
<th>SLIT3 (Rank)</th>
<th>SPON1 (Rank)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD44</td>
<td>X (61)</td>
<td>X (19)</td>
<td>X (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFB1</td>
<td></td>
<td>X (75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>X (27)</td>
<td>X (49)</td>
<td>X (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genetic and proteomic associations for PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 are shown in Figure 5. PLXNB2 was found to interact with several members of the semaphorin family. SPON1 was associated with several ADAMTS family members. SLIT3 interacts with several proteins in the Roundabout (ROBO) family.

Figure 5. Protein–protein interaction neighborhoods for the biomarker candidates. (A) Plexin B2 (PLXNB2) interacts with several members of the semaphoring family, which regulate axonal growth cone guidance during development. (B) Spondin-1 (SPON1) interacts with several ADAMTS family members, enzymes involved in pathophysiological tissue remodeling during both inflammation-induced conditions as well as development. (C) SLIT3, also involved in growth guidance during development, interacts with several proteins in the Roundabout (ROBO) family.

4. Discussion

We were able to demonstrate that antibodies against PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1 were all effective in significantly reducing tumor volumes (as detected by morphological MRI) and in increasing animal survival (particularly for PLXNB2 and SLIT3) when compared to untreated GL261 tumors. Antibody treatment against SPON1 was near significance
All three of these biomarkers (PLXNB2, SLIT3, and SPON1) are specifically associated, as previously reported, with various cancers and, PLXNB2 in particular, with gliomas. At the same time that we discovered the biomarkers discussed in this study, we also discovered that ELTD1 was also highly elevated in HGGs [22]. Over the past decade, we have also shown that antibody therapy against ELTD1 is effective in the mouse GL261 and human xenograft G55 HGG models [16,17,24]. In this study, we showed that antibody therapies against PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 were all effective in a mouse GL261 HGG model. Future studies will involve testing antibody therapies against PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 in human xenograft models.

By targeting either PLXNB2 or SPON1, it seems that this would have an effect on HGG cell migration and invasion (gene and IHC data), as well as tumor cell proliferation (IHC data); whereas targeting SLIT3 seems to mainly affect cell invasion (gene and IHC data), but is implied to also affect cell proliferation (gene data, but not supported by IHC). Future studies are required to confirm the mechanisms of action (MOAs) of the antibody therapies targeting PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 beyond what has been shown with our initial bioinformatics assessments. Preliminary bioinformatics (GAMMA)-predicted associations indicate that all three biomarkers are not only highly associated with tumor cell proliferation and migration, but also highly associated with Wnt signaling. It is important to note that blocking Wnt signaling inhibits isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 wild-type (IDH1 WT) GBM cell migration and proliferation [25]. Future studies should further investigate the role of Wnt signaling for these three biomarkers. Two other pathways that are associated with SLIT3 expression are TGFβ1 and NOTCH1, which both regulate tumor cell proliferation and migration, as well as angiogenesis and apoptosis [22,26]. SPON1 is also highly associated with TGFβ1. These pathways should be further investigated.

Also, we only presented preliminary data regarding the expressions of these biomarkers in human HGGs, and a larger cohort of patient samples should be assessed in future studies. The preliminary study did, however, show the translational importance of these biomarkers in human HGGs. Future studies should also take into consideration IDH1 WT and IDH1 mutation (R132H) characteristics, which also separate HGGs (particularly GBM [25]) and LGGs [27], respectively. It is important to note that the GL261 mouse glioma model expresses IDH1 WT [25], and therefore represents a validated GBM model. All three of these biomarkers were found to be highly expressed in vivo within the GL261 mouse model using a novel mt-MRI approach.

GAMMA uses literature mining to identify associations between genes and diseases such as gliomas (and synonymous terms). In reference to updated classifications, such as the new CNS5 WHO classification for adult high-grade and diffuse gliomas [1], this would only impact GAMMA-associated predictions if the data contained a new term. Importantly, predicted associations rely upon prior literature, which will not be updated when new terminology is included. Moreover, standardized schemas often contain specific phrases, which are often not used verbatim when writing, often making matching more difficult. A summary of the genes and proteins found to be associated with each of the biomarkers following GAMMA and STRING analyses is discussed in more detail below.

4.1. PLXNB2

PLXNB2 has been found to stimulate the invasive growth of malignant gliomas and may be a prognostic marker for glioma malignancy [28]. More recently, PLXNB2, which is highly expressed in glioma stem cells, was found to facilitate GBM infiltration via the modulation of cell biomechanics (e.g., lowers cellular adhesion, facilitates cytoskeletal dynamics, and forces overexpression on the actomyosin network distribution) [29].
Regarding PLXNB2 gene associations, it seems that the semaphorin (SEMA) family plays a major role, along with the Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor (ARHGEF) family, as well as Rho GTPase Rnd1 and MET. It has been previously demonstrated that Sema3E-Plexin B1 signaling drives human cancer cell invasiveness and metastatic spreading in mice [30]. Another group previously suggested that Plexin-B1 could be a potential diagnostic marker for gliomas [31]. SEMA4D seems to be associated with Plexin-B1 in their expressions in various malignancies; however, not in breast cancer [32]. SEMA4F has been found to be overexpressed in a subpopulation of GBM patients that have poor survival outcomes [33]. SEMA3G is a potential target for antitumor migration and invasion in gliomas [34]. ARHGEF11 promotes the proliferation and epithelial–mesenchymal transition of hepatocellular carcinoma through the activation of the β -catenin pathway [35]. ARHGEF12 is widely associated with leukemias and is also known as the Leukemia-Associated Rho Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor [36]. RND3 has been found to promote Snail 1 protein degradation, which results in the inhibition of glioblastoma cell migration and invasion [37]. RND1 is up-regulated in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and promotes the growth and migration of cancer cells [38]. Lastly, MET and its ligand hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) play a critical role in the proliferation, survival, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell characteristics and in the therapeutic resistance and recurrence of glioblastomas [39].

4.2. SLIT3

SLIT3 was found previously to be hypermethylated in glioma and colorectal cancer cell lines [40]. The methylation of SLIT3 was found both in early gastric cancers and in advanced gastric cancers [41]. SLIT3 was widely expressed in human hepatocellular carcinomas [42], and, more recently, in non-small-cell lung cancer [43]. The Slit/Robo signaling pathway is reportedly involved in breast cancer development and metastasis [44]. From gene associations for SLIT3, the Slit/Robo signaling pathway plays an important role in glioma cell migration [45], as well as SEMA3A, DCC (deleted in colorectal cancer), netrin-1 (NTN1), SRGAP1 (SLIT-ROBO Rho GTPase-activating protein 1), and GPC1 (glypican-1). Sema5A has been found to regulate human glioma cell motility and morphology [46], and Semaphorin 3A (SEMA3A) is involved in mediating brain tumor cell proliferation and invasion in EGFRviii mutant gliomas [47]. It has been previously reported that the loss of DCC expression may be closely related to changes in cell kinetics and tumor phenotype in astrocytomas, independent of p53 abnormalities [48]. The netrin-1 (NTN1)-induced activation of Notch signaling mediates glioblastoma cell invasion [49]. srGAP1 is known to be involved in GBM cell invasion [50]. Lastly, high expression of GPC1 predicts dissemination and poor prognosis in glioblastomas [51].

4.3. SPON1

SPON1 was previously found to be overexpressed in ovarian/peritoneal carcinomas [52], gastric cancer [53], and osteosarcoma [12]. SPON1 has also been reported to promote metastasis in human osteosarcoma [12].

In reference to SPON1 gene associations, this gene interacts with several genes from ADAMTS (zinc-dependent endopeptidases) family members, as well as SEMA5B, POFUT2 (associated with fucose metabolism), and THBS1 (thrombospondin-1). The extracellular protease ADAMTS1 plays a role in glioma through its action on IGFBP2 (insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 2) [54]. Matrix-degrading proteases ADAMTS4 and ADAMTS5 (disintegrins and metalloproteinases with thrombospondin motifs 4 and 5) are highly expressed in human glioblastomas [55]. It has been speculated that APP could affect the migration and invasion of human breast cancer cells by mediating the activation of the MAPK signaling pathway, thereby promoting the EMT process [56]. SEMA5B is thought to be an effective diagnostic marker and a possible therapeutic target for clear cell renal carcinoma [57]. Histology-based expression profiling yielded the novel prognostic marker, POFUT2, associated with fucose metabolism, for human glioblastoma [58]. TGFβ1 induces
THBS1 expression via Smad3 which contributes to the invasive behavior during GBM expansion [59].

5. Conclusions

In this study, we validated the therapeutic potential of targeting PLXNB2, SLIT3, or SPON1 by showing that using antibodies to block these biomarkers (PLXNB2, SLIT3, SPON1) results in significant increases in mouse survival in treated GL261 tumor-bearing mice (particularly for antibody treatments against PLXNB2 and SLIT3) compared to untreated tumor-bearing mice. There were also significant decreases in tumor volumes for antibody-treated mice against all three biomarkers compared to untreated mice. In addition, mt-MRI indicated that all three of these biomarkers were substantially elevated in the tumor regions of untreated GL261-tumor-bearing mice. A preliminary bioinformatics assessment indicated that these three biomarkers are highly associated with tumor cell proliferation and migration and may have a common Wnt signaling association. More mechanistic studies are required to confirm the MOAs for each biomarker in high-grade gliomas. These preliminary results indicate that targeting these three biomarkers could potentially add to the therapeutic arsenal against high-grade gliomas and that antibodies against them may be considered for future clinical translation.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/neuroglia4010006/s1, Table S1: Complete GAMMA data for gene associations for Plexin-B2, SLIT-3 and Spondin-1.
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