



Review

The Metabolic Effects of Oats Intake in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Qingtao Hou ^{1,†}, Yun Li ^{2,†}, Ling Li ³, Gaiping Cheng ⁴, Xin Sun ³, Sheyu Li ^{1,*} and Haoming Tian ^{1,*}

Received: 29 September 2015; Accepted: 26 November 2015; Published: 10 December 2015

- ¹ Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China; qingtao1990@sina.com
- ² Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, The Third People's Hospital of Chengdu, Chengdu 610031, China; lyhelen37@126.com
- ³ Chinese Evidence-based Medicine Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China; ebmliling@hotmail.com (L.L.); sunx79@hotmail.com (X.S.)
- ⁴ Department of Clinical Nutrition, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China; hellochgp@163.com
- * Correspondence: hmtian999@126.com (H.T.); lisheyu@gmail.com (S.L.); Tel.: +86-189-8060-1303 (H.T.); +86-131-9487-4843 (S.L.); Fax: +86-28-8542-2982 (H.T. & S.L.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The present study aimed to comprehensively assess if oats intake is beneficial for diabetic patients. The literature search was conducted in PubMed database up to 23 August 2015. Fourteen controlled trials and two uncontrolled observational studies were included. Compared with the controls, oats intake significantly reduced the concentrations of glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (MD, -0.42%; 95% CI, -0.61% to -0.23%), fasting blood glucose (FBG) (MD, -0.39 mmol/L; 95% CI, -0.58 to -0.19 mmol/L), total cholesterol (TC) (MD, -0.49 mmol/L; 95% CI, -0.48 to -0.09 mmol/L). Oatmeal significantly reduced the acute postprandial glucose and insulin responses compared with the control meal. The present study has revealed a beneficial effect of oats intake on glucose control and lipid profiles in type 2 diabetic patients. Further investigations of oats intake in patients with type 1 diabetes and the safety of oats consumption are required.

Keywords: oats; β-glucan; type 2 diabetes mellitus; glycemic control; cholesterol; systematic review; meta-analysis

1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is a common chronic disease with great global health and economic burden. The prevalence is still increasing due to lifestyle changes, especially in developing countries [1,2]. Diabetic education, nutrition therapy, physical activity, pharmacotherapy and glucose monitoring are key components of diabetes management. Lifestyle intervention including diet control is recommended as the fundamental approach for all patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetic patients are suggested to consume at least the amount of fibers and whole grains recommended for the general public, which is 14 g fiber/1000 kcals daily or about 25 g/day for adult women and 38 g/day for adult men [3]. Dietary fibers promote one or more of the beneficial effects such as laxation, reduction in blood lipids, modulation of blood glucose due to their non-digestibility in the small intestine and fermentation in the colon. Oats are a good source of soluble dietary fiber rich in β -glucan, which is considered as a bioactive component in reducing postprandial glucose and insulin responses,

improving insulin sensitivity, maintaining glycemic control and regulating blood lipids [4–7]. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) suggested that the consumption of 3 g or more per day of β -glucan from oats or barley may reduce the risk of coronary heart disease [8].

A number of studies have reported the beneficial metabolic effects of oats or β -glucan on people with and without type 2 diabetes [9–12]. A modified diet with β -glucan from oats was reported to be superior to the American Diabetic Association's diet in improving metabolic and anthropometric profiles in well controlled type 2 diabetic patients: larger decreases in glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), weight and body mass index (BMI); greater increase in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) [9]. A high dose of barley β -glucan supplement (6.31 g β -glucan) improved the glucose and insulin responses when added to a high-carbohydrate food in lean, healthy men without type 2 diabetes [10]. For overweight or obese patients and patients with metabolic syndrome, oats fiber also improved glucose intolerance and insulin sensitivity [11,12]. However, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) reported that the evidence remained insufficient to prove the relationship between β -glucan consumption and the long-term maintenance of normal blood glucose level [13]. Accordingly, the aim of this systematic review was to comprehensively evaluate if oats intake is beneficial for both the short-term glucose response and the long-term glucose control as well as other metabolic parameters such as lipid and anthropometric profiles in type 2 diabetic patients.

2. Methods

2.1. Literature Search and Study Selection

The electronic database of PubMed was searched for articles published before 23 August 2015 using the keywords "oat", "oats", or "oatmeal" and "diabetes". Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) was also used during the search when applicable. The references lists of original studies and review articles investigating the relationship between oats intake and diabetes were screened to make sure all potentially relevant studies were included.

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) Clinical trials or observational studies; (2) Participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus; (3) Oats or oatmeal or oats-containing products as the intervention or exposure; (4) Reporting the changes of blood glucose, insulin, HbA1c, postprandial glucose and insulin responses, insulin sensitivity or β -cell function. Changes of lipid profiles, weight and BMI were additional outcomes.

2.2. Data Extraction

All search studies were independently reviewed by two reviewers (Q. T. and Y. L.) and disagreements were resolved through discussion with a third reviewer (S. L.). The following information was extracted from each study using a predefined form: first author, year of publication, country, participant counts, sex, age, subject type, study design, follow-up duration, baseline HbA1c and diets. The outcomes of interest include glucose and insulin profiles, HbA1c, postprandial insulin and glucose responses, β -cell function, lipid profiles, weight and BMI.

2.3. Quality Assessment

The modified Jadad scale was used for reporting the quality of randomized controlled trials [14]. The scores range from 0 (very poor) to 7 (very good). The seven-point quality scale includes items for randomization (described as randomized, 1 point; described randomization method, 2 points), randomization concealment (described as randomization concealment, 1 point; described concealment method, 2 points), blinding (described as blind, 1 point; described blinding method, 2 points), and follow-up (described the withdrawal in each group, 1 point). Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to score the quality of observational studies [15]. The nine-point NOS assigns points for selection (4 points), comparability (2 points) and outcome (3 points).

2.4. Statistical Methods and Evidence Assessment

We chose a literal description and a meta-analysis to report the results. The change form baseline in each diet pattern or the change of the intervention diet relative to the control diet was displayed in the tables. Statistically significant changes (p < 0.05) were marked with different symbols in the tables. The meta-analysis was carried out using STATA 12.0, and the changes from baseline of metabolic parameters were calculated as the mean differences (MD) with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system (GRADEprofiler 3.6.1) was used to rate the quality of evidence.

3. Results

3.1. Search Results

A total of 216 articles were identified (Figure 1). One hundred and sixty-eight articles were excluded after screening the titles and abstracts and forty-eight potentially eligible articles were left for full-text assessing. A further thirty-two articles were excluded for the following reasons: (1) Review articles (n = 4); (2) Participants were not diabetic patients (n = 8); (3) No outcomes of interest were reported (n = 20). Finally, sixteen articles [9,16–30] were included in this systematic review.



Figure 1. Flow diagram for study identification.

Fourteen controlled trials (4 paralleled designs and 10 crossover designs) [9,16–28] and two uncontrolled observational studies [29,30] were finally analyzed. The characteristics of the studies included in this systematic review are shown in Table 1. The detailed diet information is displayed in Table S1. Eight studies [17–19,22,26,27,29,30] were carried out in Europe, three studies [20,24,25] were carried out in Canada, two in China [16,23] and one in Venezuela [9], USA [21] and Mexico [28]. All the studies focused on type 2 diabetic patients, and three [9,25,27] of them only studied males. The number of subjects ranged from 8 to 260, and the follow-up duration ranged from a single-meal to twelve weeks. When we evaluated the study quality, seven studies [16–18,21,23,27,28] were classified as high-quality studies (modified Jadad score \geq 4) and the remaining seven [9,19,20,22,24–26] as low-quality studies (modified Jadad score <4) (Table S2). Additionally, the two observational studies received a NOS score of 7 [29] and 6 [30], respectively (Table S3).

Study	Country	No. of Subjects	Sex (F %)	Age (Year)	Subject Type	Design	Follow-up Duration	Baseline HbA1c (%)
Reyna, 2003 [9]	Venezuela	16	Male	45-55	Well controlled T2DM	Parallel RCT	4 weeks	8.3
Ma, 2013 [16]	China	260	M & F (56.9)	50-65	T2DM, MetS Parallel RCT		30 days	9.9
Liatis, 2009 [17]	Greece	46	M & F (43.9)	63	T2DM	Parallel RCT	3 weeks	7.1
Cugnet-Anceau, 2009 [18]	France & Sweden	53	M & F (39.6)	30–75	Free-living T2DM	Parallel RCT	8 weeks	7.4
Тарру, 1996 [19]	Switzerland	8	M & F (12.5)	34-65	T2DM	Crossover RCT	Single meal	6.4
Jenkins, 2002 [20]	Canada	16	M & F (37.5)	$46-70(61 \pm 2)$	T2DM	Crossover RCT	Single meal	7.4
Rendell, 2005 [21]	USA	18	M & F (33.3)	62 ± 3	T2DM only under diet management	Crossover RCT	Single meal	NA
Tapola, 2005 [22]	Finland	12	M & F (58.3)	18–75 (66 ± 7)	T2DMonly under diet management	Crossover RCT	Single meal	NA
Yu, 2014 [23]	China	30	M & F (56.7)	48–73 (66 ± 6)	T2DM without insulin therapy	Crossover RCT	Single meal	6.8
Braaten, 1994 [24]	Canada	8	M & F (62.5)	59 (50–68)	T2DM	Non-randomised crossover trial	Single meal	8.3
Pick, 1996 [25]	Canada	8	Male	39–57 (46 ± 1)	T2DM	Crossover RCT	2 consecutive 12-week	7.0
McGeoch, 2013 [26]	UK	27	M & F (33.3)	46–71	T2DM under diet and lifestyle management	Crossover RCT	2 consecutive 8-week	6.8
Kabir, 2002 [27]	France	13	Male	41–67 (59 ± 2)	T2DM	Crossover RCT	2 periods of 4 weeks with a 15-day washout interval	8.3
Ballesteros, 2015 [28]	Mexico	29	M & F (34.5)	54 ± 8	Well controlled T2DM	Crossover RCT	2 periods of 5 weeks with a 3-week washout interval	6.8
Lammert, 2007 [29]	Germany	14	M & F (71.1)	60 ± 10	Uncontrolled T2DM, insulin resistance, MetS	Uncontrolled prospective observational study	2 days & 4 weeks	8.6
Zerm, 2013 [30]	Germany	50	M & F (52.0)	65 ± 10	Poorly controlled T2DM, insulin resistance, obese	Uncontrolled retrospective observational study	2 days	9.6

 Table 1. Baseline characteristics of studies included.

HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c; M, male; F, female; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; RCT, randomized controlled study; MetS, metabolic syndrome; NA, not available.

3.2. Glucose Control and Insulin Profiles

Table 2 shows the results of nine studies investigating the changes of glucose and insulin levels after oats interventions or exposures. Eight studies reported HbA1c. Three randomized, parallel controlled studies [9,16,17] showed a significant reduction from baseline (-0.28% to -2.22%; p < 0.05) in the oats intervention group and a significant reduction was observed in subjects who consumed oats than in the control subjects (MD, -0.42%; 95% CI, -0.61% to -0.23%; p < 0.001) (Figure 2, Table 3). Among the seven studies reporting fasting blood glucose (FBG), two [16,17] randomized, parallel controlled studies showed a significant reduction from baseline (-0.72 to -1.91 mmol/L; p < 0.05) in the oats intervention group. A significant reduction was observed in subjects who consumed oats than in the control subjects (MD, -0.39 mmol/L; 95% CI, -0.58 to -0.19 mmol/L; p < 0.001) (Figure 3, Table 3). One study showed a significantly greater reduction from baseline following oats intervention compared with the control group of usual care (p < 0.05) [16]. Only one randomized, parallel controlled study [16] reported the postprandial blood glucose (PBG). It showed that 50 g and 100 g of organic naked oat with whole germ (ONOG) significantly decreased the 2-h PBG by 3.25 mmol/L (p < 0.05) and 3.70 mmol/L (p < 0.05) from baseline after 30 days of an oats diet, respectively. Additionally, this reduction from baseline in the 100 g-ONOG group was statistically greater compared with the 50 g-ONOG group (p < 0.05). Four studies reported fasting insulin (FINS). Among them, one randomized, parallel controlled study [17] showed a non-significant reduction from baseline ($-3.23 \mu U/mL$; p > 0.05) after three weeks of β -glucan bread intervention and a non-significant increase from baseline (+3.77 μ U/mL; p > 0.05) after white bread intervention. Although the changes from baseline were not significant within group, the relative changes between groups were significantly different in this study (p < 0.05). The pooled effect of oats intake on FINS was only from two studies (MD, $-0.22 \ \mu U/mL$; 95% CI, -1.28 to $0.84 \ \mu U/mL$; p = 0.681) (Figure S1, Table 3). Two uncontrolled observational studies [29,30] investigated mean blood glucose (MBG) and mean daily insulin (MDI) changes from baseline after two days of oatmeal consumption in poorly controlled type 2 diabetic patients with insulin resistance. The MBG decreased by 1.08 to 2.39 mmol/L (p < 0.05), and the MDI decreased by 36.60 to 62.00 IU/day (p < 0.05) at different time points after the oatmeal consumption.

Four randomized studies [16,17,26,28] used the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) of insulin resistance or β -cell function. Liatis *et al.* [17] revealed a non-significant decrease in insulin resistance from baseline (-2.08 μ U × mol/L²; *p* > 0.05) in the β -glucan bread (3 g/day β -glucan) group and a non-significant increase from baseline (+1.33 μ U × mol/L²; *p* > 0.05) in the white bread group. The relative changes from baseline were significantly different between the two groups (*p* < 0.05). Ma *et al.* [16] found a significant decrease in insulin resistance from baseline (-0.33 μ U × mol/L²; *p* < 0.05) after an intervention of 100 g/day organic naked oat with whole germ (ONOG) (5.0 g/day β -glucan) based on systematic diet plans and intensive education. Whereas, the decrease in insulin resistance was not significant in the 50 g-ONOG group (-0.11 μ U × mol/L²; *p* > 0.05). The pooled effect of oats intake on HOMA-IR was from two studies (MD, -0.51 μ U × mol/L²; *p* > 0.05). The different of 0.02 μ U × mol/L²; *p* = 0.061) (Figure S2, Table 3). McGeoch *et al.* [26] and Ballesteros *et al.* [28] did not find a diet-related effect on the insulin resistance or β -cell function.

Study Comparison		FBG (mmol/L)	PBG (mmol/L)	FINS (µU/mL)			$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{HOMA-IR} \\ \textbf{(}\mu\textbf{U}\times\textbf{mol/L^2)} \end{array}$	HOMA-B (mU/mmol)
Reyna, 2003 [9]	Modified diet V. baseline	0.37↓	NA	NA	NA	0.40 ↓ [§] ,*	NA	NA
	ADA's diet V. baseline	0.39↓	NA	NA	NA	0.20 ↓ [§]	NA	NA
Ma, 2013 [16]	Usual care V. baseline	0.22↓	0.01↓	NA	NA	0.22↓	0.11↓	NA
	Diet V. baseline	1.18 ↓ ^{§,a}	2.49 ↓ ^{§,a}	NA	NA	1.71 ↓ ^{§,a}	0.27 ↓ [§]	NA
	50 g-ONOG V. baseline	1.64 ↓ ^{§,a}	3.25 ↓ ^{§,a}	NA	NA	2.21 ↓ ^{§,a}	0.11↓	NA
	100 g-ONOG V. baseline	1.91 ↓ ^{§,a,b}	3.70 ↓ ^{§,a,b}	NA	NA	2.22 ↓ ^{§,a,b}	0.33 ↓ ^{§,a,c}	NA
Liatis, 2009 [17]	β-glucan bread V. baseline	0.72 ↓ [§]	NA	3.23 ↓*	NA	0.28 ↓ [§]	2.08 ↓*	NA
	White bread V. baseline	0.07↓	NA	3.77 ↑	NA	0.13↓	1.33 ↑	NA
Cugnet-Anceau, 2009 [18]	β -glucan soup V. baseline	0.11 ↑	NA	NA	NA	0.00 ↑	NA	NA
	Control soup V. baseline	0.80 ↑	NA	NA	NA	0.17 ↑	NA	NA
McGeoch, 2013 [26]	Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)	0.30 ↑	NA	0.40 ↓	NA	0.10 ↑	0.10 ↑	5.30↓
	Standard dietary advice V. habitual diet (baseline)	0.60 ↑	NA	0.00	NA	0.20 ↑	0.30 ↑	1.00↓
	Oat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice	0.30↓	NA	0.40 ↓	NA	0.10↓	0.20↓	4.30 ↓
Kabir, 2002 [27]	Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline	0.30↓	NA	2.78 ↑	NA	0.50↓	NA	NA
	High-GIB (GI: 64%) V. baseline	0.30↓	NA	5.00 ↑	NA	0.20↓	NA	NA
Ballesteros, 2015 [28]	Oatmeal breakfast V. egg breakfast	0.20↓	NA	2.03↓	NA	0.05 ↑	0.60↓	NA
Lammert, 2007 [29]	After 2 days of oatmeal V. baseline	MBG:	2.39 ↓ [§]	MDI: 62.0	00 U/d ↓§	NA	NA	NA
	4 weeks after 2 days of oatmeal V. baseline		MBG: 0.94 ↓		80 IU/d ↓ [§]	0.40↓	NA	NA
Zerm, 2013 [30]	Day 2 after 2 days of oatmeal <i>V</i> . baseline	MBG:	1.08 ↓ [§]	1.08 ↓ [§] MDI: 62.00		NA	NA	NA
	Day 3 after 2 days of oatmeal V. baseline	MBG:	1.42 ↓ [§]	MDI: 36.60 IU/d ↓ [§]		NA	NA	NA

 Table 2. Glucose control and insulin profiles.

The changes from baseline in each diet pattern or the changes of the intervention diet relative to the control diet are estimated. FBG, fasting blood glucose; PBG, postprandial blood glucose; FINS, fasting insulin; PINS, postprandial insulin; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-B, homeostasis model assessment of β -cell function; ADA, American Diabetes Association; NA, not available; ONOG, organic naked oat with whole germ; GIB, glycemic index breakfast; GI, glycemic index; MBG, mean blood glucose; MDI, mean daily insulin. [§], changes were statistically significant from baseline (p < 0.05); *, changes from baseline were significantly different between groups (p < 0.05); a p < 0.05, vs. usual care group; b p < 0.05, vs. diet group; c p < 0.05, vs. 50 g-ONOG plus diet group.

	No. of Subjects					Test of Heterogeneity				
Variables	No. of Studies	Intervention Group	Control Group	MD	95% CI	p_h	I ² (%)	p_z		
FBG (mmol/L)	6	229	208	-0.39	-0.58, -0.19	0.495	0.0 *	< 0.001		
FINS (μU/mL)	2	36	31	-0.22	-1.28, 0.84	0.035	77.5 [§]	0.681		
HbA1c (%)	6	229	208	-0.42	-0.61, -0.23	0.300	17.5 *	< 0.001		
HOMA-IR ($\mu U \times mol/L^2$)	2	150	134	-0.51	-1.05, 0.02	0.107	61.6 [§]	0.061		
TC (mmol/L)	7	237	216	-0.49	-0.86, -0.12	0.016	61.7 [§]	0.010		
LDL-C (mmol/L)	5	216	195	-0.29	-0.48, -0.09	0.284	20.5 *	0.004		
HDL-C (mmol/L)	6	229	208	-0.05	-0.24, 0.14	0.608	0.0 *	0.599		
TG (mmol/L)	7	237	216	-0.16	-0.34, 0.03	0.351	10.2 *	0.097		
Weight (kg)	3	158	142	-0.10	-0.33, 0.12	0.505	0.0 *	0.372		
BMI (kg/m^2)	4	187	166	-0.14	-0.35, 0.07	0.566	0.0 *	0.205		

Table 3. Pooled effects of oats intake on metabolic parameters of type 2 diabetic patients.

FBG, fasting blood glucose; FINS, fasting insulin; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; BMI, body mass index; MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. p_h and l^2 were used for heterogeneity assessment by Cochran's Q test, and $p_h < 0.1$ or $l^2 > 50\%$ was considered to indicate significant heterogeneity across the studies. p_z , p value for Z test. * The fixed-effects model was applied. [§] The random-effects model was applied.



Figure 2. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oats intake on glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval.



Figure 3. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on fasting blood glucose (FBG). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval.

3.3. Single Meal Responses of Glucose and Insulin

Table 4 shows the glucose and insulin responses after oats intake. Six crossover studies [19–24] compared the glucose or insulin responses between the single oatmeal with different amounts of β -glucan and the control meal without β -glucan. Compared with the control meal, a single meal of oatmeal significantly reduced the acute postprandial glucose or insulin responses in all six studies. Specifically, the area under the curve (AUC) and the peak of glucose after oatmeal was 11.09% to 79.41% smaller (p < 0.05) and 26.38% to 81.82% lower (p < 0.05), respectively. The AUC of insulin was 18.89% to 67.74% smaller (p < 0.05) and the peak of insulin was 32.72% to 83.48% lower (p < 0.05). A β -glucan dosage-dependent reduction in the glucose and insulin responses was observed in one study [19].

Another three crossover trials [25–27] reported the glucose and insulin responses after a relatively long term of oatmeal intervention. One study [25] with a follow-up duration of two consecutive 12-week periods showed the AUCs of glucose and insulin after breakfast were significantly smaller for the oat bran concentrate bread period than the white bread period (glucose AUC: 41.98% smaller; insulin AUC: 24.52% smaller; both p < 0.05). The insulin peak after breakfast was 15.24% lower (p < 0.05) in the oat bran concentrate bread period than in the white bread period. There were no statistically significant differences in the glucose and insulin responses after lunch between the two diet periods. One study [26] enrolled 27 type 2 diabetic patients only with diet and lifestyle managements, and it did not find different diet-related effects on the postprandial glucose and insulin responses between the oat-enriched diet period and the standard dietary advice period. Kabir *et al.* [27] found that the low-glycemic index breakfast (low-GIB) with 3 g of β -glucan from oats could induce lower acute postprandial glucose and insulin responses compared with the high-glycemic index breakfast (high-GIB) without β -glucan at both the beginning and the end of a four-week intervention (p < 0.05). However, there were no significantly chronic changes from baseline within each group (p > 0.05).

Data from these nine studies illustrated that a single-oatmeal can significantly reduce the acute postprandial glucose or insulin responses when compared with the control meal. However, the changes of postprandial glucose or insulin responses after a relatively long period of oat intervention were heterogeneous when compared with the same period of control food.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Study	Comparison	Glucose I	Response	Insulin Response		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			AUC	Peak	AUC	Peak	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tappy, 1996 [19]	4.0 g <i>V</i> . 0 g β-glucan	4 h: 29.00% ↓	33.00% ↓#	NA	4 h:33.00% ↓ [#]	
Jenkins, 2002 [20]Oat bran cereal ($\overline{3.7}$ g β-glucan) V. white bread3 h: 11.09% μ [#] NANANAβ-glucan bar (6.2 g β-glucan) V. white bread55.77% μ [#] NANANAβ-glucan bar (6.2 g β-glucan) V. white bread46.78% μ [#] NANANARendell, 2005 [21]Prowash (9.9 g β-glucan) V. white bread46.78% μ [#] 59.37% μ [#] 3 h: 67.74% μ [#] 83.48%Prowash V. oatmeal (3.1 g β-glucan)58.50% μ [#] 64.85% μ [#] 67.74% μ [#] 83.48%Tapola, 2005 [22]Oat bran flour V. 12.5 g glucose load1 h: 79.41% μ [#] ; 2 h: 60.77% μ [#] 81.82% μ [#] NANAOat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load1 h: 49.02% μ [#] ; 2 h: 2.5 g glucose load + 30 g oat bran flour V. 2.5 g glucose load1 h: 49.02% μ [#] ; 2 h: 2.20% μ [#] 34.00% μ [#] NANAYu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquid (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina3 h: 20.35% μ [#] 26.76% μ [#] 3 h: 18.89% μ [#] NAOat bran concentrate bread V. white bread3 h: 40.06% μ [#] ; breakfast (4 h): 41.98% μ [#] ; lunch (4 h): 15.27% μNA32.72%McGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white bread3 h: 8.75% † ^{\$\$} NA3.99% †NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)3 h: 8.75% † ^{\$\$} NA3.99% †NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)3 h: 8.75% † ^{\$\$} NA3.99% †NAOat-enriched diet V. shadrard dietary advice1.96% μ ^{\$\$} NA3.99% † <td< td=""><td></td><td>6.0 g V. 0 g β-glucan</td><td>59.00% ↓#</td><td colspan="2">59.00% ↓# 58.00% ↓#</td><td>38.00% ↓#</td></td<>		6.0 g V. 0 g β-glucan	59.00% ↓#	59.00% ↓# 58.00% ↓#		38.00% ↓#	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		8.4 g V. 0 g β-glucan	65.00% ↓#	62.00% ↓#	NA	41.00% ↓#	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Jenkins, 2002 [20]	Oat bran cereal (3.7 g β -glucan) V. white bread	3 h: 11.09% ↓ [#]	NA	NA	NA	
Rendell, 2005 [21]Prowash (9.9 g β-glucan) V. liquid meal replacer $3 h: 42.36\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $59.37\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $3 h: 67.74\% \downarrow^{\#}$ 83.48% Prowash V. oatmeal (3.1 g β-glucan) $58.50\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $64.85\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $67.74\% \downarrow^{\#}$ 72.83% Tapola, 2005 [22]Oat bran flour V. 12.5 g glucose load $1 h: 79.41\% \downarrow^{\#}$; 2 h: $61.17\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $81.82\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANAOat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load $1 h: 49.02\% \downarrow^{\#}$; 2 h: $21.19\% \downarrow$ $81.82\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANA25 g glucose load + 30 g oat bran flour V. 25 g glucose load $1 h: 35.00\% \downarrow^{\#}$; 2 h: $21.19\% \downarrow$ $45.45\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANAYu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquidNA $26.38\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NA 32.72% Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina $3 h: 20.35\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $26.76\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $3 h: 18.89\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white bread $1 h: 2.97\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $breakfast (4 h): 12.97\% \downarrow$ $breakfast (4 h): 12.97\% \downarrow$ $breakfast (4 h): 24.52\% \downarrow^{\#}$; $1 unch (4 h): 52.07\% \downarrow$ $breakfast (4 h): 13.61\% \downarrow$ $(4 h): 10.97\% \downarrow^{\#}$ McGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) $10.92\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3h: 3.84\% \uparrow$ NAStandard dietary advice V. habitual diet (baseline) $10.92\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3h: 3.84\% \uparrow$ NACate-mriched diet V. standard dietary advice $1.96\% \downarrow$ NA $0.15\% \uparrow$ NACate-mriched diet V. standard dietary advice $1.96\% \downarrow$ NA $0.90\% \uparrow$ NAC		β-glucan bar (6.2 g $β$ -glucan) V. white bread	55.77% ↓#	NA	NA	NA	
Prowash V. oatmeal (3.1 g β-glucan) $58.50\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $64.85\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $67.74\% \downarrow^{\#}$ 72.83% Tapola, 2005 [22]Oat bran flour V. 12.5 g glucose load $1h: 79.41\% \downarrow^{\#}$; 2 h: $60.17\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $81.82\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANAOat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load $1h: 49.02\% \downarrow^{\#}$; 2 h: $21.19\% \downarrow$ $81.82\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANAOat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load $1h: 49.02\% \downarrow^{\#}$; 2 h: $21.19\% \downarrow$ $45.45\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANA25 g glucose load + 30 g oat bran flour V. 25 g glucose load $1h: 35.00\% \downarrow^{\#}$; 2 h: $22.00\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $34.00\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANAYu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquid (8.8 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquid (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farinaNA $26.38\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NA 32.72% Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina $3h: 20.35\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $26.76\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $8.39\% \uparrow^{\#}$ NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white bread Standard dietary advice V. habitual diet (baseline) $3h: 8.75\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3h: 3.84\% \uparrow$ NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) $10.92\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3.99\% \uparrow^{\ddagger}$ NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) $10.92\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3.99\% \uparrow^{\ddagger}$ NAOat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) $10.92\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3.99\% \uparrow$ NAOat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) $10.92\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3.99\% \uparrow$ NAOat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)<			46.78% ↓#	NA	NA	NA	
Tapola, 2005 [22]Oat bran flour V. 12.5 g glucose load1 h: 79.41% ↓#; 2 h: 60.17% ↓#81.82% ↓#NANAOat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load1 h: 49.02% ↓#; 2 h: 21.19% ↓45.45% ↓#NANAOat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load1 h: 49.02% ↓#; 2 h: 21.19% ↓45.45% ↓#NANA25 g glucose load + 30 g oat bran flour V. 25 g glucose load1 h: 30.00% ↓#; 2 h: 22.00% ↓#34.00% ↓#NANAYu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquid (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farinaNA26.38% ↓#NA32.72%Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina3 h: 20.35% ↓#26.76% ↓#3 h: 18.89% ↓#NAOat bran (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina19.95% ↓#26.76% ↓#3 h: 18.89% ↓#NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white breadTotal 8 h: 40.06% ↓#; breakfast (4 h): 12.99% ↓; lunch (4 h): 15.27% ↓Total 8 h: 18.66% ↓; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% ↓#; 15.24% ↓#; 1breakfast (4 h): 12.99% ↓; lunch (4 h): 13.61% ↓breakfast (4 h): 12.99% ↓; lunch (4 h): 13.61% ↓breakfast (4 h): 12.99% ↓; lunch (4 h): 13.61% ↓breakfast (4 h): 10.92% ↓#; lunch (4 h): 13.61% ↓10.92% ↓#; lunch (4 h): 13.61% ↓NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)3 h: 8.75% ↑\$NA3.99% ↑NAOaterniched diet V. standard dietary advice1.96% ↓NA0.15% ↑NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice1.96% ↓NA0.15% ↑NAOat-e	Rendell, 2005 [21]	Prowash (9.9 g β-glucan) V. liquid meal replacer	3 h: 42.36% ↓ [#]	59.37% ↓#	3 h: 67.74% ↓ [#]	83.48% ↓#	
Iapola, 2005 [22]Oat bran flour V. 12.5 g glucose load $60.17\% \downarrow^{\#}$ $81.82\% \downarrow^{*}$ NANAOat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load1 h: 49.02% ↓ [#] ; 2 h: 21.19% ↓ $45.45\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANA25 g glucose load + 30 g oat bran flour V. 25 g glucose load1 h: 35.00% ↓ [#] ; 2 h: 22.00% ↓ [#] $34.00\% \downarrow^{\#}$ NANAYu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquid (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farinaNA26.38% ↓ [#] NA32.72%Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina3 h: 20.35% ↓ [#] 26.76% ↓ [#] 3 h: 18.89% ↓ [#] NAOat bran (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina19.95% ↓ [#] 26.76% ↓ [#] 8.39% ↑ [#] NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white breadTotal 8 h: 46.06% ↓ [#] ; breakfast (4 h): 12.99% ↓; lunch (4 h): 15.27% ↓Total 8 h: 18.66% ↓; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% ↓ [#] ; lunch (4 h): 15.27% ↓Total 8 h: 18.66% ↓; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% ↓ [#] ; lunch (4 h): 10.99% ↓McGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)3 h: 875% ↑ ^{\$\$} NA3.84% ↑NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)10.92% ↑ ^{\$\$} NA3.99% ↑NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice1.96% ↓NA3.99% ↑NAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline3 h: 14.58% ↑6.90% ↑3 h: 10.77% ↓8.00%		Prowash V. oatmeal (3.1 g β -glucan)	58.50% ↓ [#]	64.85% ↓ [#]	67.74% ↓ [#]	72.83% ↓ [#]	
Oat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load 21.19% \downarrow 45.45% \downarrow "NANA25 g glucose load + 30 g oat bran flour V. 25 g glucose load1 h: 35.00% \downarrow #; 2 h: 22.00% \downarrow # 34.00% \downarrow #NANAYu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β -glucan) V. SDF-free liquidNA 26.38% \downarrow #NA 32.72% Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β -glucan) V. wheat farina 3 h: 20.35% \downarrow # 26.76% \downarrow # 3 h: 18.89% \downarrow #NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran (8.8 g β -glucan) V. wheat farina 19.95% \downarrow # 26.76% \downarrow # 8.39% \uparrow #NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white bread 19.95% \downarrow # 26.76% \downarrow # 8.39% \uparrow #NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) 3 h: 8.75% \uparrow \$NA 3 h: 18.66% \downarrow ; $1unch (4 h): 15.27\% \downarrow10uch (4 h): 13.61\% \downarrow1unch (4 h): 13.61\% \downarrow10.92\% \uparrow$McGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)10.92\% \uparrow$NA3.99\% \uparrowNACate-nriched diet V. standard dietary advice1.96\% \downarrowNA0.15\% \uparrowNAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40\%) V. baseline3 h: 14.58\% \uparrow6.90\% \uparrow3 h: 10.77\% \downarrow8.00\%$	Tapola, 2005 [22]	Oat bran flour V. 12.5 g glucose load		81.82% ↓ [#]	NA	NA	
V. 25 g glucose load 22.00% (# 34.00% (*NANAYu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β -glucan) V. SDF-free liquidNA 26.38% (#NA 32.72% Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β -glucan) V. wheat farina $3 h: 20.35\%$ (# 26.76% (# $3 h: 18.89\%$ (#NAOat bran (8.8 g β -glucan) V. wheat farina 19.95% (# 26.76% (# 8.39% (#NAOat bran (8.8 g β -glucan) V. wheat farina 19.95% (# 26.76% (# 8.39% (#NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white bread 19.95% (# 26.76% (# 8.39% (#NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) $3 h: 8.75\%$ (\$NA $3 h: 3.84\%$ (* 15.24% (#): 10.99%McGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) 10.92% (\$NA 3.99% (*NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice 1.96% (NA 0.15% (*NAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40\%) V. baseline $3 h: 14.58\%$ (* 6.90% (* $3 h: 10.77\%$ (* 8.00% (*		Oat bran crisp V. 12.5 g glucose load		45.45% ↓#	NA	NA	
Yu, 2014 [23]SDF liquid (7.5 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquidNA26.38% ↓#NA32.72%Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina3 h: 20.35% ↓#26.76% ↓#3 h: 18.89% ↓#NAOat bran (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina19.95% ↓#26.76% ↓#3 h: 18.89% ↓#NAOat bran (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina19.95% ↓#26.76% ↓#8.39% ↑#NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white breadTotal 8 h: 46.06% ↓#; breakfast (4 h): 41.98% ↓#; lunch (4 h): 52.07% ↓breakfast (4 h): 12.99% ↓; breakfast (4 h): 15.27% ↓Total 8 h: 18.66% ↓; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% ↓#; lunch (4 h): 10.92% ↓#Total 8 h: 3.84% ↑NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)3 h: 8.75% ↑\$NA3 h: 3.84% ↑NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)10.92% ↑\$NA3.99% ↑NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice1.96% ↓NA0.15% ↑NAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline3 h: 14.58% ↑6.90% ↑3 h: 10.77% ↓8.00%		25 g glucose load + 30 g oat bran flour	1 h: 35.00% ↓ [#] ; 2 h:	24.009/ 1#	NT A	NTA	
Braaten, 1994 [24]Wheat farina with oat gum (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina $3 h: 20.35\% \downarrow \#$ $26.76\% \downarrow \#$ $3 h: 18.89\% \downarrow \#$ NAOat bran (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina $19.95\% \downarrow \#$ $26.76\% \downarrow \#$ $3 h: 18.89\% \downarrow \#$ NAOat bran (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina $19.95\% \downarrow \#$ $26.76\% \downarrow \#$ $8.39\% \uparrow \#$ NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white breadTotal 8 h: $46.06\% \downarrow \#$; breakfast (4 h): $41.98\% \downarrow \#$; lunch (4 h): $52.07\% \downarrow$ breakfast (4 h): $12.99\% \downarrow$; lunch (4 h): $13.61\% \downarrow$ Total 8 h: $18.66\% \downarrow$; breakfast (4 h): $24.52\% \downarrow \#$; lunch (4 h): $13.61\% \downarrow$ breakfast (4 h): $10.9\% \downarrow$; lunch (4 h): $13.61\% \downarrow$ Total 8 h: $18.66\% \downarrow$; breakfast (4 h): $10.9\% \downarrow$; lunch (4 h): $13.61\% \downarrow$ NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline) $3 h: 8.75\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3 h: 3.84\% \uparrow$ NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice $1.96\% \downarrow$ NA $0.15\% \uparrow$ NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice $1.96\% \downarrow$ NA $0.15\% \uparrow$ NAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40\%) V. baseline $3 h: 14.58\% \uparrow$ $6.90\% \uparrow$ $3 h: 10.77\% \downarrow$ 8.00%		V. 25 g glucose load	22.00% ↓#	34.00% ↓"	INA	NA	
Braten, 1994 [24](8.8 g \beta-glucan) V. wheat farina3 ft: 20.35% \downarrow^{n} 26.76% \downarrow^{n} 3 ft: 18.89% \downarrow^{n} NAOat bran (8.8 g β-glucan) V. wheat farina19.95% \downarrow^{n} 26.76% \downarrow^{n} 8.39% \uparrow^{n} NAPick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white breadTotal 8 h: 46.06% \downarrow^{n} ; breakfast (4 h): 41.98% \downarrow^{n} ; lunch (4 h): 52.07% \downarrow breakfast (4 h): 12.99% \downarrow^{n} ; lunch (4 h): 15.27% \downarrow^{n} Total 8 h: 18.66% \downarrow^{n} ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow^{n} ; lunch (4 h): 10.99%Total 8 h: 38.66% \downarrow^{n} ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow^{n} ; lunch (4 h): 10.99%Total 8 h: 38.66% \downarrow^{n} ; breakfast (4 h): 10.99%Total 8 h: 18.66% \downarrow^{n} ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow^{n} ; lunch (4 h): 10.99%Total 8 h: 38.66% \downarrow^{n} ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow^{n} ; lunch (4 h): 10.99%Total 8 h: 38.66% \downarrow^{n} ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow^{n} ; lunch (4 h): 10.99%NAMcGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)3 h: 8.75% \uparrow^{S} NA3 h: 3.84% \uparrow NAStandard dietary advice V. habitual diet (baseline)10.92% \uparrow^{S} NA3.99% \uparrow NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice1.96% \downarrow NA0.15% \uparrow NAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline3 h: 14.58% \uparrow 6.90% \uparrow 3 h: 10.77% \downarrow 8.00% \downarrow	Yu, 2014 [23]	SDF liquid (7.5 g β-glucan) V. SDF-free liquid	NA	26.38% ↓#	NA	32.72% ↓#	
Pick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white breadTotal 8 h: 46.06% \downarrow ; breakfast (4 h): 41.98% \downarrow ; lunch (4 h): 52.07% \downarrow breakfast (4 h): 12.99% \downarrow ; lunch (4 h): 15.27% \downarrow Total 8 h: 18.66% \downarrow ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow ; (4 h): 10.99breakfast (4 h): 12.99% \downarrow ; lunch (4 h): 15.27% \downarrow Total 8 h: 18.66% \downarrow ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow ; (4 h): 10.99breakfast (4 h): 12.99% \downarrow ; lunch (4 h): 13.61% \downarrow breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow ; (4 h): 10.99breakfast (4 h): 12.99% \downarrow ; lunch (4 h): 13.61% \downarrow breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow ; (4 h): 10.99breakfast (4 h): 12.99% \downarrow ; lunch (4 h): 13.61% \downarrow breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow ; (4 h): 10.99breakfast (4 h): 13.61% \downarrow breakfast (4 h): 10.99%tereskfast (4 h): 10.99%	Braaten, 1994 [24]		3 h: 20.35% ↓ [#]	26.76% ↓#	3 h: 18.89% ↓ [#]	NA	
Pick, 1996 [25]Oat bran concentrate bread V. white breadTotal 8 h: 46.06% \downarrow *; breakfast (4 h): 41.98% \downarrow *; lunch (4 h): 52.07% \downarrow breakfast (4 h): 12.99% \downarrow ; lunch (4 h): 15.27% \downarrow Total 8 h: 18.66% \downarrow ; breakfast (4 h): 24.52% \downarrow *; 15.24% \downarrow *; lunch (4 h): 10.99McGeoch, 2013 [26]Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)3 h: 8.75% \uparrow *NA3 h: 3.84% \uparrow NAStandard dietary advice V. habitual diet (baseline)10.92% \uparrow *NA3.99% \uparrow NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice1.96% \downarrow NA0.15% \uparrow NAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline3 h: 14.58% \uparrow 6.90% \uparrow 3 h: 10.77% \downarrow 8.00%		Oat bran (8.8 g β -glucan) V. wheat farina	19.95% ↓#	26.76% ↓#	8.39% ↑#	NA	
Standard dietary advice V. habitual diet (baseline) $10.92\% \uparrow^{\$}$ NA $3.99\% \uparrow$ NAOat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice $1.96\% \downarrow$ NA $0.15\% \uparrow$ NAKabir, 2002 [27]Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline $3 h: 14.58\% \uparrow$ $6.90\% \uparrow$ $3 h: 10.77\% \downarrow$ 8.00%	Pick, 1996 [25]		breakfast (4 h): $41.98\% \downarrow^{\#}$;		breakfast (4 h): $24.52\% \downarrow^{\#}$;	breakfast (4 h): 15.24% ↓ [#] ; lunch (4 h): 10.99% ↓	
Oat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice 1.96%↓ NA 0.15%↑ NA Kabir, 2002 [27] Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline 3 h: 14.58%↑ 6.90%↑ 3 h: 10.77%↓ 8.00%	McGeoch, 2013 [26]	Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)	3 h: 8.75% ∱ [§]	NA	3 h: 3.84% ↑	NA	
Kabir, 2002 [27] Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline 3 h: 14.58% ↑ 6.90% ↑ 3 h: 10.77% ↓ 8.00% ↑		Standard dietary advice <i>V</i> . habitual diet (baseline)	10.92% ↑ [§]	NA	3.99% ↑	NA	
		Oat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice	1.96% ↓	NA	0.15% ↑	NA	
High-GIB (GI: 64%) V. baseline 3.66% \uparrow 2.00% \uparrow 0.00% 4.76%	Kabir, 2002 [27]	Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline	3 h: 14.58% ↑	6.90% ↑	3 h: 10.77% ↓	8.00% ↑	
		High-GIB (GI: 64%) V. baseline	3.66% ↑	2.00% ↑	0.00%	4.76%↓	

Table 4. Single meal responses of glucose and insulin.

The percentage changes from baseline in each diet pattern or the percentage changes of the intervention diet relative to the control diet are estimated. AUC, area under the curve; NA, not available; SDF, soluble dietary fiber; GIB, glycemic index breakfast; GI, glycemic index. [§], changes were statistically significant from baseline (p < 0.05); # changes were significantly different between groups.

3.4. Lipid Profiles

Nine studies assessed the changes of lipid profiles after oats interventions (Table 5). Five studies [9,16,17,26,29] revealed a significant reduction in total cholesterol (TC) from baseline after oats interventions, and this reduction ranged from -0.10 to -0.80 mmol/L (-2.00 to -12.80 percent) (p < 0.05). Moreover, the relative reduction in TC from baseline was significantly greater in the oats intervention group than that in the control group in two randomized, parallel controlled studies (p < 0.05) [16,17]. One crossover study [27] showed a significantly different change in TC between compared periods even though the relative change from baseline within each period was not significant (low-GIB: -0.30 mmol/L; high-GIB: +0.20 mmol/L; both p > 0.05). The other two crossover studies [25,26] showed that the TC level was significantly lower in the oats intervention period than in the control food period (-0.74 and -0.20 mmol/L, respectively) (both p < 0.05). Overall, a significant reduction in TC was observed in subjects who consumed oats than in the control subjects (MD, -0.49 mmol/L; 95% CI, -0.86 to -0.12 mmol/L; p = 0.010) (Figure S3, Table 3). Eight studies reported the changes of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), among which three randomized, parallel controlled studies [9,16,17] indicated a significant reduction from baseline (-0.22 to -0.66 mmol/L)(-7.30 to -15.79 percent) (p < 0.05). One crossover study [25] showed that the concentration of LDL-C was 0.77 mmol/L lower (p < 0.05) in the oat bran concentrate period than that in the white bread period. On the whole, oats intake significantly decreased LDL-C values (MD, -0.29 mmol/L; 95% CI, -0.48 to -0.09 mmol/L; p = 0.004) (Figure S4, Table 3). Among the nine studies reporting HDL-C, two randomized, parallel controlled studies [9,18] indicated a significant increase from baseline (+0.15 and +0.05 mmol/L, respectively) (both p < 0.05) in the oats intervention group. Additionally, the relative increase from baseline was significantly greater in the oats intervention group than in the control group in one study (intervention group: +0.15 mmol/L; control group: +0.01 mmol/L) (both p < 0.05 [9]. However, one randomized parallel controlled study [16] with two oats intervention groups showed a slight reduction in HDL-C from baseline (-0.06 and -0.08 mmol/L; both p < 0.05), while the HDL-C level in the usual care group was almost unaltered. Overall, oats intake did not significantly affect HDL-C concentrations (MD, -0.05 mmol/L; 95% CI, -0.24 to 0.14 mmol/L; p = 0.599) (Figure S5, Table 3). Nine studies reported triglyceride (TG), two randomized, parallel controlled studies [16,18] and one uncontrolled observational study [29], which showed a significant reduction from baseline (-0.12, -0.53 and -0.68 mmol/L, respectively) (all p < 0.05) after oats interventions. Additionally, the relative changes from baseline differed significantly between the oats intervention group and the control group in two studies (p < 0.05) [16,18]. On the whole, compared with the control dietary, dietary with oats did not significantly decreased the concentrations of TG (MD, -0.16 mmol/L; 95% CI, -0.34 to 0.03 mmol/L; *p* = 0.097) (Figure S6, Table 3).

Study	Comparison	TC (mmol/L)	LDL-C (mmol/L)	HDL-C (mmol/L)	TG (mmol/L)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)
Reyna, 2003 [9]	Modified diet V. baseline	0.38 ↓ [§]	0.26 ↓ [§]	0.15 ↑ ^{§,} *	0.25↓	3.20 ↓ ^{§,} *	1.20 ↓ ^{§,} *
	ADA's diet V. baseline	0.17↓	0.03↓	0.01 ↑	0.34↓	1.50 ↓ [§]	0.40 ↓ [§]
Ma, 2013 [16]	Usual care V. baseline	0.01↓	0.02 ↑	0.01 ↑	0.08↓	0.37↓	0.14 ↓
	Diet V. baseline	0.23 ↓ ^{§,a}	0.03↓	0.07 ↓ ^{§,a}	0.41 ↓ [§]	0.86 ↓ [§]	0.31 ↓ [§]
	50 g-ONOG V. baseline	0.47 ↓ ^{§,a,b}	0.22 ↓ ^{§,a,b}	0.06 ↓ ^{§,a}	0.13↓	0.79 ↓ [§]	0.28 ↓ [§]
	100 g-ONOG V. baseline	0.59 ↓ ^{§,a,b}	0.31 ↓ ^{§,a,b}	0.08 ↓ ^{§,a}	0.53 ↓ ^{§,a,c}	1.17 ↓ ^{§,a}	0.45 ↓ ^{§,a}
Liatis, 2009 [17]	β-glucan bread V. baseline	0.80 ↓ ^{§,} *	0.66 ↓ ^{§,} *	0.05↓	0.21↓	1.03 ↓ [§]	0.38 ↓ [§]
	White bread V. baseline	0.12↓	0.11↓	0.03↓	0.06↓	0.39↓	0.12↓
Cugnet-Anceau, 2009 [18]	β -glucan soup V. baseline	0.06↓	0.05↓	0.05 ↑ [§]	0.12 ↓ [§] ,*	NA	0.18 ↑
	Control soup V. baseline	0.01 ↑	0.10↓	0.03 ↑	0.12 ↑ [§]	NA	0.36 ↑
Pick, 1996 [25]	Oat bran concentrate bread V. white bread	0.74 ↓ [#]	0.77 ↓#	0.08 ↑	0.11↓	NA	NA
McGeoch, 2013 [26]	Oat-enriched diet V. habitual diet (baseline)	0.10 ↓ [§]	0.10↓	0.00	0.16 ↑	0.30 ↑ [§]	0.20 ↑ [§]
	Standard dietary advice V. habitual diet (baseline)	0.10 ↑ [§]	0.10 ↑	0.10 ↑	0.13 ↑	0.30 ↓ [§]	0.10 ↓ [§]
	Oat-enriched diet V. standard dietary advice	0.20 ↓#	0.20↓	0.10↓	0.03 ↑	0.60 ↑#	0.30 ↑#
Kabir, 2002 [27]	Low-GIB (GI: 40%) V. baseline	0.30 ↓*	NA	0.03 ↑	0.10 ↑	NA	NA
	High-GIB (GI: 64%) V. baseline	0.20 ↑	NA	0.03↓	0.20↓	NA	NA
Ballesteros, 2015 [28]	Oatmeal breakfast V. egg breakfast	0.10↓	0.10↓	0.03↓	0.05 ↑	0.00	0.00
Lammert, 2007 [29]	After 2 days of oatmeal V. baseline	0.47 ↓ [§]	0.36↓	0.03↓	0.68 ↓ [§]	NA	NA
	4 weeks after 2 days of oatmeal V. baseline	0.00	0.13↓	$0.10\uparrow$	0.41↓	NA	NA

Table 5. Blood lipids and anthropometry parameters after interventions.

The changes from baseline in each diet pattern or the changes of the intervention diet relative to the control diet are estimated. TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; BMI, body mass index; ADA, American Diabetes Association; ONOG, organic naked oat with whole germ; NA, not available; GIB, glycemic index breakfast; GI, glycemic index. [§], changes were statistically significant from baseline (p < 0.05); *, changes from baseline were significantly different between groups; ^a p < 0.05, vs. usual care group; ^b p < 0.05, vs. diet group; ^c p < 0.05, vs. 50 g-ONOG plus diet group.

3.5. Weight and Body Mass Index

There were six studies reporting the changes of weight or BMI. Three randomized, parallel controlled studies [9,16,17] showed a significant reduction during the follow-up of three to four weeks. The reduction range of weight and BMI was -0.32 to -0.79 kg (p < 0.05) and -1.20 to -0.28 kg/m² (p < 0.05), respectively. Only one crossover study [26] found a slight increase from baseline in weight (+0.60 kg; p < 0.05) and BMI (+0.30 kg/m²; p < 0.05) compared with those in standard dietary advice within 8-week follow-up. The overall changes of both the weight (MD, -0.10 kg; 95% CI, -0.33 to 0.12 kg; p = 0.372) and BMI (MD, -0.14 kg/m²; 95% CI, -0.35 to 0.07 kg/m²; p = 0.205) were not significantly different between the control dietary and the dietary with oats (Figures S7 and S8, Table 3).

3.6. Quality of Evidence

One critical outcome and nine important outcomes were assessed by the GRADE system. The detailed information of evidence quality is presented in Table S4.

4. Discussion

The present systematic review of 16 studies has demonstrated a moderately beneficial effect of oats intake on glycemic control and lipid profiles in patients with type 2 diabetes. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review of oats consumption in patients with type 2 diabetes. On the whole, this review has revealed an improvement of glucose, insulin sensitivity and lipid profiles after oats consumption. Compared with a control meal, a single meal of oatmeal also showed superiority of acute glucose and insulin responses.

Among the eight studies investigating HbA1c, three randomized, parallel controlled studies [9,16,17] showed a significant reduction in HbA1c from baseline in the oats diet group (absolute change: -0.28%, -0.40% and -2.22%, respectively). Ma *et al.* [16] revealed the greatest beneficial effect of oats intake on diabetic patients with the following features: First, compared with common oats products, naked oats maintain the most ingredients and beneficial nutrients of the whole-oat grains, which indicates naked oats might be better for patients with diabetes. Second, a relatively large sample size (260 participants) in this study seemed to be more likely to get a positive result. Third, the baseline glucose level was relatively high (mean HbA1c 9.87%, mean FBG 9.99 mmol/L, mean PBG 18.77 mmol/L). Forth, a diet with low energy, low fat and high fiber was provided to all the participants in both the intervention and the control groups, indicating oats consumption might show its benefits especially when the general energy intake was low. However, Kabir et al. [27] showed that adding 3 g of β -glucan from oats to a low-glycemic index breakfast with cereal, milk, bread and butter could not lead to a significant chronic changes (four week-baseline) in FBG, FINS and HbA1c. It may be due to the fact that the original study mainly aimed to evaluate the effects of a low-glycemic index breakfast on the glucose and lipid metabolism in type 2 diabetic patients. Thus, the test meal was focused on the glycemic index of food rather than the ingredients of food such as oats. Therefore, the results of this study are less meaningful for evaluating the beneficial effects of oats intake on type 2 diabetes. On the other hand, it suggests that a background diet with added oats is important for the total effect. The above evidence suggests that adding naked oats to a calorie-restricted diet might help type 2 diabetic patients to get a more obvious hypoglycemic effect especially in those with a high level of blood glucose. The amounts of β -glucan were greater than or equal to 3 g in most oats dietaries of the included studies. Tappy et al. [19] revealed a dosage-dependent association between the amount of β -glucan in breakfast cereal and the response of postprandial glucose. Additionally, this inverse liner relationship was more obvious at low doses of β -glucan (below 6 g). The results of this study were confirmed by previous reports, which also showed a significant dose-dependent relationship between the hypoglycemic effect and the amount or the log viscosity of oats [31,32]. These findings will help in deciding the appropriate dose of oats

or β -glucan included in the whole food system. As the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group revealed, a 1% reduction in HbA1c was associated with a 21% and 14% reduction in the risk of death related to diabetes and all-cause mortality, respectively [33]. That is to say, the magnitudes of the statistically significant reduction in HbA1c in the present review would translate to a clinically significant reduction in the risk of death related to diabetes (-8.82%) and overall mortality (-5.88%).

Compared with the controls, oats intake significantly reduced the concentrations of TC and LDL-C. The findings in the present review are consistent with previous systematic reviews or meta-analyses which also showed a significant reduction in TC and LDL-C after oats or oats β -glucan consumption at the general population level [34–36]. This review also revealed a decreasing tendency in TG, which was omitted previously [34,36]. This decreasing tendency may partly be explained by the relatively high baseline level of TG in type 2 diabetic patients in our review. Interestingly, two oats intervention groups in one study [16] showed a slight reduction from baseline in HDL-C (-0.06 and -0.08 mmol/L, respectively; both p < 0.05), while two studies [9,18] showed a slight increase in HDL-C from baseline (+0.15 and +0.05 mmol/L, respectively; both p < 0.05). The slight reduction in HDL-C in this study may partly be due to the side effect of a low-cholesterol and saturated-fat diet as the author of the original study discovered [37]. Whether this slight reduction would produce clinical significance remains to be determined. Some inconsistent results about the effect of oats intake on HDL-C at the general population level were also reported, Tiwari et al. [35] revealed an increase in HDL-C after oats intake, while Thies et al. [34] found a non-significant effect of oats intake on HDL-C. A characteristic pattern of diabetic dyslipidemia, which consists of a mild to marked elevation of TG and low level of HDL-C [38], may partly account for the discrepancy between the general population and the diabetic patients. Therefore, further analysis is necessary to confirm the lipids (especially HDL-C and TG) changes after oats consumption in the diabetic and non-diabetic people separately. Previous evidence showed that each 1% reduction in TC or LDL-C was associated with a 2% or 1% reduction in the risk of coronary heart disease, respectively [39]. This means the effect of oats-containing diets in this review would translate to an additional 4.00 to 25.60% reduction in coronary heart disease risk due to the lipid benefits from oats intake.

Overall, oats intake was associated with a slight decrease in body weight and BMI, but the difference was not significant. To be noted, body weight increased slightly following the oat-enriched diet compared with standard dietary advice in only one study [26], with an excess total energy and the glycemic load in the oat-enriched dietary plan. It indicated that total energy as well as other dietary components should be very carefully considered during the assessment of oats consumption in patients with diabetes.

Oats are classified as a kind of whole grain which is different from other grains. They are particularly high in soluble fiber, β -glucan and some micronutrients such as magnesium. The unique components and special physic-chemical properties largely decide the beneficial effects of oats. The beneficial effects of oats on glycemia and blood lipids are mainly related to oats β -glucan, a soluble and fermentable fiber, which cannot be decomposed and absorbed in the small intestine but can be fermented in the colon. The β -glucan is reported to increase the viscosity of food bolus, delay gastric emptying and lengthen intestinal transit time, slow the absorption of nutrients especially the carbohydrates, and enhance the satiety [6,40–43]. It was also reported that β -glucan could slow the appearance of glucose in plasma, resulting in longer-lasting insulin secretion which exert a prolonged inhibition of endogenous glucose production and lipolysis [44]. Apart from β -glucan, oats are also a rich source of magnesium, which is an important co-factor for many enzymes including enzymes involved in the metabolism of glucose and insulin. Additionally, an inverse association between magnesium in relation to type 2 diabetes was reported [45]. A group of phenolic compounds named avenanthramides have been found in oats. Avenanthramides are traditionally considered a kind of antioxidant. Some other important effects of avenanthramides, such as enhanced endothelial function and anti-inflammatory properties, were reported recently. Thus, avenanthramides as well as some other antioxidants including vitamin E from oats could synergistically contribute to the

beneficial effects on diabetes and the subsequent complications such as dyslipidemia, atherosclerosis and cardio-cerebrovascular diseases [46]. The dosage, chemical structure, molecular weight (MW), solubility and viscosity are key influential factors for the health effects of oats. Additionally, the above factors are affected by the variety and growing conditions, the processing and food preparations, and even the physiological disposition of oats *in vivo* [7,47]. The mechanisms of lowing cholesterol are not very clear, but it is suggested that β -glucan can bind with bile acids and increase the intestinal viscosity, thereby decreasing cholesterol absorption and increasing fecal bile acid excretion [48]. The variety of oats may also be an important source of the heterogeneity among studies included in the present systematic review.

The argument of oats might be raised due to its potential association with asthma, coeliac disease, dermatitis and some other allergic conditions. However, another different viewpoint has indicated that the possible association may result from a wheat contamination which contains gluten. Gluten is a group of seed storage proteins of cereals. It is also widely used in food manufacturing, usually as an ingredient and processing aid, due to its viscoelastic properties [49–51]. Pure oats contain avenins, which are less likely to cause allergies. However, gluten is still added to most oat breads to produce the needed elasticity and structure of bread [48]. In the current review, we did not find evidence about the relationship between oats consumption and allergic reactions or diseases. Caution is still needed to add oats to the diet of wheat hypersensitive patients. It is better to use pure oats without wheat contamination. The relationship between infant exposure to oats and the development of type 1 diabetes has been thoroughly discussed recently. Introducing oats early (<4 months of age) or late (≥ 6 months of age) in the infancy was reported to be related to the development of type 1 diabetes [52,53]. The American Academy of Pediatrics also recommended to introduce solid foods including oats between 4 and 6 months of age [54]. For children with susceptibility to type 1 diabetes, the introduction of oats would be with great caution. Further investigation about the safety of oats consumption in diabetic patients is required.

There are several limitations in the present review. Firstly, the limited number of studies included and the small number of participants involved in each study might not have sufficient power to detect a definite effect. Secondly, we failed to find evidence of oats consumption in patients with type 1 diabetes, which has a different pathogenesis and clinical feature from type 2 diabetes. Thirdly, the safety of oats consumption was not assessed due to insufficient data.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the present systematic review has revealed a beneficial effect of oats consumption on glucose and lipid profiles in patients with type 2 diabetes, and could therefore be recommended to patients. Naked oats, having low calories, might provide more benefits and a recommendation of 3 g or more per day of β -glucan might be beneficial. The effects of oats intake on type 1 diabetes and the safety of oats consumption should also be investigated in the future.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/ 2072-6643/7/12/5536/s1. Table S1: Diets of studies included. Table S2: Methodological quality of studies included based on modified Jadad scale. Table S3: Methodological quality of studies included based on Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Table S4. GRADE evidence profile of the metabolic effects of oats intake in patients with type 2 diabetes. Figure S1. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on fasting insulin (FINS). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. Figure S2. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. Figure S3. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on total cholesterol (TC). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. Figure S4. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. Figure S5. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval.

Figure S6. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on triglyceride (TG). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. Figure S7. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on weight. The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. Figure S8. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on body mass index (BMI). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval. Figure S8. Results of the meta-analysis carried out to investigate the effect of oat intake on body mass index (BMI). The changes from baseline (Mean \pm SD) between the two groups were compared. MD, mean difference; CI, confidence interval.

•

Acknowledgments: This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81400811) and Scientific Research Project of Health and Family Planning Commission of Sichuan Province (Grant No. 150149).

Author Contributions: S. L. and H. T. conceived and designed the study. Q. H. and Y. L. performed data extraction and drafted the manuscript. Q. H., Y. L., G. C., S. L., and H. T. discussed study findings and clinical interpretation. L. L. and X. S. provided methodological supervision of the manuscript. L. L., G. C., X. S., S. L. and H. T. revised the manuscript for publication.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Shaw, J.E.; Sicree, R.A.; Zimmet, P.Z. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2010**, *87*, 4–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Zhang, P.; Zhang, X.; Brown, J.; Vistisen, D.; Sicree, R.; Shaw, J.; Nichols, G. Global healthcare expenditure on diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2010**, *87*, 293–301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Evert, A.B.; Boucher, J.L.; Cypress, M.; Dunbar, S.A.; Franz, M.J.; Mayer-Davis, E.J.; Neumiller, J.J.; Nwankwo, R.; Verdi, C.L.; Urbanski, P.; *et al.* Nutrition therapy recommendations for the management of adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **2014**, *37* (Suppl. S1), S120–S143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Brennan, C.S. Dietary fibre, glycaemic response, and diabetes. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2005, 49, 560–570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Clemens, R.; van Klinken, B.J. The future of oats in the food and health continuum. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2014**, *112* (Suppl. S2), S75–S79. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. El Khoury, D.; Cuda, C.; Luhovyy, B.L.; Anderson, G.H. Beta glucan: Health benefits in obesity and metabolic syndrome. *J. Nutr. Metab.* **2012**, 2012, 851362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Wang, Q.; Ellis, P.R. Oat β-glucan: Physico-chemical characteristics in relation to its blood-glucose and cholesterol-lowering properties. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2014**, *112*, S4–S13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Code of Federal Regulations. Available online: http://www.ecfr. gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=23f8ec3719c5d0e75285aa894ab1e5b0&mc=true&node=se21.2.101_181&rgn=div8 (accessed on 14 September 2015).
- 9. Reyna, N.Y.; Cano, C.; Bermudez, V.J.; Medina, M.T.; Souki, A.J.; Ambard, M.; Nuñez, M.; Ferrer, M.A.; Inglett, G.E. Sweeteners and beta-glucans improve metabolic and anthropometrics variables in well controlled type 2 diabetic patients. *Am. J. Ther.* **2003**, *10*, 438–443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Poppitt, S.D.; van Drunen, J.D.; McGill, A.T.; Mulvey, T.B.; Leahy, F.E. Supplementation of a high-carbohydrate breakfast with barley beta-glucan improves postprandial glycaemic response for meals but not beverages. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2007**, *16*, 16–24. [PubMed]
- 11. Weickert, M.O.; Möhlig, M.; Schöfl, C.; Arafat, A.M.; Otto, B.; Viehoff, H.; Koebnick, C.; Kohl, A.; Spranger, J.; Pfeiffer, A.F. Cereal fiber improves whole-body insulin sensitivity in overweight and obese women. *Diabetes Care* **2006**, *29*, 775–780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Guevara-Cruz, M.; Tovar, A.R.; Aguilar-Salinas, C.A.; Medina-Vera, I.; Gil-Zenteno, L.; Hernandez-Viveros, I.; Lopez-Romero, P.; Ordaz-Nava, G.; Canizales-Quinteros, S.; Guillen Pineda, L.E.; *et al.* A dietary pattern including nopal, chia seed, soy protein, and oat reduces serum triglycerides and glucose intolerance in patients with metabolic syndrome. *J. Nutr.* **2012**, *142*, 64–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Scientific Opinion on the Substantiation of Health Claims Related to Beta-Glucans and Maintenance or Achievement of Normal Blood Glucose Concentrations (ID 756, 802, 2935) Pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.2010; European Food Safety Authority (EFSA): Parma, Italy, 2010.

- 14. Oremus, M.; Wolfson, C.; Perrault, A.; Demers, L.; Momoli, F.; Moride, Y. Interrater reliability of the modified Jadad quality scale for systematic reviews of Alzheimer's disease drug trials. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* **2001**, *12*, 232–236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Wells, G.A.; Shea, B.; O'connell, D.; Peterson, J.; Welch, V.; Losos, M.; Tugwell, P. The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non randomised studies in meta-analyses. Available online: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed on 1 June 2011).
- 16. Ma, X.; Gu, J.; Zhang, Z.; Jing, L.; Xu, M.; Dai, X.; Jiang, Y.; Li, Y.; Bao, L.; Cai, X.; *et al.* Effects of Avena nuda L. on metabolic control and cardiovascular disease risk among chinese patients with diabetes and meeting metabolic syndrome criteria: Secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2013, *67*, 1291–1297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Liatis, S.; Tsapogas, P.; Chala, E.; Dimosthenopoulos, C.; Kyriakopoulos, K.; Kapantais, E.; Katsilambros, N. The consumption of bread enriched with betaglucan reduces LDL-cholesterol and improves insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab.* **2009**, *35*, 115–120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cugnet-Anceau, C.; Nazare, J.A.; Biorklund, M.; le Coquil, E.; Sassolas, A.; Sothier, M.; Holm, J.; Landin-Olsson, M.; Onning, G.; Laville, M.; *et al.* A controlled study of consumption of beta-glucan-enriched soups for 2 months by type 2 diabetic free-living subjects. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2010, 103, 422–428. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tappy, L.; Gügolz, E.; Würsch, P. Effects of breakfast cereals containing various amounts of beta-glucan fibers on plasma glucose and insulin responses in NIDDM subjects. *Diabetes Care* 1996, 19, 831–834. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Jenkins, A.L.; Jenkins, D.J.; Zdravkovic, U.; Würsch, P.; Vuksan, V. Depression of the glycemic index by high levels of beta-glucan fiber in two functional foods tested in type 2 diabetes. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2002**, *56*, 622–628. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Rendell, M.; Vanderhoof, J.; Venn, M.; Shehan, M.A.; Arndt, E.; Rao, C.S.; Gill, G.; Newman, R.K.; Newman, C.W. Effect of a barley breakfast cereal on blood glucose and insulin response in normal and diabetic patients. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2005**, *60*, 63–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Tapola, N.; Karvonen, H.; Niskanen, L.; Mikola, M.; Sarkkinen, E. Glycemic responses of oat bran products in type 2 diabetic patients. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2005**, *15*, 255–261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Yu, K.; Ke, M.Y.; Li, W.H.; Zhang, S.Q.; Fang, X.C. The impact of soluble dietary fibre on gastric emptying, postprandial blood glucose and insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *23*, 210–218. [PubMed]
- 24. Braaten, J.T.; Scott, F.W.; Wood, P.J.; Riedel, K.D.; Wolynetz, M.S.; Brulé, D.; Collins, M.W. High beta-glucan oat bran and oat gum reduce postprandial blood glucose and insulin in subjects with and without type 2 diabetes. *Diabet. Med.* **1994**, *11*, 312–318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Pick, M.E.; Hawrysh, Z.J.; Gee, M.I.; Toth, E.; Garg, M.L.; Hardin, R.T. Oat bran concentrate bread products improve long-term control of diabetes. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **1996**, *96*, 1254–1261. [CrossRef]
- McGeoch, S.C.; Johnstone, A.M.; Lobley, G.E.; Adamson, J.; Hickson, K.; Holtrop, G.; Fyfe, C.; Clark, L.F.; Pearson, D.W.; Abraham, P.; *et al.* A randomized crossover study to assess the effect of an oat-rich diet on glycaemic control, plasma lipids and postprandial glycaemia, inflammation and oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes. *Diabet. Med.* 2013, *30*, 1314–1323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kabir, M.; Oppert, J.M.; Vidal, H.; Bruzzo, F.; Fiquet, C.; Wursch, P.; Slama, G.; Rizkalla, S.W. Four-week low-glycemic index breakfast with a modest amount of soluble fibers in type 2 diabetic men. *Metabolism* 2002, *51*, 819–826. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ballesteros, M.N.; Valenzuela, F.; Robles, A.E.; Artalejo, E.; Aguilar, D.; Andersen, C.J.; Valdez, H.; Fernandez, M.L. One egg per day improves inflammation when compared to an oatmeal-based breakfast without increasing other cardiometabolic risk factors in diabetic patients. *Nutrients* 2015, 7, 3449–3463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Lammert, A.; Kratzsch, J.; Selhorst, J.; Humpert, P.M.; Bierhaus, A.; Birck, R.; Kusterer, K.; Hammes, H.P. Clinical benefit of a short term dietary oatmeal intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes and severe insulin resistance: A pilot study. *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes* **2008**, *116*, 132–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zerm, R.; Helbrecht, B.; Jecht, M.; Hein, A.; Millet, E.; Girke, M.; Kroz, M. Oatmeal diet days may improve insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Forsch. Komplement.* 2013, 20, 465–468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 31. Wood, P.J.; Braaten, J.T.; Scott, F.W.; Riedel, K.D.; Wolynetz, M.S.; Collins, M.W. Effect of dose and modification of viscous properties of oat gum on plasma glucose and insulin following an oral glucose load. *Br. J. Nutr.* **1994**, *72*, 731–743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Wolever, T.M.; Vuksan, V.; Eshuis, H.; Spadafora, P.; Peterson, R.D.; Chao, E.S.; Storey, M.L.; Jenkins, D.J. Effect of method of administration of psyllium on glycemic response and carbohydrate digestibility. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **1991**, *10*, 364–371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Stratton, I.M.; Adler, A.I.; Neil, H.A.; Matthews, D.R.; Manley, S.E.; Cull, C.A.; Hadden, D.; Turner, R.C.; Holman, R.R. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): Prospective observational study. *BMJ* **2000**, *321*, 405–412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Thies, F.; Masson, L.F.; Boffetta, P.; Kris-Etherton, P. Oats and CVD risk markers: A systematic literature review. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2014**, *112* (Suppl. S2), S19–S30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Tiwari, U.; Cummins, E. Meta-analysis of the effect of beta-glucan intake on blood cholesterol and glucose levels. *Nutrition* **2011**, *27*, 1008–1016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Whitehead, A.; Beck, E.J.; Tosh, S.; Wolever, T.M. Cholesterol-lowering effects of oat beta-glucan: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *100*, 1413–1421. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stefanick, M.L.; Mackey, S.; Sheehan, M.; Ellsworth, N.; Haskell, W.L.; Wood, P.D. Effects of diet and exercise in men and postmenopausal women with low levels of HDL cholesterol and high levels of LDL cholesterol. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1998**, 339, 12–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Ng, D.S. Diabetic dyslipidemia: From evolving pathophysiological insight to emerging therapeutic targets. *Can. J. Diabetes* **2013**, *37*, 319–326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) Final Report. *Circulation* 2002, 106, 3143–3421.
- 40. Singh, R.; De, S.; Belkheir, A. Avena sativa (oat), a potential neutraceutical and therapeutic agent: An overview. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2013**, *53*, 126–144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Battilana, P.; Ornstein, K.; Minehira, K.; Schwarz, J.M.; Acheson, K.; Schneiter, P.; Burri, J.; Jéquier, E.; Tappy, L. Mechanisms of action of beta-glucan in postprandial glucose metabolism in healthy men. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2001**, *55*, 327–333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Tosh, S.M. Review of human studies investigating the post-prandial blood-glucose lowering ability of oat and barley food products. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2013**, *67*, 310–317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Lyly, M.; Ohls, N.; Lähteenmäki, L.; Salmenkallio-Marttila, M.; Liukkonen, K.H.; Karhunen, L.; Poutanen, K. The effect of fiber amount, energy level and viscosity of beverages containing oat fiber supplement on perceived satiety. *Food Nutr. Res.* **2010**, *54*, 2149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Nazare, J.A.; Normand, S.; Oste Triantafyllou, A.; Brac de la Perriere, A.; Desage, M.; Laville, M. Modulation of the postprandial phase by beta-glucan in overweight subjects: Effects on glucose and insulin kinetics. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2009**, *53*, 361–369. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Rubin, H. The paradox of the contrasting roles of chronic magnesium deficiency in metabolic disorders and field cancerization. *Magnes. Res.* **2014**, *27*, 94–102. [PubMed]
- Chu, Y.F.; Wise, M.L.; Gulvady, A.A.; Chang, T.; Kendra, D.F.; Jan-Willem van Klinken, B.; Shi, Y.; O'Shea, M. *In vitro* antioxidant capacity and anti-inflammatory activity of seven common oats. *Food Chem.* 2013, 139, 426–431. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Decker, E.A.; Rose, D.J.; Stewart, D. Processing of oats and the impact of processing operations on nutrition and health benefits. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2014**, *112* (Suppl. S2), S58–S64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Naumann, E.; van Rees, A.B.; Onning, G.; Oste, R.; Wydra, M.; Mensink, R.P. Beta-glucan incorporated into a fruit drink effectively lowers serum LDL-cholesterol concentrations. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *83*, 601–605. [PubMed]
- 49. Amigo, C.D.; Popping, B. Gluten and gluten-free: Issues and considerations of labeling regulations, detection methods, and assay validation. *J. AOAC Int.* **2012**, *95*, 337–348. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ciacci, C.; Ciclitira, P.; Hadjivassiliou, M.; Kaukinen, K.; Ludvigsson, J.F.; McGough, N.; Sanders, D.S.; Woodward, J.; Leonard, J.N.; Swift, G.L. The gluten-free diet and its current application in coeliac disease and dermatitis herpetiformis. *United Eur. Gastroenterol. J.* **2015**, *3*, 121–135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 51. Pourpak, Z.; Mesdaghi, M.; Mansouri, M.; Kazemnejad, A.; Toosi, S.B.; Farhoudi, A. Which cereal is a suitable substitute for wheat in children with wheat allergy? *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* **2005**, *16*, 262–266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Frederiksen, B.; Kroehl, M.; Lamb, M.M.; Seifert, J.; Barriga, K.; Eisenbarth, G.S.; Rewers, M.; Norris, J.M. Infant exposures and development of type 1 diabetes mellitus: The diabetes autoimmunity study in the young (daisy). *JAMA Pediatr.* **2013**, *167*, 808–815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Virtanen, S.M.; Takkinen, H.M.; Nevalainen, J.; Kronberg-Kippila, C.; Salmenhaara, M.; Uusitalo, L.; Kenward, M.G.; Erkkola, M.; Veijola, R.; Simell, O.; *et al.* Early introduction of root vegetables in infancy associated with advanced β-cell autoimmunity in young children with human leukocyte antigen-conferred susceptibility to type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med.* **2011**, *28*, 965–971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Kleinman, R.E. American Academy of Pediatricsrecommendations for complementary feeding. *Pediatrics* **2000**, *106*, 1274. [PubMed]



© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).