Light-Nuclei Production in Heavy-Ion Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.4 - 19.6$ GeV in THESEUS Generator Based on Three-Fluid Dynamics
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Abstract: Light-nuclei production in relativistic heavy-ion collisions is simulated within an updated Three-fluid Hydrodynamics-based Event Simulator Extended by UrQMD (Ultra-relativistic Quantum Molecular Dynamics) final State interactions (THESEUS). The simulations are performed in the collision energy range of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.4–19.6$ GeV. The light-nuclei are produced within the thermodynamical approach on an equal basis with hadrons. Since the light nuclei do not participate in the UrQMD evolution, the only additional parameter related to the light nuclei, i.e., the energy density of late freeze-out, is used for the imitation of the afterburner stage of the collision. The updated THESEUS provides a reasonable reproduction of data on bulk observables of the light nuclei, especially their functional dependence on the collision energy and light-nucleus mass. Various ratios, $d/p$, $t/p$, $t/d$, and $N(t) \times N(p)/N^2(d)$, are also considered. Imperfect reproduction of the light-nuclei data leaves room for medium effects in produced light nuclei.
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1. Introduction

Light-nuclei production in heavy-ion collisions arouses interest in connection with conjectured critical point in the QCD phase diagram. There are some indications of existence of such a critical point from the STAR experiment [1]. An enhanced production of light nuclei close to the critical point with respect to a noncritical scenario is expected [2–4], and also may be connected with the formation of baryon clusters, due to spinodal decomposition associated with the mechanically unstable region in the first-order phase transition [5–9].

Currently, there are various 3D dynamical models which include the coalescence mechanism of light-nuclei production [10–19], see also a recent review [20]. In the simplest case, the models based on coalescence fit the necessary parameters from comparison with experimental data of the light-nuclei production [10,11] and, hence, their predictive power is limited. The refined coalescence calculations are very successful in reproducing data in a wide range of collision energies [17]. Advanced coalescence approaches involve the Wigner functions of light-nuclei [12,13,15,16,18,19] to calculate the coalescence parameters.

The recently developed transport models, such as SMASH (Simulating Many Accelerated Strongly-interacting Hadrons) [21–23], PHQMD (Parton-Hadron-Quantum-Molecular-Dynamics) [24–26] and a stochastic kinetic approach [27], treat light nuclei microscopically, on an equal basis with other hadrons. These transport models also require extensive additional input for treatment of the light-nuclei production, albeit in a wide range of collision energies.

Another situation is in the thermodynamical approach. This does not need any additional parameters for treatment of the light-nuclei production, because it describes the light nuclei in terms of temperatures and chemical potentials, i.e., on an equal basis with hadrons. Therefore,
this approach has a significant advantage, as its predictive power is the same for light nuclei and hadrons. This approach was realized within the statistical model in [28,29]. Deuteron midrapidity yields at the energies (from 7.7 to 200 GeV) of the STAR Beam Energy Scan (BES) at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) [30,31] are described fairly well by this model [32,33], while the yield of tritium is overestimated by roughly a factor of two [33,34]. The statistical model gives a similarly good description of not only the light nuclei but even hypernuclei and antinuclei at energies of the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [35].

In view of the success of the thermal model, we implemented the thermodynamic approach of light-nuclei production into the updated THESEUS event generator [36]. In this paper, we describe the data on light-nuclei within the thermodynamic approach, keeping in mind that the bulk observables [37–40] for protons are reasonably well reproduced by the model of the three-fluid dynamics (3FD). It is important to note that the model involves no extra parameters related to the light nuclei, except for the late freeze-out energy density, see Section 3. The results of the THESEUS simulations are compared with available data from NA49 [41] and STAR [31,34] collaborations.

2. The Updated Version of the Generator THESEUS

The THESEUS event generator was first presented and applied to simulations of heavy-ion collisions in Refs. [42,43]. The generator THESEUS is based on the 3FD model [11,38] complemented by the UrQMD [44,45] for the afterburner stage. The output of the 3FD model, i.e., the freeze-out hypersurface, is recorded in terms of local flow velocities and thermodynamic quantities. The THESEUS generator transforms the 3FD output into a set of observed particles, i.e., performs the procedure of particlization.

The 3FD model is designed to simulate heavy-ion collisions at energies of the BES-RHIC, SPS, FAIR and NICA. The nonequilibrium stage is modeled by two counterstreaming baryon-rich fluids. Newly produced particles, which dominantly populate the midrapidity region, are assigned to a so-called fireball fluid. At present, three different equations of state (EoSs) are used in the 3FD simulations: a purely hadronic EoS [46] (hadr. EoS) and two EoSs with deconfinement transition [47], i.e., an EoS with a first-order phase transition (1PT EoS) and one with a smooth crossover transition (crossover EoS). At energies $\sqrt{s_{NN}} > 5$ GeV, the deconfinement scenarios reveal definite preference [48]. The 3FD and the original version of the THESEUS [42,43] calculate spectra of the so-called primordial nucleons, i.e., both observable nucleons and those bound in the light nuclei. This is done for the subsequent application of the coalescence model [11,49] for the light-nuclei production.

In the new version of THESEUS the light nuclei were included on an equal basis with hadrons [36]. In THESEUS, only thermodynamical values, such as temperature and chemical potential, are used to calculate the density of each particle, both hadrons and light-nuclei. Each element of the fluid surface (or droplet) is characterized by its own thermodynamical values. The Monte-Carlo method is applied to randomly generate particles according to their densities in each droplet. As result, we have an ensemble of particles, characterized by their mass, energy, coordinates, momenta, etc. Hence, the procedure of recalculation of the yields of protons and neutrons was added, which takes into account light-nuclei production. The list of the light nuclei includes the stable nuclei and low-lying resonances of the $^4$He system, the decays of which contribute to the yields of stable species [2], see Table 1. The corresponding anti-nuclei were also included.

These nuclei are sampled similarly to other hadrons, i.e. according to their phase-space distribution functions. Nevertheless, while the hadrons pass through the UrQMD afterburner stage after particlization, the light nuclei do not, just because the UrQMD is not able to treat them. This is a definite shortcoming, because the light nuclei are destroyed and reproduced during this afterburner stage [22,23,25]. We imitate the afterburner for the light nuclei by late freeze-out. For selection of the parameter of the late freeze-out, we considered protons, because they are closely related to light nuclei.
Table 1. Stable light nuclei and low-lying resonances of the $^4$He system (from BNL properties of nuclides [50]). $J$ denotes the total angular momentum. The last column represents branching ratios of the decay channels, in percent. The $p, n, d$ correspond to the emission of protons, neutrons, or deuterons, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleus($E$[MeV])</th>
<th>$J$</th>
<th>Decay Modes, in %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^d$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^t$</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$He</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(20.21)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$p = 100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(21.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$n = 24, p = 76$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(21.84)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$n = 37, p = 63$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(23.33)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$n = 47, p = 53$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(23.64)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$n = 45, p = 55$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(24.25)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$n = 47, p = 50, d = 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(25.28)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$n = 48, p = 52$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(25.95)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$n = 48, p = 52$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(27.42)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$n = 3, p = 3, d = 94$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(28.31)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$n = 47, p = 48, d = 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(28.37)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$n = 2, p = 2, d = 96$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(28.39)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$n = 0.2, p = 0.2, d = 99.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(28.64)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$d = 100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(28.67)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$d = 100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$He(29.89)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$n = 0.4, p = 0.4, d = 99.2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 1, transverse-momentum spectra of protons in central Au + Au collisions at collision energies of $E_{lab} = 20$A and 158A GeV are shown. These spectra were calculated by means of the THESEUS simulations without the subsequent UrQMD afterburner, similarly to the light-nuclei simulations based on 3FD calculations with different freeze-out energy densities $\epsilon_{frz} = 0.2$ and 0.4 GeV/fm$^3$. The conventional for the 3FD results with $\epsilon_{frz} = 0.4$ GeV/fm$^3$ and the subsequent UrQMD afterburner are also presented. The results are presented in linear scale in order to better resolve the low $p_T$ region, which is mostly affected by the afterburner effect [51]. As seen in Figure 1, the late freeze-out with the energy density $\epsilon_{frz} = 0.2$ GeV/fm$^3$ approximately reproduced the afterburner effect in midrapidity proton $p_T$ spectra at both collision energies. The same also took place in the 1PT-EoS scenario.

![Figure 1](image-url)
The effect of the late freeze-out on rapidity distribution of net-protons is demonstrated in Figure 2. The late freeze-out with $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ reproduced the results for conventional freeze-out with the subsequent UrQMD afterburner in the midrapidity region reasonably well. The reproduction for $E_{\text{lab}} = 20A \text{ GeV}$ (not shown) was even better, as could be expected from Figure 1. However, the freeze-out energy density $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ was not that good in imitating the afterburner effect at forward/backward rapidities, see Figure 2. Below, we use this late freeze-out with $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ for calculations of light nuclei for all considered collision energies and centralities.

![Crossover EoS](image)

**Figure 2.** Rapidity distributions of net-protons in central ($b = 2.4 \text{ fm}$) Pb + Pb collisions at $E_{\text{lab}} = 158A \text{ GeV}$ calculated with the crossover EoS. The results of the THESEUS simulations (without the subsequent UrQMD afterburner) based on the 3FD calculations with different freeze-out energy densities $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2$ and $0.4 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ are shown. The conventional for the 3FD results with $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.4 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$, and the subsequent UrQMD afterburner, are also presented. The experimental data filled boxes are from the NA49 collaboration [53]. The open boxes correspond to the NA49 data reflected with respect to $y = 0$.

### Results

In this section, we demonstrate some results obtained by using the THESEUS generator. We analyze some spectra of light nuclei with the existing experimental data, and present the spectra of protons for comparison.

#### 3.1. Rapidity Distributions

We started our analysis with the rapidity distributions of light nuclei, see Figure 3. We compared our results with NA49 data [41], as well as with the 3FD coalescence results [49]. Let us remind the reader that the light nuclei were simulated without the afterburner stage, and to imitate the afterburner stage, the late freeze-out parameter $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ was used. As seen in the midrapidity region, the THESEUS results overestimated the data on light-nuclei yields, even with the late freeze-out which somewhat improved agreement with the data, but not completely. It is surprising that reproduction of the $^3\text{He}$ data turned out to be better than that of the data on deuterons, in spite of the fact that $^3\text{He}$ has a heavier nucleus.

The 3FD coalescence [49], also presented in Figure 3 by short-dashed lines, reproduced the data much better because the coalescence coefficients were tuned for each collision energy and each light nucleus. Nevertheless, the THESEUS simulations resulted in good agreement with the dependence of light-nuclei production on collision energy and light nucleus mass. Note that this agreement did not need any additional tuning parameters.

For comparison, the rapidity distributions of net-protons are presented in Figure 4. The net-protons were reproduced much better. The UrQMD afterburner slightly reduced net-proton yield in the midrapidity region and drove it to even better agreement with the data.
However, agreement of the form of the net-proton distribution at 40\textit{A} GeV with the data became worse after application of the UrQMD. This had a consequence for the light-nuclei distributions: a slight peak at the midrapidity appeared. Note that the 3FD coalescence was based on nucleon spectra before UrQMD, where global midrapidity peaks for protons are less pronounced. Therefore, the coalescence results did not reveal these slight peaks at the midrapidity.

**Figure 3.** Rapidity distributions of deuterons (upper row of panels) and of $^3\text{He}$ nuclei (lower row of panels) in central Pb+Pb collisions at collision energies of $E_{\text{lab}} = 20\textit{A}-158\textit{A}$ GeV calculated with the crossover EoS. The results of THESEUS simulations with the late freeze-out, $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2$ GeV/fm$^3$, are displayed. The 3FD coalescence results [49] are also presented. Experimental data filled boxes are from the NA49 collaboration [41]. The open boxes correspond to the NA49 data reflected with respect to $y = 0$.

**Figure 4.** The same as in Figure 3 but for net-protons in central Pb+Pb collisions. The results of simulations without the UrQMD afterburner and the 3FD results [49] are also displayed. Experimental data filled boxes are from the NA49 collaboration [53]. The open boxes correspond to the NA49 data reflected with respect to $y = 0$.

3.2. Transverse-Momentum Spectra

The results of the THESEUS simulations of transverse-mass spectra of deuterons and tritons at midrapidity in central Pb + Pb collisions at collision energies of $E_{\text{lab}} = 20\textit{A}-158\textit{A}$ GeV, with conventional freeze-out, $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.4$ GeV/fm$^3$, and late freeze-out, $\varepsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2$ GeV/fm$^3$, were compared with the data measured by the NA49 collaboration [41], and presented in Figure 5. The 3FD coalescence results [49] are also shown. The agreement of these
spectra with the NA49 data was not perfect. Imitation of the afterburner (THESEUS with $\epsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$) somewhat improved the normalization at low $m_T - m$, but worsened agreement with the slopes. The overall normalization of the 3FD-coalescence spectra was better but this was achieved by tuning the coalescence parameters.

The proton transverse-mass spectra at midrapidity in central Pb + Pb collisions at the same collision energies are presented in Figure 6, and the results of 3FD simulations are also displayed. As seen, the afterburner (THESEUS curves in Figure 6) improved agreement with the NA49 data [52] at low $m_T - m$ as compared with the 3FD, but the slopes disagreed with the data; though, this disagreement was much smaller than that for light nuclei in Figure 5.

The calculated $^3$He spectra were closer to the data than the deuteron data, which was again surprising. The spectra slopes were better reproduced at lower energies. Together with better agreement with rapidity distributions of light nuclei at lower energies, this might suggest that the THESEUS was more suitable for simulating light nuclei at NICA and FAIR energies.

Since light nuclei consist of protons and neutrons, their spectra are closely related to proton spectra. The shortcomings of the nucleon spectra are summed up and, thus, amplified in the light-nuclei spectra. As a result, we observed a larger disagreement with data in light-nuclei results than in proton ones.

The UrQMD afterburner, as it is implemented in THESEUS [36,42], does not improve the high-$p_T$ description. The reason is that the grand canonical distributions are sampled in the particlization procedure, rather than the canonical or micro-canonical ones. Thus, the high-$p_T$ overestimation persists. Of course, it is difficult to indicate how much of this overestimation is the grand canonical treatment rather than due to shortcomings of the model.

Figure 5. Transverse-mass spectra of deuterons (upper raw of panels) and tritons (lower raw of panels) in central Pb + Pb collisions at collision energies of $E_{\text{lab}} = 20A - 158A \text{ GeV}$ calculated with the crossover EoS. The results of THESEUS simulations with conventional freeze-out, $\epsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.4 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$, and late freeze-out, $\epsilon_{\text{frz}} = 0.2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$, are displayed. The 3FD coalescence results [49] are also shown. NA49 data are from Ref. [41].
3.3. Yield Ratios of Light-Nuclei

Energy dependence of $d/p$, $t/p$, and $t/d$ midrapidity ratios for central collisions are presented in Figure 7 (left panel). Protons in these ratios do not contain feed-down from weak decays, in accordance with the experimental procedure [30,31]. As can be seen, the model reproduces the energy dependence of experimental data [31] but its the values of these ratios.

This is not surprising, because calculated light-nuclei yields systematically overestimate the data in the midrapidity.

This reproduction was similar to that within the statistical model in [31].

The yield ratio of light nuclei, $N_t / N_p / N_d$ is presented in Figure 7 (right panel). Historically, it has been suggested as a probe to neutron density fluctuations associated with the first-order phase transition [54,55]. Later, it was also associated with the possible critical point of the hot and baryon-rich QCD matter [2–4]. Near the critical point, this ratio increases monotonically with the nucleon density correlation length [4], and, besides, production of $^3$He may increase because of enhanced preclustering and subsequent decay of $^4$He-like clusters [2,3]. In its turn, this may result in a maximum in the $N_t / N_p / N_d^2$ ratio near the critical point. Recent data on this ratio [31] showed non-monotonic behavior with...
a peak located around 20–30 GeV (see Figure 7, right panel), which might indicate passing through either the first-order phase transition or critical point at this collision energy.

Energy dependence of the midrapidity $N(t) \times N(p)/N^2(d)$ ratio in central Au + Au and Pb + Pb collisions is presented in Figure 7 (right panel). The proton yields do not include contribution from the weak-decay feed-down. This weak-decay feed-down was determined by the UrQMD simulation at the afterburner stage, i.e., in the same way as the proton feed-down correction was done in the preliminary STAR data [34]. In the final STAR data [31] (filled circles in Figure 7 (right panel), the feed-down correction was done by experimental means. The STAR Collaboration concluded that the UrQMD simulation underestimates the proton feed-down contributions from weak decays. If so, our simulations suffered from the same shortcoming of the UrQMD. Therefore, the overestimation of the data by THESEUS could be related to the same shortcomings of the UrQMD. An increase of the calculated ratio when the energy approached 20 GeV could also be an artifact of the aforementioned shortcoming.

4. Summary

We performed simulations of the light-nuclei production in relativistic heavy-ion collisions within the updated THESEUS [36] event generator for Pb + Pb and Au + Au collisions in the collision energy range of \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.4–19.6 \) GeV, and compared the results with available data from the NA49 and STAR collaborations. The light-nuclei production in the updated THESEUS were produced within the thermodynamical approach on an equal basis with hadrons. The only additional parameter related to the light nuclei was the energy density of the late freeze-out, \( \epsilon_{\text{late frz}} = 0.2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3 \), which was the same for all collision energies, centralities and combinations of colliding nuclei. The late freeze-out imitated the afterburner stage of the collision because the light nuclei were not subjected to the UrQMD afterburner. The parameter \( \epsilon_{\text{late frz}} \) was not free and was chosen from the condition of the best reproduction of the proton \( p_T \) spectrum after the UrQMD afterburner by the spectrum at the late freeze-out without the afterburner.

The reproduction of the data on bulk observables (rapidity distributions, \( m_T \) and \( p_T \)-spectra) of the light nuclei by the updated generator was not perfect, but reasonable, especially with regard to the functional dependence on the collision energy and light-nucleus mass. It is important to note that this reproduction was achieved with a single universal additional parameter related to late freeze-out.

Different ratios, \( d/p \), \( t/p \), \( t/d \), and \( N(t) \times N(p)/N^2(d) \) were also estimated. We conclude that the feed-down contributions of weak decays should be carefully subtracted from the proton yield in order for the calculated \( N(t) \times N(p)/N^2(d) \) ratio to serve as a probe of production characteristics of light nuclei and of the structure of the QCD phase diagram. The UrQMD was not quite accurate for the subtraction of weak decays from the proton yield.

We can conclude that the THESEUS generator can reasonably well reproduce light-nuclei data; however, with systematical overestimation of data. Although, the underlying 3FD model provided results more consistent with the experimental data, that agreement was achieved by tuning a greater number of coalescence parameters. Therefore, the coalescence predictive power was limited as compared to THESEUS. The shortcomings of the generator could be related to the absence of a light-nuclei afterburner in UrQMD and imperfect proton description. As is argued above, the shortcomings of the proton description are amplified in the case of light nuclei. Imperfect reproduction of the light-nuclei data also leaves room for the medium effects advanced in Refs. [56,57], see also [58].

A more complete account of this study is presented in Ref. [59].
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