Near- to Far-Field Coupling of Evanescent Waves by Glass Microspheres
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Abstract: Through rigorous electromagnetic simulations, the natural coupling of high-spatial-frequency evanescent waves from the near field to the far field by dielectric microspheres is studied in air. The generation of whispering gallery modes inside the microspheres is shown independently of any resonance. In addition, the conversion mechanism of these evanescent waves into propagating waves is analysed. This latter point leads to key information that allows a better physical understanding of the super-resolution phenomenon in microsphere-assisted microscopy where sub-diffraction-limit revolving power is achieved.
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1. Introduction

In optical imaging, the spatial distribution of an object leads to a spatial modulation of the reflected (or transmitted) light. According to the diffraction theory of light, only a part of the electromagnetic waves having spatial frequencies smaller than $2/\lambda$ ($\lambda$, the wavelength of light) in air appears to be propagative and, thus, can be collected by an optical system. The high-spatial-frequency modulations remain localised around the object surface and are evanescent. With the aim to observe more and more details, various optical techniques have been developed in order to overcome the diffraction limit, such as near-field scanning optical microscopy [1], stimulated-emission-depletion microscopy [2] and photo-activated localisation microscopy [3], and the metamaterial-based superlens [4].

Respectively, in 2009 and in 2011, the ability of nanoscale hemispheres [5] and of microspheres [6] to perform super resolution imaging was also experimentally revealed. For this purpose, a dielectric spherical lens is deposited on the object surface to be tested. A magnified image carrying the fine details is then generated [7] in order to be collected by a microscope objective. Both make it possible to observe sub-diffraction-limit features while being label-free, scanning-free and easy-to-implement. Nevertheless, the magnification factor and the resolving power seem to be higher in microsphere-assisted microscopy than in nanolens-based microscopy. Indeed, the microspheres are able to improve the resolving power of an optical microscope by a factor of up to $\times 4$ in air [6] and $\times 6$ in immersion [8] while spherical nanolenses offer a magnification factor of 1.6 in air [5]. Convenient in biological imaging [9–11] and in optical profilometry [12], microsphere-assisted microscopy has thus become a powerful technique using a single microsphere or a matrix of microspheres [13].

Nowadays, the phenomenon behind the super resolution is not fully described [14]. It has yet been shown that the photonic jet cannot be interpreted as the point spread function of the imaging system [15,16], despite its promising performance in terms of forming a...
narrow focusing beam [17] for scanning optical imaging [18,19]. The role of coherence in the imaging process has been pointed out where two point objects need to be out-of-phase in order to be resolved [20]. The role of evanescent waves was first experimentally suggested through a decrease in resolution with an increase in the distance microsphere-sample [21] and more-recently confirmed using semi-immersed BaTiO$_3$ microspheres [22]. To our knowledge, the most advanced explanation has been proposed by Zhou et al. [23] where whispering-gallery modes excited inside the microsphere by high-spatial frequencies from the object make it possible to contribute to a virtual image resolution. However, the numerical demonstration was performed merely by implementing two point sources without indicating the specific contribution of the evanescent waves from the point sources to the image formation in the far field. In 2016, the possible refraction of evanescent waves by microspheres was mathematically demonstrated without any proposition of predictable imaging processing [24]. Therefore, a detailed description of the role of evanescent waves in the microsphere super-resolution imaging process is still expected. In this work, not only the natural coupling of evanescent waves by the glass microspheres, but also their conversion into propagating waves are studied, contributing to the clarification of the physical mechanism of super resolution in microsphere-assisted microscopy.

2. Method

To investigate the evanescent wave collection, a rigorous electromagnetic simulation of microsphere assisted microscopy was implemented in the visible range using a finite element method (COMSOL Multiphysics®). In the x-z plane, the 2D model consists of a glass microsphere deposited on a dielectric-air interface at $z = 0$ µm. The transparent microsphere has a refractive index of 1.5 and a diameter $D$. The surrounding medium of the microsphere is air and the refractive index of the dielectric substrate $n_s$ is of 1.5. An oblique excitation plane wave with a wavelength of $\lambda_0 / n_s$ ($\lambda_0 = 600$ nm) allows the evanescent wave to be generated on the substrate interface using total internal reflection. The incident angle $\theta$ is of 1 rad which is larger than the critical angle ($\sin \theta_c = 1 / n_s$). The incident coherent excitation wave has a unit electric field, giving a radiated power density $P_0 = \epsilon_c |E|^2 / 2$ with $\epsilon$ the permittivity of the dielectric substrate. The evanescent wave thus propagates along the x-axis and exponentially decays along the z-axis. According to the complex Snell-Descartes’s law, the wave vector components of the evanescent wave in air can be written as:

$$\vec{K} = \begin{cases} k_0 n_s \sin \theta & \text{x axis} \\ i k_0 \sqrt{n_s^2 \sin^2 \theta - 1} & \text{z axis} \end{cases}$$  

(1)

where $k_0$ is the wavenumber of the excitation plane wave in free-space. The imaginary unit $i$ satisfies the relation $i^2 = -1$. Finally, perfectly matched layers surround the calculation area [25].

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the natural collection of the evanescent wave travelling along the interface by a 3-µm-diameter glass microsphere. The checked pattern in the dielectric substrate, in Figure 1a, results from interferences between the excitation plane wave and the wave reflected by the interface. Whispering gallery modes (WGMs) can be observed inside the microsphere. The highest peak amplitude of the WGM, equalling 3.7 V/m, occurs within the microsphere at a radius of around 1.4 µm.
Figure 1. Collection of an evanescent wave by a glass microsphere (see Visualization 1 in Supplementary Materials). (a) Real part of the electric field distributions when the initial phase $\psi$ of the excitation wave is of $\pi$. Black-scale bars represent 1 µm. (b) Radial section profiles at a radius of 1.4 µm of (a) as a function of the angular position when $\psi$ equals 0 rad and $\pi$ rad. $\lambda_0 = 600$ nm, $\theta = 1$ rad, $n_s = 1.5$, $D = 3$ µm.

In order to emphasise the WGM generation from the evanescent wave and to confirm the coupling of the near-field wave by the microsphere, the initial phasor $\psi$ of the excitation plane wave is swept from 0 to $2\pi$. Increasing the offset $\psi$ leads to a rotation of the WGMs (see Visualization 1 in Supplementary Materials). The rotational angle of the WGM patterns equals the initial phasor $\psi$. Indeed, as shown in Figure 1b, when $\psi$ is of 0 rad and $\pi$ rad, the angular sections of the electric field inside the sphere at a radius of 1.4 µm are also phase-shifted by $\pi$. Along the microsphere rim, the period of the WGM electric field equals 475 nm, i.e., the sub-wavelength period of the evanescent wave $\lambda_0 / (n_s \times \sin \theta)$. At the radial position of 1.4 µm, the period of the WGM is smaller (around 445 nm). The peak amplitudes are, for their parts, not affected by the phase delay $\psi$ (e.g., the highest peak amplitude of the WGM remains at around 3.7 V/m).

Figure 2 shows the ability of the 3-µm-diameter microsphere to collect the evanescent waves according to its axial position above the interface.

Figure 2. Collection efficiency of an evanescent wave by a glass microsphere at an axial gap with the interface. (a.i), (a.ii) and (a.iii) Real parts of the electric field distributions when the microsphere is positioned at a distance of 200 nm, 500 nm and 800 nm from the interface, respectively. Black-scale bars represent 1 µm. (b) Real-part peak amplitude of the WGM electric field along the microsphere rim and relative power transmitted by the microsphere in the far field as a function of the z distance. $\lambda_0 = 600$ nm, $\theta = 1$ rad, $\psi = 0$ rad, $n_s = 1.5$, $D = 3$ µm.

Increasing the air gap allows not only to attest the evanescent wave coupling by the microsphere, but also to predict the longest acceptable distance, thus making it possible
to perform contact-less measurements in microsphere-assisted microscopy. Indeed, the
electric-field amplitude maximum of the WGM exponentially decreases according to
the axial distance. In this case, the coupling efficiency of the evanescent wave by the
microsphere appears to be low beyond a distance $z = 400 \text{ nm}$. In Figure 2b, the far-field
relative radiated power was estimated by considering the incident power $P_0$ through the
radius of the estimated interaction area [21], i.e., $\sqrt{2} \lambda_0 \theta$ with $h$, the penetration depth
measuring around $125 \text{ nm}$ in this configuration ($1/|k_z|$, see Equation (1)). The optical
power emanating from the top of the microsphere is not null and decreases as distance
increases (e.g., $0.9\% P_0$ at $z = 200 \text{ nm}$ and $0.1\% P_0$ at $z = 400 \text{ nm}$). In this case, the distance
of $400 \text{ nm}$ can be seen as the depth of field of the imaging technique.

A slight variation of the microsphere diameter obviously leads to modifications of the
excited WGMs. Figure 3a highlights the peak amplitude fluctuations of the WGMs along
the microsphere rim by varying the diameter from $3.0 \mu m$ to $3.3 \mu m$. The microsphere is
in contact with the interface. The amplitude of the electric field progresses following a
periodic wave as a function of the diameter. In this case, periodic resonances occur when
the microsphere diameter measures $3.03 \mu m$ and $3.17 \mu m$ which correspond to multiples of
$475.3 \text{ nm} / \pi$. As a matter of fact, the multiple is the number of radial periods of the WGM,
i.e., 20 and 21 periods.

![Figure 3. Influence of the microsphere diameter (a) on the peak amplitude of the real part of the
electric field along the microsphere rim and (b) on the transmitted power. $\lambda_0 = 600 \text{ nm}$, $\theta = 1 \text{ rad}$,
$\psi = 0 \text{ rad}$, $n_s = 1.5$.](image)

Close resonances may appear but are not required to benefit from the evanescent
wave coupling effect. As shown in Figure 3a, the resonances only increase the coupling
efficiency. In addition, simulations were made (in the contact mode) in order to quantify
the difference of the power transmitted in the far field by the microsphere for a resonant
and a non-resonant WGM (see Figure 3b). In the far field, the transmitted power is increased
by a factor of nearly 4 (at $D = 3.14 \mu m$, 9.8% $P_0$, and at $D = 3.18 \mu m$, $37.5\% P_0$). It can be
observed that far field power peaks occur when the WGM electric field is maximum (at
$D = 3.03 \mu m$ and $D = 3.17 \mu m$). For the minima, this correlation is not found, indicating
that the WGM generation is not the only phenomenon behind far field propagation.

Without the microsphere, the evanescent wave would propagate along the dielectric-
air interface on the x-axis, i.e., a microscope objective alone would not be able to collect
the high-spatial-frequency optical signal. However, by introducing the glass microsphere,
the evanescent wave is not only coupled, but also appears to be propagated in the far field.
Propagating-spherical waves emanate from the microsphere, demonstrating its ability to
convert a near-field wave into a far-field wave. In experiments, these propagating waves are collected by a microscope objective. Figure 4 exposes this phenomenon in air when the period of the evanescent wave is 475 nm, 355 nm and 240 nm. For this purpose, the refractive index $n_s$ of the dielectric substrate is varied (such refractive indices cannot be achieved in reality). Increasing the refractive index $n_s$ also makes the periods of WGMs narrower. The optical power transmitted by the microsphere in the far field is of 10.9% $P_0$, 0.6% $P_0$ and $7.2 \times 10^{-5}$% $P_0$ when $n_s$ is of 1.5, 2.0 and 3.0, respectively. In Figure 4c,d, the amplitude and the intensity ranges are saturated in order to emphasise the relatively-low amplitude values of the propagating wave.

Thus, the ability of the microsphere to collect the highest spatial frequencies appears to be more complex. Recently, Lai et al. have experimentally highlighted that using a larger microsphere would lead to an increased efficiency of the transmitted intensity, but would reduce the resolving power [26]. In addition, a broadband light source usually illuminates the microsphere in experiments (instead of a coherent illumination). Due to the equivalence between the sphere diameter increase and the wavelength decrease in electromagnetism, Figure 3 shows that several nearby resonances will occur together using a broadband light source. Thus, each spectral component (or wavelength-dependent evanescent wave) would contribute to the conversion efficiency by the microsphere with more-or-less ability, yielding an increase in propagating-wave intensities. Moreover, to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the imaging contrast, plasmonic-based illumination has been suggested [27]. Finally, we can notice that increasing the spatial frequency of the evanescent wave leads to a decrease in the attenuation distance of the near-field wave and, thus, to a decrease in the axial distance along which evanescent waves can be collected (e.g., 400 nm when $n_s = 1.5$).

Figure 4 also shows that the outgoing propagating waves do not come from everywhere on the microsphere, but from specific points on its surface. This is due to the fact...
that the sphere interaction with the surface breaks the axial symmetry of the WGM [28]. This observation is important. More than a simple power collection (as in NSOM), this demonstrates the necessary relation between the spatial frequency and initial phasor $\psi$ coming from the object plane and the spatial emitting position, a prerequisite for achieving full-field imaging.

In experiments, immersion of high-refractive-index microspheres may be required such as in biological imaging [8,29] or may offer the possibility of manipulating microspheres above the sample [30]. Working in immersion increases not only the resolving power, but also the imaging contrast. Indeed, a more efficient ability of microspheres in immersion to collect high-frequency waves occurs in immersion, enhancing the experimental conditions and performance. The gap distance would appear to be reduced in immersion.

4. Conclusions

The phenomenon behind super resolution in microsphere-assisted microscopy has been investigated. Based on a finite element method, rigorous electromagnetic simulations in the visible range have shown not only the natural collection of evanescent waves by dielectric microspheres through WGMs, but also their conversion into propagating waves. The near-field high-spatial frequencies of an object are naturally coupled without requiring resonances contributing to the explanation of the sub-diffraction-limit imaging ability of glass microspheres. Furthermore, it aims at proposing a comprehensive physical imaging mechanism. An analogy with the principle of near-field scanning optical microscopy can thus be made with enhancements such as the wide-field measurement. In future studies, a complete description of the imaging process using will be implemented in order to quantify the resolving power according to geometrical and optical parameters. Moreover, simulations through microspheres having a diameter of larger than 3 µm (as is often the case in experiments) and the influence of WGMs on the spectrum of the broadband light source will be considered.
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