Enhanced Measurement of Vortex Beam Rotation Using Polarization-Assisted Particle Swarm Optimization for Phase Retrieval
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Abstract: In detecting the rotation velocity of an object employing the rotational Doppler effect of vortex beams, atmospheric turbulence can easily cause phase distortion and spiral spectrum dispersion, consequently reducing velocity measurement accuracy. This study combines adaptive optical intelligence algorithms with polarization compensation information to propose a novel approach, the Stokes–Particle swarm optimization Gerchberg–Saxton (Stokes-PSO GS) algorithm, which integrates Stokes polarization information assistance and PSO for GS phase retrieval. The algorithm adjusts the phase and amplitude of the pre-compensated phase screen of the GS algorithm utilizing Stokes information of polarized vortex beam (with $l_L = 5$ and $l_R = -5$) before and after distortion. The PSO is then employed to optimize the pre-compensated phase screen and perform compensations. Simulation results at $z_{S-T} = 200$ m and $C_n^2 = 1 \times 10^{-14}$ m$^{-2/3}$, demonstrate that the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm exhibits strong stability (small angular spectrum purity deviation, $\sigma_{p,\text{Stokes-PSO GS}} = 0.005675\% < \sigma_{p,\text{GS}} = 11.62\%$), superior optical field recovery (well-recovered Stokes optical field, up to 33.76% improvement in angular spectrum purity), and high-velocity measurement accuracy (25.93% improvement) compared to the GS algorithm. This approach enables precise measurement of the rotation velocity of the vortex beam, demonstrating its potential in practical applications.
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1. Introduction

The vortex beam, a type of beam characterized by a spiral wavefront structure with Orbital angular momentum (OAM), carrying the phase factor of $\exp(i l \theta)$ [1], has a wide range of application potential [2]. When a beam illuminates a rotating object’s surface, it exhibits a frequency shift related to the object’s rotational velocity, known as the Rotational Doppler effect (RDE) of vortex beams [3]. The RDE offers advantages such as non-contact measurement, short response time, and high precision in rotation velocity measurement, making it widely studied [4–6] and highly regarded. Recently, researchers have made significant progress in the field of RDE. Studies on the speckle rotational Doppler frequency shift and the analysis of the OAM spectrum components [7] in complex optical fields have clarified the essence of this phenomenon. Research on the RDE in different application scenarios, such as oblique [8], off-axis incidence [9], and complex motion [10] has been carried out successively. The rotational velocity measurement is optimized by methods such as cascaded doubling [11] and balanced detection [12]. Additionally, Guo et al. further analyse the angular acceleration detection error of targets in non-line-of-sight scenarios [13,14]. Guo et al. achieve angular velocity measurement in the infrared region by combining the Second harmonic generation (SHG) and RDE [15]. These studies, while
further clarifying the mechanism of the RDE, have also demonstrated the great potential of the RDE applications.

However, vortex beams are easily affected by random atmospheric turbulence in practical remote detection of the target rotation velocity, leading to beam distortion and OAM spectrum dispersion [16], thereby reducing the rotational velocity measurement accuracy. Early attempts utilized Adaptive optics (AO) compensation based on Shack–Hartmann (SH) wavefront sensors [17] to detect wavefront distortion of Gaussian probe beam. However, this method faced challenges in detecting the spiral wavefront structure of vortex beams. To address this issue, non-wavefront sensor methods combined with phase retrieval algorithms such as the Wirtzinger flow (WF) [18] and Gerchberg–Saxton (GS) [19] play a crucial role in reducing beam distortions caused by atmospheric turbulence, which were previously commonly used in Coherent diffractive imaging (CDI) [20]. Within this scope, the GS algorithm, which calculates compensated phases based on distorted beam wavefronts in previous studies [21,22], has the ability to improve the velocity measurement accuracy to a certain extent.

Nonetheless, conventional adaptive optics compensation algorithms primarily rely on distorted optical fields’ phase and intensity information and often fall into local optima during the calculation process [23]. They suffer from limited compensation information acquisition dimensions, poor stability and optical field recovery quality [23,24]. Consequently, many researchers introduced intelligent algorithms such as the Artificial fish school algorithm (AFSA) [25], Convolutional neural networks (CNN) [26], and others [27–29] to further recover the optical field. In our work, this problem is addressed by proposing a research method that combines the GS algorithm with the Stokes polarization information assistance and Particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm, namely the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm, to compensate for the distorted vortex beam and improve velocity measurement accuracy. In previous studies, the Stokes polarization information is widely used in polarisation imaging [30], but its potential for distorted optical field recovery and velocity measurement accuracy enhancement has been overlooked.

Specifically, the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm proposed in this work introduces the individual Stokes polarization components of the polarized vortex beams to obtain more compensation information and adjust the phase and amplitude of the pre-compensated phase screen. Afterwards, the PSO algorithm is further iteratively optimized to compensate for the distorted optical field more effectively, and finally, the best pre-compensated phase screen and the highest velocity measurement accuracy are obtained. Simulation results demonstrate that the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm effectively enhances the quality of optical field recovery compared to the GS algorithm, improves angular spectrum purity, and enhances rotational velocity measurement accuracy. It exhibits high algorithm stability, excellent optical field recovery, and high-velocity measurement accuracy. This work demonstrates the potential of introducing multi-dimensional compensation information to participate in the AO intelligent algorithm for distorted optical field compensation, which provides important support for improving the rotational velocity measurement accuracy of vortex beams on remote targets.

2. System Design

The system design’s schematic is illustrated in Figure 1. Generating a polarized vortex beam employed the grating diffraction method [31]. Two Spatial light modulators (SLM) with topological charges of $-5$ and 5, modulating in mutually perpendicular directions, were utilized to produce left- and right-circularly polarized vortex beams, respectively. The target beam was obtained by superimposing these beams. The polarized vortex beams with opposite topological charges, having similar phase structures, could perform phase compensation better. Figure 1 displays the phase grating patterns loaded on the SLM1 and SLM2 for Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) vortex beams [32] and the resulting beam patterns. The beam undergoes distortion through SLM3 loading a simulated random atmospheric turbulence phase screen. A randomly generated phase screen, obtained through the
power spectrum inversion method, substituted the atmospheric turbulence process. The power spectrum employed a modified Von Karman spectrum [33], and the missing low-frequency components in the phase screen were compensated utilizing the sub-harmonic compensation method [34].

Figure 1. System design diagram.

The beam passes through a Stokes detection system comprising a quarter-wave plate and linear polarizer. It simultaneously functions as a left/right circular polarized component selection system. It acquires the Stokes parameters of the undistorted and distorted beams, where $S_0 = I_0$, $S_1 = I_H - I_V$, $S_2 = I_D - I_A$, $S_3 = I_R - I_L$, and $I_0$, $I_H$, $I_V$, $I_D$, $I_A$, $I_R$, $I_L$, represent the total intensity, horizontal, vertical, diagonal, anti-diagonal, right-circular, and left-circular polarized light intensities, respectively. After the beam illuminates the rotating target and reflects the return signal, the left/right circularly polarized component is selected. The selected left/right circularly polarized components are reflected by a beam splitter and focused by a lens onto a CCD detector, where the spot intensity is detected and recorded. The intensity information of the distorted left/right circularly polarized components and the Stokes intensity and phase information of the polarized vortex beam before and after turbulence distortion are utilized as initial conditions for the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm. This algorithm adjusts the phase and amplitude of the pre-compensated phase screen of the GS algorithm by utilizing the Stokes parameters to obtain more compensation information. Further optimization by the PSO algorithm gives the best compensation feedback on the screen. The use of polarisation Stokes to obtain multi-channel information and increase the dimensions of compensation information can obtain a better compensation matrix and velocimetry accuracy enhancement. The compensation phase screen obtained by the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm is loaded onto the SLM4. Another beam passes through SLM4 for phase recovery compensation. The intensity of the distorted beam and the recovered beam after compensation are presented in Figure 1. After interfering with the reference light, the echo photon signals are received and collected by an Avalanche photodiode (APD) detector, obtaining the temporal signal of the intensity. After the Fourier transform, the rotational Doppler frequency shift is extracted, which is used to calculate the target’s rotational velocity.
3. Stokes-PSO GS Algorithm

The workflow of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm is depicted in Figure 2, involving the following three steps:

- **Step 1: Compare and Select Appropriate Compensation Information as Input to the Algorithm.**
  
  Perform Stokes parameter detection on normal and distorted polarized vortex beams before and after passing through turbulence. Because S0 and S3 have S0 = I_R + I_L, S3 = I_R - I_L, the compensation obtained by utilizing S0, S3 light intensity information can be effectively transferred to I_R, I_L. As illustrated in Figure 3a,d, the neighbouring phase regions of S1 and S2 all have a phase difference of \( \pi \). After turbulence, the phase becomes distorted, providing richer phase information. Therefore, the phase information of S1 and S2, along with the intensity information of S0 and S3, are selected as the input information for the algorithm. An auxiliary evaluation factor \( \beta \) is defined and calculated to further evaluate the Stokes intensity information. Here, \( \text{SNR}_0 \) represents the signal-to-noise ratio of the spectrum during rotational velocimetry in non-turbulence, and \( \sigma^2 (d) \) denotes the scintillation index within the effective receiving area of the beam. \( P_0 \) and \( P \) represent the received optical power in non-turbulence and turbulence, respectively. Factor \( \beta \) comprehensively measures the ability of the Stokes parameters to resist the fluctuations caused by atmospheric turbulence and the velocity measurement accuracy of the vortex beam. Consequently, the amplitude adjustment factor \( \delta \) for the pre-compensated phase screens can be calculated.

![Figure 2. Stokes-PSO GS Algorithm Flowchart.](image-url)
Step 2: Adjust the Fluctuation Level and Phase of the Pre-compensated Phase Screens and Improve the GS Algorithm.

Utilizing the intensity information from the S0 and S3 components and phase information from the S1 and S2 components as auxiliary data enhanced the GS algorithm here. Specifically, the pre-compensated phase screens for S1 and S2 components were computed utilizing the GS algorithm, incorporating a non-wavefront sensor compensation technique:

\[ C_1 = \text{angle}(S1) - \phi_{GS,S1} \]
\[ C_2 = \text{angle}(S2) - \phi_{GS,S2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In these equations, \( \text{angle}(S1) \) and \( \text{angle}(S2) \) represent S1 and S2 phases under non-turbulence, \( \phi_{GS,S1} \) and \( \phi_{GS,S2} \) represent auxiliary data obtained from the GS algorithm output. \( \Gamma \times C_1 + C_2 \) is added to the original pre-compensated phase screens \( H_R \) and \( H_L \) of the original left and right circularly polarized components, thus realizing the improvement of the GS algorithm employing the phase auxiliary information of the Stokes parameter. Here, \( \Gamma \) is an optimization coefficient matrix achieved through the PSO algorithm to minimize the velocity measurement error. The rotational Doppler velocimetry error of a vortex beam is given by \( \sigma_\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{||\tau\sqrt{\text{SNR}}}} \), as derivated in Appendix A.

Subsequently, based on the relationship between \( S0, S3, I_R \) and \( I_L \), analogous relationships can be established for \( \delta_R + \delta_L = \beta_{S0} \) and \( \delta_R - \delta_L = \beta_{S3} \). Here, \( \beta_{S0} \) and \( \beta_{S3} \) represent auxiliary evaluation factors for S0 and S3, respectively, where \( \beta_{S0} = 0.9480 \) and \( \beta_{S3} = 0.1576 \). \( \delta_L \) and \( \delta_R \) evaluate the turbulence resistance ability of the left and right circularly polarized components. Thus, adjustment factors for the fluctuation levels of pre-compensated phase screens \( H_L \) and \( H_R \) obtained through the GS algorithm can be calculated: \( \delta_R = 0.5528 \) and \( \delta_L = 0.3952 \). The right-circular polarized component exhibits higher turbulence resistance ability. To enhance the turbulence resistance ability of the left-circular component, adjustments can be made to the pre-compensated phase screen of both left and right circularly polarized components utilizing the phase and intensity compensation information from the Stokes parameters:

\[ H_R = H_R + \delta_L(\Gamma \ast C_1 + C_2) \]
\[ H_L = H_L + \delta_R(\Gamma \ast C_1 + C_2) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
Step 3: Further Optimization with the PSO Algorithm

The PSO is an intelligent parallel evolutionary algorithm that starts from random particles (random solutions). It evaluates the quality of solutions employing a fitness function, tracks individual and current global best values, iteratively updates their velocities and positions, and searches for the global optimum. The PSO possesses advantages such as ease of implementation, high precision, and fast convergence. In this step, the PSO is utilized to optimize the relative coefficient matrix by taking the highest or average velocity measurement accuracy of the left and right circular polarized components as the fitness function. The iterative updates enable the velocity measurement accuracy of the beam to reach its peak, ultimately obtaining the optimal velocity measurement accuracy and the corresponding $\Gamma$ matrix.

4. Results and Analysis
4.1. Optical Field Recovery

In this section, the distorted optical field of the polarized vortex beam with $l_L = 5$ and $l_R = -5$ is recovered utilizing the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm. The simulation parameters employed here are: $\lambda = 532$ nm, waist radius $w_0 = 0.003$ m, SLM3 loaded with atmospheric turbulence intensity $C_n^2 = 1 \times 10^{-14}$ m$^{-2/3}$ phase screen, resolution $600 \times 600$, turbulent extra scale $L = 20$ m, turbulent inner scale $l_0 = 0.005$ m, GS algorithm iterations $N_{GS} = 50$, $\Omega = 50$ rad/s, distance between the measurement system and the target $z_{S-T} = 200$ m (both incident and return paths distance are 200 m), subharmonic number $P = 3$. Considering the large matrix size, achieving a balance between global search and self-optimization capabilities and between the breadth of solution space and computational time, the key parameters of the PSO algorithm, including the number of iterations $N_{PSO}$, the number of particles $N_p$, inertia weight $\alpha$, self-cognitive factor $m_1$, and social cognitive factor $m_2$ are adjusted as follows: $N_{PSO} = 700$, $N_p = 70$, $\alpha = 0.8$, $m_1 = m_2 = 1.5$. The system accuracy criterion is the lowest velocity measurement error of left and right circular polarized components. After 700 iterations, the relative coefficient matrix $\Gamma$ corresponding to the lowest velocity measurement error obtained is presented in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Matrix $\Gamma$ obtained through PSO optimization. This is used to modulate the fluctuation degree of the pre-compensated phase screen.](image_url)

Figure 5 compares the pre-compensated phase screens obtained by the conventional GS algorithm and the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm. Based on the original vortex background phase structure with $l$ cycles, the latter added a phase structure with equal phase interval modulation of $S_1$ and $S_2$ parameters, dividing the output phase obtained by the GS algorithm. More compensatory information was obtained by introducing the Stokes polarization information, increasing velocity measurement accuracy. In Figure 6e–h, the beam’s Stokes parameters in non-turbulence, after compensation employing the GS algorithm and the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm, are compared and analysed. It could be observed that the improvement brought by the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm mainly focused on the enhancement of the uniformly petal-shaped intensity distribution for $S_0$, $S_2$, and $S_3$ components and a part region of $S_1$. This enhancement was attributed to the introduction of Stokes information. Compared to the phase diagrams of $S_1$ and $S_2$ obtained in non-turbulence, compensated
by the GS algorithm, the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm, illustrated in Figure 3b,e, demonstrates improved phase recovery owing to the introduced phase auxiliary information. It better reconstructs the phases around phase singularities (the region enclosed by red circles in Figure 3) and outer phase areas, reducing the ambiguity range. In addition, the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm achieves a better recovery of uniformly phase interval distribution than the GS algorithm. This similarity is particularly noticeable in the S1 and S2 components in non-turbulence.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Pre-compensated phase screens for left and right circular polarized components, obtained by (a,b) GS algorithm and (c,d) Stokes-PSO GS algorithm. Note that the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm shows a clear Stokes phase splitting based on the phase screen obtained by the GS algorithm.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** (a–d): Stokes parameters in non-turbulence. (e–h): Stokes parameters after compensation by Stokes-PSO GS algorithm. (i–l): Stokes parameters after compensation by GS algorithm. Note that the Stokes parameters recovered by the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm are characterized by a clear uniformly petal-shaped intensity enhancement.

4.2. Enhancement of Orbital Angular Momentum Spectrum Purity

To comprehensively analyse the effectiveness of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm in recovering distorted optical fields, Figure 7a,b display the orbital angular momentum helical
spectra of the restored fields. After algorithmic restoration, the OAM purity for the ±5 orders of the left and right circular polarized components can reach 72.11% and 73.13%, respectively. However, there is a small amount of orbital angular momentum distribution around the opposite topological charges, which is clearly reflected in Figure 7, caused by the Stokes information in the phase screen. Furthermore, based on the definition of Stokes parameters and substrate transformation relation, by adding phase compensation information for the S1 and S2 components containing phase information in diagonal, anti-diagonal, horizontal, and vertical directions in the pre-compensated phase screens, this information can be transformed into compensation for the left and right circular polarized components. Combined with the PSO algorithm, it can further enhance the polarized vortex beam’s field restoration and velocity measurement accuracy. By selecting 70 populations optimized by the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm and the −5 order polarized components obtained through 70 operations of the GS algorithm for angular spectrum analysis, it is evident that the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm exhibits higher angular spectrum purity (compared to the GS algorithm, increasing from 48.31 to 72.93%, and an average relative increase of 33.76%) and lower standard deviation ($\overline{P}_{\rho, \text{GS}} = 11.62\%$, $\overline{P}_{\rho, \text{Stokes-PSO GS}} = 0.005675\%$). This indicates that the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm demonstrates excellent stability in enhancing the OAM spectrum purity of the optical field.

**Figure 7.** (a) Orbital angular momentum helical spectra of polarized vortex beam’s left and right circularly polarized components after compensation employing the Stokes-PSO algorithm. (b) Orbital angular momentum helical spectra of $l_k = −5$ component of polarized vortex beam after compensation employing the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm and conventional GS algorithm. Note that the beams recovered from the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm have higher OAM purity and stabilization compared to the GS algorithm but have a small OAM distribution around the opposite topological charge.

### 4.3. Improvement in Velocity Measurement Accuracy

The optimization curves of the velocity measurement accuracy during the iteration process are presented in Figure 8. Because the left- and right-circular components have opposite topological charges, two optimization curves are optimized with the highest and the average velocimetry accuracy of the left and right circular polarized components, respectively, as the fitness functions. The left and right circular polarized components experience a significant decrease in velocity measurement error after 47 and 62 iterations, undergoing several steps of rapid decline. They eventually reach their lowest points after 49 and 320 iterations, stabilizing at 1.207 rad/s and 1.186 rad/s, respectively. After ten operations of calculation, the lowest points are stabilized at 1.192 and 1.184 rad/s in 47 and 353 iterations, with standard deviations of 0.01133 and 0.001124 rad/s, respectively. The difference in velocity measurement accuracy is small, indicating that after optimization, the velocity measurement accuracy of both components is similar. As presented in Table 1, compared to utilizing the conventional GS algorithm, the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm improves the velocity measurement accuracy by 25.93% on average. Compared to the uncompensated scenario, it improves by an average of 29.12%. Finally, the study explores
the ability of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm to improve velocity measurement accuracy for distorted beams at different polarized orders. For polarized vortex beams with opposite topological charges $l_1, l_2$ of the left and right circular polarized components, the polarized order $p$ can be represented as $p = |(l_1 - l_2)/2|$. After 20 operations of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm and comparison with the GS algorithm, as illustrated in Figure 9, it is evident that under different polarized orders, the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm achieves higher mean velocity measurement accuracy (up to 26.89% improvement, with an average improvement of 25.34%) and lower standard deviation (1.121%) compared to the GS algorithm. The improvement rates $\eta$ are relatively stable, providing further evidence of the stability of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm. The results indicate that the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm, which utilizes polarization information and particle swarm optimization, can effectively and stably enhance the system’s velocity measurement accuracy for vortex beams propagating through atmospheric turbulence.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Velocity measurement accuracy optimization curves, utilizing (a) highest and (b) average velocity measurement accuracy for left and right circular polarized components as fitness functions.

![Figure 9](image_url)

**Figure 9.** Graph depicting the relationship between polarized order $p$ of beam and velocity measurement accuracy $\sigma$ after compensation adopting the GS algorithm and Stokes-PSO GS algorithm, along with improvement rate $\eta$ in velocity measurement accuracy compared to GS algorithm. Note that the recovered beam of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm has a higher and more stable rotational velocity measurement accuracy.
Table 1. Velocity measurement accuracy of a beam of uncompensated, GS compensated, Stokes-PSO GS compensated, $\sigma_\Omega$, $\sigma_\Omega$, Stokes-PSO GS, and $\sigma_\Omega$, Stokes-PSO GS, and improvement rate $\eta_1$, $\eta_2$ of $\sigma_\Omega$, Stokes-PSO GS compared to $\sigma_\Omega$ and $\sigma_\Omega$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sigma_\Omega$</th>
<th>$\sigma_\Omega$ GS</th>
<th>$\sigma_\Omega$, Stokes-PSO GS</th>
<th>$\eta_1$</th>
<th>$\eta_2$</th>
<th>$\eta_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>1.587 $^a$</td>
<td>1.184 $^a$</td>
<td>29.36%</td>
<td>29.12%</td>
<td>25.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.621 $^b$</td>
<td>1.192 $^b$</td>
<td>28.88%</td>
<td>29.12%</td>
<td>26.47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $^a$ and $^b$ represent (a) highest and (b) average velocity measurement accuracy for left and right circular polarized components, respectively, which are employed to calculate velocity measurement accuracy.

5. Discussion

The current research on compensating the distortion vortex beam through turbulence is mainly based on the use of adaptive optics and intelligent optimization algorithms to recover the optical field and improve the OAM purity, except for the introduction of probe beams to detect the distortion wavefront [35]. Rarely research focuses on improving the velocity measurement accuracy of distorted vortex beams after passing through turbulence. As proposed in ref. [23], the GS algorithm is improved by adding additional random phase perturbations in the spatial domain in each iteration, and ref. [26] proposes a model-based wavefront reconstruction method that enables the AO-based CNN algorithm to significantly improve the OAM purity under stronger turbulence intensities. It is worth noting that neither ref. [23] nor ref. [26] introduces new compensation information. Fewer studies have introduced new dimensions and multi-channel compensation information to participate in the algorithm, whereas ref. [36] introduces Fourier-domain information as input information to the Hybrid input–output algorithm (HIOA) to adjust the compensation phase screen in addition to the distorted light intensity, thus achieving a higher OAM purity enhancement ($\sim$20% $\rightarrow$ <70%). It also shows the great potential of introducing new compensation information to enhance the OAM purity. These studies have only focused on the optimization of the OAM purity, whereas this study builds on this by using the OAM spectral information to improve the velocity measurement accuracy. There is a lack of related research introducing the polarization Stokes parameters to assist in improving the optical field and enhancing the rotational velocity accuracy.

In Table 2, our work is compared to several representative studies above. Compared to the algorithms in other works, the highlight of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm is to introduce the multi-channel polarization Stokes compensation information as references to adjust the phase and amplitude of the pre-compensation phase screen, and further iteratively optimize the screen through the PSO algorithm to obtain better compensation ability. It is the reason that the algorithm boosted the velocity measurement accuracy by 25.93% and increased the OAM purity by 33.76%, compared to the GS algorithm. In addition, the introduction of PSO shapes the stability advantage ($\sigma_p$, Stokes-PSO GS = 0.005675%) of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm.

In the simulation process of this work, after the preliminary simulation calculations, compared to the genetic algorithm and its variant algorithms which show unstable optimization results, the PSO algorithm is chosen because of its good convergence and stability [37,38]. Severe optical field distortion under excessive turbulence intensity surpasses the compensatory ability of the algorithm, thus limiting the application scope of the algorithm. Moreover, considering the resolution of the phase screen, the parameters need to be set in such a way as to ensure calculation effectiveness and population diversity. The parameters $m_1$, $m_2$, and $\alpha$ of the PSO algorithm have an impact on the convergence of the results. Too large and too small values can cause the random solution to converge slowly or premature convergence to the local optimum. In conclusion, inappropriate parameter values, small population size, and a complex external environment can reduce results reproducibility, resulting in the algorithm’s inability to effectively leverage compensatory ability.

The Stokes-PSO GS algorithm requires the generation of high-quality polarized vortex beams and effective detection of Stokes parameters in the practical experimental process of rotational Doppler velocimetry. The resulting deviation has an impact on the calculation
results of the pre-compensated phase screen. Relief can be obtained by improving experimental skills. Additionally, if hardware-accelerated computation methods are not used, the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm requires a long computation time, which still needs to be improved for practical application.

Table 2. Comparison of previously published works with this work on the OAM purity enhancement in atmospheric turbulence using an intelligent algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm</td>
<td>Spatial phase perturbation (SPP) GS</td>
<td>AO and CNN</td>
<td>AO and HIOA</td>
<td>Stokes-PSO GS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_n^2$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-15} \text{m}^{-2/3}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-13} \text{m}^{-2/3}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-14} \text{m}^{-2/3}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-14} \text{m}^{-2/3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$-5$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$l_L = 5$, $l_R = -5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAM purity improvement</td>
<td>$&lt;20% \rightarrow &lt;50%$ c</td>
<td>$19% \rightarrow 61%$</td>
<td>$\sim 20% \rightarrow &lt;70%$ c</td>
<td>$11.51% \rightarrow 72.93%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(w/o \text{comp} \rightarrow \text{comp})$</td>
<td>$z = 100 \text{ m}$</td>
<td>$z = 1.2 \text{ km}$</td>
<td>$z = 500 \text{ m}$</td>
<td>$z_{ST} = 200 \text{ m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>$1550 \text{ nm}$</td>
<td>$1550 \text{ nm}$</td>
<td>$1550 \text{ nm}$</td>
<td>$532 \text{ nm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>$0.01 \text{ m}$</td>
<td>$0.02 \text{ m}$</td>
<td>$0.0157 \text{ m}$</td>
<td>$0.003 \text{ m}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: c represents a specific value not provided in the text. <: less than, ~: approximately; d represents without compensation.

There are many ways to improve the research methodology of this paper in subsequent studies. Adjusting the resolution of the phase screen to a more appropriate level, enlarging the population size, choosing better ways of adjusting parameters (e.g., adaptive adjustment strategy [39]), and imposing constraints on the solution range can improve the global optimization, recover the optical field, enhance the velocimetry accuracy, and control the computation time. Incorporating multi-channel and multi-dimensional information into the algorithm for enhancing compensation, selecting feature areas or setting new evaluation index and other ways to obtain available information are also worth considering. By building a database of optical fields at different turbulence intensities, the introduced compensation information is used to learn with intelligent algorithms, making the algorithm applicable to stronger turbulence. In addition, reducing the background noise interference could further improve the spectral $\text{SNR}$ and thus improve the velocity measurement accuracy. The above discussion demonstrates the broad expansion space of the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm.

We will carry out the research work in two aspects. On the one hand, in addition to further optimizing the PSO algorithm to unleash its optimal ability to improve the velocity measurement accuracy, we plan to integrate the adaptive optics compensation, intelligent algorithms, and multi-channel compensation information to improve the compensation ability of the algorithm. This involves adopting a suitable parameter adjustment strategy and reducing computation time. On the other hand, we intend to conduct experiments to improve the algorithm’s effectiveness in actual applications.

6. Conclusions

In summary, the proposed Stokes-PSO GS algorithm’s significant feature lies in its adjustment of the compensation phase and amplitude of the GS phase recovery algorithm’s pre-compensated phase screen based on the Stokes polarization information of the polarized vortex beam. This adjustment enhanced the OAM spectrum purity of the beam’s left and right circular polarized components by the reasonable choice of Stokes compensation information and outstanding optimization of the coefficient matrix with the PSO algorithm. Consequently, the algorithm effectively improved the velocity measurement accuracy. Simulation results demonstrated that the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm enhanced the intensity recovery quality of Stokes parameters by enhancing the uniformly petal-shaped intensity distribution structure. It provides better recovery for both phase singularities and peripheral phase regions of S1 and S2, and its uniformly phase intervals distribution. When applied to a polarized vortex beam with $l_L = 5$ and $l_R = -5$, the algorithm
boosted the velocity measurement accuracy by 25.93% and increased the OAM purity by 33.76%, \( \sigma_{p, \text{Stokes-PSO GS}} = 0.005675\% \), compared to the GS algorithm. Furthermore, under various polarized orders, the velocity measurement accuracy obtained by the Stokes-PSO GS algorithm exhibited an average improvement of 25.34% over the GS algorithm, with a standard deviation of 1.121%. This algorithm demonstrated high stability, excellent optical field recovery quality, and a consistently high improvement rate in velocity measurement accuracy. The method presented in this paper offers researchers a new approach to enhance the compensation effectiveness of distorted optical fields through careful selection and ingenious integration of increasing the dimensions of compensation information with adaptive optics intelligent algorithms to further improve the velocity measurements accuracy. The methodology of this paper is an important inspiration for the future development of compensation strategies that incorporate more multidimensional information and adopt smarter algorithms, as well as for the expansion of their applications, especially for remote free-space rotational velocimetry.
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**Appendix A**

Owing to the azimuthal component of the Poynting vector resulting from the rotation of the vortex beam around the optical axis, there was an offset angle \( \gamma \) between the photon’s direction of motion and the optical axis [40]. Upon reflection from a target, this led to a rotational Doppler frequency shift, which can be well explained utilizing the velocity projection model [41,42]. The formula for the rotational Doppler frequency shift in the case of a single-mode vortex beam is:

\[
\Delta f = \frac{|l| \Omega}{2\pi} \, (A1)
\]

\( \Omega \) represents the rotational velocity of the object. The standard deviation of the spectral peak in laser velocimetry can be expressed as [43]:

\[
\sigma_f = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi \tau \sqrt{2SNR}} \, (A2)
\]

The standard deviation of angular velocity measurement can be obtained from the error propagation formula as follows:

\[
\sigma_\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{|l| \tau \sqrt{SNR}} \, (A3)
\]

\( \tau \) represents the accumulation time of the APD detector, and \( SNR \) denotes the signal-to-noise ratio of the velocity measurement system. The signal-to-noise ratio is denoted as...
SNR = 10\log_{10}(P_{signal}/P_{noise}) = 20\log_{10}(A_{signal}/A_{noise}), \text{ where } A_{signal} \text{ and } A_{noise} \text{ represent the spectral amplitudes of the signal and noise components, respectively.}

The modal expansion method is commonly employed in studying the rotational Doppler effect of vortex beams. Without any special treatment for the target, the scattered light of various topological charges is approximately uniformly distributed. The received optical spectrum is subjected to angular spectrum analysis, simplifying the optical field and representing it:

\[
E = \epsilon_1 \exp(-i2\pi ft) \exp(i l_1 \Omega t) + \epsilon_2 \exp(-i2\pi ft) \exp(i l_2 \Omega t) + \cdots + \epsilon_N \exp(-i2\pi ft) \exp(i l_N \Omega t)
\] (A4)

In the equation, \(l_i\), for \(i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N\) represents the order of orbital angular momentum contained in the beam after the incident light undergoes angular spectrum dispersion in atmospheric turbulence. \(\epsilon_i\) is the relative power of the \(l_i\)-th order. Based on this, the Fourier transform is performed to extract the Doppler frequency shift values, allowing for the calculation of the object’s rotational velocity and the velocity measurement accuracy.
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