The Surprising Role of Endogenous Calcium Carbonate in Crab Shell-Mediated Biosorption of Pb (II)
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Abstract: Crustacean shells, waste from the seafood industry, have been identified as a potential sustainable material for the adsorption of lead, a potent heavy metal found in the discharge of industrial processes. The dynamics and kinetics of its performance were evaluated in batch experiments under pH, temperature, time, and initial concentration. A unique and non-intuitive key finding was that among the native components of the crab shell matrix, i.e., chitin, protein, and calcium carbonate, calcium carbonate was instrumental in sequestration. The role of protein was minimal, whereas the efficiency of chitin in lead complexation was linked to the lead atomic radius, which, of the crab shell components, we determined was very prone to interacting with chitin.
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1. Introduction

Increasing human activity and industrialization are currently the main point sources of pollutants in the environment. Large volumes of effluents containing heavy metals, radioactive waste, and organic pollutants are discharged into natural watersheds that eventually contaminate soils, wildlife, fish, and humans [1]. Heavy metals such as lead are among the most persistent and incalcitrant contaminants compared to organic compounds and petroleum derivatives [2] facilitating transit through the food chain [3] and eventually resulting in heavy metal poisoning.

An earlier batch experiment study examined whether compost and biogas residues could bio-sorb and remove lead (Pb) from contaminated water [4]. Biosorption was found to decrease with increasing Pb concentration, but at equilibrium, relatively greater sorption and removal were observed from compost compared to biogas, fit by the Freundlich equilibrium adsorption isotherm model. Compost was more porous, had greater surface area compared to biogas, and showed great sorption potential.

Heavy metal poisoning from lead is a consequence of exposure to contaminated water, food, or air [2]. Although several heavy metals are needed for human life (e.g., selenium), higher levels can lead to adverse effects. Today, thirty-five metals have been classified as threats to human health, of which twenty-three are heavy metals [5] whose intake through drinking water can lead to cancer, heart diseases, anemia, and kidney and liver damage [6,7]. Among heavy metals, lead, cadmium, and arsenic stand out for their high toxicity and are among the most common heavy metals that cause human poisoning. Lead is the most commonly found in water, especially due to the presence of this metal in plumbing material that corrodes under acidic conditions and in low mineral water, eventually finding its way...
into streams. According to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), even at low levels, lead poisoning can result in behavior and learning problems, seizures, anemia and slow growth in children, premature births in pregnant women, liver damage, reduced pulmonary function, lung dysfunction, gastrointestinal disorders, and cardiovascular dysfunction in adults. Although the consequences of heavy metal consumption by humans are well known, removing them from water remains a challenge. Common water remediation technologies include chemical precipitation and electrochemical treatment; however, these methods are largely amenable to relatively high heavy metal concentrations.

Additional technologies include membrane filtration and activated carbons, zeolites, or synthetic polymers; however, these latter technologies are expensive because they are highly dependent on the availability of raw materials [8]. Adsorption with activated carbon is commonly used because it provides a large pore size distribution and large surface area; nonetheless, large energy consumption and low yields conspire to deliver an expensive product for treating large amounts of water [8]. Consequently, activated carbon, even though effective, is unfeasible for large-scale applications. It is also unaffordable for low-to-medium-income communities [9].

New materials for heavy metal removal have been studied to assess technical feasibility and economics. Biosorption, the use of biological materials for adsorption [10], has been an intense subject of study for a variety of reasons [11]. Biomaterials have been studied as potential low-cost adsorbents because they have high metal binding capacities, are ubiquitous, inexpensive, and incur low processing costs [12]. Examples of such biomaterials include algae [9], microorganisms [12], bacteria [11], and biomass from fruit peels, bagasse, black liquor, and crustacean shells [8].

Interestingly, crustacean shells contain sequestrants such as chitin but have been sparingly studied as heavy metal sequesters despite being a low-cost option and being available in large quantities as a waste by-product of the seafood industry [13]. It is estimated that 6–8 million tons of crab, shrimp, and lobster shells are produced every year [14]. Typically, crustacean shells are disposed of in landfills because there is little data suggesting that they have the potential for valorization; however, landfilling tipping costs in several countries are high [14]. Alternative value-added uses have been considered, including chemical treatment to produce fertilizers [15,16], animal feed [17], and heavy metal ion sequesters [18]. The current work focused on studying the dynamics and kinetics of the removal of lead using pulverized crab shells whose performance was evaluated at high and low concentrations with concomitant material characterization.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Materials
Crab shells were purchased from a seafood processing company whose identity is not disclosed to maintain confidentiality. Sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid, and lead (Pb) (II) nitrate were purchased from VWR and used as received unless otherwise specified.

2.2. Methods
Shell cleaning and particle size reduction. Crab shells were washed and cleaned using deionized water and oven-dried at 60 °C for 24 h. Particle size reduction was performed using a Wiley mill until 40-mesh particle sizes were obtained.

Compositional Analysis. Raw material (crab shell) characterization was performed to determine major chemical composition (i.e., protein, calcium carbonate, and chitin). Mass balance was performed through the extraction of each major component in the crab shell. Proteins were extracted by treating the crushed crab shells with a 1 M solution of sodium hydroxide at 65 °C for 2 h. Subsequently, the residual calcium carbonate and other minerals were removed by acid treatment using 1.5 M hydrochloric acid at room temperature for
Elemental analysis was used to measure the amount of N and Ca. The results were translated to protein content using the following equation:

$$%P = (%N - 6.9) \times 6.25$$ (1)

where %P is the fraction of protein and %N is the fraction of nitrogen reported by elemental analysis, which was obtained using a PerkinElmer 2400 CHNS Analyzer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The number 6.9 corresponds to the theoretical percentage of N on fully acetylated chitin while 6.5 corresponds to the theoretical percentage of N in proteins [19].

**Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).** The analysis of functional groups present in the composite material was accomplished using UATR in a PerkinElmer Frontier IR single-beam spectrometer. The window used for the analysis was 4000–650 cm$^{-1}$ at a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ and 32 scans.

**X-ray Diffraction (XRD).** A Rigaku Smart Lab X-ray diffractometer was used for XRD measurements of powdered samples. The experiments were carried out at $2\theta = 5–40^\circ$ [20].

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).** Morphological analyses of the samples were performed using a Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope–FEI Verios 460L (Field Electron and Ion Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA). A voltage of 1.00 kV and 13 pA current were used. For higher magnification samples, a 500 V bias was used to reduce sample charging.

**Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX).** Elemental Analysis was performed using an Oxford energy-dispersive X-ray in a variable pressure scanning electron microscope (VP-SEM) Hitachi S3200N (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

**Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET).** The specific surface area (BET) of the sample was measured with a Micromeritics Gemini VII 2390p instrument (Norcross, GA, USA), using the adsorption of N$_2$ at 77 K according to the literature [21].

**Batch Experiments.** Crab shells were grounded and used as an adsorbent for the removal of Pb (II). Metal uptake (mg/g), $q_e$, was used to assess the performance of the ground crab shells. To evaluate the basis for its sorption performance, pH, adsorbent dose, contact time, and temperature were controlled. Pb (II) concentration was measured using an ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry) Perkin Elmer Elan DRCII.

The following equations were used to express the efficiency of the adsorption of Pb (II):

$$q_e = \frac{V \times (C_0 - C_e)}{W}$$ (2)

$$\%\text{Removal} = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100\%$$ (3)

where $q_e$ is metal uptake (mg/g), $V$ is the volume of the solution (mL), $W$ is the weight of the crab shell (g), $C_0$ is the concentration of Pb (II) before adsorption (mg/mL), and $C_e$ is the concentration of Pb (II) after adsorption (mg/mL).

**Effect of pH.** Pb (II) uptake was determined at different pHs, which were controlled using diluted solutions of hydrochloric acid (HCl) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH).

**Effect of adsorbent dose.** Masses of crab shells (0.1–1.0 g) were placed in contact with the Pb (II) solution for 1 h after which $q_e$ was determined.

**Effect of contact time.** Standard masses of adsorbent were placed in contact with the Pb (II) solution at 10–240 min intervals.

**Effect of temperature.** To analyze the thermodynamic behavior of adsorption, the effect of the temperature was measured from 20 to 40 °C.

**Adsorption Isotherms.** Adsorption was evaluated using a variable concentration of Pb (II), from 10 mg/L to 1000 mg/L, and data obtained were fitted according to linear Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models. The monomolecular adsorption of a single
metal from a liquid to a solid was modeled using Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm models [22]:

\[ q_e = \frac{q_{\text{max}} b C_e}{1 + b C_e} \]  

(4)

where \( q_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum metal uptake (mg/g), \( b \) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant related to the affinity of binding sites, which measures the energy of adsorption (L/mg), and \( C_e \) is the final concentration of the metal in the solution. The linearized form of Equation (4) is:

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}} b} + \frac{C_e}{q_{\text{max}}} \]  

(5)

In our work, the Freundlich isotherm represents an empirical equation assuming adsorption on a heterogeneous crab shell–water interface possessing an adsorption capacity as a function of the concentration of adsorbed lead cations at equilibrium. This isotherm is best for treating metal ion adsorption at high concentrations, as represented by Equation (6):

\[ q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n} \]  

(6)

where \( q_e \) is the metal uptake (mg/g), \( K_f \) is the adsorption capacity at unit concentration (L/mg), \( 1/n \) is the strength of adsorption, and \( C_e \) is the final concentration of the metal in the solution and may be linearized according to Equation (7):

\[ \ln q_e = \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e + \ln K_f \]  

(7)

3. Results and Discussion

Characterization. An important objective of our characterization studies was determining the main components of the raw material and their role in Pb (II) biosorption. In theory, crab shells and crustacean shells are comprised almost exclusively of calcium carbonate, protein, and chitin [23]. The level of each component varies depending on the species. After acid extraction with hydrochloric acid (1.5 M), calcium carbonate composed 68% of the total mass. The protein content was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay for protein analysis and elemental analysis after alkali treatment (1 M). The protein content was determined to be 8.4%. Chitin content was determined to be 15.1%, whereas the water content was 6.4% on a dry basis. The crab shell composition was found to be comparable to previous reports [24].

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). FTIR spectra (Figure 1) were used to identify key functional groups in chitin and crab shells. For chitin, distinct peaks at 3443, 3259, 1656, 1625, and 1553 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed that are attributed to the stretching of OH groups, NH groups, and amide I and amide II, respectively [25,26]. In crab shells, peaks at 3262, 1635, 1420, 1052, and 872 were observed, attributed to NH stretching, -N-H-CO stretching, NO\(_2\) groups in protein, and calcium carbonate, respectively [27,28].

X-ray Diffraction (XRD). Among crab shell components, calcium carbonate and chitin crystal structures can diffract X-rays. Peaks with higher intensity are associated with one of these latter structures. In samples of pure crab shell, the peak at 20–30° is associated with the presence of calcium carbonate in its polymorphic structures; calcite [29] at room temperature is the most thermodynamically stable form of CaCO\(_3\) [30].

Alternatively, for pure chitin samples, the main peaks found at 20–9.15–9.25° and 19.05–19.15° are associated with amorphous and crystalline diffractions of chitin, respectively. These peaks serve to assess the crystallinity index of the chitin structure based on Equation (2), in which chitin was found to be 0.54. Together with the results from FTIR and what is already reported, the structure of the crab shell corresponds to an \( \alpha \)-chitin polymorph [31].
and what is already reported, the structure of the crab shell corresponds to an \( \alpha \)-chitin polymorph [31].

Figure 1. (a) LEFT: FTIR spectra of chitin and crab shells. (b) RIGHT: X-ray diffraction for pure crab shell and pure chitin. The XRD patterns of all types of chitosan display two crystalline peaks at \( 2\theta = 10^\circ \) and \( 20^\circ \) [32].

3.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Scanning electron microscopy was used to determine material morphology. In Figure 2a, crab shells display three external layers. The first is the epicuticle, a mostly waterproof barrier, followed by the exocuticle, which supports mechanical loads, and finally, the endocuticle, which is \( \sim 90\% \) of the shell [31]. In a more detailed image, Figure 2b, chitin fibers whose general orientation is apparent can be observed. A porous structure, responsible for nutrient transport within the structure, can be observed. The pores extant throughout the endocuticle are approximately 30–50 nm in size, spaced \( \sim 5 \) nm apart, and account for \( \sim 70\% \) porosity.
3.2. Batch Experiments

3.2.1. High Lead Concentration (100 mg/L)

Adsorbent concentration, contact time, pH, and temperature effects were evaluated. As observed in Figure 3, under constant pH, initial concentration, contact time, and temperature, the increased addition of adsorbent decreased the adsorption capacity of lead due to steady-state saturation kinetics. With respect to contact time, longer times allow for increasing adsorption, in which the removal efficiency varies from 98.66% after one hour to 99.32% after four hours; the adsorption capacity changes from 25.5 to 26.5 mg/g. Our result is very much in line with experimental data obtained using rapeseed sorbents for Pb (II), in which a Pb (II) removal efficiency of 94.47% was obtained [33].

Figure 3. Effects of different adsorption parameters on the biosorption capacity of lead by crab shells, with 100 mg/L of lead as the starting concentration. (a) Contact time, (b) Adsorbent amount, (c) Temperature, (d) pH.
With respect to pH, higher adsorption is achieved for pH between 3 and 4. These phenomena are likely due to the pH speciation of lead within this pH range, which allows precipitation on the surface of the crab shell followed by sequestration. This is not an altogether surprising finding given what has already been reported [33]. Acidic pH also significantly helps in the ionization of calcium carbonate, favoring a variety of complexations between lead and calcium carbonate. A temperature of ~30 °C was optimal for biosorption in our limited temperature range, although it was only 10–15% greater than what was observed at room temperature; therefore, the influence of temperature is not as important as the other parameters studied, which is not completely surprising.

3.2.2. Low Lead Concentration (10 mg/L)

Common concentrations of lead in raw drinking water are in the range of 10 mg/L. However, most adsorbents fail to remove heavy metals at this concentration. Consequently, we decided to evaluate the performance of heavy metal ions at concentrations starting from 10 mg/L, where a plateau of ~0.2 mg/L was achieved. In Figure 4, similar conditions used for high lead concentrations (Figure 3) were evaluated at low lead concentrations. As a result, maximum metal uptake corresponded to 42 mg/g at 10 mg of material; pH 5 was ideal at ~60 min of residence time, which appears to correspond to an optimal pH for lead precipitation at the calcium carbonate sites in the crab shells. The conditions were evaluated at pH values obtained from optimization studies conducted under high lead concentrations. Because pH changes the speciation of lead in water to favor its precipitation at higher pHs, it was reasonable to discover similar behavior at low lead concentrations. Remarkably, at a 10 mg/L (low) lead concentration, we observed a much faster kinetic response relative to the 100 mg/L (high) concentration of lead which likely has to do with the more abundant site (calcium carbonate) adsorption access (saturation kinetics), a finding which is not surprising.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Effects of different adsorption parameters on the adsorption capacity of lead by crab shells when using 10 mg/L of lead as the starting concentration. (a) Contact time, (b) Adsorbent amount.

3.3. Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS)

EDS Analysis was used to estimate the final concentrations of different elements on the crab shell surface. As shown in Figure 5, the presence of lead can be observed on the surface. Additionally, it is important to consider the peaks associated with calcium since this element plays a central role in the adsorption process.

The calcium on the surface is mainly due to the calcium carbonate and is the basis for the bio-sorption phenomenon of crab shells. As shown by the acid pH experiments, the main mechanism for the removal of heavy metals is cation exchange between the calcium cation of the carbonate salt and the metal ions. In the end, the expected outcome is the precipitation of (Pb, Ca)-CO$_3$ compounds on the surface, a sequestration phenomenon that
ensures the removal of Pb (II). This result was shown to be the case for not only lead but also for other heavy metal ions, which were removed by calcium-enriched sorbents [34].

Figure 5. SEM-EDS of crab shell bio-sorbents after lead adsorption.

3.4. Adsorption Isotherms

The adsorption isotherm deconvolves adsorption mechanisms on how adsorbates such as lead distribute between the liquid and the crab shell. Langmuir isotherm assumes two adsorption process foundational principles: (1) adsorption occurs at specified homogeneous adsorption sites, and (2) monolayer adsorption with concomitant maximum adsorption occurs when adsorbates (lead cations) form a saturated layer. It is understood all adsorption
sites are energetically the same and intermolecular forces diminish with an inverse square function of the distance to the adsorption site.

In our study, the Langmuir and Freundlich models were used to assess the adsorption equilibrium between the lead cation and the crab shell biosorbent. The isotherm constants for the two models were obtained using the linear regression method and are listed in Table 1. Once optimal results for adsorption of lead were obtained, adsorption isotherms were evaluated according to pH, residence times, and sorbent levels. The adsorption isotherm is shown in Figure 6, where the experimental data were fitted according to linearized Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms as shown in Equations (5) and (7), respectively. The maximum adsorption capacity, $q_{\text{max}}$, using the Langmuir model was 384.6 mg/g (Table 1).

In this case, the system has an $R^2 > 0.99$ goodness of fit to the Langmuir isotherm, which assumes monolayer adsorption at least in one part of the process, followed by multilayer adsorption, as later confirmed by BET studies conducted to confirm that the absorption models are valid.

Table 1. Results of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm linear model parameters $^\dagger$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression Parameter</th>
<th>Langmuir Isotherm</th>
<th>Freundlich Isotherm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/q_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{\text{max}}$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>384.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ln K_f$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_f$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^\dagger$ Each of the linearized isotherms had $R^2 = 0.95$.

3.5. Kinetic Studies

Kinetics provide valuable data on the mechanism of biosorption to tune the efficiency of adsorption. A variety of kinetic models are available, including pseudo-first-order,
pseudo-second-order, and intraparticle diffusion, to examine the controlling mechanisms of biosorption (e.g., chemical reactivity, diffusion, and mass transfer). A pseudo-first-order equation is expressed in Equation (8):

\[
\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_1 (q_e - q_t)
\]

(8)

where \(k_1\) is the equilibrium rate constant of a pseudo-first-order kinetic model \(\text{min}^{-1}\). Following integration and recognition of the validity of the conditions, \(q_t = 0\) at \(t = 0\) and \(q_t = q_e\) at \(t = t\), a linearized differential equation may be obtained as follows:

\[
\log\left(\frac{q_e}{q_t}\right) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1}{2.303} t
\]

(9)

Straight-line plots of \(\log\left(\frac{q_e}{q_t}\right)\) versus \(t\) for the biosorption of Pb (II) onto crab shell at Pb(II) concentrations of 10, 50, and 100 mg/L were tested to obtain rate parameters whose results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Kinetic parameters of pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models of Pb (II) removal by crab shells at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pseudo-First-Order Fit</th>
<th>Pseudo-Second-Order Fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>(q_e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>77.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were later subjected to a pseudo-second-order kinetic rate equation expressed as follows:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]

(10)

where \(k_2\) is the equilibrium rate constant of a pseudo-second-order kinetic model \(\text{g/mg} \times \text{min}\). The applicability of the model was tested by drawing linear plots of \(\frac{t}{q_t}\) versus \(t\) at different Pb (II) concentrations (10, 50, and 100 mg/L) on the crab shell. \(k_2\), \(q_e\), and \(R^2\) (correlation coefficient) were calculated from all plots and are given in Table 2.

Data in Table 2 clearly demonstrate the inapplicability of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model for the adsorption of Pb (II) on crab shells due to the negative slope and lower \(R^2\). However, the linear fit of \(t/q_t\) versus contact time \((t)\), as indicated by the tight correlation coefficient \((R^2 > 0.99)\) of the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, shows the applicability of this model, which demonstrates that initial Pb (II) concentration has an important role in the adsorption capacity of the crab shell.

3.6. BET Surface Area

BET analysis was performed with the objective of determining the surface area values of the materials along with the types of adsorption. As a result, it is possible to ascertain the porosity of the solid. In Table 3, results associated with the total surface area can be observed for crab shells and crab shells after alkali treatment (no protein) and acid treatment. In relation to the results obtained using ICP-MS, higher adsorption by crab shells is obtained once proteins are removed. This phenomenon takes place because, after protein removal, the calcium carbonate is more exposed, thus allowing significantly higher interaction with Pb (II).

Alternatively, analysis of the relative pressure vs. adsorption gives an idea of the type of adsorption. As shown in Figure 7, the behavior of crab shell adsorption is more like a type II adsorption isotherm. In this case, at low pressure, there is the formation of a monolayer, while at high pressure, multilayer adsorption takes place. As a result, it is possible to infer a macroporous structure within the crab shell [35].
Table 3. BET surface area results with $R^2 = 0.95$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Characteristics</th>
<th>Surface Area (m$^2$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crab Shell 40 mesh</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crab Shell No Protein (No Protein)</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crab Shell No Mineral (No CaCO$_3$)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Relative pressure vs. quantity adsorbed for (a) Crab shell, 2 mm, (b) Crab shell, 40 mesh, (c) Crab shell, no CaCO$_3$, (d) Crab shell, No protein.

3.7. Additional Extraction Studies

In the search for possible explanations for the behavior shown, three additional tests were performed (Figure 8). Calcium carbonate and proteins were removed from the matrix of the crab shells, which were then re-evaluated to scrutinize performance. It was found that higher adsorption was achieved when proteins were removed from the crab shells. Therefore, it can be safely stated that calcium carbonate plays a key role in the adsorption of Pb (II) through the formation of different compounds between Pb (II) and calcium carbonate as supported by previous studies [18]. The mechanism behind the removal is based on ion exchange followed by precipitation of Pb (II)–carbonate compounds in addition to complexation with chitin.

Finally, chitin plays a key role in the adsorption process via the complexation of Pb (II); in fact, its efficiency has been linked to the radius and the size of the molecule [36,37]. Bigger contaminants such as lead, for example, are more likely to interact with chitin. This is, in part, supported by our previous studies [38,39].
3.7. Additional Extraction Studies

In the search for possible explanations for the behavior shown, three additional tests were performed (Figure 8). Calcium carbonate and proteins were removed from the matrix of the crab shells, which were then re-evaluated to scrutinize performance. It was found that higher adsorption was achieved when proteins were removed from the crab shells. Therefore, it can be safely stated that calcium carbonate plays a key role in the adsorption of Pb (II) through the formation of different compounds between Pb (II) and calcium carbonate as supported by previous studies [18]. The mechanism behind the removal is based on ion exchange followed by precipitation of Pb (II)–carbonate compounds in addition to complexation with chitin.

![Figure 8. Pb (II) adsorption by partially modified crab shells. Error is within ±5%.](image)

4. Conclusions

The crab shell was shown to represent a unique sorption platform for Pb (II) removal by virtue of its compositional characteristics. A key finding was that among its native components, calcium carbonate was instrumental in sequestration, whereas the role of protein was marginal at best. Interestingly, a non-intuitive finding was that despite the rich amine functionalization of chitin, complexation was associated with ionic radius, which was more likely, therefore, to interact with chitin. Nevertheless, the most remarkable finding in this study is that calcium carbonate appears to be extremely versatile in providing biosorption. It was found that acidic pH helps in the ionization of calcium carbonate (calcite), favoring complexation between Pb (II) and calcium carbonate ions. In addition, the removal of the protein layer significantly enhanced the exposure of the calcite structure, allowing for increased sequestration.
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