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Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the modified symmetric teleparallel gravity or \( f(Q) \) gravity, where \( Q \) is the nonmetricity, to study the evolutionary history of the universe by considering the functional form of \( f(Q) = aQ^n \), where \( a \) and \( n \) are constants. Here, we consider the parametrization form of the deceleration parameter as \( q = q_0 + q_1 z/(1 + z)^2 \) (with the parameters \( q_0 \) (at \( z = 0 \)), \( q_1 \), and the redshift, \( z \)), which provides the desired property for a sign flip from a decelerating to an accelerating phase. We obtain the solution of the Hubble parameter by examining the mentioned parametric form of \( q \), and then we impose the solution in Friedmann equations. Employing the Bayesian analysis for the Observational Hubble data (OHD), we estimated the constraints on the associated free parameters \( (H_0, q_0, q_1) \) with \( H_0 \) the current Hubble parameter to determine if this model may challenge the \( \Lambda \)CDM (\( \Lambda \) cold dark matter with the cosmological constant, \( \Lambda \)) limitations. Furthermore, the constrained current value of the deceleration parameter \( q_0 = -0.832^{+0.091}_{-0.091} \) shows that the present universe is accelerating. We also investigate the evolutionary trajectory of the energy density, pressure, and EoS (equation-of-state) parameters to conclude the accelerating behavior of the universe. Finally, we try to demonstrate that the considered parametric form of the deceleration parameter is compatible with \( f(Q) \) gravity.
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1. Introduction

Recently, several cosmological observations [1–6] have supported the late-time cosmic acceleration expansion of the universe. However, based on the same cosmological observation, it is estimated that dark energy (DE) and dark matter (DM) cover up 95–96% of the universe’s composition, comprising mysterious dark components, the so-called dark matter and dark energy, whereas baryonic matter covers up 4–5% of the content of the universe. Presently, general relativity (GR) is believed to be the most successful theory of gravitation, and its few gravitational tests have been discussed in Ref. [7]. However, it cannot provide a satisfactory explanation for the dark energy and dark matter problem; hence, it may not be regarded as the ultimate gravitational force theory for dealing with the current cosmological problems. Several alternative approaches have been proposed in the literature over the last several decades to overcome the current cosmological problems. Nowadays, the modified theory of gravity is the most admirable candidate to solve the current difficulties (the DE and DM problem) of the universe. One of the most prominent schemes to address the dark content issue of the universe is the modification of GR called the \( f(R) \) theory of gravity, where \( R \) is the Ricci scalar [8]. Some other modified theories are also developed to solve this issue, such as the \( f(T) \) theory, where \( T \) is the torsion [9,10]; the \( f(R, T) \) theory [11,12]; the \( f(R, L_m) \) theory, where \( L_m \) is the matter Lagrangian density [13,14]; the \( f(R, G) \) theory, where \( G \) is the Gauss–Bonnet invariant [15,16]; and many more.

Jimenez et al. [17] recently proposed a novel proposal by considering a modification of the symmetric teleparallel equivalent to GR called \( f(Q) \) gravity, where \( Q \) is a nonmetricity scalar. The nonmetricity, \( Q \), of the metric geometrically characterizes the variation in the
length of a vector in parallel transport, and it represents the primary geometric variable explaining the features of a gravitational interaction. Recently, several studies were conducted on $f(Q)$ gravity. Mandal et al. studied cosmography [18] and the energy condition [19] in nonmetric $f(Q)$ gravity. For the purpose of examining an accelerated expansion of the universe with the recent observations, Lazkoz et al. [20] examined several $f(Q)$ gravity models. Furthermore, Solanki et al. [21] studied the effect of bulk viscosity in the accelerating expansion of the universe in $f(Q)$ gravity. Esposito et al. [22] examined exact isotropic and anisotropic cosmological solutions using reconstruction techniques. Moreover, $f(Q)$ gravity easily overcomes the limits set by Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) [23]. Many other studies have been completed within the context of the $f(Q)$ gravity theory [24–29].

Although various theoretical approaches exist to explain the phenomenon of cosmic acceleration, none are definitively known as the appropriate one. The current model of late-time cosmic acceleration is known as reconstruction. This is the inverse method of locating a suitable cosmological model. There are two kinds of reconstruction: parametric reconstruction and non-parametric reconstruction. The parametric reconstruction relies on estimating the model parameters from various observational data. It is also known as the model-dependent approach. The main idea is to assume a specific evolution scenario and then determine the nature of the matter sector or the exotic component that is causing the alleged acceleration. Several authors have used this method to find a suitable solution [30–32].

In this paper, we consider the parametrization form of the deceleration parameter in terms of the redshift, $z$, as $q(z) = q_0 + q_1z/(z+1)^2$ (with the parameters $q_0$ and $q_1$), which provides the desired property for the sign flip from a decelerating to an accelerating phase and investigate the Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) universe in the framework of nonmetric $f(Q)$ gravity by using the functional form of $f(Q)$ as $f(Q) = aQ^n$, where $a$ and $n$ are arbitrary constants. The present paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we start with the basic $f(Q)$ gravity formalism and develop the field equation for the FLRW line element. In Section 3, we adopt the parametric form of a deceleration parameter and then find the Hubble solution. In Section 4, we estimate the constraints on the associated free parameters $(H_0, q_0, q_1)$ by employing the Bayesian analysis for the Observational Hubble data (OHD). Then, we check the evolutionary trajectory of the energy density, pressure, and the equation-of-state (EoS) parameters to conclude the accelerating behavior of the universe in Section 5. Lastly, we conclude our result in Section 6.

2. $f(Q)$ Gravity Formalism

The most generic action of nonmetric $f(Q)$ gravity is given by [17]

$$S = \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} f(Q) + L_m \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x,$$

where $f$ is an arbitrary function of nonmetricity scalar $Q$, $L_m$ is the matter Lagrangian density, and $g$ is a determinant of the metric tensor, $g_{\alpha\beta}$, where four-dimensional tensor indices are denoted by lower-case Greek letters and take the values 0 (time), 1, 2, 3 (space).

The definition of nonmetricity tensor in $f(Q)$ gravity is

$$Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta},$$

and the corresponding traces are

$$Q_\sigma = Q_{\sigma\alpha}^\alpha,$$

$$\tilde{Q}_\sigma = Q_{\sigma\alpha}^\alpha.$$

Moreover, the superpotential tensor $P_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ is given by

$$4P_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = -Q_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + 2Q_{(\alpha}^\lambda \sigma_{\beta)} - Q_{(\alpha}^\sigma g_{\beta\nu} - \tilde{Q}_{(\alpha}^\sigma g_{\beta\nu} - \delta_{(\alpha}^\nu Q_{\beta)}.$$

This is the inverse method of locating a suitable cosmological model. There are two kinds of reconstruction: parametric reconstruction and non-parametric reconstruction. The parametric reconstruction relies on estimating the model parameters from various observational data. It is also known as the model-dependent approach. The main idea is to assume a specific evolution scenario and then determine the nature of the matter sector or the exotic component that is causing the alleged acceleration. Several authors have used this method to find a suitable solution [30–32].

In this paper, we consider the parametrization form of the deceleration parameter in terms of the redshift, $z$, as $q(z) = q_0 + q_1z/(z+1)^2$ (with the parameters $q_0$ and $q_1$), which provides the desired property for the sign flip from a decelerating to an accelerating phase and investigate the Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) universe in the framework of nonmetric $f(Q)$ gravity by using the functional form of $f(Q)$ as $f(Q) = aQ^n$, where $a$ and $n$ are arbitrary constants. The present paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we start with the basic $f(Q)$ gravity formalism and develop the field equation for the FLRW line element. In Section 3, we adopt the parametric form of a deceleration parameter and then find the Hubble solution. In Section 4, we estimate the constraints on the associated free parameters $(H_0, q_0, q_1)$ by employing the Bayesian analysis for the Observational Hubble data (OHD). Then, we check the evolutionary trajectory of the energy density, pressure, and the equation-of-state (EoS) parameters to conclude the accelerating behavior of the universe in Section 5. Lastly, we conclude our result in Section 6.

2. $f(Q)$ Gravity Formalism

The most generic action of nonmetric $f(Q)$ gravity is given by [17]

$$S = \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} f(Q) + L_m \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x,$$

where $f$ is an arbitrary function of nonmetricity scalar $Q$, $L_m$ is the matter Lagrangian density, and $g$ is a determinant of the metric tensor, $g_{\alpha\beta}$, where four-dimensional tensor indices are denoted by lower-case Greek letters and take the values 0 (time), 1, 2, 3 (space).

The definition of nonmetricity tensor in $f(Q)$ gravity is

$$Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta},$$

and the corresponding traces are

$$Q_\sigma = Q_{\sigma\alpha}^\alpha,$$

$$\tilde{Q}_\sigma = Q_{\sigma\alpha}^\alpha.$$

Moreover, the superpotential tensor $P_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ is given by

$$4P_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = -Q_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + 2Q_{(\alpha}^\lambda \sigma_{\beta)} - Q_{(\alpha}^\sigma g_{\beta\nu} - \tilde{Q}_{(\alpha}^\sigma g_{\beta\nu} - \delta_{(\alpha}^\nu Q_{\beta)}.$$
Hence, the nonmetricity scalar can be obtained as
\[ Q = -Q_{\alpha\beta\rho} P^{\alpha\beta}. \] (5)

The gravitational field equation derived by varying the action (1) with regard to the metric tensor is presented below:
\[ \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \left( f_Q \sqrt{-g} P_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} f \delta_{\alpha\beta} + f_Q \left( P_{\alpha\lambda\rho} Q_{\rho}^{\lambda} - 2 Q_{\alpha\lambda\rho} P^{\lambda\rho} \right) = -T_{\alpha\beta}, \] (6)

where \( T_{\alpha\beta} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta^{(m)} \frac{\delta L}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}} \) and \( f_Q = \frac{d f}{dQ} \).

Similarly, by varying the action (1) with regard to the connection, the following result can be obtained:
\[ \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \left( f_Q \sqrt{-g} P_{\alpha\beta} \right) = 0. \] (7)

We shall consider a spatially flat FLRW universe throughout the investigation, whose metric is given by
\[ ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2). \] (8)

Here, \( a(t) \) is a cosmic scale factor. The nonmetricity scalar \( Q = 6H^2 \) obtained for the above FLRW metric, where \( H = \dot{a}/a \) is the Hubble parameter, and the dot denotes the time derivative. In this case, the energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid, \( T_{\alpha\beta} = (p + \rho) u_\alpha u_\beta + p g_{\alpha\beta} \), where \( p \) and \( \rho \) are pressure and energy density, respectively, and \( u_\alpha \) denotes the four-velocity vector of the fluid.

For the metric (8), the corresponding Friedmann equations are [17]:
\[ 3H^2 = \frac{1}{2f_Q} \left( -\rho + \frac{1}{2} f \right), \] (9)
\[ \dot{H} + 3H^2 + \frac{f_Q}{f_Q} H = \frac{1}{2f_Q} \left( \rho + \frac{1}{2} f \right). \] (10)

Using the preceding Friedmann equations in the context of \( f(Q) \) gravity, one may now study possible cosmological applications.

3. Parametrization of the Deceleration Parameter

The parametrization of the deceleration parameter \( q \) plays a significant role in determining the nature of the universe’s expanding rate. In this regard, some research employed various parametric forms of deceleration parameters, while other research investigated non-parametric forms. These methods have been widely discussed in the literature to characterize the concerns with cosmological investigations, such as the initial singularity problem, the problem of all-time decelerating expansion, the horizon problem, Hubble tension, and so on [33–35]. Motivated by this fact, in this paper, we consider the simplest parametric form of the deceleration parameter \( q \) in terms of redshift \( z \) as [36]
\[ q(z) = q_0 + \frac{q_1 z}{(z + 1)^2}, \] (11)
where \( q_0 = q(z = 0) \) indicates the present value of deceleration parameter, and \( q_1 \) depicts the variation in the deceleration parameter as a function of \( z \). Certainly, one of the most well-liked parametrizations of the dark energy equation of state served as inspiration for this parametric form for \( q(z) \) [37], and it seems to be versatile enough to fit the \( q(z) \) behavior of a broad class of accelerating models.
The derivative of the Hubble parameter with respect to time \( t \) is \( \dot{H} = -(1 + q)H^2 \). Then, there exists a relation between the Hubble parameter and the deceleration parameter in virtue of an integration:

\[
H(z) = H_0 \exp \left[ \int_0^z (1 + q(x))d \ln (1 + x) \right],
\]

where \( x \) is a changing variable. By using Equation (11) in Equation (12), we obtained the Hubble parameter in terms of redshift \( z \) as

\[
H(z) = H_0(z + 1)^{q_0 + 1} e^{\frac{q_1^2}{2(z+1)^2}},
\]

where \( H_0 \) is the current Hubble constant (at \( z = 0 \)). Furthermore, utilizing the relationship between redshift and the universe’s scale factor \( a(t) = \frac{1}{1+z} \), we may describe the relationship between cosmic time and redshift as

\[
\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{dz}{dz} = -(1 + z)H(z) \frac{d}{dz}.
\]

Using Equations (13) and (14) in Friedmann equations, we obtained the energy density \( \rho \), pressure \( p \), and equation of state parameter \( \omega \) in terms of redshift \( z \) as

\[
\rho = \alpha \left( -2n^{-1} \right) 3^n (2n - 1) \left( H_0^2 (z + 1)^{2q_0 + 2} e^{\frac{q_1^2}{2(z+1)^2}} \right)^n,
\]

\[
p = \alpha 6^n \left( H_0^2 (z + 1)^{2q_0 + 2} e^{\frac{q_1^2}{2(z+1)^2}} \right)^n \left( -\frac{2n(q_0(z + 1)^2 + z(q_1 + z + 2) + 1)}{(z+1)^2} - 4(n - 1)(z + 1)^{-q_0 - 3} e^{-\frac{q_1^2}{2(z+1)^2}} \frac{(q_0(z + 1)^2 + z(q_1 + z + 2) + 1)}{H_0} + 6n - 3 \right),
\]

\[
w = -\frac{4n (n-1)(z+1)^{-q_0 - 3} e^{\frac{q_1^2}{2(z+1)^2}} \frac{(q_0(z + 1)^2 + z(q_1 + z + 2) + 1)}{H_0} -\frac{2n(q_0(z + 1)^2 + z(q_1 + z + 2) + 1)}{(z+1)^2} + 6n - 3}{3(2n - 1)},
\]

respectively. The behavior and essential cosmological properties of the model described in Equation (11) are wholly dependent on the model parameters \( (q_0, q_1) \). In the next section, we constraint the model parameter \( (H_0, q_0, q_1) \) by using the recent observational datasets to investigate the behavior of the cosmological parameters.

4. Observational Constraints and Cosmological Applications

Now, one can deal with the various observational datasets to constraint the parameters \( H_0, q_0, q_1 \). In order to study the observational data, we use the standard Bayesian technique, and to obtain the posterior distributions of the parameters, we employ a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method. Moreover, we use the emcee package to perform the MCMC analysis. Here, in this study, we used the Hubble measurements (i.e., Hubble data) to complete the stimulation. The following likelihood function is used to find the best fits of the parameters;

\[
\mathcal{L} \propto \exp(-\chi^2/2),
\]

where \( \chi^2 \) is the pseudo chi-squared function [38]. The \( \chi^2 \) functions for various datasets are discussed below.
Cosmic Chronometer (CC) Sample

Recently, a list of Hubble measurements in the redshift range $0.07 \leq z \leq 1.965$ were compiled by Singirikonda and Desai [39]. This $H(z)$ dataset was measured from the differential ages $\Delta t$ of galaxies [40–43]. The complete list of datasets is presented in Ref. [39]. To estimate the model parameters, we use the chi-squared function which is given by

$$\chi^2_{CC}(p_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{31} \frac{[H_{th}(p_s, z_i) - H_{obs}(z_i)]^2}{\sigma_{H(z_i)}^2},$$

(19)

where $H_{th}(p_s, z_i)$, $H_{obs}(z_i)$ represents the Hubble parameter with the model parameters, observed Hubble parameter values, respectively. $\sigma_{H(z_i)}^2$ is the standard deviation obtained from observations. The marginalized constraining results are displayed in Figure 1. In Figure 2, the profile of our model against Hubble data is shown.
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**Figure 1.** The marginalized constraints on the coefficients in the expression of Hubble parameter, $H(z)$, in Equation (13) are shown by using the Hubble sample [39].
5. Cosmological Parameters

One of the cosmological parameters that is significant in explaining the state of the expansion of our universe is the deceleration parameter \( q \). When the value of the deceleration parameter is strictly less than zero, it shows the accelerating behavior of the universe, and when it is non-negative, the universe decelerates. Furthermore, the observational data employed in this study revealed that our current universe is in an accelerating phase, with the present value of the deceleration parameter becoming \( q_0 = -0.832^{+0.091}_{-0.091} \). This type of result is seen in the existing literature \([45,46]\).

Figure 3 indicates that the energy density of the universe increases with a redshift and still seems to as the universe expands, but Figure 4 demonstrates that the pressure decreases with the redshift and has large negative values throughout the cosmic evolution. The present cosmic acceleration induces this isotropic pressure behavior.

The EoS parameter \( w \) is also helpful in categorizing the decelerating and accelerating behavior of the universe, and it is defined as \( w = p/\rho \). The EoS categorizes three possible states for the accelerating universe which are the quintessence \((-1 < w < -\frac{1}{3})\) era, phantom \((w < -1)\) era, and cosmological constant \((w = -1)\). Figure 5 shows the evolutionary trajectory of the EoS parameter, and it can be seen that the whole trajectory lies in the quintessence era. From Figure 5, One can see that \( w < 0 \) and the current value of the EoS parameter is \( w_0 = -0.9^{+0.08}_{-0.12} \). Our result aligned with some of the studies \([32,47]\), which indicates an accelerating phase.
6. Conclusions

The current scenario of the accelerated expansion of the universe has grown increasingly fascinating over time. Numerous dynamical DE models and modified gravity theories have been employed in various ways to find a suitable description of the accelerating universe. In this paper, we explored the accelerated expansion of the universe by adopting the
The parametric form of the deceleration parameter in the framework of \( f(Q) \) gravity, where \( Q \) is the nonmetricity scalar depicted in the gravitational interaction.

We have examined the functional form of \( f(Q) \) as \( f(Q) = \alpha Q^n \), where \( \alpha \) and \( n \) are the arbitrary constants, and the parametrization form of the deceleration parameter as \( q = q_0 + q_1 z / (1 + z)^2 \), where \( (q_0, q_1) \) are the model parameters. By utilizing the above parametric form, we find out the solution of the Hubble parameter as \( H(z) = H_0(z + 1)^{0.68}e^{\frac{q_1z}{2(z+1)}} \). Furthermore, we used the Hubble datasets containing 31 data points to determine the best-fit values for the model parameters \( (H_0, q_0, q_1) \) as \( H_0 = 67.69 \pm 0.68, q_0 = -0.832 \pm 0.091, \) and \( q_1 = 4.02 \pm 0.45 \). Here, the \( q_0 \) shows the current value of the deceleration parameter, which depicts that the present expansion of the universe is accelerating. We analyzed the evolution of the various cosmological parameters corresponding to these best-fit values of the model parameters. The EoS parameter exhibits negative behavior and lies in the quintessence era, which depicts that the present universe is in an accelerating phase. Figure 3 indicates that the energy density of the universe increases with a redshift and still seems to as the universe expands, but Figure 4 demonstrates that the pressure decreases with the redshift and has large negative values throughout the cosmic evolution. Lastly, we conclude that the considered parametric form of the deceleration parameter in the framework of \( f(Q) \) gravity theory plays an important role in driving the universe’s accelerated expansion.
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