Hand-Arm Vibration Exposure Assessment for a Case-Control Study among German Workers †

Christian Freitag 1, Yi Sun 1, Frank Bochmann 1, Winfried Eckert 2, Benjamin Ernst 1, Uwe Kaulbars 1, Uwe Nigmann 3, Christina Samel 1, Christian van den Berg 4 and Nastaran Raffler 1,*

1 Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the German Social Accident Insurance (IFA), 53757 Sankt Augustin, Germany; christian.freitag@dguv.de (C.F.); yi.sun@dguv.de (Y.S.); frank.bochmann@dguv.de (F.B.); benjamin.ernst@dguv.de (B.E.); uwe.kaulbars@dguv.de (U.K.); christina.samel@dguv.de (C.S.)
2 German Social Accident Insurance Institution for the Building Trade, 10715 Berlin, Germany; winfried.eckert@bgbau.de
3 German Social Accident Insurance Institution for the Woodworking and Metalworking Industries, 55124 Mainz, Germany; uwe.nigmann@bghm.de
4 German Social Accident Insurance Institution for the Raw Materials and Chemical Industry, 69115 Heidelberg, Germany; christian.vandenberg@bgrci.de
* Correspondence: nastaran.raffler@dguv.de
† Presented at the 15th International Conference on Hand-Arm Vibration, Nancy, France, 6–9 June 2023.

Abstract: In order to analyse the exposure-response relationship between hand–arm-vibration exposure and the risk of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) of upper extremities in an epidemiological case-control study, a database was established to provide technical characteristics such as vibration exposure data and its frequency component measured at workplaces. This hand-arm vibration database consists of over 730 technical tools and devices, whereas 422 devices were used for exposure assessment in the epidemiological case-control study. The devices used were divided into a total of 13 device groups: hammers, grinding machines, compactors, screwdrivers and saws were the most frequently used devices.
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1. Introduction

According to expert estimates, there are currently around 1.5 to 2 million employees in Germany who are exposed to hand-arm vibration [1]. In Germany, there are two different diseases caused by hand-arm vibration exposure. The most extensively studied forms of hand-arm vibration syndrome are vascular and neurological disorders [2]. Recent studies and reviews also indicate an elevated risk of musculoskeletal symptoms and osteoarthritis among vibration-exposed workers [2–5]. However, so far, an exposure-response relationship between hand-arm vibration exposure and MSDs of upper extremities has been poorly established.

In order to evaluate the exposure-response relationship between hand-arm vibration exposure and the risk of MSDs, an epidemiological case-control study was carried out among workers in the construction, mining, metal and wood working industries in Germany. Within this project, a database was to establish providing physical characteristics of the investigated tools. The database was designed to provide information about the vibration exposure but also working conditions and workpieces, which can be used for retrospective analysis.
2. Material and Methods

To quantify individual vibration exposures, a database of industrial hygiene measurements of over 700 power tools at workplaces was established. This database allows for detailed quantification of vibration exposures over time.

According to international standard ISO 5349-1:2001 [6], vibration generated by the technical power tools was measured in three orthogonal directions (x, y, and z). Vibration values are expressed as accelerations \( a_{hwx}, a_{hwy}, \) and \( a_{hwz} \) in the three measuring directions. The vibration total value \( a_{hv} \) was determined as the root-sum-of-squares of the three component values \( a_{hwx}, a_{hwy} \) and \( a_{hwz} \):

\[
a_{hv} = \sqrt{a_{hwx}^2 + a_{hwy}^2 + a_{hwz}^2}
\]

(1)

In addition to the vibration total value \( a_{hv} \), acceleration \( a_{hw} \) in the direction along the forearm (direction z) was also considered in the exposure–response analyses of this study.

Based on the guideline VDI 2057, part 2 [7], the frequency components of the vibration exposure for the forearm direction have been detected for the following frequency ranges: 4 to 20 Hz, 4 to 31.5 Hz, 4 to 50 Hz, 4 to 80 Hz, and 4 to 100 Hz. These frequency components could be a distinguishing feature for determining the low- and high-frequency devices.

3. Results and Conclusions

The hand-arm vibration exposure for the forearm direction and the vibration total value with their frequency components of 422 devices are given in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** Hand-arm vibration exposure assessment for the forearm direction, vibration total value and their frequency components.

Hammers followed by riveting tools, compressors, planes and cutting devices are the most vibration generating devices (13.2 ms⁻², 10.6 ms⁻², 10.3 ms⁻², 9.9 ms⁻² and 9.7 ms⁻² respectively for forearm direction). The lowest vibration exposure in this project was assessed for the mixers with 1.3 ms⁻² in the forearm direction.

Regarding the frequency components, staples, planes and mixers did not show any notable differences between the frequency ranges. On the contrary, hammers, surface cleaner, saws, cutting devices and compressors showed big differences within the frequency ranges, especially regarding the range between 4 and 50 Hz.
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