Role of Activated Carbon Precursor for Mercury Oxidation and Removal: Oxidized Surface and Carbene Site Interaction
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Abstract: Activated carbon (AC) is widely accepted for the removal of inorganic contaminants like mercury; however, the raw material used in the production of activated carbon is not always taken into consideration when evaluating its efficacy. Mercury oxidation and adsorption mechanisms governed by carbene sites are more likely to occur when graphitic-like activated carbons (such as those produced from high-ranking coals) are employed versus lignocellulosic-based ACs; this is likely due to the differences in carbon structures where lignocellulosic materials are less aromatic. In this research, the team studied bituminous coal-based ACs in comparison to coconut shell and wood-based (both less aromatic) ACs for elemental mercury removal. Nitric acid of 0.5 M, 1 M, and 5 M concentrations along with 10 M hydrogen peroxide were used to oxidize the surface of the ACs. Boehm titrations and FTIR analysis were used to quantify the addition of functional groups on the activated carbons. A trend was observed herein, resulting in increasing nitric acid molarity and an increased quantity of oxygen-containing functional groups. Gas-phase mercury removal mechanisms including physisorption, oxygen functional groups, and carbene sites were evaluated. The results showed significantly better elemental mercury removal in the gas phase with a bituminous coal-based AC embodying similar physical and chemical characteristics to that of its coconut shell-based counterpart. The ACs treated with various oxidizing agents to populate oxygen functional groups on the surface showed increased mercury removal. It is hypothesized that nitric acid treatment creates oxygen functional groups and carbene sites, with carbene sites being more responsible for mercury removal. Heat treatments post-oxidation with nitric acid showed remarkable results in mercury removal. This process created free carbene sites on the surface and shows that carbene sites are more reactive to mercury adsorption than oxygen. Overall, physisorption and oxygen functional groups were also dismissed as mercury removal mechanisms, leaving carbene-free sites as the most compelling mechanism.
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1. Introduction

Elemental mercury (Hg\(^0\)) can be removed by oxidation via various mechanisms (halogenated coal additives, selective catalytic reduction), from coal-fired power plant waste to energy and cement kiln flue gas and subsequent absorption within wet scrubber systems (oxidized Hg is soluble in water) [1–5]. However, this process simply transfers the contaminant from the air phase to the water phase, where it can eventually enter the environment. The United States Environmental Protection Agencies’ upcoming effluent limitation guidelines will diminish this approach, and therefore, adsorption in the gas phase may be the preferred option. A very popular method for elemental mercury removal is via activated carbon adsorption [6–8], where the activated carbon surface serves as an oxidation and adsorption site for mercury [9–11], which creates a strong bond that is less likely to re-enter the environment. Virgin activated carbon has proven to be less than effective in high-temperature applications for elemental mercury due to mercury’s high...
volatility [12]. Therefore, activated carbon manufacturers have turned to impregnation of activated carbon with halogens to enhance oxidation/adsorption [13–15], which can cause corrosion and other harmful byproducts. When impregnated activated carbons are used, the halogens on the surface of the carbon react with the mercury to oxidize into forms such as K₂HgI₄ [16] or HgI₂ and HgCl₂ [17]. Understanding specific mechanisms in mercury oxidation and adsorption is the aim of this study so that engineers can better utilize this technology.

Mercury removal mechanisms include both physisorption and chemisorption, but the overall reaction is predominantly chemisorption driven. Physisorption is adsorption driven by intermolecular forces on the carbon surface (van der Waals forces) and vapor condensation of constituents within carbon pores (i.e., Hg-Hg interactions). Chemisorption implies a chemical reaction between the surface of the carbon and elemental mercury, creating a bond. Oxygen functional groups and carbene sites are regarded as the major promoters for chemisorption [18–26]. It has been shown in previous work through comparing various activated carbons with similar physical properties that surface chemistry, and specifically, carbene sites, are a highly effective Hg⁰ adsorption mechanism [24]. Herein, the goal was to review the current literature on the subject and further compare the effectiveness of carbene sites with oxygen functional groups.

1.1. Physisorption

Physical properties of activated carbon, like surface area and pore size distribution, can play a role in the adsorption process, as the sorbent must have the right pore size distribution for a contaminant to diffuse to its final adsorption sites. Physisorption is known to play a small role in the adsorption of mercury. To our knowledge, surface area and pore structures have not been correlated with mercury removal [26] outside of 2–3 studies, which only compared two carbons and drew conclusions without considering surface chemistry [11,27]. For example, Diamantopoulou et al. [11] examined two coal-based sorbents (Norit-lignite coal-based versus Calgon-bituminous coal-based) for mercury removal and claimed that in the absence of flue gas constituents (i.e., acid gases, moisture, and halogens), micropore structure and increased surface area are the strongest influences. On the other hand, Maroto-Valer et al. [28] dismissed surface area as a factor and instead claimed that oxygen functional groups lead to increased mercury adsorption. In her study, low surface area activated carbons outperformed activated carbons with higher surface areas.

1.2. Chemisorption at a Carbene Site

The concept of the carbene site as proposed by Radovic et al. [29,30] is shown in Figure 1. The carbene was computationally applied by Olson et al. [31–34] using theoretical modeling; he demonstrated that activated carbon could have a specific site that can accomplish both oxidation and adsorption of elemental Hg (the carbene). In 2004, Olson et al. [25,32] further described the mechanism in which mercury could be oxidized and bound directly with the carbon surface at the carbene site by a donation of electrons from the Hg⁰ to the carbene, forming an organomercury species. Olson specifically stated that activated carbon’s carbene site is mostly responsible for Hg⁰ removal (versus other sites, such as oxygen functional groups).

![Figure 1. A lone pair of electrons situated on the edge of an aromatic sheet of carbon. This is a carbene.](image-url)
Wilcox et al. [35–38] attempted to further understand the role of the carbon surface, including the reactions at the carbene sites; however, the focus here was to evaluate the oxidation and adsorption mechanisms of oxidized mercury as HgCl/HgCl₂ [37] and HgBr/HgBr₂ [35] on the surface of the carbon. Computational results suggested that oxidized mercury compounds were the most stable to bind to the carbon surface, preferentially on the zigzag edge sites (the location of carbene sites) versus the armchair sites or basal plane. Hg-carbon bond lengths were calculated to range from 2.26 to 2.34 Å; and approximately 0.1 Å shorter when oxygen is a nearest-neighbor atom resulting in increased stability of the Hg-C bond, further supporting Olson’s initial claim. Wilcox’s work also looked to identify how mercury donated its electrons in the oxidation and adsorption process, suggesting Hg⁰ gains electrons in the 6p after adsorption and donates electrons from the 5s. The electron transfer in these calculations resulted in an oxidized surface-bound Hg complex. This complex can also be described as organomercury carbenium, as proposed by Olson et al. [32]. It is important to note that computational studies and findings by Wilcox et al. were conducted using graphene sheet simulations under the assumption that all activated carbon surfaces would behave in a graphene-like manner. This is also how Radovic et al. originally modeled the carbene [29].

Rodriguez et al. [26] studied graphitic-like activated carbons versus lignocellulosic-based activated carbons, whose carbon rings are less aromatic for the adsorption of mercury. Activated carbon produced from bituminous coal (more aromatic) performed far better for mercury adsorption compared to the more aliphatic-derived activated carbons from coconut shells and wood. This study also hydrogen-treated carbons at 850 °C to stabilize their carbene sites by hydrogasification of functional groups and loosely bound carbon atoms resulting in condensation of the graphene layers [39]. The resulting mercury breakthrough curve for the highly aromatic bituminous coal-based AC became very similar to that of the wood- and coconut-based carbons upon stabilization. We hypothesized this phenomenon was a result of the stabilization of carbene sites which are more available in aromatic carbons. We concluded that the carbene availability of the carbon matrix was higher in the coal-based carbon; therefore, the surface was more reactive for elemental mercury removal. When activated carbon is used in an experimental or industrial setting, it cannot be assumed that all raw materials such as coconut- or wood-based activated carbons possess these graphitic and aromatic sheets.

1.3. Oxygen Functional Groups

The early work of Li et al. (2002 & 2003) [18,40] was one of the first to set out to understand the mechanisms of elemental mercury adsorption at 125 °C via activated carbon surface enhancements with oxygen. In their work, two bituminous-coal-based ACs were compared to each other when oxidized with heated air (420 °C) and room temperature nitric acid. This study also separately treated the carbons with nitrogen gas at high temperatures (1200 °C) to remove oxygen from the surface. They concluded that oxygen functional groups, more specifically lactone and carbonyl groups, are the active sites for elemental mercury capture, while phenol and carboxyl groups are strong deterrents for elemental mercury removal.

One can offer an alternate interpretation from the results of Li et al. that would suggest oxygen functional groups play a less straightforward and more dominant role in mercury adsorption. Reviewing their data sets, Hg⁰ capacity does significantly increase by 380% for their first nitric acid-treated carbon, as do the reported lactone and carbonyl group surface concentrations. However, when the same comparison is made for their second nitric acid-treated carbon, lactone, and carbonyl functional group concentrations again increase, but conversely, the Hg⁰ capacity decreased by 25%. The importance of carbonyl functional groups for Hg⁰ adsorption holds even less weight when comparing the air-treated carbons. A 540% increased carbonyl group concentration resulted in no change in Hg⁰ capacity in one carbon, while 230% of carbonyl groups in the second carbon resulted in a sharp 98% decrease in Hg⁰ capacity. The number of functional groups created by nitric acid treatments
and air treatments is very similar for both sorbents studied; however, the level of Hg$^0$ was very different for the two.

Other studies suggesting strong correlations between Hg$^0$ adsorption and oxygen functional groups include Hall et al. (1995) [41], who had described efficient chemisorption of mercury on the surface of carbon, specifically with high oxygen content at flue gas temperatures (100–300 °C). Likewise, Lee and Park (2003) [42] detailed that superior elemental mercury adsorption was strongly correlated with oxygen functional groups on the carbon's surface. Padak et al. (2006) [37] further endorsed Li’s proposition with measured mercury binding energies associated with lactone and carbonyl groups, specifically resulting in −10.29 and −9.16 kcal/mol, respectively.

Inconsistent with Li et al., Kwon et al. (2002) [43] had a different interpretation on chemisorption. When pyrolytic graphite was compared to bituminous coal-based activated carbon for mercury adsorption, it was proposed that physisorption was the primary mechanism at lower temperatures (i.e., below 75 °C), while chemisorption was the driver at high adsorption temperatures (i.e., above 75 °C). The removal of chemical functionalities (via nitrogen gas at 900 °C) from the graphite surface-enhanced mercury removal. It was proposed that for physisorption, oxygen functional groups decrease mercury adsorption due to their blocking of access for mercury to micropores, while for chemisorption, oxygen functional groups have very little impact on capture.

Based on the work from Lizzio et al. [44], it can be strongly suggested that the increase in Hg$^0$ removal by the nitric-treated sorbents is due to the surface etching and further population of carbene sites on the two bituminous-based activated carbons (rather than an increase in oxygen functional groups). It is well understood that there must be an electron transfer (oxidation) for elemental mercury adsorption to take place. The increased number of carbene sites from surface etching would therefore create many more instances of possible oxidation, and the carbon surface may then act as a type of electrode for mercury oxidation. This then leads to an increase in adsorption.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Physical and Chemical Characterization of Activated Carbons

2.1.1. Activated Carbon Raw Material

The activated carbons used in this study to compare adsorption mechanisms were crushed and sieved to US 20 × 30 mesh and labeled AC-BC, AC-W, and AC-CS, respectively (Table 1). US standard mesh sizes were used to classify the material to between 0.60 mm and 0.85 mm particles (US 20 and US 30 mesh, respectively). The activated carbon raw materials used were identical to those used previously by Rodriguez et al. [26]. Additionally, powdered graphite was used to compare a low surface area highly graphitized carbon material to the high surface area activated carbons. The graphite had 99% purity and was powdered to pass through a 325 mesh (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Raw Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC—CS</td>
<td>Coconut Shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC—W</td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC—BC</td>
<td>Bituminous Coal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>Graphite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2. Surface Area, Pore Volume, and Pore Size Characterization

Each carbon sample was subjected to a nitrogen adsorption isotherm using a Quantachrome NOVA 2200e (Boca Raton, FL, USA) under identical conditions as those previously reported [26]. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation was applied to quantify surface area, the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) equation was applied to quantify pore size distributions and mesopore volume, and total pore volume was measured through the ni-
trogen adsorption isotherm, and average pore size was calculated through Quantachrome’s proprietary software.

2.1.3. Point of Zero Charge ($\text{pH}_{\text{pzc}}$)

A modified method from the ASTM standard for contact $\text{pH}$ (ASTM 6851) was used in which carbon samples were placed in contact with ultrapure water (Barnstead) at a 1:10 g/g ratio and rotated for 24 h. Thereafter, the $\text{pH}$ of the unfiltered solution was immediately measured. More details on the method can be found in a previous publication [26].

2.1.4. Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy

Infrared (IR) spectra were collected for treated and untreated sorbents on a Nicolet Magna 760 FT-IR instrument equipped with a diffuse reflectance unit. The instrument’s resolution was set at 4 cm$^{-1}$. Samples were weighed out at ~0.9 mg and mixed/milled with 0.35 g of KCl in a Wig-L-Bug for ten seconds. The carbon/KCl mixture was pressed into discs for analysis using consistent pressures for each disc. Before each measurement, the instrument was run to collect the background (using ground KCl), which was then automatically subtracted from the sample spectrum.

Absorption of infrared waves at specific frequencies has been correlated to specific functional groups, and through matching the absorption frequencies to known values, characterization of the carbons can be roughly quantified. Table 2 shows an abbreviated list of oxygen-containing functional groups and their IR adsorption frequencies [45]. With the use of nitric acid treatments, it is also important to note that nitroso compounds can be detected at frequency values of about 1600 cm$^{-1}$ [46].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency, cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Oxygen Containing Functional Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3640–3610 (s, sh)</td>
<td>O–H stretch, free hydroxyl</td>
<td>alcohols, phenols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500–3200 (s,b)</td>
<td>O–H stretch, H-bonded</td>
<td>alcohols, phenols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3300–2500 (m)</td>
<td>O–H stretch</td>
<td>carboxylic acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1760–1665 (s)</td>
<td>C=O stretch</td>
<td>carboxyls (general)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1760–1690 (s)</td>
<td>C=O stretch</td>
<td>carboxylic acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1320–1000 (s)</td>
<td>C–O stretch</td>
<td>alcohols, carboxylic acids, esters, ethers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950–910 (m)</td>
<td>O–H bend</td>
<td>carboxylic acids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.5. Boehm Titrations

Titrations followed a modified Boehm Method [47] where 0.5 g of dried carbon samples were mixed with 0.2 g dried KCl and put in separate vials each with 0.05 M reagent solutions of sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sodium carbonate (Na$_2$CO$_3$), sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO$_3$), and hydrochloric acid (HCl) for 2 h under Ultrahigh Purity N$_2$ gas purge (Airgas). Each reagent salt or acid had at least 99% purity.

Once mixing for 2 h was complete, the samples remained unfiltered and were directly titrated. Acidic solutions were titrated with 0.05 M NaOH until a pH of 7; volumes of NaOH added were recorded. Basic samples were first acidified with 0.05 M HCl to a pH of 2, then back titrated to a pH of 7 with 0.05 M NaOH, with the volume of NaOH also being recorded.

Acidic functional group concentrations of each of the four reagent solutions were calculated against volumes of NaOH and the reagent used. The concentrations of specific functional groups were calculated following the equations and methodology demonstrated by Fidel et al. 2013 [48]. Basic functional group concentrations were done similarly using methods described by Goertzen et al., 2010 and Oickle et al., 2010 [49,50]. Each reagent has a specific pKa value, which can then be used to determine the concentration of active functional groups within specific pKa ranges.
2.2. Surface Chemistry Modifications of Sorbents

All modified activated carbons were treated as dried 20 × 30 mesh samples. Graphite was used in a dried powder form. Liquid treatments followed the same logical progression of stirring in solution, filtering, rinsing, and drying, as shown in Figure 1. All gas treatments were conducted in a singular stainless steel vertical furnace tube at atmospheric pressure, with enough flow of gas to fluidize the sample. A detailed description of the furnace apparatus can be found in previous work [26].

2.2.1. Nitric Acid Treatment of Activated Carbon

Activated carbon samples were oxidized with various doses of nitric acid (HNO₃) to increase oxygen functional groups. Three different concentrations of nitric acid solutions (i.e., 0.5 M, 1 M, 5 M) were prepared using a 70% concentrated trace metal stock solution (Thermofisher) and deionized water. Carbon (30 g) was magnetically stirred in 250 mL of each solution at 80 °C for a period of 3 h, as shown in Figure 2. Each mixture was then filtered through a 0.45-micron nitro-cellulose filter paper using a vacuum pump and washed with deionized water until a constant pH was achieved. The samples were dried at 110 °C for at least 12 h before characterization and analysis. Carbon samples having undergone nitric acid oxidation are denoted with the molarity of nitric acid used, followed by HNO₃.

2.2.2. Peroxide Treatment of Activated Carbon

Peroxide oxidation of activated carbon was carried out using a similar method to nitric acid but with a 10 M H₂O₂ solution at room temperature for 3 h. After each liquid oxidation, the activated carbons were filtered from solution, washed with deionized water until a constant pH was reached, and dried at 110 °C for at least 12 h before characterization and analysis. Carbon samples having undergone hydrogen peroxide oxidation are denoted with H₂O₂.

2.2.3. Nitrogen Gas Treatment of Activated Carbon

Similar to previous gas treatments [26], the nitrogen treatment was carried out using ultra-high purity nitrogen gas (Airgas, 99.999% purity) at 1000 °C for 5 h in the configuration shown in Figure 3. After 5 h, the furnace was turned off, and the sample was allowed to cool under the same flow of nitrogen gas. Carbon samples that were treated with nitrogen gas are denoted with NT. The carbon samples selected herein to be treated with nitrogen gas had already undergone a 5 M nitric acid oxidation as described above. Hydrogen gas was also used in this study for the graphite sample at 850 °C for 2 h.

![Diagram of liquid oxidation treatment process.](image-url)
2.3. Gas Phase Mercury Removal

Elemental mercury (Hg\textsuperscript{0}) removal experiments were conducted under ultra-high purity nitrogen gas in a fixed bed with the flow-through reactor at room temperature (25 °C). Elemental mercury (VICI Metronics mercury permeation tube) was vaporized in an impinger upstream of the carbon bed and verified to be 500 µg/m\textsuperscript{3} prior to the introduction of the carbon samples. The experiments used 1 g of granular activated carbon or 0.5 g of powdered graphite. Elemental mercury was measured in real-time via atomic absorption using a Lumex Instruments RA-915+ (Solon, OH); the test stand setup used is further detailed in Rodriguez et al. [26]. Breakthrough curves have been generated with error bars reflecting the standard deviation of three individual breakthrough tests.

3. Results & Discussion

3.1. Physical Properties

The physical and chemical properties of the resulting activated carbons are detailed in Table 3. The physical properties of oxidized activated carbon samples remained mostly unchanged from their untreated counterparts. On average, the oxidized samples, when compared to their untreated counterparts, were affected by no more than a −3% change for characteristics such as BET surface area, average pore size, and total pore volume. BJH mesoporosity was more significantly affected, but still by only an average of 11% after oxidation. Therefore, any change in mercury adsorption could be attributed to chemical effects versus physical properties. As expected with the acid treatment, the pH\textsubscript{PZC} significantly decreased on each nitric acid treatment down to acidic values in the range of 3.2 to 4.9. Peroxide treatments were mild with resulting pH\textsubscript{pzc} values of 6.8 and 7.9 for bituminous coal and wood carbons, respectively.

3.2. Surface Chemistry Developed on Activated Carbons

3.2.1. Boehm Results

The concentration of oxygen functional groups for the virgin bituminous coal-based activated carbon (AC-BC), and two acid-treated activated carbons are shown in Figure 4. Throughout each progressive increase in nitric acid molarity, the carboxyl functional group concentration increases. The lactone functional group concentration decreases with increasing nitric acid treatment molarity. This could suggest that lactonic functional groups are converted to carboxyl types upon acid treatment, as well as the addition of nitro
compounds that contain doubly bound oxygen atoms. Phenolic groups are relatively unchanged throughout the various nitric acid treatments.

Table 3. Characterizations of treated and untreated activated carbons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon Raw Material</th>
<th>Carbon Sample</th>
<th>BET Surface Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Average Pore Size (Å)</th>
<th>Total Pore Volume (cc/g)</th>
<th>BJH Pore Volume (cc/g)</th>
<th>pH PZC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bituminous Coal</td>
<td>AC—BC</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—BC 0.5 M HNO₃</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—BC 1 M HNO₃</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—BC 5 M HNO₃</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—BC 10 M H₂O₂</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—BC 5 M HNO₃ NT</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconut Shell</td>
<td>AC—CS</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—CS 0.5 M HNO₃</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—CS 1 M HNO₃</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—CS 5 M HNO₃</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—CS 5 M HNO₃ NT</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>AC—W</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—W 0.5 M HNO₃</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—W 1 M HNO₃</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC—W 10 M H₂O₂</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total acidity of the carbon surface steadily increases to a maximum with the 5 M treatments, and basicity is completely eliminated after even 1 M nitric acid treatment.

3.2.2. FTIR Analysis

FTIR was used to determine the type and relative presence of the surface functional groups on the ACs. FTIR spectra over the wave numbers of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ for AC—W, AC—CS, AC—BC, and respective nitric acid-treated ACs are shown in Figures 5–7. When compared with the untreated ACs, the spectrums for the nitric acid and peroxide treated samples are overall higher in magnitude, particularly in the ranges 1000–1300 cm⁻¹, 1500–1600 cm⁻¹, 1650–1750 cm⁻¹, and 3300–3500 cm⁻¹. It was of interest to understand the type of functional groups present as some literature focused strongly on a correlation between carbonyl and lactone functional groups with higher mercury removal. FTIR analysis of our nitric acid-treated samples would suggest at least an increase in carboxyl groups. In all instances, 5 M nitric acid oxidations produced the highest amount of additional functionality. Along with the addition of oxygen functional groups, nitrogen functional groups were specifically nitro compounds. Nitro compounds absorb IR spectra at the 1500–1600 cm⁻¹ wavelength. It is clear that the 5 M nitric acid had a strong effect in this wavelength range, and particularly in Figure 6, where the wood-based carbon also had a decrease in transmittance at the 1 M nitric acid treatment, whereas the hydrogen peroxide treatment had no effect in this wavelength range.
Figure 4. Boehm titrations of bituminous coal-based activated carbons and their nitric acid-treated counterparts.

Figure 5. FTIR Spectra for AC-CS (coconut shell-based) untreated, nitric treated, and peroxide treated.

Figure 6. FTIR Spectra for AC-W (wood-based) untreated, nitric treated, and peroxide treated.
The stretching and vibration peak caused by C=O around 1665 cm$^{-1}$ (indicative of carbonyls) and stretching peak caused O-H or H-bonded around 3300 cm$^{-1}$ (indicative of phenols) are not as pronounced as the associated peaks at 1200 cm$^{-1}$ and 1550 cm$^{-1}$ which are associated with carboxylic groups. It is important to note that the analysis of carbon-based materials in this fashion is a qualitative approach that must be compared to each baseline sample (untreated). That is because the carbon materials have inherent C-H-O matrices that can prohibit comparisons across raw materials [51,52].

### 3.3. Oxidation Impacts on Mercury Removal

#### 3.3.1. Nitric Acid Oxidation Treatments

Undeniably, the literature makes a strong case for the role of oxygen functional groups on the removal of elemental mercury, and therefore it cannot be overlooked [18,19,26,50,51,53,54]. Commonly, nitric acid treatments are used due to their relative ease and ability to control the intensity of oxidation and to result in surface chemistry (i.e., through varying temperatures, reaction times, and acid molarity).

One disadvantage of treating with nitric acid detailed in the literature is that the pore structure of the carbon may be considerably changed [47]; however, we did not experience that in this work as both the pore volume and pore size remained very similar with all treatments. This outcome provided an opportunity to compare the differences in Hg$^0$ removal based on the changes in surface chemistry rather than that of physical properties.

Nitric acid substitution reactions on the carbon surface typically allow for the addition of oxygen or nitrogen onto edge sites of the carbon matrix. Typically, for more aromatic structures such as that of bituminous coal, the substitution allows for more oxygen addition than nitrogen [55]. The increase in oxygen functional groups, as shown through Boehm titrations and FTIR analysis, suggest that the coconut shell-activated carbon may also have been dominantly oxygenated, while the wood-based may have been more nitrogenated.

Each sample was analyzed to determine the amount of Hg$^0$ removed from the gas phase by the sorbent. Breakthrough curves for the lowest molarity nitric treated ACs are shown in Figure 8 (0.5 M HNO$_3$). All of these oxidized samples increased their Hg$^0$ removal.
Figure 8. Treated and untreated activated carbon’s removal of mercury over time.

The mercury removal results shown in Figure 8 could suggest that oxygen functional groups are important for the adsorption of elemental mercury. However, when comparing the 0.5 M HNO₃ treated AC to 1 M HNO₃ treated AC in the coconut-based carbon treatments (Figure 9), the 1 M and 5 M HNO₃ treated carbons performed worse for mercury adsorption than the 0.5 M HNO₃ treated carbon. If oxygen functional groups were the driver, we would have expected an increase in mercury removal with an increase in nitric molarity since the Boehm results indicated an increase in oxygen functional groups. Similarly, the same was observed for AC-BC; the 5 M HNO₃ sample performed worse than the 1 M HNO₃ (Figure 10).

Figure 9. Mercury removal for coconut-based activated carbons treated with increasing nitric acid concentrations.
Figure 10. Mercury removal for coconut and bituminous coal-based activated carbons treated with increasing nitric acid concentrations.

3.3.2. Hydrogen Peroxide Oxidation

The oxidation of the activated carbons with hydrogen peroxide did not result in significant additional mercury removal as compared to the untreated counterpart for all carbon samples tested (Figure 11). Each carbon sample oxidized with hydrogen peroxide performed worse for Hg$^0$ removal except the wood-based carbon, which only saw a slight improvement of 2–3 min of additional removal prior to breakthrough. Unlike nitric acid, hydrogen peroxide is a milder reagent that will not consume the carbon matrix as much as nitric acid or adjust the carbon structure. Therefore, it can be concluded that hydrogen peroxide treatment will solely add oxygen groups, which, in this case, can be detrimental to mercury adsorption as compared to the nitric treatment, which improved mercury removal for those carbons less like graphite (wood and coconut shell).

Figure 11. Mercury removal for activated carbons treated with 10 M Hydrogen Peroxide.

3.3.3. Creation of Carbene sites through Surface Etching

Lizzio et al. [44] thoroughly studied the nitric treatment of activated carbon for the enhancement of free sites (i.e., carbene site) on the carbon. It was their postulation that
carbon atoms, which are not tied up by oxygen or other atoms, have valence electrons that are more available and more reactive toward SO$_2$ adsorption. They demonstrated a process in which activated carbons were nitric acid-treated similar to our methods and then exposed to high-temperature nitrogen gas to remove the functional groups that were added through the acid treatment. The activated carbon surface was more catalytic, and they proposed that functional group atoms were removed during the gas treatment, resulting in a larger concentration of unsaturated carbons atoms (carbene sites). This phenomenon of oxygen functional groups being removed through heat treatment has been well studied [39,56–58]; whereby, it is known that an unsaturated carbon atom is left on the surface after the heat treatment of nitrogen gas [39].

To test this for mercury removal, a similar method to Lizzio was performed on the activated carbons and tested for Hg$^0$ adsorption. Similarly, the resultant carbons significantly improved their performance, as shown in Figure 12. Most notably, the coconut shell-based carbon that was first exposed to nitric acid and then treated with nitrogen gas had drastically improved adsorption capacity for elemental Hg. Furthermore, the bituminous coal-based carbon improved by a much larger degree, in which it continued to adsorb mercury well after any other carbons reached breakthrough.

![Figure 12. Mercury removal for nitric acid and nitric acid post-treated with nitrogen gas using bituminous coal-based and coconut-based activated carbons.](image)

This drastic difference in the performance of the coconut shell-based and bituminous coal-based carbon can be further explained through the discussion of carbene sites. The aromatic structure of the bituminous coal allows for a higher capacity of carbene sites to form. Thus, when oxidized and subsequently heat-treated, the number of carbene sites present on a coal-based carbon versus a plant-based carbon, as shown above, is drastically different, lending better results to the coal-based carbons. This then also demonstrates the effectiveness of carbene sites in removing mercury, where the bituminous coal-based carbon that was further populated with free carbene sites performed best out of all the carbons in this study.

3.4. Graphite as a Comparison for Aromatic Structure

Graphite is a crystalline form of carbon composed of parallel layers referred to as graphene sheets. These sheets contain covalently bonded carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal fashion with approximately 0.142 nanometers distance between each atom. The layered graphene sheets are stacked with 0.335 nanometers distance and held loosely by van der Waals forces [58]. The numerous layers are weakly connected by Van der Waals
forces, allowing the sheets to slide on top of one another. Very similar to bituminous coal-based ACs, graphite is highly aromatic.

In a similar fashion to the activated carbons, graphite was treated with hydrogen gas and nitric acid (1 M HNO₃). The resulting physical characteristics of the sorbent are detailed in Table 4. Unlike AC-BC, untreated graphite (GH) exhibited a very low surface area of 7 m²/g. Hydrogen and nitric acid treatments slightly increased the surface area for both graphite samples. Nitric acid treatment lowered the pHₚzc of the untreated material from a pH of 7.6 to 5.1 for GH-NT (1 M HNO₃).

Table 4. Physical characteristics of untreated and treated graphite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET Surface Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Average Pore Size (Å)</th>
<th>Total Pore Volume (cc/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>159.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH—HT (1 M HNO₃)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH—NT (1 M HNO₃)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>185.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Breakthrough curves and loading of elemental mercury for nitric acid, hydrogen, and untreated graphite are shown in Figure 13 and Table 5. The untreated GH experienced breakthrough shortly after 10 min while the removal for the two treated GHs markedly increased over time. Similar to the oxidized and heat-treated AC-BC sample in Figure 12, the nitric acid-treated graphite was extremely reactive to mercury adsorption and continued to remove Hg⁰ until breakthrough at about 600 min (not shown).

Figure 13. Elemental mercury removal for untreated and treated graphite.

The hydrogen-treated GH broke through around 120 min. The increase in removal shown by the GH HT does not follow the same trend as for the bituminous coal-based AC. In fact, the breakthrough curve for GH HT continues to improve over time. A potential layering effect (nucleation), whereby oxidized and adsorbed mercury is participating in the removal of the influent Hg⁰ has been seen in other works employing silica-titania composites can begin to explain these results [59].

Another postulation for the increasing mercury removal of GH HT may be that during hydrogen treatment, the oxygen content is driven off while the carbon atoms on the edge sites are not completely annealed. As previously discussed in the work of Menendez et al. (1996), the elevated temperature would gasify oxygen and anneal the sorbent surface with hydrogen. For this material specifically, because of the nature of fully aromatic graphite material with ~3% oxygen content, the postulation is that indeed...
hydrogen treatment is gasifying the oxygen, but because some of the now active sites may not all be at the edge sites, hydrogen cannot fully anneal them. This supposition is supported by the work of Redmond and Walker (1960), which concluded that the adsorption of hydrogen (by graphite) occurs on carbon atoms at the edge of crystallites and that significant intracrystalline sorption does not occur [60].

Table 5. Mercury removal comparison of bituminous coal-based activated carbon versus graphite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Carbon Raw Material</th>
<th>(Hg^0) Loading @ 120 min (ng/g)</th>
<th>(Hg^0) Loading @ 120 min per Surface Area (ng/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC—BC</td>
<td>Bituminous Coal</td>
<td>2949</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC—BC HT</td>
<td></td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC—BC NT</td>
<td>(1 M HNO₃)</td>
<td>3014</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH—HT</td>
<td></td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH—NT</td>
<td>(1 M HNO₃)</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To draw further conclusions, mercury-loading for both AC-BC and GH were compared per gram of sorbent (Table 5). The results showed higher mercury-loading for the AC-BC family of untreated and treated ACs. Interestingly, when the mercury-loading was normalized per m² of available surface area, removal was two orders of magnitude higher than that of AC-BC. This finding further supports the hypothesis that materials with a higher degree of aromaticity can experience a heightened \(Hg^0\) adsorption capacity through carbene site availability.

4. Conclusions

The outcome of this work further showcases that the oxygen functional groups and carbene sites on the surface of activated carbon, as they relate to mercury removal, behave differently on carbons manufactured from different raw materials (coconut, wood, and bituminous coal). Overall, each carbon had some inherent functionality on the surface and, when strongly oxidized by nitric acid (1 M and 5 M) or hydrogen peroxide, showed a decrease in performance for mercury removal. The lower performance can be explained through an understanding that carbene sites are extremely reactive sites for adsorption. When oxidation occurs through surface treatments, the carbene sites are taken up by oxygen or other heteroatoms and therefore cannot partake in \(Hg^0\) oxidation and adsorption.

The differences mentioned above are more prevalent in highly aromatic structures such as AC-BC and graphite. The results of the etched samples (AC-CS 5 M HNO₃ + NT and AC-BC 5 M HNO₃ + NT) also suggest that more aromatic carbon structures can support more carbene sites and therefore have them more readily available when treated in a manner that can produce them. This was further detailed in our previous work [26]; these additional data comparing oxygen functional groups and their impacts on \(Hg^0\) adsorption show that carbene sites are necessary for \(Hg^0\) removal. Furthermore, we show that highly aromatic carbon structures can support more carbene sites.
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