Role and Function of Receptor Tyrosine Kinases in BRAF Mutant Cancers
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Abstract: The development of potent BRAF inhibitors has revolutionized the treatment of BRAF mutant cancers, in particular, melanomas. However, BRAF mutant cancers of other entities, e.g., colorectal cancers, display distinctly reduced responses to BRAF inhibitors. In addition, the emergence of cancer resistance to BRAF inhibitor treatment poses a severe problem. The reactivation of MAPK/ERK signaling was identified as an important mode of BRAF inhibitor resistance. Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), which are prominent anticancer drug targets in their own right, play a crucial role in the development of drug resistance to BRAF inhibitors and the reactivation of MAPK/ERK signal transduction, as well as the establishment of bypassing signaling pathways. MAPK reactivation can occur via increased expression of RTKs, altered RTK signaling, and post-translational processes, among others. This review summarizes the influence of pertinent RTKs on BRAF mutant cancers and BRAF inhibitor resistance and outlines possible and proven ways to circumvent BRAF-associated resistance mechanisms.
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1. Introduction

BRAF (B-Raf, B-rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma) mutations are clinically relevant in melanoma, non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), colorectal carcinoma (CRC), and other cancers. Patients suffering from BRAF mutant cancers are experiencing a considerably poor prognosis [1]. The serine–threonine kinase BRAF acts downstream of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and, thus, is a crucial component of oncogenic Ras (rat sarcoma)/MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase)/ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase) signaling [2,3]. Upon activation by growth factors, RTKs such as EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) interact with membrane-bound Ras GTPase proteins via the Grb2/SOS complex, which, in turn, binds and activates Raf kinases, leading to the activation and phosphorylation of MEK (MAPK/ERK) kinases. The ERKs finally phosphorylate and activate oncogenic transcription factors such as c-Myc, c-Jun, and c-Fos, among others (Figure 1a) [4]. Since EGFR and other RTKs also regulate other signaling pathways, such as the Akt/mTOR signaling pathway, these receptors play a crucial role in cell proliferation and oncogenesis but also pave the way for resistance mechanisms to targeted cancer therapies [5].
The most abundant and clinically relevant BRAF mutation is the V600E mutation, which promotes cell proliferation, invasiveness, and drug resistance in tumors and is found in approximately 90% of all BRAF mutant cases [6]. The phosphomimetic glutamate E600 of these mutated BRAF kinases enables a permanent activation of the kinase phosphorylation activity independent from growth factors and hormones and, thus, terribly drives the aggressiveness of the BRAFV600E mutant tumor [7]. Further rare V600 mutations are V600K, V600D, and V600R [8,9]. Activated wild-type BRAF proteins form homodimers and heterodimers for signal transduction. V600 mutations are classified as class I BRAF mutations forming Ras-independent active monomers, which are selectively inhibited by the cancer drug vemurafenib, while class II (non-V600) mutations form BRAF homodimers activated in a Ras-independent way, and class III (non-V600) mutations lead to non-functional heterodimers (BRAF/CRAF) but increased MAPK signaling because of enhanced Ras binding followed by activation of CRAF (Figure 1b) [10].

The development of potent BRAF inhibitors such as vemurafenib and dabrafenib was widely celebrated as a milestone in the therapy of BRAFV600E mutant melanoma patients [11,12]. However, the acquired resistance upon treatment with BRAF inhibitors, as well as the low response of certain cancers such as BRAF mutant colorectal cancer (CRC) in comparison with BRAF mutant melanoma, pose severe problems and drawbacks, thus constraining the clinical application of BRAF inhibitors [6,13]. There is growing preclinical and clinical evidence that the combination of BRAF inhibitors with inhibitors of MEK and EGFR seems like a promising strategy to circumvent resistance mechanisms, but these combination therapies are also accompanied by increased toxicities [14–16]. Class III non-V600 mutant cancers, where common BRAF inhibitors are usually less effective, responded well to EGFR-targeting therapies [17]. However, cancer resistance to EGFR inhibitor treatment was reported, and MEK inhibitors can also trigger resistance mechanisms and a phenomenon called drug addiction [18,19].

Nevertheless, RTKs are of incessant importance for the development of targeted cancer therapies (tyrosine kinase inhibitors/TKIs), and sophisticated methods to improve RTK
inhibitor efficacy and circumvent drug resistance are needed [20]. Thus, the relevance of various RTKs for BRAF mutant cancer growth and the formation of resistance are discussed in this review, as are possible strategies to overcome BRAF inhibitor resistance.

2. Receptor Tyrosine Kinases and Ras/MAPK/ERK Signaling

RTKs are trans-membrane receptors and transducers of extracellular signals that are mediated by growth factors, cytokines, and hormones as possible ligands. In this way, RTKs differ from non-receptor tyrosine kinases located inside cells. About 20 RTK subfamilies have been documented so far, and they share a mutual basic structure of an N-terminal extracellular ligand-binding domain (often with immunoglobulin-like sequences), a transmembrane α-helix, and an intracellular tyrosine kinase domain, followed by a C-terminus rich in tyrosine residues and a regulatory juxtamembrane region [21,22]. RTK activation occurs by dimerization upon binding to a suitable ligand, leading to the activation of the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain [22]. Ligand binding abrogates receptor-specific cis-autoinhibition mechanisms of the inactive RTK, which can involve their activation loop, juxtamembrane region, and C-terminal sequences, and, thus, the transphosphorylation of tyrosines in these regions is necessary to activate the receptor [23–25]. The resulting phosphotyrosines of the cytoplasmic region are binding sites for downstream proteins harboring Src homology-2 (SH2) and phosphotyrosine-binding (PTB) domains. These molecules can interact directly with the RTK phosphotyrosines, or indirectly via phosphorylated docking proteins and activate several downstream proteins and signaling pathways, such as the MAPK and PI3K/AKT signaling pathways [22,26].

RTKs regulate complex networks of signaling pathways, which are relevant for cellular processes such as differentiation, proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis [21]. Consequently, abnormal RTK signaling can lead to the development and progression of cancer. The basic mechanisms of abnormal RTK activation comprise receptor overexpression and autocrine regulation, as well as the formation of gain-of-function mutations and chromosomal translocations leading to fusion proteins. Yet, changes in the tumor microenvironment and epigenetic mechanisms, negative signaling regulators and protein phosphatases, duplication of kinase domains, and protein sequestration processes can also play a role [26–32]. These mechanisms can also be correlated with resistance to targeted therapies with RTK inhibitors.

A milestone of targeted therapy was surely the FDA approval of the EGFR inhibitors erlotinib and gefitinib for the treatment of NSCLC patients [33]. In order to combat resistant tumors with activating EGFR mutations upon treatment with these first-generation EGFR inhibitors, various second- (afatinib) and third-generation (osimertinib) EGFR inhibitors are also applied [34]. Other well-known examples of RTK inhibitors are sunitinib, regorafenib, and sorafenib. As multikinase inhibitors that target multiple RTKs, these medications are effective against various cancers. Yet, intrinsic and acquired resistance pose significant clinical problems and confine the application of these multikinase inhibitors as well [35]. Multimodal RTK inhibitors such as the dual EGFR/Her2/HDAC inhibitor CUDC-101 might have the potential to overcome these drawbacks [36,37]. In addition, combining RTK inhibitors with other anticancer drugs (e.g., EGFR inhibitors with DNA methyltransferase inhibitors) with amenable safety profiles can prevent resistance formation and improve clinical outcomes [38].

Ras GTPase is an essential part of RTK signaling directly downstream of RTKs such as EGFR, examples of Ras downstream effectors are PI3K and Raf kinase. Ras is a small GTPase of 21 kDa (p21ras) of three isoforms, H-Ras, K-Ras, and N-Ras, and forms the Ras superfamily together with other small GTPases such as Rho and Ran. Ras GTPase is a molecular switch functioning in the transmission of growth factor signals and is regulated by the state of the bound guanosine nucleotide, i.e., Ras (GDP) is inactive and Ras (GTP) is active [39]. Mutant Ras exhibits a complete lack of enzymatic GTPase activity, resulting in persistent Ras activation. This is of high relevance in cancer research since about 30% of all tumors have mutant Ras proteins. The activity of Ras is regulated by GEFs (G-nucleotide
exchange factors) such as SOS1/2 (son-of-sevenless 1/2), which replace GDP by GTP, and by GAPs (GTPase activating proteins) such as p120 GAP and NFI, which accelerate the cleavage of GTP to GDP [40]. In addition, Ras needs prenyl membrane anchors to locate at the cytoplasmic membranes and to interact with membrane-attached RTKs and associated docking proteins such as Grb2 and SOS1/2. In particular, farnesyl moieties are of great importance, which are attached at the C-terminal CAAX-box of Ras proteins by farnesyl transferases (FTases). FTases (Zn$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$-dependent enzymes) recognize the CAAX-box of Ras and Rho proteins, remove the terminal AAX residues, methylate the C-terminus (methyl ester), and activate the terminal Ras cysteine, which reacts with farnesyl pyrophosphate to form farnesyl thioethers [41]. The hydrophobic C-terminus with the farnesyl moiety efficiently interacts with the lipid bilayers of membranes. Because of the vital role of FTases in Ras activity, various FTase inhibitors were developed to block abnormal Ras signaling. Yet, suppressed Ras farnesylation can be compensated by Ras geranyl-geranylation catalyzed by GTases (geranyl-geranyl transferases), leading to FTase inhibitor resistance. Lonafarnib and tipifarnib reached advanced clinical trials and showed activity against leukemias, breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, NSCLC, and glioma; however, there is still no approval of these drugs for the treatment of cancers [42]. Lonafarnib was approved for the therapy of progeria (Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome and progeroid laminopathy) [43]. In addition to FTase inhibitors, the direct inhibition of Ras proteins offers promising starting points for the development of potent Ras signaling suppressors [44].

Ras proteins directly bind and activate Raf kinases (serine–threonine protein kinases) such as BRAF. More than 60% of melanoma cases harbored BRAF mutations in an early study from 2002, which initiated the thorough exploration of the role of BRAF kinase in cancer [45]. The class I V600 mutations cause a deregulated and permanently active kinase function and consequently lead to hyper-activated MAPK/ERK signaling by directly phosphorylating the downstream MAPK kinase MEK1/2, independent from the regulation of upstream factors such as receptor tyrosine kinases and growth factors [46]. MEK1/2 directly activates the kinase ERK1/2 by phosphorylation, which is supported by scaffold proteins such as KSR1/2 (kinase suppressor of Ras 1/2), and phospho-ERK1/2 eventually increases the activity of various transcription factors correlated with tumor cell growth and survival, metastasis formation, and metabolic reprogramming [47–49]. Thus, the development of BRAF inhibitors appeared to be a very promising strategy to treat BRAF mutant cancers.

Interestingly, the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib was initially developed as a Raf kinase inhibitor before its promising inhibitory effects on receptor tyrosine kinases such as VEGFR (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor) and PDGFR (platelet-derived growth factor receptor) were identified [50]. Yet, more potent and selective second-generation BRAF inhibitors were necessary to combat BRAF mutant tumors efficiently. Vemurafenib was the first BRAF-selective inhibitor, which entered clinical trials in 2008 and was rapidly approved in 2011 because it led to treatment responses in approximately 50% of advanced BRAF mutant melanoma [51]. Notably, vemurafenib was also active against brain metastases of V600 mutant melanomas [52]. The selective BRAF-inhibitors dabrafenib and encorafenib were approved thereafter, and various third-generation inhibitors have meanwhile entered clinical trials [53]. In the light of emerging resistance to monotherapies with vemurafenib or dabrafenib, the combination of dabrafenib with the MEK inhibitor trametinib (also known as mekinist) was evaluated in metastatic melanoma and was clinically approved for the treatment of V600 mutant melanoma and NSCLC [54,55]. Analogously, encorafenib, which has a much longer binding half-life to BRAF$^{V600E}$ than vemurafenib and dabrafenib, was approved for BRAF$^{V600E}$ mutant melanoma treatment in combination with the MEK inhibitor binimetinib after the phase III COLUMBUS trial revealed a distinctly prolonged median overall survival when compared with existing combinations of BRAF and MEK inhibitors [56]. However, resistance development, as well as MAPK/ERK-reactivating and bypassing resistance mechanisms induced by V600 and non-V600 BRAF mutations,
which include RTKs and other protein kinase signaling pathways, pose a considerable problem [57,58].

3. Receptor Tyrosine Kinases in BRAF Mutant Cancers

RTKs are described as important factors to circumvent BRAF inhibitor resistance and as suitable drug targets, enabling more efficient BRAF mutant cancer therapy. The current knowledge of the role and function of RTKs in BRAF mutant cancers is described in the following sections.

3.1. EGFR, HER2, and HER3 (ErbB Receptors)
3.1.1. ErbB Receptors, Kinase Inhibitors, Main Mechanisms, and Targets

EGFR (ErbB1) is a transmembrane receptor of the ErbB subfamily for epidermal growth factors (EGFs and other EGF family growth factors such as neuregulins/NRGs), and activating mutations of EGFR play a crucial role in the development and progression of various cancers such as lung cancer, colorectal carcinoma, head-and-neck cancers, and glioblastoma [59,60]. Upon binding of EGF to the extracellular EGFR binding sites, the EGFR proteins form active dimers (homodimers or heterodimers with other ErbB proteins), which are able to transmit the EGF signal via docking and adapter proteins (e.g., Grb2 and SOS) to prenylated membrane-bound Ras proteins [39]. PI3K/AKT signaling is another oncogenic signaling pathway activated by EGFR [61]. HER2 (human epidermal growth factor 2, ErbB2), HER3 (ErbB3), and HER4 (ErbB4) are other members of the ErbB family of RTKs with particular significance in breast cancer, which form heterodimers with other ErbB proteins (e.g., HER2/HER3 receptors), including EGFR [62].

The development of the first-generation EGFR inhibitors, gefitinib and erlotinib, was a landmark in targeted tumor therapy [33]. Irreversible second-generation inhibitors such as afatinib and third-generation inhibitors such as osimertinib, as well as the EGFR-targeting antibody cetuximab, complement the current arsenal of clinically applied EGFR inhibitors [34]. Lapatinib is a prominent dual EGFR and HER2 inhibitor, while afatinib and neratinib inhibit EGFR, HER2, and HER4 [62]. However, resistance mechanisms such as activating EGFR mutations (e.g., the T790M mutation) and upregulating bypass signaling pathways pose a considerable clinical problem [18]. Such adaptive responses to TKIs leading to kinome reprogramming need to be thoroughly understood to overcome cancer drug resistance [63]. For instance, the formation of drug-tolerant persister cells upon treatment with BRAF and MEK inhibitors was influenced by RTK activation kinetics, and sustained RTK activation led to higher expression of ERK target genes despite BRAFi (BRAF inhibitor) and MEKi treatment [64].

EGFR was soon identified as a crucial factor that conveys resistance to BRAF inhibitor treatment in BRAF mutant cancers. Inhibition of BRAFV600E by vemurafenib interferes with the ERK-controlled negative feedback loop of MAPK signaling, which upregulates EGFR, followed by MAPK reactivation and vemurafenib resistance [65]. Gefitinib, afatinib, and lapatinib in combination with AKT inhibitors and vemurafenib (triple combination) were found to be active against BRAF mutant melanomas [66]. In BRAF mutant melanoma cells, the combination of gefitinib and vemurafenib led to additive effects accompanied by the suppression of colony formation and migration in preclinical studies. In contrast, wild-type BRAF melanoma cells showed considerable resistance to gefitinib treatment [67]. The reactivation of MAPK signaling in BRAF mutant CRCs treated with vemurafenib also occurred via EGFR, as evident by the activation of Ras and CRAF. In addition, AKT activation was observed upon vemurafenib treatment. Yet, the combination of the EGFR and BRAF inhibitors erlotinib and vemurafenib efficiently suppressed (BRAF mutant) HT-29 and WiDr CRC growth in vitro and in vivo [65]. Moreover, the triple combination of BRAF, MEK, and EGFR inhibitors exhibited promising results in various BRAF mutant CRC cell lines with acquired BRAF inhibitor resistance, which displayed gene amplification and/or mutation of the EGFR and KRAS genes [68]. Recently, CRISPR-Cas experiments underlined the potential of triple targeting of BRAF, MEK, and EGFR for a more efficient...
therapy of BRAF mutant CRC [69]. In addition, BRAF mutant melanoma cells with acquired vemurafenib resistance and high EGFR expression levels showing increased cell migration can be less susceptible to erlotinib treatment and were characterized by high expression of the immune checkpoint protein PD-L1, indicating another suitable target to cope with vemurafenib resistance in melanoma when EGFR inhibition fails [70].

The outcomes of clinical studies using the combination of BRAF/MEK inhibitors with checkpoint inhibitors were recently summarized. However, only the IMspire150 phase 3 study with advanced BRAFV600E mutant melanoma using atezolizumab (a PD-L1 inhibitor) plus vemurafenib and cobimetinib (a MEK1 inhibitor) showed promising results in terms of prolonged progression-free survival and increased 5-year survival [71]. Intrinsinc resistance to BRAF inhibitor treatment in melanoma characterized by high EGFR and low HER3 levels was accompanied by a limited response to EGFR inhibitors, and targeting of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway appeared to be more promising [72]. Yet, a case report of a BRAF mutant melanoma patient with brain metastases suggests that resistance formation by increased EGFR levels upon first-line BRAF/MEK inhibitor treatment with dabrafenib plus trametinib is reversible, and the pre-treated tumors can show clinical responses to rechallenge treatment with encorafenib plus binimetinib in the following [73].

In vitro 3D BRAF mutant CRC models treated with vemurafenib and gefitinib revealed an important role of HGFR (hepatocyte growth factor receptor, also known as MET) activation in the establishment of BRAF and EGFR inhibitor resistance via AKT signaling activation, which was less pronounced in 2D tumor models [74]. In addition to PI3K/AKT signaling, other pathways such as JAK/STAT can be involved in EGFR-mediated BRAF inhibitor resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma, while synergistic effects of EGFR and HER2 inhibitor lapatinib in combination with the BRAF inhibitor PLX4720 can occur independently from AKT signaling and MAPK reactivation. Here, the synergistic effects of the dual EGFR/HER2 inhibitor lapatinib were similar to PLX4720 combinations with masatinib but superior to the effects of gefitinib, a selective EGFR inhibitor without activity against HER2, indicating a beneficial role of HER family kinase inhibition [75]. Lapatinib was likewise efficient in eradicating BRAF mutant CRC cells in combination with the AKT inhibitor MK2206, which revealed distinct synergistic effects [76]. Moreover, HER inhibition by lapatinib blocked the MAPK rebound effect in papillary thyroid carcinoma and sensitized BRAFV600E thyroid cancer cells to BRAF/MEK inhibitor treatment. Further tests showed that lapatinib also augmented radioiodine uptake, which is significant since BRAFV600E mutant cells are resistant to radioiodine therapy [77]. The EGFR/HER2 inhibitor afatinib was especially active against COLO-205 CRC cells, which display high HER2 expression, and the combination of afatinib with vemurafenib showed additive effects on BRAFV600E CRC [78]. The irreversible EGFR/HER2/HER4 and MAP4K (Ste20 family serine–threonine kinase) inhibitor neratinib eliminated BRAFV600E cutaneous melanoma cells, showed synergistic effects with HDAC inhibitors, and led to ROS-dependent autophagosome formation [79]. Pan-ErbB inhibition by canertinib led to apoptosis in BRAF mutant melanoma cells and blocked EGF- and NRG1-induced ErbB signaling. Canertinib blocked EGF-induced AKT and inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation in the absence of EGF [80]. Resistant BRAF mutant canine transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder was re-sensitized to vemurafenib treatment in combination with the pan-ErbB inhibitor sapitinib [81]. The relevance of EGFR was also shown in studies with next-generation BRAF inhibitors. PLX8394 is a BRAF dimer inhibitor, which can evade MAPK reactivation by vemurafenib treatment, and was active both against BRAFV600E and non-V600 lung adenocarcinomas as well as against cells with truncated vemurafenib-insensitive BRAFV600E. Acquired PLX8394 resistance in lung adenocarcinoma was mediated by EGFR-RAS-mTOR signaling and was overcome by a combination of PLX8394 with an EGFR inhibitor (erlotinib) or an mTOR inhibitor (everolimus) [82]. Based on the central role of EGFR in Ras/MAPK signaling and BRAF inhibitor resistance formation, the first-in-class dual RAF/EGFR inhibitor lifirafenib (BGB-283) was developed, which reversibly inhibits RAF dimers of wild-type ARAF, BRAF, and CRAF, as well as BRAFV600E and EGFR, which was accompanied by distinctly increased
activity against BRAF mutant CRC when compared with vemurafenib and dabrafenib [83]. Lifirafenib was effective against vemurafenib-insensitive non-V600 BRAF mutant lung cancers, whose MAPK re-activation mechanisms extremely rely on EGFR [84]. Lifirafenib showed promising results in a phase 1 study with patients suffering from solid tumors, and responses were observed in BRAF mutant melanoma, thyroid cancer, and ovarian cancer [85]. Lifirafenib successfully suppressed MAPK reactivation and in vivo KRAS mutant tumor growth in combination with the MEK inhibitor selumetinib, and a clinical phase 1b/2 study of lifirafenib in combination with the MEK inhibitor mirdametinib was launched for solid tumors with MAPK aberrations, including KRAS and RAF mutations [86].

While EGFR activation poses a resistance mechanism for BRAF inhibitors, mutant BRAF associated with drug resistance can also appear in turn as a consequence of EGFR inhibitor therapy. Notably, the emergence of NSCLC tumors with acquired V600 and non-V600 BRAF mutations was reported, featuring pivotal resistance factors for the treatment with osimertinib, which warrants the combined application of EGFR inhibitors with BRAF and MEK inhibitors for these cases [87]. In an EGFR mutant/BRAF mutant lung adenocarcinoma patient suffering from bone metastases who developed BRAFV600E as a consequence of second-line osimertinib treatment, an osimertinib-based triple combination therapy with dabrafenib and trametinib showed impressive outcomes such as overall tumor response and complete bone pain reduction with an enduring asymptomatic state after continuation of this triple combination therapy [88]. Another NSCLC case of acquired resistance based on the BRAFV600E mutation after treatment with osimertinib exhibited tumor regression and reduced symptoms [89]. In addition, a patient suffering from EGFR (del19) mutant/BRAFV600E NSCLC with life-threatening leptomeningeal brain metastasis also responded well to this triple therapy [90]. The EGFR del19 mutation is the most frequently detected activating mutation in NSCLC that sensitizes cancer cells to EGFR TKI therapy [91]. However, the emergence of BRAF fusion genes such as BTN2A1-BRAF leading to BRAF overexpression via promoter deregulation as an acquired resistance mechanism to osimertinib treatment poses a significant problem for patients with advanced NSCLC [92].

In addition to the small-molecule EGFR inhibitors mentioned above, the anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody (mAb) cetuximab was approved for the therapy of EGFR-related cancers [93]. Thus, the effects of cetuximab on BRAF inhibitor resistance are of great importance. Treatment of BRAFV600E mutant HT-29 cells with the mTOR inhibitor PP242 increased EGFR phosphorylation/activation, and the combination of cetuximab and PP242 inhibited the growth of HT-29 xenografts more efficiently than the single drugs [94]. Although quite inactive against HT-29 CRC cells as a single drug, cetuximab augmented the cell-killing activity of peripheral blood natural killer (NK) cells against HT-29 cells [95]. In combination with dabrafenib, cetuximab induced PTEN and suppressed Src and c-Myc in BRAFV600E mutant CRC cells [96]. Non-V600 BRAF mutant lung and colorectal cancers (i.e., class III BRAF mutants) with low BRAF kinase activity were found to be especially sensitive to cetuximab, which led to the launch of clinical trials with EGFR inhibitors in non-V600 cancers (see below) [17]. Trastuzumab emtansine is an antibody drug conjugate of the HER2 targeting antibody trastuzumab with the maytansinoid tubulin inhibitor emtansine (mertansine). This conjugate was more active than cetuximab and trastuzumab against HER2-positive BRAF mutant CRC cells. The combination of trastuzumab emtansine with metformin, an antidiabetic drug that upregulates endocytic calveolin-1 expression, led to increased anticancer activity against HER2-positive BRAF mutant CRC cells and xenograft models [97]. The formation of radioiodine-refractory (RAIR) thyroid cancer is often correlated with the BRAFV600E mutation and MAPK activation. Results of a pilot clinical study with BRAF mutant RAIR thyroid cancer patients showed that the combination of vemurafenib with the anti-ErbB3 monoclonal antibody CDX-3379 increased iodine uptake by most patients and had a partial response in two patients. SWI/SNF gene mutations (e.g., in ARID2) were described as resistance factors for this therapy [98].
3.1.2. Additional Mechanisms and Factors of EGFR-Mediated BRAF Inhibitor Resistance

The upregulation of EGFR in BRAF inhibitor-resistant cutaneous melanoma was correlated with distinct epigenetic processes, so-called “back-seat drivers”, and a demethylation of EGFR regulatory DNA elements such as enhancers and the EGFR gene itself was observed. The epigenetic upregulation of EGFR led to the activation of PI3K/AKT signaling. However, erlotinib, in combination with a BRAF inhibitor, was able to overcome acquired BRAF inhibitor resistance based on high EGFR levels [99]. This epigenetic mode of EGFR activation comes along with the synergistic effect of neratinib in combination with HDAC inhibitors [79]. Several RTKs, including EGFR, were upregulated in BRAF mutant thyroid cancers dependent on phosphate SHP2 (protein tyrosine phosphatase 2, a downstream factor of RTK signaling). Inhibition of SHP2 either by knockdown or by the SHP2 inhibitor SHP099 reversed late resistance to vemurafenib in BRAF mutant thyroid cancer cells by decelerating MAPK/ERK reactivation (Figure 2a) [100]. The phosphate PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol triphosphate PIP₃, the activator of PI3K, to PIP₂, thereby suppressing PI3K/AKT signaling. Combined inhibition of BRAF and EGFR upregulated PTEN and suppressed Src and c-Myc functions in BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> CRC cells [96]. The ubiquitin-like protein NEDD8 and its associated pathway can contribute to concomitant resistance to EGFR and BRAF inhibitor treatment, and suppression of NEDD8 by the NEDD8 pathway inhibitor pevonedistat combined with the EGFR inhibitors cetuximab or lapatinib efficiently blocked feedback loops in BRAF mutant CRC (Figure 2a) [101].

Melanoma patient survival was reduced by prostaglandin synthase (PTGES) expression, and acquired vemurafenib resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma was associated with increased prostaglandin E2 synthesis, which, however, was accompanied by increased sensitivity for gefitinib treatment, indicating a vital role of EGFR signaling in the inflammatory lipid metabolism of vemurafenib-resistant cells [103]. A vital EGFR–SFK (Src family kinase)–STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3) axis was identified in BRAF inhibitor-resistant tumors of melanoma patients, which drives proliferation and lung metastasis formation by BRAF mutant melanoma cells, indicating that a combination of EGFR inhibitors with Src inhibitors can be promising to circumvent BRAF inhibitor resistance (Figure 2a) [104]. The transcription factor YAP (yes-associated protein), an effector of the Hippo pathway, was associated with resistance to RAF inhibitors [105]. The adaptive resistance of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant thyroid cancer cells to vemurafenib depended on HER2 and HER3 activation upon nuclear translocation and activation of YAP (induction of the YAP-NRG1 pathway). The EGFR/HER2 inhibitor lapatinib re-sensitized resistant cells to vemurafenib and showed promising anticancer effects in combination with the YAP inhibitor verteporfin. YAP activation also played a role in vemurafenib resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma, but not in BRAF mutant CRC (Figure 2a) [106]. The relevance of YAP for Ras signaling was corroborated by the fact that YAPI was able to rescue KRAS-mediated transcriptional EMT (epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition) regulation and cell viability upon KRAS suppression via activating interaction with the transcription factor c-Fos [107]. In addition, upregulation of the transcription factor ELF3 (E26 transformation (ETS)-specific related transcription factor-3) in BRAF mutant thyroid cancer cells established a stable positive feedback loop with MAPK signaling by induction of HER2 and HER3 expression, which was associated with thyroid cancer progression [108].

Suppression of the SOX family transcription factor SOX10 (sex-determining region Y-box 10) induced TGF-β signaling, followed by activation of EGFR in BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanomas [109]. The transcription factor FOXD3 (forkhead box D3) upregulated HER3 as a resistance mechanism following RAF/MEK inhibitor treatment [110]. In BRAF mu-
tant CRC cells, vemurafenib increased the levels of EGFR, HER2, and HER3, but also the expression of the Grb2-associated binders Gab1 and Gab2, two important enhancers of ErbB signal transduction downstream of RTKs. Gab2 activation was directly connected with BRAFv600E suppression, and Gab2-mediated induction of the already-described phosphatase SHP2 was important for the formation of vemurafenib resistance [111]. Suppression of the HER3-mediated positive feedback loop was achieved in BRAF mutant thyroid cancer cells upon Pin1 (peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase 1) inhibition by the Pin1 inhibitor API-1, which activated the HER3-targeting microRNA miR-20a-5p and sensitized these cells to vemurafenib (Figure 2a) [112]. Certain microRNAs were also involved in BRAF inhibitor resistance in melanomas. Suppression of EGFR-targeting miR-7 was discovered in vemurafenib-resistant cells, leading to upregulation of EGFR and CRAF and activation of MAPK and PI3K/AKT signaling [113]. In addition, cells with acquired BRAF inhibitor resistance downregulated miR-200c, accompanied by the induction of Bmi1, leading to the activation of the MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways (Figure 2a) [114]. Generally, non-coding RNAs play pivotal roles in drug resistance to approved anticancer drugs such as platinum complexes and alkylating agents [115,116].

Figure 2. (a) EGFR in BRAF inhibitor (BRAFi) resistance. Resistant cells established feedback loops and bypassed signaling via AKT, JAK/STAT, and SFK signaling, accompanied by deregulation of transcription factors (SOX10, FOXD3, MYC, ELF3, and YAP) and miRNAs. Upregulated CRAF and
PD-L1 were identified. Moreover, active SHP2, Pin1, and NEDD8 as well as upregulated PGE2 synthesis promote resistance. (b) VEGFR in BRAFi resistance. The feedback loop leads to increased secretion of cytokines and growth factors (GF). ARAF and SHP2, as well as metformin-induced AMPK activation (followed by DUSP6 suppression), contribute to MAPK reactivation. TRAIL apoptosis signaling is blocked in resistant cells. Bypass signaling includes AKT, STAT, and FAK; VEGF-A and cytokine production; and Wnt-activated ROR2 RTK. Resistance was accompanied by the induction of c-Jun transcription factor and the downregulation of miR-126-3p; the latter caused the upregulation of ADAM9. (c) PDGFR and KIT in BRAFi resistance. Resistant cells established feedback loops and bypassed MAPK inhibition via AKT, JAK/STAT, and Src signaling, accompanied by deregulation of transcription factors (SOX10, MYC, and Gli1) and BRD2/4. Mutant AKT1 overcomes PTEN-based AKT inhibition, while suppressed SPRED1 and induced ERK5 lead to MAPK reactivation. RTK (PDGFR and ErbB3) expression is upregulated. Active SHP2 and Hsp90 promote resistance. (d) FGFR in BRAFi resistance and BRAF mutant tumor metastasis. Feedback leads to increased expression and secretion of growth factors. SHP2 and PKC-mediated MAPK signaling contribute to MAPK reactivation. Resistance was accompanied by suppression of the FRA1 transcription factor and induction of NFAT and ATF6, the latter of which caused upregulation of UPR by activation of IRE1 and PERK.

3.1.3. Clinical Trials of EGFR Inhibitors in BRAF Mutant Cancers and Adjuvant Molecules

Several clinical trials of EGFR inhibitors with cancer patients suffering from BRAF inhibitor-resistant cancers were conducted in addition to those of osimertinib and lifirafenib already mentioned above [85–90,92]. In particular, the outcome of EGFR inhibitors in BRAF mutant metastatic CRC was promising [117]. The important BEACON phase 3 study with BRAFV600E mutant metastatic CRC showed that cetuximab plus encorafenib showed acceptable safety and led to prolonged overall and progression-free survival when compared with standard therapy [118]. This was corroborated by a recent case report of a 75-year-old patient suffering from advanced pretreated BRAFV600E CRC with liver metastases, which revealed that the application of cetuximab in combination with vemurafenib and the topoisomerase I inhibitor irinotecan led to a complete response and showcased the synergy of BRAF inhibition with EGFR inhibition in the therapy of BRAFV600E CRC [15]. Recently, the combination of the two orally available drugs erlotinib and vemurafenib was studied in BRAFV600E CRC patients (phase 1b/2 EVICT study), and the combination therapy exhibited an overall response rate of 32% without dose-limiting toxicities [119]. A phase 2 study of cetuximab plus irinotecan as a “re-challenge” third-line therapy of CRC patients pretreated with second-line bevacizumab plus FOLFOX and first-line cetuximab plus FOLFIRI accompanied by Ras/BRAF-mutation was launched recently. The results of this study will be reported by 2026 [120]. The previous SWOG phase 2 study confirmed the superior effects of this triple regimen when compared with cetuximab plus irinotecan in BRAF mutant metastatic CRC; however, the addition of vemurafenib increased some grade III/IV adverse effects such as anemia, neutropenia, and nausea/vomiting [121]. A recently published Chinese study on the combination of vemurafenib, irinotecan, and cetuximab (VIC) as a first-line therapy in unresectable BRAFV600E mutant CRC revealed prolonged overall response rate and disease control of VIC therapy when compared with chemotherapy (FOLFOX, FOLFIRI, XELOX, and FOLFOXIRI) or chemotherapy plus bevacizumab, indicating VIC as a suitable first-line therapy for this BRAF mutant CRC patient subgroup [122].

In class III BRAF mutant cancer cells, heterodimers of BRAF with CRAF induced Ras/MAPK signal transduction in a manner that was strongly EGFR- and Ras-dependent, and several clinical trials confirmed that cetuximab and panitumumab (another anti-EGFR mAb) are beneficial for the treatment of class III BRAF mutant advanced or metastatic CRCs [58,123]. The case report of a non-V600 metastatic CRC patient who experienced progressing disease upon several lines of chemotherapy (5-fluorouracil, oxaliplatin, irinotecan, and trifluridine) revealed a remarkable response upon panitumumab monotherapy, including shrinkage of metastases in the liver, adrenal gland, and retroperitoneal lymph
nodes [124]. The study of BRAF mutant microsatellite stable metastatic CRC patients with primary resistance to EGFR/BRAF therapy showed an amplification of genes coding the cell cycle-regulating cyclins D1, D2, and D3, which might pave the way for the development of improved therapies for EGFR/BRAF-insensitive patient sub-groups [125]. Meta-analyses showed that combinations of BRAF and MEK inhibitors were superior to BRAF and EGFR inhibitor treatments in class II non-V600 BRAF mutant cancers but inferior in class III mutant tumors [126].

In addition to EGFR inhibitors, adjuvant compounds such as vitamins and dietary polyphenols may contribute to the treatment of BRAF inhibitor-resistant cancers via EGFR targeting. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) suppressed MAPK and AKT signaling in both wild-type and BRAF mutant thyroid cancer cells by forming reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reduced thyroid cancer growth in vivo at high doses of 4 g/kg twice per day (i.p.). While in the BRAF mutant cancer, ascorbic acid blocked the fuel for MAPK signaling by depletion of ATP and suppressed EGF release and EGFR phosphorylation in the BRAF wild-type cells. In addition, ascorbic acid suppressed AKT through forced proteosomal degradation in wild-type and BRAF mutant cells [127]. Dietary polyphenols possess multiple mechanisms to tackle cancer drug resistance [128]. The natural polyphenol curcumin from Curcuma longa rhizomes downregulated EGFR and suppressed MAPK signaling in pancreatic and lung adenocarcinoma cells in a COX-2-dependent way [129]. In CRC cells treated with curcumin, downregulation of EGFR gene expression as well as suppression of EGFR phosphorylation were observed [130–132]. To investigate the effects of curcumin on BRAF mutant CRC, curcumin micelles (240 mg/kg) in drinking water were administered to an intestine-specific BRAF mutant murine model (BRAF\textsuperscript{V637E/+}/Villin-Cre\textsuperscript{ERT2/+}) for 14 months. Curcumin strongly prevented BRAF mutant CRC formation and led to reduced CRC numbers when compared with untreated mice [133]. The stilbene-based dietary polyphenol resveratrol, which naturally occurs in grapes and various berries, efficiently broke vemurafenib resistance in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} melanoma cells associated with increased phospho-AKT levels by AKT dephosphorylation, both as a single compound and in combination with vemurafenib [134]. Resveratrol also suppressed STAT3 signaling in BRAF mutant THJ-16T (MKRN1-BRAF fusion mutation) and THJ-21T (BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} point mutation) anaplastic thyroid cancer cells via phospho-STAT3 downregulation, accompanied by suppressed MAPK signaling and reduced phosphorylation of BRAF, MAPK, and ERK. In combination with the approved BRAF/MEK-targeting drugs dabrafenib and trametinib, which induced STAT3 activation in treated cancer cells, resveratrol was able to suppress STAT3 signaling [135]. The dietary isoflavone genistein, a natural component of soybeans (Glycine max), was already identified as an EGFR inhibitor in 1987 [136]. Genistein also inhibits the proliferation driving polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) as well as estrogen and androgen receptors (ER and AR), and a randomized phase 2 study with genistein in prostate cancer patients showed amenable tolerance of the drug and a reduction of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels [137,138]. In quinol-thioether-transformed rat renal epithelial (QT-RRE) cells, which lack the tumor suppressor tuberin (a suppressor of renal tumorigenesis), levels of activated BRAF, Raf-1, and ERK were high. Notably, the application of tuberin or genistein was able to downregulate BRAF and ERK in QT-RRE cells [139].

The effects and mechanisms of the described ErbB receptor inhibitors are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** ErbB (EGFR and HER2-4) inhibitors and their activities in BRAF mutant cancers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ErbB Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gefitinib</td>
<td>Additive effects; suppression of colony formation and migration (BRAF mutant melanoma); resistance formation by MET and AKT activation (BRAF mutant CRC)</td>
<td>Increased activity by upregulated prostaglandin upon acquired vemurafenib resistance (BRAF mutant melanoma)</td>
<td>[66,67,74,103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErbB Inhibitor</td>
<td>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</td>
<td>Clinical Studies</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>Suppression of BRAF mutant CRC growth in vitro and in vivo (HT-29 and WiDr); overcomes acquired PLX8394 resistance (lung adenocarcinoma); overcomes acquired BRAF inhibitor resistance based on high EGFR levels (cutaneous melanoma)</td>
<td>Overall response of 32% in combination with vemurafenib (phase 1b/2 EVICT study, BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; CRC)</td>
<td>[65,82,99,119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afatinib</td>
<td>Active in combination with AKT inhibitors and vemurafenib (BRAF mutant melanoma); additive effects in combination with vemurafenib (BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; CRC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[66,78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptatinib</td>
<td>Synergy combined with the BRAF inhibitor PLX4720 (BRAF inhibitor-resistant BRAF mutant melanoma), and in combination with the AKT inhibitor MK2206, which revealed distinct synergistic effects (BRAF mutant CRC cells); efficient in blocking feedback loops when combined with NEDD8 inhibitor (BRAF mutant CRC); suppression of MAPK rebound effect and re-sensitization to BRAF/MEK inhibitor treatment and radiiodine therapy (BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; thyroid cancer cells); promising anticancer effects in combination with the YAP inhibitor (BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; thyroid cancer cells)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[75–77,101,106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neratinib</td>
<td>Synergistic effects with HDAC inhibitors; ROS-dependent autophagosome formation (BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; cutaneous melanoma cells)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canertinib</td>
<td>Apoptosis induction; blocked ErbB signaling; blocking of EGF-induced AKT; inhibition of p-STAT3 in EGF’s absence (BRAF mutant melanoma cells)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapitinib</td>
<td>Re-sensitization effect (vemurafenib-resistant BRAF mutant canine transitional cell carcinoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifirafenib</td>
<td>Reversible inhibition of RAF dimers of wild-type ARAF, BRAF, and CRAF, and also BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; and EGFR; higher activity than vemurafenib and dabrafenib (BRAF mutant CRC); overcomes vemurafenib resistance and suppresses EGFR-mediated MAPK re-activation (non-V600 BRAF mutant lung cancers)</td>
<td>Clinical responses in BRAF mutant melanoma, thyroid cancer, and ovarian cancer (phase 1); ongoing clinical phase 1b/2 study in combination with the MEK inhibitor mirdametinib with KRAS and RAF mutant solid tumors</td>
<td>[83–86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osimertinib</td>
<td>Leads to acquired V600 and non-V600 BRAF mutations (NSCLC)</td>
<td>Clinical responses to triple combination therapy (plus dabrafenib and trametinib), including the breach of BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt;-mediated osimertinib resistance (EGFR mutant/BRAF mutant lung adenocarcinoma with bone metastases and EGFR(del19) mutant/BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; NSCLC with leptomeningeal brain metastasis); problematic BRAF fusion gene (BTN2A1-BRAF) as acquired osimertinib resistance factor (advanced NSCLC)</td>
<td>[87–90,92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ErbB Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cetuximab</td>
<td>Combination with the mTOR inhibitor PP242 inhibited tumor growth (BRAFV600E mutant HT-29 xenografts); increased cell killing activity of peripheral blood natural killer (NK) cells (HT-29 cells); combination with dabrafenib induced PTEN and suppressed Src and c-Myc (BRAFV600E mutant CRC cells); sensitive class III BRAF mutant cancers (lung and colorectal cancers); efficient blocking of feedback loops when combined with the NEDD8 inhibitor (BRAF mutant CRC)</td>
<td>Prolonged overall and progression-free survival in combination with encorafenib (BEACON phase 3 with BRAFV600E mutant metastatic CRC); complete response in combination with vemurafenib and the topoisomerase I inhibitor irinotecan (case report of advanced pretreated BRAFV600E CRC with liver metastases); ongoing phase 2 study plus irinotecan as &quot;re-challenge&quot; third-line therapy of pretreated Ras/BRAF mutant CRC; superior effects of this cetuximab-based triple therapy (SWOG phase 2 study, BRAF mutant metastatic CRC); prolonged overall response rate and disease control in combination with vemurafenib and irinotecan (VIC) as a first-line therapy (unresectable BRAFV600E mutant CRC); activity against class III BRAF mutants (several trials, advanced or metastatic CRCs)</td>
<td>[15,17,58,94–96,101,118,120–123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panitumumab</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remarkable response (case report, pretreated non-V600 metastatic CRC); activity against class III BRAF mutants (several trials, advanced or metastatic CRCs)</td>
<td>[58,123,124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trastuzumab</td>
<td>Highly active; combination with metformin upregulates endocytic calveolin-1 expression and increased anticancer activity (HER2-positive BRAF mutant CRC cells and xenograft models)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emtansine</td>
<td>Highly active; combination with metformin upregulates endocytic calveolin-1 expression and increased anticancer activity (HER2-positive BRAF mutant CRC cells and xenograft models)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[126,127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>ROS formation, ATP depletion, MAPK and AKT suppression (BRAF mutant thyroid cancer cells), and suppression of thyroid cancer growth in vivo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[126,127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin</td>
<td>COX-2-dependent MAPK suppression (pancreatic and lung adenocarcinoma), suppression of p-EGFR and EGFR expression (CRC), and prevention of BRAF mutant CRC formation (murine BRAFV600E+/Villin-CreERT2/+) model</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[129–133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resveratrol</td>
<td>AKT dephosphorylation (BRAFV600E melanoma) and STAT3 suppression (THJ-16T MKRN1-BRAF fusion mutation and THJ-21T BRAFV600E ATC cells)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[134,135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genistein</td>
<td>Suppression of BRAF and ERK (QT-RRE cells)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. VEGFR and BRAF Mutant Cancers
3.2.1. VEGFRs, Inhibitors, and Mechanisms

The vascular–endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFRs, e.g., VEGFR-1/Flt-1, VEGFR2/KDR, and VEGFR3/Flt-4) play an important role in tumor-associated angio- genesis and tumor progression. The corresponding VEGF signal molecules and ligands
(VEGF-A, B, C, D, and placental growth factor (PlGF)) interact specifically with extracellular components (immunoglobulin-like domains) of the VEGFR family [140]. Although VEGFR family proteins share high structural similarities, there are differences in terms of signal transduction and functions [141]. Upon extracellular ligand binding, changes in the intracellular domain conformation lead to VEGFR dimerization and autophosphorylation at defined tyrosine sites, followed by induction of p38/MAPK, MAPK/ERK (via PKC), and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathways. Autocrine positive feedback loops were observed for VEGFRs (VEGF released by a cancer cell activates VEGFR of the same cancer cell), and activated VEGFR2 was especially associated with these processes and signaling pathways, as well as the correlated effects on cancer progression and invasion [142].

Several small-molecule multikinase inhibitors with inhibitory activity against VEGFRs (sorafenib, sunitinib, pazopanib, vandetanib, axitinib, carboplatinib, lenvatinib, regorafenib, and ponatinib) are clinically approved for the therapy of various cancer diseases [143]. It is noteworthy that, among these inhibitors, sorafenib and regorafenib are also targeting BRAF. Fruquintinib is a selective VEGFR inhibitor that was approved in China for the therapy of metastatic CRC in 2018, and its promising activity against advanced solid tumors in US patients was disclosed recently [144,145]. The anti-VEGF-A antibody bevacizumab is clinically applied for the first-line therapy of RAS and/or BRAF mutant CRC [146].

Because of their VEGFR and BRAF inhibitory activities, sorafenib and regorafenib are of immense interest for the treatment of BRAF mutant cancers. In canine transitional cell carcinoma cells with the BRAF\textsuperscript{V595E} mutation (which corresponds to human BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}), sorafenib was found to be more active than vemurafenib [147]. In BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} thyroid carcinoma cells, sorafenib induced apoptosis and suppressed MAPK and AKT signaling associated with reduced phosphorylation of VEGFR1/2/3 and other RTKs such as PDGFR\textbeta. These effects were also observed in wild-type cells treated with sorafenib, which underlines the broad beneficial effect of sorafenib on thyroid cancers [148]. Yet, in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} CRC cells, which were sensitive to vemurafenib, sorafenib exhibited antagonistic effects dependent on AKT, suggesting a disadvantage of sorafenib in advanced CRC [149]. Another study confirmed that sorafenib monotherapy was inactive against patient-derived CRC cells; however, its combination with the MEK inhibitor selumetinib exhibited distinct antiproliferative activity, which was superior to the activity of cetuximab plus selumetinib. Synergistic effects of sorafenib plus selumetinib were observed in cells with the MAP2K1 K57T mutation responsible for EGFR inhibitor resistance [150]. Sorafenib binds to an inactive BRAF conformation (which differs from the vemurafenib binding conformation), leading to reduced BRAF interactions with distinct proteins upon sorafenib binding [151]. It was shown that sorafenib treatment led to ARAF homodimer and ARAF/BRAF heterodimer (together with the scaffold protein KSR1) formation, which induced MAPK signaling and tumor invasiveness (Figure 2b) [152]. In addition, the pronounced long-term activity of sorafenib in a lung adenocarcinoma patient was based on an ARAF mutation, which sensitized the tumor to sorafenib therapy [153]. Promising effects of sorafenib were observed in a vemurafenib-insensitive melanoma cell line with activated MAPK signaling based on a BRAF fusion protein without known oncogenic mutations. Sorafenib was distinctly more active than vemurafenib against these cells and efficiently blocked MAPK activation [154].

The search for further mutations in vemurafenib-resistant BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant A431 melanoma cells identified an in-frame deletion in the BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} locus as well as a point mutation in the transcription repressor BCORL1 in the resistant cells. Sorafenib could re-sensitize these resistant cells to vemurafenib since it is not affected by these new mutations [155]. In addition, sorafenib showed promising activity against non-V600 BRAF mutant cancers. BRAF-G469R and BRAF-N581S mutant lung cancer cells revealed considerable sensitivity to sorafenib treatment [156]. The combination of sorafenib with the MEK inhibitor selumetinib showed synergy in non-V600 tumor cell lines, including MDA-MB-231 triple-negative breast carcinoma (G464V), based on increased apoptosis induction and ERK1/2 inhibition, and strongly inhibited MDA-MB-231 xenograft growth in mice [157].
Sorafenib also exhibited strong synergistic effects on MDA-MB-231 cells in combination with the CDK inhibitor flavopiridol, which was associated with apoptosis induction and downregulation of Rb (retinoblastoma) and MCL1 [158]. Analogously to sorafenib, the VEGFR/BRAF inhibitor regorafenib showed activity against BRAF\(^{V600E}\)- and non-V600 mutant thyroid cancers, unlike the V600E-specific inhibitor dabrafenib [159]. It is noteworthy that sorafenib sensitized TNF-\(\alpha\)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)-resistant (BRAF\(^{V600E}\)) HT-29 CRC to TRAIL-induced cell death in vitro and in vivo via suppression of NF-\(\kappa\)B, MCL-1, and phospho-MEK/ERK1/2 in particular since TRAIL-resistance was described as a resistance mechanism of the BRAF inhibitor PLX-4720 based on oncopgenic PIK3CA (Figure 2b) [160,161]. Finally, the combination of sorafenib with EGFR inhibitors (erlotinib or cetuximab) led to synergistic effects in CRC and NSCLC cells based on down-regulated MAPK, AKT, and VEGFR signaling [162].

Various other multikinase inhibitors with VEGFR-inhibitory activity were studied in BRAF mutant cancers. Resistance to sunitinib was associated with the upregulation of RAS/MAPK signaling in BRAF mutant thyroid cancers, as well as the stimulation of wild-type cells with EGF, which occurred independently from the expression of VEGFR-1 and other RTKs such as PDGFRA and KIT [163]. Pazopanib inhibits MAPK signaling in BRAF mutant HER2-positive breast cancer cells. Phosphorylation of MEK1/2, ERK1/2, VEGFR1, and VEGFR2 was reduced in pazopanib-treated HER2-transfected MDA-MB-231 tumor cells and brain metastases. While no interaction with BRAF\(^{V600E}\) was found, pazobanib was able to interact with the exon 11 oncogenic BRAF mutant [164].

Recently, the combination of axitinib with the BRAF inhibitors dabrafenib or PLX4720 exhibited an additive effect on BRAF\(^{V600E}\) mutant anaplastic thyroid carcinoma (ATC) cells, and blocked cell invasion and migration. Axitinib induced \(c\)-Jun signaling and downregulated histone H3 and aurora kinase (AURKA) phosphorylation. In an orthotopic ATC mouse model, axitinib plus a BRAF inhibitor inhibited tumor growth and led to prolonged survival [165]. In addition, the combination of axitinib with vemurafenib inhibited tumor growth of BRAF\(^{V600E}\) HT-29 and COLO-205 xenografts by suppressing cytokines and growth factor release (MIF, IL8, TGF-\(\beta\), and VEGF-A) (Figure 2b) [166]. Ponatinib plus PLX4720 revealed synergistic activity against BRAF\(^{V600E}\) ATC cells. The combined treatment induced apoptosis, suppressed MEK and ERK phosphorylation as well as \(c\)-Jun signaling, and blocked ATC colony formation and migration (Figure 2b). This combination therapy also re-sensitized PLX4720-resistant BRAF\(^{V600E}\) cells and inhibited tumor growth in orthotopic ATC mouse models [167]. Admittedly, the described multikinase inhibitors with VEGFR inhibitory activity may also exert their effects on BRAF mutant cancers in part by targeting other RTKs. Such effects are mentioned in the sections that follow about the respective RTKs, e.g., in the section about PDGFR/KIT for sunitinib and regorafenib.

The development of new dual VEGFR/BRAF inhibitors by combining essential VEGFR- and BRAF-inhibitory molecular scaffolds has also shown promising results, and the dual VEGFR/BRAF inhibitor RAF265 has already reached clinical trials. RAF265 is an optimized molecule derived from the chemical combination of the crucial structural motifs of sorafenib and pazopanib [143]. RAF265 exhibited strong antiproliferative activities against HT-29 (BRAF\(^{V600E}\)) and MDA-MB-231 (BRAF\(^{G463V}\)) tumor cells and inhibited MDA-MB-231 xenograft growth in vivo [168]. Another in vivo study described the tumor growth inhibitory activity of RAF265 in HT-29 xenografts, as well as decreased MAPK signaling in mutant CRC cells even in the presence of EGFR-activating EGF [169]. In addition, RAF265 was active against patient-derived advanced melanomas, which were orthotopically implanted into mice, but only 29% of the responding melanomas were BRAF\(^{V600E/K}\) mutant, while all others were wild-type melanomas [170]. RAF265 in combination with the PI3K inhibitor ZSTK474 showed synergistic effects in thyroid carcinoma cells, including BRAF mutant cell lines [171]. A new tyrphostin derivative with VEGFR2 inhibitory activity was particularly antiproliferative against BRAF\(^{V600E}\) CRC cell lines (HT-29 and COLO-201) and showed pro-apoptotic and anti-migratory activities [172].
3.2.2. Additional Mechanisms and Factors of VEGFR-Mediated BRAF Inhibitor Resistance

The BRAF inhibitor dabrafenib reduced VEGF-A release and downregulated VEGFR2 expression in sensitive A431 melanoma cells. However, in A431R cells with acquired dabrafenib resistance, dabrafenib increased VEGF-A secretion without changing VEGFR2 levels, leading to enhanced invasiveness. The PI3K/mTOR inhibitor GSK2126458A blocked dabrafenib-induced VEGF-A release and invasiveness in the resistant cells, thus indicating AKT/mTOR signaling as a suitable target in BRAF inhibitor-resistant cancers associated with increased VEGFR2 activity. In addition, bevacizumab inhibited the pro-invasive properties of dabrafenib in these cells [173]. Vemurafenib-treated BRAF mutant thyroid cancer cells also showed an upregulation of VEGFR2 associated with SHP2 activation [100]. Suppression of miR-126-3p also contributed to dabrafenib resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma by upregulating VEGF-A and ADAM9 (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain 9) (Figure 2b) [174]. The anti-diabetic drug metformin was described as a possible anticancer agent against various cancers by activating AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase). However, in BRAFV600E mutant melanoma, metformin upregulated VEGF-A and enhanced angiogenesis and tumor growth both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, metformin-activated AMPK suppresses the phosphatase DUSP6, which is accompanied by ERK activation and VEGF-A release (Figure 2b). In addition, the protein kinase RSK was activated in the metformin-resistant cells, leading to sustained TORC1 signaling. Only in the case of VEGF signaling blockade was metformin able to suppress tumor growth [175].

FAK (focal adhesion kinase) forms complexes with VEGFR3 to promote invasiveness and angiogenesis and can be targeted with FAK inhibitors. Treatment of BRAF mutant melanoma cells with the FAK inhibitor chloropyramine disrupted FAK–VEGFR3 complex formation and suppressed phosphorylation of FAK and VEGFR3 associated with inactivation of ERK1/2 (Figure 2b) [176]. The establishment of BRAFV600E mutation in melanomas was associated with upregulated WNT5A expression leading to increased VEGF secretion and vascularization of melanomas, which can explain the high susceptibility of BRAFV600E melanomas to bevacizumab treatment in a clinical phase III trial. WNT5A is a ligand of RTK ROR2 (receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor 2), which is upregulated by MAPK signaling in BRAFV600E cells (Figure 2b) [177]. In addition, the combination of the Wnt/β-catenin inhibitor pyrvinium with vemurafenib inhibited tumor growth in BRAFV600E HT-29 and COLO-205 CRC xenografts. Pyrvinium plus vemurafenib blocked the secretion of cytokines and growth factors (MIF, IL8, TGF-β, and VEGF-A) [166].

3.2.3. Clinical Trials of VEGFR Inhibitors in BRAF Mutant Cancers

Several VEGFR inhibitors, such as sorafenib and bevacizumab, are already approved for the therapy of several cancer diseases, which simplified the access of these approved drugs for new clinical trials in combination with BRAF and/or MEK inhibitors. Various clinical trials of VEGFR-inhibitory drugs for the treatment of BRAF mutant cancers disclosed promising results. While sorafenib monotherapy showed limited activity in BRAFV600E mutant melanoma patients, another early study of carboplatin and paclitaxel chemotherapy combined with sorafenib in melanoma patients suggested a positive effect of sorafenib in NRAS mutant melanoma patients [178,179]. However, grade III/IV toxicities were higher in the sorafenib-treated groups. A case report from 2013 described the treatment history of a BRAPV600E mutant CRC patient suffering from progressive disease upon treatment with FOLFOX followed by FOLFIRI plus cetuximab. Off-label treatment of this patient with sorafenib and cetuximab led to stable disease for seven months, followed by treatment with regorafenib plus panitumumab, which slowed down disease progression considerably [180]. The combination of vemurafenib with sorafenib (VS) was well-tolerated in 24 BRAF mutant cancer patients (including melanoma and other cancers) and displayed a partial response in five patients, including melanoma, lung adenocarcinoma, papillary thyroid cancer, and two ovarian cancer patients. All responding patients had the BRAFV600E mutation. The two ovarian cancer responders are especially interesting because they were pre-treated with a MEK inhibitor or a combination of BRAF, MEK, and ERK inhibitors,
which suggests a promising outcome of the vs. therapy in future clinical trials with pre-treated BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant ovarian cancer [181]. In terms of metastatic CRC, regorafenib is clinically applied as a therapy for pre-treated patients with progressive disease after two phase 3 clinical trials (CORRECT and CONCUR studies) from 2013 and 2015 revealed significantly prolonged overall survival [182,183]. Notably, regorafenib also showed activity as a second-line therapy in patients with advanced CRC pre-treated with bevacizumab plus trifluridine/tipiracil [184]. Meanwhile, the dual RAF/VEGFR2 inhibitor RAF265 has undergone a first-in-human phase 1 clinical trial with melanoma patients. Four partial responses were observed in patients with the BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutation, three partial responses, and one complete response in BRAF-wild-type melanoma patients. A significant decrease in VEGFR-2 levels was observed in the RAF265-treated patients [185].

Bevacizumab is applied as a first-line therapy for advanced CRC in combination with chemotherapy (with FOLFOX/FOLFIRI or with FOLFOXIRI) and showed a significantly better outcome in non-V600 BRAF mutant CRC patients (median overall survival of 38.0 months) than in V600E mutant CRC (median overall survival of 18.2 months) [186]. A recently published phase 2 study (FIRE-4.5) of FOLFOXIRI plus cetuximab or bevacizumab in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} CRC patients underlined the superior activity of bevacizumab when compared with cetuximab and its preferred application as first-line therapy for this cancer disease [187]. A pooled analysis of anti-angiogenic biologicals, including bevacizumab, ramucirumab, and aflibercept, confirmed the efficacy of these drugs as a second-line treatment of pre-treated BRAF mutant CRC [188]. In addition, a retrospective analysis of the maintenance therapy of FOLFOX-pre-treated BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} metastatic CRC with bevacizumab plus fluoropyrimidine showed high disease control (74%), and overall survival (25.6 months), warranting further large-scale trials of this promising second-line combination therapy in the future [189]. The global phase 3 RAISE trial with metastatic CRC patients pre-treated with bevacizumab, oxaliplatin, and fluoropyrimidine showed that the anti-VEGFR2 antibody ramucirumab plus FOLFIRI prolonged overall survival and progression-free survival together with an increase of side effects (neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, stomatitis, epistaxis, and hypertension) when compared with FOLFIRI plus placebo, which was accompanied by a substantial benefit of ramucirumab plus FOLFIRI in BRAF mutant patient groups [190]. The recombinant fusion protein aflibercept inhibits VEGF and PIGF, which makes it an interesting alternative for bevacizumab-resistant cancers, since bevacizumab only inhibits VEGF, and PIGF was identified as a bevacizumab resistance factor. The phase 3 VELOUR trial with aflibercept plus FOLFIRI for the treatment of oxaliplatin-pretreated metastatic CRC patients showed anti-VEGF-associated adverse effects together with casually increased chemotherapy-associated toxicities and improved overall and progression-free survival when compared with FOLFIRI plus placebo as second-line therapy. In particular, the aflibercept-treated BRAF mutant patient subgroup of the VELOUR trial exhibited a doubled median survival time (10.3 months) when compared with placebo-treated patients (5.5 months) [191]. A case report with aflibercept plus FOLFIRI as a follow-up therapy for a metastatic BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant CRC patient after bevacizumab plus FOLFIRI and targeted BRAF therapies showed a pronounced response and stable disease for more than four months (ongoing). Another metastatic CRC patient with the BRAF\textsuperscript{D594N} mutation, who was pre-treated with bevacizumab, ramucirumab, and cetuximab, experienced a progression-free survival of approximately one year after being treated with aflibercept plus FOLFIRI [192].

The effects and mechanisms of the described VEGFR inhibitors are summarized in Table 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEGFR Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>Superior to vemurafenib (BRAF(^{V600E}) canine transitional cell carcinoma cells); apoptosis induction; suppression of MAPK and AKT; reduced p-VEGFR1/2/3 (BRAF(^{V600E}) thyroid carcinoma cells); active against vemurafenib-insensitive melanoma cell lines with BRAF fusion protein, drug resistance (vemurafenib-resistant BRAF(^{V600E}) mutant A431 melanoma cells with BRAF(^{V600E}) loci and BCORL1 mutations); active against non-V600 mutation (BRAF-G469R and BRAF-N581S mutant lung cancer cells); synergy in combination with the MEK inhibitor selumetinib or the CDK inhibitor flavopiridol in non-V600 tumors (MDA-MB-231 triple-negative breast carcinoma (G464V)); sensitization to TRAIL (BRAF(^{V600E}) HT-29 CRC)</td>
<td>Stable disease for seven months in combination with cetuximab (case report, pretreated BRAF(^{V600E}) mutant CRC patient); partial response in combination with vemurafenib (BRAF(^{V600E}) mutant melanoma, lung adenocarcinoma, papillary thyroid cancer, and ovarian cancer)</td>
<td>[147,148,154–158,160,180,181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regorafenib</td>
<td>Activity against BRAF(^{V600E}) and non-V600 mutant thyroid cancers</td>
<td>Combination with panitumumab slowed down disease progression (case report, pretreated BRAF(^{V600E}) mutant CRC patient)</td>
<td>[159,180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitinib</td>
<td>Sunitinib resistance by upregulation of RAS/MAPK (BRAF mutant thyroid cancers)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[163]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>Blocking of MAPK signaling (BRAF mutant HER2-positive breast cancer cells); reduced p-MEK1/2, p-ERK1/2, and p-VEGFR1/2 (HER2-transfected MDA-MB-231 tumor cells and brain metastases); no interaction with BRAF(^{V600E}) but with exon 11 BRAF mutant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[164]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axitinib</td>
<td>Additive effect in combination with dabrafenib or PLX4720; blocking of invasion and migration; induction of c-Jun signaling and suppression of p-H3 and p-AURKA (BRAF(^{V600E}) mutant ATC); tumor growth inhibition and prolonged survival (orthotopic ATC mouse model); combination with vemurafenib suppressed tumor growth; cytokine and growth factor release (BRAF(^{V600E}) HT-29 and COLO-205 CRC xenografts)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[165,166]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponatinib</td>
<td>Synergistic activity in combination with PLX4720; pro-apoptotic; suppression of p-MEK/ERK and c-Jun (BRAF(^{V600E}) ATC cells); re-sensitization to PLX4720 (PLX4720-resistant BRAF(^{V600E}) ATC); inhibition of tumor growth (orthotopic ATC mouse models)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAF265</td>
<td>Strong antiproliferative activities (BRAF(^{V600E}) HT-29 and BRAF(^{G63V}) MDA-MB-231 cells); inhibition of tumor growth (HT-29 and MDA-MB-231 xenografts); active against patient-derived advanced melanomas; synergistic effects in combination with PI3K inhibitor ZSTK474 (thyroid carcinoma cells including BRAF mutant cell lines)</td>
<td>Four partial responses and significant decrease in VEGFR-2 levels (first-in-human phase 1 clinical trial, melanoma with the BRAF(^{V600E}) mutation)</td>
<td>[168–171,185]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab</td>
<td>Inhibition of pro-invasive properties of dabrafenib (dabrafenib-resistant A431R melanoma cells)</td>
<td>Clinically applied as first-line therapy of RAS and/or BRAF mutant CRC; promising second-line combination therapy plus fluoropyrimidine with high disease control (74%) and overall survival (25.6 months, FOLFOX pre-treated BRAF(^{V600E}) metastatic CRC)</td>
<td>[146,173,186,187,189]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEGFR Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ramucirumab</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Efficacy as a second-line treatment of pre-treated BRAF mutant CRC; substantial benefit plus FOLFIRI in BRAF mutant patient groups (global phase 3 RAISE trial; metastatic CRC patients pre-treated with bevacizumab, oxaliplatin, and fluoropyrimidine)</td>
<td>[188,190]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflibercept</td>
<td>Inhibition of VEGF and PIGF and breach of bevacizumab resistance</td>
<td>Efficacy as a second-line treatment of pre-treated BRAF mutant CRC; improved overall and progression-free survival in combination with FOLFIRI (phase 3 VELOUR trial, oxaliplatin-pretreated metastatic CRC); pronounced response and stable disease for more than four months as follow-up therapy in combination with FOLFIRI (case report, pretreated metastatic BRAF(^{V600E}) mutant CRC); progression-free survival (ca. one year) in combination with FOLFIRI (case report, pretreated metastatic (BRAF^{D594N}) CRC)</td>
<td>[188,191,192]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. PDGFR and KIT in BRAF Mutant Cancers

3.3.1. PDGFRs and KIT Receptors, Inhibitors, and Mechanisms

The platelet-derived growth factor receptors PDGFRα and PDGFR-β, respectively) play an important role in cancer progression. Both receptors are induced by the binding of PDGF ligands such as PDGF-AA, PDGF-AB, PDGF-BB, PDGF-CC, and PDGF-DD [193]. Upon ligand binding, all three possible PDGFR dimers, the homodimers PDGFRA and PDGFRBB, and the heterodimer PDGFRBB, can be formed and activated by transphosphorylation. Ras/MAPK, PI3K/AKT, and PLC\(γ\) signaling are activated by PDGFR to transmit mitogenic signals [194].

Overexpression of PDGFR was correlated with shorter overall survival and co-amplification with other RTKs in lung cancer, ovarian cancer, and medulloblastomas [195–197]. PDGFRα mRNA overexpression was associated with metastasis and shorter patient survival times in oral squamous cell carcinoma [198,199]. In addition to overexpression, various PDGFRα mutations are of relevance for tumor formation and progression [200]. PDGFRα mutations of the regulatory domains (extracellular domain and juxtamembrane domain) or of the tyrosine kinase domain eventually cause ligand-independent receptor dimerization or kinase activation independent from receptor dimerization [201]. For example, PDGFRα mutations in exon 18 were often described in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) [202–204]. In addition, the V546E gain-of-function mutation promotes ERK and STAT5 phosphorylation [205]. In hematological cancers, gene fusions of PDGFR are often identified. The FIP1L1-PDGFRα fusion protein shows upregulated kinase function [206]. In terms of PDGFRβ fusions, most protein partners promote dimerization and kinase activation in myeloid neoplasms as well [207,208]. Various solid tumors such as thyroid, brain, breast, and ovarian cancers strongly depend on autocrine PDGFR activation followed by induction of MAPK, PI3K/AKT, and PLC\(γ\) signaling [209–212].

The KIT receptor is a stem cell factor (SCF) receptor with high homology with PDGFRs, which regulates stem cell maintenance and differentiation under normal circumstances [213]. However, deregulated KIT activity leads to various cancer diseases. KIT-activating mutations were observed in the majority of GISTs [214]. KIT activation was also described as a pro-apoptotic factor in melanoma, and increased KIT mRNA levels were found in BRAF\(^{V600E}\) mutant melanoma patients who responded well to combined BRAF inhibitor and MEK inhibitor treatment [215,216]. Loss-of-function mutations of KIT were responsible for melanoma, thyroid, and breast cancers [217–219]. KIT directly activates downstream signaling pathways such as Ras/MAPK, PI3K/AKT, and Src signaling, which
can be blocked by KIT inhibitors, including the already-described multikinase inhibitors sorafenib and regorafenib, as well as imatinib (an ABL kinase inhibitor that also targets KIT and PDGFR) [220].

In A375 melanoma with BRAF^{V600E} mutation, PLX4720 treatment showed reduced efficacy; however, the antitumor activity was restored by treatment with the PDGFR inhibitor imatinib, and imatinib in combination with BRAF inhibitors suppressed both phospho-AKT and phospho-ERK [221]. The synergistic effects of the PDGFR/KIT inhibitor masatinib in combination with the BRAF inhibitor PLX4720 can also occur independently from AKT signaling and MAPK reactivation in BRAF^{V600E} melanoma [75].

BRAF^{V600E} mutant melanomas acquire vemurafenib resistance by redundant activation of MAPK signaling via PDGFRB [222]. The concomitant activation of MAPK and AKT signaling by upregulated PDGFRB upon treatment with vemurafenib in BRAF^{V600E} melanoma cells was overcome by combinatorial inhibition of BRAF, PI3K, and mTORC1/2 [223]. In addition, PDGFRB signaling was described as a MEK inhibitor therapy escape mechanism in melanoma, yet Hsp90 plays a substantial role as an RTK regulator in these drug resistance mechanisms. The Hsp90 inhibitor XL888, which suppressed RTK expression, in combination with the PDGFR inhibitor crenolanib exhibited promising activities against BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanoma cells (Figure 2c) [224]. Functional PDGFRB proteins can also be transferred to BRAF^{V600E} melanoma cell recipients by extracellular vesicles derived from PLX4720-resistant cells, which is accompanied by PI3K/AKT activation and BRAF inhibitor resistance formation in the receiving cells [225]. The AKT-dependent adaptive response in BRAF mutant melanoma was associated with PDGFRB upregulation. While PTEN expression limited this response, the AKT1 (Q79K) mutant restored PTEN-suppressed PI3K/AKT pathway and enhanced BRAF inhibitor-dependent AKT signaling and resistance [226]. PDGFRB induction was associated with ERK5 activation in NRAS mutant melanoma, but MEK/ERK5 co-targeting (trametinib plus ERK5 inhibitor XMD8-92) is also of relevance for BRAF mutant cancers since it suppressed tumor growth of the BRAF^{V600E} mutant LOX-IMVI melanoma cell line (Figure 2c) [227]. It is worth mentioning that the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib inhibited wild-type and gatekeeper mutant PDGFRB associated with imatinib resistance and suppressed phospho-PDGFRB in Ba/F3 hybridoma cells [228]. The BET (bromodomain and extra-terminal domain) inhibitor PLX51107 blocked adaptive BRAF and MEK inhibitor-mediated upregulation of ErbB3 and PDGFRB in BRAF^{V600E} melanomas both in vitro and in vivo and increased the anticancer activity of BRAF and MEK inhibitors, which indicates the eminent role of bromodomain epigenetic reader proteins such as BRD2/4 as enhancers of RTK upregulation in BRAF mutant melanoma [229].

Suppression of SOX10-induced TGF-β signaling is followed by upregulation of PDGFRB in BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanomas (Figure 2c) [109].

The activation of PDGFRα, accompanied by upregulated MAPK and AKT signaling, was induced in BRAF^{V600E} melanoma resistant to vemurafenib. The Shh (sonic hedgehog) pathway and Gli activation were associated with PDGFRα-mediated vemurafenib resistance, and the Shh inhibitor LDE225 was able to circumvent this vemurafenib resistance mechanism (Figure 2c). In addition, the PDGFR inhibitors sunitinib and crenolanib showed pro-apoptotic effects on BRAF inhibitor-sensitive and -resistant BRAF^{V600E} melanoma cells [230]. PDGFRα upregulation was also observed upon SHP2 activation in vemurafenib-resistant thyroid cancer cells (Figure 2c) [100].

The new and selective PDGFR inhibitor AG1296, a tyrphostin derivative, suppressed phosphorylation of PDGFRα and PDGFRB in vemurafenib-resistant BRAF^{V600E} A375 melanoma cells, which was associated with apoptosis induction and blocked cell migration. Moreover, AG1296 strongly inhibited in vivo tumor growth of the resistant A375 melanoma model, and this drug candidate can apparently become a valuable treatment option for BRAF mutant vemurafenib-resistant melanoma [231]. AG1296 also exhibited promising anticancer activity against ATC in combination with the AKT inhibitor MK-2206, and further studies about this drug combination will show if it can become a new milestone for ATC therapy [232]. The VEGFR/PDGFR inhibitor cediranib suppressed PDGFRα/B
phosphorylation in PLX4720-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanoma cells and revealed synergistic effects in combination with PLX4720 and selumetinib in these resistant cells [233].

In terms of the KIT receptor, the suppression of KIT expression in BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanoma cells by G-quadruplex ligands, which target the Kit gene, downregulated MAPK and AKT signaling at the transcription and post-translational stages [234]. The activation of the transcription factor MYC plays a crucial role in the formation of intrinsic and acquired BRAF/MEK inhibitor resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma (Figure 2c). MYC is located downstream of resistance initiation mechanisms such as MAPK reactivation and the activation of PI3K/AKT, Notch, and other signaling pathways. MYC-driven resistant melanomas were especially vulnerable to treatment with KIT and Src family kinase inhibitors, which indicates the important role of KIT signaling in the development of MYC-mediated BRAF inhibitor resistance and suggests KIT as a suitable drug target [235]. The protein SPRED1 (Sprouty-related Ena/VASP homology [EVH1] domain containing 1) is a tumor suppressor, in particular, in KIT mutant melanoma, which downregulates MAPK signaling. Yet, suppressed SPRED1 lowered dabrafenib efficacy and promoted dabrafenib resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma due to sustained MAPK activation and KIT signaling. It was shown that SPRED1 is located downstream of KIT and interacts with NF1, thus forming a direct inhibitor of Ras (Figure 2c) [236]. KIT mutations occur frequently in GISTs, leading to tumors that are resistant to imatinib treatment. However, imatinibresistance of Ba/F3 KIT<sup>T670I</sup> mutant hybridoma cells can be managed by treatment with the multikinase (RAF/RTK) inhibitor sorafenib [237]. In imatinib-resistant BRAF mutant GIST, imatinib could suppress mutant KIT activity, but it was not able to suppress ERK1/2 activation or MAPK signaling [238]. Imatinib is clinically applied for the off-label treatment of KIT mutant melanoma (approximately 1% of all melanomas), and, thus, the increasing knowledge of KIT inhibitors can lead to beneficial therapies for drug-resistant BRAF mutant cancers, including melanomas [239]. Ripretinib was shown to inhibit all KIT and PDGFR activation loop mutants by inhibition of the activation loop switch and suppress KIT signaling together with ERK, AKT, and STAT5 in an imatinib-resistant patient-derived KIT mutant GIST xenograft model [240].

3.3.2. Clinical Trials of PDGFR and KIT Inhibitors in BRAF Mutant Cancers

As already mentioned above, the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib, which also targets PDGFR and KIT, showed promising results in NRAS mutant melanoma patients in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel [179]. Imatinib is the drug of choice for the treatment of KIT mutant GIST; however, BRAF mutant GISTs cannot be treated with imatinib because of its lack of efficacy [241]. Sorafenib was active in patients with imatinib-, sunitinib-, or regorafenib-resistant BRAF-wild-type GIST, but it was inactive in a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> GIST patient [242]. The PDGFR/KIT inhibitor ripretinib underwent a phase 3 trial (INTRIGUE) in comparison with the multikinase inhibitor sunitinib in imatinib-pretreated GIST patients, and although ripretinib was not superior to sunitinib in terms of survival, it showed reduced side effects accompanied by a better quality of life when compared with sunitinib [243]. Yet, it remains to be elucidated if ripretinib also works in BRAF mutant cancers because of its low BRAF and CRAF inhibitory activity [240]. A case report of a GIST patient with the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation showed progression upon treatment with imatinib, sunitinib, and a VEGFR/PDGFR/KIT inhibitor (as part of a clinical study) but exhibited tumor regression by dabrafenib treatment before the tumor progressed after 8 months due to a PIK3CA (H1047R) gain-of-function mutation leading to BRAF inhibitor resistance [244]. Regorafenib was approved for the third-line therapy of KIT mutant advanced GIST after imatinib/sunitinib failure following the successful phase 3 GRID trial [245]. The case report of a BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant KIT/PDGFRA-wild-type metastatic GIST patient showed a complete response to first-line regorafenib treatment [246]. The phase 2 REGISTRI trial with regorafenib as a first-line treatment for KIT/PDGFRA-wild-type metastatic GIST patients revealed promising results, which suggest the consideration of regorafenib as a suitable first-line therapy for the rare KIT/PDGFRA-wild-type GIST. A BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant succinate
dehydrogenase (SDH)-proficient GIST patient in this study experienced a progression-free survival of 3.45 months [247].

Table 3 summarizes the effects and mechanisms of the described PDGFR and KIT inhibitors.

Table 3. PDGFR and KIT inhibitors and their activities in BRAF mutant cancers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDGFR/KIT Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>Suppression of p-AKT and p-ERK in combination with BRAF inhibitors; restoration of PLX4720 activity (animals with BRAF (^{V600E}) A375 melanoma); suppression of mutant KIT activity but no inhibition of MAPK signaling (imatinib-resistant BRAF mutant GIST)</td>
<td>Inactive against BRAF mutant GIST</td>
<td>[221,238,241,244]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masatinib</td>
<td>Synergy in combination with BRAF inhibitor PLX4720 independent from AKT and MAPK reactivation (BRAF (^{V600E}) melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crenolanib</td>
<td>Active in combination with Hsp90 inhibitor XL888 (BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanoma cells); pro-apoptotic effects (BRAF inhibitor-sensitive and -resistant BRAF (^{V600E}) melanoma cells)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[224,230]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitinib</td>
<td>Pro-apoptotic effects (BRAF inhibitor-sensitive and -resistant BRAF (^{V600E}) melanoma cells)</td>
<td>Inactive against BRAF mutant GIST</td>
<td>[230,244]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG1296</td>
<td>Suppression of p-PDGFRA and p-PDGFRB; apoptosis induction and inhibition of cell migration; inhibition of tumor growth in vivo (vemurafenib-resistant BRAF (^{V600E}) A375 melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[231]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cediranib</td>
<td>Suppression of p-PDGFRA/B; synergistic effects in combination with PLX4720 and selumetinib (PLX4720-resistant BRAF (^{V600E}) melanoma cells)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[233]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regorafenib</td>
<td>Complete response by first-line treatment (case report, BRAF (^{V600E}) mutant KIT/PDGFR-(V600E) wild-type metastatic GIST); progression-free survival of 3.45 months of a BRAF (^{V600E}) mutant succinate dehydrogenase (SDH)-proficient GIST patient (phase 2 REGISTRI trial with regorafenib as a first-line treatment for KIT/PDGFR-(V600E) wild-type metastatic GIST)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[246,247]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. FGFR in BRAF Mutant Cancers

There are four known fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFR1-4), which bind to 22 different FGFs. Only 18 FGFs can induce FGFR dimerization and activation upon binding to the FGFR extracellular ligand binding domain [248]. FGFR activation leads to upregulation of the MAPK, PI3K/AKT, STAT, and PLC\(\gamma\)/PKC signaling pathways.
Overexpression of FGFs and FGFRs, activating FGFR mutations, and fusion proteins were described in FGFR-dependent cancer progression and oncogenic signaling induction associated with cancer drug resistance [249].

In addition to BRAF mutations, melanoma often displays overexpression of FGFs, including FGF2 (also known as basic FGF/bFGF), FGF5, and FGF18. The FGFR inhibitors SU5402 and PD166866 showed antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic effects on BRAFV600E mutant melanomas and synergistically augmented the anticancer activities of sorafenib and the BRAFV600E-selective inhibitor RG7204, in association with suppressed AKT5 and STAT3 phosphorylation [250]. Upregulation of FGF1 secretion was observed in BRAFV600E mutant melanoma resilience upon vemurafenib treatment, which was accompanied by reduced pro-apoptotic activity of vemurafenib and activation of HGF expression by fibroblasts. FGF1 was upregulated in the vemurafenib-resistant cells by PI3K/AKT signaling as well as by suppression of FRA1, which is a component of the transcription factor AP-1 (Figure 2d) [251]. Increased FGF5 expression in BRAFV600E melanomas led to increased MAPK and NFAT (nuclear factor of activated T-cells) signaling (without effects on STAT3), tumor growth, and anti-apoptotic effects (Figure 2d) [252]. FGFs are regulated by proteinases, and activation of FGF2 signaling, including MAPK upregulation, was blocked in breast cancer cells by the transmembrane proteinase MT1-MMP (membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase, MMP-14). But instead of proteolytic cleavage of FGF2, MT1-MMP suppressed FGF2 signaling by degradation of the FGF2-binding receptors FGFR1 and FGFR4 [253]. In wild-type melanoma cells, FGFR activation led to increased proliferation and ERK1/2 activation, while BRAFV600E cells showed no changes since they already possess a high ERK1/2 activation level, and the antiproliferative activity of FGFR inhibitors (ponatinib, BGJ-398, BIBF-1120, and AZD-4547) was observed independent from the mutation status of treated melanoma cells [254]. Yet, the acquired resistance of BRAFV600E melanoma to vemurafenib treatment was associated with upregulated FGFR3/Ras signaling (increased phospho-FGFR3, phospho-MEK, and phospho-ERK1/2 levels), leading to vemurafenib-irresistant MAPK reactivation, which can be blocked by a combination of MEK and pan-RAF inhibitors (selumetinib and RAF265) [255]. FGFR1 and FGFR3 are highly upregulated RTKs in CRC cell lines, and the multi-target angiokinase inhibitor dovitinib suppressed KRAS mutant LoVo and BRAFV600E mutant HT-29 xenograft growth and downregulated phospho-FGFR1 and phospho-ERK levels in both xenografts [256]. Vemurafenib-resistant RKO CRC cells possessed activated phospho-FGFR, but dovitinib sensitized RKO cells to vemurafenib. Dovitinib also augmented the activity of vemurafenib and selumetinib in the BRAF- and MEK inhibitor-resistant BRAF mutant YUKSI melanoma cells and in the vemurafenib-resistant YUMAC XR4MC8 melanoma xenograft model [257]. A diet containing aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) reduced the size and number of distant colorectal cancer metastases in BravV637E/+ and Villin-CreERT2/+ mice associated with suppression of FGFR, PI3K, and Notch signaling, while tumors in untreated mice showed activation of FGFR and PI3K signaling [258].

The multikinase inhibitors nintedanib, dovitinib, and lucitanib have undergone clinical trials for the treatment of various advanced pre-treated cancers with FGFR alterations. However, there has neither been an approval nor an investigation of BRAF mutant patient status in relation to drug response. In addition to multikinase inhibitors acting on FGFRs,
two selective FGFR inhibitors were already approved for the treatment of FGFR3-altered urothelial cancer (erdafitinib) and FGFR2-altered cholangiocarcinoma (pemigatinib), while other selective FGFR inhibitors are in clinical trials [248]. The second-generation FGFR-selective inhibitors AZD-4547 and BGJ-398 were developed to reduce the off-target side effects of the multikinase inhibitors and showed promising results from phase 1/2 studies with FGFR-altered advanced cancers [260]. Notably, BGJ-398 is currently being investigated in a recently completed LOGIC-2 phase 2 trial with patients suffering from BRAF/MEK inhibitor-resistant advanced or metastatic BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanoma in order to evaluate the potential of BGJ-398 to treat this highly problematic melanoma type [261].

3.5. MET Kinase/HGFR in BRAF Mutant Cancers

The MET (c-Met) kinase, also known as hepatocyte growth factor (HGFR), is an RTK that is activated upon binding to the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) [262]. MET and HGF are overexpressed in various cancers. Associated with the MET exon 14 mutation, metastasis formation in advanced NSCLC (via RhoA overexpression) and breast cancer patients was observed [263–265]. Unregulated dimerization of MET fusions with functional MET kinase domains is a consequence of MET exon 15 fusion proteins (e.g., HLA-DRB1-MET), which can be tackled by MET inhibitors (multikinase MET inhibitors crizotinib, cabozantinib, and MET-selective inhibitor tepotinib) [266]. MET fusion proteins were reported in lung cancer, e.g., HLA-DRB1-MET fusion, and the MET-UBE2H fusion protein was discussed as a factor responsible for FGFR inhibitor (erlotinib) resistance in a case report, which was successfully treated with the MET inhibitor crizotinib [267,268]. Autocrine HGF/MET signaling contributed to sorafenib resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), since resistant HCC cells exhibited increased HGF levels and MET activation [269]. In addition, autocrine HGF/MET signaling in HCC promoted angiogenesis in cooperation with VEGF [270]. CRC and AML (acute myeloid leukemia) also showed autocrine MET upregulation accompanied by β-catenin and FGFR1 activation [271,272]. Downstream signaling pathways regulated by MET include the Ras/MAPK, Rac/JNK/p38, PI3K/AKT, STAT3, Src, and NF-κB pathways. Internalized MET either undergoes proteasomal degradation or continues to transmit signals in early endosomes and perinuclear compartments [273].

ATC cells (BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant 8505C cell line) were resistant to vemurafenib treatment due to increased MET expression associated with reactivation of the P3K/akt pathway. Combination of vemurafenib with the MET inhibitor PHA665752 inhibited MET, p-AKT, and p-ERK, which led to improved in vitro anticancer activity against BRAF mutant ATC cells and sustained effects on an orthotopic BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant ATC xenograft model [274]. BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant ATC cells also showed EMT induction upon vemurafenib treatment by activation of AKT signaling (p-AKT increase) and upregulation of vimentin, β-catenin, and CD44, which was reversed by treatment with PHA665752 in combination with vemurafenib [275]. The recurrence of ATCs treated with the RAF/MEK inhibitor CH51226676676 depended on MET and HGF amplification, which was successfully treated with the MET inhibitors PF-04217903 and crizotinib. PF-04217903 showed in vivo activity against a MET-amplified ATC allograft model, while it remained inactive in MET-diploid allografts [276]. The phosphatase SHP2 upregulated MET expression in vemurafenib-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> thyroid cancers, which led to MAPK reactivation (Figure 3a) [100].

Primary resistance to vemurafenib in BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant patient-derived melanoma cells was associated with upregulation of MET and Src signaling, as well as MET amplification [277]. The presence of secreted HGF in the tumor microenvironment led to MET-mediated MAPK and AKT reactivation, followed by RAF inhibitor resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma and various BRAF mutant CRC and glioblastoma cells [278]. In HGF-treated BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanoma cells, HGF was able to convey resistance to vemurafenib and combined dabrafenib plus trametinib treatment both in vitro and in vivo, while the addition of a MET inhibitor (dubbed compound 20 in that report) overcame HGF-mediated BRAF/MEK inhibitor resistance (Figure 3a). Notably, MET and Gab1 expression were increased upon treatment with vemurafenib and the MEK inhibitor PD0325901 in these
BRAF mutant melanoma cells [279]. Since MET and ErbB3 are overexpressed and MET suppression led to EGFR activation in cutaneous malignant melanoma, crizotinib was studied in combination with the EGFR/HER2 inhibitor afatinib, which turned out to be a promising combination therapy in cutaneous melanoma independent from the BRAF mutation status. Afatinib plus crizotinib showed antiproliferative, pro-apoptotic, and anti-invasive activities in these melanoma cells, inhibited A375 tumor growth in vivo, and reduced WEE1 and IGF1R levels [280].

In BRAF mutant CRC, adaptive resistance to MEK inhibitors selumetinib and trametinib was correlated with increased MET/STAT3 signaling, followed by upregulation of c-FLIP\textsubscript{L}, which is an endogenous caspase-8 inhibitor. The anti-apoptotic effects of upregulated c-FLIP\textsubscript{L} were mediated by MET activation (increased p-MET) and were abrogated by a combination of selumetinib and the MET inhibitor crizotinib (Figure 3a). Since HDACs act as posttranscriptional c-FLIP\textsubscript{L} suppressors, the combination of selumetinib with the HDAC inhibitor entinostat led to increased BRAF mutant CRC cell death and to additive growth inhibition of BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant HT-29 xenografts accompanied by caspase-3 activation [281].

Metastatic BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant CRC patients treated with EGFR/BRAF inhibitor therapy developed resistance in line with MET amplification [282]. The combination of MET and BRAF inhibition led to a response in a BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant MET-amplified CRC patient but developed acquired resistance after four months [283]. In the phase 1b/2 study of combined erlotinib plus verumafenib in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} CRC patients, acquired resistance was observed in patients with MET amplification, among others [119]. Clinical studies revealed that MET-targeted therapies with selective MET inhibitors (e.g., capmatinib, tepotinib, and
savolitinib) are especially promising in NSCLC with exon 14 mutation and in combination with EGFR inhibitors (e.g., osimertinib plus savolitinib or tepotinib plus geftinib) for the treatment of MET-mediated EGFR inhibitor-resistant NSCLC patients [284]. Yet, an EGFR-positive, MET-amplified NSCLC patient acquired the BRAF p.D594N mutation (a kinase-impaired mutation) by third-line osimertinib plus crizotinib therapy, which was successfully treated after replacing crizotinib with the MEK inhibitor trametinib. Although p.D594N impairs kinase activity, it activates MEK/ERK signaling, which could activate the downstream signaling of EGFR, followed by drug resistance [285]. Acquired MET inhibitor therapy resistance in MET exon 14-mutant NSCLC patients was based on activation of bypass signaling (including BRAF amplification) and on-target secondary mutations (kinase domain mutations and gene amplification) [286]. Combined vemurafenib plus crizotinib in (BRAF, MEK, and/or ERK inhibitor) pre-treated BRAF mutant advanced cancers was well tolerated and showed a response rate of 23% (melanoma and lung adenocarcinoma patients) [181].

3.6. IGFRs in BRAF Mutant Cancers

The insulin-like growth factor receptors (IGFRs) belong to the RTK family of insulin receptors. IGF-1R functions as a signal transducer following IGF-1 and IGF-2 ligand binding and is overexpressed in various cancers [287]. IGF-1R overexpression was observed in breast and prostate cancers, and its oncogenic activity was potentiated by estrogens and androgens. Ligand binding induces IGF-1R kinase activity, followed by autophosphorylation. Receptor substrates such as IRS (insulin receptor substrate) and Shc (Src-homology collagen) recognize certain receptor phospho-tyrosine residues and are phosphorylated by IGF-1R. Signal molecules with SH2 domains (PI3K, Grb2, and SHP2) bind to these IGF-1R substrate phospho-tyrosines and activate pathways such as MAPK and AKT signaling [288]. Autocrine loops were also described in certain cancers, e.g., acute myeloid leukemia, breast cancer, and endometrial carcinoma. IGF-1 and IGF-2 production by cancer cells activated IGF-1R, followed by increased proliferation and PI3K/AKT signaling [289–291]. In NSCLC, an autocrine loop based on IL-6 was identified, and IL-6 enhanced its own expression, followed by activation of IGF-1/2 and IGF-1R [292]. In short, IGF-1R is a key regulator of MAPK and PI3K/AKT signaling and plays a crucial role in resistance to MAPK inhibitors.

Prolonged exposure of BRAFV600E mutant melanoma to the BRAF inhibitor SB-590885 induced acquired resistance to SB-590885 and cross-resistance to another BRAF inhibitor (PLX4720). Persistent IGF-1R phosphorylation and activity were observed in resistant cells, while the negative regulator IGFBP3 was suppressed. Consequently, AKT signaling was activated by IGF-1R in the resistant melanoma cells, and the combination of a MEK inhibitor (GSK1120212 or selumetinib) with an IGF-1R inhibitor (cyclolignan picropodophyllin) suppressed the growth of BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanoma cells and spheroids [293]. Intrinsic and acquired resistance of BRAF mutant cutaneous melanoma cells to selumetinib was associated with AKT activation. Resistant cells showed high IGF-1R levels, and selumetinib-induced AKT upregulation was blocked by IGF-1R suppression [294]. BRAFV600E mutant A375 melanoma cells were initially sensitive to the ERK inhibitor SCH772984, but a resistant sub-line was developed by exposure to the inhibitor. ERK inhibitor resistance, which was accompanied by cross-resistance to vemurafenib and trametinib, was associated with upregulation of IGF-1R/MEK5/ERK5 signaling and activated IGF-1R, thus bypassing ERK1/2 suppression upon treatment with MAPK inhibitors. Notably, treatment of the ERK inhibitor-resistant melanoma with the IGF-1R inhibitor linsitinib suppressed ERK5 and tumor growth in vitro (3D spheroids) and in vivo, which offers a suitable therapy option for MAPK inhibitor-resistant melanoma (Figure 3b) [295]. Vice versa, linsitinib resistance in CRC was associated with strong activation of MAPK signaling, and the combination of the MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126 with linsitinib revealed synergistic effects in several CRC cell lines [296]. The Hsp90 inhibitor ganetespib suppressed the Hsp90 clients IGF-1R and AKT in melanoma cells, independent of the BRAF mutation status (Figure 3b). Ganetespib was also active against BRAF mutant cells with
acquired BRAF-inhibitor resistance. It induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest at the G1 and G2/M phases. Notably, ganetespib also suppressed EGFR, MET, BRAF, and CRAF in melanoma cells independent of the mutation status [297]. The bis-anthracycline WP760 exhibited high antiproliferative activity against a panel of melanoma cell lines, including vemurafenib-resistant cells, by suppressing IGF-1R and activating p53 [298]. Resistance of melanoma cells to treatment with the alkylating agent temozolomide was mediated by phosphorylated IGF-1R, and the IGF-1R inhibitors linsitinib and AZ3801 sensitized both BRAF mutant and wild-type melanoma cells to temozolomide, especially p53-wild-type cells. The combination of temozolomide with linsitinib led to distinct growth inhibition of BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}/p53-wild-type A375M melanoma xenografts [299]. PTEN loss-of-function mutation occurs in approximately 40% of BRAF mutant melanomas. Inhibition of IGF-1R in PTEN (LOF)/BRAF mutant melanoma augmented MAPK-targeted therapy efficacy in a synergistic way and limited glucose and insulin signaling [300]. In addition, IGF-1R inhibition combined with sorafenib exhibited over-additive antiproliferative effects on cholangiocarcinoma cells and appears to be a suitable strategy to improve sorafenib antitumor activity [301]. The phosphatase SHP2 upregulated IGF-1R in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} thyroid cancers, accompanied by MAPK reactivation and vemurafenib resistance (Figure 3b) [100].

Absence of the histone acetyltransferase HAT1 conveyed BRAF inhibitor resistance in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant melanoma cells, which was mediated by increased IGF-1R activation followed by MAPK reactivation. Combination of the ERK inhibitor (SCH772984) with the IGF-1R inhibitor (BMS-754807) re-sensitized resistant BRAF mutant cells lacking the HAT1 gene to BRAF inhibitor treatment [302]. Sirtuins are NAD\textsuperscript{+}-dependent histone deacetylases, and SIRT6 haploinsufficiency in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} melanoma cells led to MAPK inhibitor resistance, which was mediated by upregulated IGF signaling. Reduced SIRT6 activity stabilized H3K56 acetylation in the IGFBP2 gene locus, leading to the promotion of IGFBP2 expression and IGF-1R activation (Figure 3b). Linsitinib plus dabrafenib suppressed IGF-1R and AKT phosphorylation and circumvented SIRT6 haploinsufficient melanoma resistance both in vitro and in vivo [303]. Vemurafenib resistance in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} melanoma cells (A375) was associated with miR-7 suppression and upregulation of the miR-7 targets IGF-1R, EGFR, and CRAF, consequently leading to activation of MAPK and PI3K/AKT signaling [113].

Clinical trials with IGF-1R inhibitors (small molecules such as linsitinib and antibodies such as cixutumumab) have only shown modest results so far [304]. Notably, a phase 1 study with advanced solid tumors treated with cixutumumab in combination with selumetinib, which was well-tolerated by the participants, showed progression-free survival of more than 6 months in two of the three BRAF mutant cancer patients of the study, including a BRAF mutant thyroid cancer patient and a BRAF mutant CRC patient [305].

Table 4 summarizes the effects and mechanisms of the described FGFR, MET, and IGF-1R inhibitors.

**Table 4.** FGFR, MET and IGF-1R inhibitors and their activities in BRAF mutant cancers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SU5402 and PD166866 (FGFR inhibitors)</td>
<td>Antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic, suppressed p-AKT5 and p-STAT3, and synergy with sorafenib and BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}-selective inhibitor RG7204 (BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} melanomas) Suppression of p-FGFR1, p-ERK, and tumor growth (BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutant HT-29 xenograft), drug sensitization (vemurafenib-resistant RKO CRC cells), enforced vemurafenib and selumetinib activity (BRAF/MEK inhibitor-resistant BRAF mutant YUKSI melanoma cells, and vemurafenib-resistant YUMAC XR4MC8 melanoma xenograft)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[250]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dovitinib (FGFR inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[256,257]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitor</td>
<td>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</td>
<td>Clinical Studies</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BGJ-398</strong> (FGFR inhibitor)</td>
<td>Antiproliferative (BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; melanoma cells)</td>
<td>Currently being investigated (LOGIC-2 phase 2 trial with patients suffering from BRAF/MEK inhibitor-resistant advanced or metastatic BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; melanoma)</td>
<td>[254,261]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Suppression of FGFR, PI3K, and Notch signaling, reduced lesion size and number of distant colorectal cancer metastases (Braf&lt;sup&gt;V637E/+&lt;/sup&gt; and Villin-Cre&lt;sup&gt;ERT2/+&lt;/sup&gt; mice)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[258]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHA665752</strong> (MET inhibitor)</td>
<td>Combination with vemurafenib inhibited MET, p-AKT, and p-ERK, increased anticancer activity (BRAF mutant ATC cells; orthotopic BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; mutant ATC xenograft), and combination with vemurafenib reversed EMT (BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; ATC cells)</td>
<td>Active against recurrent ATCs treated with the RAF/MEK inhibitor, in combination with alatinib antiproliferative, pro-apoptotic, and anti-invasive activities (cutaneous melanoma cells), reduced WEE1 and IGF1R levels and suppressed in vivo tumor growth (A375 melanoma), and abrogation of anti-apoptotic c-FLIP&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt; in combination with selumetinib (BRAF mutant CRC)</td>
<td>Well tolerated and response rate of 23% in combination with vemurafenib (BRAF/MEK inhibitor pre-treated BRAF mutant advanced melanoma and lung adenocarcinoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crizotinib</strong> (MET inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active against recurrent ATCs treated with the RAF/MEK inhibitor; in vivo activity against a MET-amplified ATC allograft model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PF-04217903</strong> (MET inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Picropodophyllin</strong> (IGF-1R inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Combination with a MEK inhibitor (GSK1120212 or selumetinib) suppressed tumor growth (BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanoma cells and spheroids) Suppression of ERK5 and tumor growth in vitro (3D spheroids) and in vivo (MAPK inhibitor-resistant melanoma), synergy with MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126 (CRC cell lines), combination with AZ3801 sensitized cells to temozolomide (BRAF mutant and wild-type melanoma), combination with temozolomide led to distinct growth inhibition (BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt;/p53-wild-type A375M melanoma xenografts), combination with dabrafenib suppressed p-IGF-1R and p-AKT, and breaks resistance in vitro and in vivo (SIRT6 haploinsufficient BRAF&lt;sup&gt;V600E&lt;/sup&gt; melanoma) Sensitization of BRAF mutant and BRAF wild-type (p53-wild-type) melanoma cells to temozolomide</td>
<td>Weak data so far</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linsitinib</strong> (IGF-1R inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AZ3801</strong> (IGF-1R inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cixutumumab</strong> (IGF-1R inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progression-free survival of more than 6 months in combination with selumetinib (phase 1, BRAF mutant thyroid cancer and CRC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.7. Other RTKs and BRAF Mutant Cancers

3.7.1. AXL and MERTK

The AXL kinase (named after the Greek word for “uncontrolled”, anexeleko) is a TAM (TYRO3, AXL, and MERTK)-family RTK that is activated by the GAS6 (growth arrest-specific protein 6) ligand, resulting in receptor dimerization and autophosphorylation. Induced AXL activates PI3K/AKT, NF-κB, Ras/MAPK, Src/FAK, and JAK/STAT signaling, leading to cell proliferation and survival, cell migration, EMT, angiogenesis, drug resistance, cancer stem cell maintenance, and immune suppression [306]. AXL can also be activated by heterodimerization with ErbB, PDGFR, and MET and after phosphorylation by EGFR in GAS6-independent ways. In addition, post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation of AXL occurs by non-coding RNAs (miRNAs and lncRNAs), heat-shock proteins (Hsp90 and Hsp70), and proteolytic cleavage (by ADAM10 and ADAM17). High AXL expression was associated with drug resistance to ErbB inhibitors (osimertinib, erlotinib, cetuximab, and trastuzumab), checkpoint inhibitors (nivolumab), and chemotherapeutics (carboplatin and paclitaxel) in lung, breast, colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, and renal cancers. Thus, several AXL-targeted drugs and inhibitors, such as bemcentinib, merestinib, and sitravatinib, are currently in clinical trials [307].

In BRAF mutant melanoma, AXL/AKT signaling conveyed resistance to vemurafenib in PTEN-wild-type cells but not in PTEN-impaired cells. Activating phosphorylation of AXL was observed. The selective AXL inhibitor bemcentinib (R428 and BGB324) suppressed AXL and AKT phosphorylation and re-sensitized resistant melanoma cells and tumors to vemurafenib both in vitro and in vivo [308]. High expression of AXL was discovered in BRAF mutant melanoma lymph node metastases, and the anticancer activity of vemurafenib against the resistant melanoma models was augmented by combination with bexcentinib in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo, which was associated with suppressed phospho-ERK and phospho-S6 (an mTORC1 downstream substrate) levels. Bemcentinib enhanced vemurafenib-based apoptosis induction and increased ferroptosis, while suppressing autophagy [309]. Upregulation of NF-κB was responsible for the increased expression of AXL in BRAF inhibitor-resistant BRAFV600E mutant melanoma, which was successfully treated with AXL inhibitors such as bexcentinib, cabozantinib, and XL880, accompanied by suppression of p-AKT and p-ERK levels [310]. The anti-AXL antibody drug conjugate with the microtubule-disrupting agent MMAE (monomethyl auristatin E), AXL-107-MMAE, displayed cooperative activity in combination with BRAF/MEK inhibitors against melanoma. In particular, BRAF/MEK inhibitors upregulated AXL transcription, thus sensitizing melanoma to AXL-107-MMAE treatment [311].

In addition to AXL, MERTK (Mer tyrosine kinase), another TAM family kinase and a main regulator of phagocytosis/efferocytosis, was upregulated in acquired BRAF/MEK inhibitor-resistant melanoma. BRAF inhibition activated the EMT regulator Zeb2 in BRAFV600E mutant melanoma, which upregulated MERTK via mTORC1-induced autophagy. Consequently, the combination of PLX4720 with the autophagy inhibitor chloroquine led to increased tumor growth inhibition in BRAFV600E melanoma xenografts [312]. In BRAF mutant melanoma cells, the MERTK inhibitor UNC2025 in combination with vemurafenib induced cell death and suppressed efficiently ERK, AKT, and STAT6. In addition, UNC2025 plus vemurafenib inhibited BRAF mutant melanoma xenograft growth and was well tolerated by mice. Since UNC2025 was active independent of the BRAF mutation status, it can become a therapy option where BRAF inhibitor therapy fails [313].

AXL has a large homology to RET, and RET mutants are relevant for thyroid cancer and NSCLC progression. The phosphatase SHP2 upregulated AXL and RET in vemurafenib-resistant BRAFV600E thyroid cancers [100]. Acquired resistance to selpercatinib (RET inhibitor) treatment was associated with MET amplification in RET mutant cancers; however, selpercatinib plus a MET inhibitor (crizotinib) led to a BRAFDS594N mutant subclone. The D594N mutant is a kinase-dead RAS- and BRAF dimer-dependent BRAF mutant that re-establishes the Ras/MAPK dependence of RET-driven tumors [314].
3.7.2. ALK

Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) is another important RTK mediator of BRAF inhibitor resistance. Gene fusions (e.g., EML4-ALK) and amplifications, as well as activating mutations (e.g., F1174L/V and F1245C/L/V), are the most abundant ALK genetic alterations found in various cancers such as neuroblastomas, lymphomas, lung cancer, or renal cell carcinoma [315–318]. Four ALK inhibitors—crizotinib, ceritinib, alectinib, and brigatinib—were approved for the therapy of ALK-positive NSCLC [319].

It was shown that acquired vemurafenib resistance in BRAF mutant melanoma was mediated by ALK/PI3K/AKT signaling since activated p-ALK and downstream p-AKT levels were increased and the ALK ligand FAM150A was required. Ceritinib suppressed vemurafenib-resistant melanoma in vitro and in vivo. Yet, the formation of dual vemurafenib-ceritinib resistance based on suppressed apoptosis (upregulated BCL2, cIAP1/2, and survivin) was observed, which could be treated with the anti-apoptotic protein inhibitor AT101 [320]. In addition, a patient with EML4-ALK fusion and BRAFV600E mutant NSCLC, whose disease progressed upon first-line treatment with dabrafenib plus trametinib, responded well to alectenib (complete remission, progression-free survival for over 26 months) [321]. Vice versa, ALK-positive NSCLC patients receiving ALK-targeted therapy developed BRAF mutations. Cricotinib was administered to a patient with EML4-ALK-rearranged lung adenocarcinoma for 20 months before the tumor progressed. Because alectinib therapy also resulted in early progression and lorlatinib was inefficient, analysis of the resistant tumor revealed new activating BRAFV600E and ALKI1171T mutations, which were associated with the acquired ALK inhibitor resistance [322]. Another lung adenocarcinoma patient with an EML4-AXL fusion tumor developed acquired AXL inhibitor resistance upon second-line crizotinib and third-line alectinib treatment by a rare A598-T599insV mutation of BRAF. A fourth-line treatment with a combined BRAF/MEK inhibitor was also unsuccessful, probably because of the EML4-AXL fusion, which causes BRAF/MEK inhibitor resistance [323].

3.7.3. DDR

Discoidin domain receptors (DDRs, not to be mixed with DDR/DNA damage response) are unique RTKs because they are activated by collagen binding. DDGs are major sensors of cell–collagen interactions and the regulation of cell traffic in tissue compartments, and, thus, DDR signaling affects cancer progression in terms of cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and drug resistance. There are two forms of DDR: DDR1 (five isoforms) and DDR2 (one isoform). DDRs consist of an extracellular discoidin domain, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular kinase domain. Upon collagen binding, DDGs dimerize and undergo activating autophosphorylation. DDRs can also transmit signals independent of functional kinases. DDR1 activation upregulates the PI3K/AKT and Ras/MAPK pathways, while DDR2 regulates Src signaling. Point mutations and gene amplifications contribute to the deregulation of DDR signaling in various cancers [324].

The pan-DDR inhibitor DDR1-IN-1 was able to suppress BRAF mutant melanoma xenograft growth [325]. DDR1 expression was higher in BRAF mutant melanomas, and DDGs appear to be suitable anticancer drug targets in melanomas, which have a collagen-rich stroma [326]. Matrix-mediated vemurafenib and trametinib resistance in BRAFV600E melanoma was correlated with DDR1/2 activation and phosphorylation. The DDR-dependent drug resistance was associated with pro-survival NIK/IKKα/NF-κB2 activation. Treatment of BRAFV600E melanoma with the DDR-inhibitory multikinase inhibitor imatinib broke the BRAF/MEK inhibitor resistance induced by the extracellular matrix. Imatinib also augmented vemurafenib anticancer activity against BRAFV600E melanoma xenografts by suppressing collagen remodeling [327]. It was also shown that the acquired resistance of NRAS mutant melanomas to trametinib was mediated by DDR1 activation [328]. DDR1 and DDR2 were overexpressed together with ephedrine receptors in double vemurafenib- and MEK inhibitor-cobimetinib-resistant BRAFV600E melanoma. The multikinase inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 showed antiproliferative and anti-migratory activities and suppressed DDR1/2
phosphorylation as well as phospho-AKT and phospho-MEK levels in the drug-resistant cells [329].

3.7.4. EphA2

Last but not least, ephrin receptors such as EphA2 are RTKs, which attracted increased interest as drivers of BRAF inhibitor resistance. Eph receptors bind the membrane-located ephrin ligand of an adjacent cell and regulate cell–cell interactions. In particular, the EphA2 gene and protein are overexpressed in several cancer types and play a crucial role in carcinogenesis. Several treatment options for EphA2-targeting, including kinase inhibitors and various biologics, are currently in clinical trials [330]. EphA2 prefers interaction with ephrin A1, and canonical ephrin A1-dependent activation of EphA2 leads to dimerization and transphosphorylation but is tumor-suppressive. However, EphA2 can also be activated by other signaling molecules (e.g., Wnt ligands) and upregulate Ras/MAPK and AKT signaling [331]. Non-canonical ligand-independent effector roles were described for EphA2 downstream from MEK/ERK and upon S897 phosphorylation by AKT [332,333].

Vemurafenib-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanomas depended on EphA2, which was upregulated and phosphorylated in cells with acquired resistance, leading to enhanced tumor cell migration. The EphA2 inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 inhibited vemurafenib-resistant tumor cell growth and showed synergistic effects in combination with vemurafenib, while resistant cells pre-treated with ALW-II-41-27 were re-sensitized to vemurafenib treatment. EphA2 inhibition suppressed phospho-AKT and phospho-ERK levels and had pro-apoptotic effects. Moreover, ALW-II-41-27 inhibited the growth of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant vemurafenib-resistant and -sensitive melanoma xenografts [334]. Vemurafenib-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanomas induced EphA2 by S897 phosphorylation through AKT, which strongly fostered metastasis formation. In addition, high EphA2 levels were found in BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanoma patient metastases upon BRAF inhibitor treatment [335]. Interestingly, proteomic analyses of dabrafenib-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanoma cells showed downregulated EphA2 expression when compared with sensitive cells, probably in order to prevent canonical EphA2 tumor suppressor signaling associated with MAPK downregulation [336]. Noncanonical EphA2 signaling in BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanoma cells was driven by AKT and HDAC8, which led to upregulation of EphA2 and Cdc42 and revealed increased endothelial cell adhesion and transendothelial migration associated with an amoeboid cell phenotype enabling metastasis formation [337]. Acquired BRAF inhibitor-resistant BRAF mutant melanoma cells exhibited increased expression of ETS transcription factor FLI1 and aminopeptidase-N (CD13/ANPEP) in addition to upregulated EphA2 and overexpressed MET. Inhibition of CD13/ANPEP with an antibody downregulated phospho-EphA2, as well as phospho-AKT and phospho-RSK, leading to apoptosis induction and reduced tumor cell migration. FLI1 silencing also reduced phospho-EphA2 and re-sensitized resistant cells to vemurafenib [338]. EphA2 was overexpressed together with DDR1 and DDR2 in double vemurafenib- and cobimetinib (MEK inhibitor)-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> melanoma, and treatment of the drug-resistant cells with ALW-II-41-27 downregulated phospho-EphA2 together with phospho-AKT and phospho-MEK levels [329].

The multikinase inhibitor dasatinib was also identified as an EphA2 inhibitor. Because most of dasatinib’s anticancer properties were attributed to its Src family and BCR-ABL inhibitory activities, some of its anti-metastatic properties, e.g., in BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanomas, might be correlated with EphA2-targeting [104]. Dasatinib resistance in uterine cancers was associated with increased EphA2 expression and MAPK activation, which was overcome by MEK inhibition (trametinib) [339]. It was shown that the crosstalk between BRAF and EphA2 is crucial for dasatinib sensitivity in uterine cancer cells, and sensitivity was accompanied by increased levels of caveolin-1 (CAV-1), which suppresses BRAF/CRAF dimer levels and MAPK signaling [340].

A BRAF mutant melanoma patient with brain metastases developed resistance to first-line treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib associated with upregulated EphA2 expression and increased phospho-EphA2 levels, which was reversible and sensitive to
rechallenge treatment with encorafenib plus binimetinib [73]. Yet, EphA2-targeted clinical data is still rare. An early phase 1 study with the antibody conjugate MEDI-547 (an anti-EphA2 antibody linked with the toxin auristatin) in advanced or refractory solid tumors was terminated due to toxicity [341]. In addition, a phase 1 study of the anti-EphA2 antibody DS-8895a in patients with advanced EphA2-positive cancers showed only limited activity [342]. The clinically approved anti-leukemia agent dasatinib appears to be a promising drug in terms of EphA2-targeting in BRAF mutant cancers. Notably, an NSCLC patient with a kinase impaired (non-V600) BRAF^Y472C mutation responded enormously well to dasatinib therapy and remained cancer-free for at least four years [343]. In addition, a phase 2 trial of dasatinib combined with dendritic cell vaccines against TBVA (tumor blood vessel antigen), which targets EphA2, among others, was well-tolerated and revealed an immune response (CD8⁺ T cell response) together with a clinical response in 46% of the studied checkpoint-refractory advanced melanoma patients [344]. This study included BRAF mutant cancer patients, and, thus, EphA2-targeting dasatinib might also be taken into account for the therapy of other BRAF mutant cancers in the future.

Table 5 summarizes the effects and mechanisms of the described AXL, AKT, DDR, and EphA2 inhibitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bemcentinib</td>
<td>Suppression of p-AXL and p-AKT; re-sensitization to vemurafenib (resistant melanoma cells and tumors); suppressed p-ERK and p-S6, augmented vemurafenib activity (in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo); enhanced apoptosis and ferroptosis; suppressed autophagy (resistant melanoma); suppression of p-AKT and p-ERK (BRAF inhibitor-resistant BRAF^V600 mutant melanoma)</td>
<td>Clinical trials started and ongoing</td>
<td>[307–310]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabozantinib</td>
<td>Suppression of p-AKT and p-ERK (BRAF inhibitor-resistant BRAF^V600 mutant melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[310]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL880</td>
<td>Suppression of p-AKT and p-ERK (BRAF inhibitor-resistant BRAF^V600 mutant melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[310]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXL-107-MMAE</td>
<td>Cooperative activity in combination with AXL-upregulating BRAF/MEK inhibitors (melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[311]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNC2025</td>
<td>Induction of cell death; suppression of ERK, AKT, STAT6, and tumor growth in combination with vemurafenib (BRAF mutant melanoma cells and xenografts)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[313]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceritinib</td>
<td>Suppression of vemurafenib resistance in vitro and in vivo; formation of dual vemurafenib–ceritinib resistance by suppressed apoptosis overcome by pro-apoptotic AT101 (melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[313]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alectenib</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Complete remission and progression-free survival for over 26 months (case report, pretreated EML4-ALK fusion and BRAF^V600E mutant NSCLC)</td>
<td>[321]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR1-IN-1</td>
<td>Suppression of BRAF mutant melanoma xenografts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[325]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>Breach of BRAF/MEK inhibitor resistance induced by the extracellular matrix (BRAF^V600E melanoma); increased vemurafenib activity by suppression of collagen remodeling (BRAF^V600E melanoma xenografts)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[327]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanisms (Cancers/Cell Lines)</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALW-II-41-27 (DDR inhibitor)</td>
<td>Antiproliferative and anti-migratory activities; suppression of p-DDR1/2, p-AKT, and p-MEK (vemurafenib/cobimetinib-resistant BRAFV600E melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[329]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALW-II-41-27 (EphA2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>Synergy; re-sensitization to vemurafenib; suppression of p-AKT and p-ERK, pro-apoptotic effects; tumor growth inhibition (BRAFV600E mutant vemurafenib-resistant and -sensitive melanoma cells and xenografts); downregulation of p-EphA2, p-AKT, and p-MEK (vemurafenib/cobimetinib-resistant BRAFV600E melanoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[329,334]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib (EphA2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>Sensitivity accompanied by MAPK signaling suppressor caveolin-1 upregulation (uterine cancer cells)</td>
<td>High response and disease-free for a min. of 4 years (case report, patient with kinase impaired non-V600 BRAFV600E mutation NSCLC); immune response (CD8+ T cell response) and clinical response (46%) in combination with dendritic cell vaccines (phase 2 trial, advanced checkpoint-refractory and BRAF mutant melanoma)</td>
<td>[340,343,344]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

Several RTKs play a vital role in the development of BRAF mutant cancers with drug-resistant phenotypes. RTK-based oncogenic bypassing mechanisms lead to reactivation of MAPK signaling and/or other tumor promoting mechanisms, which may include anti-apoptotic, pro-angiogenic, and pro-metastatic processes, depending on the involved RTK. In addition to mutation and increased expression of RTKs, the enhanced release of growth factors as activating ligands of certain RTKs are possible mechanisms to achieve BRAF inhibitor resistance by deregulating RTK signaling. Even the activation of rather uncommon MAPK pathways such as MEK5/ERK5 was found in response to RTK (IGF-1R)-based BRAF inhibitor resistance [295]. Moreover, RTK-dependent induction of other oncogenic pathways such as PI3K/AKT, Src/FAK, and JAK/STAT signaling contributes to the RTK spectrum of tumor progression in BRAF mutant cancers. Vice versa, certain RTKs, e.g., EphA2, can also be activated by protein kinases such as AKT [332,333]. Transcription factors such as c-Jun and c-Fos are activated by the MAPK pathway and convey oncogenic MAPK signaling downstream towards the transcriptional stage [4,167]. Other transcription factors, such as MYC and NF-κB, were correlated with RTK-related resistance mechanisms in BRAF mutant cancers [96,235,306,327]. In addition, the type of BRAF mutation plays a significant role in the development of RTK-mediated resistance mechanisms.

Based on these findings, the circumvention of BRAF/MEK inhibitor resistance by RTK inhibitors (both multikinase inhibitors and selective inhibitors) and anti-RTK monoclonal antibodies appears to be a suitable strategy with a significant potential to become routine in clinical practice. This is supported by the fact that many RTKs were identified as promising anticancer targets many years ago, and thus many RTK inhibitors are already clinically approved, albeit primarily for the targeted therapy of RTK mutant cancers. However, RTK inhibitor treatment of BRAF mutant cancers was highly dependent on various factors associated with the BRAF mutation status. Distinct differences between V600E and non-V600 BRAF mutant cancers occurred in terms of RTK inhibitor therapy responses. In particular, EGFR inhibition turned out to be a promising therapy to tackle non-V600 BRAF mutant CRC irresponsive to BRAF inhibitor treatment [58,123]. Yet, there are also quite a few RTK inhibitors that have shown promising activity independent of their BRAF mutation status. Many of these RTK inhibitors can also be useful for the therapy of BRAF inhibitor-
insensitive Ras mutant cancers. More clinical studies, however, are needed to exploit the potential of new and approved RTK inhibitors, which may also include well-established dietary natural RTK inhibitors such as curcumin and genistein with amenable toxicity profiles [137,345]. In addition, the effects of these RTK-targeting therapies in BRAF mutant and BRAF inhibitor-resistant cancers in combination with pertinent immune therapies such as checkpoint inhibitors might provide exciting outcomes.
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