Global Satellite-Based Coastal Bathymetry from Waves
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Abstract: The seafloor—or bathymetry—of the world’s coastal waters remains largely unknown despite its primary importance to human activities and ecosystems. Here we present S2Shores (Satellite to Shores), the first sub-kilometer global atlas of coastal bathymetry based on depth inversion from wave kinematics captured by the Sentinel-2 constellation. The methodology reveals coastal seafloors up to a hundred meters in depth which allows covering most continental shelves and represents 4.9 million km$^2$ along the world coastline. Although the vertical accuracy (RMSE 6–9 m) is currently coarser than that of traditional surveying techniques, S2Shores is of particular interest to countries that do not have the means to carry out in situ surveys and to unexplored regions such as polar areas. S2Shores is a major step forward in mitigating the effects of global changes on coastal communities and ecosystems by providing scientists, engineers, and policy makers with new science-based decision tools.
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1. Introduction

The submerged surface of the coastline represents the invisible but largest fraction of the coastal zone. It is perhaps the most important part of the coastal system in terms of exposure of coastal populations and ecosystems to erosion and flooding [1], but despite its importance, coastal bathymetries and their influence are often overlooked. The main reason for this is the current lack of comprehensive information on coastal bathymetry, when the existing is often local and/or focused on economically interesting areas [2]. In addition, coastal maps and surveys are often decades old, largely out of date, or simply nonexistent in large parts of the world. This is particularly a problem for the 40% of the world’s coastal sandy regions [3] that are the most dynamic geological environments on Earth. Overall, this missing bathymetry information limits our ability to understand and predict coastal evolution which on its own inherently leads to large uncertainties in predicting hazards such as coastal sea states, storm surges, and wave-induced surges and flooding [4–6]. The availability of fast, inexpensive, and efficient methods is also needed for studies of bottom variability, such as sandy shoreface or underwater dune dynamics but also some environmental applications such as benthic habitat mapping [7], seabed geomorphology [8], underwater archaeology [9], and exploration of unexploded

ordnance [10]. There is currently a growing demand for coastal monitoring, where coastal bathymetry is of critical importance [11,12].

On a global scale, hydrographic services cover less than 1% of their continental shelf each year, resulting in recurrent secular surveys. In addition, the shallow water reach of these campaigns is limited. Hence, depths ranging from 0 to 30 m have not been surveyed by sonar [13] and the use of expensive airborne LiDAR is required to cover this environment [14] and alternatively using UAV/drones locally [15,16]. These high-resolution surveys cover only a few percent of the world’s coastlines, and the high variability of these environments poses the problem of the validity period of these surveys (IHO Survey Report C-55 [2,17]). Currently, international initiatives such as the GEBCO Seabed 2030 bathymetry mapping program [13] raises the need for coastal bathymetry solutions available on a global basis.

Satellite-derived bathymetry can provide a useful alternative. Thanks to the considerable advances in satellite coastal earth observation methods in recent years and increasing data availability [11,12], a number of academic and commercial satellite-derived bathymetry methodologies have emerged to exploit this potential [18]. This may be achieved using laser (LiDAR) [19–21], radar [22,23], and optical missions. For the latter, the two most advanced techniques which allow direct measurement of depth by satellite are water color [24–29] and wave kinematics [30–33]. Colour-based methods built from the pioneer work by [25] are limited to non-turbid waters. The wave kinematics methods work well in conditions where color-based methods would fail, namely in turbid or optically deep water, which is most of the open coastline worldwide where waves are experienced [34]. Wave characteristics change at varying depths, making depth accessible by remote sensing methods that aim to follow wave patterns [35]. Wave celerity and wavelength decrease as the waves sense the bottom and the depth decreases towards shore. The method then relies on how the satellite data can be used to capture wave patterns [36–38].

Here, we present S2Shores (Satellite to Shores), the first sub-kilometer global satellite-derived coastal bathymetry based on wave kinematics from the optical Sentinel-2 mission. We first present the methodology and computational approach, then show the prototype of global coastal bathymetry, which we compare to the local ground truth, and discuss the influence of environmental conditions and further developments towards an operational implementation.

2. Data and Methods

### 2.1. Wave-Based Bathymetry Inversion

The method employed in this work is amply documented [33,39–41]. As the water depth decreases toward the shore, the waves increasingly “feel” the bottom by increasing bottom friction until the waves eventually break near the shore. The dominance of wave propagation by depth can be described by a mathematical relationship: the dispersion relationship for free surface waves.

\[
c^2 = \frac{g}{k} \tanh(kh) \leftrightarrow h = \frac{\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{c^2}{g^2}ight)}{k}
\]

where \( c \) is wave phase celerity, \( k \) the wavenumber, and \( h \) the water depth and \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration (9.8 m/s\(^2\) here). To solve Equation (1), we look for the pair of \( c \) and \( k \). Here the wave phase shift (leading to celerity \( c \)) per \( k \) is computed from different detector bands using a discrete Fourier slicing technique based on a polar Radon transform [33,40,42]. The intensity signal \( I(x, y) \) is first transformed into polar space \( R_I(\theta, \rho) \) with the Radon transform (Equation (2)):

\[
R_I(\theta, \rho) = \int l(x, y) \delta(\rho - x \cos(\theta) - y \sin(\theta)) \, dy \, dx
\]

where \( l(x, y) \) is the sub-sampled image, \( \delta \) a Dirac function, \( \rho \) the beam length, and \( \theta \) the rotational angle. A discrete Fourier transform can then be applied using Equation (3):
\[ \hat{H}(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h_n(\rho) e^{-2\pi i k n / N} \]  

wherein \( \hat{H}(k) \) is the discrete Fourier approximation of a continuous Fourier transform, \( h_n(\rho) \) is the input signal per given angle—here obtained from the Radon sinogram over beam length \( \rho \)—\( k \) represents the angular wavenumber (frequency in space), \( n \) the current sample, and \( N \) is the total number of samples. Here we limit the angular wavenumbers associated with offshore wave periods \( (T) \) ranging from 3 to 25 s with a \( \Delta T \) of 0.05 s.

The great advantage of this combination of discrete Fourier and Radon transform technique is the limited dependence on the computation window and image resolution to estimate the wave propagation while maintaining the computational performance [40].

The maximum depth (or deep water limit of the linear wave dispersion theory—Equation (1)) felt by the waves is between \( L/5 \) and \( L/3 \) [43] and is the theoretical range of application of the method (\( \sim 20 \) to 200 m [40]).

2.2. Satellite Data Collection

S2Shores can run on any sensor capturing wave propagation [32,33]. We use here the Sentinel-2 constellation of the European Space Agency’s COPERNICUS Earth observation program. The Sentinel-2 constellation started with the Sentinel-2A mission in 2015 and complemented in 2017 by Sentinel-2B, increasing the revisit from 10 to a few days depending on the latitude [34]. Sentinel-2 has 12 spectral bands with different resolutions, 10 m, 20 m, and 60 m. We use here the two 10 m bands B2 (blue, 490 nm) and B4 (red, 665 nm) because of their large relative time difference (\( \Delta t = 1.005 \) s, see ESA S2 manual [44]) and a similar wave signature [33].

Sentinel 2 collects data up to 20 km off the coast (mission requirements in ESA Handbook [45]). The list of coastal tiles is obtained by setting the potential depth to 100 m to most continental shelves and islands using the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO [46], with horizontal resolution of 1/4 arc min, \( \sim 465 \) m), one of the few publicly available global bathymetric datasets along with ETOPO [47] of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, with horizontal resolution of 1 arc min, \( \sim 1.85 \) km) [18].

2.3. Global Composite Bathymetry Computation

The full Sentinel 2 tiles, covering 100 km \( \times \) 100 km, are divided into computational sub-tiles where wave celerity and wavelength are computed using a directional spectral method [33,40]. The bathymetry is then inverted using the linear wave dispersion relation (Equation (1)). While the computation window is in this case 800 m to cover multiple wavelengths, the output spatial horizontal resolution grid is here set to 500 m to match the GEBCO resolution. This implies here an overlap that acts as a moving average. At the local to regional scale (\( \sim 10^4 \)–\( 10^6 \) km\(^2\)), the resolution can be increased down to a 100 m grid with no computational or physical limitation [33,41,48]. Such high resolution product potentially allows visualization of fine bottom features such as nearshore sandbars. Nonetheless, to compute the global coastal bathymetry at this high resolution would require significantly higher computational cost [39], and would therefore be more suitable for generating datasets on a local to regional level, using a higher-resolution satellite (i.e., 2 m of VENUS [40], 0.5 m of Pleiades, and 0.25 m of Pleiades Neo [32]). Overall, the orbit and swath settings of Sentinel-2 lead to more than 300 passes over the period 2015–2021 at a single location. From these data, the best satellite passes to estimate the bathymetry are selected using the ERA5 hindcast (from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) that is hourly at a quarter degree resolution [49,50]. The optimal local conditions are defined as the greatest wave power (\( aH_s^2T_p \), with \( H_s \) being the significant wave height and \( T_p \) being the peak period) and minimal clouds. From there, the top 10 passes for each Sentinel 2 tile are retained to generate the global S2Shores composite. The composite is made by assembling the bathymetry according to the preferred individual wave conditions: long waves are given more weight in deep water and short waves in shallow areas [40,51].
This is done using $\gamma = \frac{c^2}{k_g}$ [52,53] which tends to 1 at the deep-water limit, and 0 at the coastline. The individual resulting depths are combined and weighted using $\gamma$ and the final result is a single depth to which several estimates contribute.

S2Shores has spatially varying depth application range worldwide with the shallowest extents at closed sea with short (<8 s) waves and deeper extents at open ocean coasts that experience long swells (∼8 s–25 s) [34].

The estimated depths per Sentinel-2 image are referenced vertically to mean sea level. Per image, for each date and location, a corresponding tidal elevation is obtained using the FES2014 model [54] and this level is then subtracted from the obtained depth.

3. Results

3.1. The Global Coastal Bathymetry S2Shores

The S2Shores World product shown in Figure 1 uses up to 7000 tiles to cover the world’s 1.5 million kilometers of coastline [55], continental lands, and islands. Wide and shallow shelves are well reached by our method, which goes deeper (up to hundreds of meters) on open coasts exposed to long swell waves in large basins (such as around the Pacific Ocean) and goes shallower (tens of meters) in closed seas (such as the Mediterranean and Red Sea, Persian Gulf) and wave-sheltered areas counting with short waves (such as the Indonesian archipelago and large estuaries such as Mar del Plata). Overall, waves are experienced at any coast [34] which allows a global application of S2Shores.

Figure 1. Global view of S2Shores satellite-derived bathymetry on MODIS true color image.

While a necessary coarse 500 m output grid resolution of the global S2Shores product cannot resolve very fine nearshore decimeter features such as sandbars, larger scale tidal channels and submarine dunes are revealed in deltas and at river mouths (e.g., Yangtze delta, China, in Figure 2, [56]). Offshore sediment banks, such as those found on muddy coasts (e.g., French Guyana, South America, Figure 2, [57]) are also captured by S2Shores, which is an important outcome for navigation safety. At different latitudes, the wide and relatively shallow shelves of Alaska, Senegal and East Coast of North America offer optimal conditions for S2Shores, but also the narrow and steep rugged coasts of Morocco and South Africa where S2Shores reaches deep waters. In Figure 2, the regions with fetch-limited or partially sheltered from waves at the Mediterranean Libyan gulf, Mar del Plata, and Portugal Tagus estuary illustrate that waves are experienced anywhere in the world over
a long enough period, despite a depth application range of S2Shores being reduced by short waves.

Figure 2. Illustration of S2Shores satellite-derived coastal bathymetry at hotspots worldwide on MODIS true color image. Red squares stand for Sentinel-2 tiles used.

3.2. Local Comparison with Ground Truth

A detailed comparison of S2Shores with the multibeam echosounder survey of the French Hydrographic and Oceanographic Office (SHOM) is performed at four contrasting sites (Figure 3); (1) mid-latitude closed and fetch-limited Mediterranean Sea (southeastern France), (2) the muddy coasts with very turbid waters (French Guiana, South America), (3) a rugged tropical Caribbean island (Guadeloupe) with multiple bed types (corals, beaches, etc.) and wave expositions, (4) and western Europe exposed to long North Atlantic swells (southwestern France). The acquisition dates of the composites and surveys do not necessary match, which can be a problem for very dynamic environments in shallow areas, muddy coasts, and estuaries. RMSE between 6 and 9 m are found with median errors between 3 and 6 m. These errors are still too large for operational use as they do not meet International Hydrographic Organization (IHO) standards and clearly cannot compete with surveys [18]. Nevertheless, they are rather consistent and provide an unprecedented global coastal bathymetry, in areas that were simply not covered by observations until now, where a first estimate is simply better than nothing [17].
Figure 3. Comparison between S2Shores global satellite-based bathymetry with Naval Oceanographic and Hydrological Service (SHOM) multibeam sounder baseline surveys. The maps on the left show the S2Shores estimate with the surveys as colored circles and the error distribution on the right. (top left) French Mediterranean coast with fetch-limited waves, (top right) Guyane mud coast in South America, (bottom left) Guadeloupe island in the Caribbean and (bottom right) Gironde estuary in southwest France along the Atlantic Coast.

3.3. Global Error Analysis and Influence of Environmental Conditions

At the global scale, a cross-comparison is conducted with heterogeneous global product GEBCO, correlating on whole Sentinel-2 tiles the two products (Figure 4). The comparison shows good overall agreement, but also local discrepancies, sometimes between neighboring tiles. The latter can be explained by the fact that the 10-date composites are not necessarily from the same satellite passes. The 10-best passes are chosen based on the occurrence of locally maximum wave energy and lowest cloud coverage. Figure 4 also shows that there are no regional patterns. The correlation is generally not greater on open coasts in large-scale basins, confirming that waves are present all around the world’s coasts [34] and can be captured by Sentinel-2 over a sufficiently long period (2015–2020 here). There is no latitude dependence. Clouds such as at the Equator and under mid-latitude storm tracks are thus not a problem when picking the clear sky passes. The polar regions show substantial correlations, indicating the potential of S2Shores in these remote regions. On a global scale, a multiple linear regression well predicts \( r = 0.45 \) S2Shores scores in Figure 4 from local environmental conditions. The variables considered here by order of importance are: wave height, relative wave direction to the orbit, wave period, cloud cover, sun zenith angle, tidal level, and sun azimuth (Figure 5a). Figure 5b–g shows the global maps of average conditions encountered for all images at each tile. The waves experienced on open ocean coasts are larger and longer, which improves method accuracy. Wave crests in the direction of the orbit have low optical signature [58] and degrade accuracy. Moderate to high cloud cover is still present around the islands and high latitudes where the ERA5 cloud model could fail or where cloudy conditions are persistent with few moments of clear sky. Interestingly, tide, which is here corrected in term of bathymetry, has a degrading influence on wave propagation at shallow waters due to friction and currents. Research is underway to reduce the sensitivity of the method’s performance to environmental conditions and to determine how the method can be adapted to a wider range of conditions encountered around the world.
Figure 4. Correlation by tile between S2Shores satellite-derived bathymetry and GEBCO on MODIS true color image. While the Pearson correlation ranges between −1 and 1, the few negative correlations are shown as zero. The constant size of Sentinel-2 tiles in kilometers increases with latitude in this constant angle Mercator projection.

Figure 5. Influence of environmental conditions on S2Shores. (a) Global correlation of the method’s score (correlation with GEBCO in Figure 4) with wave height (WHeight), relative wave direction to the orbit (WDir), wave period (WPeriod), cloud cover (Clouds), sun zenith angle (SunZen), tidal level (Tide), and sun azimuth (SunAz). Signs on top of bars indicate the type of positive or negative influence on method skills. (b–g) Global maps of average conditions experienced for all images at each tile.
4. Discussion

S2Shores performances are optimal at open coasts exposed to waves, and would fail in environments where LIDAR and color-based methods work well; namely in archipelagos, behind reef crests, in narrow bays, fjords, and other closed environments sheltered from waves. The finer resolution of LIDAR and color-based methods would allow sharp changes in depth to be better resolved, especially since wave-based methods are inherently physically limited by the wavelength and the time for waves to respond to a varying bottom.

A way to improve satellite-derived bathymetry accuracy is to combine different methods [18]. This can be achieved using laser (LiDAR) [19–21], radar [22,23], and optical satellite missions [58]. For non-wavy or clear waters, including a number of small islands, closed seas, and rugged coastlines—often sheltered from waves, lidar- and color-based methods offer an incomparable horizontal resolution and accuracy in the shallowest waters. Unlike these methods relying on water transparency, the wave-based approach enables depth estimation in coastal turbid areas and relatively deep water with a first pass estimated +58% (3.1 million km² when considering a very rough 14 m as a global average depth limit for visible bottoms for the color-based method [59–61]) potential gain in application coverage worldwide (Figure 6). Taking benefit of the rapid revisit of modern missions, good accuracy can be achieved for the foreshore at meso- to macrotidal environments with methods based on the detection of the shoreline at different tidal levels [62,63]. The benefits, drawbacks and limitations of these diverse methods suggest that these approaches are complementary and should be used in combination to cover coastal environments in their diversity.

Figure 6. Global view of Sentinel-2 tiles (red squares) used for the present S2Shores Coastal bathymetry superimposed on MODIS true color image. Orange and blue areas are the regions covered by S2Shores with 50% and 2% wave occurrence conditions, respectively. Depths are extracted from GEBCO and waves from ERA5.

The ability to frequently and accurately monitor large-scale bathymetric changes will contribute significantly to expanding our understanding of dynamic coastal processes and their coupling to local human and large-scale climate forcing conditions. We currently have used images taken over a 5-year period using what can be considered as optimal conditions, with maximum wave energy and clear sky. S2Shores composites can be computed over shorter periods will offer the possibility to create unique time series of bathymetry and
thus observe dynamic changes in shallow water features, such as delta formations or
underwater dune migration. The deepest regions are the less often monitored (2% regions
in Figure 6, with 29 m global average maximum depth), while the shallowest regions have
the potential for frequent monitoring (1.8 million km² with 17 m global average maximum
depth). In particular, while Figure 4 indicates a global coverage, Figures 1 and 6 show that
due to steep shoreface, there is only a narrow offshore number of potential applications at
several places such as around the poles, West of South America and the Mediterranean
Sea. In order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio, it is possible to produce composites of
seasonal (3–4 months) to monthly changes in bathymetry on a regional scale. However,
accurate detection of depths less than 20 m will be highly dependent on the probability
of occurrence of successive high-energy wave conditions over a short time period. Thus,
detection of bathymetric changes with reasonable accuracy over short periods of time will
most likely be limited to shallow waters.

Significant efforts have recently been devoted to the development of operational
numerical models at local and regional scales (e.g., early warning systems) to predict
the impact of storms [5] and its link to socioeconomic and environmental risks [4,64,65].
However, the performance of these operational models is dampened by the lack of con-
tinuity between detailed local observations and necessarily coarse regional observations.
Discontinuous spatio-temporal scales in observational and modeling strategies prevent an
effective regional or global scale approach. For example, wave modeling in shallow waters
is limited by the lack of bathymetry data and as a result a significant bias is introduced
for extreme coastal water levels [66,67]. The few publicly available datasets with global
coverage, mentioned above such as ETOPO (NOAA) and GEBCO, are created by using the
gravity anomaly in satellite altimeter data, which relates to depth variations, to interpolate
depths between in situ soundings [68,69]. Although these products are sufficient for deep
water, they are not accurate in shallow or coastal areas [70,71]. Heterogeneous datasets
lead to exaggerated artifacts at the coast, preventing their use for fine studies such as wave
modeling for flooding purpose [72,73]. Several integration initiatives such as the EMODnet
effort for Europe [18] aim to overcome this issue by correcting before accurately integrating
bathymetric data from multiple sources such as the high-resolution multibeam echosounder
and LiDAR bathymetry datasets available for Ireland as part of the Integrated Mapping
for the Sustainable Development of Ireland’s Marine Resource (INFOMAR) program [74].
Here, especially with its spatial coverage, S2Shores addresses this need, aiming to fill this
existing observational scale gap with satellite, even with lower accuracy in comparison to
local multibeam surveys [17]. The approach developed in S2Shores has, to our knowledge,
no competing identified academic research project on a global basis, although private and
public projects are starting to evolve in this direction [18]. S2Shores certainly strengthens
the international effort (e.g., the collaborative project between GEBCO and the Nippon
Foundation with the aim of facilitating the complete mapping of the global ocean floor by
the year 2030—[13]) and research capacity by providing a baseline dataset and a renewed
vision of our coasts.

5. Conclusions

Here we show that the combination of high-resolution satellite earth observation
missions such as the Sentinel-2 (ESA) COPERNICUS optical constellation and the lat-
est wave-based bathymetry inversion methodology can be used to derive global coastal
bathymetry. The global S2Shores 500 m gridded product reveals the coastal bathymetry of
4.9 million km² with more than half of unexplored areas or decade-old coastal charts. The
depth application range varies locally from a few meters on closed coasts exposed to short
wind waves to a hundred of meters on open coasts exposed to long swells. A global anal-
sis of the sensitivity of the method to environmental conditions shows that the method
performs better with clear skies and low-angled sun, long and large waves coming with
a cross-track crests direction. Although less accurate than conventional in situ surveys
with a RMSE between 6 and 9 m when compared locally with ground truth, S2Shores is
the first global pass estimate available to date. Undoubtedly, these types of methodologies will be refined to gain accuracy and combined with other sensors and methods, opening the possibility of capturing morphological evolution. The future of satellite-derived bathymetry is bright, as the roadmap for future missions shows increasing data availability, resolution, and revisit, which will meet the growing demand for coastal bathymetry for coastal engineering, management and planning, forecasting, and coastal hazard mitigation.
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