Determining Tropical Cyclone Center and Rainband Size in Geostationary Satellite Imagery
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Abstract: Brightness temperature (TB) observations at an infrared channel (10.3 μm) of the Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) on board the U. S. 16th Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES-16) are used for determining tropical cyclone (TC) center positions and rainband sizes. Firstly, an azimuthal spectral analysis method is employed to obtain an azimuthally symmetric center of a TC. Then, inner and outer rainbands radii, denoted as $R_s$ and $R_o$, respectively, are estimated based on radial gradients of TB observations at different azimuthal angles. The radius $R_s$ describes the size of the TC inner-core region, and the radius $R_o$ reflects the maximum radial extent of TC rainbands. Compared with the best track centers, the root mean square differences of ABI-determined centers for tropical storms and hurricanes, which totals 108 samples, are 45.35 and 29.06 km, respectively. The larger the average wavenumber-0 amplitude, the smaller the difference between the ABI-determined center and the best track center. The TB-determined $R_s$ is close but not identical to the radius of the outermost closed isobar and usually coincides with the radius where the strongest wavenumber 1 asymmetry is located. The annulus defined by the two circles with radii of $R_o$ and $R_s$ is the asymmetric area of rainbands described by azimuthal wavenumbers 1–3. In general, amplitudes of wavenumber 0 component centered on the ABI-determined center are greater than or equal to those from the best track. For a case of a 60 km distance between the ABI-determined and the best track TC center, the innermost azimuthal waves of wavenumbers 1–3 are nicely distributed along or within the radial distance $R_s$ that is determined based on the ABI-determined TC center. If $R_s$ is determined based on the best track, the azimuthal waves of wavenumbers 1–3 are found at several radial distances that are smaller than $R_o$. The TC center positions, and rainband size radii are important for many applications, including specification of a bogus vortex for hurricane initialization and verification of propagation mechanism of vortex Rossby waves.

Keywords: geostationary satellite observations; tropical cyclone center positioning; tropical cyclone rainband size

1. Introduction

The center position and size of a tropical cyclone (TC) are essential parameters required by vortex initialization for numerical TC forecasts [1,2] and estimation of TC intensity [3,4]. Studies on the dynamics of TC structural and intensity changes also require an accurate center position and size of a TC [5–11]. For example, verifying the propagation mechanism of vortex Rossby waves by observations is usually achieved by measuring the radial scale and velocity of the TC inner spiral rainbands which rotate counterclockwise and move outward radially [7,12,13]. Guo and Tan [8] defined a TC intensity-related parameter called TC fullness, which is defined as the ratio of the difference between the radius of 17 m s$^{-1}$ near-surface wind speed (R17) and the radius of maximum wind (RMW) divided by R17. The RMW and R17 are used to describe the sizes of the TC eye and inner-core region, respectively. Therefore, accurate TC center positions and sizes can also be
applied to the calculation of TC fullness. Since TCs occur and intensify mostly over the oceans, where only a handful of in situ measurements are available, meteorological satellites have become the primary data sources for investigating TCs. Geostationary satellite imagers’ brightness temperature (TB) observations in the infrared and visible channels have sufficient temporal and horizontal spatial resolution to reveal many structural features of TCs [3,4,14].

The TC center-positioning methods in numerical studies were reviewed by Ryglicki and Hart [15], who roughly divided all the methods into three categories. The first category is called the local extreme method, which defines a TC center as the geographic location where the surface pressure or the potential vorticity within the TC inner-core region reaches its minimum or maximum, respectively [16,17]. The second category is called the weighted grid points method, which takes the centroid of the properly weighted pressure or potential vorticity field as the TC center [18–20]. The third category is called the minimum azimuthal variance method, which takes the center of an annulus meeting the following conditions as the TC center: inside the annuli with varying widths centered on the position of RMW, the azimuthal variance is minimized, or the azimuthal mean of pressure or wind speed is maximized [21–23]. The last method assumes that the symmetric component of a pressure field or wind field containing a TC always dominates the asymmetric components. Observational studies of TCs mainly used reflectivity observations and derived wind field products from ground and airborne radars, as well as wind field observations from reconnaissance aircraft [13,24]. Therefore, many TC center-positioning methods are based on wind field observations around a TC, seeking a TC center position to maximize the azimuthal average tangential wind speed [24–28] or the symmetric component of the tangential wind [7] inside the annuli with different widths centered at the RMW. In addition, Corbosiero et al. [12] used the dynamical center of the vortex determined by Willoughby and Chelmow [29] as the TC center when decomposing the symmetric and asymmetric components of a TC. Guimond et al. [13] directly used the TC center position observed by the Air Force and NOAA reconnaissance aircraft when verifying the vortex Rossby waves by radar observations.

In operational analysis and forecast of TCs, abundant infrared, visible, and microwave observations provided by meteorological satellites are mainly used to locate the TC center [3,4,30–34]. The most famous TC center-positioning methods are the Advanced Dvorak Technology (ADT) for determining TC intensity and the Automated Rotational Center Hurricane Eye Retrieval (ARCHER) algorithm [33,34]. The ARCHER algorithm employs multiple data sources to locate the TC center, including TB observations at infrared, visible, 85–92-, and 37-GHz microwave channels and wind vectors from scatterometer retrievals. Hu and Zou [35] tried to locate TC centers by an azimuthal spectral analysis method using microwave TB observations at a single channel of the Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder (ATMS) and the Microwave Humidity Sounder (MHS) onboard polar-orbiting operational environmental satellites (POESs). Since a single polar-orbiting satellite can only observe the same TC twice daily at most, even during times with three possible polar orbits (morning, afternoon, and early morning) of all POESs, there would be six times global observations per 24 hours. In this study, the infrared TB observations with 15 min temporal and 2 km horizontal resolutions provided by the Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) onboard the U.S. 16th Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES-16) are used to determine TC centers and radii of the inner and outer rainbands. Being capable of better resolving cloud top distributions than microwave radiations, GOES infrared TB observations can better capture small-scale cloud structures of TCs from space.

Aside from TC motion and intensity, TC sizes, which determine the potential impacts of TCs [36–38], as well as arial coverages and distributions of TC-induced cloud and rainfall [39,40], have received a lot of attention in research. We may name a few size parameters employed in past research: the radius of the 34 kt near-surface wind speed (R34) [8,41]; the radius of 15 m s⁻¹ near-surface wind speed (R15) [42,43]; the radius of outermost
closed isobar (ROCI) [44,45]; the average radius of 5 kt tangential wind (R5) at 850 hPa [46]; the average radius of 1 x 10^{-5} s^{-1} relative vorticity [47]; the radius of the maximum radial gradient of sea level pressure (SLP) [1]. The lack of in situ measurements makes routine operational wind radii estimation heavily dependent upon satellite observations [48]. Liu and Chan [47] measured the average radius of 1 x 10^{-5} s^{-1} relative vorticity as the TC size using the European Remote-Sensing Satellites-1 and wind scatter observations. Using the Quick Scatterometer (QuickSCAT) surface wind speed, Lee et al. [43] and Chan and Chan [49] measured the TC sizes as R15 and R34, respectively. Knaff et al. [46] indirectly measured the TC size (R5) by establishing a relationship between the azimuthally averaged 850 hPa tangential winds from model analyses and the radial distribution of azimuthally averaged infrared (~11 μm) TB. Lu et al. [50] measured the TC size (R34) by establishing a relationship between the R34 and the TB radial profiles derived from satellite imagery. In summary, TC sizes were generally quantified by wind or SLP data.

A TC is essentially a rotating, organized system of clouds and thunderstorms. It is natural to describe its size based on TC cloud structures. The TC size, determined from near-surface wind speeds and/or SLP data, cannot fully represent TC cloud structures. Since TC spiral rainbands are usually shown as banded low-valued TB regions, spatial variations in GOES infrared TB observations can reflect the cloud structures and cloud convection intensity of TCs [3,51–54]. A TC is usually regarded as an axisymmetric vortex [55], but asymmetric structures (non-circular TC eye, spiral rainbands, etc.) are also very important for its movement and development. The spiral rainbands are spiral band structures of convection or precipitation that occur outside the hurricane eyewall. In this study, the rainband near the TC center and of strong azimuthal symmetry in GOES infrared TB observations is called the inner core rainband, and the spiral rainband farther from the TC center often has an asymmetry distribution called the outer spiral rainband [56,57]. The TC inner-core region is typically defined as an axisymmetric region 100–200 km away from the TC center [57] or as a dynamically controlled region by cyclonic vortex circulation [58]. Since there are huge differences in TB values between the TC cloud area and its surrounding environment [59], this study, in addition to determining TC center positions, also attempts to derive the radii from the boundaries of the TC cloud area to the TC center at different azimuthal angles. Considering that the outer spiral rainbands are usually distributed in the periphery of a TC (the upwind end lies outside the TC inner-core region and the downwind end lies within the TC inner-core region), and the inner core rainbands are located within the TC inner-core region [57], it is hypothesized that the minimum and maximum radii from the TC cloud boundaries at 24 azimuthal angles of 15° to the TC center represent the radii of the inner and outer spiral rainbands from the TC center, respectively, which are called the radius of inner core rainband (RIR) and the radius of outer spiral rainband (ROR), respectively. Thus, RIR describes the size of the TC inner-core region, and ROR reflects the maximum radial extent of TC rainbands.

The article is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the instrument characteristics of ABI, the National Hurricane Center (NHC) best track data, and TC cases. Section 3 briefly describes an azimuthal spectral analysis method for TC center positioning and a step-by-step procedure for determining radii RIR and ROR. In section 4, ABI-derived results on center position, RIR and ROR of Hurricanes Irma and Jose (2017) are given, and the relationships among the moving track, the steering flow, and TC cloud convections during the time period when Jose made a circled track are discussed. In section 5, spatial distributions and amplitudes of symmetric and asymmetric components centered at the ABI-determined center and the best track are compared. Section 6 presents conclusions.

2. Data and TC Case Description

2.1. Instrument Characteristics of ABI and Best Track Description

The two ABIs onboard GOES-16 and GOES-17, respectively, are two same passive multi-channel imaging radiometers to provide imagery and radiometric information of
Earth’s surface, atmosphere, and cloud distributions in the Western Hemisphere. It is similar to the Advanced Himawari Imager (AHI) on board the Japanese satellite Himawari-8 [60]. The ABI concurrently produces a full disk for the whole Western Hemisphere every 15 min, and views the Earth with 16 different spectral bands, including two visible channels (channels 1 and 2), four near-infrared channels (channels 3–6), and ten infrared channels (channels 7–16). The horizontal resolutions at nadir are 0.5 km for channel 2, 1 km for channels 1, 3, and 5, and 2 km for the remaining channels. Among 10 ABI infrared channels, channels 7, 11, 13, 14, and 15 are surface sensitive, channels 8, 9, and 10 are located in a water vapor absorption band, while channels 12 and 16 reside in ozone and carbon dioxide absorption bands, respectively [61]. The 10.3 μm infrared window channel 13 is less sensitive to water vapor absorption than other infrared window channels and therefore aids in cloud feature identification and estimation of cloud-top TB, whose primary application includes the identification of convective severe weather signatures and estimation of hurricane intensity [61]. The TB observations from the ABI channel 13 (10.3 μm) are used to determine TC centers and rainbands radii in this study.

The National Hurricane Center (NHC) maintains a climatology of all Atlantic tropical cyclones between 1851–2020, called the Hurricane Database2 (HURDAT2) [62]. For each TC, HURDAT2 contains six hourly estimates of its center positions, 1 min maximum sustained surface winds, minimum SLP, and the radii of 34, 50, and 64 kt wind speeds in four quadrants. The tropical cyclone extended the best track dataset (EBTRK) [63] was created by supplementing HURDAT2 with additional TC parameters, including the radius of maximum wind speed, eye diameter, pressure, and radius of the outermost closed isobar. The release time of the best track data generally lags by one year. The TC center position, the radii of 34 kt near-surface wind in four quadrants from HURDAT2, and the radius of the outermost closed isobar from the EBTRK are used in this study.

2.2. TC Case Description

Hurricanes Irma and Jose occurred in 2017 over the Atlantic Ocean and were selected as two TC examples to determine TC centers and rainband sizes. The reasons for choosing these two TC cases are as follows: Hurricane Irma had a typical northwestward track in the Northern Hemisphere, while Hurricane Jose made a clockwise loop over the southwestern Atlantic (Figure 1). Both Irma and Jose were long-lived hurricanes in September 2017. Jose reached category 4 strength on the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale, and Irma reached category 5 strength. Thus, the possible influences of TC intensity and motion on the accuracy of TC center positioning and TC rainband sizes can be illustrated for two TC cases with different tracks and intensity categories. The turning track of Jose is conducive to showing the hourly track by using hourly ABI observations. Criteria for TC times are the followings: all observed TCs are required to be over the ocean or before landfalling because the land surface will greatly affect the TC cloud structures in TB observations at ABI channel 13, TCs are required to be south of 40°N latitude to serve as a coarse exclusion of extratropical transition cases, and the maximum sustained wind of TCs must be greater than or equal to 50 kt (25 m s\(^{-1}\)) because organized rotation is rarely evident in the infrared imagery of ABI below the tropical storm (TS) intensity. A total of 45 times for Irma and 63 times for Jose at 6 hour intervals meet the above conditions.
100 km on the radially outward side of the inflection point is greater than or equal to the TB related features in TB observations, which represent relatively low and fluctuate greatly through the first 5 days of September. Figure 2b shows the spatial distributions of ABI channel-13 TB observations of high resolution and high cloud sensitivity, this study attempts to determine objectively the largest radial distance over the circumferences of radius Rc and smaller radii where the spectral analysis is carried out. An example is given in Figure 2 to illustrate such a procedure. Figure 2a shows the spatial distributions of ABI channel-13 TB observations at about 1200 UTC September 4, 2017, around Irma as well as the first-guess position determined from it. Variations of the observed TB values along the northwest-southeast (NW-SE) line passing through the first-guess position and the radial gradients of TB values along the NW-SE line are shown in Figure 2b. Within the radial distance of about 400 km, the TB values are relatively low and fluctuate greatly radially, reflecting the impacts of TC cloud distributions on TB. Beyond the 400 km radial distance, the TB values are relatively high and stable, which represents the variations of environmental TB distributions. Considering these features in TB observations, we propose the following method to determine objectively the largest radial distance (R0) from the first-guess position to the boundary of the TC-related cloud area. We firstly determine the inflection points where the radial gradient of TB switches from positive to negative from the center outward. If the average TB within 100 km on the radially outward side of the inflection point is greater than or equal to the

Figure 1. Best tracks (colored markers) of Hurricane Irma from 0000 UTC August 30 to 0000 UTC September 12, 2017 and Jose from 0600 UTC September 5 to 1200 UTC September 22, 2017. Intensity categories (colored markers) are shown in the legend.

3. Methods of Determining TC Center and Rainband Size

The azimuthal spectral analysis method proposed by Hu and Zou [35] was used to determine the center positions of Irma and Jose based on ABI channel-13 TB observations. It aims at obtaining a center position for which the symmetric component encompassing the center is the largest. Two steps are included in this method. The first step is to determine an initial first-guess position. Based on the fact that there is usually a region of low TB values within the eyewall and the inner core rainband close to the TC center, the first-guess position is determined as the position with the minimum value of TB observations averaged over a coarse grid of 1.5° × 1.5°. The second step is to carry out a set of azimuthal spectral analyses within circular areas of the radius R0 centered at different tryout centers. The subscript “i” of R0 is an index representing the tryout centers, which are distributed at 0.05° × 0.05° resolution and are contained in a 2° × 2° square area centered at the first-guess position. A detailed description of how to determine R0 is described in the next paragraph. Among all the tryout centers, the one which produces the largest value of the radially-mean amplitude of wavenumber 0 component (symmetric component) within the radial distance of R0 is taken as the TC center. A detailed explanation of the azimuthal spectral analysis method can be found in [35].

The azimuthal spectral analysis method for TC center positioning requires that the symmetric component of a TC within the radius R0 is always dominant. In a previous study [35] using POES microwave humidity sounder’s observations, R0 was empirically set to 360 km. Taking an advantage of GOES infrared TB observations of high resolution and high cloud sensitivity, this study attempts to determine objectively the largest radial distance over the circumferences of radius R0 and smaller radii where the spectral analysis is carried out. An example is given in Figure 2 to illustrate such a procedure. Figure 2a shows the spatial distributions of ABI channel-13 TB observations at about 1200 UTC September 4, 2017, around Irma as well as the first-guess position determined from it. Variations of the observed TB values along the northwest-southeast (NW-SE) line passing through the first-guess position and the radial gradients of TB values along the NW-SE line are shown in Figure 2b. Within the radial distance of about 400 km, the TB values are relatively low and fluctuate greatly radially, reflecting the impacts of TC cloud distributions on TB. Beyond the 400 km radial distance, the TB values are relatively high and stable, which represents the variations of environmental TB distributions. Considering these features in TB observations, we propose the following method to determine objectively the largest radial distance (R0) from the first-guess position to the boundary of the TC-related cloud area. We firstly determine the inflection points where the radial gradient of TB switches from positive to negative from the center outward. If the average TB within 100 km on the radially outward side of the inflection point is greater than or equal to the
average TB of the surrounding environment of a TC, the radial distance of the inflection point is regarded as the radius from the TC center to the boundary of TC related cloud area along the NW or SE direction. The average TB of the surrounding environment refers to the average TB between 800–1000 km away from the center [64]. The purpose of using average TB within the range of 100 km rather than the TB at a certain radial distance is to avoid the situation where a long and narrow high-valued TB region generated between the outer spiral rainbands is taken as the circumference separating TC from the surrounding environmental region. It can be seen from Figure 2b that the radius of the TC cloud area boundary in the northwest direction is determined as the radial distance where the inflection point of TB gradient is caused by the small-scale disturbance of TB. Obviously, the radial distance where the high-valued environment TB becomes stable is larger than the radial distance of the inflection point caused by the small-scale disturbance of TB. In order to avoid such incidents, a 50 km low pass Lanczos filter proposed by [65] was applied to obtain the filtered TB and its radial gradient, which are also shown in Figure 2b. The final radius $R_{fg}$ is determined based on the filtered TB sequences. The two radii determined by the filtered TB observations along the NW and SE directions in Figure 2b are about 363.0 and 424.5 km, respectively. The radii of the boundaries of the TC cloud area at 24 azimuthal angles of 15° are determined by the same method mentioned above. Considering that the TC structure in the outer-core region is generally more asymmetric than that in the inner-core region [53], the smallest radius of the TC cloud area at 24 azimuthal angles is taken as the radius $R_i$ to ensure the symmetric component is a dominant feature within $R_i$. 

![Figure 2b](image-url)
Figure 2. (a) Spatial distribution of ABI channel-13 brightness temperature (TB) observations (unit: K; color shading) within and around Irma at about 1200 UTC September 4, 2017 and a northwest-southeast (NW-SE) line passing through the first-guess position (magenta open circle) along which the original (black curve) and filtered (red curve) TB observations are shown in (b). Also shown in (b) are the radial gradients (unit: K) of original (gray curve) and the filtered TB observations (blue curve) along the same NW-SE line. The filtered TB value averaged over 800–1000 km distances from the first-guess position is indicated by a horizontal dashed line in red. The TB value at the boundary of TC cloud area determined by the original and filtered TB observations along the NW-SE line is indicated by black and red open circle, respectively.

Having the first-guess position and the radius $R_i$, an azimuthal spectral analysis is conducted at all tryout centers located in a $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$ square area centered on the first-guess position. The tryout center corresponding to the maximum value of the wavenumber-0 amplitude averaged over radial distances from 20 km to $R_i$ was taken as the TC center. There are not very many TB observations along the circumferences within a radius of less than 20 km. Figure 3 shows variations of the distance between the best track center and the ABI-determined center with varying values of the largest radius for calculating the largest mean wavenumber-0 amplitude at about 1200 UTC September 4 and 1800 UTC August 30, 2017. We can see that the distance between the best track center and the ABI-determined center using the radius $R_i$, which is determined objectively by the ABI channel-13 TB observations, is reasonably small. At 1800 UTC August 30, 2017, the current result of the distance between the best track and the center using the objectively determined radius of $R_i$ in this study is smaller than that of Hu and Zou [35] who used an empirical value of 360 km for $R_i$. 
Figure 3. Variations of the distance between the best track center and the ABI-determined TC center when R is varied from 40 to 360 km at about 1200 UTC September 4, 2017 (solid curve) and 1800 UTC August 30, 2017 (dashed curve). The objectively determined R values of 270 and 360 km (solid triangles) are indicated by solid triangle symbols.

Having the TC center determined from TB observations, we proceeded to determine TC rainband sizes using the same method for determining the radius R. The only difference is using the TC center, instead of the first-guess position. The smallest and largest radii of the TC cloud area at 24 azimuthal angles are taken as the radius of the inner core rainband (RIR) and the radius of the outer spiral rainband (ROR). Two examples are provided to show the results of RIR and ROR for Irma at about 1200 UTC September 4, 2017, and 1800 UTC August 30, 2017. Figure 4a and Figure 4b show the spatial distributions of TB observations within and around Irma, locations of the ABI-determined boundaries of the TC cloud area at 24 azimuthal angles of 15°, and two circles with radii of RIR and ROR at both times. It can be seen that almost all TC structures, including the outer spiral rainbands, are contained within the circumference of the radius of ROR. The circle with a radius of RIR describes mainly the nearly axisymmetric region with an inner core rainband. Therefore, RIR describes approximately the size of the nearly axisymmetric TC inner-core region, and the ROR reflects the maximum radial extent of TC rainbands. Figure 4c and Figure 4d show the original and filtered TB observations and the radial gradients of filtered TB sequences along the directions in which the values of RIR and ROR are generated at about 1200 UTC September 4 and 1800 UTC August 30, 2017, respectively. Results from ABI-determined TC centers and the values of RIR and ROR at all times of Irma and Jose at 6 hour intervals will be given and discussed in section 4.
Figure 4. Spatial distributions of TB observations (color shading) around Irma, locations (small black open circles) of the ABI-determined boundaries of the TC cloud area at 24 azimuthal angles of 15° centered on the ABI-determined center (magenta open triangle), the R_{IR} (blue circle), and R_{OR} (black circle) at about (a) 1200 UTC September 4 and (b) 1800 UTC August 30, 2017. (c) The filtered TB sequences (blue and black curves), the original TB sequences (cyan and gray curves), and the radial
gradients of filtered TB sequences (red curves) along the 300° and 15° directions counterclockwise from due east at about 1200 UTC September 4, 2017. The R_1 (blue dashed vertical line) and R_0 (black dashed vertical line) are marked. (d) The same as (c), with the exception for the time of 1800 UTC August 30, 2017.

4. Results of TC Center and Rainband Size

The TC center from the best track data refers to the center position near the surface, which usually is the location of minimum wind or minimum pressure. Although the definition of center position from the best track is quite different from the azimuthal symmetric center determined by the ABI channel-13 TB observations in this study, the best track is still the only reliable and available dataset to be compared for showing the accuracy of the ABI-determined center. The center-positioning results for Irma and Jose from ABI were compared with those from MHS/ATMS TB observations of microwave channel 18 (183.31 ± 7.0 GHz) of ATMS onboard S-NPP satellite and microwave channel 5 (190.31 GHz) of MHS onboard MetOp-A satellite using the same azimuthal center positioning method. Figure 5a shows the distances between the ABI-determined centers and ATMS channel-18- or MHS channel-5-determined TC centers from the best track centers of Irma during the time period from 1800 UTC on August 30 to 1800 UTC on September 10, 2017. Hurricane Irma was observed 31 times by the S-NPP and MetOp-A satellites. The mean distance from the best track center for the ABI-determined centers and ATMS- or MHS-determined centers are about 14.9 and 13.8 km, respectively. Therefore, compared with the best track data, the TC center-positioning differences of ABI channel-13 determined TC centers are similar to those of ATMS channel-18 or MHS channel-5 determined TC centers.

However, a single polar-orbiting satellite can only observe the same TC twice daily at most, but a geostationary satellite can continuously observe it at 15 min or shorter time intervals. The horizontal resolution of ABI channel 13 is about 2 km, which is eight times higher than that of MHS/ATMS channels. This means that ABI can capture more detailed TC structures than MHS/ATMS. For example, Figure 5b and Figure 5c show the spatial distributions of MHS channel-5 and ABI channel-13 TB observations around Irma, the ABI-determined center, MHS-determined center, and the best track center at about 1154 and 1200 UTC on August 31, 2017, respectively. It is seen that a clear TC eye was observed in the ABI channel-13 TB observations but not in the MHS channel-5 TB observations. The ABI-determined center is just located at the position of the TC eye and is close to the best track center. Unlike ABI infrared channel 13, microwave radiation from ATMS channel 18 and MHS channel 5 can penetrate cirrus and discern TC structures in the middle and lower troposphere [35]. To sum up, although TB observations of ABI channel 13 and ATMS channel 18/MHS channel 5 can all determine the TC centers by the azimuthal spectral analysis method, the ABI TB observations with high spatial-temporal resolutions have an advantage for the continuous center-positioning of TC.
Figure 5. (a) Track errors of ABI channel 13-determined TC centers (solid triangles, magenta) and ATMS channel 18- or MHS channel 5-determined TC centers (solid circles, black) compared with the best track for Irma from 1800 UTC August 30 to 1800 UTC September 10, 2017. The mean distance between the best track centers for the ABI-determined centers or ATMS- or MHS-determined centers are about 14.9 and 13.8 km, respectively. Intensity categories (color shading) are shown in the legend above (a). (b, c) Spatial distributions of (b) MHS channel-5 and (c) ABI channel-13 TB observations (color shading; unit: K) around Hurricane Irma at about (b) 1154 and (c) 1200 UTC on August 31, 2017. The ABI-determined center (magenta open triangle), MHS-determined center (magenta open circle), and the best track center (magenta hurricane symbol) are also indicated.

The ABI-determined track and the best track of Irma from 1800 UTC August 30 to 1800 UTC September 10, 2017, are provided in Figure 6a. It is seen that these two tracks are quite close. Variations of $R_{OR}$, $R_{IR}$ and $R_{OR} - R_{IR}$ for hurricane Irma are shown in Figure 6b. The mean values of $R_{IR}$ and $R_{OR}$ are about 348 and 877 km, respectively. The difference between $R_{IR}$ and $R_{OR}$ can be used to describe roughly the radial extent of the ring within which strong asymmetric outer spiral rainbands are located.
Figure 6. (a) Tracks of ABI-determined TC center (magenta open triangle) and the best track center (black open circle) of Irma at 12 hour intervals from 1800 UTC on August 30 to 1800 UTC September 10, 2017. (b) Variations of the $R_{IR}$ (black curve with open triangles), $R_{OR}$ (blue curve with open triangles), and the difference (green curve with open triangles) at 6 hour intervals during Irma’s lifetime. The longitudes of and times of Irma’s centers are also indicated in (b).

Hurricane Jose made a clockwise loop over the southwestern Atlantic during an overall northwest movement. It was a weaker hurricane than Irma on the whole. Figure 7 shows the distances between the ABI-determined centers and ATMS channel 18- or MHS channel 5-determined TC centers from the best track centers of Jose during the time period from 0600 UTC September 6 to 1800 UTC September 21, 2017. Jose was observed 42 times by the S-NPP and MetOp-A satellites. The mean distances from the best track center for the ABI-determined center and ATMS- or MHS-determined center are about 37.4 and 34.2 km, respectively. The mean distances are smaller when Jose was a category-2 or stronger hurricane than at weaker intensities. Compared with the best track, the mean distance of the ABI-determined center of Jose is larger than that of Irma, as shown in Figure 5a. Therefore, it can be inferred preliminarily that the higher the TC intensity, the smaller the difference between the ABI-determined center and the best track. Of course, a larger dataset is needed in order to confirm this. Figure 8a and Figure 8b show the spatial distributions of TB observations around Jose, the locations of the ABI-determined boundaries of the hurricane cloud area at 24 azimuthal angles, $R_{IR}$ and $R_{OR}$ at about 1200 UTC September 12, 2017, and 1200 UTC September 15, 2017, respectively. It is seen that there is a large TB depression zone near the center of Jose without an obvious TC eye and spiral rainband structures, and the whole structure of Jose is strongly asymmetric. The circular area of radius $R_{OR}$ contains almost all TC structures. Figure 8c shows the ABI-determined TC track and the best track of Irma from 0600 UTC September 6 to 1800 UTC September 21, 2017. Temporal variations of $R_{OR}$ and $R_{IR}$ and the difference between them are shown in Figure 8d. The average value of $R_{IR}$ of Jose is about 243 km, which is smaller than the average value of $R_{IR}$ (348 km) of a stronger hurricane like Irma. The average $R_{OR}$ of Jose is about 873 km. Tables S1 and S2 list the best track centers, ABI-determined centers, $R_{IR}$ and
Rør for Irma from 1800 UTC August 30 to 1800 UTC September 10, 2017 and Jose from 0600 UTC September 6 to 1800 UTC September 21, 2017 at 6 hour intervals (a total of 108 TC times), respectively. Compared with the best track, the root mean square differences of ABI-determined centers for tropical storms and hurricanes are 45.35 and 29.06 km, respectively.

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** Same as Figure 5a except for Jose. The mean distances from the best track center for the ABI-determined centers and ATMS- or MHS-determined centers are about 37.4 and 34.2 km, respectively.
Figure 8. (a, b) Same as Figure 4a and Figure 4b except for Jose at about (a) 1200 UTC September 12 and (b) 1200 UTC September 15, 2017 (c, d) Same as Figure 6a and Figure 6b except for Jose from 0600 UTC September 6 to 1800 UTC September 21, 2017.

We may compare R_{OR} and R_{IR} with R_{34} and ROCI from the best track data. The temporal evolutions of R_{OR}, R_{IR}, R_{34} and ROCI are shown in Figure 9a and Figure 9b for Irma and Jose, respectively. Since the best track data only provides R_{34} in the four quadrants of northeast, northwest, southwest, and southeast, R_{OR} and R_{IR} in Figure 9 refer to the maximum and minimum radii of the boundaries of the TC cloud area from the ABI-determined TC center in the same four quadrants. It can be seen that the R_{OR} is much larger than R_{34} and ROCI. For Irma (Figure 9a), R_{IR} and ROCI are nearly the same, but not identical. Both R_{IR} and ROCI are greater than R_{34}. For Jose (Figure 9b), R_{IR} varies greatly with time and is close to ROCI and larger than R_{34} before 0000 UTC September 13, and then increases rapidly and is larger than R_{34} and ROCI between 0000 UTC September 13 and 1800 UTC September 15, and then decreases gradually and is smaller than R_{34} and ROCI after 1200 UTC September 18. The R_{34} and ROCI are measured based on near-surface wind and SLP, respectively. They are essentially different from R_{IR} and R_{OR}, which are estimated by ABI infrared TB observations of GOES-16 to describe the radial extents of TC cloud structures. Therefore, the relationship between them is not necessarily straightforward.
Figure 9. Temporal evolutions of $R_{OR}$ (magenta markers indicating quadrants) and $R_{IR}$ (blue markers indicating quadrants) along northeast (NE), northwest (NW), southwest (SW), and southeast (SE) directions, radius of the outermost closed isobar (black open circle), the radius of the 34 kt wind (black markers indicating quadrants) in the same quadrants as $R_{IR}$, and minimum SLP (black star markers) of (a) Hurricane Irma from 1800 UTC August 30 to 1800 UTC September 10, 2017 and (b) Jose from 0600 UTC September 6 to 1800 UTC September 21, 2017. Intensity categories are shown in color shading.

The track of ABI-determined centers at 1 hour intervals and the best track at 6 hour intervals from 0600 UTC 11 to 0600 UTC September 16, 2017, when Jose made a clockwise loop over the southwestern Atlantic are shown in Figure 10. The ABI-determined centers and the best track at 1800 UTC 13, 0000 UTC 14, 0600 UTC 14 and 1200 UTC 14 September are indicated for clarity purposes. It is seen that Jose oscillated around the mean path and swung occasionally in a small region, which may be related to the trochoidal oscillation of the TC track pointed out by previous studies [53,66–71]. The environmental steering flow, which is calculated as the deep-layer mean wind [72,73] based on the ERA5 winds within a circular area of 500 km radius centered at the ABI-determined center, is also shown in Figure 10. It is seen that Jose made a clockwise loop mainly under the control of the steering flow, while the small-scale oscillations are not consistent with the direction of the steering flow. Wu and Chen [74] pointed out that trochoidal oscillations associated
with TC motion cannot be explained by the steering flow. Of course, the causes of the hourly oscillations of Jose require further investigation.

**Figure 10.** Track of ABI-determined centers at 1 hour (red open marker) and 6 hour (red solid marker) intervals and the best track (blue solid marker) of Jose from 0600 UTC September 11 to 0600 UTC September 16, 2017. Also indicated is the environmental steering flow (black arrow, the length of 5 m s⁻¹) calculated from the ERA5 wind reanalysis. The ABI-determined centers and the best track centers at 1000 UTC 11, 1800 UTC 13, 0000 UTC 14, 0600 UTC 14, and 1200 UTC 14 September 2017 are highlighted by the colors in the legend.

In order to further explore relationships among the track, the steering flow, and cloud distributions during the time period when Jose made a clockwise looping track, the spatial distributions of the ABI channel-13 TB observations, and the ERA5 geopotential height at 500 hPa within and around Jose at six selected times, along with the ABI-determined track and the steering flow of Jose at 6 hour intervals, are put together in Figure 11. We see a large area of TB depression and a 500 hPa geopotential low near Jose’s center. The moving direction of Jose is consistent with the environmental steering flow. Actually, Jose became trapped between the large cyclonic circulation associated with Irma and the flow on the back side of a large mid-latitude closed low system centered off the coast of Atlantic Canada by September 12, 2017. The resulting steering pattern caused Jose to slow down considerably and make a clockwise loop over the southwestern Atlantic through September 16. Before 1200 UTC September 11, Jose moved northwest with a large area of TB depression around the TC center. After 0600 UTC September 12, there is a relatively small region of TB depression, indicating the isolated convection zone appeared northeast of the main TB depression zone. Afterward, Jose began to move northeastward. At 0000 UTC September 13, small discrete convections were separated from the southeast and south sides of the main TB depression zone, and the motion of Jose shifted from going east to southeast. At 1200 UTC September 13, an isolated convection appeared on the south side of the main TB depression zone, and Jose began to move southward. By 0600 UTC September 14, discrete small convectons around the south side of the main TB depression zone dissipated, and the motion direction of Jose shifted from southward to westward. After 1800 UTC September 14, Jose restored a large TB depression around its center and kept moving northwest. Thus, it can be seen that the asymmetric convective activities within the TC circulation are associated with the TC movement to some extent, which is consistent with previous studies [75–77]. Willoughby et al. [75] and Holland and Lander [76] pointed out that the mesoscale convective systems rotating within the TC core can have an impact on the short-term motion of TC. Ritchie and Elsberry [77] acknowledged the possibility that significant changes of TC track in the order of tens to even hundreds of kilometers over several days could result from an interaction of TC with a persistent mesoscale convective system rotating within TC circulation.
Figure 11. Spatial distributions of ABI channel 13-TB observations (color shading; unit: K) and ERA5 geopotential height (contour; unit: m) at 500 hPa at (a) 1200 UTC 11, (b) 0600 UTC 12, (c) 0000 and (d) 1200 UTC 13, (e) 0600 and (f) 1800 UTC September 14, 2017. Also shown are the ABI-determined track (cyan curve with solid markers indicating hurricane intensity) of Hurricane Jose, as well as the ABI-determined center (magenta solid marker), RoB (dashed black circle), and the steering flow (black arrow, the length of 5 m s\(^{-1}\)) at the same time as TB observations.
5. Discussions of Symmetric and Asymmetric Components in ABI TB Observations

In order to compare the spatial distributions and amplitudes of symmetric and asymmetric components centered at either the ABI-determined center or the best track center, we selected a case of a 60 km distance between the ABI-determined center and the best track center. Figure 12a shows the spatial distribution of ABI channel-13 TB observations within and around Hurricane Jose, the ABI-determined cloud boundaries, the ABI-determined center, and the best track at 1000 UTC September 11, 2017. The distance between the ABI-determined center and the best track center is highlighted in Figure 10. Figure 12b compares radial variations of percentage amplitude of wavenumbers 0–3 over the sum of wavenumbers 0–10 amplitudes with the ABI-determined center and best track as assumed by Jose’s centers at about 1000 UTC September 11, 2017. Taking the ABI-determined center for spectral analysis, the wavenumber-0 amplitude always accounts for the largest proportion (over 50%) of all wavenumbers’ amplitudes within the 20–300 km radial distance, indicating that the symmetric component dominates the whole pattern of the TC within the 300 km radial distance. The wavenumber-1 amplitude is more than 10% beyond the radial distance of 120 km and keeps a stable value of about 20%. If the best track is used as the center, wavenumber-0 amplitudes are more than 40% larger than that of wavenumber 1 within a 20–100 km radial distance. However, the wavenumber-1 amplitude exceeds the wavenumber-0 amplitude beyond the radial distance of 100 km and keeps a stable value of about 45%. The surpassing of wavenumber-1 to wavenumber-0 amplitudes indicates that the wavenumber-1 asymmetric component dominates the entire pattern of the TC within the corresponding radial distance. By comparison, the amplitude of the wavenumber 0 component (symmetric component) centered on the ABI-determined center is always larger than that of the wavenumber 0 component centered on the best track center, but it is just the opposite for wavenumbers 1 and 3. The amplitude of the wavenumber-2 asymmetric component centered on the ABI-determined center is smaller than that of the wavenumber-2 component centered on the best track center within the radial distance of 100 km, but the amplitudes of the two are very similar outside the radial distance of 100 km.
Figure 12. (a) Spatial distribution of ABI channel 13 TB observations (color shading; unit: K) around Hurricane Jose at 1000 UTC September 11, 2017. The ABI-determined center (magenta open triangle), the best track center (cyan hurricane symbol), the RIR (blue circle), and ROR (black circle) are also indicated. (b) Radial variations of the azimuthal wavenumbers 0–3 amplitude percentages (solid curves; %) with the ABI-determined center (open triangle) and best track (hurricane symbol) as assumed by Jose’s centers at about 1000 UTC September 11, 2017.

Figure 13 shows the original TB, filtered TB, and radial gradients of the filtered TB observations along the directions where RIR and ROR are determined using the ABI-determined center (Figure 13a) or the best track center (Figure 13b) at about 1000 UTC September 11, 2017. Figure 14 shows the spatial distributions of wavenumbers 0–3 components of ABI channel-13 TB observations around Jose, centered on the ABI-determined center and the best-track center at 1000 UTC 11 September 2017. Also indicated in Figure 14 are two circles of radii of RIR and ROR determined in Figure 13. For wavenumbers 1–3 asymmetric components centered on the ABI-determined TC center, it is seen that RIR coincides with the radius where the strongest wavenumber 1 asymmetry is located, and the annulus defined by the two circles of radii of ROR and RIR contains the main asymmetries of wavenumbers 1–3, which mainly reflect the asymmetric outer spiral rainbands. Weak wavenumbers 1–3 asymmetries also appear inside the circle at the radius of RIR, which may be related to the propagation of vortex Rossby waves within the TC inner-core region [12,13]. For wavenumbers 1–3 asymmetric components centered on the best track center, the circular region with the radius of RIR contains the main strong wavenumber 1 asymmetries and some strong wavenumbers 2 and 3 asymmetries. When RIR is determined based on the ABI-determined TC center, the innermost azimuthal waves of wavenumbers 1–3 are nicely distributed along or within the radial distance RIR. However, if RIR is determined based on the best track, the azimuthal waves of wavenumbers 1–3 are found at several radial distances less than RIR.
Figure 13. (a) Same as Figure 4c or Figure 4d except for Jose at about 1000 UTC September, 11 2017. (b) Same as (a) except for the TB sequence centered at the best track center.
Figure 14. Spatial distributions of (a-h) wavenumbers 0–3 components (color shading; unit: K) of ABI channel-13 TB observations around Hurricane Jose centered at the ABI-determined center (magenta open triangle; left panel) and best track (magenta hurricane symbol; right panel) at 1000 UTC September 11, 2017. The RIR (blue circle) and ROR (black circle) are also indicated.
The average value of wavenumber-0 amplitudes and maximum wavenumbers 1, 2, and 3 amplitudes from 20 km to the outermost radius $R_i$ of the azimuthal spectral analysis with the ABI-determined center and the best track center as the center of spectral analysis for 45 times of Hurricane Irma and 63 times of Hurricane Jose are shown in Figure 15. The distances between the ABI-determined center and the best track center are also indicated in Figure 15. It is seen that the average wavenumber-0 amplitude with the ABI-determined center are consistently larger than or equal to those with the best track center. The larger the average wavenumber-0 amplitude, the smaller center positioning difference. Specifically, the difference is less than 35 km when the average amplitude percentage of the wavenumber 0 component centered on the ABI-determined center (the best track center) is greater than 60% (50%). The maximum amplitude of the wavenumber-1 component centered at the ABI-determined center is mostly less than or equal to that centered at the best track. Most maximum amplitudes of wavenumbers 2 or 3 components centered on the ABI-determined center and those centered on the best-track center have no obvious difference. Therefore, the amplitudes of wavenumbers 0 and 1 are sensitive to the location of the TC center, while wavenumbers 2 and 3 are fairly robust, which is consistent with the research result of [7].

![Figure 15](image-url)

**Figure 15.** Scatter plots of (a) average amplitude (%) of wavenumber 0, (b-d) maximum amplitude (%) of wavenumbers 1, 2, and 3 from 20 km to the outermost radius of the azimuthal spectral anal-
ysis with the ABI-determined center and best track center as the center for the spectral decomposition for 45 times of Hurricane Irma (open circle) and 63 times of Hurricane Jose (open triangle). The differences (km) between the ABI-determined centers and best-track centers are indicated by colors.

6. Conclusions

TB observations on infrared channel 13 (10.3 μm) of ABI are used for determining the center positions and rainband sizes of Hurricanes Irma and Jose (2017). The azimuthal spectral analysis method is employed to determine the azimuthally symmetric center of TCs. With the ABI-determined azimuthally symmetric center as the TC center, the radii of inner core rainbands and outer spiral rainbands, namely \( R_{IR} \) and \( R_{OR} \), are determined objectively based on radial gradients of TB values at different azimuthal angles in the infrared TB field observed by geostationary satellites, which describe the size of the TC inner-core region and the maximum radial extent of TC rainbands, respectively. The ABI-determined center positions of Hurricane Irma and Jose at 6 hour intervals are compared with the best track in order to verify the accuracy of the azimuthal spectral analysis method for TC center positioning. The center position of Jose is determined hourly by the ABI during the period when Jose made a clockwise loop, and the hourly track is found to oscillate around the mean path and swing occasionally in a small region. The moving track, the steering flow, and TC cloud convections during the time period when Jose made a circled track are discussed, suggesting that the turning track of Jose is mainly under the control of steering flow, and mesoscale convective systems rotating within TC circulation can also influence the direction of TC movement to some extent. The \( R_{OR} \) determined by TB observations is found to be much larger than the radii of 34 kt near-surface wind and the outermost closed isobar, and \( R_{IR} \) is close but not identical to the radius of the outermost closed isobar and usually coincides with the radius where the strongest wavenumber 1 asymmetry is located. The annulus defined by the two circles with radii of \( R_{IR} \) and \( R_{OR} \) is the asymmetric area of rainbands described by azimuthal wavenumbers 1–3. Finally, the spatial distributions and amplitudes of symmetric and asymmetric components centered at the ABI-determined center and the best-track center are compared. The innermost azimuthal waves of wavenumbers 1–3 are well distributed along or within the circumference of \( R_{IR} \) that is determined based on the ABI-determined TC center. If \( R_{IR} \) is calculated using the best track, the azimuthal waves of wavenumbers 1–3 can be found at several radial distances less than \( R_{IR} \). Besides, it is found that the larger the average wavenumber-0 amplitude, the smaller the difference between the ABI-determined center and the best-track center. The average amplitude of the wavenumber-0 (wavenumber-1) component centered on the ABI-determined center is always greater (smaller) than or equal to that centered on the best-track center.

The center position and rainband sizes of TCs estimated by the infrared TB observations from GOES-16 in this study can be used as the parameters required by specifying a bogus vortex for hurricane initialization and meeting the requirements of verifying the propagation mechanism of vortex Rossby waves by satellite observations. The azimuthal spectral analysis method for TC center positioning requires a TC intensity of 25 m s\(^{-1}\) or above in this study to ensure that the whole pattern of a TC is dominated by the symmetric component. The scenario where the asymmetric component dominates the whole pattern of a TC needs further study. The relationship between the radius of the inner core rainband measured in this study and the TC intensity has not been explored. Considering that TC intensity is usually not closely related to a single TC size parameter \([8]\), more parameters that can describe the size of a TC, such as the TC eye diameter, should be estimated by geostationary satellites to explore the relationship between TC size and intensity in the future. The TB observations provided by geostationary satellites with high temporal and horizontal spatial resolution can fully reveal the horizontal spatial structures of TCs and the evolution of TC cloud structures over time, which lays the observation foundation for our future focus on the verification of the propagation mechanism of vortex Rossby waves in TCs by geostationary satellite observations.
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